

JAAS

Accepted Manuscript



This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: P. Smichowski, A. Londonio, P. E. Hasuoka, P. H. Pacheco and R. A. Gil, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8JA00188J.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [author guidelines](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our [author and reviewer resource centre](#), still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

1
2
3 **On line solid phase extraction-HPLC-ICP-MS system for Mercury and**
4 **methylmercury preconcentration using functionalised carbon nanotubes for their**
5 **determination in dietary supplements**
6
7
8

9 Agustín Londonio^{ab}, Paul Emir Hasuoka^c, Pablo Pacheco^{cd}, Raúl Andrés Gil^{*cd} and
10 Patricia Smichowski^{*ad}
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 *^a Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica, Gerencia Química, Av. Gral Paz 1499,*
40 *B1650KNA-San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

41 *^b Instituto de Investigación e Ingeniería Ambiental (3iA), Universidad de San Martín,*
42 *Martín de Irigoyen 3100, 1650-San Martín, Buenos Aires, Argentina.*

43 *^c Instituto de Química de San Luis, Centro Científico Tecnológico San Luis, Ejército de*
44 *los Andes 950, Bloque 3, PB-San Luis, 5700, Argentina.*

45 *^d Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Av. Godoy Cruz 2290,*
46 *C1425FQB, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

Corresponding authors: P. Smichowski. E-mail: smichows@cnea.gov.ar; Fax: +54 11
6772 7886; Tel: +54 11 6772 7873 and R. A. Gil. E-mail: ragil@unsl.edu.ar; Fax: +54
11 6772 7886; Tel: +54 11 6772 7873

1
2
3 A hyphenated system was developed for Hg(II) and methylmercury (MeHg)
4
5 pre-concentration and speciation analysis by the on-line coupling of solid phase
6
7 extraction (SPE), high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and inductively
8
9 coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Both analytes, Hg(II) and MeHg, were
10
11 pre-concentrated on a microcolumn filled with multiwalled carbon nanotubes
12
13 (MWCNTs) functionalised with poly-L-methionine (polymet-MWCNTs). During the
14
15 method development the sorbent material was carefully studied and the solid phase
16
17 extraction conditions were optimised. An enrichment factors of 190 for Hg(II) and
18
19 MeHg was obtained when 20 mL of sample were passed through the microcolumn. For
20
21 the chromatographic separation, a mobile phase composed by a ternary mixture of 0.5%
22
23 formic acid + 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol + 20% methanol was used. Separation of both
24
25 mercurial species was accomplished in ~ 10 min on a 250-mm C18 column. The
26
27 detection limits of the SPE-HPLC-ICP-MS method were 15 ng L⁻¹ for Hg(II) and 17 ng
28
29 L⁻¹ for MeHg. The relative standard deviations of peak area for 5 ng L⁻¹ of each Hg
30
31 species were below 5%. Recoveries of Hg(II) and MeHg were never less than 93%. For
32
33 checking accuracy, BCR 643-tuna fish and TORT-3 Lobster hepatopancreas certificate
34
35 reference materials were analysed. Mercury species were determined in spiked fish oil-
36
37 based dietary supplements and Antarctic water.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1. Introduction

Mercury is an element that raises concern from both the environmental and human health point of view. The high toxicity of Hg and its species is well known through the Minamata (Japan-1956) and Iraq diseases (1972) caused by the consumption of contaminated fish and grains seeds treated with organomercury fungicides, respectively.^{1,2} Mercury is recognised as a global pollutant because it is distributed in many environmental compartments where it is found at very low concentrations.³ As an example, typical total Hg concentrations (different dissolved Hg species) ranging from 0.03 to 90 ng L⁻¹ in uncontaminated natural waters were reported in a comprehensive review by Leopold et al.¹ The chemical form of Hg controls its bioavailability, transport, persistence and impact on biota and humans.

Mercury emissions may be from anthropogenic or natural origin and are mainly in the form of elemental Hg (Hg⁰), representing > 99% of the total Hg in the atmosphere.¹ When Hg is discharged into the environment as elemental Hg it can form methylmercury.⁴ Due to their lipophilic nature, organic Hg compounds are more toxic than inorganic Hg species. Methylmercury (MeHg) can then bioaccumulate in the ecosystem to a level that can cause serious health hazards. It is known that Hg species can easily permeate biological membranes and for their affinity with sulfhydryl groups that are present in proteins and enzymes. Bioaccumulation occurs in different and specific organs such as brain, kidney, liver and central nervous system.⁵

The determination of Hg in various matrices, including environmental and food samples, requires the use of highly sensitive detection techniques. This situation is even more complicated when the selective determination of Hg species is required.

1
2
3 Among the reported methods for the selective determination of Hg species in
4 different matrices the most employed approaches have been the direct coupling of
5 HPLC to ICP-MS. This combines the high resolving power of the former with the
6 advantages of element specificity, wide dynamic range and excellent detection power of
7 ICP-MS. In spite of these recognised advantages, the sensitivity that can be reached is
8 not enough to determine Hg species at the levels normally found in natural waters
9 and/or unpolluted environments. To improve the capability of the method it is
10 advantageous to add a preconcentration step prior to the chromatographic separation.
11 Gao et al⁶ reported the on-line preconcentration and in situ photochemical vapor
12 generation in coiled reactor for Hg(II) and MeHg determination by atomic fluorescence
13 spectrometry. A detection limit of 0.004 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ for Hg(II) was achieved based on 40 s
14 sample loading.

