This article was downloaded by: [Anahi Briozzo]

On: 22 July 2014, At: 13:22

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer

House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK





# **Regional Studies**

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cres20">http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/cres20</a>

# Spanish SMEs' Subsidized and Guaranteed Credit during Economic Crisis: A Regional Perspective

Anahí Briozzo<sup>a</sup> & Clara Cardone-Riportella<sup>bc</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Business Administration, Universidad Nacional del Sur CONICET, 12 de Octubre 1198, 8th floor, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Email:
- <sup>b</sup> Department of Financial Economics and Accounting, Pablo Olavide University -Carretera de Utrera, km 1, s/n, E-41013 Seville, Spain
- <sup>c</sup> member of the INDEM Center for Business Research (University Carlos III of Madrid, Spain). Email:

Published online: 14 Jul 2014.

To cite this article: Anahí Briozzo & Clara Cardone-Riportella (2014): Spanish SMEs' Subsidized and Guaranteed Credit during Economic Crisis: A Regional Perspective, Regional Studies

To link to this article: <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2014.926318">http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2014.926318</a>

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>



# Spanish SMEs' Subsidized and Guaranteed Credit during Economic Crisis: A Regional Perspective

# ANAHÍ BRIOZZO\* and CLARA CARDONE-RIPORTELLA†

\*Department of Business Administration, Universidad Nacional del Sur – CONICET, 12 de Octubre 1198, 8th floor, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina. Email: abriozzo@uns.edu.ar

†Department of Financial Economics and Accounting, Pablo Olavide University – Carretera de Utrera, km 1, s/n, E-41013 Seville, Spain; and member of the INDEM Center for Business Research (University Carlos III of Madrid, Spain). Email: ccardone@upo.es

(Received October 2013; in revised form May 2014)

BRIOZZO A. and CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. Spanish SMEs' subsidized and guaranteed credit during economic crisis: a regional perspective, *Regional Studies*. This study analyses the effects of two Spanish public programmes that provide financial support to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): (1) subsidized credit by the Official Credit Institute and (2) bank credit guaranteed by a mutual guarantee society. The study was conducted from a regional perspective and it compares the effects of the two programmes during normal times with the effects during economic crisis. During stable periods, these programmes affect the growth of assets, sales and the sales to assets ratio. However, during recession, the effects extend to the growth of employment and the sales to employee ratio. Moreover, there are significant regional differences.

Public policies Subsidized credit Guaranteed credit Impact evaluation Economic crisis Regional policies

BRIOZZO A. and CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. 西班牙经济危机中的中小型企业补贴及担保信贷:一个区域的视角,区域研究。本研究分析西班牙提供给中小型企业(SMEs)财政支援的两大公共计画:(1)官方信贷机构的信贷补贴,以及(2)互助担保社会的银行信贷担保。本研究从区域的视角出发,比较两个计画在平时与经济危机时的效应。在稳定的时期,这些计画影响了资产、销售,以及销售对资产比例的成长。但在经济萧条时期,影响则延伸至就业以及销售对员工比例的成长。再者,上述现象存在着显着的区域差异。

公共政策 补贴信贷 担保信贷 冲击评估 经济危机 区域政策

BRIOZZO A. et CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. Le crédit subventionné et le credit garanti des Pme espagnoles pendant la crise économique: un point de vue régional, *Regional Studies*. Cette étude analyse les effets de deux programmes publics en Espagne qui fournissent de l'aide financière aux petites et moyennes entreprises (Pme): (1) le crédit subventionné accordé par l'Instituto de Crédito Oficial (ICO; Institut de crédit officiel) et (2) le crédit bancaire garanti par des sociétés de garantie mutuelle. L'étude a été menée d'un point de vue régional et compare l'impact des deux programmes en temps normal aux effets en période de crise économque. En période stable, ces programmes influent sur la croissance des actifs, les ventes et le ratio des ventes aux actifs. Cependant, en période de récession, les effets se font ressentir sur la croissance de l'emploi et le ratio des ventes au nombre d'employés. Qui plus est, il y a d'importantes différences régionales.

Politiques publiques Crédit subventionné Crédit garanti Évaluation d'impact Crise économique Politiques régionales

BRIOZZO A. und CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. Subventionierte und garantierte Darlehen an kleine und mittelständische Unternehmen in Spanien während der Wirtschaftskrise: eine regionale Perspektive, Regional Studies. In diesem Beitrag werden die Auswirkungen von zwei spanischen staatlichen Programmen zur finanziellen Unterstützung von kleinen und mittelständischen Unternehmen untersucht: (1) subventionierte Darlehen des Instituto de Crédito Oficial und (2) von einer Kreditgarantiegemeinschaft garantierte Bankdarlehen. In der aus einer regionalen Perspektive durchgeführten Studie wurden die Auswirkungen der beiden Programme in normalen Zeiten mit den Auswirkungen während einer Wirtschaftskrise verglichen. In stabilen Zeiten wirken sich diese Programme auf das Wachstum des Guthabens, Umsatzes und Umsatz-Vermögen-Verhältnisses aus. In einer Rezession hingegen erstrecken sich die Auswirkungen außerdem auf das Wachstum des Beschäftigungsniveaus sowie auf das Verhältnis zwischen Umsatz und Belegschaftsstärke. Darüber hinaus gibt es signifikante regionale Unterschiede.

Öffentliche Politik Subventionierte Darlehen Garantierte Darlehen Bewertung von Auswirkungen Wirtschaftskrise Regionalpolitik BRIOZZO A. y CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. El crédito subsidiado y garantizado a PYMEs durante periodos de crisis: una perspectiva regional, *Regional Studies*. Este trabajo analiza los efectos de dos programas públicos de apoyo a pequeñas y medianas empresas en España: (1) créditos subsidiados del Instituto de Crédito Oficial y (2) créditos bancarios garantizados por una Sociedad de Garantía Recíproca. El análisis se realiza desde una perspectiva regional, comparando los efectos de ambos programas durante épocas normales con los efectos durante tiempos de crisis económica. Durante las épocas de estabilidad, los programas afectan el crecimiento de los activos, de las ventas, y de la razón ventas a activos. En cambio, durante la recesión, los efectos se extienden también al crecimiento del empleo y de la razón ventas por empleado. Además, existen diferencias significativas entre regiones.

Políticas públicas Crédito subsidiado Crédito garantizado Evaluación de impactos Crisis económica Políticas regionales

JEL classifications: G01, G21, G28, H81

#### INTRODUCTION

The figures show that in the European Union 98% of all firms are either small or medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and in Spain close to 99% of all firms are SMEs. Because this sector is the backbone of the economies (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2011), it receives the attention of both academic and institutional perspectives. One of the most studied aspects of SMEs is their financial difficulties associated with accessing the credit market. The main aspects to consider in this sense are the credit constraints and the imperfections of the financial markets. In particular, there are relevant features such as (1) the roles of collateral and guarantees conditions demanded in return, (2) the high costs involved in obtaining a loan given the size of the project (and the advances in technology), and (3) relationships (PARKER, lender-borrower KOROSTELEVA and MICKIEWICZ, 2011; DE LA Torre et al., 2010; Romero Martínez et al., 2010).

Financial liberalization increases the resources (external funds and equity), while the volume of initial funding responds positively to international capital inputs represented by non-resident bank loans and remittances, and responds negatively to the volume of offshore deposits (KOROSTELEVA and MICKIEWICZ, 2011).<sup>2</sup> The difficulty of SMEs to access credit markets sometimes increases in economic crisis due to the weakness of the financial system of certain regions within the same country. Given these circumstances, and because of the globalization of financial markets, SMEs can sometimes indirectly access international financial markets through domestic banking systems (TORNELL and WESTERMAN, 2005).

During the last ten years, the financial environment (e.g., market, institutions, liberalization and internationalization processes, cultural aspects and economic growth) has changed, and financial topics are now considered to be strongly related to economic development, a factor that differs among regions of a single country (DEMIRGÜÇ-KUNT and MAKSIMOVIC, 1999; CORNET, 2009). In the specific case of Spain, the studies of CARBÓ *et al.* (2003), CARBÓ *et al.* (2007), and FERNÁNDEZ DE GUEVARA and MAUDOS (2009) are considered.<sup>3</sup> These authors analyse the relationship

between the economic development and growth of a region and the impact of that relationship on the financial markets. As a consequence, SMEs, as one of the most important agents of the economy, are now being studied from an in-country regional perspective (e.g., PALACÍN *et al.*, 2012; PALACÍN-SANCHEZ and DI PRIETO, 2013).

Previous studies analyse (1) the impact of one or more financial aid policies in a given country, (2) the impact of a specific aid in a particular sector of the economy of one country or regions of one country, and (3) the impact in a specific phase of the entrepreneurship activity in a single country. This article belongs to the second research line, and its main objective is to provide empirical evidence regarding the impact of two of the most important Spanish financial policies for SMEs, which are subsidized credit offered by the Official Credit Institute (ICO)<sup>4</sup> and credit guaranteed by a mutual guarantee society (MGS).<sup>5</sup> This study focuses to the following three dimensions: (1) the assessment of the nature of the impact of programme participation on the performance of the SME (growth of assets, sales, employment, sales to assets ratio and sales to employee ratio), (2) an analysis of whether these public policies have differential effects in times of crisis, and (3) an analysis of whether there are differences in the impact of financial aid across a country's heterogeneous regions.

