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#### Abstract

Let $\Delta$ be a Dynkin diagram and $k$ an algebraically closed field. Let $A$ be an iterated tilted finitedimensional $k$-algebra of type $\Delta$ and denote by $\hat{A}$ its repetitive algebra. We approach the problem of finding a combinatorial algorithm giving the embedding of the vertices of the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of $A$ in the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma(\underline{\bmod }(\hat{A})) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \Delta$ of the stable category $\underline{\bmod }(\hat{A})$. Let $T$ be a trivial extension of finite representation type and Cartan class $\Delta$. Assume that we know the vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ corresponding to the radicals of the indecomposable projective $T$-modules. We determine the embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ for any algebra $A$ such that $T(A) \simeq T$. © 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.


## Introduction

The algebras to be considered in this paper are basic finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field $k$. Any such algebra $A$ can be written as a bound quiver algebra $k Q_{A} / I$, where $I$ is an admissible ideal of the path algebra $k Q_{A}$ and $Q_{A}$ is the quiver associated to $A$.
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For a quiver $Q$, let $Q_{0}$ denote the set of vertices of $Q$ and $Q_{1}$ the set of arrows of $Q$. An arrow $\alpha$ of $Q_{1}$ starts at the vertex $o(\alpha)$ and ends at $e(\alpha)$.

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field, $\Delta$ a Dynkin diagram and let $A$ be an iterated tilted algebra of type $\Delta$ [1]. Let $T(A)=A \ltimes D_{A}(A)$ be the trivial extension of $A$ by its minimal injective cogenerator $D_{A}(A)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(A, k)$. The algebra $T(A)$ is known to be of finite representation type [3] and there exists an embedding of $\bmod A$ in the stable category $\underline{\bmod } T(A)$. Then the set of vertices $\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)_{0}$ of the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of $A$ can be embedded in the stable part ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ of the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ of $T(A)$. Moreover, $T(A)$ admits universal Galois covering $\hat{A} \rightarrow T(A)$, where $\hat{A}$ is the repetitive algebra of $A,{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \Delta$ and thus $\Gamma_{A}$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta[1,7,8,11]$. This is, the vertices of the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of any iterated tilted algebra $A$ of type $\Delta$ can be embedded in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$, and in such way that knowing which vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ correspond to $A$-modules we can obtain the arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ in a canonical way, so that we get the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of $A$. Taking this into account and for simplicity we will just say that the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ embeds in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ to mean that there is an injective map $\varphi:\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)_{0} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0}$. Our main objective is to describe this embedding explicitly. We recall that the trivial extensions of finite representation type and Cartan class $\Delta$ are precisely the trivial extensions of iterated tilted algebras of Dynkin type $\Delta$ [3]. We divided the problem in two parts.

Let $T$ be a trivial extension of finite representation type and Cartan class $\Delta$.
(1) Assume that we know the vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ corresponding to the radicals of the indecomposable projective $T$-modules. Determine the embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ for any algebra $A$ such that $T(A) \simeq T$.
(2) Describe an algorithm to determine which subsets of vertices in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ represent the radicals of the indecomposable projective modules over the trivial extension $T$.

In this paper we solve the first part. The second is studied in the first author's Ph.D. thesis [15] where an algorithm is given for $\Delta=\mathbf{A}_{n}$ and $\Delta=\mathbf{D}_{n}$, and will be published in a forthcoming paper.

We describe the embedding more explicitly. Let $A$ be an iterated tilted algebra of type $\Delta$ and let $T(A)=A \ltimes D_{A}(A)$ be the trivial extension of $A$ by $D_{A}(A)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(A, k)$. The canonical epimorphism $p: T(A) \rightarrow A$ given by $p(a, \varphi)=a$ induces a full and faithful functor

$$
F_{p}: \bmod A \hookrightarrow \bmod T(A),
$$

which identifies $\bmod A$ with the full subcategory $\operatorname{of~} \bmod T(A)$ whose objects are the $T(A)$-modules annihilated by $D_{A}(A)$. Moreover, the composition of $F_{p}$ with the canonical functor $\theta: \bmod T(A) \rightarrow \underline{\bmod } T(A)$ is also a full and faithful functor

$$
\theta F_{p}: \bmod A \hookrightarrow \underline{\bmod } T(A) .
$$

Therefore the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ of $A$ can be embedded in the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ of $T(A)$ and in the stable AR-quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ making the following diagram commutative


It is known (see 2.6 in [8]) that there exists a translation quiver morphism $\pi:{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \rightarrow$ ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$, which is the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$, and that ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \Delta$


Then we can consider a connected lifting $S_{T(A)}[0]$ of the quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ (see Section 3). Since the quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ is embedded in ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ the above lifting induces a subquiver $\Gamma_{A}[0]$ of $S_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ in such way that the following diagram is commutative


We get an embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ and we are looking for the vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ corresponding to indecomposable $A$-modules under such embedding.

We start by studying the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$ induced by the canonical epimorphism $p: T(A) \rightarrow A$. Thus, we have to determine which vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ correspond to indecomposable $A$-modules. We know that $A \simeq T(A) / D_{A}(A)$, and that a $T(A)$-module $M$ is an $A$-module if and only if $D_{A}(A) M=0$. Therefore we have to know what the condition $D_{A}(A) M=0$ means in the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{T(A)}$. Let $A=k Q_{A} / I$, in $[9,10]$ the quiver of $Q_{T(A)}$ is obtained from $Q_{A}$ by adding some arrows. Moreover, the ideal $D_{A}(A)$ of $T(A)$ is generated precisely by these added arrows [9]. On the other hand, given a trivial extension $T$ of finite representation type a method is given in [9] to obtain the iterated tilted algebras $B$ such that $T(B) \simeq T$. In fact, such algebras are obtained by deleting exactly one arrow in each nonzero oriented cycle of $Q_{T}$ and considering the induced relations. Thus $B$ is the factor of $T$ by an ideal generated by arrows.