15
16
17
18
19 The on-line determination of inorganic Hg and MeHg in waters and fish tissues
20 using a microcolumn filled with polyaniline (PANI) and flow injection-chemical vapour
21 generation-inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (FI-CVG-ICP-MS) was
22 successfully applied to the direct determination of iHg and MeHg in various water
23 samples.⁷ Preliminary studies showed that inorganic and MeHg species could be
24 separated on the column in two different speciation approaches depending on pH.

25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Wang et al. developed an on-line high performance liquid chromatography-cold
vapour generation-atomic fluorescence spectrometry method for the selective
determination of Hg(II), MeHg and ethylmercury (EMC) using cysteine and ammonium
acetate as mobile phase in the chromatographic separation, avoiding the use of an
oxidation system.⁸ The detection limit for the three species, based on three folds of
baseline noise and 100 mL injection, were 0.05, 0.07, and 0.1 mg L^{-1} , respectively.

1
2
3 Other authors employed a thiol-material for preconcentration followed by ion
4 chromatography and CV-AFS.⁹ The addition of 10–60 mM thiourea (TU) quantitatively
5 releases MeHg from water samples by forming a more labile complex (MeHgTU+) that
6 quantitatively exchanges MeHg with thiol-functionalized resins at pH ~3.5 during
7 column loading. A limit of detection of 0.007 ng L⁻¹ (for MeHg) was reported.
8

9
10
11 In another approach, Brombach et al.¹⁰ determined methylmercury in water
12 samples at the pg L⁻¹ level using an online preconcentration liquid chromatography cold
13 vapour-atomic fluorescence spectrometry system. A column containing sulfur based
14 ligands anchored to a silica backbone was used in the preconcentration step. A detection
15 limit as low as 40 pg L⁻¹ MeHg (as Hg) was reached when 200 mL of sample were
16 passed through the system.

17
18 Taking advantages of the well know properties of nanomaterials such as large
19 surface area and excellent mechanical strength, Deng et al.¹¹ described the use of
20 MWCNTs in an on-line solid phase dispersion-HPLC-ICP-MS system for the
21 determination of Hg species in fish samples. Limits of detection of 9.9 ng g⁻¹ and 8.4 ng
22 g⁻¹ were obtained for Hg(II) and MeHg, respectively. According to our experience,
23 MWCNTs are very effective substrates for metals and metalloids preconcentration.¹²⁻¹⁴
24
25

26
27 The objective of this study was to investigate the potential of functionalised
28 MWCNTs, specifically a sulfur-based sorption nano material, as an efficient and
29 selective sorbent in an on-line SPE system coupled to HPLC-ICP-MS for the speciation
30 analysis of Hg in a matrix much less studied such as fish oil-based dietary supplements.
31 Antarctic waters were also analysed. The proposed solid phase extraction method is
32 easy to operate and presents several advantages in comparison with other methods that
33 include: extraction- clean-up-separation-species quantification into one step without Hg
34 derivatisation.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2. Experimental

Safety considerations

All species of Hg and 2-mercaptoethanol are toxic, thus in both cases contact with skin and eyes should be avoided. During the development of this study a well-ventilated fume hood was used for reagents and samples handling.

2.1. Instrumentation

The schematic instrumental set-up (preconcentration, separation and detection) of the hyphenated system used in this study for Hg speciation analysis is depicted in Fig. 1.

The preconcentration unit consisted of a six-port valve (Rheodyne 5020, Rheodyne, LP, Rohnert Park, CA, USA) (namely V1) where the sample loop was substituted with the preconcentration microcolumn filled with a mixture of MWCNTs functionalised with a mixture of poly-*L*-methionine (polymet-MWCNTs) and Epolene®. A peristaltic 6-channels pump, Minipuls 3 from Gilson (Villiers–Le–Bel, France) was used to deliver the sample (and the eluent) onto the preconcentration microcolumn at a constant flow rate. Tygon type pump tubing with internal diameters of 0.95 mm (Ismatec, Cole Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA) were employed to propel the sample and the eluent.

The outlet of the preconcentration unit was directly connected to a syringe through a small hole made in the barrel. When V1 is switched, the eluate (1.0 mL) containing Hg species was delivered to the syringe and stored in the barrel during no more than ~ 1 min to avoid overfilling. Then, the plunger was manually pressed to inject the eluate retained in the syringe into the chromatographic unit through V2.

The chromatographic unit for Hg speciation consisted of a reversed-phase C18 column Phenomenex SphereClone (Torrance, CA, USA) ODS (5 μ m, 4.6 mm i.d.; 250 mm long), a high pressure pump (HPLC pump) with a 0.01–5 mL min⁻¹ flow rate range (PerkinElmer Series 200 LC Pump) and a six-port manual injector (namely V2) with a 100 μ L sample PEEK loop (Rheodyne model 7725i). A HPLC mobile phase composed by 0.5% formic acid + 0.2% of 2-mercaptoethanol (ME) + 20% methanol at a flow rate of 0.8 mL min⁻¹ was used.

