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Structural Features Affecting Trafficking, Processing, and Secretion of Trypanosoma cruzi Mucins

Background:
The surface of Trypanosoma cruzi is covered in mucins.

Results: We dissected the role of post-translational modifications on mucin processing.

Conclusion: Glycan elaboration is dependent on the particular mucin product and the developmental stage of the parasite.

Significance: Our findings indicate that GPI-anchors function as forward transport signals along the secretory pathway and support novel roles for mucins in the T. cruzi/host interplay.

Trypanosoma cruzi is wrapped by a dense coat of mucin-type molecules encoded by complex gene families termed TcSMUG and TcMUC, which are expressed in the insect- and mammal-dwelling forms of the parasite, respectively. Here, we dissect the contribution of distinct post-translational modifications on the trafficking of these glycoconjugates. In vivo tracing and characterization of tagged-variants expressed by transfected epimastigotes indicate that although the N-terminal signal peptide is responsible for targeting TcSMUG products to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), the glycolipid phosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchor likely functions as a forward transport signal for their timely progression along the secretory pathway. GPI-minus variants accumulate in the ER, with only a minor fraction being ultimately released to the medium as anchorless products. Secreted products, but not ER-accumulated ones, display several diagnostic features of mature mucin-type molecules including extensive O-type glycosylation, Galβ-based epitopes recognized by monoclonal antibodies, and terminal Galβp residues that become readily sialylated upon addition of parasite trans-sialidases. Processing of N-glycosylation site(s) is dispensable for the overall TcSMUG mucin-type maturation and secretion. Despite undergoing different O-glycosylation elaboration, TcMUC reporters yielded quite similar results, thus indicating that (i) molecular trafficking signals are structurally and functionally conserved between mucin families, and (ii) TcMUC and TcSMUG products are recognized and processed by a distinct repertoire of stage-specific glycosyltransferases. Thus, using the fidelity of a homologous expression system, we have defined some biosynthetic aspects of T. cruzi mucins, key molecules involved in parasite protection and virulence.

Glycosyl phosphatidylinositol (GPI)* anchoring is an alternative post-translational method for attaching proteins to the lipid bilayer of eukaryotic cells (1, 2). Proteins destined to be GPI-anchored are translated with a cleavable N-terminal signal peptide (SP) that directs the nascent polypeptide to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). Immediately after ER translocation, the C-terminal GPI attachment signal is cleaved by a transamidase multi-protein complex that adds a preformed GPI en bloc to the ensuing terminal ethanolamine phosphate.

GPI biosynthesis is essential for yeasts (3) and for embryonic development in mammals (4), and GPI-anchored molecules (GPI-AMs) participate in critical biological processes such as cell-cell communication, complement regulation, antigenic presentation and prion pathogenesis (2). Because of their affinity for cholesterol- and sphingolipid-rich domains, GPI-anchors are also involved in the generation of discrete lipid rafts, which may serve as platforms for cell-cell communication, vesicular trafficking, and signal transduction (5). The particular type of association with the cell surface determines that GPI-AMs can be (i) densely packed with minimal perturbation of the underneath plasma membrane, as epitomized in trypanosomes (1), (ii) spontaneously transferred between cells (6), and (iii) secreted into the medium via phospholipase cleavage.

There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that, in addition to their structural role(s), GPI anchors also regulate protein trafficking. In polarized epithelial cells, for instance, GPI anchors play a role in post-Golgi sorting by targeting attached proteins to the apical surface (7). Treatment of cells with inhibitors of ceramide synthase or agents that deplete cholesterol impairs the apical sorting of GPI-AMs, strongly suggesting that this phenomenon relies on the association of GPI-moieties with lipid rafts. On the other hand, it has been demonstrated in a variety of model systems that GPI anchors are key factors in the ER-to-Golgi vesicular transport. Briefly, GPI-AMs are selectively incorporated at the ER exit sites (ERES) in particular COPII-coated, pre-budding complexes in which soluble and
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transmembrane cargoes are largely excluded (8, 9). These vesicles are exported to the Golgi apparatus, and from there to their final destination, following distinctive kinetics/routes than the bulk flow. Since GPI-AMs are exclusively luminal and cannot interact directly with the cytosolic COPII coat, they likely use an intermediate transmembrane receptor/adaptor that couples cargo selection based on their membrane anchor- age with vesicle coat assembly. Different members of the p24 family, which are abundant type I transmembrane proteins assembled into heteromeric complexes that cycle between the ER and Golgi compartments, are appealing candidates for the formation of this molecular sieve (10–12). The core mecha- nism of GPI-AM sorting/trafficking along the secretory path- way is conserved across eukaryotes, although variations such as the need for additional molecular players, GPI fatty acid remodeling and/or sphingolipid synthesis, and therefore for the formation of lipid rafts, have been described (13, 14).

