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Abstract

Given a finite–dimensional monomial algebra $A$ we consider the trivial extension $TA$ and provide formulae, depending on the characteristic of the field, for the dimensions of the summands $HH_1(A)$ and $\text{Alt}(DA)$ of the first Hochschild cohomology group $HH^1(TA)$. From these a formula for the dimension of $HH^1(TA)$ can be derived.
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1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the first Hochschild cohomology group $HH^1(TA)$ of the trivial extension of a finite–dimensional monomial algebra $A = kQ/ < Z >$ where $k$ is a field, $Q$ a finite quiver and $Z$ a set of paths of length at least two.

Given an algebra $\Lambda$ and a $\Lambda - \Lambda$–bimodule $X$, the Hochschild cohomology groups $H^i(\Lambda, X)$, introduced in [14], are the groups $\text{Ext}^i_{\Lambda - \Lambda}(\Lambda, X)$. In particular if $X = \Lambda$ we write $HH^i(\Lambda) = H^i(\Lambda, \Lambda)$. Analogously, the Hochschild homology groups $H_i(\Lambda, X)$ are the groups $\text{Tor}^i_{\Lambda - \Lambda}(\Lambda, X)$ and we write $HH_i(\Lambda) = H_i(\Lambda, \Lambda)$. Although these groups are not easy to compute in general, some approaches have been successful when the algebra $\Lambda$ is given by a quiver with relations. For instance, explicit formulae for the dimensions of $HH^i(\Lambda)$ in terms of those combinatorial data have been found in [5, 6, 8, 11, 12].

The first Hochschild cohomology group plays an important role in the representation theory of algebras since it is related to the separation properties of the vertices of the quiver $\Lambda$, and to the notion of (strong) simple connectedness (see [2, 3, 13, 17, 20]). The importance of simply connected algebras follows from the fact that we may often reduce the study of indecomposable modules over an algebra to that of the corresponding simply connected algebras.

Given an algebra $A$ we consider $DA$, the dual $A - A$–bimodule of $A$. The trivial extension $TA$ is the algebra whose underlying vector space is $A \oplus DA$, and the product is given by $(a, f)(b, g) = (ab, ag + fb)$ for any $a,b \in A$, $f,g \in DA$. In other words, $A$ is a subalgebra of $TA$ and $DA$ is a two–sided ideal endowed with the zero multiplicative structure.

In [10] it has been shown that if $A$ is a finite–dimensional algebra, $HH^1(TA)$ is a direct sum of four vector spaces. More precisely,

$$HH^1(TA) = Z(A) \oplus HH^1(A) \oplus HH_1(A)^* \oplus \text{Alt}(DA),$$
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where $Z(A)$ is the center of $A$ and $\text{Alt}(DA) = \{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(DA, A) : \varphi + \varphi^* = 0 \}$. As an immediate consequence we have that $HH^1(TA)$ never vanishes. The first and second summands have been studied in \cite{11}, when $A$ is a monomial algebra, and explicit formul\ae for their dimensions are obtained. The Lie algebra structure of $HH^1(TA)$ with respect to this decomposition is described in \cite{10}.

In this paper we compute the third and the fourth summands for a given monomial algebra $A$ in terms of the combinatorics of the quiver and of the set of path relations. In particular we provide precise criteria for the vanishing of those summands.

An important tool for the computations is given by the circuits of a quiver, which are equivalence classes of cycles under rotation, see Definition \ref{def:circuits}. Each circuit has a well defined multiplicity, in characteristic $p$, the circuits which have a multiplicity that is not divisible by $p$. We call them $p'$-circuits by analogy with the $p'$-conjugacy classes of a group.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce some notations and definitions, and we provide formul\ae for the dimension of the first Hochschild homology group $HH_1(A)$ when the field $k$ has characteristic zero. In Section 3 we extend the previous results for any field $k$ of positive characteristic. In Section 4 we obtain a dimension formula for the vector space $\text{Alt}(DA)$ and, as an application of the formul\ae obtained in the paper, we describe the monomial algebras $A$ for which $HH^1(TA)$ is minimal, that is, $\dim_k HH^1(TA) = 1$. It turns out that this condition is equivalent to $HH^1(A) = 0$. We do not know if this equivalence holds for a wider class of algebras – this question has been pointed out by the referee.

All the algebras considered here are finite–dimensional, but results in Section 2 and 3 hold also for infinite–dimensional monomial algebras.

## 2 Degree one Hochschild homology of a monomial algebra

Let $k$ be a field, $Q$ a finite quiver with set of vertices $Q_0$, set of arrows $Q_1$ and $s, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$ be the maps providing each arrow $a$ with its source vertex $s(a)$ and its terminal vertex $t(a)$. A path $\alpha$ of length $l$ is a sequence of $l$ arrows $a_1 \ldots a_1$ such that $t(a_i) = s(a_{i+1})$. We put $s(\alpha) = s(a_1)$ and $t(\alpha) = t(a_1)$. Any vertex $u$ is a trivial path of length zero and we put $s(u) = t(u) = u$. A cycle is a path $\alpha$ such that $s(\alpha) = t(\alpha)$; vertices are always cycles. The corresponding path algebra $kQ$ is the vector space with basis all the paths in $Q$ and whose product on the basis elements is defined by the concatenation of the sequences of arrows of the paths $\beta$ and $\alpha$ if they form a path (namely, if $t(\alpha) = s(\beta)$) and zero otherwise. Vertices form a complete set of orthogonal idempotents. Note that $\alpha s(\alpha) = \alpha$ while $\alpha u = 0$ if $u \neq s(\alpha)$. We have also $t(\alpha) \alpha = \alpha$ and $u \alpha = 0$ if $u \neq t(\alpha)$. Since all summands in $HH^1(TA)$ are additive with respect to the decomposition of $A$ into a finite direct product of algebras, it is not restrictive for our purposes to assume that $Q$ is connected, something that we do from now on.

