Note

Design of a Selective Ring-Closing Enyne Metathesis-Reduction for the Generation of Different Synthetic Scaffolds

Denis N. Prada Gori, Caterina Permingeat Squizatto, Patricia G. Cornier, and Carina M. L. Delpiccolo*

Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad Nacional de Rosario, Instituto de Química Rosario (CONICET-UNR), Rosario 2000, Argentina

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A tandem process of ring-closing enyne metathesis (RCEYM)-reduction using modern ruthenium catalysts and a hydrogen donor is described. This straightforward methodology is useful for $C(sp^3)$ generation under mild reaction conditions. Variables such as solvent, catalyst, hydride source, and temperature were adjusted toward the exclusive formation of different products.



he availability of selective and environmentally friendly synthetic methods is essential for fine chemicals and pharmaceutical processes.¹ Although, for methodological simplicity, the tendency to generate molecules with a high percentage of planarity is very strong, it is clearly counterintuitive because the biological world has a three-dimensional geometry. The incorporation of a greater degree of saturation in organic molecules has been recently proposed for improving clinical outcomes.² Double-bond formation and reduction reactions are widely used in organic synthesis, the latter being very suitable for the generation of sp³ carbon atoms.

In general, not very safe, high hydrogen pressure experiments are required in conventional C-C double-bond reductions.³ In recent years, with the development of modern transition-metal catalysts, new options have emerged that are much more ecological, secure, and selective. In this regard, the transition-metal-catalyzed hydrogen-transfer strategy is a practical and safer alternative for this type of chemical transformations. Furthermore, various elegant methods for sequential catalytic reactions, using a single catalyst in one tandem process, have been reported.⁴ Tandem processes are economic, environmentally friendly, and efficient methodologies, mainly because several transformations occur in one pot and in a single vessel, without isolating the intermediates, avoiding unnecessary workups and purifications between synthetic steps.⁵ In addition, the whole process could involve the formation of synthetically useful multiple bonds and stereocenters.

Ruthenium carbenes (Figure 1) are interesting catalysts for a large number of metathetic or nonmetathetic reactions, exhibing remarkable functional group tolerance and good catalytic power in mild and easy-to-use conditions.⁶ Among the Ru-catalyzed reactions, ring-closing enyne metathesis (RCEYM) allows efficient, easy, and rapid access to high added-value carbo or heterocyclic derivatives from simple substrates.⁷ Because Ru-catalyzed nonmetathetic reductions have been also reported, the same catalyst could be used to promote both transformations.

Ring-closing metathesis (RCM), ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP), and cross metathesis (CM), combined with reduction in a one-pot procedure, have been reported using Grubbs catalysts and different hydride donors (triethylsilane, H_2 , and formic acid).⁸ In these systems, ruthenium hydride species are likely involved as a hydrogentransfer source.9 These complexes act as mild reducing agent, allowing a better control of the hydrogenation selectivity. Thus, a one-pot RCEYM-reduction strategy could be highly attractive for the synthesis of libraries of synthetic and biologically interesting compounds.

In this work, an efficient and practical tandem RCEYMreduction process is described, being an excellent strategy for the synthesis of cyclic and heterocyclic compounds with different degrees of saturation.

For the development of the proposed synthetic strategy, our first experiments were performed treating the acyclic oxygenated envne 1 with different Grubbs catalysts, using Cl₃CH as a solvent.¹⁰ As shown in Table 1, entry 1, heating the enyne 1 at reflux for 15 h, in the presence of Grubbs first-generation catalyst (Ru1, Figure 1), triethylsilane (TESH), and chloroform, yields only the metathesis product 2. However, under the same conditions but using Ru2 (Grubbs second-generation

Received: June 15, 2018 Published: September 24, 2018



Figure 1. Commonly used ruthenium carbene catalysts.

Table 1. Initial Study of the RCEYM-Reduction Conditions

Q	Ph 1	[Ru] Et ₃ SiH	۲۰ Ph ۲ + ۵	Ph 3	+ 0	4	Ph
Entry	Equiv of Et₃SiH	Catalyst	Conditions ^a	Solvent	P 2	roduct ^b (yi 3	eld) 4
1	2	Ru1	reflux, 15 h	Cl₃CH	1	-	-
2	2	Ru2	reflux, 15 h	Cl₃CH	-	3	1
3	2	Ru3	reflux, 15 h	Cl₃CH	-	1	2
4	2	Ru2	100 °C, 20 min, MW	Cl₃CH	5	1	-
5	3	Ru2	100 °C, 20 min, MW	Cl₃CH	-	1	1
6	3	Ru2	80 °C, 20 min, MW	Cl₃CH	1	-	-
7	3	Ru2	80 °C, 20 min, MW	Toluene	1	-	-
8	3	Ru2	80 °C, 20 min, MW	Acetonitrile	1	-	-
9	3	Ru2	80 °C, 20 min, MW	MeOH	1	1.3	-
10	3	Ru2	90 °C, 20 min, MW	MeOH	-	1 (62%)	-
11	3	Ru2	90 °C, 20 min, MW ^c	MeOH	-	1 (85%)	-
12	3	Ru2	Cul, 90 °C, 20 min, MW ^c	MeOH	2.4	1	-
13	3	Ru2	reflux, 15 h	MeOH	1 ^d	-	-
14	20	Ru3	90 °C, 20 min, MW	MeOH	-	-	1 (88%)
15	20	Ru3	90 °C, 25 min, MW	MeOH	-	-	1 (100%)

