# Downloaded from physiologyonline.physiology.org on April 21, 2012

# Spontaneous Neurotransmission: An Independent Pathway for Neuronal Signaling?

Ege T. Kavalali, ChiHye Chung, Mikhail Khvotchev, Jeremy Leitz, Elena Nosyreva, Jesica Raingo and Denise M. O. Ramirez

Physiology 26:45-53, 2011. doi:10.1152/physiol.00040.2010

### You might find this additional info useful...

This article cites 93 articles, 50 of which can be accessed free at: http://physiologyonline.physiology.org/content/26/1/45.full.html#ref-list-1

This article has been cited by 1 other HighWire hosted articles
Inhibitory-excitatory synaptic balance is shifted toward increased excitation in magnocellular neurosecretory cells of heart failure rats
Evgeniy S. Potapenko, Vinicia C. Biancardi, Renea M. Florschutz, Pan D. Ryu and Javier E. Stern *J Neurophysiol*, September , 2011; 106 (3): 1545-1557.
[Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

Updated information and services including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://physiologyonline.physiology.org/content/26/1/45.full.html

Additional material and information about *Physiology* can be found at: http://www.the-aps.org/publications/physiol

This information is current as of April 21, 2012.

# Spontaneous Neurotransmission: An Independent Pathway for Neuronal Signaling?

Recent findings suggest that spontaneous neurotransmission is a bona fide pathway for interneuronal signaling that operates independent of evoked transmission via distinct presynaptic as well as postsynaptic substrates. This article will examine the role of spontaneous release events in neuronal signaling by focusing on aspects that distinguish this process from evoked neurotransmission, and evaluate the mechanisms that may underlie this segregation.

## Ege T. Kavalali,<sup>1,2</sup> ChiHye Chung,<sup>1</sup> Mikhail Khvotchev,<sup>1</sup> Jeremy Leitz,<sup>1</sup> Elena Nosyreva,<sup>1</sup> Jesica Raingo,<sup>1</sup> and Denise M. O. Ramirez<sup>1</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Neuroscience and <sup>2</sup>Physiology, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas Ege.Kavalali@UTSouthwestern.edu

### Spontaneous Neurotransmitter Release is a Ubiquitous Property of Presynaptic Nerve Terminals

Presynaptic nerve terminals are remarkable nanomachines that can release neurotransmitter at a wide range of frequencies. Most neurotransmitter release occurs in response to depolarization of nerve terminals during axonal action potential firing. Presynaptic action potential-driven neurotransmitter release has been extensively studied, and its impact on postsynaptic neurons forms the backbone of our current understanding of electrical and biochemical signaling in the nervous system (1, 2, 63). In contrast, spontaneous neurotransmitter release that typically occurs with a low probability is poorly understood functionally and mechanistically. These spontaneous release events usually correspond to fusion of a single synaptic vesicle (often called "miniature" or "unitary" release), and they take place with a frequency of 0.01-0.02 Hz per release site (24, 25, 50). Spontaneous neurotransmission has been a powerful analytical tool to examine properties of individual synapses and to monitor alterations in the number of functional synapses, difficult parameters to assess using evoked neurotransmission due to simultaneous release of neurotransmitter from multiple synapses. Besides their usefulness as an analytical tool, the question of whether this extremely low-frequency neuronal communication carries information or merely represents "noise" has been debated since its discovery by Bernard Katz and colleagues in the 1950s (21, 17, 40). Studies in the last two decades provide substantial evidence that these spontaneous release events can modulate or drive action potential firing in some central neurons (9, 55, 56, 69), thus supporting the premise that spontaneous neurotransmission can contribute to electrical signaling in neuronal networks (31, 45, 59, 60, 79). An increasing number of studies have also revealed that spontaneous release events trigger biochemical signaling leading to maturation and stability of synaptic networks (48, 80, 83), local dendritic protein synthesis (74), and control postsynaptic responsiveness during homeostatic synaptic plasticity (4, 6, 22, 42, 75). Most surprisingly, these studies have shown specific effects of postsynaptic excitatory receptor blockade or inhibition of neurotransmitter release under resting conditions, which could not be achieved by inhibition of action potential-mediated signaling alone.

Several recent review articles provide insight into presynaptic mechanisms that give rise to spontaneous release, its regulation by  $Ca^{2+}$  and neuromodulators (7, 27, 58), as well as its impact on postsynaptic signal transduction underlying homeostatic plasticity (12, 65, 77, 88). In this article, we will examine aspects of spontaneous neurotransmission that distinguish it from evoked neurotransmission. We will focus on questions and hypotheses concerning the role of spontaneous release events in neuronal signaling and the mechanisms that may underlie their segregation from evoked transmission.

### Segregation of Spontaneous and Action Potential-Driven Synaptic Signaling

The classical view of spontaneous neurotransmission relies on the assumption that spontaneous release originates from action potential-independent low-probability fusion of the same synaptic vesicle population that gives rise to evoked neurotransmission. Traditionally, evoked and spontaneous forms of fusion are believed to occur at the same location, leading to activation of the same set of postsynaptic receptors. These assumptions are bolstered by observations made in several preparations where characteristics of spontaneous

unitary release events look identical to their evoked counterparts recorded under conditions that favor a very low release probability (e.g., low extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$ ) or asynchronous release (e.g., substituting  $Ca^{2+}$  with  $Sr^{2+}$ ) (17, 19). Although a number of studies spanning several decades have challenged these classical assumptions (e.g., Refs.



5, 10, 11, 14, 16, 32, 34, 66, 86), they were also re-affirmed by recent work performed on the neuromuscular junction and central synapses (e.g., Refs. 28, 35, 61, 81, 90). Nevertheless, recent findings indicating an independent function for spontaneous release in homeostatic plasticity are becoming increasingly difficult to reconcile with the classical view.