Next consider $Z$ a set of paths of length at least two which is minimal with respect to the subpath order relation, namely, for each $\gamma \in Z$, strict subpaths of $\gamma$ are not in $Z$. We denote $\langle Z \rangle$ the two-sided ideal generated by $Z$, and $kQ/\langle Z \rangle$ is by definition a monomial algebra. We fix $Q$ and $Z$ and put $A = kQ/\langle Z \rangle$ in the sequel.

The purpose of this section is to provide a combinatorial formula computing the dimension of the first Hochschild homology vector space of a monomial algebra, when char $k = 0$.

We need some notation in order to describe a chain complex computing Hochschild homology. Let $B$ be the set of paths of $Q$ which do not contain any path of $Z$. In other words, $B$ is a basis of a subvector space of $kQ$ complementing $\langle Z \rangle$ and $B$ is identified with a $k$–basis of the monomial algebra. Product of paths in $B$ is given by usual concatenation, which can be zero if it contains a path from $Z$. Paths containing a path from $Z$ are called zero paths.
Now we describe the set of **cyclic pairs** of paths. Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be sets of paths. Then
\[
X \odot Y = \{ (\alpha, \beta) : t(\beta) = s(\alpha) \text{ and } t(\alpha) = s(\beta) \}.
\]

Note that \( Q_0 \odot X \) is just the set of cycles in \( X \).

We denote by \( k(X \odot Y) \) the vector space with basis the set \( X \odot Y \). This notation is very convenient to describe a chain complex whose homology is \( HH_*(A) \). We denote by \( Z_n \) instead of \( \Gamma_n \) the set of \( n \)-chains defined in \( \mathfrak{H} \) (hence, \( Z_2 = Z, Z_1 = Q_1 \) and \( Z_0 = Q_0 \)).

This set is independent of whether we consider left or right modules (cf \([4]\)[Lemma 3.1]) and, as proved in \([4]\)[Theorem 4.1], the minimal projective resolution \( \{ \} \) corresponding (unique) factorization (here \( \alpha \) where \( HH \Gamma \) instead of \( HH \)).

\[
\text{Note that } Q_0 \odot X \text{ is just the set of cycles in } X.
\]

Next we show that the above complex decomposes along the circuits of \( Q \).

**Lemma 2.1.** The Hochschild homology of \( A \) is the homology of the complex having \( k(Z_n \odot B) \) in the \( n \)-th position and differential \( d : k(Z_{n+1} \odot B) \rightarrow k(Z_n \odot B) \) given by \( d(p^{n+1}_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} (-1)^{i-1} (p^n_i, \mu_i b \beta_i) \), if we make the convention that a summand is zero when \( \mu \beta \beta_i \) is a zero path.

This complex will be referred to as Bardzell’s complex and its initial part is:
\[
\cdots \rightarrow k(Z \odot B) \xrightarrow{d_1} k(Q_1 \odot B) \xrightarrow{d_0} k(Q_0 \odot B) \rightarrow 0
\]

where
\[
d_0(a, \beta) = (t(a), a\beta) - (s(a), \beta a)
\]
\[
d_1(a, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i a_{i-1} \ldots a_1 \beta a_n \ldots a_{i+1})
\]

for \( \alpha = a_n \ldots a_1 \) any path in \( Z \).

Next we show that the above complex decomposes along the circuits of \( Q \) that we define below.

**Definition 2.2.** Among the set of cycles of a quiver, consider the equivalence relation generated by
\[
\gamma_n \ldots \gamma_1 \sim \gamma_1 \gamma_n \ldots \gamma_2.
\]

The second path is called the rotated of the first path. An equivalence class for this relation is by definition a circuit, and we denote by \( C \) the set of circuits. A circuit is said to be trivial if it corresponds to a vertex.

Cyclic pairs of paths provide circuits by concatenation, namely we have a map
\[
X \odot Y \rightarrow C \quad (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \overline{\alpha \beta} = \overline{\beta \alpha}.
\]

If \( C \) is a fixed circuit we denote by \((X \odot Y)_C \) the fiber over \( C \) of this map, namely
\[
(X \odot Y)_C = \{ (\alpha, \beta) \in X \odot Y : \alpha \beta \in C \}.
\]

**Proposition 2.3.** There exists a decomposition \( k(Z_n \odot B) = \oplus_{C \in C} k(Z_n \odot B)_C \) which is preserved by the differentials of Bardzell’s complex. In particular,
\[
HH_n(A) = \oplus_{C \in C} HH_n(A),
\]

where \( HH_n(A) \) is the homology of the \( C \)-split part of the complex.
Proof. The decomposition is clear. If \((p^{n+1}, b) \in (Z_{n+1} \circ B)_C\), then 
\[ d(p^{n+1}, b) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq s} (-1)^i (p_i, \mu_i b_\theta_i) \] 
has the property that \((p_i, \mu_i b_\theta_i) \in (Z_n \circ B)_C\), due to the 
fact that \(p^{n+1} = \theta p^n \mu_i\).

\[\square\]

Remark 2.4. The above decomposition also holds for cyclic homology. In \cite{15}, relations 
between cyclic homology and global dimension for monomial algebras are obtained; see 
also \cite{15}.

We will compute for each circuit \(C\) the corresponding first homology group \(H_1, C := HH_1, C(A)\). Of course if \((Q_1 \circ B)_C = \emptyset\) then \(H_1, C = 0\). Hence we concentrate on the set 
of circuits \(C\) such that \((Q_1 \circ B)_C \neq \emptyset\). In the sequel we will need the following definitions.

Definition 2.5.

i) A circuit \(C\) is said to be useful if \((Q_1 \circ B)_C \neq \emptyset\).

ii) A circuit \(C\) is said to be strong if each cycle of \(C\) is a basis vector. In other 
words there is no zero cycle belonging to the circuit \(C\).

iii) A circuit \(C\) is said to be efficient if it is a useful (non strong) circuit satisfying 
\((Z \circ B)_C \neq \emptyset\).