^{*a*}A mixture of substrate 1, 10 mol % Ru catalyst, and Et₃SiH was stirred in the solvent and the described conditions in the corresponding entries, unless otherwise stated. ^{*b*}Rates and yields were determined by ¹H NMR. Yields in parentheses were calculated by internal standard. ^{*c*}A mixture of 1 and 10 mol % of **Ru2** in MeOH was stirred in MW at 90 °C for 10 min; then, 3 equiv of Et₃SiH was added and the reaction was stirred 10 more minutes under the same conditions. ^{*d*}Products of decomposition materials were detected.

catalyst) or Ru3 (Hoveyda-Grubbs second-generation catalyst), a mixture of the partial 3 and totally reduced 4 tetrahydrofuran derivatives were obtained (entries 2 and 3). With these results in hand, the selective formation of 3 and 4 was studied in depth. Accordingly, we assumed that the Ru2 catalyst would have adequate reactivity to provide the semireduced structure 3 exclusively. To accelerate the reaction times, microwave conditions were evaluated, giving mostly the metathesis product 2, using 2 equiv of silane (entry 4), and a mixture of 3 and 4 in a 1:1 ratio, when TESH was increased to 3 equiv (entry 5). When other donor proton sources were employed in the reaction, such as pyrrolidine,¹¹ selectivity did not increase and reproducibility became a challenge (data not shown). The main problem of these reactions was the lack of reproducibility. Some encouraging results could not be reproduced, and small modifications in the variables led to unexpected and even illogical results. Reviewing the literature, low hydrogenation activity in Ru-catalyzed reductions has been reported using chlorinated solvents,¹² which was attributed to the presence of chlorinated ruthenium species. On the basis of this, different solvents were evaluated, including protic solvents such as methanol. Under the conditions of entry 6, only the metathesis product was achieved employing toluene or acetonitrile (entries 7 and 8).

A promising result was obtained using methanol as solvent because compound 3 was the major product (entry 9). The semireduced structure 3 was obtained exclusively at 90 °C (entry 10), while a greater increase in reaction performance was accomplished when the process was carried out in two stages, adding Et₃SiH after 10 min of MW treatment (entry 11), conditions that we have called method A. Under these conditions, compound 3 was obtained in 85% yield by internal standard and 72% yield after column chromatography. The selectivity observed is opposite to the RCM-reduction sequence published by Grubbs and co-workers in 2001, in which the less-substituted alkene was hydrogenated.^{8c} Considering that the steric environment around both double bonds in diene 2 is similar, probably stereoelectronic properties could govern the reduction process. No better results were obtained by adding copper iodide (entry 12), although it has been reported that the use of this additive improves the performance of the metathesis reaction because of catalyst stabilizing effects.¹³ Analogous conditions of entry 11 but employing reflux of methanol were unsuccessful, giving the metathesis product 2 and some decomposition (entry 13).

The implementation of MeOH as solvent, in addition to providing high reproducibility, allowed the selective formation of the monoreduced cyclic product **3**. Then, the role of MeOH as hydride donor was considered. There are several published articles describing the use of primary or secondary alcohols as "hydrogen donors".¹⁴ Therefore, when the reaction was carried out in the absence of Et_3SiH , diene 2 was the only product, proving that the silane is essential for the reaction outcome. Regarding the effectiveness of methanol in this kind of process, the formation of complexes like **Ru4** or **Ru5** in methanolic solvents was described in previous papers (Figure 2).¹⁵ Species like **Ru5** have been described by Mol as an efficient hydrogenation catalyst at high temperatures, being very effective in tandem metathesis—hydrogenation pathways.



Figure 2. Complexes proposed by Mol for Ru1 in MeOH.¹⁵

On the other hand, employing the more reactive Hoveyda–Grubbs catalyst (**Ru3**) and more equivalents of Et_3SiH , only saturated product 4 was obtained (entries 14 and 15). When 20 equiv of Et_3SiH was applied in the presence of 10 mol % of **Ru3** in MeOH at 90 °C for 25 min, under MW heating, the saturated tetrahydrofuran derivative 4 was achieved in quantitative yield (entry 15), conditions that we have called method B.

In order to further determine the influence of the starting material substitution on the reactivity, we have applied the optimized conditions for the transformation of 1, entry 11 (method A) and entry 15 (method B), on a set of substrates. The relative quantities of different products obtained were dependent on the enyne substitution.

To analyze the influence of the main chain nature on the reactivity, the malonic ester derivative 5 (Scheme 1) and the nitrogen derivative 8 (Scheme 2) were evaluated. The decrease in reduction reactivity could be explained by the presence of electron-attracting groups within the chain.¹⁶ Nevertheless, the selectivity of the most-substituted double-bond reduction was maintained, giving the monoreduced cyclic derivatives 7 and 10.