This paper contributes to the literature in two ways. First, to the best of our knowledge this study is the first to investigate the impact of the two most important financial policies in different Spanish regions, i.e. ubsidized credit offered by the ICO and credit guaranteed by an MGS. One notable exception is the study of GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO-ESPERT (2010), which examines the impact on Spanish beneficiated firms of the credit subsidized by the ICO (only the ICO SME line) and the credit guaranteed by an MGS during the period 1996–2003. Second, previous studies that analyse whether the effects of these public policies vary in times of crisis have not been found.

This paper is organized as follows. The second section describes previous research and presents the hypotheses. The third section briefly describes the characteristics of the Spanish regions and the main public policies of the Spanish SMEs. The fourth

section is devoted to data and methodology. The fifth section presents the main results of the study. The sixth section offers the main conclusions.

#### PREVIOUS RESEARCH AND HYPOTHESES

From the perspective of the policy-maker, financial policies of SMEs seek to moderate the disadvantages that arise in the credit market for the firms in this sector. These disadvantages include transaction costs and information asymmetries between the financial backers and the business. In response to the heightened restrictions on access to credit, governments have designed public policies that encourage bankers to finance SMEs and that promote innovation. PARKER (2009) separates the most important programmes present in almost all countries into four categories: (1) loan guarantee schemes (one of the best-known and longest-establish finance policy); (2) interest subsidies; (3) policies to promote equity finance (e.g., regulatory policies to reduce the cost of new issues and secondary market transactions and to increase the supply of venture capital funds or taxation policies; and (4) innovation policies and entrepreneurship (e.g., the United States -Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR); and Japan Small Creative Business Promotion Law). Moreover, these financial policies can serve as instruments for regional growth. According to CORNET (2009), regional growth is not an exogenous phenomenon but rather depends on the ability of the local businesses to perform and generate income.

In evaluating the impact of financial policies of SMEs, STOREY (2000) argues that those firms that are in demand for aid programmes differ in their level of motivation from those that do not apply, which may, for example, imply that their owners are more growth-oriented (a self-selection bias). Another consideration is that the governmental entity that administers the programme could display a committee selection bias for participant selection by choosing the better firms/applicants to whom funding should be extended (Organisation for Economic Co-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT (OECD), 2008). These sources of selection bias should be considered in the analyses of the financial policies. According to Baker (2000), an evaluation of the impact of public financial aid programmes involves determining whether the programme produced the desired effects for its participants and whether those effects are attributable to the programme intervention. Various authors have sought to analyse the effectiveness of public policies for SMEs in different markets. These studies have analysed (1) the impact of one or more financial aid policies in a given country (e.g., HYYTINEN and TOIVANEN, 2005; CHANDLER, 2012, among others); (2) the impact of a specific aid in a particular sector of the economy of one country or one region

(CANNONE and UGHETTO, 2014); and (3) the impact in a specific phase of entrepreneurship activity in a single country (e.g., WALLSTEN, 2000; ALMUS, 2001; Bradshaw, 2002; Honjo and Harada, 2006; RIDING et al., 2007; CRAIG et al., 2008; KOBEISSI, 2009; MOLE et al., 2009; OH et al., 2009, among others). In the particular case of Spain, it is found that CALVO et al. (2004) have studied a group of firms that received subsidy and that MADRID GUIJARRO and GARCÍA PEREZ DE LEMA (2008) have analysed the impact of financial aid in one of the 17 Spanish autonomous communities (Murcia region). RIVERA and Muñoz (2004) have studied the subsidies received by the industrial sector compared with other country sectors; and GARCÍA-TABUENCA CRESPO-ESPERT (2010) have evaluated the impacts of two financial aid programmes, the subsidy credit of the ICO SME line and the impact of the Spanish guarantee system provided by an MGS. Summaries of these studies are presented in Table 1.

With respect to the studies conducted in Spain (Table 2) that evaluated the impact of financial aid programmes, there are important effects on the efficiency measures and on labour productivity measures.

The empirical evidence generally demonstrates a positive effect on employment creation, whereas there is less support for profit and assets growth. Beyond the observed results, this background survey leads to two methodological conclusions: (1) different statistical techniques have been used to consider selection bias (control variables, HECKMAN's (1979) selection model and matching techniques, among others); and (2) the impacts of various programmes tend to be evaluated over the short-term, while long-term results are not significant because outside factors that are difficult to control for over time often intervene.

# Hypotheses

The objectives of financial policies for SMEs are focused on promoting economic development in this sector. Moreover, access to new funds through participation in these programmes should enhance firm performance. Thus, participation in financial aid programmes should improve the observed results in the performance variables. Following the methodology used in previous studies (such as HONJO and HARADA, 2006; and CHANDLER, 2012, among others), this analysis attempts to quantify this impact using different performance variables. Table 3 shows the relation between the selected performance variables and previous studies.

The hypotheses are as follows:

Assets growth:

Hypothesis 1: Firms that participate in financial aid programmes should experience greater growth (or fewer declines during crises) in their investments, measured as total assets, than firms in the comparison group.

Anahí Briozzo and Clara Cardone-Riportella

Table 1. Empirical studies of the impact of aid programmes in different countries

Author(s)	Sample and aids programme	Methodology	Results
LERNER (1999)	Studies the effect of the Small Business Innovation Research Program (SBIR) in the United States on a sample of 894 firms	The comparison group is developed through two matching procedures: one defined by activity and size, the other by location and size. Subsequently, a model of ordinary least squares (OLS) is estimated	Finds positive effects in the percentage change of sales and employment levels
Wallsten (2000)	Studies the effect of the Small Business Innovation Research Programs (SBIR) (for small, high-tech businesses) in a sample of 367 firms	Has an instrumental variable focus. The instrumental variable is defined as a function of the budget of the funding agency	Finds no effect on job creation. The programme appears to reward the most commercially viable projects
ALMUS (2001)	Analyses the medium-term growth performance of firms that exclusively received start-up assistance from programmes administered by the Deutsche Ausgleichsbank (DtA), a state-owned bank. Studies 1726 German firms (472 received aid during their start-up phase)	Uses a three-stage selection model	Finds significant effects on job growth
Bradshaw (2002)	Analyses 1166 firms that participated in the California State (US) Loan Guarantee Program	Business development before and after receipt of the loan is evaluated through a comparison of means	Finds a positive effect on jobs and revenue generation
Hyytinen and Toivanen (2005)	Studies the effect of aid policies in Finland on a sample of 700 firms	A tobit regression model is used at the industry level	The industries that rely more on external financing invest more in R&D and are more growth-oriented when public financing programmes are available
HONJO and HARADA (2006)	Analyses the impact of Japanese SME Creative Business Promotion Law (CBPL) on the capital structure of Japanese SMEs. Based on panel data from 1995 to 1999 from the Japanese Institute of Economics	Uses least squares methods (LSM) at the company level	Finds a positive effect for the aid measure for assets growth, but not for sales and employment
RIDING et al. (2007)	Studies the effect of a Mutual Guarantees Scheme in Canada on a sample of 350 firms	Seeks to analyse possible incrementality: whether, as a result of this system, there is access for companies that could not obtain credit previously. A logit model is estimated for which the dependent variable is whether credit was awarded	Finds a positive effect of the system of guarantees on credit access
CRAIG et al. (2008)	Studies 504 Loans Guaranteed by the US Small Business Administration from 1991 to 2001. Data were collected at a local level	Uses LSM on a cross-section with fixed effects. The unit of analysis is the region and not an individual company	Finds a positive effect on job creation
Kobeissi (2009)	Studies 394 start-ups from the period 1997–99 in the United States. Studies the effect of the Community Reinvestment Act (CRA)	Uses panel data with fixed effects formulated at a regional level and not by individual company	Finds that the level of CRA loans is significant in the growth of start-ups per year and for job creation per region
MOLE et al. (2009)	Studies the effects of the British Business Link (BL) Network (aid services) to SMEs in England on a sample of 3348 firms	Uses a probit model for the probability of being assisted and an average treatment effects model to study programme impact	Finds that intensive assistance has a positive effect on job growth
Он et al. (2009)	Evaluates the effects of a Mutual Guarantee Scheme in Korea in the post-Asian crisis period	Uses propensity score matching comparing firms that participated in the programme with those that did not	Finds that the least productive receive aid. The programme has a positive effect on growth in employment, sales and salaries
Chandler (2012)	Studies the effect of the Canada Small Business Financing Program (CSBFP) on a sample of 2105 firms	Uses a robust LSM. Includes financing structure and growth intent as control variables	Finds a positive effect on growth in salaries, employment and revenue
CANNONE and UGHETTO (2014)	Evaluates the efficiency of the Italian public financing programme DOCUP 2000–06 (Documento Unico di Programmazione) in the Piedmont region of Italy. The dataset consists of 1235 firms that applied for public funding to Finpiemonte S.p.A.	Uses a probit with sample selection to model application for the programme and selection. Then uses a difference-in-difference estimator to assess the impact of the programme	