GPI-AMs are particularly abundant in the surface coat of pathogenic protozoa such as Trypanosoma, Leishmania, Plasmodium, and Toxoplasma (1, 15, 16). Acting at the interface with the infected host, protozoan GPI-AMs are differentially though ideally suited to fulfill a dual purpose: to provide protection against the insect vector- or vertebrate host-derived defense mechanisms and to ensure the targeting and invasion of specific cells/tissues. Their key role is further underscored by the staggering number of encoding genes, which usually show extensive sequence polymorphisms and/or stage-specific expression (16–18).

Trypanosoma cruzi is the etiological agent of Chagas disease, the most important parasitic disease in Latin America with an infection toll estimated in 8–11 million people (19). Mucin-type molecules are prevalent and distinctive GPI-AMs of its surface coat, evenly distributed over the entire plasma mem- brane (cell body, flagellum, and flagellar pocket) of different developmental forms. They bear short O-linked oligosaccharide chains that represent up to 60% of the total mass of the glycoprotein, thus conferring them a strong hydrophilic character (16). In addition to the initial αGlcNAc, which is attached to the hydroxyl group of Ser/Thr residues by a Golgi-resident glycosyltransferase (20), O-glycans in T. cruzi mucins are elongated with up to 5 Gal residues in either pyranosic (Galp) or furanosic (Galf) configuration and in a variety of linkages (21). Once exported to the parasite surface, terminal Galp residues can be further decorated with sialic acid (SA) residues in a reaction catalyzed by parasite-encoded trans-sialidases (TS) (22). Despite being unable to synthesize SA de novo, sialylation of surface mucins is essential to evade immune mechanisms and to propagate within the mammalian host (16). T. cruzi mucins have also been shown to (i) form a macromolecular diffusion barrier that protects parasites against proteases/glycosidases, (ii) contribute to parasite invasion of mammalian cells (23, 24), and (iii) subvert different pathways of the vertebrate immune system (25, 26).

The T. cruzi genome comprises a large repository of mucin genes, which were grouped into two gene families, TcSMUG and TcMUC, based on sequence comparisons (16, 27). Cumulative expression data indicate that this dichotomy has a functional correlate, as transition between developmental forms leads to the expression of different, non-overlapping set of mucin genes (22, 28, 29). Briefly, TcSMUG products, and par- ticularly the TcSMUG S ones, provide the backbone for the 35–50 kDa mucins (also known as Gp35/50 mucins) expressed on the surface of developmental forms found within the triato- mines, i.e. replicative epimastigotes and infectious metacyclic trypanomastigotes. In contrast, TcMUC products, and particu- larly those belonging to the TcMUC II subgroup, code for the peptide scaffolds of 60–200 kDa mucins restricted to the surface coat of bloodstream trypanomastigotes. Despite their com- plexity and variations in amino acid sequence, TcMUC and TcSMUG deduced products share a common structure made up of three main domains: one N-terminal SP, one central region showing highly biased amino acid composition, in which Thr, Ser, Pro, Gly, and Ala residues together might add up to 60–80% of the total count whereas Cys and aromatic residues are largely underrepresented, and a C-terminal GPI attachment signal. The central region, which is the only one present in the mature, surface-associated products, bears multiple O-glycan addition sites and, in some cases, also a few (1 to 3) N-glycosyla- tion consensus signals.

We recently showed that tagged versions of TcSMUG and TcMUC II products are displayed on the surface of transfected parasites as mucin-type GPI-AMs (30). Here, we used a similar homologous expression system to study the importance of dif- ferent structural features, and particularly GPI anchors, in the trafficking, maturation, and secretion of T. cruzi mucins.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Parasites—Epimastigotes from the Adriana stock, typed within the TcI evolutionary lineage (30) were used. Parasites were grown at 28 °C in brain-heart tryptose (BHT) medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (31, 32).

