DR. MARIA SOLEDAD CELEJ (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-7831-9244)

Article type : Original Article

Amyloid oligomerization of the Parkinson's disease related protein α -synuclein impacts on its curvature-membrane sensitivity

J. Ignacio Gallea^a, Ernesto E. Ambroggio^a, A. Alejandro Vilcaes^a, Nicholas G. James^b, David M. Jameson^b and M. Soledad Celej^{a,c}

^aCentro de Investigaciones en Química Biológica de Córdoba, CIQUIBIC, CONICET and Departamento de Química Biológica Ranwel Caputto, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Haya de la Torre y Medina Allende, Ciudad Universitaria, X5000HUA Córdoba, Argentina. ^bDepartment of Cell and Molecular Biology, John A. Burns School of Medicine, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, Hawaii.

^cTo whom correspondence should be addressed: M. Soledad Celej, Centro de Investigaciones en Química Biológica de Córdoba, CIQUIBIC, CONICET and Departamento de Química Biológica Ranwel Caputto, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Haya de la Torre y Medina Allende, Ciudad Universitaria, X5000HUA Córdoba, Argentina. Tel: 54-0351-5353855. Fax: 54-0351-4334074. email: mcelej@mail.fcq.unc.edu.ar

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/jnc.14573

Running title: Loss-of function upon amyloid oligomerization

Keywords: Protein aggregation, synucleinopathies, toxic oligomers, neurodegeneration, lipid-protein interaction, FCS.

Abbreviations: α-synuclein (AS); AS monomers (mAS); AS oligomers (oAS); Alexa Fluor488 (A488); labeled mAS with A488 (mAS-A488); labeled oAS with A488 (oAS-A488); Parkinson's disease (PD); fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS); synaptic vesicles (SVs); synaptic vesicles mimic (SV-m), small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs); large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs).

Amyloid oligomerization of the Parkinson's disease related protein α -synuclein impacts on its curvature-membrane sensitivity

Abstract

The amyloid aggregation of the presynaptic protein α -synuclein (AS) is pathognomonic of Parkinson's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders. Physiologically, AS contributes to synaptic homeostasis by participating in vesicle maintenance, trafficking and release. Its avidity for highly curved acidic membranes has been related to the distinct chemistry of the N-terminal amphipathic helix adopted upon binding to appropriated lipid interfaces. Pathologically, AS populate a myriad of toxic aggregates ranging from soluble oligomers to insoluble amyloid fibrils. Different gain-of-toxic function mechanisms are linked to prefibrillar oligomers which are considered as the most neurotoxic species. Here, we investigated if amyloid oligomerization could hamper AS function as a membrane curvature sensor. We used fluorescence correlation spectroscopy to quantitatively

evaluate the interaction of oligomeric species, produced using a popular method based on lyophilization and rehydration, to lipid vesicles of different curvatures and compositions. We found that AS oligomerization has a profound impact on protein-lipid interaction, altering binding affinity and/or curvature sensitivity depending on membrane composition. Our work provides novel insights into how the formation of prefibrillar intermediate species could contribute to neurodegeneration due to a loss-of-function mechanism.

Introduction

 α -synuclein (AS) is a small, natively unfolded presynaptic protein highly expressed in dopaminergic neurons (Iwai *et al.* 1995). Its pathological deposition in Lewy bodies is a hallmark of a number of devastating neurodegenerative disorders, such as Parkinson's disease (PD), PD with dementia and multiple system atrophy, collectively referred to as synucleinopathies that affect over 5 million people worldwide (Lashuel *et al.* 2013). Structurally, AS is characterized by a Lys-rich N-terminus (Met¹-Lys⁶⁰) which is crucial in modulating membrane interaction, a hydrophobic aggregation-prone central region (Glu⁶¹- Val⁹⁵) and an acidic C-terminal domain (Lys⁹⁶-Ala¹⁴⁰) that modulates fibrillogenesis (Figure 1A).

Although its precise function remains elusive, substantial evidences point to a possible role of AS in regulating synaptic homeostasis (Lashuel et al. 2013, Auluck *et al.* 2010). Indeed, alterations in the physiological level of AS are associated with deficiencies in dopamine release and deregulations in the population and recycling of synaptic vesicles (SVs) (Murphy *et al.* 2000, Cabin *et al.* 2002, Larsen *et al.* 2006, Nemani *et al.* 2010). Overexpression of AS diminishes neurotransmitter release by inhibiting SVs priming (Larsen et al. 2006) or reclustering after endocytosis (Nemani et al. 2010). On the other hand, reduced levels of AS decrease the number of distal SVs (Murphy et al. 2000, Cabin

et al. 2002) and impairs replenishment of docked vesicles at synapses from the distal reserve pool (Cabin et al. 2002). In addition, AS can also assist in synaptic functions by interacting with synaptic proteins. AS cooperates with cysteine-string protein- α (CSP- α) and SNAREs proteins in preventing neurodegeneration (Chandra *et al.* 2005), and promotes SNARE-complex assembly by simultaneously binding to phospholipids via its N-terminus and to the v-SNARE synaptobrevin-2 via its C-terminus (Burré *et al.* 2010) inducing SVs clustering (Diao *et al.* 2013).

In line with the observations pointing towards the important role of AS in synaptic vesicle maintenance, trafficking and release, in vitro studies show that AS has a greater affinity for highly curved anionic vesicles (Davidson et al. 1998, Middleton & Rhoades 2010), similar in size to SVs. Upon binding to membranes, the N-terminal and central regions of the protein adopt a helical structure whereas the C-terminus remains unbound and disordered (Davidson et al. 1998, Eliezer et al. 2001, Bodner et al. 2009, Fusco et al. 2014). When bound to vesicles of ~ 30 nm, the extended and curved α -helix spans over 90 as in length (Jao et al. 2008). More recently, Fusco et. al showed that the segment of the N-terminal domain encompassing residues Lys⁶-Gly²⁵ forms a well-defined amphipathic α -helix acting as a membrane anchor whereas the central segment (Val²⁶-Lys⁹⁷) is more dynamic and adopts an α -helix structure when transiently bound to lipid interfaces, modulating AS affinity for membranes depending on their lipid composition (Fusco et al. 2014). The dual sensitivity of AS to both membrane charge and curvature seems to be related to the distinct chemical characteristic of its extended amphipathic helix (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011). AS has a poorly developed hydrophobic phase made of small residues, such as Val, Ala and Thr, and a well-developed charged polar phase with an almost balanced distribution of Lys residues at the interface and Glu residues forming a crest (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011) (Figure 1B). Whereas the basic residues would confer a greater

affinity for anionic lipids, the unbalanced chemistry of the amphipathic helix would provide a special sensitivity for curved and less-packed membranes (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011).

Similar to other amyloid diseases, prefibrillar soluble oligomers are considered to be the most neurotoxic species (Lashuel et al. 2013, Chiti & Dobson 2017). Different pathways of pathobiology have been attributed to these species, such as the impairment of biomembranes, the establishment of aberrant intermolecular interactions, the interference with the cellular protein quality and clearance systems and the cell-to-cell spreading of the pathology, among others (Ingelsson 2016, Cremades *et al.* 2017). In addition to these gain-of-toxic function mechanisms, oligomers could also drive an abnormal vesicle cycling at the synapses by altering lipid interactions. In this study, we employed fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) to quantitatively assess the interaction between monomers (mAS) or oligomers (oAS) of AS with vesicles of varying lipid compositions and sizes. We found that AS oligomerization has a profound impact on the protein-lipid interaction properties, showing a distinct binding affinity and curvature sensitivity as compared to mAS.

Materials and Methods

Materials: 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoserine (POPS, Cat# 840034P), 1palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC, Cat# 850457P), 1-palmitoyl-2oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol (POPG, Cat# 84045P), 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-snglycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (POPE, Cat# 850757P) and cholesterol (Chol, Cat# 700000P) were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (RRID:SCR_016391). Alexa Fluor 488 C₅ maleimide (A488) dye (Cat# A10254) was from Invitrogen (RRID:SCR_013318). All other reagents were of analytical grade.