How can a postsynaptic response element distinguish an incoming unitary release event and trigger a distinct signaling pathway if the two forms of release originate from the same location in a terminal and activate the same population of target receptors? Under physiological conditions, unitary synaptic transmission events that arise from fusion of individual synaptic vesicles are largely similar in terms of their kinetics and receptor activation profiles regardless of whether vesicles were exocytosed spontaneously or in response to an action potential (18, 38, 54, 73, 81, 85). Under high-frequency burst activity, evoked release events summate at individual synapses leading to stronger depolarizations that maximize postsynaptic NMDA receptor activation (20) (see FIGURE 1). Synchronous activation of multiple clustered synaptic inputs can also trigger a local depolarization that leads to NMDA receptor potentiation. Therefore, in the absence of temporal and spatial summation of inputs at the level of an individual synapse, synaptic release events evoked at low frequency are expected to be indistinguishable from spontaneous release events with respect to their receptor activation and ensuing signaling profile.

Recent evidence, however, suggests significant differences between postsynaptic signaling profiles elicited by evoked and spontaneous neurotransmission. Several studies have shown that the timing and mechanism of homeostatic plasticity can be regulated by NMDA and/or AMPA receptor activation at rest in addition to the well characterized effect of action potential blockade (4, 22, 42, 74, 75). For instance, spontaneous neurotransmitter release and subsequent NMDA receptor activation,

### FIGURE 1. Distinguishing evoked and spontaneous fusion events at individual synapses

Excitatory postsynaptic potentials originating from spontaneous or presynaptic action potential-triggered fusion of a single synaptic vesicle containing the excitatory neurotransmitter glutamate are largely indistinguishable. Under both circumstances, only a small fraction of the current is carried by NMDA receptors (20). The impact of evoked release can only be distinguished during highfrequency bursts of presynaptic action potentials leading to temporal and spatial summation of events at individual postsynaptic sites and maximize postsynaptic NMDA receptor activation. Therefore, differences in signaling mediated by action potential-triggered and spontaneous neurotransmitter release are difficult to accommodate within a model in which the two forms of release originate from the same location in a terminal and activate the same population of target receptors.

rather than evoked release, specifically suppresses dendritic protein translation machinery by promoting phosphorylation and inactivation of eukaryotic elongation factor-2 (eEF2), a critical catalytic factor for ribosomal translocation during protein synthesis (76). This suppression of protein translation stabilizes postsynaptic sensitivity to released neurotransmitters by maintaining subunit composition glutamate receptors (74, 75). These findings raise the question of how signaling by spontaneous miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) mechanistically differs from those triggered by evoked release. If the two forms of neurotransmission activate different signaling cascades, then how can a postsynaptic neuron tell the difference between a quantal event driven by action potential and one that occurs spontaneously?

In a recent study, our group presented a set of findings that may help resolve this conundrum. We took advantage of MK-801, a high-affinity use-dependent open channel blocker of NMDA receptors and found that in high-density or autaptic hippocampal cultures, as well as hippocampal slices, use-dependent block of spontaneous NMDA receptor-mediated miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (NMDA-mEPSCs) and evoked NMDA-dependent excitatory postsynaptic currents (NMDA-eEPSCs) were largely independent. In these experiments, MK-801 application at rest caused rapid reduction of NMDA-mEPSCs within minutes, but this block did not significantly hinder receptor activation in response to subsequent evoked release (FIGURE 2). We could also demonstrate that MK-801 block of NMDA-eEPSCs has minimal effect on subsequent NMDA-mEPSCs detected on the same cell. Furthermore, once NMDA receptors that are activated by both evoked and spontaneous release were blocked, NMDA-mEPSCs showed significant recovery at rest without concomitant recovery of NMDA-eEPSCs. Taken together, these findings suggest that evoked and spontaneous forms of glutamate release activate largely nonoverlapping populations of NMDA receptors. In retrospect, these rather surprising results are in agreement with two key earlier observations. First, application of MK-801 in the absence of stimulation for up to 15 min results in minimal block of subsequent evoked NMDA responses, which supports the strict use dependence of MK-801 action (31, 37, 64, 68). Second, spontaneous release typically occurs with a rate in the order of 0.01 Hz per release site (25, 50, 66). Collectively, these earlier findings predict that a 10-min application of MK-801 at rest should provide more than sufficient time to diminish NMDA receptor activity triggered by spontaneous release without hindering subsequent NMDA-eEPSCs, which is indeed the case as indicated by our laboratory's recent experiments (5).

There are several scenarios that can accommodate the difference between spontaneous and evoked synaptic vesicle fusion pathways at the microscopic level and help explain these findings (see FIGURE 3). Arguably, the simplest scenario is the possibility that spontaneous and evoked fusion events originate from different synapses, thus they target distinct postsynaptic sites and activate different receptors. However, studies in hippocampal synapses monitoring uptake and release of fluorescent markers as well as trafficking of fluorescently tagged synaptic vesicle proteins have documented substantial co-localization of spontaneous and evoked synaptic vesicle recycling in individual synaptic boutons (5, 11, 23, 28, 50, 61, 66). The same studies have also shown that the sizes of the vesicle pools labeled with spontaneous vs. evoked uptake of fluorescent probes in a single synaptic terminal are strongly correlated (23, 28, 50, 61, 66). Furthermore,



# FIGURE 2. Assessing the cross-talk between NMDA receptors activated in response to evoked vs. spontaneous fusion events

