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#### Abstract

We show that the Poisson maximal operator for the tube over the lightcone, $P^{*}$, is bounded in the weighted space $L^{p}(w)$ if and only if the weight $w(x)$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $A_{p}$. We also characterize with a geometric condition related to the intrinsic geometry of the cone the weights $v(x)$ for which $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p}(u)$, for some other weight $u(x)>0$. Some applications to a.e. restricted convergence of Poisson integrals are given.


## 1 Introduction

Let $\Omega=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: y_{1}>\sqrt{y_{2}^{2}+\ldots+y_{n}^{2}}\right\}$ be the forward light-cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$. We also denote the corresponding Lorentz form by

$$
\Delta(z):=z_{1}^{2}-\left(z_{2}^{2}+\ldots+z_{n}^{2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

The Poisson kernel associated with the tube domain $T_{\Omega}:=\mathbb{R}^{n}+i \Omega$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{y}(x)=\frac{\Delta(y)^{n / 2}}{|\Delta(x+i y)|^{n}}, \quad x+i y \in T_{\Omega} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]This kernel arises in the study of Hardy spaces of holomorphic functions in tube domains [16, Ch.3], and also in the theory of harmonic functions in the symmetric space $T_{\Omega}[7,9,8]$. A major question in this field concerns the validity of Fatou-type theorems, that is, the search of suitable conditions on a function $f$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} P_{y} * f(x)=f(x), \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A classical result of E. Stein and N. Weiss $[18,17]$ establishes such convergence for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, provided $y \rightarrow 0$ restricted to a proper subcone of $\Omega$ (i.e. $\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\delta y_{1}$ for fixed $\delta<1$ ). In fact, restricted convergence is essentially equivalent to the $L^{p}$-boundedness of the (vertical) Poisson maximal operator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|P_{t \mathbf{e}} * f(x)\right|=\sup _{t>0}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P_{t \mathbf{e}}(x-u) f(u) d u\right|, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where e denotes the fixed point $(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \Omega$ (see [18, Lemma 4.3]).
It should be noted that the stronger notion of unrestricted convergence (i.e. when $\Omega \ni y \rightarrow 0$ ) fails to satisfy (1.2) even for bounded functions (see [15, p. 459]). There are also some intermediate notions such as the admissible semirestricted convergence in the sense of Korányi [10], which are related to the "strong" maximal function

$$
P^{* *} f(x)=\sup _{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, 0\right) \in \Omega}\left|P_{y} * f(x)\right| .
$$

In this last case we refer to $[2,13,3]$ for positive results in $L^{p}(p>1)$, and negative results in $L^{1}$ in the different contexts of $T_{\Omega}$, symmetric domains and general homogeneous Siegel domains.

In this paper we shall be interested in restricted convergence and the boundedness properties of the operator $P^{*}$. In $L^{p}$ spaces these have been studied by different methods (see the above mentioned papers [18, 17], or a general procedure for symmetric domains in [14]). A particularly simple approach in the case of $T_{\Omega}$ is based on vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory, or what is the same on suitable decay and smoothness estimates on the kernel $P(x)=P_{\mathbf{e}}(x)$. With this machinery it is not difficult to establish the boundedness of $P^{*}$ in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $1<p \leq \infty$, and the weak boundedness in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see e.g. [15, p. 82]).

Our goal in this paper is to pursue this approach, by considering finer estimates in the kernels which lead to new boundedness properties of $P^{*}$ in weighted $L^{p}$ spaces.

In particular, this will produce large classes of functions which satisfy the restricted pointwise limit in (1.2). In fact, we shall actually characterize the $L^{p}(v)$ spaces which admit restricted pointwise convergence as in (1.2). Our theorems in this direction can be stated as follows. Below, $A_{p}$ denotes the usual Muckenhoupt class (as defined e.g. in [15, Ch.5]).

THEOREM 1.4 Let $w(x)>0$ and $1<p<\infty$. Then $P^{*}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(w)$ if and only if $w \in A_{p}$. Similarly, $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{1}(w)$ into $L^{1, \infty}(w)$ if and only if $w \in A_{1}$.

A surprising consequence of this theorem is that $P^{*}$ is bounded in the same $L^{p}(w)$ spaces as the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, even though $P^{*} f(x)$ is typically much larger than $M f(x)$. We do not know whether this result remains true in higher rank cones. We also remark a main difference with the standard approach to weighted inequalities since $P^{*}$ is not a "regular" Calderón-Zygmund operator. We shall handle this difficulty with a fine computation of the smoothness of $P(x)$, and an application of the refined vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory developed in [12].

Our second result is very much related to the intrinsic geometry of the cone. To state it we introduce the following subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{k}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad \text { dist }(x, \pm \partial \Omega) \leq 2^{k}\right\}, \quad k \geq 1 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 1.6 Let $v(x)>0$ and $1<p<\infty$. Then, the following are equivalent:
(a) There exists $u(x)>0$ such that $P^{*}: L^{p}(v) \rightarrow L^{p}(u)$ is bounded;
(b) For all $f \in L^{p}(v)$ it holds that $P^{*} f(x)<\infty$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(c) For all $f \in L^{p}(v)$ it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} P_{t \mathrm{e}} * f(x)=f(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{t \mathrm{e}} * f(x)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(d) The weight $v(x)$ satisfies the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{*}^{p^{\prime}}:=\sup _{k \geq 1} \int_{E_{k}} \frac{v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n p^{\prime}}} d y<\infty . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equivalence between $(a),(b)$ and $(c)$ is a standard consequence of NikishinStein type theorems. They are in fact also equivalent to the weak $L^{p}$ boundedness of $P^{*}$, as we shall see in $\S 4.5$. The main contribution of the previous theorem is the characterization in terms of the geometric condition (d). This new condition lies in between the known conditions for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and the Riesz transforms, which respectively take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^{k n p^{\prime}}} \int_{|y| \leq 2^{k}} v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) d y<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)}{(1+|y|)^{n p^{\prime}}} d y<\infty \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see e.g. [5, Ch.6]). Observe that (1.8) contains specific information about cones, via size conditions for $v(x)$ in the sets $E_{k}$. This can be used, for instance, to construct examples of functions $f$ so that $M f<\infty$ and $P^{*} f \equiv \infty$ (see $\S 4.1$ below). In contrast with Theorem 1.4, this result illustrates a quantitatively different behavior between Poisson integrals and classical approximations of the identity regarding the convergence problem in (1.2).