First we will study when an ideal generated by arrows annihilates a module $M$. In Section 2 we give a characterization of modules $M$ over a quotient $k$-algebra $\Lambda / \mathcal{J}$ where $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $\Lambda$ generated by arrows of $Q_{\Lambda}$. In particular, when $\Lambda$ is $T(A)$ and $\mathcal{J}=D(A)$ we describe the vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ corresponding to $A \simeq T(A) / \mathcal{J}$-modules. More precisely, suppose that $\mathcal{J}$ is generated by some arrows $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ of $Q_{T(A)}$. We consider the subquiver $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$ of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ induced by the nonzero paths in $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ starting at the projective $P_{o\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ and ending at the projective $P_{e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. We
prove that the vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ are exactly the vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ which are not contained in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$. A similar description is given in Section 3 for the embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$. To do that, we define an appropriate lifting of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$, and we study how nonzero paths between projective modules in $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ lift to $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$. In this way we obtain the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$, and then the desired embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta \simeq{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $Q$ be a quiver, which may be infinite. A path $\gamma$ in the quiver $Q$ is either an oriented sequence of arrows $\alpha_{n} \cdots \alpha_{1}$ with $e\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=o\left(\alpha_{t+1}\right)$ for $1 \leqslant t<n$, or the symbol $e_{i}$ for $i \in Q_{0}$. The length $\ell(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ is $n$ in the first case, and $\ell\left(e_{i}\right)=0$. We call the paths $e_{i}$ trivial paths and we define $o\left(e_{i}\right)=e\left(e_{i}\right)$. Let $I$ be an ideal of the path algebra $k Q$. We consider $\Lambda=k Q / I$ as a $k$-category whose objects are the vertices $Q_{0}$ of $Q$ and the morphism space $\Lambda(i, j)$ from $i$ to $j$ is $\overline{e_{j}} \Lambda \overline{e_{i}}$, where $\overline{e_{i}}=e_{i}+I$ (see [5]).

Let $A$ be a $k$-algebra. For a given vertex $j$ of $Q_{A}$ we denote by $S_{j}$ the simple $A$-module corresponding to $j$, by $P_{j}$ the projective cover of $S_{j}$, and by $I_{j}$ the injective envelope of $S_{j}$. We will use freely properties of the module category $\bmod A$ of finitely generated left $A$-modules, the stable category $\underline{\bmod } A$ module projectives, the Auslander-Reiten quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ and the Auslander-Reiten translations $\tau=D \operatorname{Tr}$ and $\tau^{-1}=\operatorname{Tr} D$, as can be found in [4]. We denote by ind $A$ (respectively by $\underline{\operatorname{ind}} A$ ) the full subcategory of $\bmod A(\underline{\bmod } A)$ formed by chosen representatives of the indecomposable modules. Moreover, we will frequently identify the objects of ind $A$ with the vertices of the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{A}$ representing such objects.

We will freely use the notions of locally finite $k$-category, translation quiver, covering functor, well behaved functor and related notions. We refer the reader to [4,5,11,17,18] for definitions and basic properties of these objects.

Let $\Delta$ be an oriented tree. Following Chr. Riedtmann [17] (see also [4]) we will consider the translation quiver $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$, defined as follows:

$$
(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0}=\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta_{0}, \quad(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{1}=\{-1,1\} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \Delta_{1}
$$

For an arrow $x \xrightarrow{\alpha} y$ of $\Delta$ we define the arrows $(-1, n, \alpha)$ and $(1, n, \alpha)$ as

$$
(n-1, y) \xrightarrow{(-1, n, \alpha)}(n, x) \quad \text { and } \quad(n, x) \xrightarrow{(1, n, \alpha)}(n, y) .
$$

Finally, the translation $\tau$ is $\tau(n, y)=(n-1, y)$.

## 2. Modules over quotients of quasi-schurian weakly symmetric algebras

We start this section by giving a characterization of modules $M$ over a quotient $k$-algebra $\Lambda / \mathcal{J}$ where $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $\Lambda$ generated by arrows of $Q_{\Lambda}$. Then we go on to study the case when $\Lambda$ is quasi-schurian and weakly symmetric. Finally, we give an application to trivial extensions of finite representation type.

We recall from [14] that an algebra $\Lambda$ is quasi-schurian if it satisfies:
(a) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(P, Q) \leqslant 1$ if $P$ and $Q$ are non isomorphic indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-modules and
(b) $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{End}_{\Lambda}(P)=2$ for any indecomposable projective $\Lambda$-module $P$.

Let $A=k Q_{A} / I$ be a schurian (that is, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right) \leqslant 1$ for any vertices $i$ and $j$ of $Q_{A}$ ) and triangular (that is, $Q_{A}$ has non oriented cycles) $k$-algebra, with $I$ admissible ideal. Then the trivial extension $T(A)$ of $A$ is a quasi-schurian algebra.

As a consequence we get that the trivial extensions of finite representation type are quasi-schurian. This follows from the fact, proved by K. Yamagata in [20], that the trivial extension of a non triangular algebra is of infinite representation type.