Empirical studies of the impact of general aid programmes in	Spain
Empirical studies of the impact of general aid programme	$S_p$
Empirical studies of the impact of general aid programme	in
Empirical studies of the impact of general	
Empirical studies of the impact of general	l pr
Empirical studies of the impact of general	aic
Empirical studies of the impact	
Empirical studies of	fo.
Empirical studies of	impacı
Empirical studies of	the
En	
En	studies
En	al
En	piri
e 2.	En
	Table 2.
Tabi	2

Author(s)	Sample and aids programme	Methodology	Results
CALVO et al. (2004)	Studies 53 firms that received a subsidy and 53 that did not in the region of Murcia, Spain	Uses business matching. Compares averages between comparison and treatment groups. Uses logistic regression to study the differential characteristics of the subsidized firms	Finds greater efficiency (use of fixed capital) in the non-subsidized firms and lower risk in the subsidized businesses (both before and after receiving aid). Finds that the positive effect is short-term (one year) but later disappears and that the non-subsidized businesses are more efficient
RIVERA and MUÑOZ (2004)	Uses data from the Central Balance Sheet Data Office of the Bank of Spain for 1992–2002, with 415 observations (at the sector level)	The authors create two groups based on whether the industrial sector receives higher or lower subsidies than the average. Uses mean differences with <i>t</i> -tests and Mann–Whitney <i>U</i> -tests	Obtains positive results for the personal income/ expense and revenue/assets indicators. Productive efficiency increases more for larger firms. Does not obtain positive results for other efficiency measures
Madrid Guijarro and García Pérez de Lema (2008)	Studies 532 firms from the Economic Barometer of SMEs (Murcia Regional Development Agency, Spain)	Studies motivation bias and committee selection bias using logistic regressions	The variables number of employees, belonging to the industrial sector, and innovative strategies have positive effects on the probability of seeking public aid. The perceived technological position has a positive effect on the probability of receiving public aid.
GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO- ESPERT (2010)	Evaluates the Spanish Mutual Guarantee Scheme and the ICO_SME line); firms from 1998 to 2003	Defines two treatment groups and three comparison groups. Uses analysis of variance (ANOVA), Kruskal–Wallis, factor and regression analysis	Companies that received public support are the most efficient ones in economic terms, generating a higher added value per employee and higher financial resources

Sales growth

Hypothesis 2: Firms that participate in financial aid programmes should experience greater growth (or fewer declines during crises) in their sales than firms in the comparison group.

### Employment growth

Hypothesis 3: Firms that participate in financial aid programmes should experience greater growth in the number of employees (or less of a decline during crises) than firms in the comparison group.

#### Growth in the sales to assets ratio

Hypothesis 4: Firms that participate in financial aid programmes should experience a greater growth in sales to assets ratio (or less of a decline during crises) than firms in the comparison group.

Growth in labour productivity<sup>6</sup>

Hypothesis 5: Firms that participate in financial aid programmes should experience a greater growth in labour productivity (or less of a decline during crises) than firms in the comparison group.

# CHARACTERISTICS OF REGIONS IN SPAIN AND FINANCIAL POLICIES OF SMES

Spain is an interesting case study with respect to its regional characteristics for several reasons. First, the country has a banking-oriented financial system, and as previously mentioned almost 99% of the firms are SMEs. Thus, the roll of the banking industry (commercial and saving banks as well as credit cooperatives) is relevant as there are no alternative sources to finance SME projects, which leads to a significant dependency on bank credit (CARBÓ et al., 2003, 2007). Second, Spain is characterized by significant heterogeneity among its 17 autonomous communities and its two autonomous cities as each region has distinctive social economic features (ILLUECA et al., 2009; FERNÁN-DEZ-SERRANO and ROMERO, 2013, among others). Furthermore, the regions differ from other European and non-European countries (PALACÍN et al., 2012; PALACÍN-SÁNCHEZ and DI PIETRO, 2013). Third, according to CUADRADO-ROURA (2010), regional differences are noted in the degree of economic development.

Table 4 summarizes the main aspects of the three high-income regions of Spain that are analysed in this study versus the country average. Catalonia and Madrid are two of the largest regions, by population and gross domestic product (GDP), while Basque Country is the richest region as measured by GDP per capita. These three regions are also more innovative than the country average, according to their R&D internal expenses (GDP %) and R&D full-time personnel.

According to the Annual Report of Public Policies of the Spanish Competition Commission (2008), the most important financial aids may be based on the

Table 3. Hypothesis and prior evidence for performance variables

Hypothesis	Effect (impact) of participating in financial aid programmes on	Expected result	Previous empirical evidence
H1	Assets Growth	+	$+ \rightarrow$ Honjo and Harada (2006)
H2	Sales Growth	+	+ → Lerner (1999), Oh <i>et al.</i> (2009), Chandler (2012), Honjo and Harada (2006)
Н3	Job Growth	+	+ → LERNER (1999), ALMUS (2001), BRADSHAW (2002), CRAIG <i>et al.</i> (2008), KOBEISSI (2009), MOLE <i>et al.</i> (2009), OH <i>et al.</i> (2009), CHANDLER (2012) n.s. → WALLSTEN (2000), HONJO and HARADA (2006)
H4	Sales to Assets Ratio Growth	+	$+ \rightarrow$ RIVERA and MUÑOZ (2004), CALVO et al. (2004) (only short-term)
H5	Labour Productivity Growth	+	+ → GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO-ESPERT (2010)

Note: n.s., Observed effect is not significant.

Table 4. Characterization of Madrid, Catalonia and Basque Country versus Spain average

	Madrid	Catalonia	Basque Country	Spain average
Population, 2011	6 486 680	7 5 3 9 6 1 8	2184606	47 190 493
GDP, 2010 (€, millions)	190 391	197 919	66 900	1062591
GDP per capita, 2010 (Spain mean = 100)	129.9	117.3	135.8	100
R&D internal expenses, 2010 (GDP %)	2	1.6	2	1.4
R&D full-time personnel (% 1000 employees)	19	14.8	18	12
Unemployment rate, 2011 (%)	15.50	20.50	12.60	22.80
Number of firms (%)	15.44	18.52	5.08	100

Note: GDP, gross domestic product; R&D, research and development.

Source: Spanish Ministry of Industry, Energy and Tourism (http://www.minetur.gob.es/en-US/Paginas/index.aspx).

specific industry or sector or on the legal instrument used (a wide variety of aids, including direct subsidies and largest cut). Such direct subsidies include (1) loans or loans on favourable terms, (2) tax exemptions or reductions to specific enterprises or categories of enterprises, and (3) guarantees of different types (e.g., exchange or collateral, grants or loans, credit insurance, exports, etc.). Among the most important public policies, special lines of finance interest rates subsidized by the government through agreements with financial intermediaries (commercial and saving banks) and MGS organized by almost all Spanish regions and sectors are found. It is important to note that MGS have not developed homogenously across Spain: the largest MGS has 4.7 times more members and 24.4 times more alive risk than the smallest MGS. The percentage of SMEs that are members of MGS also varies significantly across Spain regions (CARDONE-RIPOR-TELLA and BRIOZZO, 2012).

In 2008, the Spanish economy began a process of deterioration in real sector activity, with a 2.5% decrease in GDP over 2007 (ICO, 2008). Similarly, there was a 7.2% decrease in the total credit growth over the previous year. This contraction affected virtually every sector of economic activity due to decreased demand and investment, especially during the second half of 2008. Accordingly, since 2008 the Bank of Spain's indicators revealed the tightening of credit and a decrease in credit demand among non-financial corporations.

# DATA AND METHODOLOGY

Data and sample determination

This paper analyses, from a regional perspective, whether differential effects exist for the SMEs that participated in public financial aid programmes during economic crisis. Based on the database of the Iberian System for Financial Statement Analysis (SABI – Sistema de Análisis de Balances Ibéricos<sup>8</sup>), the Spanish SMEs that participated in financial aid programmes were identified for two time periods: 2002/03 (normal pre-crisis years) and 2007 (the beginning of a financial crisis). Only firms with fewer than 250 employees at the time they received financial aid are included. 10

The financial aid instruments used by the SMEs in the sample are subsidized credit offered by the ICO and bank credit guaranteed by an MGS. It is well known that the guarantee offered by an MGS facilitates access to credit while lowering the cost of the credit, which is why, following GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO-ESPERT (2010), the two instruments are considered comparable.

Once these participating firms, referred to as the treatment group, are identified, the next step involves identifying an appropriate comparison group. As in earlier studies (CALVO *et al.*, 2004), a group of comparable firms is selected. At least one business similar to each company in the treatment group <sup>11</sup> is selected according to the following parameters: location (autonomous region), activity (Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community – NACE, 2nd

	*		
Year	SMEs that <i>do not participate</i> in financial aid programmes (comparison group)	SMEs that <i>do participate</i> in financial aid programmes (treatment group)	Total
2002-03	137	96	233
2007	77	58	135
Total	214	154	368

Table 5. Sample distribution

revision, four digits), and size (total assets measured during the previous year, with a variation of  $\pm 10\%$ ). Accordingly, a final sample of 368 observations (firms) was identified, as shown in Table 5.