When the conditions of method A were employed on enynes with terminal alkenes (substrates 12a-d), only polymerization and decomposition products were recovered (Scheme 3), showing a prevalence of intermolecular metathetic events. No better results were observed applying copper iodide under the conditions previously tested. Additionally, we carried out the

RCEYM and reduction in a stepwise manner using CuI for the metathesis step. RCEYM of **12a** was performed at temperatures below 60 °C, yielding the expected product **13** (Scheme 4). No reaction was observed after further treatment of this crude with the catalytic system and TESH at 60 °C, giving polymerization at 75 °C or higher temperatures. According to these results, polymerization could be mainly due to the temperature, which is necessary to achieve an effective reduction.

Finally, envnes with vicinal-disubstituted and -trisubstituted alkenes were evaluated. Under the metathesis-reduction conditions of method A, the crotyl derivative 14 was transformed into the diene 15 and the cycloalkene 16 in equal amounts (Scheme 5). Several unidentified products were also detected in the crude material (spectroscopy and spectrometry data are in agreement with the presence of compound 17 in the mixture). Furthermore, using method A, conditions on the envne 19 yielded only metathesis product 20, which has two equivalent trisubstituted double bonds (Scheme 6). This structural similarity leads to a loss of selectivity, obtaining a mixture of monoreduced products 22 and 23, when the reaction was carried at 145 °C. The saturated cyclic compounds 18 and 21 (Schemes 5 and 6) were obtained by applying the conditions of method B on substrates 14 and 19. Using this methodology, 18 and 21 were synthesized in 40% and 62% yield, respectively, after purification by column chromatography.

In summary, a new microwave-based tandem RCEYMreduction is reported. Depending on the substitution of the substrate, this methodology selectivity affords different cyclic and heterocyclic compounds with a variable degree of saturation. It could be applied to different enynes except to those with terminal alkenes, in which the cross metathesis and polymerization are the prevalent processes. Although formation of an exclusive product was not always achieved, the reduction selectivity was maintained, regardless the substitution of the double bonds present in the metathesis intermediate, being the most substituted double bond preferentially reduced. The use of methanol as a solvent was also studied, providing a reproducible and efficient procedure because of its ability to form very effective complexes for metathesis-hydrogenation reactions, like Ru5. On the other hand, this methodology allows a selective preparation of synthetically useful compounds with a decrease in waste production and energy consumption. Investigation of an asymmetric version of this methodology is currently in progress.

Scheme 1. Reactivity of Enyne 5 under the RCEYM-Reduction Conditions



Scheme 2. Reactivity of Enyne 8 under the RCEYM-Reduction Conditions



Scheme 3. Reactivity of Enynes with Terminal Alkenes



Scheme 4. RCEYM and Reduction of 12a Using CuI



Scheme 5. Reactivity of Enynes with Vicinal-Disubstituted Alkenes



Scheme 6. Reactivity of Enynes with Vicinal-Trisubstituted Alkenes



EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. Chemical reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Solvents were analytical grade or were purified by standard procedures prior to use. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 300 apparatus using CDCl₃ as

solvent and with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. Chemical shifts (δ) for NMR spectra were reported in units of parts per million (ppm) downfield from TMS (0.0) and relative to the signal of chloroform-*d* (7.26, singlet). NMR yields were determined using the internal NMR standard 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (3H, 6.80 ppm, 9H, 2.27 ppm). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) results were recorded at an ionization voltage of 70 eV on a

Shimadzu QP2010 Plus apparatus equipped with a SPBTM-1 capillary column (internal diameter 0.25 mm, length 30 m). Highresolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) spectra were obtained with a Bruker MicroTOF-Q II instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA). Detection of ions was performed in electrospray ionization, positive ion mode. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using F254 precoated silica gel plates. Flash column chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Elution was carried out with hexane-ethyl acetate gradient, under positive pressure. Microwave-assisted reactions were performed using a CEM Discover microwave reactor. In all experiments, the temperature and the reaction time was set, then the reactor automatically adjusted the power (maximum of 200 W) to maintain a constant temperature. Reactions were performed in 5 mL sealed vessels. The specified reaction time corresponds to the total irradiation time. Compounds $1,^{17-19}, 5,^{20}, 8,^{21-23}, 12a,^{20}, 12b,^{20}$ 12c,²⁴ 12d,^{25,22} 14,^{20,26} and 19^{20} were analogously prepared according to the reported literature.

General Procedures for Ring-Closing Enyne Metathesis and Reduction. Method A. In a microwave flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, the envne (1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol, and then the vessel was placed in the microwave reactor. The reaction mixture was irradiated under constant microwave for 10 min, and the temperature was controlled at 90 °C. After this time, triethylsilane (3 equiv) was added to the flask and the vessel was placed again in the microwave reactor. Then the sample was irradiated at 90 °C for 10 min. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator. The yield was determined by NMR using an internal standard. Method B. In a microwave flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer, the envne (1 equiv) and Ru3 (0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol, and triethylsilane (20 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was irradiated under constant microwave for 25 min at 90 °C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure on a rotary evaporator. The yield was determined by NMR using an internal standard.

RCEM-Reduction of (E)-3-(Prop-3-ynyloxy)-1-phenylpropene (1). Following method A, 1 (0.025 g, 0.145 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.012 g, 0.014 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.070 mL, 0.436 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. (E)-3-Styryltetrahydrofuran (3) was the only product obtained in 85% yield (NMR). Purification by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:9) as the eluent affords 3 (0.018 g, 72%) as a colorless oil. Following method B, 1 (0.025 g, 0.145 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru3 (0.009 g, 0.014 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.463 mL, 2.907 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. 3-Phenethyl tetrahydrofuran (4) was the only product obtained in 100% yield (NMR). Purification by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:9) as the eluent affords 4 (0.008 g, 32%) as a colorless oil.