TcSMUG S-derived Constructs—The FLAG-tagged version of a TcSMUG S full-length gene (GenBank™ Accession Number JN051960) from the SC43 cl92 stock has been described (30). This was cloned into the trypanosomatid expression vec- tor pTREX omni to generate the TcSMUG construct. pTREX omni vector was generated by insertion of a Xbal–Xhol cassette bearing multiple cloning sites and a FLAG tag followed by GFP from pDIY-eG vector (GenBank™ Accession Number JN596089) into the pTREX-L-Neo vector (GenBank™ Acces- sion Number JN596094 (33)). TcSMUGΔGPI and TcSMUGΔΔ constructs were generated by PCR using the TcSMUG clone as template and oligonucleotides TcSMUG_hSP_sha and TcSMUG_hThr_sha, respectively. In both reactions, the oligonucleotide TcSMUGrevThr_hindIII, which anneals to the FLAG tag in the TcSMUG clone (30) was used. Amplicons were treated with Xbal/HindIII, and ligated into pTREX omni vector previously digested with the same enzymes, thus lacking the GFP. TcSMUGΔΔSP was generated by PCR using the TcSMUG clone as template and oligonucleotides TcSMUGrevThr_sha and
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TcSMUG<sub>rec</sub>GI<sub>spe</sub>: This ampiclon was treated with XbaI/SpeI and ligated into pTREX omni vector previously digested with the same enzymes (also lacking the GFP). Sequence and features of every oligonucleotide used in this work are provided in supplemental Table S1.

TcSMUG S-derived Constructs Fused to GFP—TcSMUGΔGPI::GFP and TcSMUGΔΔ::GFP constructs were generated as their GFP-minus counterparts but using the reverse oligonucleotide TcSMUG<sub>rev</sub>,Thr<sub>eco</sub>, which also annales to the FLAG tag in the TcSMUG clone. Amplicons were XbaI/EcoRI digested, and ligated into pTREX omni, thus ensuing translational fusion to GFP. The TcSMUGΔGPI::GFPA66 construct was generated by PCR using TcSMUG clone as template and oligonucleotides TcSMUG<sub>fw</sub>SP<sub>xba</sub> and TcSMUG<sub>rev</sub>,ThrN66<sub>spe</sub>. The amplicon was digested with XbaI/Clal and ligated into pTREX omni. The TcSMUG GFP signal was amplified by PCR using the same template and oligonucleotides TcSMUG<sub>rec</sub>GPI<sub>bind</sub> and TcSMUG<sub>rec</sub>GPI<sub>spe</sub>. This ampiclon was digested with HindIII/SpeI and cloned into the likewise digested TcSMUGΔGPI and TcSMUGΔΔ clones to generate the TcSMUG::GFP and TcSMUGΔSP::GFP constructs, respectively.

TcMUC II-derived Constructs Fused to GFP—pTREX omni vector was also used to express variants of RA-1 (GenBank™ Accession Number U32448), a TcMUC II gene from the RA parasite stock (34). TcMUCAGPI::GFP and TcMUCΔΔ::GFP constructs were generated by PCR using the RA-1 gene as template and the oligonucleotides RA-1<sub>fw</sub>SP<sub>xba</sub> and RA-1<sub>rev</sub>,Thr<sub>xba</sub>, respectively. In both cases, RA-1<sub>rec</sub>,Thr<sub>clal</sub> was used as reverse oligonucleotide. An intermediate construct spanning solely the TcMUC GFP signal was generated by PCR using the oligonucleotides RA-1<sub>rec</sub>GPI<sub>xba</sub> and RA-1<sub>rev</sub>GPI<sub>xho</sub>, digested with XbaI/XhoI, and cloned into pTREX omni previously digested with SpeI/XhoI, PCR using the TcSMUG<sub>rec</sub>GPI<sub>bind</sub> and TcSMUG<sub>rec</sub>GPI<sub>spec</sub> clone as template and oligonucleotides RA-1<sub>fw</sub>GPI<sub>xba</sub> and RA-1<sub>rev</sub>GPI<sub>xho</sub>, digested with HindIII/SpeI and ligated into pTREX omni/H9262, and TcSMUG<sub>fw</sub>GPIhind and TcSMUG<sub>rev</sub>GPI<sub>spec</sub>. This amplicon was digested with HindIII/SpeI and ligated into pTREX omni/H9004/H9004 clones to generate the TcSMUG::GFP and TcSMUGΔSP::GFP constructs, respectively.