While computing \(H_1\) we will need an evaluation of the differences \(|Q_1 \circ B| - |Q_0 \circ B|\).

Definition 2.6. Let \(W\) be the set of cycles in \(Q\) containing precisely one path of \(Z\) 
located at its end. In other words, a cycle \(\gamma\) is in \(W\) if \(\gamma = \xi \alpha\) with \(\xi \in Z\) and no other 
subpath of \(\gamma\) belongs to \(Z\). Let \(w = |W|\) and \(w_C = |W \cap C|\) for \(C\) a given circuit.

Lemma 2.7.

\[ |Q_1 \circ B| - |Q_0 \circ B| = w - |Q_0|, \quad \text{and} \]
\[ |(Q_1 \circ B)_C| - |(Q_0 \circ B)_C| = w_C \quad \text{if } C \text{ is a non trivial circuit.} \]

Proof. Let \(B^+\) denote the set \(B \setminus Q_0\) of non zero paths of positive length, and consider 
the map

\[ Q_0 \circ B^+ \xrightarrow{\varphi} Q_1 \circ B \]

which removes the last arrow of a path of \(B^+\) and inserts it as a first component:

\[ \varphi(s, a_n \ldots a_1) = (a_n, a_{n-1} \ldots a_1). \]

This map is clearly injective. The complement of its image consists of cyclic pairs 
\((a, \beta) \in Q_1 \circ B\) such that \(a \beta\) is no longer in \(B\). Since \(\beta \in B\), we have that \(a \beta\) contains 
paths from \(Z\) whose last arrow is \(a\). Moreover, since \(Z\) is minimal, \(a \beta\) contains exactly 
one path of \(Z\) located at its end, which means that \(a \beta \in W\).

Conversely, each cycle \(\gamma \in W\) has positive length and if \(\gamma = a_n \ldots a_1\) then 
\((a_n, a_{n-1} \ldots a_1) \in (Q_1 \circ B) \setminus \text{Im } \varphi\). We have proved that 
\(|Q_1 \circ B| - |Q_0 \circ B^+| = w\). Note that \(Q_0 \circ B = (Q_0 \circ B^+) \cup Q_0\) and this provides the complete formula. The 
specialized formula for a non trivial circuit is clear.

Recall from 2.5 the definition of strong and useful circuits, and note that any non 
strong trivial circuit is useful.

Proposition 2.8. Let \(C\) be a useful strong circuit, that is, a non trivial strong circuit. 
Then \(\dim_k H_1, C = 1\).

Proof. Since \(C\) is strong, \((Z \circ B)_C = \emptyset\), otherwise there would be a zero cycle in the 
strong circuit \(C\). Therefore \(\dim_k H_1, C = \dim_k \text{Ker } d_{0,C}\). Moreover, \(C\) being strong 
also implies \(W \cap C = \emptyset\), consequently the preceding lemma shows that 
\(|(Q_0 \circ B)_C| = |(Q_1 \circ B)_C|\). Hence \(\dim_k H_1, C = \dim_k \text{Coker } d_{0,C}\). Recall that 
\(d_{0,C}(a, \beta) = a \beta - \beta a\). Since \(C\) is strong, \(a \beta\) and \(\beta a\) are in \(B\) for each 
\((a, \beta) \in (Q_1 \circ B)_C\). So each difference
of a cycle of \( C \) with its rotated cycle is in \( \text{Im} d_{0,C} \). In fact the image of the basis elements of \((Q_1 \odot B)_C\) are precisely those. Now the square matrix of \( d_{0,C} \) is

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & \ddots \\
0 & -1 & 1 & \\
& & & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

which shows that \( \dim_k \text{Coker} d_{0,C} = 1 \).

We turn now to useful circuits which are not strong in order to complete the computation of \( HH_1 \) along the circuits.

**Lemma 2.9.** Let \( C \) be a useful circuit which is not strong. Then \( d_{0,C} \) is surjective.

**Proof.** Consider the equivalence relation on circuits restricted to \( B \cap C \). Since \( C \) is not strong there is at least one zero cycle in \( C \), which implies that each equivalence class in \( B \cap C \) is now totally ordered, with the elementary step for this ordering given by rotation. The first and the last element of each of those totally ordered classes are attained by \( d_{0,C} \), as well as all the successive differences. This shows that each element of the class is in the image of \( d_{0,C} \).

**Proposition 2.10.** Let \( C \) be a useful circuit which is not strong, satisfying \((Z \odot B)_C = \emptyset\). Then \( H_{1,C} = 0 \).

**Proof.** Since \( d_{1,C} = 0 \) we have that \( H_{1,C} = \text{Ker} d_{0,C} \). By the preceding result \( d_{0,C} \) is surjective, hence

\[
\dim_k H_{1,C} = |(Q_1 \odot B)_C| - |(Q_0 \odot B)_C| = w_C
\]

where \( w_C \) is the number of cycles in \( C \) which have only one subpath from \( Z \) located at its end. But if there exists such a path, then \((Z \odot B)_C\) would be non empty. Hence \( w_C = 0 \).

We focus now on efficient circuits, see Definition 2.5.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let \( C \) be an efficient circuit. If \( k \) has characteristic zero we have \( \dim_k H_{1,C} = w_C - 1 \).

In order to prove this result we will define a canonical element \( K_C \) in \( k(Q_1 \odot B)_C \) which will be also useful in positive characteristic. First notice that if \( \gamma = a_m \ldots a_1 \) is a cycle in the quiver, each \( a_i \) arising in the sequence of arrows has a well defined complement path in the cycle, namely

\[
a^{co}_i = a_{i+1} \ldots a_1 a_m \ldots a_{i-1}.
\]

Moreover \((a_i, a_i^co)\) is a cyclic pair of paths. Note that \( a_i \) and \( a_i \) can coincide as arrows, but in general \( a_i^co \neq a_j^co \). Note however that \( a_i^co = a_j^co \) can also occur for \( i \neq j \).

