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1. Introduction and preliminaries. The generalized Hermite polynomials were defined by G. Szëgo in [16] (see problem 25, page 380) as being orthogonal

[^0]polynomials with respect to the measure $d \lambda(x)=d \lambda_{\mu}(x)=|x|^{2 \mu} e^{-|x|^{2}} d x$, with $\mu>$ $-1 / 2$. In his doctoral thesis T. S. Chihara [2] (see also [3]) studied them in detail. In this paper we consider the definition of the generalized Hermite polynonials given by M. Rosenblum in [11].
Let us denote by $H_{n}^{\mu}$ this generalized Hermite polynomial of degree $n$. Then for $n$ even
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2 m}^{\mu}(x)=(-1)^{m}(2 m)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} L_{m}^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and for $n$ odd

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2 m+1}^{\mu}(x)=(-1)^{m}(2 m+1)!\frac{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2}\right)} x L_{m}^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{m}^{\gamma}$ being the $\gamma$-Laguerre polynomial of degree $m$.
Thus, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\left\|H_{n}^{\mu}\right\|_{L^{2}(d \lambda)}=\left(\frac{2^{n}(n!)^{2} \Gamma(\mu+1 / 2)}{\gamma_{\mu}(n)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $\gamma_{\mu}(n)$ is a generalized factorial defined for $n$ even or odd by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{\mu}(2 m) & =\frac{2^{2 m} m!\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}=(2 m)!\frac{\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)}, \\
\gamma_{\mu}(2 m+1) & =\frac{2^{2 m+1} m!\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)}=(2 m)!\frac{\Gamma\left(m+\mu+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\mu+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m+\frac{3}{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The generalized Hermite polynomials $\left\{H_{n}^{\mu}\right\}$ have a generating function (2.5.8) of [11]) which involves the generalized exponential function $e_{\mu}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{m}}{\gamma_{\mu}(m)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand each generalized Hermite polynomial $H_{n}^{\mu}$ satisfies the following differential equation, see [3],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{n}^{\mu}\right)^{\prime \prime}(x)+2\left(\frac{\mu}{x}-x\right)\left(H_{n}^{\mu}\right)^{\prime}(x)+2\left(n-\mu \frac{\theta_{n}}{x^{2}}\right) H_{n}^{\mu}(x)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\theta_{n}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad n \text { is odd } \\ 0 & \text { if } \\ n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, by considering the (differential-diference) operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\left(\frac{\mu}{x}-x\right) \frac{d}{d x}-\mu \frac{I-\tilde{I}}{2 x^{2}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I f(x)=f(x)$ and $\tilde{I} f(x)=f(-x)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, H_{n}^{\mu}$ turns out to be an eigenfunction of $L_{\mu}$ with eigenvalue $-n$. This operator is one example of a Dunkl
operator in one dimension. The theory of Dunkl operators originated in [4] and it is nowdays a very robust theory, see for instance M. Rösler [12].

Now we let us define the associated Markov semigroup, see D. Bakry [1], as

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(x, d y)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{\mu}(n)}{2^{n}(n!)^{2}} H_{n}^{\mu}(x) H_{n}^{\mu}(y) e^{-n t} \lambda(d y) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This semigroup is entirely characterized by the action on positive or bounded measurable functions by

$$
T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) P_{t}(x, d y)
$$

Thus the family of operators $\left\{T_{\mu}^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is then a conservative semigroup of operators with generator $L_{\mu}$, that we will call the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup which also is called the associated heat-diffusion semigroup, since

$$
\frac{\partial T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)}{\partial t}=L_{\mu} T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)
$$