Finally, we would like to point out that we restrict our results to light-cones because of the explicit estimates of the kernels and the simple statements of the characterization theorems. The situation in general symmetric spaces is necessarily more subtle, as it happens already in the unweighted case [14, 13]. A more detailed investigation of such situations will be presented elsewhere.

Throughout the paper, the notation $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq c B$, for a non relevant constant $c>0$. Likewise, $A \sim B$ means that $c_{1} A \leq B \leq c_{2} A$ for two such constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$.

## 2 Estimates for Poisson kernels

Throughout this section we denote

$$
P(x)=P_{\mathbf{e}}(x)=|\Delta(x+i \mathbf{e})|^{-n} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{t}(x)=t^{-n} P(x / t) \quad \text { for } t>0 .
$$

In the next proposition we list some elementary and well-known properties of $P(x)$.

Proposition 2.1 The following properties hold:
(i) $\quad P_{t}(x)=\left(\frac{\Delta(x)^{2}}{t^{2}}+2|x|^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{-n / 2}, \quad t>0$.
(ii) $\quad c_{1}(1+|x|)^{-2 n} \leq P(x) \leq c_{2}(1+|x|)^{-n}$.
(iii) For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad r \in(0, \infty) \mapsto P(r x) \quad$ is decreasing.
(iv) $\quad P \in L^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad$ if and only if $\quad \alpha>\frac{n-1}{n}$.
(v) $\quad|\nabla P(x)| \leq c P(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Proof: The proofs of (i)-(iii) are completely elementary, following from the definition of $\Delta(x+i \mathbf{e})$. Property (iv) can be found, e.g. in [1, Lemma 3.4]. Finally, (v) can be checked by direct computation of the gradient.

A slightly more general proof of (v) which is also valid for higher rank cones is as follows. Using the notation in [4, Ch.3], we can diagonalize $x=\lambda_{1} \mathbf{c}_{1}+\lambda_{2} \mathbf{c}_{2}$ for a system of idempotents $\left\{\mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and a pair of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Delta(x+i \mathbf{e})=\operatorname{det}(x+i \mathbf{e})=\Pi_{j=1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{j}+i\right)$, and therefore

$$
\nabla\left(|\operatorname{det}(x+i \mathbf{e})|^{-n}\right)=-\frac{n}{2}\left(|\operatorname{det}(x+i \mathbf{e})|^{2}\right)^{-\frac{n}{2}-1} \nabla\left[\Pi_{j=1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+1\right)\right] .
$$

Since $\lambda_{j}=\left(x \mid \mathbf{c}_{j}\right)$, it follows that $\nabla \lambda_{j}=\mathbf{c}_{j}$, which leads to the expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla P(x) & =-\frac{n}{2} P(x)|\operatorname{det}(x+i \mathbf{e})|^{-2}\left[\Sigma_{j=1}^{2} \frac{2 \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}+1} \Pi_{j=1}^{2}\left(\lambda_{j}^{2}+1\right) \mathbf{c}_{j}\right] \\
& =-\frac{n}{2} P(x)\left[\Sigma_{j=1}^{2} \frac{2 \lambda_{j}}{\lambda_{j}^{2}+1} \mathbf{c}_{j}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking modulus of this last quantity one easily sees that $|\nabla P(x)| \leq n P(x)$.

REMARK 2.2 To illustrate the anisotropy of the kernel we remark that (ii) gives the best possible radial estimates for $P(x)$. In fact, the best decay is attained at points in the axis of the cone $x=x_{1} \mathbf{e}$, while the worse decay corresponds to the boundary $\pm \partial \Omega=\{\Delta(x)=0\}$.

REMARK 2.3 Observe also from (v) that the decay of $P(x)$ is too poor to give a regular Calderón-Zygmund kernel (in the sense e.g. of [5, p.204]). In fact, it is possible to show that one actually has

$$
\sup _{|h|<\frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{|P(x+h)-P(x)|}{|h|^{\varepsilon} /|x|^{n+\varepsilon}}=\infty, \quad \forall \varepsilon>0 .
$$

In our next proposition we shall give a key decay estimate for the vector-valued kernel $\mathcal{P}(x)=\left\{P_{2^{m}}(x)\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We shall use the notation $S_{k}(|h|)$ for the spherical shell $2^{k}|h| \leq|x| \leq 2^{k+1}|h|$.

Proposition 2.4 Let $1 \leq s<\infty$. Then there exist constants $C, \gamma>0$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\int_{S_{k}(|h|)} \sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{2^{m}}(x+h)-P_{2^{m}}(x)\right|^{s} d x\right]^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq C 2^{-\gamma k}\left|S_{k}(|h|)\right|^{-\frac{1}{s^{\prime}}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all for all $k \geq 1$ and all $h \neq 0$