(E)-3-Styryltetrahydrofuran (3). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.41–7.12 (m, 6H), 6.46 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (dd, *J* = 15.8, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.05–3.91 (m, 2H), 3.84 (dt, *J* = 7.8, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (h, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.17 (dtd, *J* = 12.2, 7.5, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.81 (dq, *J* = 12.3, 8.0 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 137.2, 130.7, 130.5, 128.6, 127.3, 126.1, 73.0, 68.3, 43.2, 33.3. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [(M + K)]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₄KO⁺ 213.0676; found, 213.0892.

3-Phenethyl Tetrahydrofuran (4). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.24–7.13 (m, 4H), 3.91 (dd, J = 8.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dt, J = 8.1, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (dt, J = 7.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, J = 8.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.63 (dt, J = 7.9, 3.5 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (p, J =7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.11–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.72 (q, J = 7.8, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.57– 1.50 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 142.1, 128.4, 128.3, 125.9, 73.3, 68.0, 38.9, 35.2, 34.9, 32.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [(2M + Na)]⁺ calcd for C₂₄H₃₂NaO₂⁺, 375.2287; found, 375.2294.

RCEM–*Reduction of Dimethyl (E)-Cinnamylpropargylmalonate* (5). Following method A, 5 (0.025 g, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv) and **Ru2** (0.007 g, 0.009 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.042 mL, 0.262 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. Dimethyl (*E*)-3-styrylcyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (**6**) was the only product obtained in 52% yield (NMR). Following method B, **5** (0.025 g, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv) and **Ru3** (0.005 g, 0.009 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.278 mL, 1.748 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. **6** was the only product obtained in 41% yield (NMR). Following method A, but setting the reactor at 145 °C, **5** (0.025 g, 0.087 mmol, 1 equiv) and **Ru2** (0.005 g, 0.009 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.278 mL, 1.748 mmol, 20 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.278 mL, 1.748 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. A mixture of **6** and dimethyl (*E*)-3-styrylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (7) was obtained in a 1:3 ratio in 13% and 46% yield (NMR), respectively.

NMR spectral data of 6^{27} and 7^{28} were identical to those reported in the literature.

RCEM-Reduction of N-Cinnamyl-4-methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (8). Following method A, 8 (0.025 g, 0.077 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.007 g, 0.008 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.037 mL, 0.231 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. A mixture of (E)-3-styryl-1tosyl-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole (9) and (E)-3-styryl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (10) was obtained in a 2:1 ratio in 29% and 15% yield (NMR), respectively. Following method B, 8 (0.025 g, 0.077 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru3 (0.005 g, 0.008 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.245 mL, 1.538 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. A mixture of 10 and 3-phenethyl-1tosylpyrrolidine (11) was obtained in a 1:2 ratio in 22% and 43% yield (NMR), respectively.

NMR spectral data of 9^{29} were identical to those reported in the literature.

(*E*)-3-Styryl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (10). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.73 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.15 (m, SH), 6.33 (d, *J* = 15.8 Hz, 1H), 5.88 (dd, *J* = 15.9, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.45–3.38 (m, 1H), 3.36–3.25 (m, 1H), 3.03 (dd, *J* = 9.9, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (q, *J* = 9.3, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (d, *J* = 3.2 Hz, 3H), 2.01 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.4, 136.7, 133.9, 131.0, 129.7, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6, 126.1, 52.9, 47.5, 42.0, 32.0, 21.5. HRMS (ESI) *m/z*: [(M + H)]⁺ calcd for C₁₉H₂₂NO₂S⁺, 328.1366; found, 328.1345.

3-Phenethyl-1-tosylpyrrolidine (11). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.16 (m, 3H), 7.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (dd, J = 9.6, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (ddd, J = 9.7, 8.2, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (ddd, J = 9.6, 8.5, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.83 (dd, J = 9.8, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.54 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.10–1.86 (m, 2H), 1.56 (dt, J = 9.4, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 1.42 (dq, J = 12.1, 8.4 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 143.3, 141.5, 133.9, 129.6, 128.4, 128.2, 127.5, 126.0, 53.1, 47.5, 38.2, 34.8, 34.4, 31.4, 21.5. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[(M + H)]^+$ calcd for C₁₉H₂₄NO₂S⁺, 330.1522; found, 330.1496.

RCEM—Reduction of Dimethyl (E)-2-(But-2-enyl)-2-(prop-2ynyl)malonate and Dimethyl (Z)-2-(But-2-enyl)-2-(prop-2-ynyl)malonate (14). Following method A, 14 (0.025 g, 0.111 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.009 g, 0.011 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.053 mL, 0.335 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. A mixture of dimethyl (E)-3-(prop-1-en-1yl)cyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (15) and dimethyl 3-propylcyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (16) was obtained in a 1:1 ratio in 18% and 18% yield (NMR), respectively. Following method B, 14 (0.025 g, 0.111 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru3 (0.007 g, 0.011 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.355 mL, 2.232 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. Dimethyl 3-propylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (18) was the only product obtained in 73% yield (NMR). Purification by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:99) as the eluent affords the product 18 (0.010 g, 39%) as a colorless oil.