Protein expression, purification and labeling: The sequence encoding for human wild-type AS cloned into the pT7-7 expression vector was a kind gift of Dr. T. Jovin (Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen, Germany). Because the wild-type protein does not contain any Cys residue in its sequence necessary for specific labeling with thiol-reactive fluorophores, Ala at position 140 was replaced by Cys (mAS-A140C). In addition, the codon 136 within the coding sequence of both wild-type and mAS-A140C was changed from TAC to TAT to prevent Cys misincorporation upon bacterial expression (Masuda *et al.* 2006). Modifications in the open reading frames ware made by GenScript Corporation (RRID:SCR_002891), and they were confirmed by DNA sequencing.

AS was expressed in *E.coli* BL21(DE3) cells (Cat# CMC0014, Sigma-Aldrich, RRID:SCR_008988) and purified as previously described (Gallea & Celej 2014). Briefly, bacteria were transformed and grown at 37 °C under constant shaking at 250 rpm in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 μ g/mL) to an OD₆₀₀=0.6. Protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM IPTG (Cat# I2481C5, Genbiotech, Buenos Aires, Argentina) at 37 °C for 4h, and the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4000×*g* for 15 min (Sorvall RC 6 Plus Superspeed Centrifuge, Thermo Scientific, RRID:SCR_008452)). The pellet was resuspended in 10 mM Tris-HCI pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF and lysed by three freeze-thaw cycles and sonication. The cell lysate was boiled for 30 min and centrifuged at 13000×*g*. Streptomycin sulfate (10 mg/mL) was added to the supernatant, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min at 4 °C and centrifugated as before. Upon addition of (NH₄)₂SO₃ (360 mg/mL) to the supernatant, the solution was stirred and centrifuged as before. The pellet was resuspended in 25 mM Tris-HCI pH 7.7, loaded onto an anion exchange column (POROS HQ, Applied Biosystems, RRID:SCR_005039) on an Äkta purifier (GE Healthcare RRID:SCR_000004), and eluted with a 0 to 600 mM NaCl salt gradient. The

single Cys variant was expressed and purified as the wild-type protein, with the addition of 5 mM dithiothreitol (DTT, Cat# DTT5, Genbiotech, Buenos Aires, Argentina) in the elution buffer to avoid disulfide bridge formation. Proteins were dialyzed against HEPES buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES (Cat# H3375-25G, Sigma-Aldrich, RRID:SCR_008988), pH 7.5), with the addition of 5 mM DTT in the case of mAS-A140C, and stored at -20 °C until further use. The protein purity was assessed by SDS-PAGE and the protein concentrations were determined by absorbance (ϵ^{275} =5600 M⁻¹cm⁻¹).

The mAS-A140C variant was fluorescently labeled with A488 dye following manufacturer's protocol (Invitrogen, RRID:SCR_013318). After removing any precipitate by centrifugation, samples were concentrated in Amicon Ultra-4 10 KDa cut-off filters (Cat# UFC801024, Millipore RRID:SCR_008983) and kept overnight at 4 °C in the presence of 0.1 M guanidinium chloride as an additional step to remove any dye non-specifically bound to the protein, and then dialyzed against HEPES buffer. Protein concentration of the labeled monomers (mAS-A488) was determined by the Lowry assay using mAS (quantified by absorbance) as standard for the calibration curve. The labeling efficiency, typically >95%, was calculated using $\epsilon^{495} = 72000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Haugland & Spence 2005).

Oligomer Formation: We used a simple method based on freeze-drying of concentrated samples (Volles *et al.* 2001, van Rooijen *et al.* 2009a, Celej *et al.* 2012, Gallea & Celej 2014, Gallea *et al.* 2016, Fusco *et al.* 2017) to produce stable β-sheet rich AS oligomers. Briefly, 300 μ M stock solutions were prepared by mixing mAS and mAS-A488 to give an initial label density of 14%. After lyophilization, samples were redissolved in HEPES buffer and centrifuged (20000×g, 30 min, 4 °C) to remove big particles. Sub-stoichiometrically labeled oligomers (oAS-A488) were separated from the monomer by filtration at 4°C using Amicon Ultra-0.5 100-kDa cut-off filters (Cat# UFC510096, Millipore RRID:SCR_008983).

The procedure was repeated until the fluorescence intensity of the probe detected in the flow-through was negligible as compared with the intensity measured in the retentate. With this protocol we typically obtained ~100 -150 μ M oAS-A488 stock solutions, expressed in monomeric units as measured by the Lowry assay. The removal of the monomeric protein was confirmed by native gradient PAGE, the absence of amyloid fibrils was verified by Thioflavin T fluorescence, the morphology of the oligomers was assessed by transmission electron microscopy and their secondary structure features were characterized by attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform-infrared spectroscopy (Figure S1).

Native gradient PAGE

Native polyacrylamide gels were cast with a linear gradient from 4 to 15% and silverstained. HMW native marker (Cat# 17044501, GE Healthcare RRID:SCR_000004) was loaded as the molecular mass marker. Electrophoresis was performed under nondenaturing conditions at a constant 60 V and 4 °C.

Thioflavin T (Thio T) fluorescence assay

Corrected emission spectra were acquired with a Cary Eclipse spectrofluorimeter (Agilent Technologies, RRID:SCR_013575), using excitation at 446 nm, spectral bandwidths of 10 nm and a 1 cm path cuvette. Experiments were performed at 25°C using final concentrations of 0.25 μ M protein and 5 μ M dye. A488 fluorescence contribution was subtracted in all cases.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Samples (5 μ L) were adsorbed onto Formvar-coated carbon grids (200 mesh), washed with Milli-Q water, and stained with 1% (w/v) uranyl acetate. The samples were imaged in a JEM-1200 Ex (Jeol) transmission electron microscope equipped with a GATAN camera, model 785.

IR spectra were acquired on a Nexus IR spectrophotometer (Nicolet) equipped with a single reflection diamond reflectance accessory (Golden Gate, Specac, Fort Washington, PA, USA) continuously purged with dried air. The samples (~2 μ L) were spread on a diamond crystal surface and flushed with nitrogen. A total of 256 accumulations were recorded at 25 °C using a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. After subtraction of water vapor and side chain contributions, the spectra were baseline corrected and area normalized between 1600 and 1700 cm⁻¹. The spectra were Fourier self deconvoluted with a resolution enhancement factor K=1.8 for a better visualization of the overlapping components arising from de distinct structural elements. Spectra were processed and analyzed using Kinetic software developed at the Structure and Function of Membrane Biology Laboratory, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium.

Dot blot

Samples were spotted on to a nitrocellulose membrane and dried at room temperature for 30 min. After blocking for 1 h with 10% (w/v) non-fat dried skimmed milk powder in 0.01% TBST (TBS/Tween 20; 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 150 mM NaCl and 0.01% Tween 20) and washing with 0.01% TBST, the membrane was incubated with a rabbit anti-synaptophysin antibody (anti-SYP, Cat# A0010, DakoCytomation, Glostrup, Denmark) for 3 h at 25 °C (1:1000) in 0.01% TBST. After washing three times with 0.01% TBST, blots were probed with IRDye 800CW anti-rabbit IgG (1:15000, Cat# 926-32211, Li-Cor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, USA), washed as before and scanned using an Odyssey infrared scanner (Li-Cor Biosciences).

Vesicle preparation: Vesicles of various compositions were prepared by mixing the lipids from stock solutions in chloroform/methanol (2:1 v/v), dried under nitrogen flow, and placed in vacuum overnight. The resulting lipid film was rehydrated in HEPES buffer for 1 h. For the preparation of large unilamellar vesicles (LUVs), aqueous lipid solutions were

extruded 25 times through polycarbonate membranes (100 nm pore diameter) at 25 °C. For the preparation of small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs), rehydrated lipids were freezethawed five times by dipping into liquid nitrogen and thawing above the lipid phase transition temperature. The preparations were then sonicated 3 times during 5 min on a Cole Parmer Ultrasonic Homogenizer at 50% duty circle. SUVs were centrifuged at 14000×*g* for 30 min to remove big particles. SVs were isolated from mouse brains (see below). All vesicles were assayed for inorganic phosphate to determine the total lipid concentration (Fiske 1925). For the mixture containing cholesterol, the total lipid concentration was calculated by adding a 25% to the phospholipid determination. The sizes of the vesicles were characterized by dynamic light scattering (DLS) using a Nicomp 380 sub-micrometer particle sizer (Particle Sizing Systems, Port Richey, Florida, USA) in the volume weighing Gaussian distribution mode. The diameters were between 16-35 nm for SUVs, 74-84 nm for LUVs and ~35 nm for SVs.

