

Free Łukasiewicz implication algebras

José Patricio Díaz Varela

Received: 6 December 2006 / Revised: 8 March 2007
© Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract Łukasiewicz implication algebras are the $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of MV -algebras. They are the algebraic counterpart of Super-Łukasiewicz Implicational Logics investigated in Komori (Nogoya Math J 72:127–133, 1978). In this paper we give a description of free Łukasiewicz implication algebras in the context of McNaughton functions. More precisely, we show that the $|X|$ -free Łukasiewicz implication algebra is isomorphic to $\bigcup_{x \in X} [x_\theta]$ for a certain congruence θ over the $|X|$ -free MV -algebra. As corollary we describe the free algebras in all subvarieties of Łukasiewicz implication algebras.

Keywords Łukasiewicz implication algebras · Free algebras · MV -algebras · Wajsberg algebras · McNaughton functions

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 06F99 · 08A30 · 08B20

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Łukasiewicz implication algebras are the algebraic counterpart of the implicational fragment of Super-Łukasiewicz Logic [9, 10]. In fact they are the class of all $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of the MV -algebras (MV -algebras are term-wise equivalent to Wajsberg algebras and bounded commutative BCK-algebras [5, 8, 11]). They are also called C -algebras in [9, 10] and Łukasiewicz residuation algebras by Berman and Blok in [2].

The support of Universidad Nacional del Sur and CONICET is gratefully acknowledged.

J. P. Díaz Varela (✉)
Universidad Nacional del Sur, Avenida Alem 1253, 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina
e-mail: usdiavar@criba.edu.ar

A **Łukasiewicz implication algebra** is an algebra $A = \langle A, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 0 \rangle$ that satisfies the equations:

- (I1) $1 \rightarrow x \approx x$,
- (I2) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) \approx 1$,
- (I3) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \approx (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$,
- (I4) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \approx y \rightarrow x$.

We will denote by \mathcal{L} the variety of all Łukasiewicz implication algebras. The following properties are satisfied in \mathcal{L} :

- (I5) $x \rightarrow x \approx 1$,
- (I6) $x \rightarrow 1 \approx 1$,
- (I7) if $x \rightarrow y \approx y \rightarrow x \approx 1$, then $x \approx y$,
- (I8) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \approx 1$,
- (I9) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \approx y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$.

If $A \in \mathcal{L}$ then the relation $a \leq b$ if and only if $a \rightarrow b = 1$ is a partial order on A , called the *natural order of A*, with 1 as its greatest element. The join operation $x \vee y$ is given by the term $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$ and if $c \in A$, then the polynomial $p(x, y, c) := ((x \rightarrow c) \vee (y \rightarrow c)) \rightarrow c$ is such that $p(a, b, c) = a \wedge b = \inf\{a, b\}$ for $a, b \geq c$. The lattice operation satisfies the following properties:

- (L10) $(x \vee y) \rightarrow z \approx (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z)$,
- (L11) $z \rightarrow (x \vee y) \approx (z \rightarrow x) \vee (z \rightarrow y)$,

and if for $a, b \in A$, $a \wedge b$ exists then for any $c \in A$,

- (L12) $(a \wedge b) \rightarrow c \approx (a \rightarrow c) \vee (b \rightarrow c)$,
- (L13) $c \rightarrow (a \wedge b) \approx (c \rightarrow a) \wedge (c \rightarrow b)$.

For properties and definitions of *MV*-algebras see [6]. An *MV-algebra* (term equivalent to Wajsberg algebra [6, Theorem 4.2.5] and [8]) is an algebra $A = \langle A, \oplus, \neg, 0 \rangle$, of type $\langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$ that satisfies the equations:

- (MV1) $x \oplus (y \oplus z) \approx (x \oplus y) \oplus z$,
- (MV2) $x \oplus y \approx y \oplus x$,
- (MV3) $x \oplus 0 \approx x$,
- (MV4) $\neg\neg x \approx x$,
- (MV5) $x \oplus \neg 0 \approx \neg 0$,
- (MV6) $\neg(\neg x \oplus y) \oplus y \approx \neg(\neg y \oplus x) \oplus x$.

We will denote by \mathcal{MV} , the variety of all *MV*-algebras. For $A \in \mathcal{MV}$ we can define the terms

- (MV7) $1 := \neg 0$,
- (MV8) $x \rightarrow y := \neg x \oplus y$,
- (MV9) $x \odot y := \neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$.