In these experiments, application of MK-801, a high-affinity use-dependent open channel blocker of NMDA receptors under resting conditions caused rapid reduction of NMDA-mEPSCs within minutes, but this block did not significantly hinder receptor activation in response to subsequent evoked release. We performed these experiments using whole cell voltage-clamp recordings in high density as well as in autaptic hippocampal cultures, in addition to hippocampal slices. This result suggests that spontaneous NMDA receptor-mediated miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents (NMDA-mEPSCs) and evoked NMDA-dependent excitatory postsynaptic currents (NMDA-eEPSCs) are largely independent. optical analysis of the synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin tagged with superecliptic pHluorin (synaptophysin-pHluorin) (97) showed that a large majority of synapses (~80%) were capable of both evoked and spontaneous release, although the kinetics of the two forms of release did not show correlation in a given synapse (5). A study in the frog neuromuscular junction found that the level of spontaneous release is relatively uniform across active zones and that the location of spontaneous release corresponded well with the sites of evoked release, although the propensity of evoked release varied widely among active zones (95). These findings support the premise that spontaneous and evoked release have substantial overlap in their sites of origin, but they may not possess significant correlation with respect to their kinetics. Therefore, complete segregation of spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release into different synapses seems a rather unlikely possibility.



### FIGURE 3. Four non-mutually exclusive scenarios for the structural origin of spontaneous neurotransmission

Different synapses: According to this model, some synapses may have a strong propensity for spontaneous fusion, whereas others may preferentially release neurotransmitter in response to action potentials. This model is not necessarily mutually exclusive with the "center-surround" model. Small nerve terminals (<0.2  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) may preferentially fit into this category. Difference in fusion pore size or kinetics: The dichotomy between MK-801 block of NMDA-eEPSCs and NMDA-mEPSCs may also be accounted for by potential differences in fusion pore kinetics or glutamate release profile of spontaneous and evoked fusion events. For instance, in a given synapse, evoked fusion events may reach a higher fraction of receptors, whereas spontaneous fusion events may activate only a small number of receptors, although the two receptor populations overlap. Ectopic release: Spontaneous synaptic vesicle fusion may occur away from the active zone or the main release site, thus releasing neurotransmitter ectopically. Center-surround organization of spontaneous vs. evoked release: According to this model, spontaneous and evoked fusion events occur at distinct locations within a synaptic terminal. In particular, terminals larger than 0.2  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup> can accommodate the two forms of release within their geometry (5). In this model, spontaneous fusion events may either predominantly occur at the center of the terminal or at the periphery as long as the distance between the two fusion events allow postsynaptic signaling with minimal overlap (see Ref. 5 for a detailed discussion).

Nevertheless, it is difficult to completely exclude the premise that some spontaneous and evoked fusion events may occur at different synapses. Indeed, immature synaptic boutons typically favor spontaneous release and fail to respond to action potential stimulation (49, 70, 84, 92), which raises the possibility that a population of nascent synapses in an otherwise mature synaptic network may selectively sustain spontaneous release. Recent optical imaging results from our group indicate that a sizable fraction of synapses ( $\sim 20\%$ ) support spontaneous or evoked transmission at the expense of the other (5). Interestingly, this analysis also revealed a set of presynaptic terminals that support action potential-driven release with negligible concurrent spontaneous vesicle exocytosis. The prevalence of these types of synapses is hard to ascertain due to an inherent bias associated with optical analysis where identification of functional synaptic boutons by fluorescent puncta selection typically favors large synapses over small ones. Therefore, it is likely that this fraction is higher than our estimates. The rapidly expanding repertoire of super resolution optical techniques will be important for future studies to address this question with better accuracy (91).

The dichotomy between MK-801 block of NMDA-eEPSCs and NMDA-mEPSCs may also be accounted for by potential differences in fusion pore kinetics or glutamate release profile of spontaneous and evoked fusion events. For instance, in a given synapse, evoked fusion events may reach a higher fraction of receptors, whereas spontaneous fusion events may activate only a small number of receptors, although the two receptor populations overlap. This possibility, however, contradicts several earlier observations. Both forms of fusion have been shown to equally stimulate AMPA receptors despite potential differences in their fusion pore kinetics (73, 82). AMPA receptors possess a substantially lower affinity for glutamate than NMDA receptors, and their activation by both spontaneous and evoked release suggests rapid unloading of vesicular glutamate under both circumstances (62). In addition, both forms of vesicle trafficking can be tracked with styryl dyes (e.g., FM1-43 dyes) as well as large probes such as antibodies to synaptic vesicle proteins or horseradish peroxidase (23, 66), arguing against the involvement of a narrow fusion pore hindering glutamate release.

A third scenario suggests that spontaneous fusion events may occur ectopically (46, 13), outside the active zones, as proposed by some earlier work (14, 10). This scenario is consistent with a recent study in retinal bipolar cell presynaptic terminals that took advantage of the high optical resolution provided by total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy. In this elegant study, Zenisek showed that spontaneous fusion events were largely excluded from synaptic ribbons, which comprised the preferential site for evoked fusion (96). Independent MK-801 sensitivity of evoked and spontaneous neurotransmission may partly be consistent with this possibility as long as this "ectopic" release occurs at discrete spots and activates a clustered set of adjacent receptors. The fact that the kinetics of spontaneous and evoked quantal events match under most circumstances (18, 38, 73, 81, 85) makes a diffuse form of off-target ectopic release an unlikely option to account for differences in NMDA receptor activation by evoked and spontaneous neurotransmitter release. The compartmentalization of evoked and spontaneous fusion sites may occur within a single synapse, presumably in the vicinity of a given active zone, thus activating receptors in different subdomains of the postsynaptic density. This idea agrees with quantitative estimates suggesting medium to large (>0.2  $\mu$ m<sup>2</sup>) synapses can accommodate independent signaling via spontaneous and evoked release with some geometric constraints (5). However, as indicated above, small synapses ( $<0.2 \mu m^2$ ) may preferentially maintain spontaneous or evoked release and contribute to the dichotomy between NMDAeEPSCs and NMDA-mEPSCs. Studies using two-photon imaging of Ca<sup>2+</sup> transients induced by single vesicle fusion events revealed that unitary release activates only a small fraction of available NMDA receptors (52). Therefore, there is sufficient latitude for non-overlapping activation of NMDA receptors within a single synapse by evoked and spontaneous release events.