For $\mu=0,\left\{T_{\mu}^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ reduces to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup whose behavior on $L^{p}$ was studied by B. Muckenhoupt in [8] for the one-dimensional case. By using the generalized Mehler's formula (2.6.8) of [11]: for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|z|<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma_{\mu}(n)}{2^{n}(n!)^{2}} H_{n}^{\mu}(x) H_{n}^{\mu}(y) z^{n}=\frac{1}{\left(1-z^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} e^{-\frac{z^{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{1-z^{2}}} e_{\mu}\left(\frac{2 x y z}{1-z^{2}}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can obtain the following integral expression of this generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $T_{\mu}^{t}, t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)=\frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-2 t}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{e^{-2 t}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{1-e^{-2 t}}} e_{\mu}\left(\frac{2 x y e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2 t}}\right) f(y)|y|^{2 \mu} e^{-|y|^{2}} d y \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following section we will consider the maximal operator associated with $\left\{T_{\mu}^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$, and prove this maximal operator is weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to the measure $\lambda$, bounded in $L^{\infty}$ and therefore bounded in $L^{p}$ with respect to $\lambda$, for $1<p<\infty$. It is important to observe that since $\left\{T_{\mu}^{t}\right\}_{t \geq 0}$ is not a convolution semigroup, its associated maximal operator is not bounded by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Therefore in order to prove the weak $(1,1)$ inequality with respect to $\lambda$ it is needed to develop new techniques. The case $\mu=0$, that as we already said corresponds to the maximal operator of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, was proved by B. Muckenhoupt [8] in one dimension and by P. Sjögren in [13] in any dimension.
We will use repeatedly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{k} e^{-x^{2}} \leq C e^{-x^{2} / 2} \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $C$ which will appear throughout this paper may be different on each occurrence.
2. The maximal function of the generalized Ornstein Uhlenbeck semigroup. Let us define the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck maximal function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)\right| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking $r=e^{-t}$, we can write

$$
T_{\mu}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{0<r<1}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_{r}(x, y) f(y) d \lambda(y)\right|,
$$

with

$$
K_{r}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \frac{r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} e_{\mu}\left(\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right), 0<r<1, x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The main result of this paper is summarized in
Theorem 2.1. For $\mu>-1 / 2$,
i) $T_{\mu}^{*}$ is weak type $(1,1)$ with respect to $\lambda$, i.e. there exists a real constant $C>0$ such that for every $\eta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_{\mu}^{*} f(x)>\eta\right\} \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{1, \lambda} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{1, \lambda}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(y)| d \lambda(y)$.
ii) $T_{\mu}^{*}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}$, i. e. there exists a real constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\mu}^{*} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\infty} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{\infty}$ represents the $L^{\infty}$ norm.
Corollary 2.2. For $\mu>-1 / 2$ and $p>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\mu}^{*} f\right\|_{p, \lambda} \leq C\|f\|_{p, \lambda} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|f\|_{p, \lambda}^{p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(y)|^{p} d \lambda(y)$.
This corollary follows from Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem between the weak type $(1,1)$ and the boundedness in $L^{\infty}$ which will be proved in Theorem 2.1. In order to prove Theorem 2.1 we will introduce well known bounds for the functions $e_{\mu}$ and prove two propositions. The first one, due to I.P. Natanson and B. Muckenhoupt ([9] and [8]), can be seen a generalized Young's inequality for Borel measures. We will write it only for the particular case of the measure $\lambda$. The other one has to do with the biggest function whose density distribution as a function of $\eta$ with respect to the measure $\lambda$ is bounded by $C / \eta$.
2.1. Properties of $\boldsymbol{e}_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$. It can be proved, see (2.2.3) of [11], that the generalized exponential function $e_{\mu}$ can be written as,

$$
e_{\mu}(x)=\Gamma(\mu+1 / 2)(2 / x)^{\mu-1 / 2}\left(I_{\mu-1 / 2}(x)+I_{\mu+1 / 2}(x)\right)
$$

where $I_{\nu}$ denotes the $\nu$-th modified Bessel function. Then, according to [17, (2), p. 77, and (2), p. 203], we have the following estimates that will be useful in the sequel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{\mu}(x)\right| \leq e_{\mu}(|x|) \leq C(1+|x|)^{-\mu} e^{|x|}, x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, $e_{\mu}$ admits the following integral representations depending on the values of $\mu$ [11],

