INTRODUCTION

**Hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ)** is a thiazide diuretic that blocks the specific sodium transport in the nephron; it is mainly used for the treatment of edema, arterial hypertension, congestive heart failure, and several forms of renal and hepatic dysfunctions (1). It is administered orally, at doses of 25 and 50 mg in tablet form (2).

There is not agreement in the classification criterion of HCTZ, according to the Biopharmaceutical Classification System (BCS) (3). Some authors consider it as a Class IV or II drug (4, 5). However, most authors classify HCTZ as a Class III drug (i.e., high solubility and low permeability) (3, 6–8).

In Argentina, HCTZ tablets are available both as reference and multisource products; however, it is a common practice that patients replace not only the reference with multisource products, but also replace multisource products with the latest ones. It is important to point out that multisource products must have proven pharmaceutical equivalence with the reference.

To establish that products containing the same amount of active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) (in the same pharmaceutical form and designed to be administered by the same route) are pharmaceutical equivalents, it must be verified that all of them comply with quality standards, such as identity, potency, dose uniformity, dissolution test and profile, and information regarding storage conditions (9, 10).

In vitro dissolution testing is an economic and useful tool to assure product quality, and to estimate pharmaceutical equivalence to the reference product (11). The point estimate approach is suitable for products containing APIs with high solubility-high permeability, but it may not be adequate for low solubility or low permeability ones. In these situations, products with inherently different dissolution profiles may sometimes comply with the point estimate given as a pharmacopeia standard. This, in turn, may inadvertently lead to the declaration of similar dissolutions. Dissolution profile comparison seems to be more precise than the point estimate approach for accurate characterization of the drug product (12, 13).

This study aimed to evaluate the quality and pharmaceutical equivalence of commercial products containing HCTZ (50 mg) marketed in Argentina, based on local Pharmacopeia guidelines.
**METHODS AND MATERIALS**

**Chemicals and Reagents**
HCTZ was purchased from Saporiti (Parafarm, Argentina). Analytical grade sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid were used in the assay, uniformity of dosage units and dissolution tests (Anedra, Argentina).

**Tablet Samples**
Four different HCTZ (50-mg) tablets were purchased at pharmacies in Bahia Blanca, Argentina. Tablets were randomly labeled as A to D. Reference product was Sample B; and A, C and D were multisource products.

**Equipment**
A Varian Cary 50 Conc spectrophotometer (Varian Instruments, Australia) was used for assay and dissolution studies. Hardness of the tablets was measured using a Scout DGM02 hardness tester (Scout Electronics, Argentina), friability via a Scout FGMO2, and disintegration with a Scout EGMO2. Dissolution studies were performed with an Erweka DT60 (Erweka GmbH, Germany). An Acculab ALC-210.4M electronic analytical balance (Acculab, USA) was used to weigh materials/chemicals and tablets.

**Quality Control Tests**
A comparative study of the information present on labels of primary and secondary packaging and in patient leaflets of the different samples was carried out to verify compliance with local legislation (14, 15).

For weight variation analysis, 10 tablets from each commercial sample were randomly chosen and individually weighed using an analytical balance. The mean weight and standard deviation (SD) were calculated.

Friability, hardness, and disintegration tests were performed according to the Argentine Pharmacopeia (14). A friability test determines the ability of tablets to withstand abrasion during packaging, handling, and shipping processes. Ten tablets from each commercial sample were weighed separately, placed into the friability tester and rotated at 25 rpm for 4 min (100 revolutions). Then, the tablets were removed from the tester and weighed, comparing this result with the initial value. The weight loss due to abrasion was expressed as a percentage. A maximum weight loss of not more than 1% is considered acceptable (14). The hardness of 10 individual tablets of each sample was measured using a hardness tester, where the tablets were placed between two platens, one of which moved to apply sufficient force to the tablet to cause fracture. This tester measures the degree of force in kilopounds (kp) required to break a tablet across the diameter. Lastly, the disintegration time of tablets (n = 6) was determined in water at 37.0 °C ± 2.0 °C using a disintegration tester. After 30 minutes, it should be observed that all tablets completely disintegrate, unless otherwise specified in the corresponding monograph (14).

For HCTZ assay tests, 20 tablets were weighed and powdered. Then, an accurately weighed portion of the powder, equivalent to about 30 mg of HCTZ, was dissolved using 0.1 M sodium hydroxide and diluted to volume. The solution was filtered and diluted with water. Drug concentration was determined by UV-spectrophotometry (272 nm) (16). The HCTZ content was calculated using a standard calibration curve (y = 0.0530 x - 0.0133; R² = 0.9998) developed for this purpose.

For uniformity of dosage units test, 10 tablets of each commercial sample were individually evaluated according to the assay method.

