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#### Abstract

Free algebras with an arbitrary number of free generators in varieties of BL-algebras generated by one BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and a generalized BL-chain $\mathbf{B}$ are described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by B. The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean subalgebras of idempotents of free algebras in the variety of MV-algebras generated by $\mathbf{L}_{n}$.
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## Introduction

Basic Fuzzy Logic (BL for short) was introduced by Hájek (see [19] and the references given there) to formalize fuzzy logics in which the conjunction is interpreted by a continuous t-norm on the real segment [ 0,1 ] and the implication by its corresponding adjoint. He also introduced BL-algebras as the algebraic counterpart of these logics. BL-algebras form a variety (or equational class) of residuated lattices [19]. More precisely, they can be characterized as bounded basic hoops [1, 7]. Subvarieties of the variety of BL-algebras are in correspondence with axiomatic extensions of BL. Important examples of subvarieties of BL-algebras are MV-algebras (that correspond to Łukasiewicz many-valued logics, see [14]), linear Heyting algebras (that correspond to the superintuitionistic logic characterized by the axiom $(P \Rightarrow Q) \vee(Q \Rightarrow P)$, see [25] for a historical account about this logic), PL-algebras (that correspond to the

[^0]logic determined by the t-norm given by the ordinary product on [0, 1], see [15]), and also Boolean algebras (that correspond to classical logic).

Since the propositions under BL equivalence form a free BL-algebra, descriptions of free algebras in terms of functions give concrete representations of these propositions. Such descriptions are known for some subvarieties of BL-algebras. The best known example is the representation of classical propositions by Boolean functions. Free MV-algebras have been described in terms of continuous piecewise linear functions by McNaughton [22] (see also [14]). Finitely generated free linear Heyting algebras were described by Horn [20], and a description of finitely generated free PL-algebras was given in [15]. Linear Heyting algebras and PL-algebras are examples of varieties of BL-algebras satisfying the Boolean retraction property. Free algebras in these varieties were completely described in [17].

In [10] the first author described the finitely generated free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single BL-chain which is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and a generalized BL-chain B. We call these chains $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chains. The aim of this paper is to extend the results of [10] considering the case of infinitely many free generators. The results of [10] were heavily based on the fact that the Boolean subalgebras of finitely generated algebras in the varieties generated by $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chains are finite. Therefore the methods of [10] cannot be applied to the general case.

As a preliminary step we characterize the Boolean algebra of idempotent elements of a free algebra in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, the variety of MV-algebras generated by the finite MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_{n}$. It is the free Boolean algebra over a poset which is the cardinal sum of chains of length $n-1$. In the proof of this result a central role is played by the Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$.

Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chain $\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{B}$ are then described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by B. The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$. An important intermediate step is the characterization of the variety of generalized BL -algebras generated by $\mathbf{B}$ (Corollary 3.5).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we recall, for further reference, some basic notions on BL-algebras and on the varieties $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$. We also recall some facts about the representation of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras as weak Boolean products. The only new result is given in Theorem 1.5. In Section 2, after giving the necessary background on Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, we characterize the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$. These results are used in Section 3 to give the mentioned description of free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chain. Finally in Section 4 we give some examples and we compare our results with those of [10] and [17].

## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. BL-algebras: basic notions A hoop [7] is an algebra $\mathbf{A}=(A, *, \rightarrow, T)$ of type $(2,2,0)$, such that $(A, *, T)$ is a commutative monoid and for all $x, y, z \in A$ :
(1) $x \rightarrow x=\mathrm{\top}$,
(2) $x *(x \rightarrow y)=y *(y \rightarrow x)$,
(3) $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=(x * y) \rightarrow z$.

A basic hoop [1] or a generalized BL-algebra [18], is a hoop that satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow z) *((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow z=\mathrm{\top} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is shown in [1] that generalized BL-algebras can be characterized as algebras $\mathbf{A}=(A, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, T)$ of type $(2,2,2,2,0)$ such that
(1) $(A, *, T)$, is an commutative monoid,
(2) $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A}):=(A, \wedge, \vee, \top)$, is a lattice with greatest element T ,
(3) $x \rightarrow x=\mathrm{T}$,
(4) $x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=(x * y) \rightarrow z$,
(5) $x \wedge y=x *(x \rightarrow y)$,
(6) $(x \rightarrow y) \vee(y \rightarrow x)=\mathrm{T}$.

A BL-algebra or bounded basic hoop is a bounded generalized BL-algebra, that is, it is an algebra $\mathbf{A}=(A, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, \perp, T)$ of type $(2,2,2,2,0,0)$ such that $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, T)$ is a generalized BL-algebra, and $\perp$ is the lower bound of $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$. In this case, we define the unary operation $\neg$ by the equation $\neg x=x \rightarrow \perp$. The BL-algebra with only one element, that is, $\perp=\mathrm{T}$, is called the trivial BL-algebra. The varieties of BL-algebras and of generalized BL-algebras will be denoted by $\mathcal{B L}$ and $\mathcal{G B L}$, respectively.

In every generalized BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ we denote by $\leq$ the (partial) order defined on $A$ by the lattice $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$, that is, for $a, b \in A, a \leq b$ if and only if $a=a \wedge b$ if and only if $b=a \vee b$. This order is called the natural order of $\mathbf{A}$. When this natural order is total (that is, for each $a, b, \in A, a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$ ), we say that $\mathbf{A}$ is a generalized BL-chain (BL-chain in case A is a BL-algebra). The following theorem makes obvious the importance of BL-chains and can be easily derived from [19, Lemma 2.3.16].

## Theorem 1.1. Each BL-algebra is a subdirect product of BL-chains.

In every BL-algebra A we define a binary operation $x \oplus y=\neg(\neg x * \neg y)$. For each positive integer $k$, the operations $x^{k}$ and $k x$ are inductively defined as follows:
(a) $x^{1}=x$ and $x^{k+1}=x^{k} * x$,
(b) $1 x=x$ and $(k+1) x=(k x) \oplus x$.

MV-algebras, the algebras of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic, form a subvariety of $\mathcal{B L}$, which is characterized by the equation $\neg \neg x=x$ (see [19]). The variety of MV-algebras is denoted by $\mathcal{M V}$. Totally ordered MV-algebras are called MV-chains. For each BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, the set

$$
M V(\mathbf{A}):=\{x \in A: \neg \neg x=x\}
$$

is the universe of a subalgebra $\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ which is an MV-algebra (see [18]).
A PL-algebra is a BL-algebra that satisfies the two axioms:
(1) $(\neg \neg z *((x * z) \rightarrow(y * z))) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)=\top$,
(2) $x \wedge \neg x=\perp$.

PL-algebras correspond to product fuzzy logic, see [15] and [19].
It follows from Theorem 1.1 that for each BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ the lattice $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$ is distributive. The complemented elements of $L(\mathbf{A})$ form a subalgebra $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ which is a Boolean algebra. Elements of $B(\mathbf{A})$ are called Boolean elements of $\mathbf{A}$.