In summary, there are multiple scenarios that may explain the segregation of spontaneous and evoked synaptic signaling. These include accommodation of the two release forms within the same synapse, possibly maintained via a separate pool of vesicles, which may recycle independently (11, 23, 66). This scenario implies that synaptic vesicles that maintain spontaneous and evoked neurotransmission may possess certain molecular distinctions or possibly distinct molecular tags that segregate their trafficking and function (11, 23, 34, 66). In some cases, spontaneous synaptic vesicle fusion may occur away from the active zone, thus releasing neurotransmitter ectopically (96). Finally, some synapses may have a strong propensity for spontaneous fusion, whereas others may preferentially release neurotransmitter in response to action potentials. Although existing optical imaging data supports the first two possibilities, electrophysiological findings that show differential activation of NMDA receptors as well as developmental profiles of spontaneous and evoked release makes the third scenario a viable alternative. The relative prevalence of these distinct forms of synaptic compartmentalization requires future experiments, which should undoubtedly reveal differences among distinct synapse populations as well as in a particular synapse during its lifetime.

### Differential Presynaptic Regulation of Spontaneous and Evoked Neurotransmission

In addition to segregation of postsynaptic receptors and downstream signal transduction pathways between spontaneous and evoked forms of fusion, a large body of work has uncovered surprising distinctions in presynaptic regulation between the two forms of neurotransmitter release by a number of pathways. Selective targeting of evoked release by neuromodulators is not surprising, since inhibition of neuronal action potential firing or presynaptic voltage-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup> influx can easily achieve this outcome via well established pathways without interfering with spontaneous release. However, some signal transduction pathways selectively impact spontaneous neurotransmission or alter the two forms of release in opposite manner. For instance, in rat cerebellar slices, activation of presynaptic group II metabotropic glutamate receptors selectively inhibits spontaneous but not Ca<sup>2+</sup>dependent evoked release machinery (26). In contrast, immature visual cortical neurons show a specific enhancement of spontaneous mEPSCs in response to BDNF application, whereas the same treatment leaves evoked neurotransmission largely unaffected (78). Furthermore, in hippocampal neurons, inhibition of DNA methyltransferases, key enzymes that methylate DNA and regulate gene expression in cells, results in a selective activity-dependent decrease in the frequency of miniature EPSCs, which in turn impacts neuronal excitability and network activity (51). Along the same lines, certain nitric oxide-related species inhibit evoked neurotransmission but enhance spontaneous mEPSCs (57), and neuronal cholesterol depletion or inhibition of cholesterol synthesis causes a similar increase in the rate of spontaneous transmission, although it depletes most vesicles that carry out evoked neurotransmission or impairs their fusion efficiency (87, 94). Finally, chronic induction of endoplasmic reticulum stress causes an increase in paired pulse depression consistent with a small (~20%) increase in neurotransmitter release probability but at the same time gives rise to a dramatic fourfold increase in spontaneous excitatory transmission (53). These seemingly disparate results share a common premise where the signal transduction pathways in question impact spontaneous and evoked vesicle fusion differentially and, in some cases (e.g., NO species, cholesterol depletion), in the opposite direction.

Although the elucidation of aspects of presynaptic machinery responsible for this dichotomy in regulation of the two forms of neurotransmitter release remains incomplete, recent studies have provided significant new leads.

Structure-function analysis of neuronal SNAREs (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptors) including plasma membrane associated SNAP-25 and vesicular synaptobrevin 2 (also called VAMP2), which together with syntaxin1 comprise the core synaptic vesicle fusion machinery (39, 71), revealed three key differences between molecular interactions that give rise to spontaneous and evoked fusion. First, loss of SNAP-25 and synaptobrevin 2 in central neurons largely abolishes Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent evoked release but diminishes most but not all spontaneous release (8, 67, 86), suggesting a role for alternate SNAREs in mediating low levels of spontaneous release. Second, in synaptobrevin 2-deficient synapses, spontaneous release could be rescued by expression of a synaptobrevin 2 construct with insertion of 12 residues between the SNARE motif and transmembrane region, whereas the same construct was not able to restore action potential evoked release (15), indicating the physical constraints on SNARE complex assembly are less stringent for spontaneous release. Finally, in neurons obtained from SNAP-25-null mice, expression of SNAP-25 mutants destabilizing the COOH-terminal end of the SNARE bundle did not rescue spontaneous neurotransmitter release but largely restored evoked release probability. In contrast, destabilizing the middle or deleting the NH2-terminal end of the SNARE bundle potentiated the propensities of both spontaneous and evoked fusion. Interestingly, both manipulations had a more dramatic effect on spontaneous release compared with evoked neurotransmission (89). Taken together, these three observation suggest that, although both forms of fusion by and large utilize the same molecular machinery, they rely on distinct molecular interactions of the same components for normal function.

