# FREE ALGEBRAS IN VARIETIES OF BL-ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY A BL<sub>n</sub>-CHAIN

## MANUELA BUSANICHE<sup>™</sup> and ROBERTO CIGNOLI

(Received 27 November 2003; revised 17 March 2005)

Communicated by B. A. Davey

#### Abstract

Free algebras with an arbitrary number of free generators in varieties of BL-algebras generated by one BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and a generalized BL-chain  $\mathbf{B}$  are described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by  $\mathbf{B}$ . The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean subalgebras of idempotents of free algebras in the variety of MV-algebras generated by  $\mathbf{L}_n$ .

2000 Mathematics subject classification: primary 03G25, 03B50, 03B52, 03D35, 03G25, 08B20. Keywords and phrases: BL-algebras, residuated lattices, hoops, Moisil algebras, free algebras, Boolean products.

#### Introduction

Basic Fuzzy Logic (BL for short) was introduced by Hájek (see [19] and the references given there) to formalize fuzzy logics in which the conjunction is interpreted by a continuous t-norm on the real segment [0, 1] and the implication by its corresponding adjoint. He also introduced BL-algebras as the algebraic counterpart of these logics. BL-algebras form a variety (or equational class) of residuated lattices [19]. More precisely, they can be characterized as *bounded basic hoops* [1, 7]. Subvarieties of the variety of BL-algebras are in correspondence with axiomatic extensions of BL. Important examples of subvarieties of BL-algebras are MV-algebras (that correspond to Łukasiewicz many-valued logics, see [14]), linear Heyting algebras (that correspond to the superintuitionistic logic characterized by the axiom  $(P \Rightarrow Q) \lor (Q \Rightarrow P)$ , see [25] for a historical account about this logic), PL-algebras (that correspond to the

<sup>© 2006</sup> Australian Mathematical Society 1446-8107/06 A2.00 + 0.00

logic determined by the t-norm given by the ordinary product on [0, 1], see [15]), and also Boolean algebras (that correspond to classical logic).

Since the propositions under BL equivalence form a free BL-algebra, descriptions of free algebras in terms of functions give concrete representations of these propositions. Such descriptions are known for some subvarieties of BL-algebras. The best known example is the representation of classical propositions by Boolean functions. Free MV-algebras have been described in terms of continuous piecewise linear functions by McNaughton [22] (see also [14]). Finitely generated free linear Heyting algebras were described by Horn [20], and a description of finitely generated free PL-algebras was given in [15]. Linear Heyting algebras and PL-algebras are examples of varieties of BL-algebras satisfying the *Boolean retraction property*. Free algebras in these varieties were completely described in [17].

In [10] the first author described the finitely generated free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single BL-chain which is an ordinal sum of a finite MV-chain  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and a generalized BL-chain  $\mathbf{B}$ . We call these chains  $\mathrm{BL}_n$ -chains. The aim of this paper is to extend the results of [10] considering the case of infinitely many free generators. The results of [10] were heavily based on the fact that the Boolean subalgebras of finitely generated algebras in the varieties generated by  $\mathrm{BL}_n$ -chains are finite. Therefore the methods of [10] cannot be applied to the general case.

As a preliminary step we characterize the Boolean algebra of idempotent elements of a free algebra in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ , the variety of MV-algebras generated by the finite MV-chain  $\mathbf{L}_n$ . It is the free Boolean algebra over a poset which is the cardinal sum of chains of length n-1. In the proof of this result a central role is played by the Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ .

Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a single BL<sub>n</sub>-chain  $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}$  are then described in terms of weak Boolean products of BL-algebras that are ordinal sums of subalgebras of  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and free algebras in the variety of basic hoops generated by  $\mathbf{B}$ . The Boolean products are taken over the Stone spaces of the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ . An important intermediate step is the characterization of the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by  $\mathbf{B}$  (Corollary 3.5).

The paper is organized as follows. In the first section we recall, for further reference, some basic notions on BL-algebras and on the varieties  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ . We also recall some facts about the representation of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras as weak Boolean products. The only new result is given in Theorem 1.5. In Section 2, after giving the necessary background on Moisil algebra reducts of algebras in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ , we characterize the Boolean algebras of idempotent elements of free algebras in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ . These results are used in Section 3 to give the mentioned description of free algebras in the varieties of BL-algebras generated by a BL<sub>n</sub>-chain. Finally in Section 4 we give some examples and we compare our results with those of [10] and [17].

#### 1. Preliminaries

**1.1. BL-algebras: basic notions** A *hoop* [7] is an algebra  $\mathbf{A} = (A, *, \rightarrow, \top)$  of type (2, 2, 0), such that  $(A, *, \top)$  is a commutative monoid and for all  $x, y, z \in A$ :

- (1)  $x \to x = \top$ ,
- (2)  $x * (x \rightarrow y) = y * (y \rightarrow x),$
- $(3) x \to (y \to z) = (x * y) \to z.$

A basic hoop [1] or a generalized BL-algebra [18], is a hoop that satisfies the equation

$$(((x \to y) \to z) * ((y \to x) \to z)) \to z = \top.$$

It is shown in [1] that generalized BL-algebras can be characterized as algebras  $\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, \top)$  of type (2, 2, 2, 2, 0) such that

- (1)  $(A, *, \top)$ , is an commutative monoid,
- (2)  $L(A) := (A, \land, \lor, \top)$ , is a lattice with greatest element  $\top$ ,
- (3)  $x \rightarrow x = \top$ ,
- $(4) x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x * y) \rightarrow z,$
- $(5) \quad x \wedge y = x * (x \to y),$
- (6)  $(x \rightarrow y) \lor (y \rightarrow x) = \top$ .

A *BL-algebra* or *bounded basic hoop* is a bounded generalized BL-algebra, that is, it is an algebra  $\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, *, \to, \bot, \top)$  of type (2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0) such that  $(A, \wedge, \vee, *, \to, \top)$  is a generalized BL-algebra, and  $\bot$  is the lower bound of  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$ . In this case, we define the unary operation  $\neg$  by the equation  $\neg x = x \to \bot$ . The BL-algebra with only one element, that is,  $\bot = \top$ , is called the *trivial BL-algebra*. The varieties of BL-algebras and of generalized BL-algebras will be denoted by  $\mathcal{BL}$  and  $\mathcal{GBL}$ , respectively.

In every generalized BL-algebra **A** we denote by  $\leq$  the (partial) order defined on A by the lattice  $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{A})$ , that is, for  $a, b \in A$ ,  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a = a \wedge b$  if and only if  $b = a \vee b$ . This order is called the *natural order* of **A**. When this natural order is total (that is, for each  $a, b, \in A$ ,  $a \leq b$  or  $b \leq a$ ), we say that **A** is a *generalized BL-chain* (*BL-chain* in case **A** is a BL-algebra). The following theorem makes obvious the importance of BL-chains and can be easily derived from [19, Lemma 2.3.16].

THEOREM 1.1. Each BL-algebra is a subdirect product of BL-chains.

In every BL-algebra **A** we define a binary operation  $x \oplus y = \neg(\neg x * \neg y)$ . For each positive integer k, the operations  $x^k$  and k x are inductively defined as follows:

- (a)  $x^1 = x$  and  $x^{k+1} = x^k * x$ ,
- (b) 1x = x and  $(k+1)x = (kx) \oplus x$ .