Since we want to describe the $\Lambda$-modules $M$ annihilated by a finite number of arrows of $Q_{\Lambda}$, we start by studying when $\bar{\alpha} M=0$ for a given arrow $\alpha$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be a $k$-algebra with I an admissible ideal. Let $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$ be an arrow in $Q_{\Lambda}$ and $M \in \bmod \Lambda$.

The following conditions are equivalent:
(a) $\bar{\alpha} M \neq 0$.
(b) $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, M\right): \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{i}, M\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{j}, M\right)$ is nonzero, where $\rho_{\alpha}: P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}$ is the right multiplication by $\bar{\alpha}$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be a $k$-algebra with I an admissible ideal. Let $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$ be an arrow in $Q_{\Lambda}$ and $M \in \bmod \Lambda$. Then
(a) If $\bar{\alpha} M \neq 0$ then there are morphisms $f: P_{i} \rightarrow M, g: M \rightarrow I_{j}$ such that $g f \neq 0$.
(b) Assume that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, I_{j}\right): \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{i}, I_{j}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{j}, I_{j}\right)$ is a monomorphism, where $\rho_{\alpha}: P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}$ is the right multiplication by $\bar{\alpha}$. If there are morphisms $f: P_{i} \rightarrow M, g: M \rightarrow I_{j}$ with $g f \neq 0$, then $\bar{\alpha} M \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) From Lemma 2.1 we know that there is a nonzero morphism $f: P_{i} \rightarrow M$ such that $f \rho_{\alpha}: P_{j} \rightarrow M$ is nonzero. Then there is $g: M \rightarrow I_{j}$ such that $g f \rho_{\alpha} \neq 0$, and consequently $g f \neq 0$.
(b) Assume that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, I_{j}\right)$ is a monomorphism and let $f: P_{i} \rightarrow M, g: M \rightarrow I_{j}$ such that $g f \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, I_{j}\right)(g f)=(g f) \rho_{\alpha}=g\left(f \rho_{\alpha}\right)$, proving that $f \rho_{\alpha} \neq 0$. Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, M\right)(f) \neq 0$ and by Lemma 2.1 we get that $\bar{\alpha} M \neq 0$.

In case $\Lambda$ is a quasi-schurian weakly symmetric algebra we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be a quasi-schurian and weakly-symmetric $k$-algebra with $I$ an admissible ideal. Let $\alpha: i \rightarrow j$ be an arrow in $Q_{\Lambda}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an indecomposable $\Lambda$-module $M$ :
(a) $\bar{\alpha} M \neq 0$.
(b) There are morphisms $P_{i} \xrightarrow{f} M, M \xrightarrow{g} P_{j}$ with $g f \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) Since $\Lambda$ is weakly-symmetric then $P_{j}=I_{j}$ for any vertex $j \in Q_{\Lambda}$. So Lemma 2.2(a) proves the result in this case.
(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a) Assume that $i \neq j$. Using Lemma 2.2(b) we only need to prove that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, P_{j}\right): \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(P_{j}, P_{j}\right)
$$

is nonzero. Since $\Lambda$ is quasi-schurian and weakly-symmetric it is not hard to prove that there exists a path $\delta$ starting at $j$, ending at $i$ and such that $\delta \alpha$ is nonzero (see in [14, 2.2 and 3]). In particular, from [14, Theorem 3, IV] we obtain that $\alpha \delta$ is nonzero. Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}\left(\rho_{\alpha}, P_{j}\right)$ is nonzero.

If $i=j$ then $\alpha$ is a loop. Now, the only (up to isomorphisms) indecomposable quasi-schurian and weakly-symmetric $k$-algebra with loops is $\Lambda \simeq k[x] /\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$ (see [14, Lemma 14]). Assume that $e(\alpha)=o(\alpha)=1$. Then the projective $P_{1}$ and the simple $S_{1}$ are the unique (up to isomorphism) indecomposable $\Lambda$-modules.

Suppose that $M=P_{1}$. Then $\bar{\alpha} P_{1} \neq 0$ and the morphisms $f=\rho_{\alpha}$ and $g=1_{P_{1}}$ satisfy (b).

Let $M=S_{1}$, then $\bar{\alpha} S_{1}=0$. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{rad}^{2}\left(P_{1}, P_{1}\right)=0$ we get that $g f=0$ for any $f: P_{1} \rightarrow S_{1}$ and $g: S_{1} \rightarrow P_{1}$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be a quasi-schurian and weakly-symmetric $k$-algebra with I an admissible ideal. Let $\alpha_{i}: a_{i} \rightarrow b_{i}$ be arrows in $Q_{\Lambda}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an indecomposable $\Lambda$-module $M$.
(a) $M$ is a $\Lambda /\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$-module.
(b) If $f: P_{a_{i}} \rightarrow M, g: M \rightarrow P_{b_{i}}$ are morphisms in $\bmod \Lambda$, then their composition $g f$ is zero for all $i=1,2, \ldots, t$.

Proof. Follows easily from the preceding theorem.
We are now in a position to characterize the modules $M$ over $\Lambda$ which are in $\bmod \Lambda / \mathcal{J}$ in terms of certain chains of irreducible morphism, in case $\Lambda$ is quasi-schurian, weaklysymmetric and of finite representation type, and $\mathcal{J}$ is an ideal of $\Lambda$ generated by arrows of $Q_{\Lambda}$.