Parasite Transfection—Epimastigotes (1.5 × 10<sup>8</sup>) in exponential growth phase were transformed with 25 μg of purified DNA by electroporation and selected in BHT added with 20% FBS and 200 μg/ml G418 (Invitrogen). Transfected parasites were used as populations after at least 45 days of selection (30).

Nonidet P40-based Subcellular Fractionation—Parasites were washed with 0.25 M sucrose, 5 mM KCl, and kept frozen for 48 h, after which cells were thawed at 4 °C and resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.15 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and fractionated into 25 μl of mAb anti-FLAG-Sepharose (Sigma) as described (30). Bound fractions were analyzed by Western blot using rabbit antibodies to FLAG (1:3,000 dilution, Sigma) or mAb 10D8. When indicated, samples were processed for in-gel Western blot using rabbit anti-FLAG antibodies (1:2,000, Sigma) followed by rabbit IRDye 800CW antibodies (1:3,000, Li-Cor Biosciences) and labeled gels analyzed in an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor Biosciences) following manufacturer’s specifications.

Concanavalin A-Sepharose Fractionation—Parasite pellets were resuspended at 1 × 10<sup>7</sup>/ml in ConA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-100, 100 μM TLCK, 1 mM PMSF), and fractionated into 25 μl of mAb anti-FLAG-Sepharose (Sigma) as described (30). Bound and unbound fractions were analyzed by Western blot.

Purification of GPI-anchored Proteins—Pellets containing 1.5 × 10<sup>8</sup> parasites were homogenized in 2 ml of GPI buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2% Triton X-114 (TX-114), 1 mM PMSF and a protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma)) on ice for 1 h and the homogenate processed as described (37). Briefly, the homogenate was centrifuged at 8,800 × g for 10 min at 0 °C, and the supernatant (S1) was stored at −20 °C for 24 h. The pellet (P1) was washed with 1 ml of buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.06% TX-114, 1 mM PMSF), sonicated, and resuspended in denaturing loading buffer containing 6 M urea. S1 was thawed and submitted to phase separation at 37 °C for 10 min following centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 3 min at room temperature. The upper phase (S2) was collected and the detergent-rich phase re-extracted with 1 ml of buffer A. The upper phase (S3) was collected, and the detergent-rich phase was extracted with 1 ml of buffer A, homogenized, incubated for 30 min at 0 °C, and centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 10 min at 0 °C. The supernatant was submitted to a new phase separation after which the lower phase highly enriched in GPI-AMs was taken as GPI fraction. Equivalent aliquots of each fraction were precipitated in cold acetone, resuspended in denaturing loading buffer, and analyzed by Western blot.

Epi-Fluorescence Microscopy—Parasites were washed in PBS, fixed in PBS 4% p-formaldehyde (PBS-PAF) for 10 min and processed for fluorescence microscopy or indirect immunofluorescence (IF) assays (22, 38). The indicated antisera and mAb anti-FLAG were used at 1:500 dilution. Analysis was performed in a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope coupled to a SPOT RT

purposes, samples were also probed by Western blot with antisera to RE markers TBBip (36) or TcCalreticulin (35) (both at 1:3,000 dilution), or to cytosolic marker TcPAbP (32) (1:1,000 dilution).