1. if $\mu>0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}(x)=\frac{1}{B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \mu\right)} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{x t}(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^{\mu} d t \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. if $\mu=0 \quad$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{0}(x)=e^{x} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. if $-\frac{1}{2}<\mu<0$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\mu}(x)=e^{x}+\frac{\mu}{\mu+1 / 2} \frac{1}{B(1 / 2, \mu+1)} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(e^{x t}-e^{x}\right)(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^{\mu} d t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.6) it is clear that $e_{\mu}(x) \geq 0$, for $\mu \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. However, this one is not the case when $-1 / 2<\mu<0$. Indeed, assume that $-1 / 2<\mu<0$. Since $e^{u}-1 \geq u, u>0$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-x} e_{\mu}(x) & =1+\frac{\mu}{\mu+1 / 2} \frac{1}{B(1 / 2, \mu+1)} \int_{-1}^{1}\left(e^{x(t-1)}-1\right)(1-t)^{\mu-1}(1+t)^{\mu} d t \\
& \leq 1-\frac{x \mu}{\mu+1 / 2} \frac{1}{B(1 / 2, \mu+1)} \int_{-1}^{1}(1-t)^{\mu}(1+t)^{\mu} d t, x<0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exists $x_{0}>0$ such that $e_{\mu}(x)<0$ for every $x<-x_{0}$.
From the above we infer that the generalized Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup $\left\{T_{\mu}^{t}\right\}_{t>0}$ is positive when $\mu \geq 0$ but it is not when $-1 / 2<\mu<0$.

Proposition 2.3. (Natanson) Let $f$ and $g$ be two $L^{1}(d \lambda)$ functions. Let us assume that $g(y)$ is nonnegative and there is an $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $g(y)$ is monotonically increasing for $y \leq x$ and monotonically decreasing for $x \leq y$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int g(y) f(y) d \lambda(y)\right| \leq\|g\|_{1, \lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} f(x) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} f(x)=\sup _{I \supset\{x\}} \frac{1}{\lambda(I)} \int_{I}|f(y)| d \lambda(y)
$$

is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal fuction of $f$ with respect to $\lambda$. Moreover the Hardy-Littlewood maximal fuction $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} f$ is weak type (1,1) and strong type ( $p, p$ ) for $p>1$ with respect to the measure $\lambda$.

A proof of this proposition can be found in [8].

Proposition 2.4. For $\mu>-1 / 2$, there is a real constant $C>0$ such that the distribution function with respect to $\lambda$ of the function

$$
h(x)=\max \left(\frac{1}{|x|},|x|\right) \frac{e^{x^{2}}}{|x|^{2 \mu}}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
\lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}: h(x)>\eta\} \leq \frac{C}{\eta}
$$

for any $\eta>0$.
Proof. Since $\lambda$ is a finite measure, it is enough to prove this result for $\eta \geq e$. Besides, due to the fact that $h$ is even and $\lambda$ is symmetric, then $\lambda\{x \in \mathbb{R}: h(x)>$ $\eta\}=2 \lambda\{x>0: h(x)>\eta\}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\{x>0: h(x)>\eta\} \leq & \lambda\left\{0<x<1: \frac{1}{x^{2 \mu+1}}>\eta / e\right\} \\
& +\lambda\left\{x>1: \frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu-1}}>\eta\right\} \\
= & \int_{0}^{(e / \eta)^{\frac{1}{2 \mu+1}}} x^{2 \mu} e^{-x^{2}} d x \\
& +\int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} x^{2 \mu} e^{-x^{2}} d x \\
= & I+I I
\end{aligned}
$$

with $x_{0}>1$ and $\frac{e^{x_{0}^{2}}}{x_{0}^{2 \mu-1}}=\eta$. Let us observe that

$$
I \leq \int_{0}^{(e / \eta)^{1 /(2 \mu+1)}} x^{2 \mu} d x=\frac{e}{(1+2 \mu) \eta}
$$

and

$$
I I \leq C x_{0}^{2 \mu-1} e^{-x_{0}^{2}}=\frac{C}{\eta}
$$

For last inequality see [6]. From these two bounds the conclusion of this proposition follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. In order to prove this theorem it suffices to show that there exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left\{x \in(0, \infty): T_{\mu,+}^{*} f(x)>\eta\right\} \leq \frac{C}{\eta}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}, \quad \eta>0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{\mu,+}^{*} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\infty} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \geq 0$. Here

$$
T_{\mu,+}^{*} f(x)=\sup _{t>0}\left|T_{t,+}^{\mu} f(x)\right|,
$$

and

$$
T_{\mu,+}^{t} f(x)=\frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-2 t}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{e^{-2 t}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{1-e^{-2 t}}} e_{\mu}\left(\frac{2 x y e^{-t}}{1-e^{-2 t}}\right) f(y)|y|^{2 \mu} e^{-|y|^{2}} d y
$$