MV-algebras, the algebras of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic, form a subvariety of  $\mathcal{BL}$ , which is characterized by the equation  $\neg \neg x = x$  (see [19]). The variety of MV-algebras is denoted by  $\mathcal{MV}$ . Totally ordered MV-algebras are called MV-chains. For each BL-algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , the set

$$MV(\mathbf{A}) := \{x \in A : \neg \neg x = x\}$$

is the universe of a subalgebra MV(A) of A which is an MV-algebra (see [18]).

A *PL-algebra* is a BL-algebra that satisfies the two axioms:

- $(1) \ (\neg \neg z * ((x * z) \rightarrow (y * z))) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = \top,$
- (2)  $x \wedge \neg x = \bot$ .

PL-algebras correspond to *product fuzzy logic*, see [15] and [19].

It follows from Theorem 1.1 that for each BL-algebra **A** the lattice L(A) is distributive. The complemented elements of L(A) form a subalgebra B(A) of **A** which is a Boolean algebra. Elements of B(A) are called *Boolean elements* of **A**.

## 1.2. Implicative filters

DEFINITION 1.2. An *implicative filter* of a BL-algebra **A** is a subset  $F \subseteq A$  satisfying the conditions

- (1)  $\top \in F$ .
- (2) If  $x \in F$  and  $x \to y \in F$ , then  $y \in F$ .

An implicative filter is called *proper* provided that  $F \neq A$ . If W is a subset of a BL-algebra  $\mathbf{A}$ , the implicative filter generated by W will be denoted by  $\langle W \rangle$ . If U is a filter of the Boolean subalgebra  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ , then the implicative filter  $\langle U \rangle$  is called *Stone filter of*  $\mathbf{A}$ . An implicative filter F of a BL-algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is called *maximal* if and only if it is proper and no proper implicative filter of  $\mathbf{A}$  strictly contains F.

Implicative filters characterize congruences in BL-algebras. Indeed, if F is an implicative filter of a BL-algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  it is well known (see [19, Lemma 2.3.14]), that the binary relation  $\equiv_F$  on A defined by

$$x \equiv_F y$$
 if and only if  $x \to y \in F$  and  $y \to x \in F$ 

is a congruence of **A**. Moreover,  $F = \{x \in A : x \equiv_F \top\}$ . Conversely, if  $\equiv$  is a congruence relation on A, then  $\{x \in A : x \equiv \top\}$  is an implicative filter, and  $x \equiv y$  if and only if  $x \to y \equiv \top$  and  $y \to x \equiv \top$ . Therefore, the correspondence  $F \mapsto \equiv_F$  is a bijection from the set of implicative filters of **A** onto the set of congruences of **A**.

LEMMA 1.3 (see [17]). Let **A** be a BL-algebra, and let F be a filter of **B**(**A**). Then  $(\equiv_F) = \{(a,b) \in A \times A : a \wedge c = b \wedge c \text{ for some } c \in F\}$  is a congruence relation on **A** that coincides with the congruence relation given by the implicative filter  $\langle F \rangle$  generated by F.

## **1.3.** MV<sub>n</sub>-algebras For $n \ge 2$ , we define:

$$L_n = \left\{ \frac{0}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{2}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n-1} \right\}.$$

The set  $L_n$  equipped with the operations  $x * y = \max(0, x + y - 1)$ ,  $x \to y = \min(1, 1 - x + y)$ , and with  $\bot = 0$  defines a finite MV-algebra, which shall be denoted by  $\mathbf{L}_n$ . Clearly  $B(\mathbf{L}_n) = \{0, 1\}$ .

A BL-algebra **A** is said to be *simple* provided it is nontrivial and the only proper implicative filter of **A** is the singleton  $\{\top\}$ . In [14], it is proved that  $\mathbf{L}_n$  is a simple MV-algebra for each integer n.

We shall denote by  $\mathcal{MV}_n$  the subvariety of  $\mathcal{MV}$  generated by  $\mathbf{L}_n$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{MV}_n$  are called  $MV_n$ -algebras. A finite MV-chain  $\mathbf{L}_m$  belongs to  $\mathcal{MV}_n$  if and only if m-1 is a divisor of n-1. Therefore it is not hard to corroborate that every  $MV_n$ -algebra is a subdirect product of a family of algebras ( $\mathbf{L}_{m_i}$ ,  $i \in I$ ) where  $m_i - 1$  divides n-1 for each  $i \in I$ .

It can be deduced from [14, Corollary 8.2.4 and Theorem 8.5.1] that  $\mathcal{MV}_n$  is the proper subvariety of  $\mathcal{MV}$  characterized by the equations

$$(\alpha_n) x^{(n-1)} = x^n,$$

and if  $n \ge 4$ , for every integer p = 2, ..., n - 2 that does not divide n - 1

$$(\beta_n) \qquad (p x^{p-1})^n = n x^p.$$

If **A** is an MV<sub>n</sub>-algebra, it is not hard to verify that for each  $x \in A \setminus \{\top\}$ ,  $x^n = \bot$  and for each  $y \in A \setminus \{\bot\}$ ,  $n y = \top$ .

**1.4.** Ordinal sum and decomposition of BL-chains Let  $\mathbf{R} = (R, *_{\mathbf{R}}, \to_{\mathbf{R}}, \top)$  and  $\mathbf{S} = (S, *_{\mathbf{S}}, \to_{\mathbf{S}}, \top)$  be two hoops such that  $R \cap S = \{\top\}$ . Following [7] we can define the *ordinal sum*  $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$  of these two hoops as the hoop given by  $(R \cup S, *, \to, \top)$  where the operations  $(*, \to)$  are defined as follows:

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x *_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text{if } x, y \in R, \\ x *_{\mathbf{S}} y & \text{if } x, y \in S, \\ x & \text{if } x \in R \setminus \{\top\} \text{ and } y \in S, \\ y & \text{if } y \in R \setminus \{\top\} \text{ and } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

$$x \to y = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } x \in R \setminus \{\top\}, \ y \in S, \\ x \to_{\mathbf{R}} y & \text{if } x, y \in R, \\ x \to_{\mathbf{S}} y & \text{if } x, y \in S, \\ y & \text{if } y \in R \setminus \{\top\} \text{ and } x \in S. \end{cases}$$

If  $R \cap S \neq \{\top\}$ , **R** and **S** can be replaced by isomorphic copies whose intersection is  $\{\top\}$ , thus their ordinal sum can be defined. When **R** is a generalized BL-chain and **S** is a generalized BL-algebra, the hoop resulting from their ordinal sum satisfies equation (1.1). Thus  $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$  is a generalized BL-algebra. Moreover, if **R** is a BL-chain, then  $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$  is a BL-algebra, where  $\bot = \bot_{\mathbf{R}}$ . If *S* is totally ordered it is obvious that the chain  $\mathbf{R} \uplus \mathbf{S}$  is subdirectly irreducible if and only if **S** is subdirectly irreducible. Notice also that for any generalized BL-algebra **S**,  $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{S}$  is the BL-algebra that arises from adjoining a bottom element to **S**.

Given a BL-algebra **A**, we can consider the set  $D(\mathbf{A}) := \{x \in \mathbf{A} : \neg x = \bot\}$ . It is shown in [18], that  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) = (D(\mathbf{A}), \land, \lor, *, \rightarrow, \top)$  is a generalized BL-algebra.

THEOREM 1.4 (see [10]). For each BL-chain A, we have that  $A \cong MV(A) \uplus D(A)$ .

THEOREM 1.5. Let **A** be a BL-algebra such that  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_n$  for some integer n. Then  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ .

**PROOF.** From Theorem 1.1, we can think of each non trivial BL-algebra **A** as a subdirect product of a family  $(\mathbf{A}_i, i \in I)$  of non trivial BL-chains, that is, there exists an embedding  $e : \mathbf{A} \to \prod_{i \in I} \mathbf{A}_i$ , such that  $\pi_i(e(\mathbf{A})) = \mathbf{A}_i$  for each  $i \in I$ , where  $\pi_i$  denotes each projection. We shall identify **A** with  $e(\mathbf{A})$ . Then each element of A is a tuple **x** and coordinate i is  $x_i \in A_i$ . With this notation we have that for each  $\mathbf{x} \in A$ ,  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ . We will prove the following items:

(1) For each  $i \in I$ ,  $MV(A_i)$  is isomorphic to  $L_n$ .

Since for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\pi_i$  is a homomorphism and  $\pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq A_i$ , we have that  $\pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A})) \subseteq MV(\mathbf{A}_i)$ . Then  $\pi_i(\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}))$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}_i)$ . On the other hand, given  $i \in I$ , let  $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i)$ . Then  $\neg \neg x_i = x_i$  and there exists an element  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  such that  $\pi_i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ . Taking  $\mathbf{y} = \neg \neg \mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A})$  we have that  $\pi_i(\mathbf{y}) = x_i$  and  $x_i \in \pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A}))$ . Hence  $MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \subseteq \pi_i(MV(\mathbf{A}))$ .

In conclusion  $MV(A_i) = \pi_i(MV(A)) = \pi_i(L_n) = L_n$ , because  $L_n$  is simple.

(2) If  $\mathbf{x} \in A$ , then  $\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \cup D(\mathbf{A})$ .

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  and let  $\mathbf{y} = n(\neg \mathbf{x})$ . If  $x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\top\}$ , then  $\neg x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\bot\}$ . From equation  $(\alpha_n)$  we obtain that  $y_i = n(\neg x_i) = \top$ . On the other hand, if  $\neg x_i = \bot$ , then  $y_i = n(\neg x_i) = \bot$ . Now let  $\mathbf{z} = (\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^n$ . If  $x_i \in L_n \setminus \{\top\}$ , then  $z_i = \bot$ , but if  $\neg \neg x_i = \top$ , then  $z_i = \top$ .

Suppose there exists  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \notin MV(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{x} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$ . It follows from Theorem 1.4 that for each  $i \in I$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}_i) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}_i)$ . Then there exist  $i, j \in I$ , such that  $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\} = L_n \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $x_j \in D(\mathbf{A}_j) \setminus \{\top\}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{y} = n(\neg \mathbf{x})$ . Then  $y_i = \top$ ,  $y_j = \bot$ , and  $y_k \in \{\bot, \top\}$  for each  $k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$ . Now let  $\mathbf{z} = (\neg \neg \mathbf{x})^n$ . We have that  $z_i = \top$ ,  $z_i = \bot$ , and  $z_k \in \{\bot, \top\}$  for each  $k \in I \setminus \{i, j\}$ . It follows that **y** and **z** are elements in the chain  $MV(\mathbf{A}) = L_n$ , which are not comparable, and this is a contradiction.

(3) If  $\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$ .

The statement is clear if  $x_i \in MV(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\}$  for every  $i \in I$  or if  $y_i = \top$  for each  $i \in I$ . Otherwise, suppose  $x_i = \top$  for some  $i \in I$ . Since  $\mathbf{x} \neq \top$ , there must exist  $j \in I$  such that  $x_j \neq \top$ . If  $y_i = \top$  for each  $i \in I$  such that  $x_i = \top$ , then  $\mathbf{x} < \mathbf{y}$ . If not, let  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}$ . Since operations are coordinatewise,  $z_j \in MV(\mathbf{A}_j) \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $z_i \in D(\mathbf{A}_i) \setminus \{\top\}$ , for some  $i \in I$ . Hence  $\mathbf{z} \notin MV(\mathbf{A})$  and  $\mathbf{z} \notin D(\mathbf{A})$ , contradicting the previous item.

(4) If  $\mathbf{x} \in MV(\mathbf{A}) \setminus \{\top\}$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in D(\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathbf{y} \to \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y} * \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ . Since  $\neg \mathbf{v} = \bot$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x} &= \mathbf{y} \rightarrow \neg \neg \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \rightarrow (\neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \bot) = \neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow (\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \bot) \\ &= \neg \mathbf{x} \rightarrow \bot = \neg \neg \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} * (\mathbf{y} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{y} * \mathbf{x}.$$

From the previous items it follows that  $\mathbf{A} \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ .

**1.5. Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a BL**<sub>n</sub>-chain Recall that an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  in a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be *free over a set Y* if and only if for every algebra  $\mathbf{C}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  and every function  $f: Y \to \mathbf{C}$ , f can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ . Given a variety  $\mathcal{K}$  of algebras, we denote by  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  the free algebra in  $\mathcal{K}$  over X. As mentioned in the introduction, we define a  $BL_n$ -chain as a BL-chain that is an ordinal sum of the MV-chain  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and a generalized BL-chain. Once we fixed the generalized BL-chain  $\mathbf{B}$ , we study the free algebra  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ , where  $\mathcal{V}$  is the variety of BL-algebras generated by the  $BL_n$ -chain

$$\mathbf{T}_n := \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}$$
.

Notice that  $MV(\mathbf{T}_n) \cong \mathbf{L}_n$  and if  $x \notin MV(\mathbf{T}_n) \setminus \{\top\}$ , then  $x \in D(\mathbf{T}_n) = B$ .

Recall that a *weak Boolean product* of a family  $(A_y, y \in Y)$  of algebras over a Boolean space Y is a subdirect product  $\mathbf{A}$  of the given family such that the following conditions hold:

- (1) If  $a, b \in A$ , then  $[a = b] = \{y \in Y : a_y = b_y\}$  is open.
- (2) If  $a, b \in A$  and Z is a clopen in X, then  $a|_Z \cup b|_{X \setminus Z} \in A$ .

Since the variety  $\mathcal{BL}$  is congruence distributive, it has the Boolean Factor Congruence property. Therefore each nontrivial BL-algebra can be represented as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable BL-algebras (see [5] and [23]). The

explicit representation of each BL-algebra as a weak Boolean product of directly indecomposable algebras is given in [17] by the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.6. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a BL-algebra and let  $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$  be the Boolean space of ultrafilters of the Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ . The correspondence

$$a \mapsto (a/\langle U \rangle)_{U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})}$$

gives an isomorphism of **A** onto the weak Boolean product of the family

$$(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle) : U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$$

over the Boolean space  $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ . This representation is called the Pierce representation. Any other representation of  $\mathbf{A}$  as a weak Boolean product of a family of directly indecomposable algebras is equivalent to the Pierce representation.

Therefore, to describe  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  we need to describe  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  and the quotients  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$  for each  $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ .

In Section 2 we obtain a characterization of the Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ . Once this aim is achieved, we consider the quotients  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$ .

## 2. $B(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$

The next two results can be found in [18].

Theorem 2.1. For each BL-algebra A,  $B(A) \cong B(MV(A))$ .

THEOREM 2.2. For each variety K of BL-algebras and each set X

$$\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{K}}(X)) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV} \cap \mathcal{K}}(\neg \neg X).$$

THEOREM 2.3.  $V \cap MV$  is the variety  $MV_n$ .