NMR spectral data of 15^{30} were identical to those reported in the literature.

Dimethyl 3-Propylcyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (16). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, chloroform-*d*): δ 5.19 (s, 1H), 3.72 (s, 6H), 2.97 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.00 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (h, J = 1.0 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (h, J = 1.0 Hz, 2H), 1.45 (h, J = 1.0 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (s, 2H), 2.9

7.3 Hz, 2H), 0.87 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H). HRMS (ESI) m/z: [(M + Na)]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₁₈NaO₄⁺, 249.1097; found, 249.1093.

Dimethyl 3-Propylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (**18**). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.71 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 6H), 2.45 (dd, J = 12.8, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (tt, J = 8.9, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.20–2.07 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.69 (dd, J = 13.2, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 1.37–1.16 (m, 4H), 1.00–0.78 (m, 4H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.3, 60.0, 52.6, 40.9, 39.5, 37.5, 33.9, 32.1, 21.6, 14.2. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [(M + Na)]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₂₀NaO₄⁺, 251.1254; found, 251.1245. *RCEM*–*Reduction of Dimethyl 2-Propargyl-2-prenylmalonate*

(19). Following method A, 19 (0.025 g, 0.105 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.009 g, 0.010 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.050 mL, 0.315 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. Dimethyl 3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)cyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (20) was the only product obtained in 71% yield (NMR). Following method B, 19 (0.025 g, 0.105 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru3 (0.007 g, 0.010 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.335 mL, 2.100 mmol, 20 equiv) was added. Dimethyl 3-isobutylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (21) was the only product obtained in 100% yield (NMR). Purification by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc/ hexane (1:99) as the eluent affords the product 21 (0.016 g, 62%) as a colorless oil. Following method A, but setting the reactor at 145 °C, 19 (0.025 g, 0.105 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.009 g, 0.010 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.050 mL, 0.315 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. A mixture of 20, dimethyl 3-isobutylcyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (22), and dimethyl 3-(2-methylprop-1-enyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (23) was obtained in a 1:3:4.5 ratio in 8%, 20%, and 31% yield (NMR), respectively. Purification by silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc/hexane (1:99) as the eluent affords a mixture of 22 and 23 (0.011 g, 45%) as a colorless oil.

NMR spectral data of $\mathbf{20}^{31}$ were identical to those reported in the literature.

Dimethyl 3-lsobutylcyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (21). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.70 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 6H), 2.45 (dd, J = 13.1, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (ddd, J = 13.6, 8.5, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 2.12 (ddd, J = 13.6, 9.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (tt, J = 9.9, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.65 (dd, J = 13.3, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 1.55 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.30–1.14 (m, 3H), 0.86 (dd, J = 6.6, 1.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.3, 59.9, 52.6, 44.6, 41.1, 37.6, 33.9, 32.3, 26.9, 22.8. HRMS (ESI) m/z: $[(M + Na)]^+$ calcd for C₁₃H₂₂NaO₄⁺, 265.1410; found, 265.1401.

Dimethyl 3-(2-Methylprop-1-enyl)cyclopentane-1,1-dicarboxylate (22). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.99 (dt, J = 8.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.70 (s, 6H), 2.77 (ddd, J = 26.2, 10.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (ddt, J = 13.6, 8.7, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.23– 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.68 (m, 1H), 1.66 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.60 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (dd, J = 12.5, 8.6 Hz, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 173.2 (2), 132.1, 127.7, 59.9, 52.6 (2), 41.4, 38.7, 34.0, 33.1, 25.6, 18.0. HRMS (ESI) m/z: [(M + Na)]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₂₀NaO₄⁺, 263.1254; found, 263.1254.

Dimethyl 3-lsobutylcyclopent-3-ene-1,1-dicarboxylate (23). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.19 (s, 1H), 3.72 (s, 6H), 2.97 (q, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 2H), 2.88 (s, 2H), 1.91 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 1.78–1.71 (m, 1H), 0.85 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 6H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 172.8 (2), 141.1, 121.5, 59.2, 52.7 (2), 43.0, 40.6, 40.1, 26.4, 22.5 (2). HRMS (ESI) *m*/*z*: [(M + Na)]⁺ calcd for C₁₃H₂₀NaO₄⁺, 263.1254; found, 263.1254.

RCEM–*Reduction of Dimethyl Allylpropargylmalonate (12a).* Following method A, **12a** (0.025 g, 0.119 mmol, 1 equiv) and **Ru2** (0.010 g, 0.012 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.057 mL, 0.357 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. GC and NMR evidenced the presence of different polymerization products.

RCEM-Reduction of Dimethyl Methallylpropargylmalonate (12b). Following method A, 12b (0.025 g, 0.111 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.009 g, 0.011 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.053 mL, 0.335

mmol, 3 equiv) was added. GC and NMR evidenced the presence of different polymerization products.

RCEM–Reduction of (3-Allyloxy-prop-1-ynyl)-benzene (12c). Following method A, 12c (0.025 g, 0.145 mmol, 1 equiv) and Ru2 (0.012 g, 0.014 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.070 mL, 0.436 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. GC and NMR evidenced the presence of different polymerization products.