Tables A1 and A2 in Appendix A show the sample distribution according to the autonomous region and sector. Half the sample is concentrated in three of the largest regions (Catalonia, Basque Country and Madrid 12), and three sectors make up 73% of the sample: manufacturing (31.2%), retail (24.2%) and construction (17.6%). 13

# Estimation methodology

According to WOOLDRIDGE (2002), the effect of programme participation on the performance variables is analysed by means of average treatment effects (ATEs) on the treated group. The model for the performance variables is estimated consistently by interacting the policy treatment effect with each element after subtracting its mean (MOLE *et al.*, 2009). Thus, the estimated equation is:

$$E(\gamma_{t+1}|w, x_t) = \beta_0 + \alpha w + \tau g + \theta g w + \beta x + \beta x g + \psi(x - \bar{x})w + \omega(x - \bar{x})w g + u$$
(1)

where y is the performance variable of interest measured the year after programme participation (t + 1), described in Table 3; w is the dummy variable that takes the value 1 if the company participated in a financial aid programme, and 0 if it did not; g is the dummy variable that takes the value 1 if the company belonged to the 2007 sample, and 0 if it did not; X is the vector combining firm characteristics (control variables) measured during the year of programme participation (t);  $\bar{x}$  is the vector of the sample means for each characteristic;  $\beta_0$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $\psi$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\omega$  are the estimated coefficients; and u is the error term.

The ATE, which measures the effect of participating in the financial aid programme for a firm selected at random from the sample, can be estimated as follows:

$$\hat{ATE}(x) = E(y|w = 1) - E(y|w = 0) 
= \begin{cases}
\alpha + \psi(x - \bar{x}) & \text{si } g = 0 \text{ (year } 2002 - 2003) \\
\alpha + \theta + (\psi + \omega)(x - \bar{x}) & \text{si } g = 1 \text{ (year } 2007)
\end{cases} \tag{2}$$

If parameters  $\theta$  and  $\omega$  have statistically significant estimates, then the effect of receiving financial aid in 2007 differs from the effect of doing so in 2002/03.

The control variables (x) included in the estimation are described in the following section. With this methodology, the possible selection bias is addressed through the inclusion of variables that control for growth and other firm characteristics (CHANDLER, 2012). For the firms that requested financial aid, received it and reported it, this model controls for the combination of self-selection, committee selection and data collection biases. 15

Bootstrapped standard errors clustered on regions were used to correct for the intra-class correlation. <sup>16</sup>

#### Operational definitions of variables

To estimate the ATE for the performance variables described in the hypotheses (Table 3), the following operational definitions are used:

- Assets growth: natural logarithm of assets in year t + 1
   the natural logarithm of assets in year t (aid year).
- Sales growth: natural logarithm of sales in year t + 1 the natural logarithm of sales in year t (aid year).
- Employment growth: percentage change of the number of employees from year t + 1 with respect to year t (aid year).
- Growth in sales to assets ratio: percentage change of sales to assets ratio from year t + 1 with respect to year t (aid year).
- Growth in labour productivity (sales to employee ratio): percentage change of the natural log ratio of sales/number of employees from year t + 1 with respect to year t (aid year).

A list of the studied variables is presented in Table 6 along with the operational definitions used. The explanatory variables in the ATE model (equation 1) are financial aid and the corresponding terms of interaction plus location dummy variables for autonomous regions that represent the communities of Madrid, Catalonia and Basque Country. The remaining variables act as control variables. These control variables are grouped into ratios of size (assets, sales, age and employee number); growth (percentage change in assets, sales and employees); profitability (ROA, return on assets; ROE, return on equity); asset management (sales to assets ratio, growth in total assets); financing structure (equity/total assets); sales per employee and growth of this variable; and qualitative variables such as export nature, sector (only the most relevant in the sample), and whether the company belongs to a business group. 19 There are terms for the interaction between the group and the control

#### Table 6. Description of the variables

Variable Definition Control variables (vector X) Natural logarithm of total assets Assets Growth Assets year t – assets year t – 1 Sales Natural logarithm of sales Sales Growth Sales year t – sales year t – 1 Sales/Emp. Sales/number of employees Sales/Emp. Growth Percentage change of the sales/employee ratio Number of employees Emp. Sales to Assets Ratio Sales/total assets ROA Income for the year before interests and taxes/total assets Income for the year (net income)/net equity Equity to Assets Ratio Capital and reserves/total assets Manufacturing Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm belongs to the manufacturing sector (letter C in the NACE Classification 2nd Revision) Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm belongs to the retail sector (letter G in the NACE Classification Retail Construction Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the activity belongs to the construction sector (letter F in the NACE Classification 2nd Revision) Exporting Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm carries out export activities Holdings Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm has holdings in other companies Years from the date the business was founded to the moment when aid was received Dummy variable with a value of 1 for firms in the comparison and treatment groups for 2007; and a value of 0 Group (g) for the comparison and treatment groups for 2002-03 Location dummies Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm is located in the autonomous region of Catalonia Catalonia Madrid Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm is located in the autonomous region of Madrid Basque Country Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm is located in the Basque Country autonomous region Explicative variable Aid (w) Dummy variable with a value of 1 if the firm participated in a financial aid programme in year tPerformance variables (dependent

variables) (y)

Assets Growth Assets year t + 1 – assets year tSales Growth Sales year t + 1 – sales year t

Emp. Growth Percentage change in the number of employees Sales/Assets Growth Percentage change in sales to assets ratio Sales/Emp. Growth Percentage change of sales/employee ratio

Note: The ATE model has terms for the interaction of the control variables with aid and with group, and terms differing from the mean, as described in equation (1). This table includes all the tested variables, including those that are not incorporated into the final model.

variables to control for possible heterogeneity among the companies at different moments in time.

#### **RESULTS**

# Descriptive statistics

This section studies the characteristics of each group of firms (comparison and treatment) as a function of the analysis period. Tables 7 and 8 show the sample means for the variables of interest at three moments in time: the year prior to participating in the programme (t-1), the year of programme participation (t), and the year after participating in the programme (t + 1). For 2002/03 (Table 7), those firms that received aid experienced more growth in sales and in total assets for the same year as the programme. These findings concur with the self-selection bias described by the

OECD (2008) in that the more growth-oriented firms tend to demand public aid.

Continuing with 2002/03, there are no significant differences in the year prior to or in the year after programme participation, except for the sales to employee ratio and growth in this ratio. It is interesting to note that the firms that participated in aid programmes have a smaller sales to employee ratio than their peers for all years studied. At the same time, the growth in sales to employee ratio was greater for the businesses that did not participate in the programme during the prior year (t-1). These results appear to indicate, a priori, that firms with higher sales/employee ratios do not seek out this type of financial aid, possibly because they face fewer restrictions in the financial system. This finding of adverse selection concurs with that observed by OH et al. (2009). Note that this difference does not

Table 7. Sample means for the 2002-03 group

	Aid year –	-1 (t-1)	Aid ye	ear (t)	Aid year $+ 1 (t + 1)$		
Variable	Comparison	Treatment	Comparison	Treatment	Comparison	Treatment	
Assets	14.65	14.81	14.69	14.92	14.75	14.99	
		(0.43)		(0.26)		(0.25)	
Assets Growth	6.58%	3.11%	3.83%	10.26%**	5.52%	4.35%	
		(0.28)		(0.05)		(0.72)	
Sales	14.83	14.99	14.83	15.06	14.85	15.15	
		(0.43)		(0.25)		(0.13)	
Sales Growth	3.39%	0.21%	-0.38%	7.06%*	1.90%	6.19%	
		(0.39)		(0.07)		(0.42)	
Sales/Emp.	1.32	0.82**	1.37	0.82**	1.40	0.87**	
		(0.02)		(0.01)		(0.02)	
Sales/Emp. Growth	2.49%	-2.92%*	4.59%	2.99%	3.05%	8.20%	
•		(0.08)		(0.72)		(0.36)	
Emp.	39.18	46.59	39.65	44.53	39.45	`46	
1		(0.33)		(0.52)		(0.41)	
Emp. Growth	2.32%	7.04%	0.72%	1.12%	2.53%	4.35%	
•		(0.20)		(0.88)		(0.76)	
Sales to Assets	1.46	1.47	1.42	1.40	1.36	1.46	
		(0.79)		(0.84)		(0.38)	
Sales to Assets Growth	3.32%	0.78%	-1.44%	3.38%	8.62%	7.06%	
		(0.70)		(0.31)		(0.88)	
ROA	5.21%	6.26%	4.92%	5.17%	3.68%	4.73%	
		(0.41)		(0.85)		(0.53)	
ROE	11.34%	13.08%	31.45%	7.78%	-2.31%	4.84%	
		(0.71)		(0.45)		(0.62)	
Equity to Assets	38.39%	38.05%	40.52%	36.28%	40.38%	36.80%	
1 /		(0.92)		(0.26)		(0.35)	

*Note:* Significant differences (analysis of variance (ANOVA) for quantitative variables, Pearson's chi-squared, and Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables) between the comparison and treatment groups for each year are shown according to the following notations: \*\*5% significance and \*10% significance. In the treatment columns, the *p*-value is shown in parentheses.