Corollary 2.5. Let $\Lambda=k Q_{\Lambda} / I$ be a quasi-schurian and weakly-symmetric $k$-algebra of finite representation type, with I an admissible ideal. Let $\alpha_{i}: a_{i} \rightarrow b_{i}$ be arrows in $Q_{\Lambda}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Then the following conditions are equivalent for an indecomposable $\Lambda$-module $M$ :
(a) $M$ is a $\Lambda /\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$-module.
(b) Any chain of irreducible maps in ind $\Lambda$

$$
X_{0} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} X_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_{j}=M \xrightarrow{f_{j+1}} X_{j+1} \rightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{r}} X_{r}
$$

with $X_{0}=P_{a_{i}}, X_{r}=P_{b_{i}}$ has zero composition for all $i=1,2, \ldots, t$.
Proof. Follows from the above corollary using that if $\Lambda$ is of finite representation type, then each nonzero morphism between indecomposable modules can be written as a sum of compositions of irreducible morphisms between indecomposable modules [4].

Let $\Lambda$ be a $k$-algebra as in the preceding corollary, and let $A=\Lambda / \mathcal{J}$ where $\mathcal{J}$ is the ideal of $\Lambda$ generated by some arrows $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ of $Q_{\Lambda}$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$ the subquiver of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ induced by the nonzero paths in $k\left(\Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)$ starting at the projective $P_{o\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ and ending at the projective $P_{e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Then by Corollary 2.5 we have that the vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ can be identified with the vertices of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ which are not in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$. That is, $\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)_{0}=\left(\Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)_{0} \backslash\left(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}\right)_{0}$.

Let $A=k Q_{A} / I$ be an iterated tilted $k$-algebra of Dynkin type, with $I$ an admissible ideal and let $T(A)$ be the trivial extension of $A$. Then $\Lambda=T(A)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 2.5. This is the case because the trivial extension of an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type is of finite representation type (see [3]) and, as we have seen at the beginning of this section, $T(A)$ is quasi-schurian.

Remark 2.6. Let $T=k Q_{T} / I_{T}$ be a trivial extension of finite representation type and let $A$ be an iterated tilted $k$-algebra of Dynkin type such that $T \simeq T(A)$. As we observed in the introduction, $A$ is obtained by deleting exactly one arrow in each nonzero cycle of $Q_{T}$, and considering the induced relations. So we have that $A=T /\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$ where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ are arrows in $Q_{T}$. Suppose that we know which vertices of the AR-quiver $\Gamma_{T}$ correspond to the projective $T$-modules $P_{j}$ associated with each vertex $j$ of $Q_{T}$. As we observed above, the vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ can be identified with the vertices of $\Gamma_{T}$ which are not in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$.

Therefore the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{T}$ is determined by the position in $\Gamma_{T}$ of the vertices corresponding to the projective $T$-modules $P_{j}$ for $j \in\left(Q_{T}\right)_{0}$.

Example. Let $A$ be the iterated tilted algebra of type $\mathbf{D}_{4}$ with ordinary quiver $Q_{A}$, and with relation $0=\alpha \delta-\varepsilon \eta$, where


By [10] the ordinary quiver $Q_{T(A)}$ of the trivial extension $T(A)$ of $A$ is

and the ideal $I$ such that $T(A)=k Q_{T(A)} / I$ is generated by the relations: $\alpha \delta-\varepsilon \eta, \delta \beta \varepsilon$, $\eta \beta \alpha, \beta \alpha \delta \beta, \alpha \delta \beta \alpha, \varepsilon \eta \beta \varepsilon$. In this case we have $A=T(A) /\langle\bar{\beta}\rangle$. Hence we have to look for the nonzero paths in $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ from $P_{o(\beta)}=P_{2}$ to $P_{e(\beta)}=P_{3}$. The shaded region of Fig. 1 corresponds to $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}$.

Then we delete from the quiver $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ the modules which are in $\mathcal{P}_{\beta}$. In Fig. 2 we indicate with $\square$the vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ corresponding to $A$-modules.


Fig. 1.


Fig. 2.


Fig. 3.

Then the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ is described in Fig. 3, where we indicate with $\square$ the vertices of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ corresponding to $A$-modules.

The other iterated tilted algebras $B$ such that $T(B) \simeq T(A)$ are of the form $T(A) /\langle\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\varepsilon}\rangle$, $T(A) /\langle\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\eta}\rangle, T(A) /\langle\bar{\delta}, \bar{\varepsilon}\rangle$, and $T(A) /\langle\bar{\delta}, \bar{\eta}\rangle$. The embedding of $\Gamma_{B}$ in ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ for these algebras $B$ is obtained in the same way.

The embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ is reduced to the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$, since the stable part ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ is obtained from $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ by deleting the vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ associated to projective modules. In general, we have information about the stable quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$. Indeed, suppose that the trivial extension $\Lambda=T(A)$ of $A$ is of Cartan class $\Delta$, where $\Delta$ is a Dynkin diagram. Then ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z} \Delta / \Pi\left({ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}, x\right)$ where $\Pi\left(s \Gamma_{\Lambda}, x\right)$ is the fundamental group associated to the universal covering $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ of the stable translation quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ (see [17]). Moreover, the group $\Pi\left({ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}, x\right)$ is generated by $\tau^{m_{\Delta}}$, where $m_{\Delta}$ is the Loewy length of the mesh category $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)[2,6]$. We recall that the values of $m_{\Delta}$ are: $m_{\mathbf{A}_{n}}=n, m_{\mathbf{D}_{n}}=2 n-3, m_{\mathbf{E}_{6}}=11, m_{\mathbf{E}_{7}}=17, m_{\mathbf{E}_{8}}=29$.