It is known that if $A \in \mathcal{MV}$, the reduct $A^\rightarrow = \langle A, \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$ of A is a Łukasiewicz implication algebra. For basic concepts and properties of universal algebra we refer the reader to [4].

Łukasiewicz implication algebras (and MV -algebras) are congruence 1-regular. For each congruence relation θ on an algebra $A \in \mathcal{L}$ (or \mathcal{MV}), $1/\theta$ is an implicative filter, i.e., contains 1 and if $a, a \rightarrow b \in 1/\theta$, then $b \in 1/\theta$ (modus ponens); in particular, $1/\theta$ is upwardly-closed in the natural order. Conversely, for any implicative filter F of A the relation

$$\theta_F = \{\langle a, b \rangle \in A^2 : a \rightarrow b, b \rightarrow a \in F\}$$

is a congruence on A such that $F = 1/\theta_F$. In fact, the correspondence $\theta \mapsto 1/\theta$ gives an order isomorphism from the family of all congruence relations on A onto the family of all implicative filters of A , ordered by inclusion. Since any implicative filter F contains 1 and is closed by \rightarrow , then it is the universe of a subalgebra F of A . The bounded distributive lattice of the congruence relations on A is algebraic.

The subdirectly irreducible algebras in \mathcal{L} are linearly ordered relative to the natural order, or \mathcal{L} -chains (commutative BCK -chains). Finite \mathcal{L} -chains are the $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -reducts \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow of the finite \mathcal{MV} -chains \mathbf{L}_n . The algebra \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow has as universe the set of rationals $\mathbf{L}_n = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\}$, and for each $a, b \in \mathbf{L}_n, a \rightarrow b = \min(1, 1 - a + b)$. Another important \mathcal{L} -chain is the $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -reduct of the Chang’s algebra \mathbf{C}_ω [5, p. 474]:

$$\mathbf{C}_\omega^\rightarrow = \{\langle (0, y) : y \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \cup \langle (1, -y) : y \in \mathbb{N} \rangle, \rightarrow, (1, 0)\},$$

where \mathbb{N} is the set of non-negative integers and

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (z, u) = \begin{cases} (1, 0) & \text{if } z > x, \\ (1, \min(0, u - y)) & \text{if } z = x, \\ (1 - x + z, u - y) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The set $\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow = \{\langle (1, -y) : y \in \mathbb{N} \rangle\}$ is the unique maximal (proper) implicative filter of $\mathbf{C}_\omega^\rightarrow$ with $\mathbf{C}_\omega^\rightarrow / \theta_{\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow} \cong \mathbf{L}_1^\rightarrow$. Its associated subalgebra $\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow$ is not finitely generated, and any infinite subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow$ is isomorphic to a copy of it. Moreover, every non-trivial finite subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow , for some $n > 0$. In addition, $\mathbf{C}_\omega^\rightarrow$ and all \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow are two-generated and every subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow$ finitely generated is isomorphic to \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow , for some $n > 0$. In particular, \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow is a subalgebra of \mathbf{L}_m^\rightarrow for all $n \leq m$, and every infinite \mathcal{L} -chain contains a copy of \mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow for all $n \geq 0$ [10].

The lattice of all subvarieties of \mathcal{L} was described in [10], and it is a $\omega + 1$ -chain:

$$V(\mathbf{L}_0^\rightarrow) \subsetneq V(\mathbf{L}_1^\rightarrow) \subsetneq \dots V(\mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow) \subsetneq \dots V(\mathbf{L}_\omega^\rightarrow) = V(\mathbf{C}_\omega^\rightarrow) = \mathcal{L},$$

where $V(A)$ denotes the variety generated by an algebra A . Observe that $V(\mathbf{L}_0^\rightarrow)$ is the trivial variety and $V(\mathbf{L}_1^\rightarrow)$ is the variety of all implication algebras. In order to describe equationally the varieties $V(\mathbf{L}_n^\rightarrow)$, let us write $x \rightarrow^0 y := y$ and for $n \geq 0, x \rightarrow^{n+1} y := x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow^n y)$. For any $k \in \omega$, we consider the equation

$$\varepsilon_k : x \rightarrow^k y \approx x \rightarrow^{k+1} y,$$

then we have:

Theorem 1 $V(\mathcal{L}_k^\rightarrow)$ is the variety of implication Łukasiewicz algebras satisfying the equation ε_k .