Secretion and Immunoprecipitation Assays—Parasites were washed and incubated at 28 °C for 3 h in serum-free BHT. Parasite suspension was centrifuged for 5 min at 3,500 × g and the final supernatant was filtered and used as conditioned medium (CM). Aliquots from the parasite pellet (P) and CM fractions were analyzed by Western blot using mAb 10D8 (23) (1:1,000 dilution). For immunoprecipitation, P and CM fractions were resuspended in ice-cold buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris.HCl, pH 7.6, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, 1% Triton X-100, 100 μM TLCK, 1 mM PMSF), and fractionated into 25 μl of mAb anti-FLAG-Sepharose (Sigma) as described (30). Bound fractions were analyzed by Western blot using rabbit antibodies to FLAG (1:3,000 dilution, Sigma) or mAb 10D8. When indicated, samples were processed for in-gel Western blot using rabbit anti-FLAG antibodies (1:2,000, Sigma) followed by rabbit IRDye 800CW antibodies (1:3,000, Li-Cor Biosciences) and labeled gels analyzed in an Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor Biosciences) following manufacturer’s specifications.

Concanavalin A-Sepharose Fractionation—Parasite pellets were resuspended at 1 × 10<sup>7</sup>/ml in ConA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton X-114 (TX-114), 1 mM PMSF) and a protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma) on ice for 1 h and the homogenate processed as described (37). Briefly, the homogenate was centrifuged at 8,800 × g for 10 min at 0 °C, and the supernatant (S1) was stored at −20 °C for 24 h. The pellet (P1) was washed with 1 ml of buffer A (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.06% TX-114, 1 mM PMSF), sonicated, and resuspended in denaturing loading buffer containing 6 M urea. S1 was thawed and submitted to phase separation at 37 °C for 10 min following centrifugation at 3,000 × g for 3 min at room temperature. The upper phase (S2) was collected and the detergent-rich phase re-extracted with 1 ml of buffer A. The upper phase (S3) was collected, and the detergent-rich phase was extracted with 1 ml of buffer A, homogenized, incubated for 30 min at 0 °C, and centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 10 min at 0 °C. The supernatant was submitted to a new phase separation after which the lower phase highly enriched in GPI-AMs was taken as GPI fraction. Equivalent aliquots of each fraction were precipitated in cold acetone, resuspended in denaturing loading buffer, and analyzed by Western blot.

Epi-Fluorescence Microscopy—Parasites were washed in PBS, fixed in PBS 4% p-formaldehyde (PBS-PAF) for 10 min and processed for fluorescence microscopy or indirect immunofluorescence (IF) assays (22, 38). The indicated antisera and mAb anti-FLAG were used at 1:500 dilution. Analysis was performed in a Nikon Eclipse E600 microscope coupled to a SPOT RT
color camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Inc.), and images were processed using ImageJ.

Flow Cytometry—Live parasites (1 × 10⁶/ml) were incubated in an ice-water bath for 20 min in PBS supplemented with 3% FBS followed by 20 min of incubation with mAb anti-FLAG at 1:500 dilution. Epimastigotes were washed with PBS and labeled with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). After 20 min, cells where washed, resuspended in 300 μl of PBS-PiF, and analyzed using fluorescence-activated cell sorting CyFLOW Partec and FloMax software.

Sialic Acid Labeling—Epimastigote forms extensively washed in cold PBS were labeled for 30 min at room temperature in the presence of 30 ng of recombinant T. cruzi TS (39), 10 mM 2-deoxyglucose (Sigma) and 1 mM of the azido-sialylactose analog Neu5Azo2–3lacβOMe as sialyl residue donor (40). Reaction was heated at 65°C to inactivate TS and non-permeabilized parasites labeled by the Staudinger method with 250 μM Phosphino-biotin (Sigma) for 16 h at room temperature. As a result, labeled glycoconjugates displayed a biotin group covalently attached to the Neu5Azu residue. The exquisite chemical selectivity of the overall system has been recently demonstrated (30). Western blot membranes were probed with avidin-HRP (R&D, 1:200 dilution) followed by chemiluminescent substrate. Alternatively, labeled epimastigotes were centrifuged and both, parasite lysates and the CM were independently fractionated onto 25 μl of StreptAvidin-agarose (Sigma) as described (30). Bound and unbound fractions were analyzed by Western blot.