PEEK tubing (0.030 in i.d.) was used to connect the chromatographic reversed-phase C18 column directly to the Type C glass concentric nebuliser (Meinhard Glass Products, USA) of the ICP-MS (PerkinElmer inductively coupled Ar plasma mass spectrometer model NexIon 300X), equipped with a Peltier cooler for ICP-MS cyclonic Spray chamber (PC³ Peltier Cooler, PerkinElmer) cooled at 3 °C to remove the coarsest aerosol droplets. Data was analysed by Chromera Speciation Software V4.1 from PerkinElmer.

The ICP-MS instrument was daily optimised using a multi-element “tune” standard solution containing 1.0 μ g L⁻¹ of ⁹Be, ¹⁴⁰Ce, ²⁸Mg, ¹¹⁵In and ²³⁸U in 1% HNO₃. The optimised ICP-MS and HPLC operating conditions are shown in Table 1. During the study, the room temperature of the SPE–HPLC–ICP–MS system was kept at 20 °C. Calibration standards of Hg(II) and MeHg of 0.01–10 μ g L⁻¹ were prepared and peak area was used for quantification.

A MLS-1200 (Milestone-FKW, Sorisole, Bergamo, Italy) microwave apparatus equipped with ten Teflon-PFA vessels was used to digest samples.

2.2 Reagents

1
2
3 All reagents were of analytical grade and the presence of Hg was not detected within the
4
5 working range. A 1000 mg L⁻¹ standard solution of Hg(II) was obtained from Chem-
6
7 Lab (Chem-Lab NV, Zedelgem, Belgium). A 1000 mg L⁻¹ standard solution of
8
9 methylmercury chloride (CH₃HgCl) in water was obtained from Fluka (Germany).
10
11 Analytical calibration standards of Hg species were prepared daily over the range 0.01–
12
13 10.0 µg L⁻¹ by step-wise dilutions of the stock solution. Additional chemicals for the
14
15 speciation studies were HPLC grade: methanol and 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma–Aldrich,
16
17 USA). Formic acid was purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (USA).

18
19
20 Multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) were purchased from Sun Nanotech
21
22 Co. Ltd., Jiangxi, China. All chemicals (Merck) used for MWCNTs functionalisation
23
24 (HNO₃, H₂SO₄, SOCl₂, DMF, THF, poly-*L*-methionine) were of analytical reagent
25
26 grade and were used without further purification.

27
28
29 Argon 99.99998% (minimum purity) from Indura (Buenos Aires, Argentina) was
30
31 used for ICP-MS. Deionised distilled water (DDW) was produced by an arium® Water
32
33 Purification System (Sartorius, Goettingen, Germany).

34
35
36 All glassware and plastic bottles used were cleaned by rinsing with DDW, soaking
37
38 with a 10% (v/v) nitric acid solution for 24 h and then rinsing several times with DDW.
39
40 All samples and standards were stored in polyethylene bottles (50 mL) or Falcon®
41
42 tubes (Becton Dickison, Lincoln Park, NJ, USA).

43 44 45 46 **2.3 Microcolumn substrate and immobilisation procedure**

47
48 Functionalised MWCNTs were used as sorbent for their immense surface area, low cost
49
50 and easy to get them. They are useful materials in preconcentration studies even when
51
52 very small amounts are used. The functionalisation process is simple and enhances
53
54 selectivity. The following steps were followed to obtain the sorbent material:
55
56
57
58
59
60

Oxidation of MWCNTs was carried out in a mixture of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4:\text{HNO}_3$ (3:1). Commercial MWCNTs were soaked for 30 min in an ultrasound bath and then placed in a water bath at 55 °C for 4 h. The resulting oxidised MWCNTs were dialysed with DDW until complete elimination of the residual acid that was checked by measuring the pH. Poly-*L*-methionine functionalised MWCNTs (polymet-MWCNTs) were obtained by the chemical attachment of poly-*L*-methionine using the following procedure: the oxidised MWCNTs were dispersed in thionylchloride (SOCl_2) with 2 mL of dimethylformamide (DMF) and the mixture was left to react while stirring at 70 °C for 24 h. The resultant solid material (COCl-MWCNTs) was centrifuged and then washed with tetrahydrofuran (THF). Poly *L*-methionine and COCl-MWCNTs were mixed with THF at 45 °C for 4 days. The resultant material, polymet-MWCNTs was resuspended with ethanol, filtered and dried.¹²

The sorbent resulted stable over a wide range of pH and the column packed with polymet-MWCNTs had a lifetime of (at least) 575 cycles of mercury retention/elution without demand for generation or modification of the initial conditions.