Let $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]^\rightarrow = \langle [0, 1], \rightarrow, 1 \rangle$ the $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -reduct of the MV-algebra $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}] = \langle [0, 1], \oplus, \neg, 0, 1 \rangle$, where $a \oplus b = \min\{1, x + y\}$ and $\neg a = 1 - a$, for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$. For each k , $\mathcal{L}_k^\rightarrow$ is a subalgebra of $[\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]^\rightarrow$, therefore $\mathcal{L} = V([\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}]^\rightarrow)$.

2 Free algebras in \mathcal{L}

The goal of this section is to provide a description of the free algebras in \mathcal{L} . For this purpose, we would first need to refer briefly to the free algebras in \mathcal{MV} and some of their properties.

A *McNaughton function over the n -cube* [1, 6, 11, 13] is a continuous function $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ for which the following holds: there exist finitely many affine linear polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k , each f_i of the form $f_i = a_i^0 x_0 + a_i^1 x_1 + \dots + a_i^{n-1} x_{n-1} + a_i^n$, with a_i^0, \dots, a_i^n integers, such that, for each $v \in [0, 1]^n$, there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $f(v) = f_i(v)$.

If κ is an infinite cardinal, a *McNaughton function over the κ -cube*, is a continuous function $f : [0, 1]^\kappa \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which depends on finitely many variables x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n} and such that $f(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n})$ is a McNaughton function over the n -cube. It is well known [6] that the free MV-algebra over κ -generators $\mathbf{F}_\kappa(\mathcal{MV})$ is the algebra of all McNaughton functions over the κ -cube, and the generators are the projection functions $x_i : [0, 1]^\kappa \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We can limit ourselves to the case of κ finite. This is not restrictive, since every element of $\mathbf{F}_\kappa(\mathcal{MV})$ is generated by finitely many projections, and is therefore essentially an element of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$, for an appropriate choice of indices. Then in what follows, we will consider $\kappa = n$.

For a set $G \subseteq [0, 1]^n$, let

$$F_G = \{f \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}) : f(v) = 1 \text{ for all } v \in G\}.$$

Clearly F_G is an implicative filter of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$. We denote [1]

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright G = \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})/F_G,$$

and $|f|_G$ the congruence class of f in the quotient $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})/F_G$. Observe that two elements $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$ have the property $|f_1|_G = |f_2|_G$ if and only if $f_1(G) = f_2(G)$.

A *rational point* of the n -cube is a point $v \in [0, 1]^n$ such that $x_i(v)$ is a rational number for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If v is a rational point then there exists a uniquely determined sequence $\{a_i : 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ of positive integers such that:

- $a_0 > 0$,
- $x_i(v) = \frac{a_i}{a_0}$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n$,
- the greatest common divisor of the a_i 's is 1.

The numbers a'_i 's are named *homogeneous coordinates* of v , and a_0 is the *denominator* of v , $den(v)$. Observe that if v is a rational point,

$$F_n(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright \{v\} \cong \mathbf{L}_{den(v)},$$

and the homomorphism $k_v : F_n(\mathcal{MV}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_{den(v)}$, is the extension of the function defined $k_v(x_i) = x_i(v) = \frac{a_i}{a_0}$ on the projections x_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and has kernel $F_{\{v\}}$. Moreover the application $v \rightarrow F_{\{v\}}$, between rational points over $[0, 1]^n$ and maximal filters of $F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, such that the quotient is isomorphic to \mathbf{L}_s for some s , is a bijection.