PROOF. Since  $\mathbf{L}_n \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{T_n})$  is in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{MV}_n \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ . On the other hand, let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an MV-algebra in  $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ . Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is not in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ . Then there exists an equation  $e(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = \top$  that is satisfied by  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and is not satisfied by  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is, there exist elements  $a_1, \ldots, a_p$  in A such that  $e(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \neq \top$ . Since  $(\neg b_1, \ldots, \neg b_p)$  is in  $(L_n)^p$ , for each tuple  $(b_1, \ldots, b_p)$  in  $(T_n)^p$ , the equation  $e'(x_1, \ldots, x_p) = e(\neg x_1, \ldots, \neg x_p) = \top$  is satisfied in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ , it follows that  $T = e'(a_1, \ldots, a_p) = e(\neg a_1, \ldots, \neg a_p) = e(a_1, \ldots, a_p) \neq \top$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathcal{MV}_n = \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{MV}$ .

From these results we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.4.  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)) \cong \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)).$ 

**2.1. n-valued Moisil algebras** Boolean elements of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$  depend on some operators that can be defined on each  $\mathbf{MV}_n$ -algebra. Such operators provide each  $\mathbf{MV}_n$ -algebra with an n-valued Moisil algebra structure, in the sense of the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.5. For each integer  $n \ge 2$ , an *n*-valued Moisil algebra ([8, 11]) or *n*-valued Łukasiewicz algebra ([4, 12, 13]) is an algebra

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$$

of type (2, 2, 1, ..., 1, 0, 0) such that  $(A, \land, \lor, 0, 1)$  is a distributive lattice with unit 1 and zero 0, and  $\neg$ ,  $\sigma_1^n$ , ...,  $\sigma_{n-1}^n$  are unary operators defined on A that satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $\neg \neg x = x$ ,
- (2)  $\neg (x \lor y) = \neg x \land \neg y$ ,
- (3)  $\sigma_i^n(x \vee y) = \sigma_i^n x \vee \sigma_i^n y$ ,
- (4)  $\sigma_i^n x \vee \neg \sigma_i^n x = 1$ ,
- (5)  $\sigma_i^n \sigma_j^n x = \sigma_j^n x$ , for i, j = 1, 2, ..., n 1,
- (6)  $\sigma_i^n(\neg x) = \neg(\sigma_{n-i}^n x),$
- (7)  $\sigma_i^n x \vee \sigma_{i+1}^n x = \sigma_{i+1}^n x$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n-2,
- (8)  $x \vee \sigma_{n-1}^n x = \sigma_{n-1}^n x$ ,
- (9)  $(x \wedge \neg \sigma_i^n x \wedge \sigma_{i+1}^n y) \vee y = y$ , for i = 1, 2, ..., n-2.

Properties and examples of *n*-valued Moisil algebras can be found in [4] and [8]. The variety of *n*-valued Moisil algebras will be denoted  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

THEOREM 2.6 (see [11]). Let **A** be in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Then  $x \in B(\mathbf{A})$  if and only if

$$\sigma_{n-1}^n(x) = x.$$

Furthermore,

$$\sigma_{n-1}^n(x) = \min\{b \in B(\mathbf{A}) : x \le b\} \quad and \quad \sigma_1^n(x) = \max\{a \in B(\mathbf{A}) : a \le x\}.$$

DEFINITION 2.7. For each integer  $n \ge 2$ , a Post algebra of order n is a system

$$\mathbf{A} = (A, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}, 0, 1)$$

such that  $(A, \land, \lor, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$  is an *n*-valued Moisil algebra and  $e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}$  are constants that satisfy the equations:

$$\sigma_i^n(e_j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i+j < n, \\ 1 & \text{if } i+j \ge n. \end{cases}$$

For every  $n \geq 2$ , we can define one-variable terms  $\sigma_1^n(x), \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n(x)$  in the language  $(\neg, \rightarrow, \top)$  such that evaluated on the algebras  $\mathbf{L}_n$  give

$$\sigma_i^n \left( \frac{j}{(n-1)} \right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i+j \ge n, \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j < n, \end{cases}$$

for i = 1, ..., n - 1 (see [13] or [24]). It is easy to check that

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n) = (L_n, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$$

is a *n*-valued Moisil algebra. Since these algebras are defined by equations and  $\mathbf{L}_n$  generates the variety  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ , we have that each  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{MV}_n$  admits a structure of an *n*-valued Moisil algebra, denoted by  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$ . The chain  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$  plays a very important role in the structure of *n*-valued Moisil algebras, since each *n*-valued Moisil algebra is a subdirect product of subalgebras of  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$  (see [4] or [12]). If we add to the structure  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$  the constants  $e_i = i/(n-1)$ , for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , then  $\mathbf{PT}(\mathbf{L}_n) = (L_n, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_{n-1}^n, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, 0, 1)$  is a Post algebra.

Not every *n*-valued Moisil algebra has a structure of  $MV_n$ -algebra (see [21]). For example, a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$  may not be a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{L}_n$  as  $MV_n$ -algebra. For instance, the set

$$C = \left\{ \frac{0}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{4}{4} \right\}$$

is the universe of a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_5)$ , but not the universe of a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{L}_5$ . On the other hand, every Post algebra has a structure of  $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{V}_n$ -algebra (see [24, Theorem 10]).

The next example will play an important role in what follows.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let  $C = (C, \land, \lor, \neg, 0, 1)$  be a Boolean algebra. We define

$$C^{[n]} := \{ \mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in C^{n-1} : z_1 \le z_2 \le \dots \le z_{n-1} \}.$$

For each  $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in C^{[n]}$ , we define

$$\neg_n \mathbf{z} = (\neg z_{n-1}, \dots, \neg z_1), 
\mathbf{0} = (0, \dots, 0), 
\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1), 
\sigma_i^n(\mathbf{z}) = (z_i, z_i, \dots, z_i) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

With  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  defined coordinatewise,  $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} = (C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_n, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$  is an *n*-valued Moisil algebra (see [8, Chapter 3, Example 1.10]). If we define  $\mathbf{e_i} = (e_{i,1}, \dots, e_{i,n-1})$  by

$$e_{j,i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i \ge j, \end{cases}$$

then  $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} = (C^{[n]}, \wedge, \vee, \neg_n, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, \mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n-1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$  is a Post algebra. Consequently,  $\mathbf{C}^{[n]}$  has a structure of  $MV_n$ -algebra.

It is easy to see that for each  $MV_n$ -algebra A, B(A) = B(M(A)).

We need to show that the Boolean elements of the  $MV_n$ -algebra generated by a set G coincide with the Boolean elements of the n-valued Moisil algebra generated by the same set. In order to prove this result it is convenient to consider the following operators on each n-valued Moisil algebra A. For each  $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ ,

$$J_i(x) = \sigma_{n-i}^n(x) \wedge \neg \sigma_{n-i-1}^n(x),$$

where  $\sigma_0^n(x) = 0$  and  $\sigma_n^n(x) = 1$ . In  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{L}_n)$  we have

$$J_i\left(\frac{j}{(n-1)}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 2.9. Let **A** be an  $MV_n$ -algebra, and let  $G \subset A$ . If  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  is the subalgebra of **A** generated by the set G and  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{A})$  generated by G, then  $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ .

PROOF. Since  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  is always a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{M}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n})$ , we have that  $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{B}(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n})$ .