In addition to differences in basic synaptic vesicle fusion machinery,  $Ca^{2+}$ -dependent regulation of spontaneous release rate may also require diverse molecular players compared with evoked release. Spontaneous synaptic vesicle fusion can be regulated by extracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  as well as fluctuations in intracellular calcium (3, 36, 43). In contrast to the highly cooperative  $Ca^{2+}$  dependence of evoked transmission, spontaneous neurotransmission displays close to linear  $Ca^{2+}$  dependence (44, 72). Spontaneously recycling synaptic vesicles can be labeled with antibodies against the lumenal domain of synaptotagmin1 (66), but specific  $Ca^{2+}$ binding residues within synaptotagmin 1, which supports  $Ca^{2+}$  dependence of spontaneous release, differ from the key residues that determine cooperativity of evoked release (93). In addition, loss of synaptotagmin 1 may remove a fusion clamp on spontaneous release or instead may recruit an alternate  $Ca^{2+}$  sensor with distinct  $Ca^{2+}$ dependence profile such as doc2b (29).  $Ca^{2+}$  dependence and fusion propensity of spontaneous release can also be modified by other synaptic vesicle proteins such as synaptotagmin 12 (47).

Arguably, the most provocative proposals on the segregation of evoked and spontaneous release originated from analysis of vesicle populations within individual synapses that recycle in the presence or absence of action potential firing. These studies suggest that the two vesicle populations do not overlap (Refs. 23, 41, 66; but also see Refs. 28, 35, 61, 90), which supports distinctions not only in fusion mechanisms but also in overall identity of vesicles that sustain the two forms of release (see FIGURE 4). Moreover, acute application of dynasore, a reversible inhibitor of essential endocytic



# FIGURE 4. Synaptic vesicle heterogeneity within individual synapses

The figure depicts a model that can account for the selective regulation of spontaneous neurotransmission by some signal transduction pathways. This model suggests that spontaneous and evoked fusion may occur in the same synapses but may be carried out via a separate pool of vesicles, which may recycle independently (see Refs. 11, 23, 66). Moreover, vesicles that recycle spontaneously (red vesicles) may have an intrinsic molecular difference (possibly possess a unique effector; see Ref. 47) that renders them selectively vulnerable to certain signal transduction pathways (depicted by the red receptor; see Ref. 26). protein dynamin, showed that evoked synchronous and asynchronous release originate from the same vesicle pool that recycles rapidly in a dynamindependent manner, whereas a distinct vesicle pool sustains spontaneous release independent of dynamin activation (11). These findings imply that the distinct identities of spontaneous and evoked recycling vesicles are not perturbed on exocytosisendocytosis. This premise is consistent with the prevalence of synapses that only support spontaneous neurotransmission and spontaneous synaptic vesicle recycling at early stages of synapse maturation (49, 70, 84, 92). Interestingly, purified synaptic vesicles show an intrinsic tendency for unregulated constitutive fusion (33), suggesting that evoked regulated fusion constitutes a gain-of-function that is attained gradually during synapse maturation. Accordingly, mature synapses may also contain a population of these "immature" vesicles that are unable to respond to brief action potential stimulation but fuse and recycle constitutively (49).

# Compartmentalization of Distinct Forms of Neurotransmission

Our understanding of the mechanisms that maintain spontaneous synaptic transmission are only beginning to be elucidated. There is much work to be done to uncover the role of spontaneous fusion events in neuronal signaling and homeostasis. However, it is interesting to note that, from an engineering point of view, multichannel parallel signaling is a common feature of most communication networks. These auxiliary communication channels typically serve essential logistical functions to ensure error correction, maintenance, and connectivity of the primary information transfer channel. Therefore, it is plausible to expect that by taking advantage of spontaneous neurotransmission, the nervous system incorporates such an auxiliary signaling network that functions to maintain synaptic homeostasis and synaptic connectivity within a sufficiently large dynamic range for reliable information transfer and storage. Testing this premise requires the development of novel approaches. The identification of distinct molecular markers associated with spontaneous synaptic vesicle recycling may in turn enable selective manipulation of spontaneous neurotransmission and help us elucidate its role in neuronal signaling.

We thank Drs. Lisa Monteggia and Ilya Bezprozvanny for advice, discussions, and comments on the manuscript.

The work in our laboratory is supported by grants from the National Institute of Mental Health (MH-066198) to E. T. Kavalali. E. T. Kavalali is an Established Investigator of the American Heart Association.

No conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise, are declared by the author(s).