Purification of synaptic vesicles

SVs were purified according to the protocol described by Ahmed et al (Ahmed *et al.* 2013) as described in detail in the Supporting information. Briefly, the brains of two young (6-8 weeks) male wild-type C57BL/6J mice (stock 000664, The Jackson Laboratory, RRID: IMSR_JAX:000664) were obtained and homogenized in 4 mM HEPES buffer pH 7.4, 320 mM sucrose at 4 ° C supplemented with protease inhibitor. Large cell fragments, nuclei and synaptosomes were separated by centrifugation. The supernatant was stored at 4 °C until further use (S1). Synaptosomes were exposed to a hypotonic solution to release SVs and centrifuged to remove large membrane particles. The recovered supernatant (S2) was combined with supernatant S1 and centrifuged. 5 mL of the supernatant was placed on a 5 mL cushion of a 0.7 M sucrose solution (in duplicate) and ultracentrifuged for 1 h at 4 °C to

separate the SVs from macromolecular complexes. Then, it was divided into aliquots of 500 µL and those enriched in SVs were collected. A last ultracentrifugation was performed to recover the SVs in the precipitate. For the dissociation of peripheral proteins, the SVs suspension was incubated in a solution of Na₂CO₃ pH=12 at 4 °C for 30 min and successive washed with 100 mM Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.6, 100 mM KCl using Amicon Ultra-0.5 100-kDa cut-off filters (Cat# UFC510096, Millipore RRID:SCR_008983). The presence of SVs was confirmed by dot blot with an anti-synaptophysin antibody, an integral membrane protein of these vesicles. With this procedure a SVs preparation of ~ 35 nm (> 95%) determined by DLS was achieved.

FCS measurements: The measurements were performed on an Olympus FV1200 scanning confocal microscope equipped with a 60×1.3 silicone immersion objective and a solid-state 473 nm laser (Olympus Corporation, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan). Measurements were made on cover glasses that were first passivated with a solution of 1% albumin to prevent AS adsorption to the glasses surfaces. The laser focus was positioned in the solution ~15 μ m above the top surface of the cover glass. Fluorescence data were acquired for 91 s, and the resulting image (26x32766 pixels) was analyzed using the Globals-simFCS 4 software (developed by E. Gratton, Laboratory of Dynamic Fluorescence, University of California, Irvine, USA).

The structure factor *s*, the ratio of the radial to axial dimensions of the focal volume, was determined with fixed fluorescent nanoparticles (Cat# T14792, TetraSpeck fluorescent microspheres size kit, Invitrogen, RRID:SCR_013318) giving a value of 0.25. This value agreed well with the determination of *s* as a free parameter for solutions of fluorescein sodium salt dye (D=430 μ m² s⁻¹) and was fixed for all subsequent fittings.

Partition studies were performed in HEPES buffer at a constant protein concentration (100 nM mAS-A488 and 1000 nM oAS-A488) and varying lipid concentrations. The same population of LUVs or SUVs was employed to assess the interaction with monomers or oligomers. The samples at different lipid/protein ratio were allowed to equilibrate for 30 min at 23 °C prior to data acquisition.

Thirty three autocorrelation curves were averaged and analyzed with the autocorrelation function $G_{(\tau)}$ which accounts for two distinct species diffusing in a three-dimensional Gaussian volume (Thompson 1991):

$$G(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \left[(1 - F_{AS}^b) \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_{AS}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{s^2 \tau}{\tau_{AS}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + F_{AS}^b \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_{AS}^b}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{s^2 \tau}{\tau_{AS}^b}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$
(Eq. 1)

where *N* is the number of fluorescent particles, $1 - F_{AS}^{b}$ is the fraction of AS free in solution, F_{AS}^{b} the fraction of AS bound to the vesicle, τ_{AS} and τ_{AS}^{b} the diffusion times of free and vesicle-bound AS, respectively. The subscript AS refers to mAS-A488 or oAS-A488. The values for τ_{AS} and τ_{AS}^{b} were determined independently from the autocorrelation curves of protein alone or in the presence of an excess of lipid, which were fitted with a function for a single diffusing species:

$$G(\tau) = \frac{1}{N} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau_{AS}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{s^2 \tau}{\tau_{AS}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]$$
(Eq. 2)

and then were fixed in the partition experiments. This procedure implies that the diffusing species are similar in their brightness. Such a requirement is fulfilled if all of them contain a single fluorophore and if the interaction does not change either its absorption or quantum yield.

Titration curves were constructed by plotting the F_{AS}^b values extracted from Eq. 2 as a function of the accessible lipid concentration ($[L]_{acc}$). These curves were analyzed considering a partition equilibrium between two immiscible fluid phases, the aqueous and lipid phase. Thus, for a non-cooperative interaction, the molar fraction of the vesicle-bound protein through both electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions is (White *et al.* 1998):

$$F_{AS}^{b} = \frac{K_{p}[L]_{ac}}{[H_{2}O] + K_{p}[L]_{ac}}$$
 (Eq. 3)

where K_p is the partition coefficient and $[H_2 0] = 55,3 M$ is the molar concentration of water. Thus, the free energy of partitioning is defined by $\Delta G_p^o = -RT ln K_p$.

Due to its wide use in the literature, titration curves were alternatively fitted with equation 4 considering a dynamic binding equilibrium:

$$F_{AS}^{b} = \frac{[L]_{acc}}{\kappa_{d}^{app} + [L]_{acc}}$$
 (Eq. 4)

to obtain the apparent dissociation constant K_d^{app} .

To calculate $[L]_{acc}$, we considered the fraction of lipids in the outer monolayer (x_{ac}) which corresponds to the ratio between the surface area of the outer leaflet and the total area, according to:

$$x_{acc} = \frac{(4\pi r_{out}^2)}{(4\pi (r_{in}^2 + r_{out}^2))} = \frac{r_{out}^2}{((r_{out} - d)^2 + r_{out}^2))}$$
(Eq. 5)

where r_{in} y r_{out} are the radii of the inner and outer membrane leaflets, respectively, and the difference between them is the thickness of the bilayer, *d*. Finally, at a given total lipid concentration $[L]_{tot}$, the concentration of accessible lipid is: $[L]_{acc} = x_{acc}[L]_{tot}$. r_{out} for the different vesicles were experimentally determined by DLS and $[L]_{tot}$ by the Fiske-

Subbarow technique (Fiske 1925) as described before. *d* values for vesicles of pure lipids were: POPG 4.45 nm, POPS 4.45 nm and POPC 4.05 nm (Dickey & Faller 2008). In the cases of lipid mixtures, *d* was approximated as the thickness given by the most abundant lipid.

Statistical analysis: The study did not involve pre-registration, randomization, or blinding. All animal procedures were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethical Committee (IAUCC Res. N° 832/2015 renewed in 2017) of Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Partition experiment were performed at least in duplicate and analyzed independently. FCS curves with more than two standard deviations from the averaged autocorrelation curve were identified as outliers, manually curated and removed from the analysis. Diffusion times were obtained through fitting the FCS data considering a 3D Gaussian distribution. The FCS and titration data were analyzed using a Marquardt-Levenberg non-linear least squares fitting routing incorporated in Microcal Origin software (RRID:SCR_002815). The goodness of the fittings was judged by the recovered χ^2 . Results are expressed as mean values \pm standard deviation.

Results

Quantification of AS-membrane interaction by FCS

FCS has been widely used to study interactions between biomolecules both *in vitro* as in living cells (Jameson *et al.* 2009, Schwille 2001) and has proven valuable to quantify protein binding to biomembranes, including mAS (Rusu *et al.* 2004, Rhoades *et al.* 2006,

Middleton & Rhoades 2010). We used this powerful technique to determine the impact of oligomerization on AS binding to lipid vesicles.