Table 8. Sample means for the 2007 group

	Aid year –	- 1 (t - 1)	Aid ye	ear (t)	Aid year $+ 1 (t + 1)$		
Variable	Comparison	Treatment	Comparison	Treatment	Comparison	Treatment	
Assets	14.14	14.52	14.22	14.61	14.26	14.7*	
		(0.14)		(0.14)		(0.09)	
Assets Growth	17.49%	22.91%	11.18%	8.79%	1.80%	5.07%	
		(0.47)		(0.60)		(0.51)	
Sales	14.25	14.65	14.28	14.79	14.30	14.71	
		(0.20)		(0.19)		(0.11)	
Sales Growth	12.47%	21.80%	5.72%	13.34%	-4.59%	-2.26%	
		(0.20)		(0.19)		(0.69)	
Sales/Emp.	2.48	1.23***	2.62	1.34***	2.41	1.47**	
•		(0.004)		(0.005)		(0.04)	
Sales/Emp. Growth	10.11%	-5.38%	17.09%	3.57%	-3.04%	9.29%**	
1		(0.10)		(0.79)		(0.04)	
Emp.	27.93	33.38	28.7	34	33.9	34.21	
1		(0.40)		(0.43)		(0.97)	
Emp. Growth	8.24%	25.25%	9.21%	6.22%	14.77%	1.89%	
1		(0.23)		(0.64)		(0.10)	
Sales to Assets	1.29	1.38	1.33	1.47	1.27	1.26	
		(0.50)		(0.34)		(0.90)	
Sales to Assets Growth	31.18%	15.57%	4.35%	17.55%	5.45%	-1.91%	
		(0.71)		(0.27)		(0.60)	
ROA	6.39%	4.75%	5.99%	6.56%	-0.8%	3.39%	
		(0.41)		(0.74)		(0.63)	
ROE	42.55%	28.93%	9.79%	13.28%	10.11%	12.21%	
		(0.85)		(0.61)		(0.95)	
Equity to Assets	31.53%	27.65%	31.25%	27.79%	16.01%	29.37%	
4		(0.50)		(0.57)		(0.62)	

*Note:* Significant differences (analysis of variance (ANOVA) for quantitative variables, Pearson's chi-squared, and Fisher's exact test for qualitative variables) between the comparison and treatment groups for each year are shown according to the following notation: \*\*5% significance and \*10% significance. In the treatment columns, the *p*-value is shown in parentheses.

indicate a selection bias that outweighs the interpretation of the impact of aid programmes because the comparison group companies are 'better'.

For 2007 (Table 8), no significant differences between the aid year and the prior year are identified except for sales to employee ratio. The same occurs for the period 2002/03 in that the firms that participated in the programme have a smaller sales to employee ratio than their peers for all years. Similarly, in the year following the programme, size (measured as total assets) is greater for the firms that participated in the programme, which is a result that agrees with the findings of CANNONE and UGHETTO (2014).

The effect of participating in a financial aid programme on performance variables

This section presents the estimates for the ATE according to the methodology proposed by WOOLDRIDGE (2002) and as previously described. The results are displayed in Table 9.20

With respect to firms in the period 2002/03, participation in the aid programmes is relevant to their growth in assets, sales, and sales to assets ratio. However, for firms that participated in aid programmes in 2007, participation also affects growth in employment and sales to employee ratio. Hence, there is evidence to support hypotheses H1-H5. The interpretation of these results can be extended by quantifying the observed ATEs (equation 2). Table 10 summarizes the performance variable results (the aid variable and the terms of interaction) regarding whether there are differential effects for participation in financial aid programmes during economic crisis (full calculations according to equation 2 are provided in Appendix A). Firms from Catalonia show different impacts of programme participation on growth of assets, sales, and sales to assets ratio, while programme participation has a general positive effect on growth of sales to employee ratio for Basque Country firms during a recession.

After programme participation, assets growth increases for older and more profitable firms, at any time. During a recession, larger firms, with respect to the number of employees, also benefit from financial assistance, showing an increase in assets growth.

When analysing the effect of programme participation on sales growth, sales to assets ratio and historical sales growth exhibit an inverse behaviour. In other words, in normal times, firms with higher sales to assets ratio experience an increase in sales growth, but the inverse effect occurs during a recession. On the contrary, after receiving aid in 2002/03, sales growth increases for those firms with smaller historical growth. However, an inverse effect is evidenced in crisis times. During a recession, firms with smaller sales to assets ratio, and those with higher historical sales growth, are the ones that benefit the most from the financial assistance. In addition, larger firms, with respect to the number of employees, also benefit from financial

assistance in that they show an increase in sales growth, which is stronger in a recession.

Firms with smaller historical assets growth exhibit higher sales/assets growth after receiving aid, an effect that decreases during a recession.

Two performance measures show an impact of programme participation only during times of recession: employment growth and sales to employee ratio growth. Of those companies participating in the programme in 2007, the more leveraged firms show an increase in employment growth. In addition, firms with smaller assets growth also benefit from financial aid in that they exhibit an increase in job growth during times of recession. Finally, after programme participation during times of a recession, sales to employee ratio growth increases for younger firms.

Catalonian firms show a differential impact after programme participation on growth of assets, sales, and sales to assets ratio. The key variables that capture these effects are ROE and historical assets growth. Less profitable Catalonian firms show higher sales and sales/assets growth after receiving financial aid, at any time. Those firms with higher historical assets growth demonstrate a positive effect from programme participation on sales growth, at any time, and on assets and sales/assets growth during times of recession.

Finally, programme participation during economic crisis has a positive effect on the growth of sales to employee ratio for all Basque firms, regardless of their particular characteristics.

With respect to the control variables, the following observations are made:

- Effect on assets growth: for all firms, capital structure (equity/assets) has a positive differential effect that is stronger in times of a recession. The positive effect of equity/assets for all firms shows that SMEs rely on internal funds for assets growth, especially during recessions, when the effect is higher.
- Effect on sales growth: the sales to assets ratio has a negative effect on sales growth during normal times, but it tends to disappear during recessions. For the 2007 sample, sales growth also has a negative effect.
- Effect on employment growth: the results show a
  positive effect of equity/assets in 2007, meaning that
  SMEs that rely on internal funds have better opportunities to create jobs in times of recession.
- Effect on growth of sales to assets ratio: asset growth has a positive effect, though it is less in 2007. Sales growth, however, has negative effects.
- Effect on growth of sales to employee ratio: for firms from the 2007 group, the effect of equity/assets is negative, while that of age is positive.

The observed effects coincide, in general terms, with those reported in previous studies. For employment growth, see Lerner (1999), Almus (2001), Craig et al. (2008), Mole et al. (2009), Oh et al. (2009), and Chandler (2012). For sales growth, see Lerner