### 1.2. Implicative filters

DEFINITION 1.2. An implicative filter of a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is a subset $F \subseteq A$ satisfying the conditions
(1) $\top \in F$.
(2) If $x \in F$ and $x \rightarrow y \in F$, then $y \in F$.

An implicative filter is called proper provided that $F \neq A$. If $W$ is a subset of a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, the implicative filter generated by $W$ will be denoted by $\langle W\rangle$. If $U$ is a filter of the Boolean subalgebra $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$, then the implicative filter $\langle U\rangle$ is called Stone filter of $\mathbf{A}$. An implicative filter $F$ of a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is called maximal if and only if it is proper and no proper implicative filter of $\mathbf{A}$ strictly contains $F$.

Implicative filters characterize congruences in BL-algebras. Indeed, if $F$ is an implicative filter of a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ it is well known (see [19, Lemma 2.3.14]), that the binary relation $\equiv_{F}$ on $A$ defined by

$$
x \equiv_{F} y \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x \rightarrow y \in F \quad \text { and } \quad y \rightarrow x \in F
$$

is a congruence of $\mathbf{A}$. Moreover, $F=\left\{x \in A: x \equiv_{F} \top\right\}$. Conversely, if $\equiv$ is a congruence relation on $A$, then $\{x \in A: x \equiv \top\}$ is an implicative filter, and $x \equiv y$ if and only if $x \rightarrow y \equiv \top$ and $y \rightarrow x \equiv \top$. Therefore, the correspondence $F \mapsto \equiv_{F}$ is a bijection from the set of implicative filters of $\mathbf{A}$ onto the set of congruences of $\mathbf{A}$.

Lemma 1.3 (see [17]). Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BL-algebra, and let $F$ be a filter of $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. Then $\left(\equiv_{F}\right)=\{(a, b) \in A \times A: a \wedge c=b \wedge c$ for some $c \in F\}$ is a congruence relation on $\mathbf{A}$ that coincides with the congruence relation given by the implicative filter $\langle F\rangle$ generated by $F$.
1.3. $\mathbf{M V}_{n}$-algebras For $n \geq 2$, we define:

$$
L_{n}=\left\{\frac{0}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{2}{n-1}, \ldots, \frac{n-1}{n-1}\right\} .
$$

The set $L_{n}$ equipped with the operations $x * y=\max (0, x+y-1), x \rightarrow y=$ $\min (1,1-x+y)$, and with $\perp=0$ defines a finite MV-algebra, which shall be denoted by $\mathbf{L}_{n}$. Clearly $B\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)=\{0,1\}$.

A BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is said to be simple provided it is nontrivial and the only proper implicative filter of $\mathbf{A}$ is the singleton $\{T\}$. In [14], it is proved that $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ is a simple MV-algebra for each integer $n$.

We shall denote by $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ the subvariety of $\mathcal{M V}$ generated by $\mathbf{L}_{n}$. The elements of $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ are called $M V_{n}$-algebras. A finite MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_{m}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ if and only if $m-1$ is a divisor of $n-1$. Therefore it is not hard to corroborate that every $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra is a subdirect product of a family of algebras $\left(\mathbf{L}_{m_{i}}, i \in I\right)$ where $m_{i}-1$ divides $n-1$ for each $i \in I$.

It can be deduced from [14, Corollary 8.2.4 and Theorem 8.5.1] that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ is the proper subvariety of $\mathcal{M V}$ characterized by the equations
$\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$

$$
x^{(n-1)}=x^{n},
$$

and if $n \geq 4$, for every integer $p=2, \ldots, n-2$ that does not divide $n-1$
$\left(\beta_{n}\right)$

$$
\left(p x^{p-1}\right)^{n}=n x^{p} .
$$

If $\mathbf{A}$ is an $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra, it is not hard to verify that for each $x \in A \backslash\{T\}, x^{n}=\perp$ and for each $y \in A \backslash\{\perp\}, n y=T$.
1.4. Ordinal sum and decomposition of BL-chains $\operatorname{Let} \mathbf{R}=\left(R, *_{\mathbf{R}}, \rightarrow_{\mathbf{R}}, T\right)$ and $\mathbf{S}=\left(S, *_{\mathrm{s}}, \rightarrow_{\mathbf{s}}, T\right)$ be two hoops such that $R \cap S=\{T\}$. Following [7] we can define the ordinal sum $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ of these two hoops as the hoop given by ( $R \cup S, *, \rightarrow$, $\top$ ) where the operations $(*, \rightarrow)$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
x * y= \begin{cases}x *_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text { if } x, y \in R, \\
x *_{\mathbf{S}} y & \text { if } x, y \in S \\
x & \text { if } x \in R \backslash\{\top\} \text { and } y \in S, \\
y & \text { if } y \in R \backslash\{\top\} \text { and } x \in S\end{cases} \\
x \rightarrow y= \begin{cases}\top & \text { if } x \in R \backslash\{T\}, y \in S, \\
x \rightarrow_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text { if } x, y \in R, \\
x \rightarrow_{\mathbf{s}} y & \text { if } x, y \in S \\
y & \text { if } y \in R \backslash\{T\} \text { and } x \in S .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

If $R \cap S \neq\{\top\}, \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ can be replaced by isomorphic copies whose intersection is $\{T\}$, thus their ordinal sum can be defined. When $\mathbf{R}$ is a generalized BL-chain and $\mathbf{S}$ is a generalized BL-algebra, the hoop resulting from their ordinal sum satisfies equation (1.1). Thus $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is a generalized BL-algebra. Moreover, if $\mathbf{R}$ is a BL-chain, then $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is a BL-algebra, where $\perp=\perp_{\mathbf{R}}$. If $S$ is totally ordered it is obvious that the chain $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is subdirectly irreducible if and only if $\mathbf{S}$ is subdirectly irreducible. Notice also that for any generalized BL-algebra $\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}} \uplus \mathbf{S}$ is the BL-algebra that arises from adjoining a bottom element to $\mathbf{S}$.

Given a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, we can consider the set $D(\mathbf{A}):=\{x \in \mathbf{A}: \neg x=\perp\}$. It is shown in [18], that $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})=(D(\mathbf{A}), \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, \top)$ is a generalized BL-algebra.

THEOREM 1.4 (see [10]). For each BL-chain $\mathbf{A}$, we have that $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$.
THEOREM 1.5. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BL-algebra such that $\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n}$ for some integer $n$. Then $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$.

Proof. From Theorem 1.1, we can think of each non trivial BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$ as a subdirect product of a family $\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}, i \in I\right)$ of non trivial BL-chains, that is, there exists an embedding $e: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_{i}$, such that $\pi_{i}(e(\mathbf{A}))=\mathbf{A}_{i}$ for each $i \in I$, where $\pi_{i}$ denotes each projection. We shall identify $\mathbf{A}$ with $e(\mathbf{A})$. Then each element of $A$ is a tuple $\mathbf{x}$ and coordinate $i$ is $x_{i} \in A_{i}$. With this notation we have that for each $\mathbf{x} \in A$, $\pi_{i}(\mathbf{x})=x_{i}$. We will prove the following items:
(1) For each $i \in I, \mathbf{M V}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}_{n}$.