Diffusion of individual fluorescent particles in and out of a small observation volume gives rise to intensity fluctuations in the emitted light. The analysis of such fluctuations provides autocorrelation curves that contain information about the characteristic diffusion time of the particles (Eq. 2). In a binding experiment where only one of the interacting particles is fluorescent, complex formation will be detected as an increment of the diffusion time owing to a change in the apparent molecular size of the fluorescent particle. In our case, the distinguishable species will be two, namely the labeled protein free in solution and bound to the vesicles. The experiments were performed using mAS labeled at position 140 with Alexa488 (mAS-A488). This position was chosen to prevent any interference of the hydrophobic dye on protein binding since the interaction is mediated by the N-terminal and central regions both in the monomeric (Davidson et al. 1998, Eliezer et al. 2001, Bodner et al. 2009, Fusco et al. 2014) and oligomeric (van Rooijen *et al.* 2009b, Fusco et al. 2017) state. Labeled oligomers (oAS-A488) were prepared from appropriate mixtures of non-labeled and labeled monomeric proteins as described in the experimental section.

Figure 2 shows representative autocorrelation curves of mAS-A488 and oAS-A488 in the absence and in the presence of an excess amount of SUVs composed of POPG. The characteristic diffusion time of mAS-A488 was ~ 150 μ s, whereas that for oAS-A488 was ~570 μ s, consistent with the higher size of the oligomeric species as compared with the monomeric protein. In the presence of lipids, both curves shifted to higher decay times denoting a decrease in diffusion as the protein binds to the liposomes. In a titration experiment of the protein upon addition of increasing lipid concentrations, saturation is evidenced by the superposition of the autocorrelation curves. The differences in the

residence time between free and bound conditions allow calculation of F_{AS}^b at different lipid concentrations according to Eq. 1 from which binding curves can be built. Finally, the thermodynamic partition parameters K_p and ΔG_p^o are obtained after fitting the binding curves with Eq. 3, or alternatively the dissociation constant K_d^{app} using Eq. 4. It is worth to note that the terms binding and interaction should be interpreted as partitioning of the protein between water and lipid phases and that the derived thermodynamic parameters correspond to the coupling of folding and partition equilibria and account for both hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions (White et al. 1998).

We used lipid model systems to evaluate the binding parameters of mAS-A488 and oAS-A488 to vesicles under physiological conditions. Although these artificial membranes do not reflect the complexity of cellular membranes, they allow a fine tuning of membrane physical parameters such as composition and curvature. In order to analyze curvature sensitivity we used sonicated or extruded (through a membrane with 100 nm pore size) liposomes to obtain small or large unilamellar vesicles, respectively. The diameter of such vesicles was determined by DLS, ranging from 16 to 35 nm for SUVs and from ~74 to 84 nm for LUVs, depending on the composition. This change in vesicle size represents a variation by a factor of ~ 3 in the mean curvature (1/r) of the bilayer. The mean size of SUVs resembles that of ~40 nm synaptic vesicles (Takamori et al. 2006). To mimic the composition and fluidity of cellular membranes, we choose phosphatidylcholine (PC) for being the most abundant zwitterionic headgroup in vivo, and palmitoyl-oleoyl (PO) tails to approximate the asymmetry and monounsaturations of hydrocarbon chains of natural lipids (van Meer et al. 2008). Since binding of AS to membranes is enhanced by negatively charged lipids, we used POPS since it is the major anionic lipid in eukaryotic cell membranes (van Meer et al. 2008). For the sake of comparison with previous studies, we also used the acidic POPG membrane. Considering that biological membranes do not

contain high proportions of anionic lipids (van Meer et al. 2008), we modulated the charge density at the lipid interface by preparing a binary mixture of POPC:POPS at 70:30 mole ratio. Additionally, to approximate the composition and anionic content (~15%) of synaptic vesicles (SVs) (Takamori et al. 2006), we used the quaternary mixture POPC:POPS:POPE:Chol at 40:10:25:25 mole ratio (denoted as SV-m). Finally, we used a recent protocol to isolate SVs from mouse brains (Ahmed et al. 2013). The ~35 nm vesicles isolated with this protocol were recognized by the anti-synaptophysin antibody, a transmembrane glycoprotein present in almost all neurons that participates in synaptic transmission.

Interaction of mAS with biomembranes

The binding of mAS to lipids has been extensively investigated due to its link to synaptic homeostasis (reviewed in (Pfefferkorn et al. 2012, Lashuel et al. 2013, Auluck et al. 2010)). However, an unambiguous comparison with already published thermodynamic parameters is not straightforward due to differences in experimental conditions. For that reason, we first assessed the interaction of mAS with vesicles of different sizes and compositions under physiological conditions. For such a purpose a 100 nM protein solution in HEPES buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5), was titrated with increasing amounts of lipids in a range of $[L]_{acc}$ = 0-400 μ M (Figure S2). The autocorrelation curves became unreliable at higher lipid concentrations probably due to scattering effects (Zustiak et al. 2012). It is important to note that under the timescales and conditions used in our experiments, there were no evidences of protein aggregation vesicle or tubulation/aggregation (Figure S3).

Bound mAS-A488 to SUVs formed by pure POPG, POPS and POPC at saturating conditions displayed diffusion times of $\tau_{AS}^b \sim 400-600 \ \mu$ s. Binding curves had hyperbolic shapes consistent with a non-cooperative interaction. As expected, titration curves indicated a higher affinity of mAS-A488 for negatively charge vesicles than for neutral membranes (Figure 3) with partition constants $K_p \sim 2x10^8$ for POPG, POPS and $\sim 2x10^6$ for POPC (Figure 4 and Table 1). In correlation with these results, a decrease in the content of anionic lipids up to 30% in the binary mixture POPC:POPS drastically diminished membrane affinity, reducing $K_p \sim 100$ times as compared with pure POPS (Figure 4 and Table 1). In the case of SV-m (10% of charged lipid), the affinity was even lower with a K_p value of $\sim 7x10^5$ (Figure 4 and Table 1).

Similarly, we evaluated the interaction of mAS-A488 with LUVs (Figure S2). In this case, the τ_{AS}^{b} values were between 1.22 and 2.05 ms. As for SUVs, the trend in affinity followed the order POPG~POPS>POPC (K_{p} ~ 3x10⁷, 1.5x10⁷ and 9x10⁵, respectively) and decreased ~ 100 times in the mixture POPC:POPS as compared with pure POPS (Figure 4 and Table 1). Surprisingly, although the autocorrelation curves for mAS-A488 in the presence of large SV-m indicated some degree of interaction (Figure S2), we were not able to estimate K_{p} since saturation was not defined within the experimentally accessible lipid concentration range.

Overall, our results obtained under physiological conditions are in agreement with previous reports and denote that binding of mAS is favored by anionic lipids independently of curvature membrane (Rhoades et al. 2006, Middleton & Rhoades 2010), without exhibiting specificity towards the headgroups of POPS or POPG (Middleton & Rhoades 2010). Furthermore, the significant ΔG_p^o values of ~ -8 Kcal/mol determined for POPC vesicles

(Table 1) indicate that other factors, in addition to the electrostatic contribution, affect the association of AS to membranes.

Regarding the influence of membrane curvature on protein binding, K_p values of mAS-A488 for SUVs were higher than those calculated for LUVs (Figure 4 and Table 1) in agreement with a number of previous studies reporting on the higher affinity of AS for highly curved membranes (Davidson et al. 1998, Ulmer *et al.* 2005, Middleton & Rhoades 2010, Kamp & Beyer 2006, Nuscher *et al.* 2004, Jo *et al.* 2000, Kjaer *et al.* 2009). This sensitivity depended on vesicle composition since it decreased ~3 times in the POPC:POPS mixture and ~7 times in POPC vesicles as compared to POPS vesicles (Table 1). These results suggest that under these conditions, a reduction of the electrostatic contribution to protein-membrane energetics moderates curvature dependence, as opposed to previous observations (Pranke et al. 2011, Chong *et al.* 2014). As expected, binding affinity of mAS-A488 for SUVs mimicking the composition of synaptic vesicles was higher than that determined for vesicles of lower curvature.

Although a number of reports point to AS as a presynaptic protein associated with SVs, to the best of our knowledge there is no published quantitative data on such interaction. Surprisingly, addition of SVs to a mAS-A488 solution did not induce any change in the autocorrelation curves (Figure S4) suggesting that K_d^{app} values are probably in the mM range. This result is in line with studies on AS subcellular localization that suggest a loose association of the protein to the distal pool of synaptic vesicles (Fortin *et al.* 2004, Kahle *et al.* 2000).