**Definition 2.12.** Let \( C \) be a circuit and \( \gamma = a_m \ldots a_1 \) any cycle belonging to \( C \). Let \( K_C = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_i, a_i^{co}) \in k(Q_1 \odot B)_C \).

**Remark 2.13.**

a) Our convention is in force, namely if for some \( i \) we have \( a_i^{co} \notin B \) then the pair \((a_i, a_i^{co})\) is considered as zero in \( k(Q_1 \odot B) \).

b) It is clear that \( K_C \) does not depend on the choice of the cycle in \( C \).

**Lemma 2.14.** If \( k \) has characteristic zero and \( C \) is a useful cycle, then \( K_C \neq 0 \).
Proof. Since \((Q_1 \circ B)_C \neq \emptyset\) there exists at least one arrow \(a_i\) of a cycle \(\gamma\) of \(C\) such that \(a_i^\alpha \in B\). Note that all the coefficients appearing in the definition of \(K_C\) are equal to one, and no sum of them can be zero in characteristic zero. □

Proposition 2.15. Let \(C\) be an efficient circuit. Then \(\text{Im} \, d_{1,C} = kK_C\).

Remark 2.16. This result does not depend on the characteristic. Note however that in positive characteristic \(K_C\) can be zero.

Proof. Since \(C\) is efficient, there exists a cyclic pair \((\alpha, \beta) \in (Z \circ B)_C\) and we use the cycle \(\beta \alpha = b_n \cdots b_1 a_m \cdots a_1\) in order to construct \(K_C\):

\[
K_C = (a_1, \beta a_m \cdots a_2) + (a_2, a_1 \beta a_m \cdots a_3) + \cdots + (a_m, a_{m-1} \cdots a_1 \beta).
\]

Indeed each term of the form \((b_j, b_{j-1} \cdots b_1 a_m \cdots b_{j+1})\) is zero since its second component is not in \(B\). Note that some of the written terms in \(K_C\) can also be zero, but this has no incidence in this proof. By definition of \(d_{1,C}\) we obtain \(d_{1,C}(\alpha, \beta) = K_C\). □

Proof. of Proposition 2.14. The proof is now obvious since \(C\) is efficient, useful and not strong, hence \(d_0\) is surjective. In characteristic zero we have proved that \(\dim_k \text{Im} \, d_{1,C} = 1\), while \(|(Q_1 \circ B)_C| - |(Q_0 \circ B)_C| = w_C\). □

The results we have obtained show that in characteristic zero the contributing circuits for \(HH_1\) are the non trivial strong circuits and the efficient ones. The following statement is obtained by assembling the previous results.

Corollary 2.17. Let \(A = kQ/ < Z >\) be a monomial algebra, with \(k\) a field of characteristic zero and \(Q\) a finite connected quiver. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. \(HH_1(A) = 0\).

2. Every non trivial circuit of \(Q\) contains a zero cycle and, whenever \(C\) is a circuit such that \((Q_1 \circ B)_C \neq \emptyset \neq (Z \circ B)_C\), there is exactly one pair \((\xi, \beta) \in (Z \circ B)_C\) such that the cycle \(\xi \beta\) contains no zero relation apart from \(\xi\).

More generally, the following formula holds as a direct consequence of the previous discussion.

Theorem 2.18. Let \(A = kQ/ < Z >\) be a monomial algebra, with \(k\) a field of characteristic zero and \(Q\) a finite connected quiver. We have

\[
\dim_k HH_1(A) = s + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{E}} w_C - e
\]

where \(\mathcal{E}\) is the set of efficient circuits, \(e = |\mathcal{E}|\), \(s\) is the number of non trivial strong circuits and \(w_C\) is defined in Definition 2.6.

A formula avoiding the integers \(w_C\) can also be obtained as follows.

Corollary 2.19. Let \(A = kQ/ < Z >\) be a monomial algebra, with \(k\) a field of characteristic zero and \(Q\) a finite connected quiver. Then

\[
\dim_k HH_1(A) = |Q_1 \circ B| - |Q_0 \circ B| + |Q_0| - e + s.
\]

Proof. We compute \(\sum_{C \in \mathcal{E}} w_C\) in order to replace it in the previous result. Note that if \(C\) is trivial then \(w_C = 0\). We assert that also if \(C\) is not efficient then \(w_C = 0\). Indeed, if \((Z \circ B)_C = \emptyset\) then clearly \(w_C = 0\), while if \((Q_1 \circ B)_C = \emptyset\) and \(C\) is not trivial then \((Q_0 \circ B)_C = \emptyset\) since there is an injective map \(\varphi_C : (Q_0 \circ B^+)_C \to (Q_1 \circ B)_C\), as in the proof of Lemma 2.11. This implies that \(w_C = |(Q_1 \circ B)_C| - |(Q_0 \circ B)_C| = 0\). Consequently

\[
\sum_{C \in \mathcal{E}} w_C = \sum_{C \in \mathcal{E}} w_C = |Q_1 \circ B| - |Q_0 \circ B| + |Q_0|.
\]

□
Example 2.20. The algebra with quiver $Q$ whose set of vertices is $Q_0 = \mathbb{Z}_5$, with arrows $a_i : i \to i + 1$, for $i \in Q_0$, subject to the relations $a_1a_2a_1 = 0 = a_2a_1a_2$, satisfies $HH_1(A) = 0$, whereas for the canonical circuit $C$ we have $2 = |(Z \odot B)_C| > w_C = 1$. This shows that in Corollary 2.14 the last condition cannot be replaced by $|(Z \odot B)_C| = 1$.