Indeed, let us write $r=e^{-t}$, with $t>0$. By (2.5), we have that

$$
K_{r}(x, y) \leq K_{r}(|x|,|y|), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then

$$
\left|T_{\mu}^{t} f(x)\right| \leq T_{\mu,+}^{t}|f|(|x|)+T_{\mu,+}^{t}|\tilde{f}|(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

being $\tilde{f}(x)=f(-x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence,

$$
T_{\mu}^{*} f(x) \leq T_{\mu,+}^{*}|f|(|x|)+T_{\mu,+}^{*}|\tilde{f}|(|x|), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}
$$

and we can write, for every $\eta>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_{\mu}^{*} f(x)>\eta\right\} \leq & \lambda\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_{\mu,+}^{*}|f|(|x|)>\eta / 2\right\} \\
& +\lambda\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}: T_{\mu,+}^{*}|\tilde{f}|(|x|)>\eta / 2\right\} \\
\leq & 2\left(\lambda\left\{x \in(0, \infty): T_{\mu,+}^{*}|f|(x)>\eta / 2\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+\lambda\left\{x \in(0, \infty): T_{\mu,+}^{*}|\tilde{f}|(x)>\eta / 2\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.2) follows from (2.10) and the fact that $\|f\|_{1, \lambda}=\|\tilde{f}\|_{1, \lambda}$. Moreover (2.3) is deduced from (2.11) because $\|f\|_{\infty}=\|\tilde{f}\|_{\infty}$.

From now on let us assume $f \geq 0$ and $x>0$. First let us prove the weak type $(1,1)$ inequality.
(1) Case $\mu=0$. This case corresponds to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck maximal operator which was proved to be weak type $(1,1)$ by B. Muckenhoupt in [8].
(2) Case $\mu>-1 / 2$. By using (2.5) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\mu,+}^{t} f(x) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} f(y) d \lambda(y) \\
& =\frac{C e^{x^{2}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} f(y) d \lambda(y) \\
& =\frac{C e^{x^{2}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(\int_{0}^{x / 2 r}+\int_{x / 2 r}^{4 x / r}+\int_{4 x / r}^{\infty}\right) e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} f(y) d \lambda(y) \\
& =C\left(K_{1, r} f(x)+K_{2, r} f(x)+K_{3, r} f(x)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that if $0<y<x / 2 r$, then $x-r y>x / 2$ and

$$
\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{2 r x y}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}+\frac{x^{-2 \mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

Then
$K_{1, r} f(x) \leq C e^{x^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}+\frac{x^{-2 \mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda} \leq C \frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu+1}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}$,
where last inequality is obtained as an application of (1.9).
On the other hand, if $y>\frac{4 x}{r}$, then $r y-x>x$, and again by applying (1.9) repeatedly in the sequel below

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{2 r x y}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} & =\frac{e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{11 r^{2}}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{2 x(r y-x)+2 x^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} \\
& \leq C e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}\left(\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}+\frac{x^{-\mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\frac{\mu+1}{2}}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{x^{-2 \mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{x^{2 \mu+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we obtain

$$
K_{3, r} f(x) \leq C \frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu+1}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}
$$

Finally for $\frac{x}{2 r} \leq y \leq \frac{4 x}{r}$ we have the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{2 r x y}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{x^{2 \mu+1}}+\frac{x^{-2 \mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is immediate for $\mu \geq 0$ and for $\mu<0$ one can argue consideing $\frac{2 r x y}{1-r^{2}} \leq 1$ and $\frac{2 r x y}{1-r^{2}} \geq 1$, separately. Now by taking into account inequality (2.12) we are ready
to estimate $K_{2, r} f(x)$. We analyze two diferent cases. If $0<r \leq 1 / 2$ we have

$$
K_{2, r} f(x) \leq C\left(\frac{1}{x}+1\right) \frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}
$$

and, if $1 / 2<r<1$ then

$$
K_{2, r} f(x) \leq C\left(\frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu+1}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}+\frac{e^{x^{2}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} x^{2 \mu}} \int_{0}^{\infty} N(r, x, y) f(y) d \lambda(x)\right),
$$