Interaction of oAS with biomembranes

We prepared sub-stoichiometrically labeled oAS-A488 that recapitulate the morphology, polydispersity, tinctorial properties, and secondary structure signatures of amyloid oligomers formed by the wild-type protein as previously reported (Celej et al. 2012, Gallea & Celej 2014, Gallea et al. 2016) (Figure S1). In parallel experiments, we evaluated the interaction of oAS-A488 with vesicles of the same compositions and sizes as those employed for mAS-A488. Using the same population of vesicles in both cases avoids introducing differences originated from slight heterogeneities between different preparations. As before, titration experiments were performed at a fixed concentration of oligomers (1000 nM in monomeric units, ~ 140 nM of initial labeled protein).

With the addition of SUVs or LUVs of POPG or POPS, the autocorrelation curves shifted to higher characteristic times ($\tau_{AS}^u \sim 0.8-2.9 \text{ ms}$) denoting binding of oligomers to the acidic membranes. The K_p values were ~ 3×10^6 (Figure 4 and Table 1) in both cases, indicating that oAS-A488 do not also show specificity for the polar group of these lipids, and, more striking, that they bind with similar strengths to vesicles of both sizes. Binding affinity drastically diminished when the content of the negatively charged lipid was reduced in the mixtures POPC:POPS or SV-m (Figure 4 and Table 1). Only a weak interaction with SV-m of low curvature was observed, although the K_p value could not be determined since saturation was not defined (Figure S2). Recently, Fusco et al. used FCS to qualitatively probe the interaction of AS oligomers with vesicles that mimic the composition and curvature of SVs. They observed a strong binding of oAS to SUVs composed of DOPE:DOPS:DOPC at 50:30:20 mole ratio (Fusco et al. 2017). This lipid mixture has a higher proportion of anionic lipid, increased unsaturation chain content and lacks cholesterol as compared to SV-m, which might explain the distinct membrane interactions

observed. On the other hand, the addition of SUVs of POPC did not induce any shift in the autocorrelation curve (Figure S2) whereas a K_p value of ~ 2x10⁶ was determined for LUVs (Figure 4 and Table 1), in clear contrast to the previous observations for mAS-A488.

These results demonstrate that oligomerization has a deep impact on AS binding properties to membranes, abolishing interaction in some cases or drastically changing curvature sensitivity in others. In this regard, oligomers are no longer curvature sensors in membranes composed of pure anionic lipids but have higher affinity for zwitterionic membranes of low curvature.

We observed that $\tau^b_{\it AS}$ values for oAS-A488 in the presence of an excess of POPG and POPS LUVs were smaller than those determined for mAS-A488 under the same conditions (Figure S2). One plausible explanation for this unexpected result would be the presence of a fraction of oligomers that contribute to the observed signal but that do not participate in the partition equilibrium leading to smaller correlation times. It has been reported that membrane-induced AS aggregation involves lipid extraction from the bilayer and the clustering of membrane around protein aggregates (Reynolds et al. 2011, Hellstrand et al. 2013, Chaudhary et al. 2014). Aggregates formed on supported bilayers were recognized by the anti-oligomer antibody A11, and it was suggested that addition of pre-formed oligomers to membranes could result in the extraction of a small amount of lipids (Reynolds et al. 2011). Moreover, oAS prepared by the lyophilization method are able to induce leakage from POPG or POPS liposomes (van Rooijen et al. 2009a, Gallea et al. 2016, Fusco et al. 2017). It was shown that membrane permeabilization is due to non-equilibrium processes causing a rapid dye efflux due to bilayer defects arising from intrinsic membrane instability, but that the overall vesicle morphology remains unaltered (van Rooijen et al. 2010). Thus, it might be possible that oligomers containing lipids would

be formed during the equilibration time, which are then unable to interact with the vesicle, remaining as free diffusing particles in solution during the measurement.

As for the monomeric protein, the autocorrelation curves for oAS-A488 did not shift with the addition of SVs (Figure S4) also suggesting a low affinity for this natural membrane.

Discussion

The interaction of AS with membranes has attracted much attention since it might play a key role in the pathophysiology linked to this protein. Although the exact function of AS remains elusive, there is a general consensus on its participation in the maintenance of the reserve pool of synaptic vesicles and in the regulation of vesicle fusion and dynamics (Lashuel et al. 2013, Auluck et al. 2010). Monomeric AS is a curvature sensor protein exhibiting an exquisite sensitivity towards anionic vesicles similar in size to SVs (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011), supporting its physiological role in synaptic homeostasis. On the other hand, cellular damage is one of the cytotoxic mechanisms attributed to oligomeric species of AS which are considered the most neurotoxic species (Lashuel et al. 2013, Chiti & Dobson 2017). However, oligomers might be also toxic to neurons by a loss-of-function mechanism not only due to a decrease of protein available to exert its function but also due to altered membrane binding properties. In this regard, in this work we aimed at deciphering the impact of oligomerization on AS curvature membrane sensitivity.

We determined that under physiological conditions mAS-A488 bind to SUVs and LUVs of POPG or POPS with similar affinities (Figure 3 and Table 1) indicating no particular specificity for these polar head groups in agreement with previous results (Middleton & Rhoades 2010, Zhu *et al.* 2003). We also determined that the interaction is favored by the

increase in the negative charge density of the lipid interface and curvature bilayer as previously reported (Davidson et al. 1998, Rhoades et al. 2006, Middleton & Rhoades 2010, Pranke et al. 2011). As mentioned before, AS interacts with synaptic-like vesicles through its N-terminal anchor which enhances the binding of the membrane-sensor central region to the lipid surface (Fusco et al. 2014). The dual sensitivity to both charge and curvature has been explained in terms of the particular chemical characteristics of the extended amphipathic helix formed at the N-terminal and central protein domains (residues ~Met1-Ala90) (Jao et al. 2008) upon binding to lipid vesicles (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011). In contrast to classic amphipathic helices, α -synuclein bears a poor hydrophobic phase and a well-developed charged polar face with an almost even distribution of positively charged residues at its two edges (Antonny 2011, Pranke et al. 2011) (Figure 1B). Pranke et al. suggested that membrane curvature would facilitate the insertion of the amphipathic helix in the interfacial region and that the minimal contribution of the hydrophobic face is overcome by electrostatic interactions (Pranke et al. 2011). However, the calculated ΔG_p^o values (Table 1) which include both hydrophobic and electrostatic interactions, indicate that the former should not be underestimated. Assuming that the hydrophobic contribution is similar in vesicles formed by POPG, POPS, POPC and POPC:POPS, the ΔG_p^o values suggest that the insertion of hydrophobic residues and the hydrocarbon side chains of Lys (Mishra et al. 1994) into the bilayer significantly contribute to membrane-protein interaction. Strikingly, this contribution is higher for highly curved vesicles as judged by the ΔG_p^o values determined for SUVs and LUVs of POPC (Table 1). On the basis of these results we suggest that the hydrophobic contribution is the thermodynamic driving force underlying the association of AS with membranes, possibly to compensate for lipid packing defects that are especially prominent in small vesicles (Antonny 2011, Nuscher et al. 2004).

There are contradictory results regarding the binding of AS to zwitterionic membranes. Whereas some authors reported that AS is unable to bind to membranes of POPC (Davidson et al. 1998) or to a mixture of PC:POPE:Cholesterol (except a weak interaction with highly curved liposomes) (Pranke et al. 2011), others have reported different affinities for POPC vesicles (this work and (Rhoades et al. 2006, Middleton & Rhoades 2010, Iver et al. 2016, Narayanan & Scarlata 2001, Jiang et al. 2013). These discrepancies could arise from differences in experimental conditions, liposome sizes or compositions. A recent study demonstrated that the addition of cholesterol to POPC membranes completely abolishes AS binding (lyer et al. 2016) which could be explained by the lipid ordering induced by cholesterol and the reduced affinity of AS for the liquid-order phase (Stöckl et al. 2008, Galvagnion et al. 2016). These findings could conceal the behavior observed in the PC:POPE:Cholesterol mixture (Pranke et al. 2011). It is interesting to note that AS is able to bind vesicles containing 25% of cholesterol and only 10% of anionic lipids in the lipid mixture that mimic the composition and curvature of synaptic vesicles (Figure 3 and Table 1) consistent with the proposed physiological function of AS (Lashuel et al. 2013, Auluck et al. 2010).

