



Draft Genome Sequences of Two *Mycobacterium bovis* Strains Isolated from Beef Cattle in Paraguay

Lidia Sanabria,^a Lorena Lagrave,^a Christiane Nishibe,^b Augusto C. A. Ribas,^b Martín J. Zumárraga,^c Nalvo F. Almeida,^b Flávio R. Araújo^d

Servicio Nacional de Calidad y Salud Animal (SENACSA), Asunción, Paraguay^a; School of Computing, UFMS, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil^b; Instituto de Biotecnología, CICVyA-INTA, Hurlingham, Buenos Aires, Argentina^c; Embrapa Beef Cattle, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil^d

ABSTRACT This work reports the draft genome sequences of the *Mycobacterium bovis* strains M1009 and M1010, isolated from the lymph nodes of two infected cows on a beef farm in Paraguay. Comparative genomics between these strains and other regional strains may provide more insights regarding *M. bovis* epidemiology in South America.

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB) is an infectious chronic disease caused by *Mycobacterium bovis*. This species exhibits a broad host range encompassing bovine and other domesticated mammals, besides wildlife animals (1) and humans (2). In Paraguay, bTB is a notifiable disease regulated by a national disease eradication program (<http://www.senacsa.gov.py/application/files/4414/6158/9486/PRY-DEC-18613-1997.pdf>). An update on bTB programs in Latin American and Caribbean countries pointed out a prevalence of 0.7% (0 to 2.8%) in 10 districts of Paraguay (3). Eradication of bTB is an important goal to mitigate the risk of zoonotic transmission, and it also has an impact on international trade (4, 5).

As a strategy to eradicate the disease, it is important to characterize the molecular diversity of the *M. bovis* strains isolated in Paraguay. We sequenced and annotated draft genome sequences of the *M. bovis* M1009 and M1010 strains, isolated from the retropharyngeal, hepatic, mesenteric (M1009), and prescapular (M1010) lymph nodes of two mixed breed cows from a beef farm in Presidente Hayes District. Both strains displayed the SB0267 spoligotype, which is also present in Argentina and Brazil (6) and the United Kingdom (<http://www.mbovis.org/database.php>).

Genome sequences were obtained using Illumina MiSeq (7), producing 5,439,661 paired-end reads for M1009 and 6,003,419 paired-end reads for M1010. After filtering with PRINSEQ (8), 4,919,772 and 5,423,808 paired-end reads were used in the assemblies of the M1009 and M1010 strains, respectively. We performed reference-assisted genome assembly of filtered data using the *M. bovis* AF2122/97 genome as a reference (GenBank accession no. LT708304.1) and using Bowtie2 (9). The assemblies produced 29 contigs to M1009 and 28 contigs to M1010 (all contigs no shorter than 500 bp), with corresponding coverages of 316.31-fold and 343.06-fold and N_{50} contig sizes of 300,837 bp and 306,712 bp. Annotations for M1009 and M1010 were obtained by using NCBI PGAP (10), resulting in 4,025 and 4,026 coding genes (2,886 and 2,888 of them with functional assignment), respectively. Each strain has 133 pseudogenes, 45 tRNAs, 3 rRNAs, and 3 noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs).

Comparative genomics between these strains and other regional ones may provide more insights regarding *M. bovis* epidemiology in Paraguay, notably the patterns of host or spatial associations, the differentiation of lineages and phylogenetic structures among *M. bovis* strains, and the persistence of particular genotypes in a region (11, 12).

Received 13 May 2017 Accepted 20 May 2017 Published 13 July 2017

Citation Sanabria L, Lagrave L, Nishibe C, Ribas ACA, Zumárraga MJ, Almeida NF, Araújo FR. 2017. Draft genome sequences of two *Mycobacterium bovis* strains isolated from beef cattle in Paraguay. *Genome Announc* 5: e00616-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00616-17>.

Copyright © 2017 Sanabria et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Nalvo F. Almeida, nalvo@facom.ufms.br.

Accession number(s). The draft genome sequences of *M. bovis* strains M1009 and M1010 have been deposited at DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank with accession numbers NBZZ00000000 and NCTD00000000, respectively, both in their first versions, and under BioProject PRJNA214551.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work was funded by Embrapa, project 02.13.16.002.00.00, and Mktplace 1611, CNPq 306805/2016-2, Fundect grants TO141/2016 and TO007/2015, CAPES AUXPE 3377/2013, and INTA project PNSA1115052.

REFERENCES

- Allen AR. 2017. One bacillus to rule them all?—investigating broad range host adaptation in *Mycobacterium bovis*. Infect Genet Evol 53: 68–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2017.04.018>.
- Davidson JA, Loutet MG, O'Connor C, Kearns C, Smith RM, Lalor MK, Thomas HL, Abubakar I, Zenner D. 2017. Epidemiology of *Mycobacterium bovis* disease in humans in England, Wales, and Northern Ireland, 2002–2014. Emerg Infect Dis 23:377–386. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2303.161408>.
- de Kantor IN, Ritacco V. 2006. An update on bovine tuberculosis programmes in Latin American and Caribbean countries. Vet Microbiol 112:111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2005.11.033>.
- Gordon SV. 2008. Bovine TB: stopping disease control would block all live exports. Nature 456:700. <https://doi.org/10.1038/456700b>.
- Schiller I, Waters WR, RayWaters W, Vordermeier HM, Jemmi T, Welsh M, Keck N, Whelan A, Gormley E, Boschiroli ML, Moyen JL, Vela C, Cagiola M, Buddle BM, Palmer M, Thacker T, Oesch B. 2011. Bovine tuberculosis in Europe from the perspective of an officially tuberculosis free country: trade, surveillance and diagnostics. Vet Microbiol 151:153–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.02.039>.
- Zumárraga MJ, Arriaga C, Barandiaran S, Cobos-Marín L, de Waard J, Estrada-García I, Figueiredo T, Figueroa A, Giménez F, Gomes HM, Gonzalez-Y-Merchand JA, Macías A, Milián-Suazo F, Rodríguez CA, Santillán MA, Suffys PN, Trangoni MD, Zárraga AM, Cataldi A. 2013. Understanding the relationship between *Mycobacterium bovis* spoligotypes from cattle in Latin American countries. Res Vet Sci 94:9–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.07.012>.
- Bennett S. 2004. Solexa Ltd. Pharmacogenomics 5:433–438. <https://doi.org/10.1517/14622416.5.4.433>.
- Schmieder R, Edwards R. 2011. Quality control and preprocessing of metagenomic datasets. Bioinformatics 27:863–864. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr026>.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–359. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923>.
- Angiuoli SV, Guzman A, Klimke W, Cochrane G, Field D, Garrity GM, Kodira CD, Kyripides N, Madupu R, Markowitz V, Tatusova T, Thomson N, White O. 2008. Toward an online repository of Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for (meta)genomic annotation. Omics 12:137–141. <https://doi.org/10.1089/omi.2008.0017>.
- Joshi D, Harris NB, Waters R, Thacker T, Mathema B, Krieswirth B, Sreevatsan S. 2012. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the *Mycobacterium bovis* genome resolve phylogenetic relationships. J Clin Microbiol 50:3853–3861. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01499-12>.
- Trewby H, Wright D, Breadon EL, Lycett SJ, Mallon TR, McCormick C, Johnson P, Orton RJ, Allen AR, Galbraith J, Herzyk P, Skuce RA, Biek R, Kao RR. 2016. Use of bacterial whole-genome sequencing to investigate local persistence and spread in bovine tuberculosis. Epidemics 14:26–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2015.08.003>.