Since for each $i \in I, \pi_{i}$ is a homomorphism and $\pi_{i}(M V(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq A_{i}$, we have that $\pi_{i}(M V(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$. Then $\pi_{i}(\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}))$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M V}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$. On the other hand, given $i \in I$, let $x_{i} \in M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$. Then $\neg \neg x_{i}=x_{i}$ and there exists an element $\mathbf{x} \in A$ such that $\pi_{i}(\mathbf{x})=x_{i}$. Taking $\mathbf{y}=\neg \neg \mathbf{x} \in M V(\mathbf{A})$ we have that $\pi_{i}(\mathbf{y})=x_{i}$ and $x_{i} \in \pi_{i}(M V(\mathbf{A}))$. Hence $M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right) \subseteq \pi_{i}(M V(\mathbf{A}))$.

In conclusion MV $\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)=\pi_{i}(\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}))=\pi_{i}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)=\mathbf{L}_{n}$, because $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ is simple.
(2) If $\mathbf{x} \in A$, then $\mathbf{x} \in M V(\mathbf{A}) \cup D(\mathbf{A})$.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in A$ and let $\mathbf{y}=n(\neg \mathbf{x})$. If $x_{i} \in L_{n} \backslash\{T\}$, then $\neg x_{i} \in L_{n} \backslash\{\perp\}$. From equation $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ we obtain that $y_{i}=n\left(\neg x_{i}\right)=\top$. On the other hand, if $\neg x_{i}=\perp$, then $y_{i}=n\left(\neg x_{i}\right)=\perp$. Now let $\mathbf{z}=(\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^{n}$. If $x_{i} \in L_{n} \backslash\{T\}$, then $z_{i}=\perp$, but if $\neg \neg x_{i}=\top$, then $z_{i}=\top$.

Suppose there exists $\mathbf{x} \in A$ such that $\mathbf{x} \notin M V(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{x} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$. It follows from Theorem 1.4 that for each $i \in I, \mathbf{A}_{i}=\mathbf{M V}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right) \uplus \mathbf{D}\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right)$. Then there exist $i, j \in I$, such that $x_{i} \in M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right) \backslash\{T\}=L_{n} \backslash\{T\}$ and $x_{j} \in D\left(\mathbf{A}_{j}\right) \backslash\{T\}$.

Let $\mathbf{y}=n(\neg \mathbf{x})$. Then $y_{i}=\top, y_{j}=\perp$, and $y_{k} \in\{\perp, T\}$ for each $k \in I \backslash\{i, j\}$. Now let $\mathbf{z}=(\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^{n}$. We have that $z_{j}=\top, z_{i}=\perp$, and $z_{k} \in\{\perp, T\}$ for each
$k \in I \backslash\{i, j\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{z}$ are elements in the chain $M V(\mathbf{A})=L_{n}$, which are not comparable, and this is a contradiction.
(3) If $\mathbf{x} \in M V(\mathbf{A}) \backslash\{\top\}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$, then $\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{y}$.

The statement is clear if $x_{i} \in M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right) \backslash\{T\}$ for every $i \in I$ or if $y_{i}=\top$ for each $i \in I$. Otherwise, suppose $x_{i}=\top$ for some $i \in I$. Since $\mathbf{x} \neq \top$, there must exist $j \in I$ such that $x_{j} \neq \top$. If $y_{i}=\top$ for each $i \in I$ such that $x_{i}=\top$, then $\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{y}$. If not, let $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}$. Since operations are coordinatewise, $z_{j} \in M V\left(\mathbf{A}_{j}\right) \backslash\{T\}$ and $z_{i} \in D\left(\mathbf{A}_{i}\right) \backslash\{\top\}$, for some $i \in I$. Hence $\mathbf{z} \notin M V(\mathbf{A})$ and $\mathbf{z} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$, contradicting the previous item.
(4) If $\mathbf{x} \in M V(\mathbf{A}) \backslash\{\top\}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$, then $\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y} * \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}$.

Since $\neg \mathbf{y}=\perp$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} & =\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \neg \neg \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y} \rightarrow(\neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \perp)=\neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow(\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \perp) \\
& =\neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \perp=\neg \neg \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y} *(\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x})=\mathbf{y} * \mathbf{x}
$$

From the previous items it follows that $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$.
1.5. Free algebras in varieties of $\mathbf{B L}$-algebras generated by a $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chain Recall that an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ in a variety $\mathcal{K}$ is said to be free over a set $Y$ if and only if for every algebra $\mathbf{C}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ and every function $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, f$ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism of $\mathbf{A}$ into $\mathbf{C}$. Given a variety $\mathcal{K}$ of algebras, we denote by Free $_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ the free algebra in $\mathcal{K}$ over $X$. As mentioned in the introduction, we define a $B L_{n}$-chain as a BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of the MV-chain $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and a generalized BL-chain. Once we fixed the generalized BL-chain B, we study the free algebra $\mathbf{F r e e}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the variety of BL -algebras generated by the $\mathrm{BL}_{n}$-chain

$$
\mathbf{T}_{n}:=\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{B}
$$

Notice that $\mathbf{M V}\left(\mathbf{T}_{n}\right) \cong \mathbf{L}_{n}$ and if $x \notin M V\left(\mathbf{T}_{n}\right) \backslash\{\top\}$, then $x \in D\left(\mathbf{T}_{n}\right)=B$.
Recall that a weak Boolean product of a family $\left(A_{y}, y \in Y\right)$ of algebras over a Boolean space $Y$ is a subdirect product $\mathbf{A}$ of the given family such that the following conditions hold:
(1) If $a, b \in A$, then $[a=b]=\left\{y \in Y: a_{y}=b_{y}\right\}$ is open.
(2) If $a, b \in A$ and $Z$ is a clopen in $X$, then $\left.\left.a\right|_{Z} \cup b\right|_{X \backslash Z} \in A$.

Since the variety $\mathcal{B L}$ is congruence distributive, it has the Boolean Factor Congruence property. Therefore each nontrivial BL-algebra can be represented as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable BL-algebras (see [5] and [23]). The
explicit representation of each BL-algebra as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable algebras is given in [17] by the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.6. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BL-algebra and let $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ be the Boolean space of ultrafilters of the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. The correspondence

$$
a \mapsto(a /\langle U\rangle)_{U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathrm{~B}(\mathbf{A})}
$$

gives an isomorphism of $\mathbf{A}$ onto the weak Boolean product of the family

$$
(\mathbf{A} /\langle U\rangle): U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})
$$

over the Boolean space $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. This representation is called the Pierce representation. Any other representation of $\mathbf{A}$ as a weak Boolean product of a family of directly indecomposable algebras is equivalent to the Pierce representation.

Therefore, to describe $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ we need to describe $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ and the quotients $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle$ for each $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$.

In Section 2 we obtain a characterization of the Boolean algebra $\mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$. Once this aim is achieved, we consider the quotients Free $_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle$.