Different aggregated states are able to associate with lipid membranes (Volles et al. 2001, van Rooijen et al. 2009a, Giannakis *et al.* 2008, Pfefferkorn et al. 2012). oAS ensembles produced with experimental protocols similar to that used in the present work, share some of the binding properties displayed by mAS, such as a greater selectivity for anionic membranes in liquid-disordered phase (van Rooijen *et al.* 2008). In addition, oAS-lipid interaction is also mediated by the N-terminal and central domains of the protein, at least up to residue Ala⁹⁰ (van Rooijen *et al.* 2009b, Fusco *et al.* 2017). Here we quantitatively show that neither mAS nor oAS has the capacity to distinguish between PG and PS head

groups, since they bind to both lipids with similar strength (Figure 4 and Table 1; see also ref. (Middleton & Rhoades 2010))

However, our results demonstrate that oligomerization deeply affects the interaction of AS with membranes (Figures 3 and 4, and Table 1). Binding affinity diminishes and the interaction is completely abolished in some cases. Importantly, in the lipid mixture that mimic the composition of synaptic vesicles, oAS do not bind to highly curved vesicles and interact poorly with those of low curvature (Figure 3). In addition, oligomers are insensitive to curvature in membranes composed of pure anionic lipids and bind to LUVs made purely with the zwitterionic lipid POPC.

These drastic changes regarding curvature sensitivity could arise from conformational restrictions imposed to the protein in the oligomeric aggregate. As mentioned before, the N-terminal and central regions of the protein participate in lipid interactions both in the monomeric and oligomeric state (van Rooijen et al. 2009b, Fusco et al. 2017). If these regions would be hidden or conformationally constrained within the interior of the oligomeric state, the anchoring and membrane-sensitivity properties (Fusco et al. 2014, Pranke et al. 2011) will be largely affected. Circular dichroism studies indicate that oAS do not undergo significant conformational changes upon binding to membranes (Volles & Lansbury 2002, van Rooijen et al. 2009a), which could suggest the lack of structuring of the N-terminal and central regions into the distinct extended amphipathic helix. Within the oligomeric core spanning residues Phe⁴-Ala⁹⁰ (van Rooijen et al. 2009b), discrete segments Phe⁴–Ala¹⁷, Tyr³⁹–Thr⁵⁴, and Val⁷⁰–Ala⁸⁹ exhibit stable hydrogen-bonded or solvent-shielded structures (Mysling et al. 2013) and a tight packing with a distinct pattern of intermolecular contacts at least for the region encompassing residues Ser9-Ala76 likely reflecting the adoption of a well-defined structure (Gallea & Celej 2014). More recently, C¹³-C¹³ dipolar assisted rotational resonance measurements showed that the first ~N-

terminal 25 residues of oligomers produced using a similar protocol, adopt an helical conformation upon interacting with lipid assemblies that mimic synaptic-like lipid membranes (Fusco et al. 2017). Bioinformatic analysis using the COILS algorithm predicts a modest coiled-coil propensity (~2%) for the first 21 residues of the protein. If these residues were engaged in such conformation, the hydrophobic residues would be sequestered from the solvent by facing each other, whereas the charged residues, especially Lys, would be exposed. In this scenario, basic patches on the surface of oAS would mediate the interaction with anionic lipids but would be insensitive to curvature changes. On the other hand, oAS exhibit solvent-exposed hydrophobic patches (Chen et al. 2015, Gallea et al. 2016), which could mediate the association to zwitterionic membranes of low curvature or even flat interfaces (Kim et al. 2009, Quist et al. 2005). It is well known that oligomer binding to biological membranes, such as the mitochondrial or plasma membranes, would compromise cell viability by impairing lipid membrane integrity. In this connection, it has been recently shown that oligomers produced by the lyophilization method that bind and disrupt cellular membranes leading to neural toxicity, have an exposed lipophilic region and a core rich in antiparallel β-sheet structure (Fusco et al. 2017), both structural elements featured by our oligomeric preparation.

In healthy neurons, transient interactions of AS with synaptic vesicles could assist in vesicle stabilization, possibly by releasing curvature stress, thereby protecting them from premature fusion and holding them in a reserve pool distal from the synapsis (Auluck et al. 2010). Under pathological conditions, a decrease in the amount of functional AS would cause a depletion of this reservoir, as these vesicles would either degrade or fuse very efficiently with their target membranes (Auluck et al. 2010). This work presents quantitative evidences pointing towards a loss-of-function mechanism of oAS toxicity, not only by decreasing the availability of functional protein but also by the incapacity of the oligomeric

species of recognizing or remaining bound to synaptic vesicles. This novel mechanism would contribute to cellular dysfunction along with the well-established pathological pathway associated to the impairment of membrane integrity, pore formation and cellular homeostasis.

Acknowledgements

J.I.G. acknowledges a PhD fellowship from the National Scientific and Technical Research Council of Argentina (CONICET). M.S.C. thanks Fulbright Commission and CONICET for a short term training fellowship. E.E.A, A.A.V and M.S.C. are research members of CONICET. We thank Dr. Carlos Mas for technical assistance with FCS measurements. This work was supported in part by grants from Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (grant PICT 2013-2603), Secretaría de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (grant SECyT-UNC 2016-2017) and ISN-CAEN-2012. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Science Badges

This article has received a badge for ***Open Materials*** because it provided all relevant information to reproduce the study in the manuscript. The complete Open Science Disclosure form for this article can be found at the end of the article. More information about the Open Practices badges can be found at https://cos.io/our-services/open-science-badges/.

References

Ahmed, S., Holt, M., Riedel, D. and Jahn, R. (2013) Small-scale isolation of synaptic vesicles from mammalian brain. *Nat Protoc*, **8**, 998-1009.

Antonny, B. (2011) Mechanisms of membrane curvature sensing. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **80**, 101-123.

Auluck, P. K., Caraveo, G. and Lindquist, S. (2010) α-Synuclein: membrane interactions and toxicity in Parkinson's disease. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol*, **26**, 211-233.

Bodner, C. R., Dobson, C. M. and Bax, A. (2009) Multiple tight phospholipid-binding modes of alpha-synuclein revealed by solution NMR spectroscopy. *J Mol Biol*, **390**, 775-790.

Burré, J., Sharma, M., Tsetsenis, T., Buchman, V., Etherton, M. R. and Südhof, T. C. (2010) Alpha-synuclein promotes SNARE-complex assembly in vivo and in vitro. *Science*, **329**, 1663-1667.

Cabin, D. E., Shimazu, K., Murphy, D. et al. (2002) Synaptic vesicle depletion correlates with attenuated synaptic responses to prolonged repetitive stimulation in mice lacking alpha-synuclein. *J Neurosci*, **22**, 8797-8807.

Celej, M. S., Sarroukh, R., Goormaghtigh, E., Fidelio, G. D., Ruysschaert, J. M. and Raussens, V. (2012) Toxic prefibrillar α -synuclein amyloid oligomers adopt a distinctive antiparallel β -sheet structure. *Biochem J*, **443**, 719-726.

Chandra, S., Gallardo, G., Fernández-Chacón, R., Schlüter, O. M. and Südhof, T. C. (2005) Alpha-synuclein cooperates with CSPalpha in preventing neurodegeneration. *Cell*, **123**, 383-396.

Chaudhary, H., Stefanovic, A. N., Subramaniam, V. and Claessens, M. M. (2014) Membrane interactions and fibrillization of α -synuclein play an essential role in membrane disruption. *FEBS Lett*, **588**, 4457-4463.

Chen, S. W., Drakulic, S., Deas, E. et al. (2015) Structural characterization of toxic oligomers that are kinetically trapped during α -synuclein fibril formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **112**, E1994-2003.

Chiti, F. and Dobson, C. M. (2017) Protein Misfolding, Amyloid Formation, and Human Disease: A Summary of Progress Over the Last Decade. *Annu Rev Biochem*, **86**, 27-68. Chong, S. S., Taneva, S. G., Lee, J. M. and Cornell, R. B. (2014) The curvature sensitivity of a membrane-binding amphipathic helix can be modulated by the charge on a flanking region. *Biochemistry*, **53**, 450-461.

Cremades, N., Chen, S. W. and Dobson, C. M. (2017) Structural Characteristics of α-Synuclein Oligomers. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol*, **329**, 79-143.