We will see that  $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ . The case  $G = \emptyset$  is clear. Suppose that G is a finite set of cardinality  $p \geq 1$ . Since  $MV_n$ -algebras are locally finite (see [9, Chapter II, Theorem 10.16]), we obtain that  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  is a finite  $MV_n$ -algebra. Since finite  $MV_n$ -algebras are direct products of simple algebras, there exists a finite  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{L}_{m_i}$ , where each  $m_i - 1$  divides n - 1, for each  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . If k = 1, then  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MN}_n}$  and  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  are finite chains whose only Boolean elements are their extremes. Otherwise, we can think of the elements of  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  as k-tuples, that is, if  $\mathbf{x} \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ . We shall denote by  $\mathbf{1}^j$  the k-tuple given by

$$(\mathbf{1}^j)_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for each  $j=1,\ldots,k$ ,  $\mathbf{1}^j$  is in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ . From this it follows that for every pair  $i \neq j, i, j \in \{1,\ldots,k\}$ , there exists an element  $\mathbf{x} \in G$  such that  $x_j \neq x_i$ . Indeed, suppose on the contrary that there exist  $i, j \leq k$  such that  $x_i = x_j$ , for every  $\mathbf{x} \in G$ . Then for every  $\mathbf{z} \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  we would have  $z_j = z_i$  contradicting the fact that  $\mathbf{1}^i$  is in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ .

To see that every Boolean element in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  is also in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ , it is enough to prove that  $\mathbf{1}^j$  is in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  for every  $j = 1, \ldots, k$ . For a fixed j, for each  $i \neq j$ ,

 $i=1,\ldots k$ , we choose  $\mathbf{x}^i\in G$  such that  $x_j^i\neq x_i^i$ . Let  $j_i$  be the numerator of  $x_j^i\in L_n$ . It is not hard to verify that

$$\mathbf{1}^j = \bigwedge_{i=1, i 
eq j}^k J_{j_i}(\mathbf{x}^i).$$

Therefore  $\mathbf{1}^j \in \langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  and  $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ .

If G is not finite, let  $\mathbf{y}$  be a Boolean element in  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$ . Hence, there exists a finite subset  $G_{\mathbf{y}}$  of G such that  $\mathbf{y}$  belongs to the subalgebra of  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}$  generated by  $G_{\mathbf{y}}$ . Therefore, since  $\mathbf{y}$  is Boolean,  $\mathbf{y}$  belongs to the subalgebra of  $\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  generated by  $G_{\mathbf{y}}$ , and we conclude that  $B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) \subseteq B(\langle G \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$  for all sets G.

Given an algebra **A** in a variety  $\mathcal{K}$ , a subalgebra **S** of **A**, and an element  $x \in A$ , we shall denote by  $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{K}}$  the subalgebra of **A** generated by the set  $S \cup \{x\}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

LEMMA 2.10. Let **C** be in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and  $x \in C$ . Let **S** be a subalgebra of **C** such that  $\sigma_i^n(x)$  belongs to  $B(\mathbf{S})$  for each i = 1, ..., n-1. Then  $\mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})$ .

PROOF. Clearly **B**(**S**) is a subalgebra of **B**( $\langle$ **S**,  $x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ ). It is left to check that  $B(\langle$ **S**,  $x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) \subseteq B($ **S**). To achieve this aim, we shall study the form of the elements in  $\langle$ **S**,  $x\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ . We define for each  $s \in S$ ,

$$\alpha(s) = s \wedge x,$$
  

$$\beta(s) = s \wedge \neg x,$$
  

$$\gamma_i(s) = s \wedge \sigma_i^n(x), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots n - 1,$$
  

$$\delta_i(s) = s \wedge \neg \sigma_i^n(x), \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots n - 1.$$

For all  $s \in S$  we have that  $\gamma_i(s)$  and  $\delta_i(s)$  are in S for i = 1, ..., n - 1. Let

$$M:=\left\{y=\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_y}\bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j}f_i(s_i):f_i\in\{\alpha,\beta,\gamma_1,\delta_1,\ldots\gamma_{n-1},\delta_{n-1}\}\text{ and }s_i\in S\right\}.$$

We shall see that  $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \mathbf{M} = (M, \wedge, \vee, \neg, \sigma_1^n, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}^n, 0, 1)$ . Indeed, for all  $s \in S$ ,  $s = \gamma_1(s) \vee \delta_1(s)$ , and then  $S \subseteq M$ . Besides,  $x \in M$  because  $x = \alpha(1)$ . Lastly, it is easy to see that M is closed under the operations of n-valued Moisil algebra. Thus  $\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{M}$ . From the definition of M, it is obvious that  $M \subseteq \langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}$ , and the equality follows.

Now let  $z \in B(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n})$ . By Theorem 2.6,  $\sigma_{n-1}^n(z) = z$  and  $z = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_z} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j} f_i(s_i)$  with  $f_i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma_1, \delta_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}, \delta_{n-1}\}$  and  $s_i \in S$ . Then we have

$$z = \sigma_{n-1}^{n}(z) = \sigma_{n-1}^{n} \left( \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_z} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j} f_i(s_i) \right) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{k_z} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{p_j} \sigma_{n-1}^{n}(f_i(s_i)),$$

is in 
$$B(\mathbf{S})$$
 because  $\sigma_{n-1}^n(f_i(s_i)) = \gamma_k(\sigma_{n-1}^n(s_i))$  or  $\sigma_{n-1}^n(f_i(s_i)) = \delta_k(\sigma_{n-1}^n(s_i))$ , for some  $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ .

THEOREM 2.11. Let  $\mathbb{C}$  be an  $MV_n$ -algebra and  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sigma_i^n(x)$  belongs to  $B(\mathbb{S})$  for each i = 1, ..., n-1. Then

$$\mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 2.9 and Lemma 2.10 we obtain  $\mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, x \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})$ .

**2.2.** Boolean elements in Free<sub> $\mathcal{MV}_n$ </sub>( $\mathbf{Z}$ ) Recall that a Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{B}$  is said to be *free over a poset* Y if for each Boolean algebra  $\mathbf{C}$  and for each non-decreasing function  $f: Y \to \mathbf{C}$ , f can be uniquely extended to a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{B}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ .

THEOREM 2.12. **B**(**Free**<sub> $\mathcal{MV}_n$ </sub>(Z)) is the free Boolean algebra over the poset  $Z' := \{\sigma_i^n(z) : z \in Z, i = 1, ..., n-1\}.$ 

PROOF. Let **S** be the subalgebra of **B**(**Free** $_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z)$ ) generated by Z'. Let **C** be a Boolean algebra and let  $f: Z' \to \mathbf{C}$  be a non-decreasing function. The monotonicity of f implies that the prescription

$$f'(z) = (f(\sigma_1^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_{n-1}^n(z)))$$

defines a function  $f': Z \to \mathbf{C}^{[n]}$ . Since  $\mathbf{C}^{[n]} \in \mathcal{MV}_n$ , there is a unique homomorphism  $h': \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z) \to \mathbf{C}^{[n]}$  such that h'(z) = f'(z) for every  $z \in Z$ . Let  $\pi: \mathbf{C}^{[n]} \to \mathbf{C}$  be the projection over the first coordinate. The composition  $\pi \circ h'$  restricted to  $\mathbf{S}$  is a homomorphism  $h: \mathbf{S} \to \mathbf{C}$ , and for  $y = \sigma_j^n(z) \in Z'$  we have

$$h(y) = \pi(h'(\sigma_j^n(z))) = \pi(\sigma_j^n(h'(z))) = \pi(\sigma_j^n(f'(z)))$$
  
=  $\pi(\sigma_j^n(f(\sigma_1^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_{n-1}^n(z))))$   
=  $\pi(f(\sigma_i^n(z)), \dots, f(\sigma_i^n(z))) = f(\sigma_i^n(z)) = f(y).$ 

Hence **S** is the free Boolean algebra over the poset Z'. However, since  $\sigma_j^n(z)$  is in **S** for all  $z \in Z$  and  $j = 1, \dots n - 1$ , Theorem 2.11 asserts that

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}) = \mathbf{B}(\langle \mathbf{S}, z \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n})$$

for every  $z \in Z$ . From the fact that **S** is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z))$  we obtain

$$S = B(\langle S, Z \rangle_{\mathcal{MV}_n}) = B(Free_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z))$$

that is,  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(Z))$  is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset Z'.