For a given class \mathcal{K} of $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -algebras, we say that two terms s, t are \mathcal{K} -equivalent if the equation $s \approx t$ holds in \mathcal{K} . Since the class \mathcal{L} is a variety of BCK-algebras, it follows from [3, Fact 0] that any $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -term $s(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is \mathcal{L} -equivalent to a $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -term

$$s'(x_1, \dots, x_n) = s_1 \rightarrow (s_2 \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (s_r \rightarrow x_i) \dots)),$$

where $x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $s_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, are terms in the variables x_1, \dots, x_n in which 1 does not appear. Thus, for any $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -term s there is a variable x , which appears in s , such that the equation $x \rightarrow s \approx 1$ holds in \mathcal{L} . Therefore, for every subvariety V of \mathcal{L} , every element of $F_n(V)$ is greater than or equal to some generator. Hence:

Lemma 2 *If V is a subvariety of \mathcal{L} , then $F_n(V) = \bigcup_{x \in X} [x]$, where X is the set of generators of $F_n(V)$ and $[x] = \{y \in F_n(V) : x \leq y\}$.*

Remark 3 As $\mathcal{L} = V(\mathbf{[0, 1]}^\rightarrow)$, is a fact, from standard Universal Algebra, that $F_n(\mathcal{L})$ is a subalgebra of the \mathcal{L} -algebra whose elements are the functions from $(\mathbf{[0, 1]}^\rightarrow)^n$ to $\mathbf{[0, 1]}^\rightarrow$, under pointwise operations. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, the i th free generator of $F_n(\mathcal{L})$ is the i th projection $x_i : (\mathbf{[0, 1]}^\rightarrow)^n \rightarrow \mathbf{[0, 1]}^\rightarrow$. By the previous lemma, if $f \in F_n(\mathcal{L})$ there is $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_i \leq f$. As \mathcal{L} is the class of all $\{\rightarrow, 1\}$ -subreducts of all \mathcal{MV} -algebras, $F_n(\mathcal{L})$, is a subreduct of $F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, moreover is isomorphic to the implication subalgebra of $F_n(\mathcal{MV})$ generated by x_1, \dots, x_n . Then we can consider $F_n(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq F_n(\mathcal{MV})$. Moreover $F_n(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n [x_i]$ with $[x_i] = \{f \in F_n(\mathcal{MV}) : x_i \leq f\}$.

For the n -cube $[0, 1]^n$, we have exactly $2n, (n - 1)$ -faces (faces of dimension $n - 1$), they are for $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$C_i^0 = \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in [0, 1]^n : v_i = 0\},$$

and

$$C_i^1 = \{(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in [0, 1]^n : v_i = 1\},$$

i.e., the faces C_i^0 are the $(n - 1)$ -faces that contain the origin $\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0)$, and the faces C_i^1 are the $(n - 1)$ -faces that contain the vertex $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$.
 Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of the paper.

Theorem 4 *Let $F_n(\mathcal{L})$ be the free Łukasiewicz implication algebra over n generators. For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $O = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i^0$ and $|x_i|_O$ be the congruence class of the projections x_i in $F_n(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright O$. Then*

$$F_n(\mathcal{L}) \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^n [|x_i|_O],$$

where $[|x_i|_O] = \{|f|_O \in F_n(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright O : |x_i|_O \leq |f|_O\}$.

For the proof of this theorem we reproduce the construction of the elements in the free MV -algebra given in [1, Theorem 3.1] and [12].

- (1) For each vertex $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ of the n -cube, let $h_v \in F_n(\mathcal{MV})$ be defined as follows:

$$h_v = \begin{cases} x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n, & \text{if } v = \mathbf{0}, \\ \neg x_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg x_n, & \text{if } v = \mathbf{1}, \\ \bigvee \{x_i \rightarrow x_j : v_i = 1, \text{ and } v_j = 0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $S_0 = \{h_v : v \text{ a vertex of the } n\text{-cube}\}$.

- (2) Given a finite subset S of $F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, a *starring* of S consists in choosing two elements $h \neq k$ in S , and in forming the new set

$$S' = (S \setminus \{h, k\}) \cup \{h \vee k, h \rightarrow k, k \rightarrow h\}.$$

- (3) For every $f \in F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, there exists a finite sequence of starrings S_0, \dots, S_l , leading from S_0 to the set S_l having the property that

$$f = k_1 \odot \dots \odot k_m,$$

for some $k_1, \dots, k_m \in S_l$.

For $f \in F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, we say that f is $\{\rightarrow\}$ -term if there exists a term containing only \rightarrow corresponding to f (if 1 appears it can be replaced by $x \rightarrow x$), i.e., f is the interpretation of a $\{\rightarrow\}$ -term $t_f(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ in $F_n(\mathcal{MV})$, when we replace the variables y_1, \dots, y_n by the free generators x_1, \dots, x_n .