RESULTS

Expression of TcSMUG Deletion Mutants in T. cruzi
Epimastigotes—As a first step toward the study of mucin trafficking and processing in T. cruzi, we generated a panel ofFLAG-tagged variants on the backbone of a TcSMUGS representative gene (Fig. 1A). The deduced product of this construct (henceforth termed TcSMUG) contains a 24 aa-long SP followed by a 61-aa long central domain, which includes a single N-linked glycosylation site and a FLAG epitope, and a 29-aa long GPI attachment signal (30). Importantly, recombinant TcSMUG was shown to be displayed on the surface of transfected epimastigotes as a GPI-anchored, mucin-type glycoconjugate, indistinguishable from endogenous Gp35/50 mucins (30). TcSMUG and all of its derivatives were transfected into epimastigotes of the Adriana stock, which was typed within the ER, and (ii) lack of a C-terminal GPI-anchor determines retention of TcSMUG products within the secretory pathway, most likely in the ER.

Simultaneous deletion of the SP and the GPI-anchoring signal led to plasma membrane exclusion of TcSMUGΔSP (Fig. 1B). TcSMUGΔSP seemed to localize to the cytoplasm of transfected parasites, and IF co-localization assays with TcPabP1, a polyadenylated mRNA-binding protein with exclusive cytoplasmic localization (32), indeed showed substantial overlapping of the signals except for the parasite nuclei, from where TcPabP1 was largely excluded (Fig. 1C).

IIF studies showed the intracellular accumulation of FLAG-reactive granules, i.e. round structures variable in size and up to ~0.3 μm across in diameter, in TcSMUGΔSP-transfected parasites (Fig. 1C). The molecular basis underlying formation and composition of these granules was not further explored, although a possible interpretation would be that, in common with other amphipathic molecules (41), TcSMUGΔSP forms micellar structures with the uncleaved, highly hydrophobic GPI-anchoring signal at the very core. Subcellular fractionation assays showed that TcSMUGΔSP localized exclusively to the cytoplasm (Fig. 1D). This finding indicated that, in the absence of the SP, the GPI attachment signal could not redirect the construct to the secretory pathway, as verified in other systems (42). To further address this issue, we purified total GPI-AMs from TcSMUGΔSP-transfected parasites taking advantage of their preferential fractionation in TX-114 (37), and aliquots corresponding to the different fractions were analyzed by Western blot. FLAG reactivity was restricted to the pellet (P1), containing parasite nuclei and cytoskeletal structures, and aqueous fractions (S1, S2), composed largely of cytoplasmic molecules (Fig. 1E). An additional ~27 kDa band was observed in the S2 fraction, but whether this corresponded to TcSMUGΔSP aggregates or post-translationally modified molecules was not further investigated. Importantly, TcSMUGΔSP was completely excluded from the final GPI-AMs-enriched fraction (termed GPI), thus ruling out processing of the GPI-anchoring signal, and hence ER import, of this product.

We next analyzed the TcSMUGΔGPI product bearing deletion immediately downstream of the GPI acceptor residue. IIF assays showed a punctate pattern throughout the cell body (Fig. 1C). When parasites were co-stained with antienser raised against the T. brucei chaperone BiP or TcCalreticulin (both well-established ER markers), the pattern of co-localization suggested that TcSMUGΔGPI was (at least partially) located in this compartment (Fig. 1C and not shown). Subcellular fractionation assays further supported microsomal accumulation of TcSMUGΔGPI (Fig. 1D). Co-localization studies with markers from additional compartments of the secretory pathway showed that neither the Golgi stacks marker GRASP (43) nor the reservosome marker cruzipain (35) display substantial overlap with TcSMUGΔGPI (Fig. 1F).

Taken together, these results indicate that (i) the TcSMUG SP is functional and essential for targeting these products to the ER, and (ii) lack of a C-terminal GPI-anchor determines retention of TcSMUG products within the secretory pathway, most likely in the ER.