Table 9. The effect of variables on performance measures

Explicative variables	Assets Growth	Sales Growth	Emp. Growth	Sales/Assets Growth	Sales/Emp. Growth
Aid	0.016	-0.008	0.066	-0.135	-0.113
34A	(0.529)	(0.844)	(0.399)	(0.109)	(0.349)
MAge*Aid	0.004 (0.075)*	-0.002 (0.537)	-0.002 (0.546)	-0.002 (0.602)	0.016 (0.116)
MROE*Aid	0.274	-0.175	0.154	-0.591	-1.272
	(0.015)**	(0.482)	(0.668)	(0.154)	(0.164)
MEquity/Assets*Aid	-0.139 (0.288)	-0.134 (0.601)	0.141 (0.486)	-0.535 (0.134)	-0.502 (0.115)
MEmp.*Aid	0.000	0.001	(0.400)	0.001	(0.113)
•	(0.263)	(0.058)*		(0.563)	
MSales/Assets*Aid		0.201			0.097
MAssets Growth*Aid		(0.000)***	0.221	-1.406	(0.366) $-0.623$
Witissets Glowth Aid			(0.408)	(0.007)***	(0.277)
MSales Growth*Aid	0.130	-0.487	-0.131	0.292	0.239
MAA	(0.126)	(0.011)**	(0.353)	(0.589)	(0.336)
MAssets Growth*Aid*Catalonia	-0.367 (0.000)***	0.688 (0.000)***		1.209 (0.000)***	
MROE*Aid*Catalonia	(0.000)	-0.939		-1.842	
		(0.001)***		(0.000)***	
MAssets Growth*Aid*Basque		0.427			
A: No.C	0.004	(0.157)	0.440	0.054	0.04=
Aid*Group	0.026 (0.730)	0.050 (0.328)	-0.110 (0.354)	-0.054 (0.772)	0.067 (0.479)
MAge*Aid*Group	-0.001	0.004	0.016	0.001	-0.033
	(0.858)	(0.594)	(0.300)	(0.965)	(0.002)***
MROE*Aid *Group	-0.351	0.301	0.045	0.647	1.047
MEquity/Assets*Aid *Group	(0.101 -0.205)	(0.317) -0.081	(0.876) -0.430	(0.195) 0.570	(0.237) 0.695
1	(0.423)	(0.813)	(0.021)**	(0.261)	(0.142)
MEmp.*Aid*Group	0.002	0.002		0.002	
MC.1/A*A:1*C	(0.001)**	(0.005)***		(0.500)	0.007
MSales/Assets*Aid*Group		-0.242 (0.000)***			-0.097 (0.383)
MAssets Growth*Aid*Group		(0.000)	-0.782	1.201	1.011
			(0.018)**	(0.024)**	(0.107)
MSales Growth*Aid*Group	0.111 (0.664)	1.100 (0.000)***	0.689 (0.117)	1.168 (0.251)	-0.524 (0.128)
MAssets Growth*Aid*Group*Catalonia	1.584	(0.000)	(0.117)	(0.231)	(0.120)
•	(0.000)***				
MROE*Aid*Group*Catalonia		0.803		0.645	
A : Table Co		(0.003)***		(0.195)	
Aid*Group*Basque					0.207 (0.000)***
					(0.000)
Control variables					
Retail			-0.043	-0.144	
			(0.366)	(0.014)**	
Equity/Assets	0.086 (0.06)*	0.139 (0.422)	-0.017 (0.72)	0.515 (0.175)	0.031 (0.955)
ROE	-0.003	0.000	-0.005	0.013	0.004
	(0.963)	(0.998)	(0.816	(0.878)	(0.922)
Emp.	0.000	0.000		-0.001	
Ama	(0.12) -0.001	(0.318) 0.000	-0.002	(0.341) 0.001	0.000
Age.	-0.001 (0.229)	(0.8831)	-0.002 (0.38)	(0.882)	(0.435)
Sales/Assets	/	-0.102	V := =/	· /	-0.051
Access Consult		(0.004)***	0.072	1.420	(0.125)
Assets Growth			0.073 (0.297)	1.630 (0.006)***	-0.119 (0.261)
Sales Growth	-0.059	0.074	0.046	-0.794	-0.010
	(0.394	(0.636)	(0.605)	(0.066)*	(0.915)

(Continued)

Table 9. Continued

Control variables	Assets Growth	Sales Growth	Emp. Growth	Sales/Assets Growth	Sales/Emp. Growth
Group	-0.039	-0.063	0.102	-0.019	-0.027
•	(0.186)	(0.307)	(0.203)	(0.823)	(0.366)
ROE*Group	-0.051	-0.029	0.008	0.157	-0.009
•	(0.608)	(0.87)	(0.918)	(0.413)	(0.884)
Equity/Assets*Group	0.229	0.025	0.251	-0.794	-0.372
	(0.07)*	(0.916)	(0.063)*	(0.126)	(0.029)**
Emp.*Group	-0.001	0.000	, ,	0.000	, ,
	(0.234)	(0.426)		(0.972)	
Sales/Assets*Group	,	0.100		, ,	0.046
1		(0.05)*			(0.190)
Age*Group	-0.005	-0.006	-0.007	-0.003	0.009
	(0.113)	(0.419)	(0.547)	(0.730)	(0.000)***
Assets Growth*Group	,	, ,	-0.101	-1.412	-0.044
1			(0.619)	(0.021)**	(0.788)
Sales Growth*Group	0.051	-0.579	0.106	-0.883	-0.116
•	(0.767)	(0.063)*	(0.640)	(0.424)	(0.427)
Constant	0.029	0.108	0.130	-0.116	0. 122
	(0.259)	(0.253)	(0.600)	(0.363)	(0.166)
Prob > F	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Note: Empty cells indicate the variable was not included in the model. Prob > F indicates the p-value for the joint significance test. MVariable (e.g., MROE) indicates that the sample mean is subtracted from the variable when calculating the estimate (according to equation 1). Significance is denoted as: \*10%, \*\*5% and \*\*\*1%, and p-values are shown in parentheses. Estimations were made with bootstrapped standard errors clustered on regions. Collinearity tests were performed to check for possible problems.

Table 10. Summary of the observed average treatment effects (ATEs)

	Show an effect after programme participation on										
	Assets (	Growth	Sales	Growth	Em Gro			es/Assets Growth	Sales/		
Firms with above-average	NT	R	NT	R	NT	R	NT	R	NT	R	
Age	+	+								_	
ROE	+	+	-C	-C, <			-C	-C			
Equity/Assets						_					
Employees		+	+	+, >							
Sales/Assets			+	_							
Assets Growth	-C	+C	+C	+C		_	_	-, < +C			
Sales Growth			_	+							

Note: Each cell shows the effect of programme participation on the target variable. In the case of total assets growth, for example, the firms with higher than average ROE and Age experience a positive effect in assets growth after receiving aid, for all years. Firms with above-average employees experience a positive effect in assets growth after receiving aid, only in recession times. Finally, Catalonian firms with above-average assets growth show a negative effect in this variable in normal times, but a positive effect in recession. In order to interpret the results properly, it is useful to note that all regressors (independent variables such as age, ROE, etc.) are measured in the year of programme participation, while the performance (dependent) variables are measured a year after. NT, normal times; R, recession; <, smaller effect; >, stronger effect; and C, effect for Catalonian firms.

(1999), OH et al. (2009), and CHANDLER (2012). For sales to assets ratio, see RIVERA and MUÑOZ (2004). In addition, an adverse selection effect is observed because a lower proportion of the SMEs with higher sales to employee ratio seek this type of aid, a result in line with the findings of OH et al. (2009).

# CONCLUSIONS

The objective of this study is to analyse, from a regional perspective, whether differential effects exist when

Spanish SMEs participate in financial aid programmes (credit subsidized by the ICO or credit guaranteed by an MGS) during times of crisis. This analysis contributes to previous studies as persistent heterogeneity across regions and exogenous components of growth are more easily controlled in a single economy than across economies (CARBÓ et al., 2007).

To control for possible effects from selection bias, several control variables are included to estimate the ATE. One of the main findings is that the effects of financial policy programmes are stronger during times of crisis.

For example, in normal times, participation in such programmes only affects the growth in assets, sales, and sales to assets ratio, while in difficult times, the effect also translates to employment and sales to employees ratio growth. Nevertheless, these effects are not homogeneous among all participating firms, but rather they depend on the firm's characteristics and its regional location. Furthermore, the observed impacts differ among autonomous communities (Catalonia versus the rest of Spain). Moreover, programme participation during a recession has a positive effect on the growth of sales to employees ratio for all Basque firms regardless of their particular characteristics.

The results reveal that size (measured by number of employees), age and profitability (ROE), are key variables affecting the outcome of programme participation on assets growth. In this case, SMEs that are expected to face lesser financial restrictions (bigger, more profitable and older firms) also benefit more from the policy programme. Furthermore, bigger firms also benefit from higher sales growth after participation in the policy programme. However, this impact does not prevail for all firms. For instance, less profitable Catalonian firms show an increase in sales and sales to assets ratio growth after the implementation of the financial policy. In addition, more leveraged firms, and those with smaller asset growth, show a positive impact on employment growth after the financial policy programme during a recession. At the same time, for all Spanish firms, after financial programme participation during a recession, sales to employees ratio growth increases for younger firms, which is a particularly interesting effect given those younger firms are more prone to be adversely affected by tightened financial restrictions.

This study presents at least three contributions. First, there is a differential impact of financial policy programme during times of crisis, given the significant effect on employment creation and sales to employees ratio growth observed during crisis years versus normal years. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has explored the relationship between financial policy and firm performance in the context of economic crisis. Second, the results have implications not only for the planning of SME financial policy programmes but also for the development of counter-cyclical policies, showing the changing effects of policies according to the macroeconomic context. In particular, the observed effect of programme participation on employment growth present only in recession times is particularly useful for policy design. Third, the existence of particular impacts for the Catalonian and Basque firms leads us to consider differences in implementation of the SME financial policy programmes among regions, and the effects of the programmes on decisions with respect to the location of firms. The design of region-focused policies is necessary to encourage regional equalization (CORNET, 2009).

As Parker (2009) notes, in general, mutual guarantee schemes have a limited scope relative to the size of the potential markets they could serve. In fact, in Spain, only a small percentage of SMEs take advantage of the mutual

guarantees society (no more than 4%), most likely because the cost to obtain the guarantee is too high (CARDONE-RIPORTELLA and BRIOZZO, 2012). Given the scarcity of resources, especially during times of crisis, these types of studies are particularly useful for policy-makers as they work to develop counter-cyclical policies that increase and facilitate SMEs' access to credit.

Some results deserve further analysis. First, the significant effects of sales and asset growth show the relevance of selection bias in policy evaluation. Second, the changing sign of some variables, depending on the moment of analysis, indicates the importance of the economic cycle on firm performance and may suggest the need to design specific policy instruments. Moreover, some effects of programme participation remain to be studied in future research lines, such as the impact on total factor productivity. In addition future research could build on our findings and examine the relationship between financial aid and firm performance in the context of different previous crises. What makes this analysis difficult, however, is that different recessions may have different effects. Recessions associated mainly with financial fluctuations and credit constraints, such as the current recession, can have more severe negative impacts on SMEs than other types of recessions (ERIXON, 2009). Finally, it could be interesting to examine whether these effects vary among countries.