REMARK 2.6 When $s=1$, (2.5) gives the classical Hörmander condition for $\mathcal{P}=$ $\left\{P_{2^{m}}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geq 2|h|}\left|\left\{P_{2^{m}}(x+h)-P_{2^{m}}(x)\right\}_{m}\right|_{\ell_{\infty}} d x \leq C, \quad \forall h \neq 0 . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let $I$ denote the $s$-th power of the left-hand side of (2.5), and write $I \leq I_{1}+I_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\int_{S_{k}(|h|)} \sum_{2^{m}>|h|}\left|P_{2^{m}}(x+h)-P_{2^{m}}(x)\right|^{s} d x \\
\text { and } \quad I_{2} & =\int_{S_{k}(|h|)} \sum_{2^{m} \leq|h|}\left|P_{2^{m}}(x+h)-P_{2^{m}}(x)\right|^{s} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate the first term we shall use the inequality

$$
\left|P\left(\frac{x+h}{2^{m}}\right)-P\left(\frac{x}{2^{m}}\right)\right| \leq\left|\frac{h}{2^{m}}\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left|\nabla P\left(\frac{x+\theta h}{2^{m}}\right)\right| d \theta
$$

Then, controlling the gradient with (v) above, using Hölder's inequality in $d \theta$ and changing variables we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1} & \lesssim \sum_{2^{m}>|h|}\left|\frac{h}{2^{m}}\right|^{s} 2^{-n m s} \int_{S_{k}(|h|)} \int_{0}^{1} P\left(\frac{x+\theta h}{2^{m}}\right)^{s} d \theta d x \\
& \leq|h|^{s} \sum_{2^{m}>|h|} 2^{-(n+1) m s} 2^{n m} \int_{|u| \sim 2^{k-m}|h|} P(u)^{s} d u . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

For the computations that follow the next elementary lemma will be useful.

LEMMA 2.9 For any real numbers $\alpha \in\left(\frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)$ and $\beta \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|u| \sim 2^{\ell} A} P(u)^{s} d u \leq C \frac{\left(2^{\ell} A\right)^{n(1-\beta)}}{\left(1+2^{\ell} A\right)^{n(s-\alpha \beta)}}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ does not depend on $A>0$ or $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof: By Hölder's inequality with $1 / \beta$ we see that

$$
\int_{|u| \sim 2^{\ell} A} P(u)^{s} d u \lesssim\left(\int_{|u| \sim 2^{\ell} A} P(u)^{s / \beta} d u\right)^{\beta}\left(2^{\ell} A\right)^{n(1-\beta)}
$$

Next, we use (ii) to the estimate the integrand by $P(u)^{\frac{s}{\beta}} \lesssim P(u)^{\alpha}(1+|u|)^{-n\left(\frac{s}{\beta}-\alpha\right)}$, and therefore obtain

$$
\int_{|u| \sim 2^{\ell} A} P(u)^{s} d u \lesssim \frac{\left(2^{\ell} A\right)^{n(1-\beta)}}{\left(1+2^{\ell} A\right)^{n(s-\alpha \beta)}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P(u)^{\alpha} d u\right)^{\beta}
$$

Finally, (iv) implies that the last integral is finite, hence establishing (2.10).

Continuing with the estimation of $I_{1}$ in (2.8), we write

$$
I_{1}=\sum_{2^{m}>|h|} \ldots \leq \sum_{2^{m}>2^{k}|h|} \ldots+\sum_{|h|<2^{m} \leq 2^{k}|h|} \ldots=S_{1}+S_{2} .
$$

For the first term, using the lemma,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & \lesssim|h|^{s} \sum_{2^{m}>2^{k}|h|} 2^{-(n+1) m s} 2^{n m}\left(2^{k-m}|h|\right)^{n(1-\beta)} \\
& =|h|^{s}\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{n(1-\beta)} \sum_{2^{m}>2^{k}|h|} 2^{-(n+1) s m} 2^{n \beta m} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-k s}\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{-n s / s^{\prime}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where in the last inequality we used $(n+1) s>n \beta$ (since $s \geq 1>\beta$ ). On the other hand, the second term is controlled by

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & \lesssim|h|^{s} \sum_{2^{m}>|h|} 2^{-(n+1) m s} 2^{n m} \frac{\left(2^{k-m}|h|\right)^{n(1-\beta)}}{\left(2^{k-m}|h|\right)^{n(s-\alpha \beta)}} \\
& =|h|^{s}\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{n(1-s-\beta(1-\alpha))} \sum_{2^{m}>|h|} 2^{-s m} 2^{n \beta(1-\alpha) m} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-k n \beta(1-\alpha)}\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{-n s / s^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\beta$ is small enough so that $n \beta(1-\alpha)<s$. Thus, choosing $\gamma=n \beta(1-\alpha) / s$ we have $I_{1} \lesssim 2^{-k \gamma s}\left|S_{k}(|h|)\right|^{-s / s^{\prime}}$.

We now turn to the summand $I_{2}$, for which we use the crude estimate

$$
\int_{S_{k}(| | \mid)}\left|P_{2^{m}}(x+h)-P_{2^{m}}(x)\right|^{s} d x \lesssim \int_{|u| \sim 2^{k}|h|} P_{2^{m}}(u)^{s} d u
$$

Inserting this into $I_{2}$ and using the lemma we obtain a series similar to $S_{2}$ above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \lesssim \sum_{2^{m} \leq|h|} 2^{-n m s} 2^{n m} \frac{\left(2^{k-m}|h|\right)^{n(1-\beta)}}{\left(2^{k-m}|h|\right)^{n(s-\alpha \beta)}} \\
& =\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{n(1-s-\beta(1-\alpha))} \sum_{2^{m} \leq|h|} 2^{n \beta(1-\alpha) m} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-k n \beta(1-\alpha)}\left(2^{k}|h|\right)^{-n s / s^{\prime}}=c 2^{-k \gamma s}\left|S_{k}(|h|)\right|^{-s / s^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where to sum the series we used $\beta(1-\alpha)>0$. This concludes the proof of the proposition.

To conclude this section, observe from property (iii) above that

$$
P^{*} f(x) \sim \sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{2^{m}} * f(x), \quad \forall f \geq 0
$$

Thus, the $L^{p}$-boundedness of $P^{*}$ is controlled by the vector-valued linear operator $\mathbf{P}: L^{\infty} \rightarrow L_{\ell}^{\infty}$ with convolution kernel $\mathcal{P}(x)=\left\{P_{2^{m}}(x)\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. As a corollary of the estimate (2.7) for $\mathcal{P}(x)$ (i.e., (2.5) with $s=1$ ) we obtain an improved version of the classical result of Stein and Weiss.