From Theorem 2.4 we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 2.13. **B**(**Free**<sub> $\mathcal{V}$ </sub>(X)) is the free Boolean algebra generated by the poset  $Y := \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1\}.$ 

REMARK 2.14. If n=2, that is, the variety considered  $\mathcal{V}$  is generated by a BL<sub>2</sub>-chain, then  $\sigma_1^2(x)=x$  for each  $x\in X$ . Therefore, in this case,  $Y=\{\neg\neg x:x\in X\}$ , and the cardinality of Y equals the cardinality of X. It follows that  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  is the free Boolean algebra over the set Y.

## 3. Free $_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$

Following the program established at the end of Section 2, our next aim is to describe  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$  for each ultrafilter U in the free Boolean algebra generated by  $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1\}$ , where  $\langle U \rangle$  is the BL-filter generated by the Boolean filter U.

The plan is to prove that  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{L}_n$  and then, using Theorem 1.5, decompose each quotient  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle$  into an ordinal sum. To accomplish this we need the following results.

THEOREM 3.1. Let **A** be a BL-algebra and  $U \in Sp \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A})$ . Then

$$\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle) \cong \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})/(\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})).$$

PROOF. Let  $V =: \langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$  and let  $f : \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})/V \to \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$  be given by  $f(a/V) = a/\langle U \rangle$ , for each  $a \in MV(\mathbf{A})$ . It is easy to see that f is a homomorphism into  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$ . We have that

# (1) f is injective.

Let  $a/\langle U \rangle = b/\langle U \rangle$ , with  $a,b \in MV(\mathbf{A})$ . From Lemma 1.3, we know that there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $a \wedge u = b \wedge u$ . Since  $U \subseteq MV(\mathbf{A})$ , we have that  $u \in V$ . From the fact that u is Boolean (see [17, Lemma 2.2]), we have that  $a*u = a \wedge u = b \wedge u \leq b$ , thus  $u \leq a \to b$  and similarly  $u \leq b \to a$ . Then  $a \to b$  and  $b \to a$  are in V and this means that a/V = b/V.

# (2) f is surjective.

Let  $a/\langle U \rangle \in MV(\mathbf{A}/\langle U \rangle)$ . Then  $a/\langle U \rangle = \neg \neg (a/\langle U \rangle) = \neg \neg a/\langle U \rangle$ , and since  $\neg \neg a \in MV(\mathbf{A})$  we obtain that  $f(\neg \neg a/V) = a/\langle U \rangle$ .

By Theorem 2.4, if  $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ , then U is an ultrafilter in

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)).$$

Moreover,  $\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)) = \langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$  is the Stone ultrafilter of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$  generated by U. From [14, Chapter 6.3], we have that

$$\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$$

is a maximal filter of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{MV}_n}(\neg \neg X)$ . It follows from [14, Corollary 3.5.4] that the MV-algebra  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))/(\langle U \rangle \cap \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)))$  is an MV-chain in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ , thus from Theorem 3.1 we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.2.  $MV(Free_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle) \cong \mathbf{L}_s$  with s-1 dividing n-1.

From Theorems 1.5 and 3.2 we obtain the next result.

THEOREM 3.3. For each  $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle \cong \mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$$

for some s-1 dividing n-1.

In order to complete the description of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  we have to find a description of  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$  for each  $U \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ . This last description depends on the characterization of the variety  $\mathcal{W}$  of generalized BL-algebras generated by the generalized BL-chain  $\mathbf{B}$ . Therefore, we shall firstly consider such variety.

**3.1. The subvariety of**  $\mathcal{GBL}$  **generated by B** We recall that  $\mathcal{V}$  is the variety of BL-algebras generated by the BL-chain  $\mathbf{T}_n = \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by the chain  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Let  $\{e_i, i \in I\}$  be the set of equations that define  $\mathcal{MV}_n$  as a subvariety of  $\mathcal{BL}$ , and  $\{d_j, j \in J\}$  be the set of equations that define  $\mathcal{W}$  as a subvariety of  $\mathcal{BBL}$ . For each  $i \in I$ , let  $e_i'$  be the equation that results from substituting  $\neg \neg x$  for each variable x in  $e_i$ , and for each  $j \in J$ , let  $d_j'$  be the equation that results from substituting  $\neg \neg x \to x$  for each variable x in the equation  $d_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}'$  denote the variety of BL-algebras characterized by the equations of BL-algebras plus the equations  $\{e_i', i \in I\} \cup \{d_j', j \in J\}$ .

Theorem 3.4.  $V' \subseteq V$ .

PROOF. Let **A** be a subdirectly irreducible BL-algebra in  $\mathcal{V}'$ . From Theorem 1.1, **A** is a BL-chain, and by Theorem 1.4,  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$ . Since for each  $x \in MV(\mathbf{A})$ , we have  $\neg \neg x = x$ ,  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$  satisfies equations  $\{e_i, i \in I\}$ . Then  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A})$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{MV}_n$ , that is,  $\mathbf{MV}(\mathbf{A}) \cong \mathbf{L}_s$ , with s-1 dividing n-1. Moreover, since for each  $x \in D(\mathbf{A})$ , we have  $\neg \neg x \to x = x$ ,  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A})$  satisfies equations  $\{d_j, j \in J\}$ . Hence

 $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{C}$  is a generalized BL-chain in  $\mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is subdirectly irreducible,  $\mathbf{C}$  is also subdirectly irreducible, and since  $\mathcal{GBL}$  is a congruence distributive variety, we can apply Jónsson's Lemma (see [9]) to conclude that  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{HSP}_u(\mathbf{B})$ . Hence there is a set  $J \neq \emptyset$  and an ultrafilter U over J such that  $\mathbf{C}$  is a homomorphic image of a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{B}^J/U$ . From the proof of [2, Proposition 3.3] it follows that  $(\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B})^J/U = \mathbf{L}_n^J/U \uplus \mathbf{B}^J/U$ , and since  $\mathbf{L}_n$  is finite,  $\mathbf{L}_n^J/U \cong \mathbf{L}_n$ . Now it is easy to see that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathbf{HSP}_u(\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ .

The next corollary states the main result of this section.

COROLLARY 3.5. The variety W of generalized BL-algebras generated by  $\mathbf{B}$  consists of the generalized BL-algebras  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C}$  belongs to V.

PROOF. Given  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{C}$  is a generalized BL-algebra such that  $\mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{V}$ , then the elements of C satisfy equations  $d'_j$  for each  $j \in J$  and since  $\neg \neg x \to x = x$  for each  $x \in C$ , the elements of C satisfy equations  $d_j$  for each  $j \in J$ . Hence  $\mathbf{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**3.2.**  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U \rangle)$  We know that the ultrafilters of a Boolean algebra are in bijective correspondence with the homomorphisms from the algebra into the two elements Boolean algebra, **2**. Since every upwards closed subset of the poset  $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg\neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$  is in correspondence with an increasing function from Y onto **2**, and every increasing function from Y can be extended to a homomorphism from  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  into **2**, the ultrafilters of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  are in correspondence with the upwards closed subsets of Y. This is summarized in the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.6. Consider the poset  $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1\}$ . The correspondence that assigns to each upwards closed subset  $S \subseteq Y$  the Boolean filter  $U_S$  generated by the set  $S \cup \{\neg y : y \in Y \setminus S\}$ , defines a bijection from the set of upwards closed subsets of Y onto the ultrafilters of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ .

We shall refer to each member of  $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  by  $U_S$  making explicit reference to the upwards closed subset S that corresponds to it.

LEMMA 3.7. Let  $\mathbf{F}_S$  be the subalgebra of the generalized BL-algebra

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$$

generated by the set  $X_S := \{x/\langle U_S \rangle : x \in X, \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle \}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{F}_S = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle).$$

PROOF. Free $_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle$  is the BL-algebra generated by the set  $Z_S = \{x/\langle U_S \rangle : x \in X\}$ . From Theorem 3.3, there exists an integer m such that

$$\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle = \mathbf{L}_m \uplus \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle).$$

Hence each element of  $Z_S$  is either in  $L_m \setminus \{\top\}$  or it is in  $D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ .

If  $X_S = \emptyset$ , then  $F_S = D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle) = \{\top\}$ . So let us suppose  $X_S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ . Recalling that  $\mathbf{F}_S$  is the generalized BL-algebra generated by  $X_S$ , we will check that y is in  $F_S$ . Since  $y \in \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle$ , y is given by a term on the elements  $x/\langle U_S \rangle \in Z_S$ . By induction on the complexity of y, we have:

- If y is a generator, that is,  $y = x/\langle U_S \rangle$  for some  $x/\langle U_S \rangle \in Z_S$ , since  $y \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ , we have that  $\top = \neg \neg y = \neg \neg (x/\langle U_S \rangle) = (\neg \neg x)/\langle U_S \rangle$ . This happens only if  $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$ .
- Suppose that for each element  $z \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  of complexity less than k, z can be written as a term in the variables  $x/\langle U_S \rangle$  in  $X_S$ . Let  $y \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  be an element of complexity k. The possible cases are the following:
  - (1)  $y = a \rightarrow b$  for some elements a, b of complexity < k. In this case the possibilities are
    - (a)  $a \le b$ . This means  $a \to b = \top$  and y can be written as  $x/\langle U_S \rangle \to x/\langle U_S \rangle$  for any  $x/\langle U_S \rangle \in X_S$ , and thus  $y \in F_S$ ,
    - (b)  $a \not\leq b$ . Since  $y = a \rightarrow b$  is in  $D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ , the only possibility is that  $a, b \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  and by inductive hypothesis y is in  $F_S$ .
  - (2) y = a \* b for some elements a, b of complexity < k. In this case necessarily  $a, b \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  and by inductive hypothesis y is in  $F_S$ .

Then for each  $y \in D(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ , y can be written as a term on the elements of  $X_S$ . Therefore  $y \in F_S$  and we conclude that  $\mathbf{F}_S = \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$ .

With the notation of the previous lemma, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.8. For each  $U_S$  in  $Sp \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ ,

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_{\mathcal{S}}\rangle) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_{\mathcal{S}}).$$

PROOF. From Theorem 2.6 and Lemma 3.6 we can deduced that  $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$  if and only if  $\sigma_1^n(\neg \neg x) \in S$  if and only if  $\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) \in S$  for i = 1, ..., n - 1. Hence if  $\neg \neg x \notin \langle U_S \rangle$  there is a j such that  $\sigma_j^n(\neg \neg x) \notin S$ . We define, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$j_x = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle, \\ \max\{i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\} : \sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x) \notin S\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $C \in \mathcal{W}$  and let  $C' = L_n \uplus C$ . From Theorem 3.5, C' is in  $\mathcal{V}$ . Given a function  $f: X_S \to C$ , define  $\hat{f}: X \to C'$  by the prescriptions:

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x/\langle U_S \rangle) & \text{if } \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle, \\ (n - j_x - 1)/(n - 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There is a unique homomorphism  $\hat{h}: \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X) \to \mathbf{C}'$  such that  $\hat{h}(x) = \hat{f}(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . We have that  $U_S \subseteq \hat{h}^{-1}(\{\top\})$ . Indeed, if  $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$ , then  $\hat{h}(\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x)) = \sigma_i^n(\neg \neg(\hat{h}(x))) = \sigma_i^n(\neg \neg f(x/\langle U_S \rangle)) = \sigma_i^n(\top) = \top$ . If  $\neg \neg x \notin \langle U_S \rangle$ , then

$$\hat{h}(\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x)) = \sigma_i^n\left(\neg \neg \frac{n - j_x - 1}{n - 1}\right) = \sigma_i^n\left(\frac{n - j_x - 1}{n - 1}\right) = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } i \leq j_x, \\ \top & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence there is a unique homomorphism  $h_1: \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle \to \mathbf{C}'$  such that  $h_1(a/\langle U_S \rangle) = \hat{h}(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$ . By Lemma 3.7,  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  is the algebra generated by  $X_S$ . Then the restriction h of  $h_1$  to  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle)$  is a homomorphism  $h: \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle) \to \mathbf{C}$ , and for each x such that  $\neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle$ ,

$$h(x/\langle U_S \rangle) = h_1(x/\langle U_S \rangle) = \hat{h}(x) = \hat{f}(x) = f(x/\langle U_S \rangle).$$

Therefore we conclude that  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle) \cong \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_S)$ .

THEOREM 3.9. The free BL-algebra  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  can be represented as a weak Boolean product of the family  $(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle): U_S \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ , where  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  is the free Boolean algebra over the poset  $Y = \{\sigma_i^n(\neg \neg x): x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1\}$ . Moreover, for each  $U_S \in \operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ , there exists  $m \geq 2$  such that m-1 divides n-1 and

$$\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)/\langle U_S \rangle = \mathbf{L}_m \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X_S),$$

where  $X_S := \{x/\langle U_S \rangle : \neg \neg x \in \langle U_S \rangle \}$  and W is the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by **B**.

# 4. Examples

**4.1. PL-algebras** Let **G** be a lattice-ordered abelian group ( $\ell$ -group), and  $G^- = \{x \in G : x \leq 0\}$  its negative cone. For each pair of elements  $x, y \in G^-$ , we define the following operators:

$$x * y = x + y$$
 and  $x \rightarrow y = 0 \land (y - x)$ .

Then  $G^- = (G^-, \wedge, \vee, *, \rightarrow, 0)$  is a generalized BL-algebra. The following result can be deduced from [3] (see also [6] and [15]).

Theorem 4.1. The following conditions are equivalent for a generalized BL-algebra A:

- (1) **A** is a cancellative hoop.
- (2) There is an  $\ell$ -group G such that  $A \cong G^-$ .
- (3) **A** is in the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by  $\mathbb{Z}^-$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}$  denotes the additive group of integers with the usual order.

Let us consider W, the variety of generalized BL-algebras generated by  $\mathbb{Z}^-$ , that is, the variety of cancellative hoops. In [16] a description of  $\operatorname{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X)$  is given for any set X of free generators. Therefore we can have a complete description of free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by the ordinal sum

$$\mathbf{PL}_n = \mathbf{L}_n \uplus \mathbf{Z}^-.$$

Indeed, if we denote by  $\mathcal{PL}_n$  the variety of BL-algebras generated by  $\mathbf{PL}_n$ , from Theorem 3.9 we obtain that  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}_n}(X)$  is a weak Boolean product of algebras of the form  $\mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X')$  with s-1 dividing n-1 and some set X' of cardinality less or equal than X. Therefore, in the present case, the BL-algebra  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}_n}(X)$  can be completely described as a weak Boolean product of ordinal sums of two known algebras.