2.4 Preconcentration procedure

A peristaltic pump was used to load (*load* position) samples/standards (adjusted at pH 9) and the eluent onto the preconcentration microcolumn at a constant flow rate of 1.7 mL min⁻¹ (Fig. 1-a). Once the sample was loaded onto the preconcentration microcolumn filled with polymet-MWCNTs, the six-port valve was manually changed from the *load* to the *inject* position and the eluent was delivered through the preconcentration microcolumn for Hg species elution. This eluate was delivered into a 1 mL syringe entering through a small hole made in the barrel (Fig. 1-b). The plunger was manually pressed and the preconcentrated sample was injected onto the chromatographic unit. After the injection, the valve V1 was switched back to allow the

1
2
3 next sample to be loaded. About 6 min were necessary to complete a cycle (loading,
4
5 elution and analysis) for 5 mL of sample volume.
6

7
8 Calibration standards were prepared in a range of 0.05–10 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (blank and
9
10 standards of 0.05, 0.1, 0.5, 1.0, 5.0 and 10 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) for both Hg species, with a
11
12 preconcentration volume of 5 mL. Peak area was used for quantitative evaluation of the
13
14 data.
15
16

17 2.5 Sample preparation

18
19 Rigorous cleaning procedures were used for all devices, including sampling equipment,
20
21 and laboratory ware in contact with the samples, especially for the speciation analysis of
22
23 Hg at trace levels in waters. All devices and containers used were acid-washed and
24
25 rinsed several times with deionised water. Water sample was analysed as soon as
26
27 possible after collection to avoid contamination, especially by inorganic Hg.
28
29

30
31 Water samples: Two water samples collected in Antarctica were analysed following the
32
33 developed procedure. Both samples were collected at the Carlini Station (ex Jubany), 25
34
35 de Mayo Island (62°14'18''S, 58°40'0''W). Collected samples were placed in
36
37 poly(ethylene terephthalate), PET previously thoroughly cleaned with 10% HNO_3 .
38
39 Samples were transported to the laboratory and stored in a dry and dark place until
40
41 analysis. Samples were filtered through a 0.45 μm polyvinylidene fluoride syringe filter
42
43 (Minisart–Sartorius, Göttingen, Germany). In a previous paper¹³ we discussed the use
44
45 of Teflon® and PET bottles for sampling and storage of water samples. Both materials
46
47 didn't introduce detectable levels of contamination, either by leaching from sampling
48
49 vessels or metal losses, when they were tested for mercury.
50
51

52
53 Extraction and separation of Hg species from the matrix is one of the key steps
54
55 in species determination because two conflicting issues need to be taken into account:
56
57 (i) avoid contamination, and (ii) prevent losses when the species are separated from the
58
59
60

1
2
3 matrix. To control these potential drawbacks, these sample treatment procedures were
4
5 followed:

6
7 Dietary supplements: hydrochloric acid was used for the extraction of Hg and MeHg
8
9 from dietary supplements. A sample aliquot of ~0.5 g was placed in a Falcon® tube and
10
11 5 mL of HCl 5 M were added. The resulting suspension was sonicated for 15 min and
12
13 then centrifuged at 3500 rpm. After centrifugation, the supernatant was decanted and the
14
15 residue was re-extracted as described above. Afterwards, both supernatants were
16
17 combined and neutralised with 10 M NaOH to a final volume of 10 mL. All extractions
18
19 were performed in triplicate and extraction blanks were prepared following the same
20
21 procedure.^{15,16}

22
23 Tuna fish: To check accuracy, Hg species were extracted from the certified reference
24
25 material BCR® - 463 tuna fish (from the former Community Bureau of Reference of
26
27 European Commission) with certified values for MeHg and total Hg. The CRM was
28
29 used as provided. A portion of ~ 250 mg of the CRM was placed in a centrifuge tube. A
30
31 volume of 5 mL of 5 M HCl was added and the mixture was sonicated for 15 min. After
32
33 this period, the suspension was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant
34
35 was transferred to a glass vial for further analysis. All extractions were performed in
36
37 triplicate and extraction blanks were prepared following the same procedure.
38
39

40
41 Lobster hepatopancreas: The CRM TORT-3 (NRC-Canada) was treated following the
42
43 procedure described above. This CRM has certified values for Hg and MeHg.
44
45

46 47 48 **2.6 Total Hg determination**

49
50 For total Hg determination in solid CRMs and oil capsule samples (~ 0.5 g) were
51
52 subject to microwave assisted digestion (MW) with 8.0 mL of HNO₃ (c) + 2.0 mL of
53
54 30% H₂O₂ following these steps: 2 min at 250 W; 2 min at 0 W; 6 min at 250 W; 5 min
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 at 400 W and 6 min at 600 W. For checking accuracy, aliquots of both certified
4
5 reference materials were subject to the same treatment and included in the overall
6
7 analytical process.
8

3. Results and discussion

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

The first step was the optimisation of the different parameters involved in the
preconcentration process.

3.1 Microcolumn characteristics

In this study, we explored the performance of a sulphur-based substrate based on the
well known affinity of sulphur compounds with Hg species.

With respect to the physical characteristics of the column and according to our
previous studies^{13,14}, a careful evaluation of the optimum dimensions of the MWCNT
microcolumn is necessary. To this end, a good compromise was to use a small (home-
made) microcolumn of 2.3 mm (i.d) x 25 mm (net length of substrate: 15 mm and a
nominal microcolumn capacity ~ 62 μ L). To avoid aggregation and an unacceptable
higher back-pressure due the tight packing of the filling material we filled the column
with a mixture of the substrate (polymet-MWCNTs) and inert microparticles of
Epolene® (Eastman Chemical Products, Inc., Kingsport, TN, USA), a low-density
polyethylene wax that offers good high-temperature stability, low-temperature
flexibility, and very good compatibility with the use of mineral acids.¹³

In this study a mixture of ~3.0 mg of polymet-MWCNTs and 30 mg of
Epolene® was used to fill the microcolumn using the dry packing method.