RCEM—*Reduction of N-(2-Propenyl)-N-(2-propynyl)-4-methyl-benzenesulfonamide (12d)*. Following method A, 12d (0.025 g, 0.100 mmol, 1 equiv) and **Ru2** (0.009 g, 0.010 mmol, 0.1 equiv) were dissolved in anhydrous methanol (2.0 mL) and triethylsilane (0.048 mL, 0.301 mmol, 3 equiv) was added. GC and NMR evidenced the presence of different polymerization products.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.8b01511.

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for compounds 2–7, 9– 11, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, and 23 (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: delpiccolo@iquir-conicet.gov.ar

ORCID 6

Carina M. L. Delpiccolo: 0000-0002-2599-1790

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Support from CONICET, ANPCyT, Fundación Prats, AUGM, and Universidad Nacional de Rosario is gratefully acknowledged. D.N.P.G thanks CONICET for a fellowship. Special thanks to Dr. Ernesto Mata for his enriching discussions and a careful proofreading of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

(1) Green Techniques for Organic Synthesis and Medicinal Chemistry, 2nd ed.; Zhang, W., Cue, B. W., Eds; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2018.

(2) The number of sp³ carbon atoms in a compound is a descriptor of molecular complexity, and its increase may improve the clinical success. For a better understanding of this concept, see: (a) Roughley, S. D.; Jordan, A. M. The Medicinal Chemistry Toolbox: An Analysis of Reactions Used in the Pursuit of Drug Candidates. J. Med. Chem. **2011**, 54 (10), 3451–3479. (b) Walters, W. P.; Green, J.; Weiss, J. R.; Murcko, M. A. What Do Medicinal Chemists Actually Make? A 50-Year Retrospective. J. Med. Chem. **2011**, 54 (19), 6405–6416. (c) Campbell, P. S.; Jamieson, C.; Simpson, I.; Watson, A. J. B. Practical synthesis of pharmaceutically relevant molecules enriched in sp^3 character. Chem. Commun. **2018**, 54 (1), 46–49.

(3) Modern Reduction Methods; Andersson, P. G., Munslow, I. J., Eds.; Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA: Weinheim, Germany, 2008.

(4) For some examples of tandem reactions catalyzed by ruthenium, see: (a) Ajamian, A.; Gleason, J. L. Two Birds with One Metallic Stone: Single-Pot Catalysis of Fundamentally Different Transformations. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43* (29), 3754–3760. (b) Sutton, A. E.; Seigal, B. A.; Finnegan, D. F.; Snapper, M. L. New tandem catalysis: Preparation of cyclic enol ethers through a ruthenium-catalyzed ring-closing metathesis-olefin isomerization sequence. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 13390–13391. (c) Dornan, P. K.; Lee, D.; Grubbs, R. H. Tandem olefin metathesis/oxidative cyclization: Synthesis of tetrahydrofuran diols from simple olefins. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6372–6375. (d) Ogawa, K. A.; Goetz, A. E.; Boydston, A. J. Metal-free ring-opening metathesis polymerization. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1400–1403. (e) Zielinski, G. K.; Grela, K. Tandem catalysis utilizing olefin metathesis reactions. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 9440–9454.

(5) (a) Behr, A.; Vorholt, A. J.; Ostrowski, K. A.; Seidensticker, T. Towards resource efficient chemistry: tandem reactions with renewables. *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16* (3), 982–1006. (b) Hayashi, H. Pot economy and one-pot synthesis. *Chem. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 866–880.

(6) For some general publications of methatetics and no-methatetics reactions, see: (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Luna, A. Grubbs' ruthenium-carbenes beyond the metathesis reaction: less conventional non-metathetic utility. *Chem. Rev.* 2009, 109, 3817–3858. (b) *Handbook of Metathesis, Vol. 2: Applications in Organic Synthesis*; Grubbs, R. H., O'Leary, D. J., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: Germany, 2015. (c) Trnka, T. M.; Grubbs, R. H. The development of L2 × 2Ru CHR olefin metathesis catalysts: an organometallic success story. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2001, 34, 18–29. (d) Montgomery, T. P.; Johns, A. M.; Grubbs, R. H. Recent Advancements in Stereoselective Olefin Metathesis Using Ruthenium Catalysts. *Catalysts* 2017, 7 (3), 87.

(7) (a) Diver, S. T.; Giessert, A. J. Enyne metathesis (enyne bond reorganization). *Chem. Rev.* 2004, 104, 1317–1382. (b) Villar, H.; Frings, M.; Bolm, C. Ring closing enyne metathesis: A powerful tool for the synthesis of heterocycles. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, 36, 55–66. (c) Mori, M.; Sakakibara, N.; Kinoshita, A. Remarkable effect of ethylene gas in the intramolecular enyne metathesis of terminal alkynes. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998, 63, 6082–6083. (d) Poulsen, C. S.; Madsen, R. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4441–4449.