COROLLARY 2.11 The maximal Poisson operator $P^{*}$ is bounded $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, for all $1<p \leq \infty$, and is weakly bounded in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, for all $1<q<\infty$ the following vector-valued inequalities hold

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|P^{*} f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{p} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{p} \\
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|P^{*} f_{j}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\lambda\right\}\right| \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{1}, \quad \forall \lambda>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof: First apply to the operator $\mathbf{P}$ the vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund theory (see e.g. Theorems V.3.4 and V.3.9 in [5]), and then use the pointwise estimate $P^{*} f(x) \leq c|\mathbf{P}(|f|)(x)|_{\ell \infty}$.

## 3 The proof of Theorem 1.4

In this section we turn to the weighted inequalities in Theorem 1.4. These will be a direct consequence of the estimate of the kernel $P(x)$ in Proposition 2.4 and the results in the paper [12]. In fact, one can prove a stronger statement than Theorem 1.4 above.

THEOREM 3.1 For all $1<p<\infty$ and all $w \in A_{p}$ the maximal Poisson operator $P^{*}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(w)$, and moreover it holds the vector valued inequality:

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|P^{*} f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)} \leq C\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{p}(w)}, \quad \forall q \in(1, \infty) .
$$

Likewise, if $w \in A_{1}$ then $P^{*}$ is weakly bounded in $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and for all $1<q<\infty$

$$
w\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad\left(\Sigma_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|P^{*} f_{j}(x)\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}>\lambda\right\} \leq \frac{C}{\lambda}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right\|_{L^{1}(w)}, \quad \forall \lambda>0
$$

Conversely, if $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{p}(\mu)$ into $L^{p, \infty}(\mu)$ for some $1 \leq p<\infty$ and some positive Borel measure $\mu$, then necessarily $\mu=w(x) d x$ and $w \in A_{p}$.

Proof: By classical results on Muckenhoupt classes, if $1<p<\infty$ and $w \in A_{p}$ then there exists a real number $\sigma \in(1, p)$ (sufficiently close to 1 ) so that $w \in A_{p / \sigma}$. Similarly, if $w \in A_{1}$ there is some $\sigma>1$ so that $w^{\sigma} \in A_{1}[15, \mathrm{Ch} .5]$. In either case, the kernel $\mathcal{P}(x)=\left\{P_{2^{m}}(x)\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of the vector-valued operator $\mathbf{P}$ satisfies the regularity condition (2.5) with $s=\sigma^{\prime}$ (the conjugate index of $\sigma$ ). Thus, we are under the conditions of Theorem II.1.6 in the paper [12], which implies the boundedness of $\mathbf{P}$ from $L^{p}(w)$ into $L_{\ell \infty}^{p}(w)$, as well as the corresponding weak boundedness for $p=1$ and their $\ell^{q}$-valued counterparts. This and the trivial estimate $P^{*} f(x) \lesssim|\mathbf{P}(|f|)(x)|_{\ell_{\infty}}$ are enough to establish the first part of the theorem.

The converse follows easily from the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
M f(x) \leq c P^{*} f(x), \quad \forall f \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed assuming (3.2), if $P^{*}$ is weakly bounded in $L^{p}(\mu)$, then so is the HardyLittlewood maximal operator $M$. But then the characterization theorem of $A_{p}$ weights gives $\mu=w(x) d x$ and $w \in A_{p}$ (see [15, p.198]).

Finally, to see (3.2) one uses the left-hand estimate of $P(x)$ in (ii) of the previous section, so that

$$
P^{*} f(x) \gtrsim \sup _{t>0} t^{-n} \int_{B_{t}(x)} \frac{f(u)}{(1+|x-u| / t)^{2 n}} d u \sim M f(x)
$$

## 4 The two weight problem

In this section we give a complete proof of Theorem 1.6. We begin with an example which illustrates the different behaviors at infinity of the maximal functions $M f$ and $P^{*} f$.

### 4.1 A first example

Let $f(y)=\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\log y_{1}} \chi_{E}(y)$, where the set $E$ is given by

$$
E=\{y \in \Omega: \quad 1 \leq \Delta(y) \leq 2, \quad|y| \geq 2\}
$$

This function has a critical growth along the singular directions of $P(x)$. More precisely, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1 The function $f(y)=\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\log y_{1}} \chi_{E}(y)$ satisfies $P^{*} f \equiv \infty$ and $M f<\infty$.
Proof: For the first assertion we will actually show that $P * f \equiv \infty$ (which by monotonicity even implies $P_{t} * f \equiv \infty$ for any $\left.t>0\right)$. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ recall that

$$
P * f(x)=\int_{E} \frac{f(y)}{\left(|\Delta(x-y)|^{2}+2|x-y|^{2}+1\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} d y
$$

Observe that $\Delta(x-y)=\Delta(x)+\Delta(y)+2\left(x_{1} y_{1}-x^{\prime} \cdot y^{\prime}\right)$. So, if we restrict the region of integration to $E \cap\{|y| \geq 2|x|\}$, we will have

$$
|\Delta(x-y)|+|x-y|+1 \lesssim|x|^{2}+|x||y|+|y|+1 \leq c_{|x|}(|y|+1) .
$$

Also, since in the cone $y_{1} \sim|y|$ we have

$$
P * f(x) \gtrsim c_{|x|} \int_{E \cap\{|y| \geq 2|x|\}} \frac{f(y)}{\left(y_{1}+1\right)^{n}} d y_{1} .
$$