To check that Hg species were not retained by the low molecular weight polyethylene wax, a test microcolumn was filled only with ~ 30 mg of this material and inserted in the preconcentration system. This test was performed off-line. No retention was observed which evidenced that Hg species were only retained by the sorbent. In addition, we checked that the eluent could remove the retained Hg species from the substrate in a minimum volume to reach higher preconcentration factors.

3.2 Optimisation of solid phase extraction conditions

The optimisation of the SPE conditions for Hg(II) and MeHg retention on the polymet-MWCNTs microcolumn was performed off-line. To this end, Hg(II) and MeHg were passed separately through the microcolumn. The first parameter evaluated was pH because it plays a crucial role in sorption of different ions on MWCNTs. The sorption of metal ions on the functionalised MWCNTs increases as pH increases because the MWCNTs surface becomes more deprotonated, causing electrostatic interactions between metal ions and oxygen and sulphur functional groups. In this context, the isoelectric point (IEP) of MWCNTs shifts to the lower pH values. When the pH of the solution is higher than the IEP of the ox-MWCNTs, the negative charge on the surface provides electrostatic attractions that are favourable for adsorbing cations. The decrease of pH leads to the neutralisation of surface charge, so the adsorption of cations onto ox-CNTs decreases quickly.

In order to evaluate the effect of pH, a series of 10 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ sample solutions of Hg(II)/MeHg were adjusted to different pH values (between 3.0 and 10) and the preconcentration/elution was carried out. Aliquots of Hg(II)/MeHg solutions of 5.0 mL were loaded on the microcolumn at a flow rate of 1.7 mL min⁻¹. Species of Hg were eluted from the column with 2 mL of a 10% HCl solution. Mercury eluates were

1
2
3 measured off-line by ICP-MS. The study indicated that Hg species were retained in a
4 wide range of pHs and retention was maximum at pH 9. pHs higher than 10 were not
5 tested in order to avoid metal hydrolysis. Accordingly to these findings, pH 9 was
6 selected for further experiments. Fig. S1 (Supplementary Information) shows the effect
7 of sample pH on Hg(II) and MeHg signals obtained off-line.
8

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Hydrochloric acid was tested as eluent to promote Hg(II) and MeHg desorption from the sorbent material. The elution step involved exchange between analyte ions and H^+ . In order to achieve a complete elution of both mercurial species with only 1 mL, a combination of HCl and ME was tested. The optimisation of eluent composition was performed by employing a full factorial design, where hydrochloric acid was examined within a wide range of concentration, from 1.0 to 20% v/v (five levels) and ME was examined at three levels of concentration: 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2% v/v. Maximum signal readouts for Hg(II) and MeHg were obtained at a concentration of 10% v/v HCl + 0.1% v/v ME (Fig. 2S-supplementary information).

The best flow rate for Hg species preconcentration and elution was selected by examining their effect over the range 0.5 and 5 mL min⁻¹ while keeping the other conditions constant. No relationship between preconcentration and elution flow rates on Hg signal was observed over the studied range. As in previous studies, a flow rate of 1.7 mL min⁻¹ was adopted.¹³

The effect of potential interferents on Hg(II) and MeHg signals was also examined. The individual effect of a variety of metals, metalloids and ions was assessed (Al, As, Cd, Cr, Fe, Mg, Sb, Se, Zn, Cl^- , NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-}). All analysed samples contained 10 $\mu g L^{-1}$ of Hg/MeHg while the concentration of the potential interferent was 10 mg L⁻¹ in all cases. Variations over $\pm 5\%$ in the analytical signal of mercurial species in the presence of other elements were taken as interference. Samples were analysed by

1
2
3 the on-line preconcentration procedure developed. The study evidenced that no
4 significant interference effect from coexisting ions in the on-line enrichment method
5 was observed for most of the elements. Only, the presence of Fe and Zn produced a
6 reduction of Hg(II) signal of 8 and 11%, respectively.
7
8

3.3 Link between the low pressure and the high pressure system

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Initially, only one six-port valve was used. In these conditions, once the
standard/sample was loaded onto the preconcentration column, the six-port valve was
switched to the inject position and the eluate from the microcolumn was delivered
directly to the chromatographic system. During these preliminary tests we observed
overpressure in the system and a poor resolution of the chromatographic peaks. To
relieve this pressure, a syringe (Fig. 1) was incorporated as a link between a low
pressure system (preconcentration unit) and a high pressure system (HPLC unit). This
syringe collected all the eluate in the barrel. Then, the operator pressed the plunger to
introduce the liquid in V2. As the link between the two systems, the syringe keeps them
separated allowing cleaning, conditioning and reusing the microcolumn while a sample
is being analysed by HPLC-ICP-MS. The syringe has a small volume, just the same
volume used to elute the retained mercurial species (1 mL), reducing dead volumes

3.4 Optimisation of HPLC conditions for Hg(II) and MeHg separation

Based on the conditions employed in a previous study for the determination of inorganic
and organic species of Hg by HPLC-cold vapour-atomic fluorescence spectroscopy
(HPLC-CV-AFS)¹⁷, the variables influencing the chromatographic separation of Hg(II)
and MeHg by HPLC were tested. In this approach ICP-MS was used for detection. On
the base of the experimental results, the chromatographic separation of Hg species on a