3 Degree one Hochschild homology of a monomial algebra in positive characteristic

Let $C$ be a circuit and $\gamma = a_n \ldots a_1$ be a cycle in $C$. Among the possible iterated rotated cycles of $\gamma$ clearly the $n$-th one coincides with $\gamma$. Let $l$ be the smallest integer such that the $l$-th rotated of $\gamma$ coincides with $\gamma$. We have $l|n$ and $l$ is called the period of the circuit while $m = \frac{n}{l}$ is its multiplicity.

Recall that $K_C$ is defined using any cycle $\gamma = a_n \ldots a_1$ of the circuit $C$,

$$K_C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i, a_i^\alpha) \in k(Q_1 \odot B)_C.$$ 

Let $\Delta_C = \sum_{(a, \beta) \in (Q_1 \odot B)_C} (a, \beta)$. Clearly if $C$ is useful then $\Delta_C \neq 0$ for $k$ a field of any characteristic since $\Delta_C$ is the sum of all the basis vectors, a non empty set.

The following result follows from the above considerations.

Lemma 3.1. Let $C$ be a circuit of multiplicity $m$. Then $K_C = m \Delta_C$.

Definition 3.2. Let $p$ be a prime number. A circuit is called a $p'$-circuit if its multiplicity is not divisible by $p$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $C$ be an efficient circuit. If $C$ is a $p'$-circuit then $\dim_k H_{1, C} = w_C - 1$ and $\dim_k H_{1, C} = w_C$ otherwise.

Proof. For an efficient circuit we have proved that $\text{Im} d_1, C = kK_C = km \Delta_C$. If $C$ is a $p'$-circuit, $\dim_k \text{Im} d_1, C = 1$. Otherwise $d_1, C = 0$.

The next result computes the dimension of $HH_1(A)$ for a field $k$ of positive characteristic using the same decomposition as in characteristic zero but considering $p'$-circuits.

Theorem 3.4. Let $A = kQ/ < Z >$ be a monomial algebra, with $k$ a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $Q$ a finite connected quiver, and let $e_{p'}$ be the number of efficient $p'$-circuits in $Q$. Then

$$\dim_k HH_1(A) = s + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{E}} w_C - e_{p'}$$

$$= |Q_1 \odot B| - |Q_0 \odot B| + |Q_0| - e_{p'} + s,$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the set of efficient circuits, $s$ is the number of non trivial strong circuits and $w_C$ is defined in Definition 2.6.

Corollary 3.5. Let $A = kQ/ < Z >$ be a monomial algebra, with $k$ a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and $Q$ a finite connected quiver. Then $HH_1(A) = 0$ if and only if every non trivial circuit contains a zero cycle and every circuit $C$ with $(Q_1 \odot B)_C \neq \emptyset \neq (Z \odot B)_C$ is a $p'$-circuit such that there is exactly one pair $(\xi, \beta) \in (Z \odot B)_C$ for which the cycle $\xi \beta$ contains no zero relation apart from $\xi$. 

7
4 The vector space $\text{Alt}(DA)$

Recall that $\text{Alt}(DA) = \{ \varphi \in \text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A) : \varphi + \varphi^* = 0 \}$, where $\varphi^* : DA \rightarrow DDA \cong A$ is the transpose of $\varphi$. We start this section by describing a basis for the vector space $\text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A)$. Since by adjointness we have

$$\text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A) = (DA \otimes_{A-A} DA)^*,$$

we describe first a set of generators of $DA \otimes_{A-A} DA$, which will allow us to find the desired basis.

Recall that $B$ is the set of paths in $Q$ which do not contain any path of $Z$. The dual basis $B^*$ is a basis of the vector space $DA$ whose $A-A$-bimodule structure is given by $(afb)(x) = f(bxa)$ for any $a, b, x \in A$, $f \in DA$. This means that for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in B$, $\alpha \gamma^* \beta \neq 0$ if and only if $\gamma = \beta \xi$, for some $\xi \in B$. In this case, $\alpha \gamma^* \beta = \xi^*$. In particular, $u \gamma^* v = (v \gamma u)^*$ for any $u, v \in Q_0$, $\gamma \in B$. This implies that the set of cyclic pairs of paths

$$B \otimes B = \{ (\alpha, \beta) : t(\beta) = s(\alpha) \text{ and } t(\alpha) = s(\beta) \}$$

provides a set of generators for $DA \otimes_{A-A} DA$, that is, the set $\{ \alpha^* \otimes \beta^* : (\alpha, \beta) \in B \otimes B \}$.

**Definition 4.1.** A cyclic pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in B \otimes B$ is said to be neat if the following conditions hold:

i) if $\beta a \in B$ ($a \beta \in B$) for some $a \in Q_1$ then $a$ is the last (first) arrow in $\alpha$;

ii) if $a b \in B$ ($b a \in B$) for some $b \in Q_1$ then $b$ is the last (first) arrow in $\beta$.

We denote by $\sim$ the equivalence relation on $B \otimes B$ generated by the elementary relations

$$(\alpha a, \beta) \sim (\alpha, \beta a)$$

$$(\alpha, \beta b) \sim (\alpha, \beta b), \, a, b \in Q_1.$$

An equivalence class for this relation will be called neat when all its elements are neat cyclic pairs. Let $N$ be the set of neat equivalence classes.

**Lemma 4.2.** There is a bijective map between $N$ and a set of generators of the vector space $DA \otimes_{A-A} DA$.

**Proof.** We know that $B \otimes B$ provides a set of generators. We assert that $\alpha^* \otimes \beta^* = 0$ if $(\alpha, \beta)$ is not neat, and, $\alpha^* \otimes \beta^* = \gamma^* \otimes \delta^*$ if $(\alpha, \beta) \sim (\gamma, \delta)$. Clearly these facts will prove the Lemma.

Let $(\alpha, \beta)$ be a cyclic pair which is not neat. We may assume that there exists an arrow $a \in Q_1$ such that $\beta a \in B$ and $a$ is not the last arrow in $\alpha$. Then

$$\alpha^* \otimes \beta^* = \alpha^* \otimes (\beta a)^* = \alpha^* a \otimes (\beta a)^* = 0.$$

The proof for the other cases is analogous.