In this way we have information about the structure of the stable quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Our problem now is to recover the structure of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ from the knowledge we have about ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. To do that, we need to know which vertices of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ correspond to the radicals of the projective modules $P_{i}$ for $i \in\left(Q_{\Lambda}\right)_{0}$, since $0 \rightarrow r P_{i} \rightarrow P_{i} \amalg r P_{i} / \operatorname{soc} P_{i} \rightarrow P_{i} / \operatorname{soc} P_{i} \rightarrow 0$ is an AR-sequence for each vertex $i$ of $Q_{\Lambda}$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ the set of vertices of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ representing the radicals of the projective $\Lambda$-modules. It is well known that $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is a configuration of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, as defined by Chr. Riedtmann in [18]. This is, the elements of $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ satisfy the following definition.

Definition 2.7. [18]. Let $\Gamma$ be a stable translation quiver and $k(\Gamma)$ the mesh-category associated to $\Gamma$. A configuration $\mathcal{C}$ of $\Gamma$ is a set of vertices of $\Gamma$ which satisfies the following conditions:
(a) For any vertex $x \in \Gamma_{0}$ there exists a vertex $y \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $k(\Gamma)(x, y) \neq 0$,
(b) $k(\Gamma)(x, y)=0$ if $x$ and $y$ are different elements of $\mathcal{C}$,
(c) $k(\Gamma)(x, x)=k$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\Delta$ be a Dynkin diagram, $\Lambda$ a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$, and $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}$ is a configuration of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$, we obtain from [18] that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}\right)$ is a configuration of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. We will say that $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}$ is the configuration of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ associated to $\Lambda$.

## 3. The lifting process

Throughout this section $\Delta$ denotes a Dynkin diagram. Let $A$ be an iterated tilted $k$-algebra of type $\Delta$ and let $T(A)$ be the trivial extension of $A$. In the preceding section we described an embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ into $S_{T(A)}$ which we will lift to an embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta={ }_{s} \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$. Our purpose now is describing directly this embedding in terms of a section in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ and some nonzero paths in $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ between projective $\hat{A}$-modules. A similar description was done in the preceding section for the embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ into $\Gamma_{T(A)}$. So, we will define a connected lifting $S_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ of $S_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ and extend it to a connected lifting $\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$. Afterwards we will study how nonzero paths in $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ between projective modules lift to $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$. Since there are infinitely many $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$-projectives and we want to circumscribe to a small part of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$, we need to study how long the nonzero paths between the projective modules in $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ are. So we start with some preliminaries.

Following [6,12] we denote the Nakayama-permutation on $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ by $v_{\Delta}$. This is the bijection $v_{\Delta}:(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0}$ which satisfies the following condition: for each vertex $x$ of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ there exists a path $w: x \rightarrow \nu_{\Delta}(x)$ whose image $\bar{w}$ in the mesh-category $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)$ is not zero, and $w$ has longest length among all nonzero paths starting at $x$. The Loewy length $m_{\Delta}$ of the mesh-category $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)$ is the smallest integer $m$ such that $\bar{v}=0$ in $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)$ for all paths $v$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ whose length is greater than or equal to $m$. Thus $m_{\Delta}-1$ is the common length of all nonzero paths from $x$ to $v_{\Delta}(x)$. Moreover, we have that $\tau^{-m_{\Delta}}=v_{\Delta}^{2} \tau^{-1}$.

Let $(\Gamma, \tau)$ be a connected stable translation quiver. Following P. Gabriel in [12] we will call slice of $\Gamma$ to a full connected subquiver whose vertices are determined by choosing a unique element in each $\tau$-orbit of $\Gamma_{0}$. Then for each vertex $x \in \Gamma$ there is a well-determined slice admitting $x$ as its unique source. We call it slice starting at $x$ and denote it by $\mathcal{S}_{x \rightarrow \text {. }}$ Likewise, the slice ending at $x$ admits $x$ as its unique sink and is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_{\rightarrow x}$.

Let $f:(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We recall that $f$ is additive if it satisfies the equation

$$
f(x)+f(\tau(x))=\sum_{z \in x^{-}} f(z)
$$

for each vertex $x$. It is well known that the additive function $f_{x}$, which has value 1 on $\mathcal{S}_{x \rightarrow \text {, }}$ determines the support of the functor $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-)$. In fact, $\operatorname{dim}_{k} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x, y)=f_{x}(y)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $x$ be a vertex of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. Then
(a) $\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-)=\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)\left(-, v_{\Delta}(x)\right)$,
(b) $\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-) \cap \operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)\left(-, v_{\Delta}^{2}(x)\right)=\left\{v_{\Delta}(x)\right\}$.

Proof. (a) The proof given by Chr. Riedtmann for the $\mathbf{D}_{n}$ case in [19, page 312] can be adapted to the other Dynkin diagrams.
(b) Follows from (a) and the fact that $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ has no oriented cycles.

Let $x$ be a vertex of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. Using (a) of the preceding proposition we obtain that the support of the functor $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-)$ is contained in the set of vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ laying on or
between the sections $\mathcal{S}_{x \rightarrow \text { and }} \mathcal{S}_{\rightarrow \nu_{\Delta}(x)}$. Though this inclusion is not in general an equality it is so in the case $\Delta=\mathbf{A}_{n}$.