### References

- 1. Abbott LF, Regehr WG. Synaptic computation. *Nature* 431: 796–803, 2004.
- Adrian ED. The Mechanism of Nervous Action: Electrical Studies of the Neurone: Philadelphia, PA: Univ. of Pennsylvania Press, 1932.
- Angleson JK, Betz WJ. Intraterminal Ca<sup>2+</sup> and spontaneous transmitter release at the frog neuromuscular junction. *J Neurophysiol* 85: 287–294, 2001.
- Aoto J, Nam Cl, Poon MM, Ting P, Chen L. Synaptic signaling by all-trans retinoic acid in homeostatic synaptic plasticity. *Neuron* 60: 308–320, 2008.
- Atasoy D, Ertunc M, Moulder KL, Blackwell J, Chung C, Su J, Kavalali ET. Spontaneous and evoked glutamate release activates two populations of NMDA receptors with limited overlap. J Neurosci 28: 10151–10166, 2008.
- Axelsson J, Thesleff S. A study of supersensitivity in denervated mammalian skeletal muscle. J Physiol 147: 178–193, 1959.
- Bouron A. Modulation of spontaneous quantal release of neurotransmitters in the hippocampus. *Prog Neurobiol* 63: 613–635, 2001.
- Bronk P, Deak F, Wilson MC, Liu X, Sudhof TC, Kavalali ET. Differential effects of SNAP-25 deletion on Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent and Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent neurotransmission. J Neurophysiol 98: 794–806, 2007.
- Carter AG, Regehr WG. Quantal events shape cerebellar interneuron firing. Nat Neurosci 5: 1309–1318, 2002.
- Cherki-Vakil R, Ginsburg S, Meiri H. The difference in shape of spontaneous and uniquantal evoked synaptic potentials in frog muscle. J Physiol 482: 641–650, 1995.
- Chung C, Barylko B, Leitz J, Liu X, Kavalali ET. Acute dynamin inhibition dissects synaptic vesicle recycling pathways that drive spontaneous and evoked neurotransmission. J Neurosci 30: 1363–1376, 2010.
- Chung C, Kavalali ET. Seeking a function for spontaneous neurotransmission. Nat Neurosci 9: 989–990, 2006.
- Coggan JS, Bartol TM, Esquenazi E, Stiles JR, Lamont S, Martone ME, Berg DK, Ellisman MH, Sejnowski TJ. Evidence for ectopic neurotransmission at a neuronal synapse. *Science* 309: 446– 451, 2005.
- Colmeus C, Gomez S, Molgo J, Thesleff S. Discrepancies between spontaneous and evoked synaptic potentials at normal, regenerating and botulinum toxin poisoned mammalian neuromuscular junctions. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 215: 63–74, 1982.
- Deak F, Shin OH, Kavalali ET, Sudhof TC. Structural determinants of synaptobrevin 2 function in synaptic vesicle fusion. J Neurosci 26: 6668– 6676, 2006.
- Deitcher DL, Ueda A, Stewart BA, Burgess RW, Kidokoro Y, Schwarz TL. Distinct requirements for evoked and spontaneous release of neurotransmitter are revealed by mutations in the Drosophila gene neuronal-synaptobrevin. J Neurosci 18: 2028–2039, 1998.
- Del Castillo J, Katz B. Quantal components of the end-plate potential. J Physiol 124: 560–573, 1954.
- Diamond JS, Jahr CE. Asynchronous release of synaptic vesicles determines the time course of the AMPA receptor-mediated EPSC. *Neuron* 15: 1097–1107, 1995.
- Dodge FA Jr, Miledi R, Rahamimoff R. Strontium and quantal release of transmitter at the neuromuscular junction. J Physiol 200: 267–283, 1969.

- Espinosa F, Kavalali ET. NMDA receptor activation by spontaneous glutamatergic neurotransmission. J Neurophysiol 101: 2290–2296, 2009.
- Fatt P, Katz B. Spontaneous subthreshold activity at motor nerve endings. J Physiol 117: 109–128, 1952.
- Frank CA, Kennedy MJ, Goold CP, Marek KW, Davis GW. Mechanisms underlying the rapid induction and sustained expression of synaptic homeostasis. *Neuron* 52: 663–677, 2006.
- Fredj NB, Burrone J. A resting pool of vesicles is responsible for spontaneous vesicle fusion at the synapse. Nat Neurosci 12: 751–758, 2009.
- Frerking M, Borges S, Wilson M. Are some minis multiquantal? J Neurophysiol 78: 1293–1304, 1997.
- Geppert M, Goda Y, Hammer RE, Li C, Rosahl TW, Stevens CF, Sudhof TC. Synaptotagmin I: a major Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor for transmitter release at a central synapse. *Cell* 79: 717–727, 1994.
- Glitsch M. Selective inhibition of spontaneous but not Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent release machinery by presynaptic group II mGluRs in rat cerebellar slices. J Neurophysiol 96: 86–96, 2006.
- Glitsch MD. Spontaneous neurotransmitter release and Ca<sup>2+</sup>: How spontaneous is spontaneous neurotransmitter release? *Cell Calcium* 43: 9–15, 2008.
- Groemer TW, Klingauf J. Synaptic vesicles recycling spontaneously and during activity belong to the same vesicle pool. *Nat Neurosci* 10: 145–147, 2007.
- Groffen AJ, Martens S, Diez Arazola R, Cornelisse LN, Lozovaya N, de Jong AP, Goriounova NA, Habets RL, Takai Y, Borst JG, et al. Doc2b is a high-affinity Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor for spontaneous neurotransmitter release. *Science* 327: 1614–1618, 2010.
- Hablitz JJ, Mathew SS, Pozzo-Miller L. GABA vesicles at synapses: are there 2 distinct pools? *Neuroscientist* 15: 218–224, 2009.
- Hessler NA, Shirke AM, Malinow R. The probability of transmitter release at a mammalian central synapse. *Nature* 366: 569–572, 1993.
- Highstein SM, Bennett MV. Fatigue and recovery of transmission at the Mauthner fiber-giant fiber synapse of the hatchetfish. *Brain Res* 98: 229– 242, 1975.
- Holt M, Riedel D, Stein A, Schuette C, Jahn R. Synaptic vesicles are constitutively active fusion machines that function independently of Ca<sup>2+</sup>. *Curr Biol* 18: 715–722, 2008.
- Hua SY, Raciborska DA, Trimble WS, Charlton MP. Different VAMP/synaptobrevin complexes for spontaneous and evoked transmitter release at the crayfish neuromuscular junction. J Neurophysiol 80: 3233–3246, 1998.
- Hua Y, Sinha R, Martineau M, Kahms M, Klingauf J. A common origin of synaptic vesicles undergoing evoked and spontaneous fusion. *Nat Neuro*sci 13: 1451–1453, 2010.
- Hubbard JI, Jones SF, Landau EM. On the mechanism by which calcium and magnesium affect the spontaneous release of transmitter from mammalian motor nerve terminals. *J Physiol* 194: 355–380, 1968.
- Huettner JE, Bean BP. Block of N-methyl-D-aspartate-activated current by the anticonvulsant MK-801: selective binding to open channels. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 85: 1307–1311, 1988.
- Isaacson JS, Walmsley B. Counting quanta: direct measurements of transmitter release at a central synapse. *Neuron* 15: 875–884, 1995.
- Jahn R, Scheller RH. SNAREs: engines for membrane fusion. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 7: 631–643, 2006.