## 2. $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$

The next two results can be found in [18].
THEOREM 2.1. For each BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}))$.
THEOREM 2.2. For each variety $\mathcal{K}$ of BL-algebras and each set $X$

$$
\operatorname{MV}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{K}}(X)\right) \cong \text { Free }_{\mathcal{M V} \cap \mathcal{K}}(\neg \neg X)
$$

THEOREM 2.3. $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}$ is the variety $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$.
Proof. Since $\mathbf{L}_{n} \cong \mathbf{M V}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{n}}\right)$ is in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}$, we have that $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}$. On the other hand, let $\mathbf{A}$ be an MV-algebra in $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}$. Suppose $\mathbf{A}$ is not in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$. Then there exists an equation $e\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)=\top$ that is satisfied by $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and is not satisfied by $\mathbf{A}$, that is, there exist elements $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}$ in $A$ such that $e\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right) \neq T$. Since $\left(\neg \neg b_{1}, \ldots, \neg \neg b_{p}\right)$ is in $\left(L_{n}\right)^{p}$, for each tuple $\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{p}\right)$ in $\left(T_{n}\right)^{p}$, the equation $e^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)=e\left(\neg \neg x_{1}, \ldots, \neg \neg x_{p}\right)=\top$ is satisfied in $\mathcal{V}$. Since $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}$, it follows that $\top=e^{\prime}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)=e\left(\neg \neg a_{1}, \ldots, \neg \neg a_{p}\right)=e\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right) \neq \top$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}=\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{M V}$.

From these results we obtain the following theorem.
THEOREM 2.4. $\mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right) \cong \mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)\right)$.
2.1. n-valued Moisil algebras Boolean elements of Free $_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)$ depend on some operators that can be defined on each $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra. Such operators provide each $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra with an n -valued Moisil algebra structure, in the sense of the following definition.

Definition 2.5. For each integer $n \geq 2$, an $n$-valued Moisil algebra ( $[8,11]$ ) or $n$-valued Łukasiewicz algebra $([4,12,13])$ is an algebra

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, 0,1\right)
$$

of type $(2,2,1, \ldots, 1,0,0)$ such that $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0,1)$ is a distributive lattice with unit 1 and zero 0 , and $\neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}$ are unary operators defined on $A$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(1) $\neg \neg x=x$,
(2) $\neg(x \vee y)=\neg x \wedge \neg y$,
(3) $\sigma_{i}^{n}(x \vee y)=\sigma_{i}^{n} x \vee \sigma_{i}^{n} y$,
(4) $\sigma_{i}^{n} x \vee \neg \sigma_{i}^{n} x=1$,
(5) $\sigma_{i}^{n} \sigma_{j}^{n} x=\sigma_{j}^{n} x$, for $i, j=1,2, \ldots n-1$,
(6) $\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg x)=\neg\left(\sigma_{n-i}^{n} x\right)$,
(7) $\sigma_{i}^{n} x \vee \sigma_{i+1}^{n} x=\sigma_{i+1}^{n} x$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$,
(8) $x \vee \sigma_{n-1}^{n} x=\sigma_{n-1}^{n} x$,
(9) $\left(x \wedge \neg \sigma_{i}^{n} x \wedge \sigma_{i+1}^{n} y\right) \vee y=y$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n-2$.

Properties and examples of $n$-valued Moisil algebras can be found in [4] and [8]. The variety of $n$-valued Moisil algebras will be denoted $\mathcal{M}_{n}$.

Theorem 2.6 (see [11]). Let $\mathbf{A}$ be in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$. Then $x \in B(\mathbf{A})$ if and only if

$$
\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(x)=x
$$

## Furthermore,

$$
\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(x)=\min \{b \in B(\mathbf{A}): x \leq b\} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{1}^{n}(x)=\max \{a \in B(\mathbf{A}): a \leq x\} .
$$

Definition 2.7. For each integer $n \geq 2$, a Post algebra of order $n$ is a system

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, 0,1\right)
$$

such that $\left(A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, 0,1\right)$ is an $n$-valued Moisil algebra and $e_{1}, \ldots$, $e_{n-1}$ are constants that satisfy the equations:

$$
\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(e_{j}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i+j<n, \\ 1 & \text { if } i+j \geq n .\end{cases}
$$

For every $n \geq 2$, we can define one-variable terms $\sigma_{1}^{n}(x), \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}(x)$ in the language $(\neg, \rightarrow, \top)$ such that evaluated on the algebras $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ give

$$
\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(\frac{j}{(n-1)}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i+j \geq n \\ 0 & \text { if } i+j<n\end{cases}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ (see [13] or [24]). It is easy to check that

$$
\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)=\left(L_{n}, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, 0,1\right)
$$

is a $n$-valued Moisil algebra. Since these algebras are defined by equations and $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ generates the variety $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, we have that each $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ admits a structure of an $n$-valued Moisil algebra, denoted by $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$. The chain $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)$ plays a very important role in the structure of $n$-valued Moisil algebras, since each $n$-valued Moisil algebra is a subdirect product of subalgebras of $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)$ (see [4] or [12]). If we add to the structure $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)$ the constants $e_{i}=i /(n-1)$, for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, then $\mathbf{P T}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)=$ $\left(L_{n}, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, 0,1\right)$ is a Post algebra.

Not every $n$-valued Moisil algebra has a structure of $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra (see [21]). For example, a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)$ may not be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ as $\mathbf{M V}_{n}$-algebra. For instance, the set

$$
C=\left\{\frac{0}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4}\right\}
$$

is the universe of a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)$, but not the universe of a subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{5}}$. On the other hand, every Post algebra has a structure of $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra (see [24, Theorem 10]).

The next example will play an important role in what follows.
EXAMPLE 2.8. Let $\mathbf{C}=(C, \wedge, \vee, \neg, 0,1)$ be a Boolean algebra. We define

$$
C^{[n]}:=\left\{\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \in C^{n-1}: z_{1} \leq z_{2} \leq \ldots \leq z_{n-1}\right\}
$$

For each $\mathbf{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n-1}\right) \in C^{[n]}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg_{n} \mathbf{z} & =\left(\neg z_{n-1}, \ldots, \neg z_{1}\right), \\
\mathbf{0} & =(0, \ldots, 0), \\
\mathbf{1} & =(1, \ldots, 1), \\
\sigma_{i}^{n}(\mathbf{z}) & =\left(z_{i}, z_{i}, \ldots, z_{i}\right) \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

With $\wedge$ and $\vee$ defined coordinatewise, $\mathbf{C}^{[n]}=\left(C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_{n}, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ is an $n$-valued Moisil algebra (see [8, Chapter 3, Example 1.10]). If we define $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j}}=\left(e_{j, 1}, \ldots, e_{j, n-1}\right)$ by

$$
e_{j, i}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i<j \\ 1 & \text { if } i \geq j\end{cases}
$$

then $\mathbf{C}^{[n]}=\left(C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_{n}, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\right)$ is a Post algebra. Consequently, $\mathbf{C}^{[n]}$ has a structure of $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra.