Davidson, W. S., Jonas, A., Clayton, D. F. and George, J. M. (1998) Stabilization of asynuclein secondary structure upon binding to synthetic membranes. *J Biol Chem*, **273**, 9443-9449.

Diao, J., Burré, J., Vivona, S., Cipriano, D. J., Sharma, M., Kyoung, M., Südhof, T. C. and Brunger, A. T. (2013) Native α -synuclein induces clustering of synaptic-vesicle mimics via binding to phospholipids and synaptobrevin-2/VAMP2. *Elife*, **2**, e00592.

Dickey, A. and Faller, R. (2008) Examining the contributions of lipid shape and headgroup charge on bilayer behavior. *Biophys J*, **95**, 2636-2646.

Eliezer, D., Kutluay, E., Bussell, R., Jr. and Browne, G. (2001) Conformational properties of alpha-synuclein in its free and lipid-associated states. *J Mol Biol*, **307**, 1061-1073.

Fiske, C. H. (1925) The Colorimetric Determination of Phosphorus. In: *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, (Y. Subbarow ed.), Vol. 66, pp. 375-400.

Fortin, D. L., Troyer, M. D., Nakamura, K., Kubo, S., Anthony, M. D. and Edwards, R. H. (2004) Lipid rafts mediate the synaptic localization of alpha-synuclein. *J Neurosci*, **24**, 6715-6723.

Fusco, G., Chen, S. W., Williamson, P. T. F. et al. (2017) Structural basis of membrane disruption and cellular toxicity by α -synuclein oligomers. *Science*, **358**, 1440-1443.

Fusco, G., De Simone, A., Gopinath, T., Vostrikov, V., Vendruscolo, M., Dobson, C. M. and Veglia, G. (2014) Direct observation of the three regions in α -synuclein that determine its membrane-bound behaviour. *Nat Commun*, **5**, 3827.

Gallea, J. I. and Celej, M. S. (2014) Structural insights into amyloid oligomers of the Parkinson disease-related protein α -synuclein. *J Biol Chem*, **289**, 26733-26742.

Gallea, J. I., Sarroukh, R., Yunes-Quartino, P., Ruysschaert, J. M., Raussens, V. and Celej, M. S. (2016) Structural remodeling during amyloidogenesis of physiological Naacetylated α -synuclein. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1864**, 501-510.

Galvagnion, C., Brown, J. W., Ouberai, M. M., Flagmeier, P., Vendruscolo, M., Buell, A. K., Sparr, E. and Dobson, C. M. (2016) Chemical properties of lipids strongly affect the kinetics of the membrane-induced aggregation of α-synuclein. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **113**, 7065-7070.

Giannakis, E., Pacífico, J., Smith, D. P., Hung, L. W., Masters, C. L., Cappai, R., Wade, J. D. and Barnham, K. J. (2008) Dimeric structures of alpha-synuclein bind preferentially to lipid membranes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1778**, 1112-1119.

Haugland, R. P. and Spence, M. T. Z. (2005) *The handbook : a guide to fluorescent probes and labeling technologies*. Invitrogen, [United States].

Hellstrand, E., Nowacka, A., Topgaard, D., Linse, S. and Sparr, E. (2013) Membrane lipid co-aggregation with α -synuclein fibrils. *PLoS One*, **8**, e77235.

Ingelsson, M. (2016) Alpha-Synuclein Oligomers-Neurotoxic Molecules in Parkinson's Disease and Other Lewy Body Disorders. *Front Neurosci*, **10**, 408.

Iwai, A., Masliah, E., Yoshimoto, M., Ge, N., Flanagan, L., de Silva, H. A., Kittel, A. and Saitoh, T. (1995) The precursor protein of non-A beta component of Alzheimer's disease amyloid is a presynaptic protein of the central nervous system. *Neuron*, **14**, 467-475.

Iyer, A., Roeters, S. J., Schilderink, N., Hommersom, B., Heeren, R. M., Woutersen, S., Claessens, M. M. and Subramaniam, V. (2016) The Impact of N-terminal Acetylation of α-Synuclein on Phospholipid Membrane Binding and Fibril Structure. *J Biol Chem*, **291**, 21110-21122.

Jameson, D. M., Ross, J. A. and Albanesi, J. P. (2009) Fluorescence fluctuation spectroscopy: ushering in a new age of enlightenment for cellular dynamics. *Biophys Rev,* **1**, 105-118.

Jao, C. C., Hegde, B. G., Chen, J., Haworth, I. S. and Langen, R. (2008) Structure of membrane-bound alpha-synuclein from site-directed spin labeling and computational refinement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **105**, 19666-19671.

Jiang, Z., de Messieres, M. and Lee, J. C. (2013) Membrane remodeling by alphasynuclein and effects on amyloid formation. *J Am Chem Soc*, **135**, 15970-15973.

Jo, E., McLaurin, J., Yip, C. M., St George-Hyslop, P. and Fraser, P. E. (2000) alpha-Synuclein membrane interactions and lipid specificity. *J Biol Chem*, **275**, 34328-34334.

Kahle, P. J., Neumann, M., Ozmen, L. et al. (2000) Subcellular localization of wild-type and Parkinson's disease-associated mutant alpha -synuclein in human and transgenic mouse brain. *J Neurosci*, **20**, 6365-6373.

Kamp, F. and Beyer, K. (2006) Binding of alpha-synuclein affects the lipid packing in bilayers of small vesicles. *J Biol Chem*, **281**, 9251-9259.

Kim, H. Y., Cho, M. K., Kumar, A. et al. (2009) Structural properties of pore-forming oligomers of alpha-synuclein. *J Am Chem Soc*, **131**, 17482-17489.

Kjaer, L., Giehm, L., Heimburg, T. and Otzen, D. (2009) The influence of vesicle size and composition on alpha-synuclein structure and stability. *Biophys J*, **96**, 2857-2870.

Larsen, K. E., Schmitz, Y., Troyer, M. D. et al. (2006) Alpha-synuclein overexpression in PC12 and chromaffin cells impairs catecholamine release by interfering with a late step in exocytosis. *J Neurosci*, **26**, 11915-11922.

Lashuel, H. A., Overk, C. R., Oueslati, A. and Masliah, E. (2013) The many faces of αsynuclein: from structure and toxicity to therapeutic target. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, **14**, 38-48. Masuda, M., Dohmae, N., Nonaka, T., Oikawa, T., Hisanaga, S., Goedert, M. and Hasegawa, M. (2006) Cysteine misincorporation in bacterially expressed human alphasynuclein. *FEBS Lett*, **580**, 1775-1779.

Middleton, E. R. and Rhoades, E. (2010) Effects of curvature and composition on α -synuclein binding to lipid vesicles. *Biophys J*, **99**, 2279-2288.

Mishra, V. K., Palgunachari, M. N., Segrest, J. P. and Anantharamaiah, G. M. (1994) Interactions of synthetic peptide analogs of the class A amphipathic helix with lipids. Evidence for the snorkel hypothesis. *J Biol Chem*, **269**, 7185-7191.

Murphy, D. D., Rueter, S. M., Trojanowski, J. Q. and Lee, V. M. (2000) Synucleins are developmentally expressed, and alpha-synuclein regulates the size of the presynaptic vesicular pool in primary hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci,* **20**, 3214-3220.

Mysling, S., Betzer, C., Jensen, P. H. and Jorgensen, T. J. (2013) Characterizing the dynamics of alpha-synuclein oligomers using hydrogen/deuterium exchange monitored by mass spectrometry. *Biochemistry*, **52**, 9097-9103.

Narayanan, V. and Scarlata, S. (2001) Membrane binding and self-association of alphasynucleins. *Biochemistry*, **40**, 9927-9934.

Nemani, V. M., Lu, W., Berge, V., Nakamura, K., Onoa, B., Lee, M. K., Chaudhry, F. A., Nicoll, R. A. and Edwards, R. H. (2010) Increased expression of alpha-synuclein reduces

neurotransmitter release by inhibiting synaptic vesicle reclustering after endocytosis. *Neuron*, **65**, 66-79.

Nuscher, B., Kamp, F., Mehnert, T., Odoy, S., Haass, C., Kahle, P. J. and Beyer, K. (2004) Alpha-synuclein has a high affinity for packing defects in a bilayer membrane: a thermodynamics study. *J Biol Chem*, **279**, 21966-21975.