From [15, Theorem 2.8],  $\mathcal{PL}_2$  is the variety of PL-algebras  $\mathcal{PL}$ . From Remark 2.14,  $\operatorname{Sp} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}}(X))$  is the Cantor space  $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$ . From Theorem 3.9, the free PL-algebra over a set X can be describe as a weak Boolean product over the Cantor space  $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$  of algebras of the form  $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(X')$  for some set X' of cardinality less or equal than X.

Given a BL-algebra **A**, the radical  $R(\mathbf{A})$  of **A** is the intersection of all maximal implicative filters of **A**. We have that  $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) = (R(\mathbf{A}), *, \rightarrow, \land, \lor, \top)$  is a generalized BL-algebra. Let

$$\mathcal{PL}^r = \{\mathbf{R} : \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) \text{ for some } \mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{PL}\}.$$

 $\mathcal{PL}^r$  is a variety of generalized BL-algebras. In [17] a description of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}}(X)$  is given. From Example 4.7 and Theorem 5.7 in the mentioned paper we obtain that  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}}(X)$  is the weak Boolean product of the family  $(\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{PL}^r}(S) : S \subseteq \mathbf{2}^{|X|})$  over the Cantor space  $\mathbf{2}^{|X|}$ . In order to check that our description and the one given in [17] coincide it is only left to check that  $\mathcal{PL}^r = \mathcal{W}$ . From Corollary 3.5 we have that  $\mathcal{W}$  consist on the generalized BL-algebras  $\mathbf{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{PL}$ .

THEOREM 4.2. 
$$\mathcal{PL}^r = \mathcal{W}$$
.

PROOF. Let  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathcal{PL}^r$ . Then there exists a BL-algebra  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{PL}$  such that  $\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{C}$ . It is not hard to check that  $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{C}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}$ , thus  $\mathbf{L}_2 \uplus \mathbf{C}$  is in  $\mathcal{PL}$ . It

follows that  $C \in \mathcal{W}$ . On the other hand, let  $C \in \mathcal{W}$ . Then  $L_2 \uplus C$  is in  $\mathcal{PL}$ , and  $C \in \mathcal{PL}^r$ .

**4.2. Finitely generated free algebras** As we mentioned in the introduction, when the set of generators X is finite, let us say of cardinality k, the algebra  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  is described in [10] as a direct product of algebras of the form  $\mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X')$ , with s-1 that divides n-1 and some set X' of cardinality less than or equal to the cardinality of X, where  $\mathcal{W}$  is again the subvariety of  $\mathcal{GBL}$  generated by  $\mathbf{B}$ . The method used to describe the algebras strongly relies on the fact that the Boolean elements of  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  form a finite Boolean algebra. Indeed,  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  is a direct product of  $n^k$  algebras obtained by taking the quotients by the implicative filters generated by the atoms of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$ . In this case, once you know the form of the atom that generates the ultrafilter U you also know the number s such that  $\mathbf{MV}((\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))/\langle U \rangle) = \mathbf{L}_s$ .

When the set X of generators is finite, of cardinality k, then  $Y = {\sigma_i^n(\neg\neg x) : x \in X, i = 1, ..., n-1}$  is the cardinal sum of k chains of length n-1. Therefore the number of upwards closed subsets of Y is  $n^k$ . Since weak Boolean products over discrete finite spaces coincide with direct products, Theorem 3.9 asserts that  $\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X)$  is a direct product of  $n^k$  BL-algebras of the form  $\mathbf{L}_s \uplus \mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{W}}(Y)$ , with s-1 that divides n-1 and some set Y of cardinality less than or equal to k.

Therefore the description given in the present paper coincides with the one in [10]. However, the description given in [10], based on a detailed analysis of the structure of the atoms of  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Free}_{\mathcal{V}}(X))$  for a finite X, is more precise because it gives the number of factors of each kind appearing in the direct product representation.

#### References

- [1] P. Aglianò, I. M. A. Ferreirim and F. Montagna, 'Basic hoops: An algebraic study of continuous t-norms', manuscript.
- [2] P. Aglianò and F. Montagna, 'Varieties of BL-algebras I: General properties', J. Pure Appl. Algebra 181 (2003), 105–129.
- [3] K. Amer, 'Equationally complete classes of commutative monoids with monus', Algebra Universalis 18 (1984), 129–131.
- [4] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive lattices* (University of Missoury Press, Columbia, 1974).
- [5] D. Bigelow and S. Burris, 'Boolean algebras of factor congruences', Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 54 (1990), 11–20.
- [6] W. J. Block and I. M. A. Ferreirim, 'Hoops and their implicational reducts (Abstract)', in: Algebraic Methods in Logic and Computer Sciences, Banach Center Publications 28 (Polish Academy of Science, Warsaw, 1993) pp. 219–230.
- [7] ——, 'On the structure of hoops', Algebra Universalis 43 (2000), 233–257.
- [8] V. Boicescu, A. Filipoiu, G. Georgescu and S. Rudeanu, *Łukasiewicz-Moisil algebras* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1991).
- [9] S. Burris and H. P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebra (Springer, New York, 1981).

- [10] M. Busaniche, 'Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras generated by a chain', *Algebra Universalis* **50** (2003), 259–277.
- [11] R. Cignoli, *Moisil algebras, Notas de lógica matemática* (Instituto De Matemática, Universidad Nac. del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina, 1970).
- [12] ——, 'Some algebraic aspects of many-valued logics', in: *Proceedings of the third Brazilian Conference on Mathematical Logic (Sociedade Brasileira de Lógica)* (eds. A. I. Arruda, N. C. A. da Costa and A. M. Sette) (São Paulo, 1980) pp. 49–69.
- [13] ——, 'Proper n-valued łukasiewicz algebras as S-algebras of łukasiewicz n-valued propositional calculi', *Studia Logica* **41** (1982), 3–16.
- [14] R. Cignoli, M. I. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, Algebraic foundations of many-valued reasoning (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2000).
- [15] R. Cignoli and A. Torrens, 'An algebraic analysis of product logic', Mult.-Valued Log. 5 (2000), 45–65.
- [16] ——, 'Free cancelative hoops', Algebra Universalis 43 (2000), 213–216.
- [17] ——, 'Free algebras in varieties of BL-algebras with a Boolean retract', *Algebra Universalis* **48** (2002), 55–79.
- [18] ——, 'Hájek basic fuzzy logic and łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic', *Arch. Math. Logic* 42 (2003), 361–370.
- [19] P. Hájek, Metamathematics of fuzzy logic (Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1998).
- [20] A. Horn, 'Free L-algebras', J. Symbolic Logic 34 (1969), 475–480.
- [21] A. Iorgulescu, 'Connections between  $MV_n$ -algebras and n-valued łukasiewicz-moisil algebras. Part I', *Discrete Math.* **181** (1998), 155–177.
- [22] R. McNaughton, 'A theorem about infinite-valued sentential logic', *J. Symbolic Logic* **16** (1951), 1–13.
- [23] A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu and L. Leustean, 'Boolean products of BL-algebras', J. Math. Anal. Appl. 251 (2000), 106–131.
- [24] A. J. Rodríguez and A. Torrens, 'Wajsberg algebras and post algebras', *Studia Logica* **53** (1994), 1–19.
- [25] J. von Plato, 'Skolem's discovery of Gödel-Dummett logic', Studia Logica 73 (2003), 153-157.

#### Departamento de Matemática

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales

Universidad de Buenos Aires - CONICET

Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires

Argentina

e-mail: manuelabusaniche@yahoo.com.ar, cignoli@mate.dm.uba.ar