It is easy to see that for each $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A}))$.
We need to show that the Boolean elements of the $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra generated by a set $G$ coincide with the Boolean elements of the $n$-valued Moisil algebra generated by the same set. In order to prove this result it is convenient to consider the following operators on each $n$-valued Moisil algebra $\mathbf{A}$. For each $i=0, \ldots, n-1$,

$$
J_{i}(x)=\sigma_{n-i}^{n}(x) \wedge \neg \sigma_{n-i-1}^{n}(x)
$$

where $\sigma_{0}^{n}(x)=0$ and $\sigma_{n}^{n}(x)=1$. In $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n}\right)$ we have

$$
J_{i}\left(\frac{j}{(n-1)}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

LEMmA 2.9. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an $M V_{n}$-algebra, and let $G \subset A$. If $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$ generated by the set $G$ and $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$ generated by $G$, then $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B}\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$.

Proof. Since $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ is always a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)$, we have that $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)$.

We will see that $B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right) \subseteq B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$. The case $G=\emptyset$ is clear. Suppose that $G$ is a finite set of cardinality $p \geq 1$. Since $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebras are locally finite (see [9, Chapter II, Theorem 10.16]), we obtain that $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ is a finite $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebra. Since finite $\mathrm{MV}_{n}$-algebras are direct products of simple algebras, there exists a finite $k \geq 1$ such that $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{L}_{m_{i}}$, where each $m_{i}-1$ divides $n-1$, for each $i=1, \ldots, k$. If $k=1$, then $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ and $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ are finite chains whose only Boolean elements are their extremes. Otherwise, we can think of the elements of $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ as k-tuples, that is, if $\mathbf{x} \in\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$, then $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$. We shall denote by $\mathbf{1}^{j}$ the k-tuple given by

$$
\left(\mathbf{1}^{j}\right)_{i}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=j \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that for each $j=1, \ldots, k, \mathbf{1}^{j}$ is in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$. From this it follows that for every pair $i \neq j, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$, there exists an element $\mathbf{x} \in G$ such that $x_{j} \neq x_{i}$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there exist $i, j \leq k$ such that $x_{i}=x_{j}$, for every $\mathbf{x} \in G$. Then for every $\mathbf{z} \in\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ we would have $z_{j}=z_{i}$ contradicting the fact that $\mathbf{1}^{i}$ is in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} V_{n}}$.

To see that every Boolean element in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$ is also in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$, it is enough to prove that $\mathbf{1}^{j}$ is in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ for every $j=1, \ldots, k$. For a fixed $j$, for each $i \neq j$,
$i=1, \ldots k$, we choose $\mathbf{x}^{i} \in G$ such that $x_{j}^{i} \neq x_{i}^{i}$. Let $j_{i}$ be the numerator of $x_{j}^{i} \in L_{n}$. It is not hard to verify that

$$
\mathbf{1}^{j}=\bigwedge_{i=1, i \neq j}^{k} J_{j_{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i}\right)
$$

Therefore $\mathbf{1}^{j} \in\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ and $B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right) \subseteq B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$.
If $G$ is not finite, let $\mathbf{y}$ be a Boolean element in $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}$. Hence, there exists a finite subset $G_{\mathbf{y}}$ of $G$ such that $\mathbf{y}$ belongs to the subalgebra of $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \nu_{n}}$ generated by $G_{\mathbf{y}}$. Therefore, since $\mathbf{y}$ is Boolean, $\mathbf{y}$ belongs to the subalgebra of $\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ generated by $G_{\mathbf{y}}$, and we conclude that $B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right) \subseteq B\left(\langle G\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$ for all sets $G$.

Given an algebra $\mathbf{A}$ in a variety $\mathcal{K}$, a subalgebra $\mathbf{S}$ of $\mathbf{A}$, and an element $x \in A$, we shall denote by $\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$ the subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$ generated by the set $S \cup\{x\}$ in $\mathcal{K}$.

Lemma 2.10. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be in $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ and $x \in C$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\sigma_{i}^{n}(x)$ belongs to $B(\mathbf{S})$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Then $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$. It is left to check that $B\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right) \subseteq B(\mathbf{S})$. To achieve this aim, we shall study the form of the elements in $\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$. We define for each $s \in S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(s) & =s \wedge x \\
\beta(s) & =s \wedge \neg x, \\
\gamma_{i}(s) & =s \wedge \sigma_{i}^{n}(x), \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots n-1 \\
\delta_{i}(s) & =s \wedge \neg \sigma_{i}^{n}(x), \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For all $s \in S$ we have that $\gamma_{i}(s)$ and $\delta_{i}(s)$ are in $S$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Let

$$
M:=\left\{y=\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{y}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}} f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right): f_{i} \in\left\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}\right\} \text { and } s_{i} \in S\right\}
$$

We shall see that $\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}=\mathbf{M}=\left(M, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{1}^{n}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{n}, 0,1\right)$. Indeed, for all $s \in S, s=\gamma_{1}(s) \vee \delta_{1}(s)$, and then $S \subseteq M$. Besides, $x \in M$ because $x=\alpha(1)$. Lastly, it is easy to see that $M$ is closed under the operations of $n$-valued Moisil algebra. Thus $\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{M}$. From the definition of $M$, it is obvious that $M \subseteq\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}$, and the equality follows.

Now let $z \in B\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)$. By Theorem 2.6, $\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z)=z$ and $z=\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{z}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}} f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)$ with $f_{i} \in\left\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{1}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}\right\}$ and $s_{i} \in S$. Then we have

$$
z=\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z)=\sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{z}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}} f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)=\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_{z}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_{j}} \sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)
$$

is in $B(\mathbf{S})$ because $\sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)=\gamma_{k}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)$ or $\sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(f_{i}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)=\delta_{k}\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{n}\left(s_{i}\right)\right)$, for some $k=1, \ldots, n-1$.

THEOREM 2.11. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be an $M V_{n}$-algebra and $x \in \mathbf{C}$. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\sigma_{i}^{n}(x)$ belongs to $B(\mathbf{S})$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Then

$$
\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we obtain $\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n}}\right)=$ B(S).
2.2. Boolean elements in $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\boldsymbol{Z}) \quad$ Recall that a Boolean algebra $\mathbf{B}$ is said to be free over a poset $Y$ if for each Boolean algebra $\mathbf{C}$ and for each non-decreasing function $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, f$ can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from $\mathbf{B}$ into $\mathbf{C}$.