Now a simple computation shows that, for each $y_{1} \gg 1$, the $(n-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set $\left\{y^{\prime}: 1 \leq y_{1}^{2}-\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq 2\right\}$ is comparable to $y_{1}^{n-3}$. Thus

$$
P * f(x) \gtrsim c_{|x|} \int_{2|x|+2}^{\infty} \frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\log y_{1}} \frac{y_{1}^{n-3}}{\left(y_{1}+1\right)^{n}} d y=\infty
$$

To establish the second assertion we will construct a weight $v(y)>0$ so that $f \in L^{2}(v)$ and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is bounded from $L^{2}(v)$ into $L^{2}(u)$ (for some other weight $u>0$ ). Recall that for such boundedness to hold it is necessary and sufficient that $v(y)$ satisfies the left-hand condition in (1.9) for $p=2$ (see e.g. [5, Th. VI.6.10]). In this setting we only need to choose

$$
v(y)=\frac{1}{y_{1}^{n+2}} \chi_{E}(y)+\chi_{E^{c}}(y) .
$$

Then, a similar reasoning as above gives

$$
\int_{E}|f(y)|^{2} v(y) d y \sim \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{y_{1}^{4}}{\left(\log y_{1}\right)^{2}} \frac{y_{1}^{n-3}}{y_{1}^{n+2}} d y<\infty .
$$

On the other hand, the left-hand condition in (1.9) holds trivially when we integrate along $E^{c}$, where $v \equiv 1$. For the other part observe that

$$
\frac{1}{R^{2 n}} \int_{B_{R}(0) \cap E} v^{-1}(y) d y \lesssim \frac{1}{R^{2 n}} \int_{2}^{R} y_{1}^{n+2} y_{1}^{n-3} d y \sim 1, \quad \forall R \geq 1
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
REMARK 4.2 The previous example also illustrates a feature of the theory of weights. This theory shows that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function behaves well in a "large" space such as $L^{2}(v)$, even though this space contains functions like $f$ which do not belong to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

REMARK 4.3 The function $f(y)$ defined above is also "critical" for $P^{*}$ in the sense that a slightly slower growth such as

$$
f_{\varepsilon}(y)=\frac{y_{1}^{2}}{\left(\log y_{1}\right)^{1+\varepsilon}} \chi_{E}(y), \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

implies that $P^{*} f_{\varepsilon}(x)<\infty$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. To see this one can proceed as in the last part of the lemma, showing that $f_{\varepsilon} \in L^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ for the slightly better weight $v_{\varepsilon}(y)=$ $\left(\log y_{1}\right)^{1+\varepsilon} y_{1}^{-(n+2)} \chi_{E}+\chi_{E^{c}}$. Now this weight satisfies the right-hand condition in (1.9) for $p=2$. Thus the vector-valued Calderón-Zygmund operator $\mathbf{P}$ is bounded from $L^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ into $L_{\ell^{\infty}}^{2}(u)$ for some weight $u$ (see [5, Th. VI.6.4 and remark in p. 563]). Hence so is $P^{*}$ from $L^{2}\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)$ into $L^{2}(u)$, which implies $P^{*} f_{\varepsilon}<\infty$.

### 4.2 The sufficient condition

In this subsection we show the key implication " $(d) \Rightarrow(a)$ " in Theorem 1.6. Our strategy follows the ideas developed by J.L. Rubio de Francia in [11], based on the equivalence between vector-valued and weighted inequalities. We shall use the following factorization result, valid for a general Banach space $\mathbb{B}$ :

THEOREM 4.4 : see [5, Th. VI.4.2]. Let $0<r<p<\infty$ and $T$ be a sublinear operator defined on $\mathbb{B}$ such that, for some constant $C>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|T f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{\mathbb{B}}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall\left\{f_{j}\right\} \subset \mathbb{B} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $u>0$ satisfying $\int u(x)^{-\frac{r}{p-r}} d x \leq 1$ and $\|T f\|_{L^{p}(u)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathbb{B}}$.
In our application we shall take $\mathbb{B}=L^{p}(v)$, so that the right-hand side of (4.5) actually equals $\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)}$. We shall also decompose $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into $S_{0}=B_{1}(0)$ and the spherical shells $S_{k}=\left\{2^{k-1} \leq|y|<2^{k}\right\}, k \geq 1$, and consider each of the corresponding operators

$$
T^{(k)} f:=\left(P^{*} f\right) \chi_{S_{k}}, \quad k \geq 0 .
$$

Our goal now is to show that: for any $r<1<p$ and $k \geq 0$ there exists a constant $c_{p, r}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|P^{*} f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(S_{k}\right)} \leq c_{p, r}\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming this, we can apply Theorem 4.4 to find corresponding weights $u_{k}$ so that

$$
\left\|T^{(k)} f\right\|_{L^{p}\left(u_{k}\right)}^{p}=\int_{S_{k}}\left|P^{*} f(y)\right|^{p} u_{k}(y) d y \leq c_{p, r}^{p}\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{p}{r}}\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}^{p} .
$$

Thus, for any $\gamma>0$ we can define $u(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-\gamma k} 2^{-n k p / r} u_{k}(y) \chi_{S_{k}}(y)$, so that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|P^{*} f(y)\right|^{p} u(y) d y \leq c_{p, r, \gamma}^{\prime}\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}^{p},
$$

which is the desired part (a) of Theorem 1.6.

REMARK 4.7 Observe that Theorem 4.4 gives as well some size information on the weights $u_{k}(x)$. In fact, it follows easily from the previous argument that for any $\varepsilon>0$, one can a find a weight $u$ so that $\int u(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}+\varepsilon}(1+|y|)^{-n p^{\prime}} d y<\infty$.