Remark 3.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$, and let $F: k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta) \rightarrow \underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$ be a well-behaved functor induced by the universal covering $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Since $F$ is a covering functor, then it induces a $k$-vector space isomorphism

$$
\coprod_{y \in \pi^{-1}(Y)} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x, y) \xrightarrow{\sim}{\underline{\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}}}_{\Lambda}(\pi(x), Y) .
$$

Since $\Delta$ is of Dynkin type we can say more: if $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(\pi(x), Y) \neq 0$, then the left side has a unique nonzero summand. Dually, if $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(X, \pi(y)) \neq 0$ there exists a unique $x \in \pi^{-1}(X)$ such that $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x, y) \neq 0$.

In fact, we assume that $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)\left(x, y_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=1,2$ and $\pi\left(y_{1}\right)=\pi\left(y_{2}\right)$. Suppose that $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$. Then $y_{1}=\tau^{j m_{\Delta}} y_{2}$ for some integer $j$, which we may assume positive. Let $\delta: y_{1} \rightarrow y_{2}$ and $\gamma: x \rightarrow y_{1}$ be paths in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. Therefore we have a path $\delta \gamma: x \rightarrow y_{2}$ with length $\ell(\delta \gamma) \geqslant \ell(\delta)=2 j m_{\Delta}$. Since paths between vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ have the same length, we obtain that any path starting at $x$ and ending at $y_{2}$ has length at least $2 j m_{\Delta}$. This is a contradiction because the longest length of a nonzero path in $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)$ is $m_{\Delta}-1$. This proves the first statement of the remark. The second statement follows by duality.

As a consequence of the above remark we can see that the information we have about the support of the functor $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-)$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ can be carried out through the universal covering $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to determine the support of $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(\pi(x),-)$ in ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$. Then the universal covering $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow{ }_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ induces the following bijections:
(i) $\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x,-) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Supp} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(\pi(x),-)$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(-, x) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Supp}_{\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}}(-, \pi(x))$.

The next result is an interesting application of the preceding corollary.
Corollary 3.4. Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$ with $\Delta$ a Dynkin diagram. Then for all $X, Y \in \underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{k} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(X, Y) \leqslant \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \Delta=\mathbf{A}_{n} \\ 2 & \text { if } \Delta=\mathbf{D}_{n} \\ 3 & \text { if } \Delta=\mathbf{E}_{p} \\ 6 & \text { if } \Delta=\mathbf{E}_{8}\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Let $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ be the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. To describe $\underline{H o m}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ we consider a fixed $x \in \pi^{-1}(X)$. We know by Remark 3.2 that there exists a unique $y \in \pi^{-1}(Y)$ such that $\underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)$ is isomorphic to $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x, y)$. On the other hand,
$\operatorname{dim}_{k} k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)(x, y)=f_{x}(y)$ where $f_{x}$ is the additive function starting at $x$. We use the work of Gabriel [12, p. 53] where he computes the values of this function for some vertices $x$ of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$, to get the bounds for $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{\Lambda}(X, Y)=f_{x}(y)$ above stated.

When $A$ is an iterated tilted algebra of Cartan class $\Delta$, there is an embedding ind $A \hookrightarrow \underline{\operatorname{ind}} T(A)$. Thus, the bounds given in the preceding corollary are also bounds for $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \underline{\operatorname{Hom}}_{A}(X, Y)$ if $X, Y \in \operatorname{ind} A$.

For a fixed vertex $x$ of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ we define the partition $\left\{\mathbb{P}_{x}[j]: j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$, where $\mathbb{P}_{x}[0]$ is the full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ with vertices lying on or between the slices $\mathcal{S}_{x \rightarrow}$ and $\tau^{-m_{\Delta}+1} \mathcal{S}_{x \rightarrow}$, and $\mathbb{P}_{x}[j]=\tau^{-j m_{\Delta}} \mathbb{P}_{x}[0]$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $z$ be a vertex of $\mathbb{P}_{x}[0]$, for any integer $j$ we denote by $z[j]$ the vertex $\tau^{-j m_{\Delta}} z$ of $\mathbb{P}_{x}[j]$.

Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$, and let $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ be the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Let $M \in \underline{\operatorname{ind} \Lambda} \Lambda$ and let $M[0]$ be a fixed element of the fibre $\pi^{-1}(M)$. Then $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}}: \mathbb{P}_{M[0]} \rightarrow s \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is a quiver morphism, which is a bijection on the vertices of $\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}$, since the quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is isomorphic to the cylinder $\mathbb{Z} \Delta /\left\langle\tau^{m_{\Delta}}\right\rangle$. The inverse $\varphi_{M}:\left({ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}\right)_{0} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)_{0}$ of this bijection defines an embedding of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ into $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. Moreover, the map $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}}$ is injective on the arrows of $\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}$ but not surjective. Indeed, the arrows $X \rightarrow Y$ of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ with $X \in \mathcal{S}_{\tau M \rightarrow}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{S}_{M \rightarrow}$ are not in the image of $\left.\pi\right|_{\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}}$ (see Fig. 4).

Definition 3.5. Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$ and let $M \in \underline{\text { ind }} \Lambda$. We say that the quiver ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}[0]=\mathbb{P}_{M[0]}$ is a lifting of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ at $M$. Moreover, if we do not want to state precisely the lifting vertex we will say that ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}[0]$ is a lifting of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$.

For an algebra $A$ such that $\Lambda \simeq T(A)$ we denote by $\Gamma_{A}[0]$ the embedding of $\Gamma_{A}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ obtained as the composition of the embeddings $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ (given in the preceding section) and $\varphi_{M}:{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta$.


Fig. 4.