THEOREM 2.12. $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z)\right)$ is the free Boolean algebra over the poset $Z^{\prime}:=$ $\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(z): z \in Z, i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{S}$ be the subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z)\right)$ generated by $Z^{\prime}$. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a Boolean algebra and let $f: Z^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a non-decreasing function. The monotonicity of $f$ implies that the prescription

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\left(f\left(\sigma_{1}^{n}(z)\right), \ldots, f\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z)\right)\right)
$$

defines a function $f^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{[n]}$. Since $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, there is a unique homomorphism $h^{\prime}:$ Free $_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{[n]}$ such that $h^{\prime}(z)=f^{\prime}(z)$ for every $z \in Z$. Let $\pi: \mathbf{C}^{[n]} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the projection over the first coordinate. The composition $\pi \circ h^{\prime}$ restricted to $\mathbf{S}$ is a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and for $y=\sigma_{j}^{n}(z) \in Z^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(y) & =\pi\left(h^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}(z)\right)\right)=\pi\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}\left(h^{\prime}(z)\right)\right)=\pi\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}\left(f^{\prime}(z)\right)\right) \\
& =\pi\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}\left(f\left(\sigma_{1}^{n}(z)\right), \ldots, f\left(\sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z)\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\pi\left(f\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}(z)\right), \ldots, f\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}(z)\right)\right)=f\left(\sigma_{j}^{n}(z)\right)=f(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\mathbf{S}$ is the free Boolean algebra over the poset $Z^{\prime}$. However, since $\sigma_{j}^{n}(z)$ is in $\mathbf{S}$ for all $z \in Z$ and $j=1, \ldots n-1$, Theorem 2.11 asserts that

$$
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})=\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, z\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)
$$

for every $z \in Z$. From the fact that $\mathbf{S}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z)\right)$ we obtain

$$
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{B}\left(\langle\mathbf{S}, Z\rangle_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}\right)=\mathbf{B}\left(\text { Free }_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z)\right)
$$

that is, $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(Z)\right)$ is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset $Z^{\prime}$.

From Theorem 2.4 we obtain the follwoing result.
Corollary 2.13. B( $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ ) is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset $Y:=\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x): x \in X, i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$.

REmARK 2.14. If $n=2$, that is, the variety considered $\mathcal{V}$ is generated by a $\mathrm{BL}_{2}-$ chain, then $\sigma_{1}^{2}(x)=x$ for each $x \in X$. Therefore, in this case, $Y=\{\neg \neg x: x \in X\}$, and the cardinality of $Y$ equals the cardinality of $X$. It follows that $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ is the free Boolean algebra over the set $Y$.

## 3. $\operatorname{Free}_{\nu}(X) /\langle U\rangle$

Following the program established at the end of Section 2, our next aim is to describe $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle$ for each ultrafilter $U$ in the free Boolean algebra generated by $Y=\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x): x \in X, i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$, where $\langle U\rangle$ is the BL-filter generated by the Boolean filter $U$.

The plan is to prove that $\operatorname{MV}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle\right)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ and then, using Theorem 1.5, decompose each quotient $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle$ into an ordinal sum. To accomplish this we need the following results.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a BL-algebra and $U \in S p \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$. Then

$$
\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A} /\langle U\rangle) \cong \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) /(\langle U\rangle \cap \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A})) .
$$

Proof. Let $V=:\langle U\rangle \cap \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A})$ and let $f: \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) / V \rightarrow \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A} /\langle U\rangle)$ be given by $f(a / V)=a /\langle U\rangle$, for each $a \in M V(\mathbf{A})$. It is easy to see that $f$ is a homomorphism into $\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A} /\langle U\rangle)$. We have that
(1) fis injective.

Let $a /\langle U\rangle=b /\langle U\rangle$, with $a, b \in M V(\mathbf{A})$. From Lemma 1.3, we know that there exists $u \in U$ such that $a \wedge u=b \wedge u$. Since $U \subseteq M V(\mathbf{A})$, we have that $u \in V$. From the fact that $u$ is Boolean (see [17, Lemma 2.2]), we have that $a * u=a \wedge u=b \wedge u \leq b$, thus $u \leq a \rightarrow b$ and similarly $u \leq b \rightarrow a$. Then $a \rightarrow b$ and $b \rightarrow a$ are in $V$ and this means that $a / V=b / V$.
(2) fis surjective.

Let $a /\langle U\rangle \in M V(\mathbf{A} /\langle U\rangle)$. Then $a /\langle U\rangle=\neg \neg(a /\langle U\rangle)=\neg \neg a /\langle U\rangle$, and since $\neg \neg a \in M V(\mathbf{A})$ we obtain that $f(\neg \neg a / V)=a /\langle U\rangle$.

By Theorem 2.4, if $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$, then $U$ is an ultrafilter in

$$
\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)\right) .
$$

Moreover, $\langle U\rangle \cap \mathbf{M V}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)=\langle U\rangle \cap \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)$ is the Stone ultrafilter of Free $_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)$ generated by $U$. From [14, Chapter 6.3], we have that

$$
\langle U\rangle \cap \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)
$$

is a maximal filter of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}}(\neg \neg X)$. It follows from [14, Corollary 3.5.4] that the $\operatorname{MV}$-algebra $\operatorname{MV}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right) /\left(\langle U\rangle \cap \operatorname{MV}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)\right)$ is an MV-chain in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, thus from Theorem 3.1 we have the follwoing result.

THEOREM 3.2. $\operatorname{MV}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle\right) \cong \mathbf{L}_{s}$ with $s-1$ dividing $n-1$.
From Theorems 1.5 and 3.2 we obtain the next result.
Theorem 3.3. For each $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$, we have that

$$
\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle \cong \mathbf{L}_{s} \uplus \mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle\right)
$$

for some $s-1$ dividing $n-1$.
In order to complete the description of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ we have to find a description of $\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\langle U\rangle\right)$ for each $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$. This last description depends on the characterization of the variety $\mathcal{W}$ of generalized BL-algebras generated by the generalized BL-chain B. Therefore, we shall firstly consider such variety.
3.1. The subvariety of $\mathcal{G B L}$ generated by $\mathbf{B}$ We recall that $\mathcal{V}$ is the variety of BLalgebras generated by the BL-chain $\mathbf{T}_{n}=\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{B}$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by the chain $\mathbf{B}$.

Let $\left\{e_{i}, i \in I\right\}$ be the set of equations that define $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$ as a subvariety of $\mathcal{B L}$, and $\left\{d_{j}, j \in J\right\}$ be the set of equations that define $\mathcal{W}$ as a subvariety of $\mathcal{G B L}$. For each $i \in I$, let $e_{i}^{\prime}$ be the equation that results from substituting $\neg \neg x$ for each variable $x$ in $e_{i}$, and for each $j \in J$, let $d_{j}^{\prime}$ be the equation that results from substituting $\neg \neg x \rightarrow x$ for each variable $x$ in the equation $d_{j}$. Let $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$ denote the variety of BL-algebras characterized by the equations of BL-algebras plus the equations $\left\{e_{i}^{\prime}, i \in I\right\} \cup\left\{d_{j}^{\prime}, j \in J\right\}$.