- Katz B. The Release of Neural Transmitter Substances (Volume 10). Liverpool, UK: Liverpool Univ. Press, 1969.
- Koenig JH, Ikeda K. Contribution of active zone subpopulation of vesicles to evoked and spontaneous release. J Neurophysiol 81: 1495–1505, 1999.
- Lee MC, Yasuda R, Ehlers MD. Metaplasticity at single glutamatergic synapses. *Neuron* 66: 859– 870, 2010.
- Llano I, Gonzalez J, Caputo C, Lai FA, Blayney LM, Tan YP, Marty A. Presynaptic calcium stores underlie large-amplitude miniature IPSCs and spontaneous calcium transients. *Nat Neurosci* 3: 1256–1265, 2000.
- Lou X, Scheuss V, Schneggenburger R. Allosteric modulation of the presynaptic Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor for vesicle fusion. Nature 435: 497–501, 2005.
- Mathew SS, Pozzo-Miller L, Hablitz JJ. Kainate modulates presynaptic GABA release from two vesicle pools. J Neurosci 28: 725–731, 2008.
- Matsui K, Jahr CE. Ectopic release of synaptic vesicles. *Neuron* 40: 1173–1183, 2003.
- Maximov A, Shin OH, Liu X, Sudhof TC. Synaptotagmin-12, a synaptic vesicle phosphoprotein that modulates spontaneous neurotransmitter release. J Cell Biol 176: 113–124, 2007.
- McKinney RA, Capogna M, Durr R, Gahwiler BH, Thompson SM. Miniature synaptic events maintain dendritic spines via AMPA receptor activation. Nat Neurosci 2: 44–49, 1999.
- Mozhayeva MG, Sara Y, Liu X, Kavalali ET. Development of vesicle pools during maturation of hippocampal synapses. J Neurosci 22: 654–665, 2002.
- Murthy VN, Stevens CF. Reversal of synaptic vesicle docking at central synapses. Nat Neurosci 2: 503–507, 1999.
- Nelson ED, Kavalali ET, Monteggia LM. Activitydependent suppression of miniature neurotransmission through the regulation of DNA methylation. J Neurosci 28: 395–406, 2008.
- Nimchinsky EA, Yasuda R, Oertner TG, Svoboda K. The number of glutamate receptors opened by synaptic stimulation in single hippocampal spines. J Neurosci 24: 2054–2064, 2004.
- Nosyreva E, Kavalali ET. Activity-dependent augmentation of spontaneous neurotransmission during endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J Neurosci* 30: 7358–7368, 2010.
- Oliet SH, Malenka RC, Nicoll RA. Bidirectional control of quantal size by synaptic activity in the hippocampus. *Science* 271: 1294–1297, 1996.
- Otmakhov N, Shirke AM, Malinow R. Measuring the impact of probabilistic transmission on neuronal output. Neuron 10: 1101–1111, 1993.
- Otsu Y, Murphy TH. Miniature transmitter release: accident of nature or careful design? Sci STKE: pe54, 2003.
- Pan ZH, Segal MM, Lipton SA. Nitric oxide-related species inhibit evoked neurotransmission but enhance spontaneous miniature synaptic currents in central neuronal cultures. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93: 15423–15428, 1996.
- Pang ZP, Südhof TC. Cell biology of Ca<sup>2+</sup>-triggered exocytosis. Curr Opin Cell Biol 22: 496–505, 2010.
- Pare D, Lebel E, Lang EJ. Differential impact of miniature synaptic potentials on the soma and dendrites of pyramidal neurons in vivo. J Neurophysiol 78: 1735–1739, 1997.
- Pare D, Shink E, Gaudreau H, Destexhe A, Lang EJ. Impact of spontaneous synaptic activity on the resting properties of cat neocortical pyramidal neurons In vivo. J Neurophysiol 79: 1450– 1460, 1998.

- Prange O, Murphy TH. Correlation of miniature synaptic activity and evoked release probability in cultures of cortical neurons. *J Neurosci* 19: 6427–6438, 1999.
- 62. Renger JJ, Egles C, Liu G. A developmental switch in neurotransmitter flux enhances synaptic efficacy by affecting AMPA receptor activation. *Neuron* 29: 469–84, 2001.
- 63. Rieke F, Warland D, de Ruyter van Steveninck RR, Bialek W. *Spikes: Exploring the Neural Code.* Cambridge, MA: The MIT Press, 1996.
- Rosenmund C, Clements JD, Westbrook GL. Nonuniform probability of glutamate release at a hippocampal synapse. *Science* 262: 754–757, 1993.
- Rothwell PE. Parsing spontaneous and evoked neurotransmission on both sides of the synapse. J Neurosci 30: 6480-6481, 2010.
- Sara Y, Virmani T, Deak F, Liu X, Kavalali ET. An isolated pool of vesicles recycles at rest and drives spontaneous neurotransmission. *Neuron* 45: 563–573, 2005.
- Schoch S, Deak F, Konigstorfer A, Mozhayeva M, Sara Y, Sudhof TC, Kavalali ET. SNARE function analyzed in synaptobrevin/VAMP knockout mice. *Science* 294: 1117–1122, 2001.
- Scimemi A, Fine A, Kullmann DM, Rusakov DA. NR2B-containing receptors mediate cross talk among hippocampal synapses. J Neurosci 24: 4767–4777, 2004.
- Sharma G, Vijayaraghavan S. Modulation of presynaptic store calcium induces release of glutamate and postsynaptic firing. *Neuron* 38: 929– 939, 2003.
- Shen W, Wu B, Zhang Z, Dou Y, Rao ZR, Chen YR, Duan S. Activity-induced rapid synaptic maturation mediated by presynaptic cdc42 signaling. *Neuron* 50: 401–414, 2006.
- Sudhof TC, Rothman JE. Membrane fusion: grappling with SNARE and SM proteins. *Science* 323: 474–477, 2009.
- Sun J, Pang ZP, Qin D, Fahim AT, Adachi R, Südhof TC. A dual-Ca<sup>2+</sup>-sensor model for neurotransmitter release in a central synapse. *Nature* 450: 676–682, 2007.
- Sun JY, Wu XS, Wu LG. Single and multiple vesicle fusion induce different rates of endocytosis at a central synapse. *Nature* 417: 555–559, 2002.