To finish the proof we have to check the asserted equality for the elementary relation used to define $\sim$. If $(\alpha a, \beta) \sim (\alpha, \beta a)$ then

$$(\alpha a)^* \otimes \beta^* = (\alpha a)^* \otimes a (\beta a)^* = \alpha^* \otimes (\beta a)^*.$$

$\square$

We are now in a position to describe a basis for $\text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A)$. Let $N \in N$ be a neat equivalence class and consider the map $\psi_N \in \text{Hom}_{k}(DA, A)$ defined by

$$\psi_N(\gamma^*) = \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in N} \delta$$

for any $\gamma^* \in B^*$.  

---
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Lemma 4.3. The map \( \psi_N \) is a morphism of \( A - A \)-bimodules.

Proof. We shall prove only that \( \psi_N \) is a morphism of left \( A \)-modules, since the proof that it is a morphism of right \( A \)-modules is similar.

It is clear that \( \psi_N(u\gamma^*) = u\psi_N(\gamma^*) \) for any \( u \in Q_0, \gamma \in B \). In order to finish the proof we have to see that \( \psi_N(a\gamma^*) = a\psi_N(\gamma^*) \) for any \( a \in Q_1, \gamma \in B \). Suppose first that \( a\gamma^* = 0 \). This means that \( a \) is not the first arrow in \( \gamma \). If \( \psi_N(\gamma^*) = 0 \) we are done. If not, \( a\psi_N(\gamma^*) = \sum_{(\alpha,\delta) \in N} a\delta = 0 \) because the cyclic pairs \((\gamma, \delta)\) are neat. Now suppose that \( a\gamma^* \neq 0 \). Hence \( \gamma = \xi a \in B \) and \( a\gamma^* = \xi^* \). It is clear that \((\xi, \mu) \in N \) if and only if \( \mu = a\delta \), for some \( \delta \in B \) such that \((\gamma, \delta) \in N \). Then

\[
\psi_N(a\gamma^*) = \psi_N(\xi^*) = \sum_{(\xi, \mu) \in N} \mu = \sum_{(\alpha, \delta) \in N} a\delta = a \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in N} \delta = a\psi_N(\gamma^*).
\]

\( \square \)

Proposition 4.4. The set \( \{\psi_N\}_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \) is a basis of the vector space \( \text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A) \).

Proof. By the adjunction isomorphism

\[ \theta : \text{Hom}_{A-A}(DA, A) \to (DA \otimes_{A-A} DA)^* \]

and Lemma 4.2 we conclude that \( \{\psi_N\}_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \) is a generating set. Considering now the canonical bases \( B^* \) and \( B \) of \( DA \) and \( A \), we can identify every \( k \)-linear map \( \varphi : DA \to A \) with its associated matrix, i.e., with the map \( \tilde{\varphi} : B \times B \to k \) determined by \( \varphi(\alpha^*) = \sum_{\beta \in B} \varphi(\alpha)\beta. \) Then \( \psi_N \) is the characteristic function of \( N \), i.e., \( \psi_N(\alpha, \beta) = 1 \) if \((\alpha, \beta) \in N \) and 0 otherwise. From that the \( k \)-linear independence of the \( \psi_N \)’s follows.

\( \square \)

We are now in a position to compute the dimension of the vector space \( \text{Alt}(DA) \).

Lemma 4.5. The set \( \mathcal{N} \) has an involution provided by the flip of pairs.

Proof. Observe that the flip of a cyclic pair provides a cyclic pair. Moreover neat pairs are preserved and the flip is compatible with the equivalence relation, namely it is clear that the flips of elementary equivalent pairs provide elementary equivalent pairs.

\( \square \)

Theorem 4.6. Let \( A = kQ/ < Z > \) be a monomial algebra, where \( Q \) is a finite connected quiver. Then

\[ \dim_k \text{Alt}(DA) = \begin{cases} \frac{s}{2} & \text{if } \text{char } k \neq 2 \\ \frac{s}{4} & \text{if } \text{char } k = 2 \end{cases} \]

where \( r \) is the number of neat equivalence classes and \( s \) is the number of symmetric ones.

Proof. With the same terminology as in the proof of Proposition 4.4 if \( \sigma \) is the above mentioned involution of \( \mathcal{N} \), we clearly have \( \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(N)(\alpha, \beta) = \psi_N(\beta, \alpha) \) for all \((\alpha, \beta) \in \sigma(N)\), and hence \( \tilde{\psi}_\sigma(N) = \psi_N^\sigma \). Therefore a generic element \( \varphi = \sum_{N \in \mathcal{N}} \lambda_N \psi_N \) is in \( \text{Alt}(DA) \) if and only if \( \lambda_N + \lambda_{\sigma(N)} = 0 \), for all \( N \in \mathcal{N} \). From that the formulae follow at once.

\( \square \)

Remark 4.7. In order facilitate the identification of the neat equivalence classes, the following comments are helpful. First observe that the elementary relations of Definition 4.1 preserve the circuit, i.e., if \((\alpha, \beta) \sim (\gamma, \delta)\) then \( \alpha\beta \equiv \gamma\delta \). Hence, identification of neat equivalence classes can be done circuit by circuit and, in particular, \( r = \sum_{C} r_C \),
with the sum indexed by the set of circuits in \( Q \) and \( r_C \) being the number of neat equivalence classes \( (\alpha, \beta) \) such that \( \alpha \beta \in C \). On the other hand, each neat equivalence class has a representative \( (\alpha, \beta) \) such that \( \alpha a \) and \( \alpha a \) are zero paths, for all \( a \in Q_1 \). In particular, one should only consider circuits \( C \) containing a pair \( (\alpha, \beta) \) with the latter property. We illustrate this in the examples at the end of this section.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let \( A = kQ/ < Z > \) be a monomial algebra, where \( k \) is a field of characteristic different from 2 and \( Q \) is a finite connected quiver. Then \( \text{Alt}(DA) = 0 \) if and only if the involution considered in Lemma 4.5 is the identity.