Remark 3.6. Let ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}[0]$ be a lifting of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ at $M$, and let $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ be an arrow of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique arrow $\alpha_{j}: X[j] \rightarrow Y_{j}$ in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ such that $\pi\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=\alpha$, where $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow_{s} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, we have that $Y_{j}$ is either equal to $Y[j]$ or to $Y[j+1]$. The latter case occurs when $Y \in \mathcal{S}_{M \rightarrow}$.

Let $A$ be an iterated tilted algebra of Cartan class $\Delta$, with $\Delta$ a Dynkin diagram. Let $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ be the universal covering of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}, \mathcal{C}_{T(A)}=\left\{r P_{i}: i \in\left(Q_{T(A)}\right)_{0}\right\}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}=\pi^{-1}\left(\mathcal{C}_{T(A)}\right)$ be the configuration of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ associated to $T(A)$. From this data Chr. Riedtmann constructed in [18] the universal covering of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ by adding to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ the "projective vertices", exactly one for each vertex of the configuration $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}$, and appropriate arrows. This can be described as follows. Let $S_{T(A)}[0]$ be a lifting of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. Then $\left\{r P_{i}[j]: j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\pi^{-1}\left(r P_{i}\right)$ for any vertex $i$ of $Q_{T(A)}$. We denote by $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{T(A)}}$ the translation quiver obtained from $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ by adding a new vertex $\mathbf{P}_{i}[j]$ and arrows $r P_{i}[j] \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{i}[j], \mathbf{P}_{i}[j] \rightarrow \tau^{-1} r P_{i}[j]$ for each $r P_{i}[j] \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}$. The translation of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ coincides with the translation of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ on the common vertices and is not defined on the remaining ones.

The action of $\Pi\left({ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}, x\right)=\left\langle\tau^{m_{\Delta}}\right\rangle$ on $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ can be extended to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{T(A)}}$ by defining $\tau^{m_{\Delta}}\left(\mathbf{P}_{i}[j]\right)=\mathbf{P}_{i}[j-1]$. Moreover, the covering $\pi: \mathbb{Z} \Delta \rightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ admits an extension $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$ by defining $\tilde{\pi}\left(\mathbf{P}_{i}[j]\right)=P_{i}$ for any $i$ and $j$. It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$ is the universal covering of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ and that it induces an isomorphism $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} /\left\langle\tau^{m_{\Delta}}\right\rangle \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_{T(A)}$.

For any $M \in \underline{\operatorname{ind}} T(A)$ the embedding $\varphi_{M}:{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta$ can be extended to an embedding $\tilde{\varphi}_{M}: \Gamma_{T(A)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ by defining $\tilde{\varphi}_{M}\left(P_{j}\right)=\mathbf{P}_{j}[0]$ for any vertex $j$ of $Q_{T(A)}$. We denote by $\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ the full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ with vertices $\tilde{\varphi}_{M}\left(\left(\Gamma_{T(A)}\right)_{0}\right)$. Then $\left.\tilde{\pi}\right|_{\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]}: \Gamma_{T(A)}[0] \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$ is a quiver morphism, which is a bijection with inverse $\tilde{\varphi}_{M}$ on the vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$. In this way, we have that the lifting ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$ extends directly to a lifting $\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$.

Given a set $X$ of vertices of $\Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ we denote by $X[j]$ the shifted set $\tau^{-j m_{\Delta}} X$.
Proposition 3.7. With the above notation we have that $\Gamma_{\hat{A}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ and the protective vertices $\mathbf{P}_{i}[j]$ of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ represent the projective $\hat{A}$-modules. Moreover, there is a commutative diagram


Proof. Let $F: k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ind} T(A)$ be a well-behaved functor induced by the universal covering $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the full subcategory of $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}\right)$ whose objects are the projective vertices of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$. Then the restriction of the functor $F$ to $\tilde{A}$ induces a
covering functor $F^{\prime}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow T(A)$ (see [11, 2]). This functor is the universal covering since $T(A)$ is standard [13, 3]. On the other hand, it is proven in [16] that the Galois covering $\hat{A} \rightarrow T(A)$ is universal. So $\tilde{A} \simeq \hat{A}$ proving the result.

Remark 3.8. For any $M \in \underline{\text { ind }} T(A)$ the embeddings $\varphi_{M}:{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{M}: \Gamma_{T(A)} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ induce embeddings of $\Gamma_{A}$ in ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ and $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$, respectively, making the following diagram commutative


Moreover, we have that $\Gamma_{A}[j] \hookrightarrow{ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[j] \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}[j]$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.
We know that $A=T(A) /\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{t}\right\rangle$, where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ are arrows of $Q_{T(A)}$. In Section 2 we have seen that $\left(\Gamma_{A}\right)_{0}=\left(\Gamma_{T(A)}\right)_{0} \backslash\left(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}\right)_{0}$, where $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$ is the full subquiver of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$ induced by the nonzero paths in $k\left(\Gamma_{T(A)}\right)$ starting at the projective $P_{o\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ and ending at the projective $P_{e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Thus, to obtain the embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ and then the desired embedding $\Gamma_{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \Delta \simeq{ }_{S} \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ we have to lift $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}$ through the universal covering $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$.

As we recalled at the beginning of this section, the length of any nonzero path in $k(\mathbb{Z} \Delta)$ is at most $m_{\Delta}-1$. Though in $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{T(A)}}$ there are longer paths which are nonzero in $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\left.\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}\right)}\right)$, we have that the length of these paths is bounded by $2 m_{\Delta}$, as follows from the following known result.