1
2
3 C18 column using a mobile phase containing 0.5% formic acid and 0.2% v/v of ME was
4
5 adopted. ME is a useful reagent because thiolate group strongly bonds to Hg to form an
6
7 ionic pair making possible the separation of the species in a reverse phase column. We
8
9 also corroborated the findings of Li et al.¹⁸ which demonstrated that when ICP-MS is
10
11 used as detector, ME is an efficient reagent to reduce the possibility of Hg deposition in
12
13 the walls of the system namely, spray chamber and transfer tubing. Also Bramanti and
14
15 coworkers¹⁹ observed that the employment of thiols as Hg complexing agent is
16
17 necessary to avoid Hg adsorption to the chromatographic stationary phase on the Teflon
18
19 and PEEK tubing. In our study we used ME and potential memory effects were checked
20
21 and they resulted insignificant.

22
23 We tested the use of ME in a range of concentrations varying from 0.05 to 0.3 %
24
25 and a concentration of 0.2% ME resulted in the best separation. Higher concentrations
26
27 were not tested due to the toxicity of this reagent. The concentration of formic acid
28
29 added to the mobile phase was maintained in the values reported previously because
30
31 higher values did not show an increase resolution in the separation of the species.¹⁷
32
33

34
35 The addition of methanol to the mobile phase was necessary to obtain the
36
37 chromatogram in a reasonable time. Methanol concentration was assessed between 1
38
39 and 20%, and 20% was the concentration adopted for further experiments. It is known
40
41 that the addition of organic solvents to the ICPs can cause instability of the discharge.
42
43 Even when a 20% of methanol was added to the mobile phase no noticeable flickering
44
45 was observed and the plasma was stable enough to continue the experiments. The
46
47 Peltier cooled cyclonic spray chamber of our instrument and the low flow rate used
48
49 contribute to reducing solvent loading when volatile organic solvents are present in the
50
51 plasma.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 In conclusion, a mobile phase composed by 0.5% formic acid + 0.2% ME + 20%
4 methanol was adopted for further work.

5
6
7 The effect of the mobile phase flow rate was examined in the range of 0.5–1.0
8 mL min⁻¹. A compromise between peaks width and separation time was adopted and a
9 good and fast separation was reached in less than 12 min at a mobile phase flow rate of
10 0.8 mL min⁻¹.

11
12 Under the above mentioned conditions the obtained chromatogram using a C18
13 column showed two satisfactorily resolved peaks of Hg(II) and MeHg as shown in Fig.
14 2. The first identified peak at 8.5 min retention time corresponds to MeHg and the other
15 at 10 min retention time to Hg(II). This result confirms that the method has a reasonable
16 sample throughput (5 samples h⁻¹).

17 18 19 **3.5 Analytical performance**

20
21 Under the optimal experimental conditions, the analytical performance of the SPE-
22 HPLC-ICP-MS system for the determination of Hg(II) and MeHg species was evaluated.
23
24 Calibrations were performed with a series of standards of Hg(II) and MeHg (0.05 – 10
25 µg L⁻¹) injected in the coupled system. Limits of detection (LODs, 3σ criterion) were:
26
27 15 and 17 ng L⁻¹ for Hg(II) and MeHg, respectively when 5 mL of sample/standard
28
29 where passed through the system and 5 ng L⁻¹ for both species when 20 mL were
30
31 flushed. The enrichment factor (EF), defined as the ratio between the areas of a
32
33 calibration standard with SPE to that without preconcentration, was 190 for both species
34
35 when 20 mL of sample/standard were passed through the system. This is a high EF in
36
37 comparison with other values reported in the literature^{7,20,21}. EF can be further improved
38
39 by increasing the sample loading. In this study we used a volume of 5 mL to avoid
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 prolonging the analysis time and obtain the chromatogram in a reasonable time. When 5
4 mL of sample were passed through the system the EF was 48.
5

6
7 The relative standard deviations (RSDs) for 8 replicate determinations of both
8 Hg species at $0.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (as Hg) was in all cases $< 6 \%$. Linearity was attained from
9 levels close to the detection limit up to at least $100 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$.
10

11
12 The reference materials BCR[®] - 463 (tuna fish) certified for total Hg and MeHg
13 and TORT-3 (certified for Hg and MeHg) were analysed to verify the accuracy of the
14 method. No significant differences (at the 95% confidence level) were detected between
15 the certified and found concentrations while the sums of the concentrations of the
16 individual species were in good agreement with the certified total concentrations of Hg.
17 Table 2 summarises the results of the accuracy test.
18

19 3.6 Analysis of real samples

20
21 The applicability of the developed procedure was examined by the analysis of two
22 different categories of samples. Results are depicted in Table 3.
23

24
25 Waters: Levels of Hg(II) and MeHg species in Antarctic waters were below the
26 respective detection limits even when 20 mL of water were passed through the system.
27 Water sample 1 and Water Sample 2 were spiked with $5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of Hg and $5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of
28 MeHg. As depicted in Table 3, the spike recoveries for the species under study were in
29 the range 92–108% and the precision between sample replicates was better than 7% in
30 all cases (n=3).
31

32
33 Fish oil: the main exposure pathway of Hg to humans is through the consumption of
34 marine fishery products. In this context, six fish oil dietary supplements samples
35 purchased in different countries were analysed. The extraction of Hg species from fish
36 oil samples was described in Section 2.5. Neither Hg(II) nor MeHg was detected in the
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

three dietary supplements samples. Samples were spiked as shown in Table 3 with different amounts of Hg(II) and MeHg. The spike recoveries for the species examined in fish oil samples were in the range 93-114%. The precision between sample replicates was better than 9% in all cases (n=3).