Let us now turn to the proof of the vector-valued inequalities (4.6). Given a fixed $k \geq 0$ and function $f \in L^{p}(v)$, we shall split it in three summands $f=f^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime}+f^{\prime \prime \prime}$ as follows

$$
f^{\prime}=f \chi_{B_{2} k+1}(0), \quad f^{\prime \prime}=f \chi_{E_{k+1} \backslash B_{2^{k+1}}(0)} \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime \prime \prime}=f \chi_{E_{k+1}^{c}},
$$

where the sets $E_{k}$ were defined in (1.5). We proceed now differently in each of these cases.

Step 1.- Proof of (4.6) for $\left\{f_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$.
This is the "local part", which follows essentially from the vector-valued inequality in Corollary 2.11. Indeed, since $r<1$, by Kolmogorov's inequality [5, p. 485],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|P^{*} f_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(S_{k}\right)} & \lesssim\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|P^{*} f_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\quad(\text { Corollary 2.11) } & \lesssim\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\quad\left(\operatorname{Supp} f_{j}^{\prime} \subset B_{2^{k+1}}\right) & \lesssim\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}-1}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)}\left(\int_{B_{2^{k+1}}} v^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The claim now follows from the condition on $v$ in (d) since $\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}=\frac{1}{p-1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|S_{k}\right|^{-1}\left(\int_{B_{2^{k+1}}} v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \lesssim\left(\int_{B_{2^{k+1}}} \frac{v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n p^{\prime}}} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \leq\|v\|_{*} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 2.- Proof of (4.6) for $\left\{f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$.
For the "global part" it suffices to prove a pointwise estimate of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in S_{k}} P^{*} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \lesssim\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p}(v) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, assuming (4.9) for the collection $\left\{f_{j}\right\}$ and summing in $j$ we will also have

$$
\sup _{x \in S_{k}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|P^{*} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim\left(\sum_{j}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)},
$$

and therefore $\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|P^{*} f_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{r}\left(S_{k}\right)} \lesssim\left|S_{k}\right|^{\frac{1}{r}}\left\|\left(\sum_{j}\left|f_{j}\right|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)}$ which is (4.6).
To show (4.9) we use a crude estimate in the kernel

$$
P_{t}(x-y) \lesssim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n}} \sim \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{n}}, \quad \text { when } \quad x \in S_{k}, y \in B_{2^{k+1}}^{c}
$$

Thus, when $x \in S_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \lesssim \int \frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(y)\right|}{(1+|y|)^{n}} d y \leq\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}\left(\int_{E_{k+1} \backslash B_{2^{k+1}}} \frac{v^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(y)}{(1+|y|)^{n p^{\prime}}} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this last integral is clearly majorized by a constant multiple of $\|v\|_{*}$.
Step 3.- Proof of (4.6) for $\left\{f_{j}^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\}$.
For this part we shall also prove a pointwise estimate as in (4.9) (with $f^{\prime \prime}$ replaced by $\left.f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$, although this time we shall need a finer analysis on the kernel. We shall use the following elementary lemma.

LEMMA 4.11 For all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \sqrt{2}|y|$ dist $(y, \pm \partial \Omega) \leq|\Delta(y)| \leq 2|y|$ dist $(y, \pm \partial \Omega)$.
Proof: Reducing to the case $y=\left(\left|y_{1}\right|,\left|y^{\prime}\right|, 0\right)$ (by rotation invariance), it is easy to see that $\operatorname{dist}(y, \pm \partial \Omega)=\left|\left|y_{1}\right|-\left|y^{\prime}\right|\right| / \sqrt{2}$. Thus, the result follows by writing $\Delta(y)=$ $\left(\left|y_{1}\right|+\left|y^{\prime}\right|\right)\left(\left|y_{1}\right|-\left|y^{\prime}\right|\right)$.

For the estimation of $P^{*} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)$ we define the dyadic hyperboloidal shells

$$
D_{\ell}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad 2^{\ell-1}<\operatorname{dist}(y, \pm \partial \Omega) \leq 2^{\ell}\right\}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Recall that $f^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is supported in $E_{k+1}^{c}=\cup_{\ell \geq 2} D_{k+\ell}$. Using the lemma, for any $x \in S_{k}$ and $y \in D_{k+\ell}$ with $\ell \geq 2$ we have

$$
|\Delta(x-y)| \geq|\Delta(y)|-|\Delta(x)|-2|x||y| \geq c 2^{k+\ell}|y|
$$

Thus, if $x \in S_{k}$

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{*} f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x) & \leq \sup _{0<t<2^{k+1}} P_{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|(x)+\sup _{t \geq 2^{k+1}} P_{t}\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|(x) \\
& \lesssim \sup _{0<t<2^{k+1}} \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \int_{D_{k+\ell}} \frac{|f(y)|}{\left(2^{k+\ell}|y| / t\right)^{n}} d y+\sup _{m \geq k+1} P_{2^{m}}|f|(x) . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in (4.12) can be estimated by Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell n} \int_{D_{k+\ell}} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y|^{n}} d y \leq \sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} 2^{-\ell n}\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}\left(\int_{D_{k+\ell}} \frac{v^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}(y)}{|y|^{n}} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that in $D_{k+\ell}$ we have $|y| \sim\left(2^{k+\ell}+|y|\right)$, so the integral inside the parenthesis is actually bounded by $\|v\|_{*}$. To deal with the second term in (4.12) we fix $m \geq k+1$ and split the integral defining $P_{2^{m}}|f|(x)$ in three parts, majorizing the kernel accordingly:

$$
P_{2^{m}}|f|(x) \lesssim \int_{B_{2^{m}}} \frac{|f(y)|}{2^{m n}} d y+\int_{E_{m+1} \backslash B_{2} m} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y|^{n}} d y+\sum_{\ell=2}^{\infty} \int_{D_{m+\ell}} \frac{|f(y)|}{\left(2^{\ell}|y|\right)^{n}} d y
$$

Now, each of these three terms has been handled respectively in (4.8), (4.10) and (4.13) with $m$ replaced by $k$. Thus, the same arguments with Hölder's inequality lead to the uniform bound $\|v\|_{*}\|f\|_{L^{p}(v)}$. This establishes step 3, completing the proof of $"(d) \Rightarrow(a)$ " in Theorem 1.6.