(8) (a) Zielinski, G. K.; Majtczak, J.; Gutowski, M.; Grela, K. A Selective and Functional Group Tolerant Ruthenium Catalyzed Olefin Metathesis/Transfer Hydrogenation Tandem Sequence Using Formic Acid as Hydrogen Source. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 2542-2553. (b) Schmidt, B.; Krehl, S.; Sotelo-Meza, V. Synthesis of Chromanes through RCM-Transfer Hydrogenation. Synthesis 2012, 44 (11), 1603-1613. (c) Louie, J.; Bielawski, C. W.; Grubbs, R. H. Tandem catalysis: The sequential mediation of olefin metathesis, hydrogenation, and hydrogen transfer with single-component Ru complexes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123 (45), 11312-11313. (d) Børsting, P.; Nielsen, P. Tandem ring-closing metathesis and hydrogenation towards cyclic dinucleotides. Chem. Commun. 2002, 18, 2140-2141. (e) Camm, K. D.; Martinez Castro, N.; Liu, Y.; Czechura, P.; Snelgrove, J. L.; Fogg, D. E. Tandem ROMP-Hydrogenation with a Third-Generation Grubbs Catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129 (14), 4168-4169. (f) Schmidt, B.; Pohler, M. Tandem olefin metathesis/hydrogenation at ambient temperature: activation of ruthenium carbene complexes by addition of hydrides. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 2512-2517. (g) Børsting, P.; Freitag, M.; Nielsen, P. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 10955-10966. (h) Scheiper, B.; Glorius, G.; Leitner, A.; Furstner, A. Catalysis-based enantioselective total synthesis of the macrocyclic spermidine alkaloid isooncinotine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 11960-11965. (i) Renom-Carrasco, M.; Gajewski, P.; Pignataro, L.; de Vries, J. G.; Piarulli, U.; Gennari, C.; Lefort, L. Assisted Tandem Catalysis: Metathesis Followed by Asymmetric Hydrogenation from a Single Ruthenium Source. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 2223-2228.

(9) These kinds of species have been described in several publications: (a) Dinger, M. B.; Mol, J. C. Degradation of the first-generation Grubbs metathesis catalyst with primary alcohols, water, and oxygen. Formation and catalytic activity of ruthenium (II) monocarbonyl species. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1089–1095.
(b) Fogg, D. E.; Amoroso, D.; Drouin, S. D.; Snelgrove, J.; Conrad, J.; Zamanian, F. Ligand manipulation and design for ruthenium metathesis and tandem metathesis-hydrogenation catalysis. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2002, 190, 177–184. (c) Drouin, S. D.; Zamanian, F.; Fogg, D. E. Multiple tandem catalysis: Facile cycling between hydrogenation and metathesis chemistry. Organometallics 2001, 20, 5495–5497. (d) Drouin, S. D.; Yap, G. P. A.; Fogg, D. E. Hydrogenolysis of a Ruthenium Carbene Complex to Yield Dihydride – Dihydrogen Tautomers: Mechanistic Implications for Tandem

ROMP – Hydrogenation Catalysis. *Inorg. Chem.* **2000**, *39*, 5412–5414. (e) Rowley, C. N.; Foucault, H. M.; Woo, T. K.; Fogg, D. E. Mechanism of Olefin Hydrogenation Catalyzed by RuHCl (L)(PR3) 2 Complexes (L= CO, PR₃): A DFT Study. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 1661–1663.

(10) Initial reduction conditions have been based on: (a) Menozzi, C.; Dalko, P. I.; Cossy, J. Reduction of olefins using ruthenium carbene catalysts and silanes. Synlett **2005**, 16, 2449–2452. (b) Poeylaut-Palena, A. A.; Testero, S. A.; Mata, E. G. The nonmetathetic role of Grubbs' carbene complexes: from hydrogen-free reduction of α , β -unsaturated alkenes to solid-supported sequential cross-metathesis/reduction. Chem. Commun. **2011**, 47 (5), 1565– 1567. (c) Martinez-Amezaga, M.; Delpiccolo, C. M. L.; Méndez, L.; Dragutan, I.; Dragutan, V.; Mata, E. G. Unprecedented Multifunctionality of Grubbs and Hoveyda–Grubbs Catalysts: Competitive Isomerization, Hydrogenation, Silylation and Metathesis Occurring in Solution and on Solid Phase. Catalysts **2017**, 7, 111.

(11) When pyrrolidine was used, only the metathesis product $\mathbf{2}$ was obtained.

(12) Drouin, S. D.; Zamanian, F.; Fogg, D. E. Multiple tandem catalysis: Facile cycling between hydrogenation and metathesis chemistry. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20* (26), 5495–5497.

(13) Voigtritter, K.; Ghorai, S.; Lipshutz, B. H. Rate enhanced olefin cross-metathesis reactions: the copper iodide effect. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76* (11), 4697–4702.

(14) (a) Cho, C. S.; Kim, B. T.; Kim, T.-J.; Shim, S. C. An Unusual Type of Ruthenium-Catalyzed Transfer Hydrogenation of Ketones with Alcohols Accompanied by C– C Coupling. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 9020–9022. (b) Cho, C. S.; Kim, B. T.; Kim, H.-S.; Kim, T.-J.; Shim, S. C. Ruthenium-catalyzed one-pot β -alkylation of secondary alcohols with primary alcohols. *Organometallics* **2003**, *22* (17), 3608–3610.