Proof of the Theorem 4 First observe that

$$|x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n|_O = |0|_O.$$

This is immediate from the fact that $x_i(C_i^0) = 0$, then $(x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n)(v) = 0$ for every $v \in O$. Thus for $f \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$, by **(H3)**,

$$|\neg f|_O = |f|_O \rightarrow |0|_O = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (|f|_O \rightarrow |x_i|_O).$$

Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$ and $g = f_1 \odot f_2$. Then $g = \neg(f_1 \rightarrow \neg f_2)$ and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |g|_O &= |\neg(f_1 \rightarrow \neg f_2)|_O = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \left(\left| f_1 \rightarrow \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^n (f_2 \rightarrow x_j) \right) \right|_O \rightarrow |x_i|_O \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \bigvee_{j=1}^n (|f_1 \rightarrow (f_2 \rightarrow x_j)|_O \rightarrow |x_i|_O). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, as \vee is an $\{\rightarrow\}$ -term (in the sense that $x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$), $|f_1 \odot f_2|_O$ is equivalent to an infimum of $\{\rightarrow\}$ -terms.

For this simple observation we have that

$$|h_v|_O = \begin{cases} |x_1 \vee \dots \vee x_n|_O, & \text{is a } \{\rightarrow\}\text{-term} & \text{if } v = \mathbf{0}, \\ |\neg x_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg x_n|_O = |1|_O, & \text{is a } \{\rightarrow\}\text{-term} & \text{if } v = \mathbf{1}, \\ |\bigvee \{x_i \rightarrow x_j : v_i = 1, \text{ and } v_j = 0\}|_O, & \text{is a } \{\rightarrow\}\text{-term} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the construction of the starrings given in (2), the elements of a starring S of S_0 are in the same congruence class of a $\{\rightarrow\}$ -term. Since $|f_1 \odot f_2|_O$ is equivalent to an infimum of $\{\rightarrow\}$ -terms, by item (3), every $f \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$ is in the same class of an infimum of $\{\rightarrow\}$ -terms. Then for every $f \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$

$$|f|_O = \bigwedge_{i=1}^l |f_i^{\rightarrow}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|_O,$$

where $f_i^{\rightarrow}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are $\{\rightarrow\}$ -terms.

Suppose that $|x_i|_O \leq |f|_O$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f|_O &= |x_i|_O \vee |f|_O = \left(\left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^l |f_i^{\rightarrow}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|_O \right) \rightarrow |x_i|_O \right) \rightarrow |x_i|_O \\ &= \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^l (|f_i^{\rightarrow}(x_1, \dots, x_n)|_O \rightarrow |x_i|_O) \right) \rightarrow |x_i|_O, \end{aligned}$$

thus $|f|_O$ is a $\{\rightarrow\}$ -term and $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [|x_i|_O]$ is an implication algebra generated by $|X|_O = \{|x_1|_O, \dots, |x_n|_O\}$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n [|x_i|_O]$ is a homomorphic image of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L})$.

Let $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L})$, $f_1 \neq f_2$. Since $\mathcal{L} = V(\{\mathbf{L}_s\}_{s \geq 1})$, there is $s \geq 1$ and an epimorphism $k : \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_s$ such that $k(f_1) \neq k(f_2)$. Observe that k is determined

by the image of the generators $k(x_i)$ and, as k is onto, there is an $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $\mathbf{L}_s = [k(x_{i_0})]$. Hence, there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $k(x_{i_0}) = 0$.

On the other hand, if $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we have that $X \subseteq \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV})$. Then k can be extended to an onto homomorphism $k_v : \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_s$ ($s = \text{den}(v)$) such that $k_v \upharpoonright \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}) = k$. Hence $x_{i_0}(v) = k_v(x_{i_0}) = k(x_{i_0}) = 0$ and therefore $v \in O$. Since $v \in O$ and $f_1(v) = k_v(f_1) = k(f_1) \neq k(f_2) = k_v(f_2) = f_2(v)$, we have $|f_1|_O \neq |f_2|_O$, and the theorem is proved. \square

As example we give a representation for $\mathbf{F}_2(\mathcal{L})$. Consider the MV -algebra,

$$\mathbf{M}_2 = \mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{MV}) \times \mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{MV}).$$