THEOREM 3.4. $\mathcal{V}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.
Proof. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a subdirectly irreducible BL-algebra in $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}$. From Theorem 1.1, A is a BL-chain, and by Theorem $1.4, \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$. Since for each $x \in M V(\mathbf{A})$, we have $\neg \neg x=x, \mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A})$ satisfies equations $\left\{e_{i}, i \in I\right\}$. Then $\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A})$ is a chain in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{V}_{n}$, that is, $\mathbf{M V}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_{s}$, with $s-1$ dividing $n-1$. Moreover, since for each $x \in D(\mathbf{A})$, we have $\neg \neg x \rightarrow x=x, \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ satisfies equations $\left\{d_{j}, j \in J\right\}$. Hence
$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{C}$ is a generalized BL-chain in $\mathcal{W}$. Since $\mathbf{A}$ is subdirectly irreducible, $\mathbf{C}$ is also subdirectly irreducible, and since $\mathcal{G B} \mathcal{L}$ is a congruence distributive variety, we can apply Jónsson's Lemma (see [9]) to conclude that $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{H S P}_{u}(\mathbf{B})$. Hence there is a set $J \neq \emptyset$ and an ultrafilter $U$ over $J$ such that $\mathbf{C}$ is a homomorphic image of a subalgebra of $\mathbf{B}^{J} / U$. From the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] it follows that $\left(\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{B}\right)^{J} / U=\mathbf{L}_{n}^{J} / U \uplus \mathbf{B}^{J} / U$, and since $\mathbf{L}_{n}$ is finite, $\mathbf{L}_{n}^{J} / U \cong \mathbf{L}_{n}$. Now it is easy to see that $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{L}_{s} \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{H S P}_{u}\left(\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{B}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$.

The next corollary states the main result of this section.

COROLLARY 3.5. The variety $\mathcal{W}$ of generalized BL-algebras generated by $\mathbf{B}$ consists of the generalized BL-algebras $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{C}$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}$.

Proof. Given $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}, \mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{C}$ is a generalized BL-algebra such that $\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}$, then the elements of $C$ satisfy equations $d_{j}^{\prime}$ for each $j \in J$ and since $\neg \neg x \rightarrow x=x$ for each $x \in C$, the elements of $C$ satisfy equations $d_{j}$ for each $j \in J$. Hence $\mathbf{C}$ is in $\mathcal{W}$.
3.2. $\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(\boldsymbol{X}) /\langle\boldsymbol{U}\rangle\right)$ We know that the ultrafilters of a Boolean algebra are in bijective correspondence with the homomorphisms from the algebra into the two elements Boolean algebra, 2. Since every upwards closed subset of the poset $Y=$ $\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x): x \in X, i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$ is in correspondence with an increasing function from $Y$ onto 2, and every increasing function from $Y$ can be extended to a homomorphism from $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ into 2, the ultrafilters of $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ are in correspondence with the upwards closed subsets of $Y$. This is summarized in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. Consider the poset $Y=\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x): x \in X, i=1, \ldots, n-1\right\}$. The correspondence that assigns to each upwards closed subset $S \subseteq Y$ the Boolean filter $U_{S}$ generated by the set $S \cup\{\neg y: y \in Y \backslash S\}$, defines a bijection from the set of upwards closed subsets of $Y$ onto the ultrafilters of $\mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$.

We shall refer to each member of $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\right.$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ by $U_{S}$ making explicit reference to the upwards closed subset $S$ that corresponds to it.

LEMMA 3.7. Let $\mathbf{F}_{S}$ be the subalgebra of the generalized BL-algebra

$$
\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)
$$

generated by the set $X_{S}:=\left\{x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle: x \in X, \neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right\}$. Then

$$
\mathbf{F}_{S}=\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Proof. Free $_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ is the BL-algebra generated by the set $Z_{S}=\left\{x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right.$ : $x \in X\}$. From Theorem 3.3, there exists an integer $m$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle=\mathbf{L}_{m} \uplus \mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Hence each element of $Z_{S}$ is either in $L_{m} \backslash\{T\}$ or it is in $D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$.
If $X_{S}=\emptyset$, then $F_{S}=D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)=\{\top\}$. So let us suppose $X_{S} \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$. Recalling that $\mathbf{F}_{S}$ is the generalized BL-algebra generated by $X_{S}$, we will check that $y$ is in $F_{S}$. Since $y \in \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle, y$ is given by a term on the elements $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \in Z_{S}$. By induction on the complexity of $y$, we have:

- If $y$ is a generator, that is, $y=x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ for some $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \in Z_{S}$, since $y \in$ $D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$, we have that $\top=\neg \neg y=\neg \neg\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)=(\neg \neg x) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$. This happens only if $\neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$.
- Suppose that for each element $z \in D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ of complexity less than $k, z$ can be written as a term in the variables $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ in $X_{S}$. Let $y \in$ $D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ be an element of complexity $k$. The possible cases are the following:
(1) $y=a \rightarrow b$ for some elements $a, b$ of complexity $<k$. In this case the possibilities are
(a) $a \leq b$. This means $a \rightarrow b=\mathrm{\top}$ and $y$ can be written as $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \rightarrow$ $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ for any $x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \in X_{S}$, and thus $y \in F_{S}$,
(b) $a \not \leq b$. Since $y=a \rightarrow b$ is in $D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$, the only possibility is that $a, b \in D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ and by inductive hypothesis $y$ is in $F_{S}$.
(2) $y=a * b$ for some elements $a, b$ of complexity $<k$. In this case necessarily $a, b \in D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ and by inductive hypothesis $y$ is in $F_{S}$.

Then for each $y \in D\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right), y$ can be written as a term on the elements of $X_{S}$. Therefore $y \in F_{S}$ and we conclude that $\mathbf{F}_{S}=\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$.

With the notation of the previous lemma, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.8. For each $U_{S}$ in $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$,

$$
\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) \cong \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}\left(X_{S}\right) .
$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.6 we can deduced that $\neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ if and only if $\sigma_{1}^{n}(\neg \neg x) \in S$ if and only if $\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x) \in S$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence if $\neg \neg x \notin\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$ there is a $j$ such that $\sigma_{j}^{n}(\neg \neg x) \notin S$. We define, for each $x \in X$,

$$
j_{x}= \begin{cases}\perp & \text { if } \neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \\ \max \left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}: \sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x) \notin S\right\} & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}$ and let $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}=\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{C}$. From Theorem 3.5, $\mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ is in $\mathcal{V}$. Given a function $f: X_{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, define $\hat{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ by the prescriptions:

$$
\hat{f}(x)= \begin{cases}f\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) & \text { if } \neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \\ \left(n-j_{x}-1\right) /(n-1) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

There is a unique homomorphism $\hat{h}: \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ such that $\hat{h}(x)=\hat{f}(x)$ for each $x \in X$. We have that $U_{S} \subseteq \hat{h}^{-1}(\{T\})$. Indeed, if $\neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$, then $\hat{h}\left(\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x)\right)=\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(\neg \neg(\hat{h}(x))=\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(\neg \neg f\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)\right)=\sigma_{i}^{n}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{T}\right.$. If $\neg \neg x \notin\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$, then

$$
\hat{h}\left(\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x)\right)=\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(\neg \neg \frac{n-j_{x}-1}{n-1}\right)=\sigma_{i}^{n}\left(\frac{n-j_{x}-1}{n-1}\right)= \begin{cases}\perp & \text { if } i \leq j_{x}, \\ \top & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Hence there is a unique homomorphism $h_{1}: \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\prime}$ such that $h_{1}\left(a /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)=\hat{h}(a)$ for all $a \in \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$. By Lemma 3.7, $\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ is the algebra generated by $X_{S}$. Then the restriction $h$ of $h_{1}$ to $\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)$ is a homomorphism $h: \mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, and for each $x$ such that $\neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle$,

$$
h\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)=h_{1}\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right)=\hat{h}(x)=\hat{f}(x)=f\left(x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) .
$$