### 4.3 The necessary condition

In this subsection we show the implication " $(a) \Rightarrow(d)$ " in Theorem 1.6. The key estimate is contained in the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.14 Let $\gamma>0$ be fixed. Then, there exists $c=c(\gamma)>0$ so that for all for all $k \geq 1$ and $f \geq 0$ supported in $E_{k}$ we have

$$
P^{*} f(x) \geq c \int_{E_{k}} \frac{f(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n}} d y, \quad \forall x \in B_{\gamma}(0) .
$$

Proof: If $x \in B_{\gamma}(0)$ and $y \in E_{k}$, then by Lemma 4.11

$$
|\Delta(x-y)| \leq|\Delta(x)|+|\Delta(y)|+2|x||y| \lesssim 1+2^{k}|y|+|y| .
$$

Thus, using (i) in $\S 2$ we can majorize the denominator of $P_{2^{k}}(x-y)$ by

$$
\left(2^{-k}|\Delta(x-y)|+|x-y|+2^{k}\right)^{n} \lesssim\left(|y|+2^{k}\right)^{n} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
P^{*} f(x) \geq P_{2^{k}} f(x) \gtrsim \int_{E_{k}} \frac{f(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n}} d y, \quad \forall x \in B_{\gamma}(0) .
$$

From the previous result, and using a more or less standard method, we can prove a stronger version of " $(a) \Rightarrow(d)$ ".

Proposition 4.15 Let $1<p<\infty$ and $v(x)>0$. Suppose that $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p, \infty}(\mu)$ for some positive Borel measure $\mu$. Then

$$
\|v\|_{*}^{p^{\prime}}:=\sup _{k \geq 1} \int_{E_{k}} \frac{v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n p^{\prime}}} d y<\infty .
$$

Proof: We first choose a constant $\gamma>0$ so that $\mu\left(B_{\gamma}(0)\right)>0$. Let $k \geq 1$ be fixed and consider an arbitrary function $f \geq 0$ supported in $E_{k}$. Then, by the previous lemma

$$
\mu\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \quad P^{*} f(x)>c \int_{E_{k}} \frac{f(y)}{\left(2^{k}+\mid y\right)^{n}} d y\right\} \geq \mu\left(B_{\gamma}(0)\right)
$$

By the assumed weak boundedness of $P^{*}$ we can also estimate from above the lefthand side of the previous inequality. This leads to

$$
c \mu\left(B_{\gamma}(0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_{E_{k}} \frac{f(y)}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n}} d y \leq C\left(\int|f(y)|^{p} v(y) d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

Observe that $\mu\left(B_{\gamma}(0)\right)$ can be absorbed in the previous inequality as a positive constant. Now, taking $f=g v^{-1 / p}$, for arbitrary $g \geq 0$, supported in $E_{k}$ and with $\int|g|^{p}=1$ we can write the previous as

$$
\int_{E_{k}} g(y) \frac{v(y)^{-\frac{1}{p}}}{\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{n}} d y \leq C^{\prime}\left(\int|g(y)|^{p} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=C^{\prime}
$$

By duality this implies that $v(y)^{-1 / p}\left(2^{k}+|y|\right)^{-n} \in L^{p^{\prime}}\left(E_{k}\right)$ with norm bounded a the constant $C^{\prime}$ (which is independent of $k$ ). Thus, $\|v\|_{*} \leq C^{\prime}$ and we have proved the proposition.

### 4.4 Nikishin type theorems

The following implications in Theorem 1.6 are easy to verify: " $a) \Rightarrow(c) \Rightarrow(b)$ ". Indeed, the first one is an application of Banach's principle (see e.g. [6, p.11]), since (1.7) holds for functions $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The second implication is trivial using the monotonicity of the kernel. The main point is therefore to show that " $(b) \Rightarrow(a)$ ". We will prove an apparently weaker result.

Proposition 4.16 Let $1<p<\infty$ and $v(x)>0$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*} f(x)<\infty, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p}(v) . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $u(x)>0$ so that $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p, \infty}(u)$.
Assuming that (b) holds and assuming the previous proposition we are exactly in the hypothesis of Proposition 4.15 of the previous subsection. Thus $\|v\|_{*}<\infty$ and henceforth, by the implication shown in $\S 4.2, P^{*}$ must be bounded from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p}(u)$ for some $u$. This will establish the step " $(b) \Rightarrow(a)$ " and complete the proof of Theorem 1.6.

## Proof of Proposition 4.16:

By mononicity, we only need to prove the result for $\tilde{P}^{*} f=\sup _{m \in \mathbb{Z}}\left|P_{2^{m}} * f\right|$. By Nikishin's theorem (see e.g. [5, Corol. VI.2.7]) it suffices to show that the sublinear operator $\tilde{P}^{*}$ is continuous in measure from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{0}(d x)$ (the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions which are finite a.e.). We observe that Nikishin's theorem can be applied in the whole range $1<p<\infty$ since $\tilde{P}^{*}$ is positive (i.e. $\left|\tilde{P}^{*} f\right| \leq \tilde{P}^{*}|f|$ ). Banach's continuity principle (see [5, Prop. VI.1.4]) reduces matters to show that each of the convolution operators $P_{2^{m}}$ is continuous in measure from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{0}(d x)$. That is, for each fixed $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have to show that, given a sequence $f_{k} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p}(v)$, for every $R \geq 1$ and $\lambda>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left|\left\{x \in B_{R}(0):\left|P_{2^{m}} * f_{k}(x)\right|>\lambda\right\}\right|=0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no loss of generality if we assume $f_{k} \geq 0$. Moreover, since $P_{2^{m}} * f=$ $\sup _{\ell \geq 1} P_{2^{m}} *\left(f \chi_{B_{2 \ell}}\right)$ for $f \geq 0$, another application of Banach's continuity principle lets us assume in addition that the $f_{k}$ 's are supported in a fixed ball $B_{2^{\ell}}(0)$.