4. Conclusions

A procedure for the on-line preconcentration and speciation of Hg(II) and MeHg using a functionalised MWCNTs microcolumn and HPLC-ICP-MS hyphenated system was described. The use of solid phase extraction in on-line mode undoubtedly offered a speed saving in comparison to other techniques such as liquid-liquid extraction²², cloud point extraction²³, stir bar sorptive extraction²⁴, coprecipitation²⁵. The sorbents resulted stable over a wide range of pH and the column packed with functionalised MWCNTs had a lifetime of (at least) 575 cycles of mercury retention/elution without demand for generation or modification of the initial conditions. Microcolumns filled with nanosorbents lead to higher extraction efficiency and rapid dynamics of extraction due to the higher surface area to volume ratio and the short diffusion route in comparison with other filling materials, e.g., thiol-functionalised resin⁹. Another characteristic is the good selectivity towards the ions tested.

The mobile phase adopted (0.5% of formic acid + 0.2% 2-mercaptoethanol + 20% methanol) allowed a rapid separation of Hg(II) and MeHg that was accomplished in ~ 10 min on a 250-mm C18 column. Enrichment factors up to 190-fold were reached when passing 20 mL of sample through the system. A sample throughput of 5 samples h⁻¹ was obtained. The method is simple, sensitive and cost-efficient.

Results presented provide evidence of the ideal properties of functionalised MWCNTs for the preconcentration of Hg(II) and MeHg to be separated and analysed

1
2
3 on-line by HPLC-ICP-MS. Limits of detection obtained were comparable to others
4
5 previously reported.^{6,8} As additional advantages we can mention: (i) samples are
6
7 analysed without derivatisation of Hg(II) and MeHg, (ii) minimum amount of substrate
8
9 is required, (iii) instantaneous release of retained species, (iv) small volume (1 mL) of
10
11 acid is necessary for species elution.

12
13
14 No Hg species were detected neither in Antarctic waters nor in dietary
15
16 supplements. Therefore, due to the extensive consumption of dietary supplements
17
18 worldwide it is necessary to further survey commercial market fish oil dietary
19
20 supplements with a focus on the determination of dangerous compounds/species for
21
22 human health.

23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Conflict of interest

There are not conflicts to declare

Acknowledgements

PS and AL thank Projects PIP 0078 (CONICET) and PICT 3590 (ANPCyT) for funds.

Authors acknowledge the useful discussion with Dr. Belén Parodi.

References

- 1 K. Leopold, M. Foulkes and Paul Worsfold, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2010, **663**, 127–138.
- 2 D. Kocman, M. Horvat, N. Pirrone and S. Cinnirella, *Environ. Res.*, 2013, **125**, 160–170.
- 3 D. Kocman, S. J. Wilson, H. M. Amos, K. H. Telmer, F. Steenhuisen, E. M. Sunderland, R. P. Masson, O. Outridge and M. Horvat, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2017, **14**, 138-154.
- 4 T. Tomiyasu, H. Kodamatani, R. Imura, A. Matsuyama, J. Miyamoto, H. Akagi, D. Kocman, J. Kotnik, V. Fajon and M. Horvat, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **184**, 244-252.
- 5 T. Syversen and P. Kaur, *J. Trace Elem. Med. Bio.*, 2012, **26**, 215–226.
- 6 Y. Gao, W. Yang, Ch. Zeng, X. Hou and L. Wu, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2011, **26**, 126-132.
- 7 M.V. Balarama Krishna, K. Chandrasekaran and D. Karunasagar, *Talanta*, 2010, **81**, 462-472.
- 8 Z.H. Wang, Y.G. Yin, B. He, J.B. Shi, J.F. Liu and G.B. Jiang, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* 2010, **25**, 810–814.
- 9 B.R. Vermillion and R.J.M. Hudson, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.*, 2007, **388**, 341–352.
- 10 Ch.-C. Brombach, B. Chen, W. T. Corns, J. Feldmann and E. M. Krupp, *Spectrochim. Acta Part B*, 2015, **105**, 103–108.
- 11 D. Deng, S. Zhang, H. Chen, L. Yang, H. Yin, X. Hou and Ch. Zheng, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2015, **30**, 882-886.
- 12 B. Parodi, M. Savio, L. D. Martínez, R. A. Gil and P. Smichowski, *Microchem. J.*, 2011, **98**, 225-230.