**Acknowledgements** – The authors acknowledge the helpful comments and suggestions made by the participants of the XXXVth ANPAD (National Association of Postgraduate Study and Research in Administration), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 2011, the journal editors and two referees. The usual disclaimer applies.

**Funding** – The authors also would like to thank the Regional Government of Andalusia [Project of Excellence number P09-SEJ-4467] for the financial support.

### APPENDIX A

Table A1. Sample distribution by autonomous communities

Region	%
Catalonia	19.2
Basque Country	16.4
Madrid	16.2
Castile and León	11.1
Valencia	7.8
Galicia	5.3
Castile-La Mancha	4.8
Andalusia	4.0
Aragon	4.0
Murcia	3.5
Balearic Islands	2.0
La Rioja	1.5
Navarra	1.5
Cantabria	1.3
Extremadura	0.8
Asturias	0.5

Table A2. Sample distribution by sector

2.5

2.5

5.3

Sector	%
Manufacturing	31.2
Wholesale and retail commerce; automotive repair	24.2
Construction	17.6
Real estate activities	5.0
Transport and storage	4.5
Extractive industries	4.0
Information and communications	3.0

Agriculture, livestock, forestry, and fishing

Quantification of average treatment effects (ATEs)

Hospitality

Other

Effect in assets growth (H1):

$$\begin{split} \text{ATE}(2002/3) &= 0.274(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) + 0.004(\text{Age}_i - \text{A}\overline{\text{ge}}) - 0.367 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= 0.274(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) + 0.002(\text{Emp}_i - \text{E}\overline{\text{mp}}) + 0.004(\text{Age}_i - \text{A}\overline{\text{ge}}) \\ &+ (-0.367 + 1.584) \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= 0.274(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) + 0.002(\text{Emp}_i - \text{E}\overline{\text{mp}}) + 0.004(\text{Age}_i - \text{A}\overline{\text{ge}}) \\ &+ 1.217 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \end{split}$$

Effect in sales growth (H2):

$$\begin{split} \text{ATE}(2002/3) &= 0.001(\text{Emp}_i - \text{E}\overline{\text{mp}}) + 0.201(\text{Sales/Assets}_i - \text{Sa}\overline{\text{Ies/Assets}}) \\ &- 0.487(\text{Sales Growth}_i - \text{Sales }\overline{\text{Growth}}) + 0.688 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ &- 0.939 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= (0.001 + 0.002)(\text{Emp}_i - \text{E}\overline{\text{mp}}) + (0.201 - 0.242)(\text{Sales/Assets}_i - \text{Sa}\overline{\text{Ies/Assets}}) \\ &+ (-0.487 + 1.1)(\text{Sales Growth}_i - \text{Sales }\overline{\text{Growth}}) + 0.688 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ &+ (-0.939 + 0.803)\text{Catalonia}(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= 0.003(\text{Emp}_i - \text{E}\overline{\text{mp}}) - 0.041(\text{Sales/Assets}_i - \text{Sa}\overline{\text{Ies/Assets}}) \\ &+ 0.613(\text{Sales Growth}_i - \text{Sales }\overline{\text{Growth}}) + 0.688 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset }\overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ &- 0.136 \text{ Catalonia}(\text{ROE}_i - \text{R}\overline{\text{OE}}) \end{split}$$

Effect in employment growth (H3):

$$ATE(2007) = -0.430(Equity/Assets_i - Equity/Assets) - 0.782(Assets Growth_i - Assets Growth)$$

Effect in growth of sales to assets ratio (H4):

$$\begin{split} \text{ATE}(2002/3) &= -1.406 (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) + 1.209 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ &- 1.842 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{ROE}_i - \text{R} \overline{\text{OE}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= (-1.406 + 1.201) (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) + 1.209 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) - 1.842 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{ROE}_i - \text{R} \overline{\text{OE}}) \\ \text{ATE}(2007) &= -0.205 (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) + 1.209 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{Asset Growth}_i - \text{Asset } \overline{\text{Growth}}) \\ &- 1.842 \text{ Catalonia} (\text{ROE}_i - \text{R} \overline{\text{OE}}) \end{split}$$

For Catalonian firms with above-average assets growth, in normal times the final effect is negative (-1.406 + 1.209 =-0.197), while this effect is positive in 2007 (-0.205 + 1.209 = 1.004).

Effect in growth of sales to employee ratio (H5):

 $ATE(2007) = 0.207 \text{ Basque} - 0.033(Age_i - Age)$ 

#### NOTES

- Credit rationing is discussed by STIGLITZ and WEISS (1981), DE MEZA and WEBB (1987) and PARKER (2009), among others.
- 2. The authors analyse the positive impact of the internationalization process of financial entities and study the determinants of financing start-up firms in over 50 countries.
- 3. Beginning in 2004, Spanish savings banks were released from having to remain in their region of origin. While still maintaining a high concentration in the respective territory, many (especially, but not exclusively, the largest) have migrated to other regions within Spain, expanding their branches to gain new markets and diversify their businesses. Other savings banks have adopted expansionary strategies in their traditional markets. These strategies have a special impact on the Spanish regional financial system (ILLUECA et al., 2009).
- See http://www.ico.es/web/contenidos/5/4/home/home.html/.
- 5. Mutual guarantee societies (or mutual guarantee schemes) are the primary way that governments intervene in the credit markets to support SMEs. This scheme is present in an important number of countries (e.g., United States, Japan, Italy, Spain, etc.). When the project has a potential for success but presents high risk, the finance institution asks for collateral from the SME, which is obtained (or not) from an MGS (PARKER, 2009). In Spain, government financial support usually comes in the form of a counterguarantee, which is granted by CERSA (Compañía Española de Reafianzamiento, S.A.; http://www.cersaminetur.es), an instrumental society of the Spanish government. The coverage rate (30-75%) depends on policy priorities, such as innovation promotion, and types of operations, such as investments. CERSA also has a helpline to assist companies with fewer than 100 employees (CARDONE-RIPORTELLA et al., 2013).
- 6. Following previous studies, the term 'labour productivity' is used to refer to a ratio of revenues per employee. For example GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO-ESPERT (2010) define productivity as added value per employee. In the present study, 'labour productivity' refers to percentage change in the sales to employee ratio.
- 7. Among others, there are tax policies as incentives provided by the autonomous governments to foster the development of a regional basis (e.g., tax incentives for stockholders who invest in the Spanish Alternative Investment Market for Growing Companies - MAB). In addition to the developed credit market in different regions (CARBÓ et al., 2007; ILLUECA et al., 2009), Spain has two special (and new) capital markets that serve as alternative sources of funding for SMEs: the Alternative Investment Market for Growing Companies (MAB) and the Alternative Fixed-Income Market (MARF). In addition, Spain has developed a financial policy that supports SMEs at the regional and national levels, and it has adopted some European Union policies in an attempt to improve the financial situation of SMEs (EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2013).

- 8. The SABI database is compiled by Bureau van Dijk Electronic Publishing (for more information, see http://www.bvdinfo.com/Products/Company-Information/National/SABI.aspx). The database provides quantitative information (financial statements) and qualitative information for Spanish firms. Included among the qualitative variables is the number of financial entities with whom the businesses operate. Among these entities, the official credit institutions, such as the ICO and the MGS, are listed.
- 9. According to GARCÍA-TABUENCA and CRESPO-ESPERT (2010), who compare the ICO and the CERSA databases with SABI, approximately 10% of the SMEs that appear in SABI participate, in any given year, in some type of financial aid programme. Nevertheless, only some of these firms report their participation in this type of programme in SABI. This possible bias in data collection, in addition to possible self-selection and committee selection biases, is addressed in the estimations using several control variables.
- 10. A firm with fewer than 250 employees matches the European Commission's definition of an SME.
- In cases where more than one comparable firm meets these conditions, two firms are randomly selected.
- 12. According to the Spanish Statistics Institute (http://www.ine.es/inebaseweb/hist.do?L=1), these three regions account for 39.1% of Spanish firms and 43% of the GDP, and they have the highest GDP per capita in Spain.
- The real estate sector represents 5% of the sample; significant participation from the high-technology sectors is not observed.
- 14. This mechanism of control is imperfect given that it mitigates the potential biases and endogeneity, but does not completely eliminate them. In a previous version of this paper, a selection model was estimated to analyse the probability of receiving aid. This model of treatment effects was estimated using consistent estimators in two stages. However, the coefficient that measured the potential bias was not significant, thus a direct estimate via the least squares method, including control variables, was preferable (HONJO and HARADA, 2006).
- 15. These three biases can be expected to act in the same direction in that the best businesses request aid, receive it and have the motivation to report it.
- 16. An intra-class correlation reflects the correlation of the observations (firms) within a cluster (regions). A non-parametric bootstrap procedure estimates a model for a specified number of repetitions using samples of the data frame. For each repetition, the main analysis is repeated on the sample data, and the estimate is then stored (the model's coefficients in a linear regression). Once all repetitions have been computed, the standard errors can be calculated by taking the standard deviation of the stored model estimates. In bootstrapped standard errors clustered in regions, instead of drawing the observation units (the firm) with replacement, it draws the cluster units (regions) with replacement.
- 17. The selection of these regions is based on their differential characteristics and the large number of SMEs from these geographical locations that participate in the sample: 16.2%, 19.2% and 16.4%, respective to their specific location, as listed in Table 10.
- The control variables control for the existing heterogeneity among different companies.