We shall use the following lemma.
LEMMA 4.19 In the conditions of Proposition 4.16, if the weight $v$ satisfies (4.17) then $v^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} \in L_{\ell c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. In particular, $L^{p}(v) \hookrightarrow L_{\ell c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with continuous inclusion.

Proof: This follows essentially from the inequality $M|f| \lesssim P^{*}|f|$ in (3.2), which together with (4.17) implies that all functions $f$ in $L^{p}(v)$ must be locally integrable. Now, for each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and each $m \geq 1$, we define the functionals in $\left(L^{p}(v)\right)^{*}$

$$
f \in L^{p}(v) \longmapsto T_{m}(f):=\int_{K \cap\{v>1 / m\}} f(x) d x
$$

For every $f \in L^{p}(v)$ we have the local uniform bound $\sup _{m \geq 1}\left|T_{m}(f)\right| \leq \int_{K}|f|<\infty$, which by the Banach-Steinhaus principle gives $\sup _{m \geq 1}\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{\left(L^{p}(v)\right)^{*}}<\infty$. Thus, by monotone convergence

$$
\int_{K} v(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d x=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{K \cap\{v>1 / m\}} v(x)^{-p^{\prime}+1} d x=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T_{m}\right\|_{\left(L^{p}(v)\right)^{*}}^{p^{\prime}}<\infty .
$$

We now turn to the proof of (4.18). By Chebichev's inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\left\{x \in B_{R}(0): P_{2^{m}} *\left(f_{k} \chi_{B_{2^{\ell}}}\right)(x)>\lambda\right\}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{B_{R}} P_{2^{m}} *\left(f_{k} \chi_{B_{2^{\ell}}}\right)(x) d x \\
=\frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{k}(y) \chi_{B_{2^{\ell}}}(y) P_{2^{m}} *\left(\chi_{B_{R}}\right)(y) d y .
\end{gathered}
$$

The second factor in the last integral is majorized by the constant $\int P_{2^{m}}=\int P$. Thus using Hölder's inequality and the previous lemma we obtain

$$
L H S \leq c \lambda^{-1}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}(v)}\left(\int_{B_{2 \ell}} v(y)^{-\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty
$$

This establishes Proposition 4.16 and completes the proof of Theorem 1.6.

### 4.5 Some further remarks

1.- The previous proof actually shows that $(a)-(d)$ are also equivalent to the boundedness of

$$
P^{*}: L^{p}(v) \longrightarrow L^{p, \infty}(\mu) \quad \text { for some positive Borel measure } \mu .
$$

Indeed, clearly $(a) \Rightarrow\left(a^{\prime}\right)$, while in Proposition 4.15 we have shown that $\left(a^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(d)$.
2.- We can also replace the "vertical" pointwise convergence in (1.7) by restricted pointwise convergence. That is, for all $f \in L^{p}(v)$ and for all $\delta \in[0,1)$ it holds that

$$
\lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow 0 \\\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\delta y_{1}}} P_{y} * f(x)=f(x) \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\substack{y \rightarrow \infty \\\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\delta y_{1}}} P_{y} * f(x)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

One implication is trivial: $\left(c^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow(c)$. Conversely, by Banach's principle $\left(c^{\prime}\right)$ will hold if we can show the boundedness from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p}(u)$ of the corresponding maximal function

$$
P_{(\delta)}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{\substack{y \in \Omega \\ y \in y^{\prime} \mid<\delta y_{1}}} P_{y} * f(x) .
$$

Now, it is not difficult to see that $P_{y}(x) \leq c_{\delta} P_{y_{1}}(x)$ (see [18, Lemma 4.3]). Hence if $(c)$ holds, $P^{*}$ is bounded from $L^{p}(v)$ into $L^{p}(u)$ for some $u$, and by the previous estimate so is the maximal operator $P_{(\delta)}^{*}$ for each $\delta \in[0,1)$.
3.- For $p=1$ there is also a natural version of Theorem 1.6.

Proposition 4.20 For a positive weight $v(x)$ the following are equivalent:
$\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad$ There exists $u(x)>0$ such that $P^{*}: L^{1}(v) \rightarrow L^{1, \infty}(u)$ is bounded;
$\left(d^{\prime \prime}\right) \quad \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} v^{-1}(y)(1+|y|)^{-n}<\infty$.
This result is easier to establish and essentially contained in [5]. The reason is that for $p=1$ condition ( $d^{\prime \prime}$ ) is necessary and sufficient for both the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and the Riesz transforms [5, p.565]. In fact, the necessity in the proposition follows from $M f \lesssim P^{*} f$, and the sufficiency by writing $P^{*}$ as a vectorvalued Calderón-Zygmund operator and using Remark VI.6.12.(b) in [5]. The reader can also give a direct proof of the sufficient condition by using Nikishin's theorem and reasoning as in (4.18).
4.- Finally, we mention that the dual problem of Theorem 1.6 is simpler and also contained in [5]. Namely, one has the following.

Proposition 4.21 Given a positive weight $u(x)$ the following are equivalent:
( $a^{*}$ ) There exists $v(x)>0$ such that $P^{*}: L^{p}(v) \rightarrow L^{p}(u)$ is bounded;
$\left(d^{*}\right) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u(y)(1+|y|)^{-n p}<\infty$.
Indeed, this result follows again from the fact that condition $\left(d^{*}\right)$ is necessary and sufficient for both the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and the Riesz transforms. We refer to Theorems VI.6.4 and VI.6.10 in [5]. Also in this case, for each fixed $\varepsilon>0$ one can find a weight $v(x)$ with the size condition $\int v(y)^{1-\varepsilon}(1+|y|)^{-n p} d y<\infty$.
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