(15) (a) Dinger, M. B.; Mol, J. C. Degradation of the Second-Generation Grubbs Metathesis Catalyst with Primary Alcohols and Oxygen–Isomerization and Hydrogenation Activities of Monocarbonyl Complexes. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 2003 (15), 2827–2833.
(b) Dinger, M. B.; Mol, J. C. Degradation of the first-generation Grubbs metathesis catalyst with primary alcohols, water, and oxygen. Formation and catalytic activity of ruthenium (II) monocarbonyl species. *Organometallics* 2003, 22 (5), 1089–1095. (c) Fogg, D. E. Inside the black box—Perspectives on transformations in catalysis. *Can. J. Chem.* 2008, 86 (10), 931–941.

(16) Preferential reduction of C–C double bonds with greater electronic availability was described in Venukadasula, P. K.; Chegondi, R.; Suryn, G. M.; Hanson, P. R. A phosphate tether-mediated, one-pot, sequential ring-closing metathesis/cross-meta-thesis/chemoselective hydrogenation protocol. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (10), 2634–2637.

(17) Zeynizadeh, B.; Shirini, F. Mild and efficient reduction of α , β unsaturated carbonyl compounds, α -diketones and acyloins with sodium borohydride/Dowex1-x8 system. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2003**, 24 (3), 295–298.

(18) Chen, W.; Tao, H.; Huang, W.; Wang, G.; Li, S.; Cheng, X.; Li, G. Hantzsch Ester as a Photosensitizer for the Visible-Light-Induced Debromination of Vicinal Dibromo Compounds. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2016**, 22 (28), 9546–9550.

(19) Huple, D. B.; Mokar, B. D.; Liu, R. S. Alkene-directed N-attack chemoselectivity in the gold-catalyzed [2 + 2+1]-annulations of 1,6-enynes with N-hydroxyanilines. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, 54 (49), 14924–14928.

(20) Hansmann, M. M.; Melen, R. L.; Rudolph, M.; Rominger, F.; Wadepohl, H.; Stephan, D. W.; Hashmi, A. S. K. J. Cyclopropanation/ Carboboration Reactions of Enynes with B(C6F5)3. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137* (49), 15469–15477.

(21) Busacca, C. A.; Dong, Y. A facile synthesis of 4-aryl-2,3dihydropyrroles. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37 (23), 3947–3950.

(22) Chen, C.; Jin, S.; Zhang, Z.; Wei, B.; Wang, H.; Zhang, K.; Lv, H.; Dong, X. Q.; Zhang, X. J. Rhodium/Yanphos-Catalyzed Asymmetric Interrupted Intramolecular Hydroaminomethylation of

trans-1,2-Disubstituted Alkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138 (29), 9017-9020.

(23) Nevado, C.; Charruault, L.; Michelet, V.; Nieto-Oberhuber, C.; Muñoz, M. P.; Méndez, M.; Rager, M.-N.; Genêt, J.-P.; Echavarren, A. M. On the Mechanism of Carbohydroxypalladation of Enynes. Additional Insights on the Cyclization of Enynes with Electrophilic Metal Complexes. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 2003 (4), 706–713.

(24) Xie, Y.; Yu, M.; Zhang, Y. Iron(II) chloride catalyzed alkylation of propargyl ethers: Direct functionalization of an sp3 C-H bond adjacent to oxygen. *Synthesis* **2011**, *2011* (17), 2803–2809.

(25) Patel, M. C.; Livinghouse, T.; Pagenkopf, B. L. The Catalytic Intramolecular Pauson-Khand Reaction: 2, 3, 3α , 4-Tetrahydro-2-[(4-Methylbenzene) Sulfonyl] Cyclopenta [C] Pyrrol-5 (1H)-one. *Org. Synth.* **2003**, 80, 93–103.

(26) Trost, B. M.; Toste, F. D. J. Mechanistic dichotomy in CpRu(CH3CN)3PF6 catalyzed enyne cycloisomerizations. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124 (18), 5025–5036.

(27) Faller, J. W.; Fontaine, P. P. J. Water Control over the Chemoselectivity of a Ti/Ni Multimetallic System: Heck- or Reductive-Type Cyclization Reactions of Alkyl Iodides. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2006**, *691* (9), 1912–1918.

(28) Millán, A.; Álvarez De Cienfuegos, L.; Miguel, D.; Campaña, A. G.; Cuerva, J. M. Water control over the chemoselectivity of a Ti/Ni multimetallic system: Heck- or reductive-type cyclization reactions of alkyl iodides. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (23), 5984–5987.

(29) Escribano-Cuesta, A.; López-Carrillo, V.; Janssen, D.; Echavarren, A. M. Gold-catalyzed reactions of 1,5- and 1,6-enynes with carbonyl compounds: cycloaddition vs. metathesis. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2009**, *15* (23), 5646–5650.

(30) Gryparis, C.; Efe, C.; Raptis, C.; Lykakis, I. N.; Stratakis, M. Cyclization of 1, 6-Enynes Catalyzed by Gold Nanoparticles Supported on TiO2: Significant Changes in Selectivity and Mechanism, as Compared to Homogeneous Au-Catalysis. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14* (12), 2956–2959.

(31) González-Rodríguez, C.; Varela, J. A.; Castedo, L.; Saá, C. J. Ruthenium-catalyzed decarbonylative cyclization of 1,6-diynes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129* (43), 12916–12917.