If \( k \) is a field of characteristic two, \( \text{Alt}(DA) = 0 \) if and only if the set of neat cyclic pairs is empty.

**Corollary 4.9.** Let \( A = kQ/ < Q_2 > \) be a connected 2-nilpotent algebra. Then, unless \( Q \) is a loop, we have

\[
\dim_k \text{Alt}(DA) = \begin{cases} 
|Q_1 \circ Q_1| - |Q_1 \circ Q_0| & \text{if } \text{char } k \neq 2 \\
\frac{|Q_1 \circ Q_1| - |Q_1 \circ Q_0|}{2} & \text{if } \text{char } k = 2.
\end{cases}
\]

In the case where \( Q \) is a loop, \( \text{Alt}(DA) \) is zero when \( \text{char } k \neq 2 \), and has dimension 2 when \( \text{char } k = 2 \).

**Proof.** If the quiver \( Q \) is a loop with vertex \( u \) and loop \( a \), then \( (a, u) = (u, a) \) and \( (a, u) \) are the only neat equivalence classes, both of which are symmetric. Then \( r = s = 2 \) and the result follows in this case. If \( Q \) is not a loop then \( Q_1 \circ Q_1 \) is the set of neat cyclic pairs, and the equivalence relation is just the equality. In particular, \( (a, b) \) is symmetric if and only if \( a = b \) is a loop. Then \( r = |Q_1 \circ Q_1| \) and \( s = |Q_1 \circ Q_0| \) and we are done.

Using \[10\] [Theorem 5.5], the combination of the formulae in \[11\] [Theorem 1 and Proposition 2] and our Theorems 2.18 and 4.6 gives a precise formula for the dimension of \( HH^1(\Lambda) \), when \( \Lambda = TA \) is the trivial extension of the monomial algebra \( A \). We don’t write down that formula in order to avoid excessive technicalities. Our final result is a consequence of this (unwritten) formula: we describe the monomial algebras \( A \) such that \( \Lambda = TA \) has minimal \( HH^1(\Lambda) \), see Corollary 4.13. But, motivated by a question pointed out by the referee, we present this result in as much generality as we know. Recall that if \( c = a_i^{\epsilon_i} \cdots a_1^{\epsilon_1} \) is a walk in \( Q \), with \( a_i \) an arrow and \( \epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \), then the integer \( |\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \epsilon_i| \) is called the weight of the walk \( c \). For the terminology used in the following proposition, see \[17\].

**Proposition 4.10.** Let \( Q \) be a finite oriented quiver, \( A = kQ/I \) be a finite dimensional algebra with \( I \) homogeneous, and \( \Lambda = TA \) be its trivial extension. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. There is a \( k \)-linear isomorphism \( Z(\Lambda) \cong HH^1(\Lambda) \).
2. \( \dim_k HH^1(\Lambda) = 1 \).
3. \( HH^1(\Lambda) = 0 \).
4. The abelianization \( \Pi \) of the fundamental group \( \Pi = \pi_1(Q, I) \) is a finite group of order coprime to \( \text{char } (K) \), and \( l(Q, I) \subseteq d(Q, I) \).

If these conditions are satisfied, the quiver \( Q \) has no oriented cycles and the weight of every closed walk in \( Q \) is zero.

**Proof.** 2) \( \Rightarrow 1 \) is clear and 1) \( \Rightarrow 3 \) follows from \[10\] [Theorem 5.5].

3) \( \Rightarrow 4 \) It follows from \[17\] [Corollary 4(2)].

4) \( \Rightarrow 2 \) If \( c = a_i^{\epsilon_i} \cdots a_1^{\epsilon_1} \) is a closed walk in \( Q \), with \( a_i \) an arrow and \( \epsilon_i \in \{\pm 1\} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \), then we put \( \xi(c) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \epsilon_i \). In this way, we get a map from the set of closed walks in \( Q \) to the integers, which is compatible with the relations
of the group $\Pi = \pi_1(Q,I)$. Hence, we get a map $\xi : \Pi \to \mathbb{Z}$ which is clearly a group homomorphism. Since $\Pi$ is finite, $\text{Hom}(\Pi,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and in particular $\xi = 0$. From that one gets that $\xi(c) = 0$ for every closed walk $c$. This is equivalent to say that every closed walk in $Q$ has zero weight, and it implies that $Q$ has no oriented cycles. Hence, one gets that $Z(A) = k$, $HH_1(A) = 0$ (see \[1\], \[2\] and \[3\]) and, since $\text{Alt}_A(DA)$ is generated by cyclic pairs of paths, we also infer that $\text{Alt}_A(DA) = 0$. To see that $HH^1(A) = 0$ we have to prove condition (*) of \[17\][Corollary 4(2)]. Indeed, every nilpotent derivation maps an arrow onto a linear combination of parallel paths of length greater than one. But the existence of such parallel path would imply the existence of a closed walk with nonzero weight. So the unique nilpotent derivation is the trivial one, and \[17\][Corollary 4(2)] applies to give that $HH^1(A) = 0$. Now assertion 2) follows from \[10\][Theorem 5.5].

**Remark 4.11.** We do not know if conditions 2 and 3 might be equivalent in general for arbitrary finite dimensional algebras. The referee has pointed out this question.

The following result is immediate.

**Corollary 4.12.** Let $A = kQ/I$ be a finite dimensional algebra with $I$ homogeneous such that $HH^1(A) = 0$. Then $HH_1(A) = 0$ and $\text{Alt}_A(DA) = 0$.