Dissolution profiles were performed as recommended in the Argentine Pharmacopeia for dissolution quality control test, using sampling points of 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, and 75 minutes (14). Apparatus 1 (basket) at 100 rpm was used, with 900 mL of 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) as dissolution medium. At the specified times, 10-mL aliquots were taken, filtered through a 0.45-µm nylon membrane filter (Microclar, Argentina) and suitably diluted. The amount of HCTZ dissolved was calculated by comparing the measured absorbance at 272 nm with the calibration curve prepared for that purpose. A standard calibration curve of HCTZ concentration (μg/mL) versus absorbance was represented by the linear equation y = 0.0655x - 0.0005, with a correlation coefficient of 0.9998 (concentration range 2.0–12.0 μg/mL). The Argentine Pharmacopeia indicates that not less than 60% (Q) of the labeled amount of HCTZ should dissolve within 60 min (14). In addition, dissolution profiles were statistically evaluated, in terms of dissolution efficiency (DE), using analysis of variance (ANOVA, Infostat). DE was calculated as the ratio, in percentage, of the area under the curve obtained from the dissolution profile, with the total area of the rectangle considered as 100% dissolution for the same time interval (17).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**
A comparative study of the information presented on labels (primary and secondary packaging) and patient leaflets of all products was performed based on World Health Organization (WHO) advice and local regulations (10, 14, 15). The concept of interchangeability is applicable not only to the API and to the pharmaceutical form, but also to the instructions for use and storage.
specifications, especially when they are critical for stability and expiration date. Concerning packaging and storage conditions, the Argentine Pharmacopeia states to "preserve in well-closed containers" (14). The labels and leaflets of the tested samples revealed differences in storage recommendations (Table 1). Formulation A labels indicated to "store in its original packaging", whereas the other samples did not mention this factor. In addition, the label information did not match the one described in the leaflet. Products A, B, and D referred to a maximum storage temperature. It is important to point out that information included in labels and leaflets should be uniform across producers and controlled by regulatory agencies, so both patients and professionals can interpret them correctly.

In Argentina, the widespread use of multisource products and interchangeability decisions taken by patients are generally based on economic reasons. Multisource HCTZ products exhibited similar price values, whereas the reference product was almost 40% higher (Table 1). This situation could lead to a misunderstanding about product quality.

The results of physical quality control tests, performed as recommended by the Argentine Pharmacopeia, are shown in Table 1. The evaluation of weight values identified large differences between samples, with results ranging from 149.4 mg to 250.6 mg. Differences in composition, typical of each manufacturer, and the physical dimensions of each product can explain this range of results, without necessarily being related to variations in the API content or dissolution performance.

Another measure of the mechanical integrity of tablets is their breaking force, which is the force required to cause them to fail (i.e., break) in a specific plane, called hardness in the pharmaceutical literature. It is expected that no tablet have hardness results lower than 2.0 kp. The tablets were tested individually, and mean hardness values ranged between 3.5 and 10.7 kp for all tested products (Table 1). The friability test indicated very low loss of powder (< 0.5%), which complied with the maximum allowed value of 1% (14) (Table 1). The tested products also showed satisfactory disintegration results, between 28 and 360 sec (Table 1). Therefore, all samples met the official compendium requirement of 30 min disintegration (14).

The HCTZ assay, uniformity of dosage units, and dissolution results are shown in Table 2. Assay results ranged from 93.6% ± 2.5% (Sample B) to 99.6% ± 1.4% (Sample A), and dissolution results were higher than 95% of labeled amount dissolved in 60 minutes for all samples, except for Sample D (values higher than 70%). Therefore, all formulations complied with the specifications of the Argentine Pharmacopeia for HCTZ content, uniformity of dosage units, and Stage 1 dissolution tests (14).

### Table 1. Information of Evaluated Products and Results of Physical Quality Control Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pricea</th>
<th>Storage conditions</th>
<th>Tablet weight (mg)b</th>
<th>Hardness (kp)b</th>
<th>Friability (% of weight loss)</th>
<th>Disintegration Time (s)c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>B: Store in original packaging at room temperature, not greater than 30 °C. L: Store below 30°C.</td>
<td>149.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (ref)</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>B and L: Store away from heat (not more than 30 °C).</td>
<td>199.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>B and L: Store in cool and dry room.</td>
<td>250.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>B and L: Store at a temperature below 30 °C.</td>
<td>161.1 ± 2.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aPrice in Argentine pesos at the time of analysis for 10 tablets.

*bMean value ± standard deviation.