with

$$
N(r, x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & y \in\left[x, \frac{x}{r}\right]  \tag{2.13}\\
e^{-\frac{|x-r y|}{1-r^{2}}} & \text { if } & y \in\left[\frac{x}{2 r}, \frac{4 x}{r}\right] \backslash\left[x, \frac{x}{r}\right] \\
0 & & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $N(r, x,$.$) is a Natanson kernel (see (2.9)), we obtain$

$$
K_{2, r} f(x) \leq C\left(\frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu+1}}\|f\|_{1, \lambda}+\frac{e^{x^{2}}}{x^{2 \mu}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\|N(r, x, .)\|_{1, \lambda} \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} f(x)\right)
$$

Let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|N(r, x, .)\|_{1, \lambda} \leq C x^{2 \mu}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-x^{2}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} N(r, x, y) d \lambda(y)= & \int_{x}^{x / r} e^{-y^{2}} y^{2 \mu} d y+\int_{x / 2 r}^{x} e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} e^{-y^{2}} y^{2 \mu} d y \\
& +\int_{x / r}^{4 x / r} e^{-\frac{|x-r y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} e^{-y^{2}} y^{2 \mu} d y \\
\leq & C x^{2 \mu}\left(\int_{x}^{x / r} e^{-y^{2}} d y+e^{-x^{2}} \int_{x / 2 r}^{x} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} d y\right. \\
& \left.+e^{-x^{2}} \int_{x / r}^{4 x / r} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} d y\right) \\
\leq & C x^{2 \mu} e^{-x^{2}}\left(\min \left(\frac{1}{x},(1-r) x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} d y\right) \\
\leq & C x^{2 \mu}\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-x^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now gathering together all the bounds obtained above, we get

$$
T_{\mu,+}^{t} f(x) \leq C\left(h(x)\|f\|_{1, \lambda}+\mathcal{M}_{\lambda} f(x)\right),
$$

for all $x, t>0$, where $h$ is the function defined in Proposition 2.4. Thus the weak type $(1,1)$ of $T_{\mu,+}^{*}$ follows from Propositions 2.3 and 2.4.

Now let us take care of the boundedness of $T_{\mu,+}^{*}$ in $L^{\infty}$. For the case $\mu \geq 0$ this boundedness is immediate since its kernel is non-negative and its integral equals 1 . Therefore let us study just the case $-1 / 2<\mu<0$. By using (2.5) and proceeding like in case 2 of the proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ inequality it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\mu,+}^{*} f(x) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} f(y) d \lambda(y) \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2 x y r}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} y^{2 \mu} d y\|f\|_{\infty} \\
& =\frac{C}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}\left(1+\frac{2(r x-y) y}{1-r^{2}}+\frac{2 y^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} y^{2 \mu} d y\|f\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\frac{2|r x-y| y}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} y^{2 \mu} d y\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d y\right)\|f\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove that the first integral of last inequality is bounded by a constant independent of $r, y$, and $x$ firstly we use (1.9) to obtain the inequality

$$
\left(\frac{2|r x-y| y}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{-\mu} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{1-r^{2}}} \leq C\left(\frac{y}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{-\mu} e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}
$$

Then we split the integral in two subintervals one from 0 to $\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ and the other from $\sqrt{1-r^{2}}$ to $\infty$ and we call them $I$ and $I I$. Now we proceed to bound each part in the following way

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{y}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{-\mu}\right) y^{2 \mu} d y \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \frac{y^{2 \mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} d y+\int_{0}^{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}} \frac{y^{\mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{(\mu+1) / 2}} d y \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & =\int_{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{y}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)^{-\mu}\right) y^{2 \mu} d y \\
& \leq \int_{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}}\left(\sqrt{1-r^{2}}\right)^{2 \mu} d y+\int_{\sqrt{1-r^{2}}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{\mu+1 / 2}} \frac{y^{\mu}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{-\mu / 2}} d y \\
& \leq 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\frac{|r x-y|^{2}}{2\left(1-r^{2}\right)}}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} d y \leq C .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof of the boundedness of $T_{\mu,+}^{*}$ in $L^{\infty}$ and at the same time the proof of Theorem 2.1.
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