- 1
2
3 13 B. Parodi, A. Londonio, G. Polla, M. Savio and P. Smichowski, *J. Anal. At.*
4
5 *Spectrom.*, 2014, **29**, 880-885.
6
7 14 B. Parodi, A. Londonio, G. Polla, P. Smichowski, *Microchem. J.*, 2015, **122**, 70-75.
8
9 15 B. Vallant, R. Kadnar and Walter Goessler, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 2007, **22**, 322–
10 325.
11
12 16 A. I. Cabañero Ortiz, Y. Madrid Albarrán and Carmen Cámara Rica, *J. Anal. At.*
13 *Spectrom.*, 2002, **17**, 1595–1601.
14
15 17 G. Acosta, A. Spisso, L. P. Fernández, L. D. Martinez, P. H. Pacheco, R. A. Gil, *J.*
16 *Pharm. Biomed. Anal.*, 2015, **106**, 79-84.
17
18 18 Y. Li, Ch. Chen, B. Li, J. Sun, J. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Zhao and Z. Chai, *J. Anal. At.*
19 *Spectrom.*, 2006, **21**, 94–96.
20
21 19 E. Bramanti, C. Lomonte, M. Onor, R. Zamboni, A. D’Ulivo and G. Raspi, *Talanta*,
22 2005, **66**, 762–768.
23
24 20 T. Hashempur, M.K. Rofouei and A.R. Khorrami, *Microchem. J.*, 2008, 89,
25 131–136.
26
27 21 Z. Fan, *Talanta*, 2006, 70, 1164–1169
28
29 22 X.Y. Jia, Y. Han, X.L. Liu, T.C. Duan and H.T. Chen, *Spectrochim. Acta B*,
30 2011, 66, 88–92.
31
32 23 J.G. Chen, H.W. Chen, X.Z. Jin, and H.T. Chen, *Talanta*, 2009, 77, 1381–
33 1387.
34
35 24 R. Ito, M. Kawaguchi, N. Sakui, N. Okanouchi, K. Saito, Y. Seto and H.
36 Nakazawa, *Talanta*, 2009, 77, 1295–1298.
37
38 25 Q. Zhang, H. Minami, S. Inoue and I. Atsuya, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2004, 508,
39 99-104.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 Operating conditions of the SPE-HPLC-ICP-MS system for Hg and MeHg pre-concentration and determination

Parameter	Conditions
ICP-MS	PerkinElmer NexIon 300X
RF power	1250 W
Argon flows	Nebuliser, 0.95; Plasma, 16; Auxiliary, 1.2 (all in L min ⁻¹)
Isotope monitored	²⁰² Hg and ²⁰⁹ Pb
Dwell time	50 ms
Data acquisition mode	Time resolved analysis
HPLC system	
Analytical column	Phenomenex SphereClone ODS C18 (5 μm, 250 mm x 4.6 mm i.d.)
Mobile phase	0.5% (v/v) formic acid; 0.2% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol; 20% (v/v) methanol
Flow rate of mobile phase	0.8 mL min ⁻¹
Preconcentration system	
Eluent	10% HCl; 0.1% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol
Eluent volume	1 mL
Sample/eluent flow rate	1.7 mL min ⁻¹

Table 2 Accuracy data ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$) obtained with BCR-463 (tuna fish) and TORT-3 (Lobster hepatopancreas) certified reference materials.

	HPLC-ICP-MS			MW digestion + ICP-MS
	Certified	Found	Σ species	Found
BCR-463				
Hg(II)	Non certified	0.018 \pm 0.001	--	--
MeHg *	3.04 \pm 0.16	3.13 \pm 0.19	--	--
Total Hg	2.85 \pm 0.16	--	2.93 \pm 0.18	3.01 \pm 0.19
TORT-3				
Hg(II)	Non certified	0.161 \pm 0.019	--	--
MeHg	0.137 \pm 0.012	0.141 \pm 0.013	--	--
Total Hg	0.292 \pm 0.022	--	0.305 \pm 0.035	0.287 \pm 0.034

* expressed as $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ of MeHg

Concentrations are means of three measurements \pm standard deviation

Table 3 Recoveries and concentration of Mercury and Methylmercury in real samples. Results are expressed in $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (waters) and ng g^{-1} (fish oil)

Sample	Hg (II) found	Recovery/%	MeHg found	Recovery/%	Origen
Water 1	4.85±0.24	97	4.59±0.24	92	Antarctica
Water 2	5.35±0.28	107	5.39±0.36	108	Antarctica
Fish oil 1	11.14±0.57	114	10.98±0.72	110	Argentina
Fish oil 2	15.22±0.74	102	16.79±0.88	111	USA
Fish oil 3	4.79±0.31	96	4.66±0.29	93	China

Concentrations are means of three measurements \pm standard deviation

Fish oil 1: spiked with 10 ng g^{-1} of Hg(II) and 10 ng g^{-1} of MeHg

Fish oil 2: spiked with 15 ng g^{-1} of Hg(II) and 15 ng g^{-1} of MeHg

Fish oil 3: spiked with 5 ng g^{-1} of Hg(II) and 5 ng g^{-1} of MeHg





Figure 1: Operational scheme of the SPE-HPLC-ICP-MS system used for mercury speciation analysis. P.P: peristaltic pump; V1 and V2 six-port valves; W: waste; C18 chromatographic column.

277x235mm (72 x 72 DPI)



Chromatogram of Hg(II) and MeHg in Antarctic water sample spiked with 10 $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ of each species. Experimental conditions used are described in Table 1

227x141mm (149 x 149 DPI)