- Sutton MA, Wall NR, Aakalu GN, Schuman EM. Regulation of dendritic protein synthesis by miniature synaptic events. *Science* 304: 1979–1983, 2004.
- Sutton MA, Ito HT, Cressy P, Kempf C, Woo JC, Schuman EM. Miniature neurotransmission stabilizes synaptic function via tonic suppression of local dendritic protein synthesis. *Cell* 125: 785– 799, 2006.
- Sutton MA, Taylor AM, Ito HT, Pham A, Schuman EM. Postsynaptic decoding of neural activity: eEF2 as a biochemical sensor coupling miniature synaptic transmission to local protein synthesis. *Neuron* 55: 648–661, 2007.
- Sutton MA, Schuman EM. Partitioning the synaptic landscape: distinct microdomains for spontaneous and spike-triggered neurotransmission. *Sci Signal* 2: pe19, 2009.
- Taniguchi N, Takada N, Kimura F, Tsumoto T. Actions of brain-derived neurotrophic factor on evoked and spontaneous EPSCs dissociate with maturation of neurones cultured from rat visual cortex. J Physiol 527: 579–592, 2000.
- Trigo FF, Bouhours B, Rostaing P, Papageorgiou G, Corrie JE, Triller A, Ogden D, Marty A. Presynaptic miniature GABAergic currents in developing interneurons. *Neuron* 66: 235–247, 2010.
- Tyler WJ, Pozzo-Miller L. Miniature synaptic transmission and BDNF modulate dendritic spine growth and form in rat CA1 neurones. J Physiol 553: 497–509, 2003.
- Van der Kloot W. Spontaneous and uniquantalevoked endplate currents in normal frogs are indistinguishable. J Physiol 492: 155–162, 1996.
- Vardjan N, Stenovec M, Jorgacevski J, Kreft M, Zorec R. Subnanometer fusion pores in spontaneous exocytosis of peptidergic vesicles. J Neurosci 27: 4737–4746, 2007.
- Verhage M, Maia AS, Plomp JJ, Brussaard AB, Heeroma JH, Vermeer H, Toonen RF, Hammer RE, van den Berg TK, Missler M, Geuze HJ, Sudhof TC. Synaptic assembly of the brain in the absence of neurotransmitter secretion. *Science* 287: 864–869, 2000.
- Virmani T, Ertunc M, Sara Y, Mozhayeva M, Kavalali ET. Phorbol esters target the activity-dependent recycling pool and spare spontaneous vesicle recycling. J Neurosci 25: 10922–10929, 2005.
- Wall MJ, Usowicz MM. Development of the quantal properties of evoked and spontaneous synaptic currents at a brain synapse. *Nat Neuro*sci 1: 675–682, 1998.

- Washbourne P, Thompson PM, Carta M, Costa ET, Mathews JR, Lopez-Benditó G, Molnár Z, Becher MW, Valenzuela CF, Partridge LD, Wilson MC. Genetic ablation of the t-SNARE SNAP-25 distinguishes mechanisms of neuroexocytosis. Nat Neurosci 5: 19–26, 2002.
- Wasser CR, Ertunc M, Liu X, Kavalali ET. Cholesterol-dependent balance between evoked and spontaneous synaptic vesicle recycling. J Physiol 579: 413–429, 2007.
- Wasser CR, Kavalali ET. Leaky synapses: regulation of spontaneous neurotransmission in central synapses. *Neuroscience* 158: 177–188, 2009.
- Weber JP, Reim K, Sørensen JB. Opposing functions of two sub-domains of the SNARE-complex in neurotransmission. *EMBO J* 29: 2477–2490, 2010.
- Wilhelm BG, Groemer TW, Rizzoli SO. The same synaptic vesicles drive active and spontaneous release. *Nature Neurosci* 13: 1454–1456, 2010.
- Willig KI, Rizzoli SO, Westphal V, Jahn R, Hell SW. STED microscopy reveals that synaptotagmin remains clustered after synaptic vesicle exocytosis. *Nature* 440: 935–939, 2006.
- Wittenmayer N, Körber C, Liu H, Kremer T, Varoqueaux F, Chapman ER, Brose N, Kuner T, Dresbach T. Postsynaptic Neuroligin1 regulates presynaptic maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106: 13564–13569, 2009.
- Xu J, Pang ZP, Shin OH, Sudhof TC. Synaptotagmin-1 functions as a Ca<sup>2+</sup> sensor for spontaneous release. Nat Neurosci 12: 759–766, 2009.
- Zamir O, Charlton MP. Cholesterol and synaptic transmitter release at crayfish neuromuscular junctions. J Physiol 571: 83–99, 2006.
- Zefirov A, Benish T, Fatkullin N, Cheranov S, Khazipov R. Localization of active zones. *Nature* 376: 393–394, 1995.
- Zenisek D. Vesicle association and exocytosis at ribbon and extraribbon sites in retinal bipolar cell presynaptic terminals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105: 4922–4927, 2008.
- Zhu Y, Xu J, Heinemann SF. Two pathways of synaptic vesicle retrieval revealed by singlevesicle imaging. *Neuron* 61: 397–411, 2009.