- 19. It is not possible to control for the factors included in other papers, such as the level of intangible assets or R&D expenses because the data are missing for these variables.
- 20. Different specifications of the model are implemented using the variables described in Table 6.

#### REFERENCES

- ALMUS M. (2001) Evaluating the Impact of Public Start-up Assistance: Results from an Econometric Approach. ZEW Discussion Papers No. 01–23. Zentrum für Europäische Wirtschaftsforschung/Center for European Economic Research (ZEW) (available at: http://econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/24443/1/dp0123.pdf) (accessed on 4 November 2010).
- BAKER J. (2000) Evaluación del impacto de los proyectos de desarrollo en la pobreza. Manual para profesionales. Development Directives. World Bank, Washington, DC (available at: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTISPMA/Resources/Impact-Evaluation-Handbook-Spanish-/manual.pdf) (accessed on 11 November 2010).
- Bradshaw T. (2002) The contribution of small business loan guarantees to economic development, *Economic Development Quarterly* **16**, 360–369.
- Calvo A., García D. and Madrid A. (2004) Efectos económicos y financieros de la subvención a la inversión en la PyME. Un estudio empírico, Revista Española de Financiación y Contabilidad 33, 899–933.
- Cannone G. and Ughetto E. (2014) Funding innovation at regional level: an analysis of a public policy intervention in the piedmont region, *Regional Studies* **48**, 70–283.
- CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C. and BRIOZZO A. (2012) Capítulo XIII, in GARCÍA TABUENCA A. and CRESPO ESPERT J. (Eds) Colección Papeles de la Fundación Pequeña y Mediana Empresa: Impacto y Retos de la crisis en su Financiación, pp. 281–291. Fundación de Estudios Financieros, Madrid.
- CARDONE-RIPORTELLA C., TRUJILLO-PONCE A. and BRIOZZO A. (2013) Analyzing the role of mutual guarantee societies on bank capital requirements for small and medium-sized enterprises, *Journal of Economic Policy Reform* **16**, 142–159.
- CARBÓ VALVERDE S., HUMPHREY D. B. and RODRÍGUEZ F. (2003) Deregulation, bank competition and regional growth, *Regional Studies* 37, 227–237.
- CARBÓ VALVERDE S., LÓPEZ DEL PASO R. and RODRÍGUEZ FERNÁNDEZ F. (2007) Financial innovations in banking: impact on regional growth, *Regional Studies* 41, 311–326.
- CHANDLER V. (2012) The economic impact of the Canada Small Business Financing program, Small Business Economics 39, 253–264.
- CORNET A. (2009) Aims and strategies in regional innovation and growth policy: a Danish perspective, *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development* **21**, 399–420.
- CUADRADO-ROURA J. (Ed.) (2010) Regional Policy, Economic Growth and Convergence. Lesson for the Spanish Case. Springer, London. CRAIG B., JACKSON W. and THOMSON J. (2008) Credit market failure intervention: do government sponsored small business credit programs enrich poorer areas?, Small Business Economics 30, 345–360.
- DE LA TORRE A., PERIA M. and SCHMUKLER S. (2010). Bank involvement with SMEs: beyond relationship lending, *Journal of Banking and Finance* **34**, 2280–2293.
- DE MEZA D. and WEBB D. C. (1987) Too much investment: a problem of asymmetric information, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* **102**, 281–292.
- Demirgüç-Kunt A. and Maksimovic V. (1999) Institutions, financial markets and firm debt maturity, *Journal of Financial Economics* **54**, 66–97.
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION (2011) European Economic Forecast Autumn 2011 (available at: http://ec.europa.eu/economy\_finance/publications/biblioteconomía/2011/pdf/ee-2011-6\_en.pdf).
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION (2013) How to Use Structural Funds for SME and Entrepreneurship Policy. Guidebook Series. European Commission, Brussels.
- ERIXON F. (2009) SMEs in Europe: taking stock and looking forward, European View 8, 293–300.
- Fernández de Guevara J. and Maudos J. (2009) Regional financial development and bank competition: effects on firms' growth, Regional Studies 43, 211–228.
- FERNÁNDEZ-SERRANO J. and ROMERO I. (2013) Entrepreneurial quality and regional development: characterizing SME sectors in low income areas, *Papers in Regional Science* **92**, 495–513.
- GARCIA-TABUENCA A. and Crespo Espert J. L. (2010) Credit guarantees and SME efficiency, *Small Business Economic* **35**, 113–128. HECKMAN J. (1979) Sample selection bias as a specification error, *Econometrica* **47**, 153–161.
- HONJO Y. and HARADA N. (2006) SME policy, financial structure and firm growth: evidence from Japan, *Small Business Economics* 27, 289–300.
- Hyytinen A. and Toivanen O. (2005) Do financial constraints hold back innovation and growth? Evidence on the role of public policy, *Research Policy* **34**, 1385–1403.
- ILLUECA M., PASTOR J. M. and TORTOSA AUSINARNAL E. (2009) The effects of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks, *Journal of Productivity Analysis* 32, 119–143.
- INSTITUTO DE CREDITO OFICIAL (ICO) (2008) Informe anual y memoria (available at: http://www.ico.es/web/ico/167).
- Kobeissi N. (2009) Impact of the community reinvestment act on new business start-ups and economic growth in local markets, *Journal of Small Business Management* **4**, 489–513.
- KOROSTELEVA J. and MICKIEWICZ T. (2011) Start-up financing in the age of globalization, *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade* 47, 23–49.
- LERNER J. (1999) The government as venture capitalist: the long-run impact of the SBIR program, Journal of Business 72, 285–318.

MADRID GUIJARRO A. and GARCÍA PÉREZ DE LEMA D. (2008) Las ayudas financieras a la innovación a la PyME: sesgo de motivación y de selección administrativa, Revista Internacional de la Pequeña y Mediana Empresa 1, 1–19.

Mole K., Hart M., Roper S. and Saal D. (2009) Assessing the effectiveness of business support services in England: evidence from a theory-based evaluation, *International Small Business Journal* 27, 557–582.

OH I., LEE J., HESHMATI A. and CHOI G. (2009). Evaluation of credit guarantee policy using propensity score matching, *Small Business Economics* 33, 335–351.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) (2008) OECD Framework for the Evaluation of SME and Entrepreneurship Policies and Programmes. OECD Publ., Paris.

Palacín Ma. J., Ramírez-Herrera L. M. and Di Prieto F. (2012) Capital structure of SMEs in Spanish regions, *Small Business Economics* 41, 503–519.

PALACÍN-SÁNCHEZ Ma. J. and DI PIETRO F. (2013) The influence of regional institutional factors on the capital structure of Spanish SMEs. Paper presented at the XXIst Finance Forum, Segovia, Spain, 14–15 November 2013.

PARKER S. (2009) The Economics of Entrepreneurship. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

RIDING A., MADILL J. and HAINES G. (2007) Incrementally of SME loan guarantees, Small Business Economics 29, 47-61.

RIVERA J. M. and Muñoz M. J. (2004) Evaluación del impacto económico y social de los instrumentos públicos de apoyo a la financiación de la PyME. Aproximación a través de un análisis sectorial. Paper presented at the VIIth Italian—Spanish Conference on Financial Mathematics, Cuenca, Spain (available at: http://mfa2004.uclm.es/papers/rivera-juana%20maría.pdf) (accessed on 15 October 2010).

ROMERO-MARTÍNEZ A., ORTIZ-DE-URBINA-CRIADO M. and RIBEIRO SORIANO D. (2010) Evaluating European Union support for innovation in Spanish small and medium enterprises, *Service Industries Journal* **30**, 671–683.

SPANISH COMPETITION COMMISSION (2008) Annual Report of Public Aid. Spanish Competition Commission, Madrid.

STOREY D. (2000) Six steps to heaven: evaluating the impact of public policies to support small businesses in developed economies, in Sexton D. L. and Landstrom H. (Eds) *Handbook of Entrepreneurship*, pp. 176–194. Oxford, Blackwell.

STIGLITZ J. and Weiss A. (1981) Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information, *American Economic Review* 7, 393–410. TORNELL A. and Westerman F. (2005) *Boom–Bust Cycles and Financial Liberalization*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

Wallsten S. (2000) The effects of government—industry R&D programs on private R&D: the case of the small business innovation research program, *RAND Journal of Economics* **31**, 82–100.

WOOLDRIDGE J. (2002) Econometric Analysis of Cross Section and Panel Data. MIT Press, London.