Pfefferkorn, C. M., Jiang, Z. and Lee, J. C. (2012) Biophysics of alpha-synuclein membrane interactions. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1818**, 162-171.

Pranke, I. M., Morello, V., Bigay, J., Gibson, K., Verbavatz, J. M., Antonny, B. and Jackson, C. L. (2011) α-Synuclein and ALPS motifs are membrane curvature sensors whose contrasting chemistry mediates selective vesicle binding. *J Cell Biol*, **194**, 89-103.

Quist, A., Doudevski, I., Lin, H., Azimova, R., Ng, D., Frangione, B., Kagan, B., Ghiso, J. and Lal, R. (2005) Amyloid ion channels: a common structural link for protein-misfolding disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, **102**, 10427-10432.

Reynolds, N. P., Soragni, A., Rabe, M., Verdes, D., Liverani, E., Handschin, S., Riek, R. and Seeger, S. (2011) Mechanism of membrane interaction and disruption by α-synuclein. *J Am Chem Soc*, **133**, 19366-19375.

Rhoades, E., Ramlall, T. F., Webb, W. W. and Eliezer, D. (2006) Quantification of alphasynuclein binding to lipid vesicles using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. *Biophys J*, **90**, 4692-4700.

Rusu, L., Gambhir, A., McLaughlin, S. and Rädler, J. (2004) Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy studies of Peptide and protein binding to phospholipid vesicles. *Biophys J*, **87**, 1044-1053.

Schwille, P. (2001) Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy and its potential for intracellular applications. *Cell Biochem Biophys*, **34**, 383-408.

Stöckl, M., Fischer, P., Wanker, E. and Herrmann, A. (2008) Alpha-synuclein selectively binds to anionic phospholipids embedded in liquid-disordered domains. *J Mol Biol*, **375**, 1394-1404.

Takamori, S., Holt, M., Stenius, K. et al. (2006) Molecular anatomy of a trafficking organelle. *Cell*, **127**, 831-846.

Thompson, N. L. (1991) Fluorescence correlation spectroscopy. pp. 337–378. Lakowicz, J. R., Topics in Fluorescence Microscopy.

Ulmer, T. S., Bax, A., Cole, N. B. and Nussbaum, R. L. (2005) Structure and dynamics of micelle-bound human alpha-synuclein. *J Biol Chem*, **280**, 9595-9603.

van Meer, G., Voelker, D. R. and Feigenson, G. W. (2008) Membrane lipids: where they are and how they behave. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol,* **9**, 112-124.

van Rooijen, B. D., Claessens, M. M. and Subramaniam, V. (2008) Membrane binding of oligomeric alpha-synuclein depends on bilayer charge and packing. *FEBS Lett*, **582**, 3788-3792.

van Rooijen, B. D., Claessens, M. M. and Subramaniam, V. (2009a) Lipid bilayer disruption by oligomeric alpha-synuclein depends on bilayer charge and accessibility of the hydrophobic core. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, **1788**, 1271-1278.

van Rooijen, B. D., Claessens, M. M. and Subramaniam, V. (2010) Membrane Permeabilization by Oligomeric alpha-Synuclein: In Search of the Mechanism. *PLoS One*, **5**, e14292.

van Rooijen, B. D., van Leijenhorst-Groener, K. A., Claessens, M. M. and Subramaniam, V. (2009b) Tryptophan fluorescence reveals structural features of alpha-synuclein oligomers. *J Mol Biol*, **394**, 826-833.

Volles, M. J. and Lansbury, P. T., Jr. (2002) Vesicle permeabilization by protofibrillar alpha-synuclein is sensitive to Parkinson's disease-linked mutations and occurs by a pore-like mechanism. *Biochemistry*, **41**, 4595-4602.

Volles, M. J., Lee, S. J., Rochet, J. C., Shtilerman, M. D., Ding, T. T., Kessler, J. C. and Lansbury, P. T. (2001) Vesicle permeabilization by protofibrillar alpha-synuclein: implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of Parkinson's disease. *Biochemistry*, **40**, 7812-7819.

White, S. H., Wimley, W. C., Ladokhin, A. S. and Hristova, K. (1998) Protein folding in membranes: determining energetics of peptide-bilayer interactions. *Methods Enzymol,* **295**, 62-87.

Zhu, M., Li, J. and Fink, A. L. (2003) The association of alpha-synuclein with membranes affects bilayer structure, stability, and fibril formation. *J Biol Chem*, **278**, 40186-40197.

Zustiak, S., Riley, J., Boukari, H., Gandjbakhche, A. and Nossal, R. (2012) Effects of multiple scattering on fluorescence correlation spectroscopy measurements of particles moving within optically dense media. *J Biomed Opt*, **17**, 125004.

Table 1 Apparent dissociation constant (K_d^{app}) , molar coefficient partition (K_p) and free energy of partition (ΔG_p^o) for mAS-A488 and oAS-488 with vesicles varying in composition and curvature.

	mAS					
Lipid	SUVs			LUVs		
	К _а ^{арр} (µМ)	K _p	ΔG_p^o (Kcal/mol)	<i>К_d^{app}</i> (µМ)	K _p	ΔG_p^o (Kcal/mol)
POPG	0.2 ± 0.1	$(2 \pm 2) \times 10^8$	-1.4 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 06	(2.9 ± 0.9)x10 ⁷	-10.1 ± 0.2
POPS	0.3 ± 0.1	$(1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^8$	-11.2 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.7	$(1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^7$	-9.7 ± 0.1
POPC	28 ± 3	$(1,9 \pm 0,2) \times 10^{6}$	-8.5 ± 0,1	63 ± 14	$(9 \pm 2) \times 10^5$	-8.1 ± 0.1
POPC:POPS	9 ± 2	$(6 \pm 1) \times 10^{6}$	-9.2 ± 0,1	44 ± 8	$(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{6}$	-8.3 ± 0.1
SV-m	84 ± 19	$(7 \pm 1) x 10^5$	-7.8 ± 0.2	**	**	**
Lipid	o/ SUVs			AS LUVs		
<u>F · ·</u>	К _d ^{арр} (µМ)	K_p	ΔG_p^o (Kcal/mol)	<i>К_d^{app}</i> (µМ)	K _p	ΔG_p^o (Kcal/mol)
POPG	9 ± 3	$(6 \pm 2) \times 10^{6}$	-9.2 ± 0.2	17 ± 2	$(3.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{6}$	-8.8 ± 0.1
POPS	18 ± 8	$(3 \pm 1) \times 10^{6}$	-9.0 ± 0.4	16 ± 2	$(3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{6}$	-8.9 ± 0.1
POPC	*	*	*	29 ± 6	$(1.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{6}$	-8.5 ± 0.1
POPC:POPS	*	*	*	*	*	*
SV-m	*	*	*	**	**	**

*Undetermined **Undetermined τ^b_{AS} value

LEGENDS TO FIGURES

Figure 1. Structural features of AS. A. Schematic representation of AS functional domains. **B.** Amphipathic properties of the N-terminal region of AS (PDB 1XQ8, only the segment encompassing residues Ser⁹-Ala³⁰ are shown for better visualization).

Figure 2. Interaction of **AS** to vesicles measured by **FCS**. Representative normalized autocorrelation curves of mAS-A488 and oAS-A488 in solution (—) and bound to POPG SUVs (— —).

Figure 3. Partition of distinct AS ensembles to lipid vesicles. Titration curves of mAS-A488 and oAS-A488 with SUVs (\blacksquare) and LUVs (\bullet) of different compositions derived from FCS measurements analyzed according to Equation 1. Data were fitted (—) with Equation 3 to obtain partition parameters. Open symbols (\Box ,**O**) correspond to F_{AS}^b values assuming a theoretical value for τ_{AS}^b (see text for details) and are shown only for graphical comparison. Each point corresponds to the average \pm SD of at least two independent experiments.

Figure 4. Quantitative determination of the interaction of distinct AS ensembles with lipid vesicles. Partition constants of mAS-A488 and oAS-A488 to SUVs (\blacksquare) and LUVs (\blacksquare) of different composition. It was not possible to estimate $K_p(*)$ or τ_{AS}^b (**) values in some cases (see text for details). Each value corresponds to the average \pm SD of at least two independent experiments.