Recall that if $Q$ is a finite quiver, then $\bar{Q}$ is the graph obtained from $Q$ by forgetting the orientation of the arrows. In the particular case of monomial algebras we can replace condition 4) in Proposition 4.10 by the following.

**Corollary 4.13.** Let $Q$ be a finite oriented quiver, $A = kQ/<Z>$ be a monomial algebra and $\Lambda = TA$ its trivial extension. The following assertions are equivalent:

1. There is a $k$-linear isomorphism $Z(A) \cong HH^1(\Lambda)$.
2. $\dim_k HH^1(\Lambda) = 1$.
3. $HH^1(\Lambda) = 0$.
4. $Q$ is a quiver without double arrows such that $\bar{Q}$ is a tree.

**Proof.** We only have to prove that for monomial algebras condition 4) is equivalent to the last condition in Proposition 4.10. Indeed, if $A$ is monomial, $\Pi = \pi_1(Q,Z)$ is the fundamental group of the graph $\bar{Q}$, which is always free. Consequently, $\Pi$ is finite if and only if $\Pi = 1$, which is equivalent to condition 4).

**Example 4.14.** Let us consider the algebra $A$ given as follows. Its quiver $Q$ is a crown with $Q_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, and arrows $a_i : i \to i+1$, for all $i \in Q_0$. The set of relations is $Z = \{a_3 a_2 a_1, a_4 a_3 a_2 a_1, a_5 a_4 a_3 a_2, a_2 a_1 a_0 a_6\}$. In this case, the only relevant circuit is the canonical one and, clearly, all cyclic pairs in $B \circ B$ are neat. To determine the neat equivalence classes, observe that the only paths $\alpha$ such that $a_\alpha$ and $a_\alpha$ are zero paths for all $a \in Q_1$, are exactly $\{a_3 a_2 a_1, a_4 a_3 a_2, a_2 a_1 a_0 a_5 a_3, a_2 a_1 a_0 a_6\}$. A direct computation then shows that the elements of $N$, i.e., the (neat) equivalence classes are $\{(a_3 a_2 a_1, a_0 a_6 a_5 a_4) = (a_4 a_3 a_2, a_1 a_0 a_6 a_5), (a_2 a_1 a_0 a_6 a_5 a_4, a_2)\}$ and the involution of Lemma 4.5 is just the transposition. Hence $r = 2$, $s = 0$ and we have $\dim_k \text{Hom}_{A=\Lambda}(DA,A) = 2$ and $\dim_k \text{Alt}(DA) = 1$, in any characteristic.

We also see that there are no non trivial strong circuits, that the only efficient (not strong) circuit is the canonical one, denoted by $C$ in the sequel, which is also a $p'$-circuit when char $k = p > 0$. We have $w_C = |(Q_1 \circ B)_C| - |(Q_0 \circ B)_C| = 1 = 0 = 1$. Therefore $HH_1(A) = 0$.

On the other hand, we have $Z(A) \cong k$ and $\dim_k HH^1(A) = 1$, the latter being easily deducible from \[17\][Corollary 2(3)] or \[17\][Theorem 1]. As a result, we conclude that $\dim_k HH^1(TA) = 3$, independently of the characteristic.
Example 4.15. Let $A = kQ/ < Z >$ be the algebra given by a crown $Q$ of length $n > 1$, that is, $Q_0 = \mathbb{Z}_n$, $Q_1 = \{a_i : i \rightarrow i + 1, \forall i \in Q_0\}$, and take $Z = \{a_0 \gamma^{m-1}\}$ where $\gamma = \beta_0$ and $\beta = a_{n-1} \ldots a_1$, for some $m > 1$.

The set of non trivial circuits in $Q$ is $\{C_i = \gamma^{i}\}_{i>0}$. It is clear that $\{C_{m}\}_{0 < i < m}$ is the set of non trivial strong circuits, and $C_m$ is the unique efficient circuit. Moreover, $C_m$ has multiplicity $m$, so it is an efficient $p'$-circuit in $Q$ if and only if $m$ is not divisible by $p$. Now, $\omega_m = \|Q_1 \otimes B|_{C_m}\| - \|Q_0 \otimes B|_{C_m}\| = 1 - 0 = 1$, so Theorems 2.18 and 3.4 imply that

$$\dim_k HH_1(A) = \begin{cases} m & \text{if } \text{char } k > 0 \text{ and } p \text{ divides } m, \\ m-1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Remark 4.7, the neat equivalence classes are represented by the cyclic pairs $(\gamma^{m-1} \beta, a_0 \gamma^i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-2$, and they are all symmetric and non equivalent.

So $\dim_k \text{Alt}(DA) = 0$ if char $k \neq 2$ and $\dim_k \text{Alt}(DA) = m-1$ if char $k = 2$.

Using the terminology in [11][Theorem 1] we have that $\dim_k HH^1(A) = \dim_k Z(A) - |Q_0//B| + |Q_1//B|$, because all the elements in $Q_1//B$ are glued and admissible. By [11][Proposition 2], we have $\dim_k Z(A) = m$. Finally, one easily sees that $|Q_0//B| = \omega_m$, $|Q_1//B| = m-1$ and, hence, $\dim_k HH^1(A) = m - m + mn - 1 = m-1$.

We conclude that

$$\dim_k HH^1(TA) = \begin{cases} 4m-2 & \text{if } \text{char } k = 2 \text{ and } m \text{ is even,} \\ 4m-3 & \text{if } \text{char } k = 2 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 3m-1 & \text{if } \text{char } k > 2 \text{ and } m \text{ is divisible by } p, \\ 3m-2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

References


C.C.: Departement de Mathématiques, Université de Montpellier 2, F–34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France. Claude.Cibils@math.univ-montp2.fr

M.J.R: Departamento de Matemática, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Av. Alem 1253 8000 Bahía Blanca, Argentina. mredondo@criba.edu.ar

M.S.: Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, Aptdo. 4021 30100 Espinardo, Murcia, Spain. msaorinc@um.es