Therefore we conclude that $\mathbf{D}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right) \cong \operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}\left(X_{S}\right)$.
Theorem 3.9. The free BL-algebra $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ can be represented as a weak Boolean product of the family $\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right): U_{S} \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$, where $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ is the free Boolean algebra over the poset $Y=\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x): x \in X\right.$, $i=1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Moreover, for each $U_{S} \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$, there exists $m \geq 2$ such that $m-1$ divides $n-1$ and

$$
\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle=\mathbf{L}_{m} \uplus \text { Free }_{\mathcal{W}}\left(X_{S}\right),
$$

where $X_{S}:=\left\{x /\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle: \neg \neg x \in\left\langle U_{S}\right\rangle\right\}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ is the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by $\mathbf{B}$.

## 4. Examples

4.1. PL-algebras Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a lattice-ordered abelian group ( $\ell$-group), and $G^{-}=$ $\{x \in G: x \leq 0\}$ its negative cone. For each pair of elements $x, y \in G^{-}$, we define the following operators:

$$
x * y=x+y \quad \text { and } \quad x \rightarrow y=0 \wedge(y-x) .
$$

Then $\mathbf{G}^{-}=\left(G^{-}, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, 0\right)$ is a generalized BL-algebra. The following result can be deduced from [3] (see also [6] and [15]).

Theorem 4.1. The following conditions are equivalent for a generalized BLalgebra $\mathbf{A}$ :
(1) $\mathbf{A}$ is a cancellative hoop.
(2) There is an $\ell$-group $\mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{G}^{-}$.
(3) $\mathbf{A}$ is in the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by $\mathbf{Z}^{-}$, where $\mathbf{Z}$ denotes the additive group of integers with the usual order.

Let us consider $\mathcal{W}$, the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by $\mathbf{Z}^{-}$, that is, the variety of cancellative hoops. In [16] a description of $\mathbf{F r e e}_{\mathcal{W}}(X)$ is given for any set $X$ of free generators. Therefore we can have a complete description of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by the ordinal sum

$$
\mathbf{P L}_{n}=\mathbf{L}_{n} \uplus \mathbf{Z}^{-} .
$$

Indeed, if we denote by $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_{n}$ the variety of BL-algebras generated by $\mathbf{P L}_{n}$, from Theorem 3.9 we obtain that $\boldsymbol{F r e e}_{\mathcal{P}_{n}}(X)$ is a weak Boolean product of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_{s} \uplus \mathbf{F r e e}_{\mathcal{W}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ with $s-1$ dividing $n-1$ and some set $X^{\prime}$ of cardinality less or equal than $X$. Therefore, in the present case, the BL-algebra Free $_{\mathcal{P}_{n}}(X)$ can be completely described as a weak Boolean product of ordinal sums of two known algebras.

From [15, Theorem 2.8], $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_{2}$ is the variety of PL-algebras $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}$. From Remark 2.14, $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}}(X)\right)$ is the Cantor space $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$. From Theorem 3.9, the free PL-algebra over a set $X$ can be describe as a weak Boolean product over the Cantor space $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$ of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{2}} \uplus \mathrm{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ for some set $X^{\prime}$ of cardinality less or equal than $X$.

Given a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A}$, the radical $R(\mathbf{A})$ of $\mathbf{A}$ is the intersection of all maximal implicative filters of $\mathbf{A}$. We have that $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A})=(R(\mathbf{A}), *, \rightarrow, \wedge, \vee, \top)$ is a generalized BL-algebra. Let

$$
\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{r}=\{\mathbf{R}: \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) \text { for some } \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}\} .
$$

$\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{r}$ is a variety of generalized BL-algebras. In [17] a description of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}}(X)$ is given. From Example 4.7 and Theorem 5.7 in the mentioned paper we obtain that $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}}(X)$ is the weak Boolean product of the family ( $\mathbf{L}_{2} \uplus$ Free $\left._{\mathcal{P L}^{r}}(S): S \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{|X|}\right)$ over the Cantor space $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$. In order to check that our description and the one given in [17] coincide it is only left to check that $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{r}=\mathcal{W}$. From Corollary 3.5 we have that $\mathcal{W}$ consist on the generalized BL-algebras $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{L}_{2} \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}$.

THEOREM 4.2. $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{r}=\mathcal{W}$.
Proof. Let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{P L}^{r}$. Then there exists a BL-algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A})=\mathbf{C}$. It is not hard to check that $\mathbf{L}_{2} \uplus \mathbf{C}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}$, thus $\mathbf{L}_{2} \uplus \mathbf{C}$ is in $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}$. It
follows that $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}$. On the other hand, let $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}$. Then $\mathbf{L}_{2} \uplus \mathbf{C}$ is in $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}$, and $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}^{r}$.
4.2. Finitely generated free algebras As we mentioned in the introduction, when the set of generators $X$ is finite, let us say of cardinality $k$, the algebra $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is described in [10] as a direct product of algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_{s} \uplus$ Free $\mathcal{W}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$, with $s-1$ that divides $n-1$ and some set $X^{\prime}$ of cardinality less than or equal to the cardinality of $X$, where $\mathcal{W}$ is again the subvariety of $\mathcal{G B} \mathcal{L}$ generated by $\mathbf{B}$. The method used to describe the algebras strongly relies on the fact that the Boolean elements of $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ form a finite Boolean algebra. Indeed, $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is a direct product of $n^{k}$ algebras obtained by taking the quotients by the implicative filters generated by the atoms of $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$. In this case, once you know the form of the atom that generates the ultrafilter $U$ you also know the number $s$ such that $\mathbf{M V}\left(\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right) /\langle U\rangle\right)=\mathbf{L}_{s}$.

When the set $X$ of generators is finite, of cardinality $k$, then $Y=\left\{\sigma_{i}^{n}(\neg \neg x)\right.$ : $x \in X, i=1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is the cardinal sum of $k$ chains of length $n-1$. Therefore the number of upwards closed subsets of $Y$ is $n^{k}$. Since weak Boolean products over discrete finite spaces coincide with direct products, Theorem 3.9 asserts that $\mathbf{F r e e}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ is a direct product of $n^{k}$ BL-algebras of the form $\mathbf{L}_{s} \uplus \operatorname{Free} \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{W}}(Y)$, with $s-1$ that divides $n-1$ and some set $Y$ of cardinality less than or equal to $k$.

Therefore the description given in the present paper coincides with the one in [10]. However, the description given in [10], based on a detailed analysis of the structure of the atoms of $\mathbf{B}\left(\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)\right)$ for a finite $X$, is more precise because it gives the number of factors of each kind appearing in the direct product representation.
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