Let $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{M}_2$, we say that the pair (f_1, f_2) is *compatible* if $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$. Let \mathbf{M}_2^c be the \mathcal{MV} -subalgebra of \mathbf{M}_2 of compatible pairs. Let $x_1 = (x, 0)$ and $x_2 = (0, x)$ where x is the free generator of $\mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{MV})$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $[x_i] = \{(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbf{M}_2^c : x_i \leq (f_1, f_2)\}$. By the previous theorem we have that

$$\mathbf{F}_2(\mathcal{L}) \cong [x_1] \cup [x_2].$$

Let \mathcal{MV}_k be the variety of k -potent \mathcal{MV} -algebras, i.e., the variety of \mathcal{MV} -algebras generated by the algebras \mathbf{L}_s with $s \leq k$ (the subvariety that satisfies ε_k). In [13], the free algebra $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}_k)$ is described. More precisely

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}_k) \cong \prod \{ \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright \{v\} : v \text{ rational point, } \text{den}(v) \leq k \}.$$

As immediate consequence of this and Theorem 4 we have:

Corollary 5 *Let $\mathcal{L}_k = V(\mathbf{L}_k)$ be the k -potent subvariety of \mathcal{L} . Then*

$$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}_k) \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^n [|x_i|_O],$$

Where $[x_i]_O = \{|f|_O \in \mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}_k) \upharpoonright O : |x_i|_O \leq |f|_O\}$, with x_i the generators of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{MV}_k)$.

This is a new representation of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}_k)$ different of that given in [2].

Examples:

- For all $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{L}) \cong \mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{L}_k) \cong \mathbf{F}_1(\mathcal{MV}) \upharpoonright \{0\} \cong \mathbf{2},$$

where $\mathbf{2}$ is the two-element implication algebra.

- $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{L}_1) \cong \bigcup_{x \in X} [x]$, with X the set of free generators of $\mathbf{F}_n(\mathcal{B})$ and \mathcal{B} the variety of Boolean algebras.

- $F_2(\mathcal{L}_2)$ is described in [7]. If $g_1 = (0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$, and $g_2 = (0, 0, 1, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ are elements in $F_2(\mathcal{MV}_2) \upharpoonright \mathcal{O} \cong \mathbf{L}_1^3 \times \mathbf{L}_2^2$, then

$$F_2(\mathcal{L}_2) \cong [g_1] \cup [g_2].$$

References

1. Agliano, P., Panti, G.: Geometrical methods in Wajsberg hoops. *J. Algebra* **256**, 352–374 (2002)
2. Berman, J., Blok, W.J.: Free Łukasiewicz hoop residuation algebras. *Stud. Log.* **77**, 153–180 (2004)
3. Blok, W.J., Raftery, J.G.: On the quasivariety of BCK-algebras and its subquasivarieties. *Algebra Universalis* **33**, 68–90 (1995)
4. Burris, S., Sankappanavar, H.P.: *A Course in Universal Algebra*. Graduate texts in Mathematics, vol. 78. Springer, New York (1981)
5. Chang, C.C.: Algebraic analysis of many-valued logics. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **88**, 467–490 (1958)
6. Cignoli, R., D'Ottaviano, I., Mundici, D.: *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning*. Trends in Logics, vol. 7. Kluwer, Dordrecht (2000)
7. Díaz Varela, J.P., Torrens, A.: Decomposability of free Łukasiewicz implication algebras. *Arch. Math. Log.* **45**, 1011–1020 (2006)
8. Font, J.M., Rodríguez, A.J., Torrens, A.: Wajsberg algebras. *Stochastica* **8**, 5–31 (1984)
9. Komori, Y.: The separation theorem of the \mathfrak{K}_0 -valued propositional logic. *Rep. Fac. Sci. Shizuoka Univ.* **12**, 1–5 (1978)
10. Komori, Y.: Super-Łukasiewicz implicational logics. *Nogoya Math. J.* **72**, 127–133 (1978)
11. Mundici, D.: MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK.-algebras. *Math. Jpn.* **31**, 889–894 (1986)
12. Panti, G.: A geometric proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz calculus. *J. Symb. Log.* **60**(2), 563–578 (1995)
13. Panti, G.: Varieties of MV-algebras. *Multi Valued Log.* **9**(1), 141–157 (1999)