*cMaximum time needed for complete disintegration of evaluated tablets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th></th>
<th>Assay (%)a</th>
<th>Uniformity of Dosage Unitsb</th>
<th>Dissolution Test (S1 Stage)c</th>
<th>Dissolution Efficiency (%)a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>90.0–110.0</td>
<td>85.0–115.0; RSD &lt; 6.0</td>
<td>60% (Q) in 60 min.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (ref)</td>
<td></td>
<td>99.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>[97.6–100.9] / 1.4</td>
<td>[97–105] / 2.7</td>
<td>86.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td>93.6 ± 2.5</td>
<td>[90.2–97.0] / 2.7</td>
<td>[97–99] / 0.8</td>
<td>93.0 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>98.6 ± 4.1</td>
<td>[93.8–103.5] / 4.2</td>
<td>[101–105] / 1.6</td>
<td>90.8 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aPercentage of labeled amount. Mean value ± standard deviation.

*bRange [minimum–maximum percentage of labeled amount] / relative standard deviation (RSD).

*cRange [minimum–maximum percentage of labeled amount dissolved] /RSD.
Figure 1 shows the dissolution profiles of HCTZ tablets in 0.1 M HCl, presented as mean percentages of labeled amount, associated with its corresponding standard deviation value. Dissolution profile analysis is an important tool to evaluate formulation development and marketed products, for batch quality control and establishing similarity between multisource and reference formulations. After 30 min, the dissolution profiles of the reference product B and multisource products A and C practically superimposed, indicating similar drug dissolution until the end of the test (Fig. 1). Samples B and C could be considered as ‘very rapid dissolution’ products, while Sample A revealed a ‘rapid dissolution’ performance (18). On the other hand, Sample D presented a significantly lower dissolution performance throughout the whole profile, with less than 85% dissolved (Fig. 1).

DE was also used to assess HCTZ release and dissolution profile comparison. If a drug has a high DE, it can be inferred that the API remains in contact with physiologic membranes for a long time and, thus, could lead to greater bioavailability in the assessed concentration range. DE results were above 85% for Samples A–C, whereas Sample D exhibited the lowest values (Table 2). ANOVA revealed statistical differences between Sample D and the other products ($p < 0.01$). Moreover, no statistical differences were recorded between the reference product B and Sample C ($p < 0.01$).

Several factors may influence dissolution results, such as the disintegration rate and the nature of the excipients. The use of excipients in HCTZ tablet composition is warranted due to being an immediate-release solid oral dosage form, ready to release the drug rapidly after administration. In these formulations, soluble diluents, disintegrating agents, and/or other resources are employed to promote disintegration, release, and dissolution (19, 20). By analyzing the excipients composition of the evaluated formulations, some observations can be made (Table 3). The reference formulation B contained lactose as diluent and two disintegrants. The two multisource formulations that achieved an appropriate release profile, products A and C, were very different in composition (Table 3, Fig. 1). Product A showed four disintegrants, associated with the soluble diluent lactose, which may be responsible for the adequate dissolution. Product C contained a single disintegrant associated with two diluents, lactose and cellulose. A previous study recommended avoiding formulations containing lactose and mannitol, because they are incompatible with HCTZ (21); however, our results are not in agreement with this observation because the formulations with better dissolution profiles (A, B and C) contained lactose, whereas product D had the lowest dissolution performance though it did not have this diluent. On the other hand, product D contained two disintegrants (povidone and croscarmellose), associated with a surfactant (sodium lauryl sulfate) and a diluent, such as microcrystalline cellulose (Table 3). These were all excipients that promote disintegration and dissolution. However, the dissolution profile of product D was not appropriate (Fig. 1). This could be related to the presence of the water-insoluble colorant (aluminum lake) or the method of manufacture (information not provided by the producer nor found in the literature).

### Table 3. Qualitative Composition of Excipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excipient type</th>
<th>Excipient</th>
<th>Sample A</th>
<th>B(ref)</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filler / Diluent</strong></td>
<td>Lactose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cellulose, microcrystalline</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disintegrant</strong></td>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Povidone</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crospovidone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Croscarmellose sodium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sodium starch glycolate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Glidant</strong></td>
<td>Sodium lauryl sulphate</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colloidal silicon dioxide</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lubricant</strong></td>
<td>Magnesium stearate</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colorant</strong></td>
<td>Aluminum lake</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This excipient has multiple functions. +, present; -, not present.*
CONCLUSION
Multisource HCTZ tablets available in Argentina, together with the reference product, were subjected to analysis according to the Argentine Pharmacopoeia. The results have shown that all the tested samples fulfilled the local requirements in terms of HCTZ content, friability, disintegration, uniformity of dosage units, and dissolution tests. Although one multisource product (Sample D) exhibited a lower dissolution performance, based on a reduced dissolution profile and DE results, it could be concluded that all evaluated products are pharmaceutical equivalents according to the Argentine Pharmacopoeia. Further evaluation in relevant dissolution media will be performed to assess similarity and interchangeability of these formulations and their pharmaceutical stability.
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