Lemma 3.9 [6, 1.2]. Any nonzero path $v: x \rightarrow y$ in $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}\right)$ can be extended to a nonzero path $\mathbf{P}_{i}[j] \xrightarrow{u} x \xrightarrow{v} y \xrightarrow{w} \mathbf{P}_{i}[j+1]=\tau^{-m_{\Delta}} \mathbf{P}_{i}[j]$ for some $i \in\left(Q_{T(A)}\right)_{0}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, the nonzero path $v: x \rightarrow y$ has length $\ell(v) \leqslant 2 m_{\Delta}$.

Remark 3.10. Let $\Lambda$ be a trivial extension of Cartan class $\Delta$, with $\Delta$ a Dynkin diagram. Let $F: k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}}\right) \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$ be a well-behaved functor induced by the universal covering $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\Lambda}$. We consider now the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\coprod_{y \in \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(Y)} k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}}\right)(x, y) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\tilde{\pi}(x), Y) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced by the covering functor $F: k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}}\right) \rightarrow$ ind $\Lambda$. In analogy with the result stated in Remark 3.2 for the stable case, we obtain that if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(\tilde{\pi}(x), Y) \neq 0$ then the left side
of $(*)$ has a unique nonzero summand, unless $\tilde{\pi}(x) \simeq Y$. Though this is not true when $\tilde{\pi}(x) \simeq Y$; in this case the left side of $(*)$ has at most two nonzero summands.

In fact, the last claim follows directly from Lemma 3.9. To prove the first, let $y \in$ $\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(Y)$ be such that $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{A}}\right)(x, y) \neq 0$. Using Lemma 3.9 we only need to prove that $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}}\right)\left(x, \tau^{j m_{\Delta}} y\right)=0$ for $j= \pm 1$. Since any path $w: y \rightarrow \tau^{-m_{\Delta}} y$ has length $2 m_{\Delta}$ and we have a path $v: x \rightarrow y$ with $x \neq y$, we conclude that any path $u: x \rightarrow \tau^{-m_{\Delta}} y$ has length $\ell(u) \geqslant 2 m_{\Delta}+1$. Thus by Lemma 3.9 we obtain that $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\mathcal{C}_{\Lambda}}\right)\left(x, \tau^{-m_{\Delta}} y\right)=0$. Likewise, we get that also $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\Lambda}}\right)\left(x, \tau^{m} y y\right)=0$, proving the result.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 3.11. Let $A$ be an iterated tilted algebra of Dynkin type $\Delta$, and let $A=$ $T(A) /\left\langle\bar{\alpha}_{1}, \bar{\alpha}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{\alpha}_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}$ are arrows of $Q_{T(A)}$. Let ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0]$ be a lifting of ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}$ to $\mathbb{Z} \Delta$. For any integer $j$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}[j]$ the full subquiver of $\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}$ induced by the nonzero paths in $k\left(\mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}}\right)$ starting at $\mathbf{P}_{o\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}[j]$ and ending either at $\mathbf{P}_{e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}[j]$ or at $\mathbf{P}_{e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}[j+1]$ for some $i=1,2, \ldots, t$. Then the vertices of $\Gamma_{A}[0]$ are the vertices of $S_{T(A)}[0]$ which are not in $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}[-1] \cup \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}[0]$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\pi}: \mathbb{Z} \Delta_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{T(A)}} \rightarrow \Gamma_{T(A)}$ be the universal covering of $\Gamma_{T(A)}$. By Remarks 2.6 and 3.8 we know that $\Gamma_{A}[0]={ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0] \backslash \tilde{\pi}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}\right)$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}[j] \cap$ ${ }_{s} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0]=\emptyset$ for $j \geqslant 1$ and $j \leqslant-2$. Then the desired result follows from the equality

$$
\tilde{\pi}^{-1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}\right)=\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{t}}[j],
$$

which is a consequence of Lemma 3.9 and Remark 3.10.
Example. Let $T$ be the trivial extension of Cartan class $\mathbf{A}_{5}$ with ordinary quiver $Q_{T}$ and with the relations $\alpha_{4} \alpha_{3}=0, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{6}=0, \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{6} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{4}=0, \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{2}=0$, $\alpha_{5} \alpha_{4} \alpha_{6} \alpha_{5}=0$.


Let $A=T /\left\langle\overline{\alpha_{2}}, \overline{\alpha_{5}}\right\rangle$ and $B=T /\left\langle\overline{\alpha_{3}}, \overline{\alpha_{4}}\right\rangle$. Hence $T(A)=T=T(B)$ and the embeddings $\Gamma_{A}[j] \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\hat{A}}, \Gamma_{B}[j] \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{\hat{B}}$ for each integer $j$ are as follows:
(1) The shaded regions in Fig. 5 correspond to $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{5}}[j]$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, the vertices of $\Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ which are not in these shaded regions correspond to $A$-modules.
(2) The shaded regions in Fig. 6 correspond to $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}}[j]$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, the vertices of $\Gamma_{\hat{B}}$ which are not in these regions correspond to $B$-modules.


Fig. 5.


Fig. 6.
Finally, we can describe $\Gamma_{A}$ and $\Gamma_{B}$ from this information. Indeed, the vertices of $\Gamma_{A}$ can be represented by the vertices of $S_{T(A)}[0]$, which are not in the shaded regions. The arrows of $\Gamma_{A}$ are obtained by studying the paths in ${ }_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[-1] \cup_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[0] \cup_{S} \Gamma_{T(A)}[1]$, as follows from Remarks 3.2 and 3.6. Then we get the AR-quivers $\Gamma_{A}$ and $\Gamma_{B}$
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