Trafficking Signals Are Functionally Conserved in T. cruzi Mucin-type Products—Even though the TcSMUGΔGPI products could not be detected on the parasite surface (Fig. 1C), the fact that they gained access to the secretory pathway suggested
FIGURE 1. Expression and localization of TcSMUG variants in T. cruzi epimastigotes. A, schematic in-scale illustration of TcSMUG reporters. The sequence of the central domain (blue), which includes a single N-linked glycosylation site (residue Asn-66, arrowhead), the FLAG epitope (bold italics), and the glycolipid acceptor residue (Asp-78, \( \text{H}_9275 \)) is indicated. SP, Signal peptide; GPI, GPI-anchoring signal. B, live epimastigotes transfected with the indicated construct were reacted with mAb anti-FLAG and evaluated by flow cytometry. Representative results of at least three experiments are shown. Note that only a fraction (40.37%) of the TcSMUG-transfected population shows above-the-threshold fluorescence, denoting detectable expression levels. C, epimastigotes expressing the indicated fusion protein were permeabilized and analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) assays using mAb anti-FLAG (green). DAPI signals are shown in blue. Bars, 10 \( \mu \text{m} \). TcSMUG\( \Delta \text{SP} \)- and TcSMUG\( \Delta \text{GPI} \)-transfected parasites were co-labeled with rabbit antiserum against TcPAbP (cytoplasmic marker, red) and TбBiP (ER marker, red), respectively. Kinetoplast (k) and nucleus (n) are indicated with white arrowheads. Epimastigotes showing undetectable expression levels are indicated with black arrowheads. D, epimastigotes expressing the indicated fusion protein were fractionated following a Nonidet P-40-based method and samples from the cytoplasm (C) and microsomes (M) were probed with the indicated antibody. Cellular distribution of ER marker BiP and cytoplasmic marker PAbP is shown for comparison purposes. E, epimastigotes transfected with the TcSMUG\( \Delta \text{SP} \) construct were fractionated with Triton X-114, and aliquots of each fraction (see "Experimental Procedures") were probed with mAb anti-FLAG. Molecular markers (in kDa) are indicated. F, TcSMUG\( \Delta \text{GPI} \)-labeled with mAb anti-FLAG (green signals) shows neither substantial co-localization with TcCruzipain (red signals, upper panels), which labels the reservosome, nor with TcGRASP (red signals, lower panels), which labels the Golgi stacks.
that, at least a fraction, may became secreted. To evaluate this possibility, we carried out Western blot analyses on the conditioned medium (CM) of TcSMUGΔGPI-transfected parasites, which rendered consistently negative results (see below). It is worth mentioning, however, that several antibodies directed against GPI-AMs reacted very poorly, if at all, with the cognate protein upon cleavage of the GPI-anchor through phosphoinositol-specific phospholipase C treatment (44). The same phenomenon was observed for different T. cruzi mucin-type species (24, 30, 45, 46). Schenkman et al. put forward the idea that the extremely hydrophilic character of T. cruzi mucins impaired their binding to membrane supports, i.e. Nitrocellulose or PVDF, once the lipid moiety was removed (45). In such a case, and if undergoing mucin-type processing along its further trafficking through the secretory pathway, it would be expected that secreted TcSMUGΔGPI, lacking GPI anchorage, also display restricted binding to membrane supports. To overcome this limitation, we generated a series of protein reporters, including a version of the TcSMUGΔGPI construct, bearing GFP fusion downstream of the FLAG epitope (supplemental Fig. S1). In addition of aiding in the tracing of transgenic molecules, we hypothesized that GFP would provide a bulky amphipathic motif to the overall product, thus enabling its detection by Western blot. To expand our analyses, we generated a similar series of reporters based on a representative member of the TcMUC II group (supplemental Fig. S1), which provides peptide scaffolds for bloodstream trypomastigote mucins (22). A full-length version of this particular TcMUC II construct, though lacking GFP, was shown to be displayed on the surface of transfected Adriana epimastigotes as a GPI-anchored, mucin-type species.5

Epimastigotes transfected with each GFP-bearing construct were analyzed for the expression of recombinant products as above. No viable overexpressing parasites were recovered after several independent transfection attempts using the full-length reporters (TcSMUG::GFP and TcMUC::GFP). The reasons for this are not clear, although anecdotal and published data (47) also indicate negative growth phenotypes upon expression of non-trypansomal GPI-anchored reporters (including GFP) in trypanosomes. As for the rest of the products (ΔSP::GFP, ΔGPI::GFP, and ΔΔ::GFP variants), they were trafficked and processed largely as their corresponding GFP-minus counterparts.

Briefly, both ΔΔ::GFP variants showed diffuse localization throughout the entire cytoplasm (Fig. 2, A and B). At variance with the TcSMUGΔΔ reporter, and likely due to their larger size (M, ~45 and ~52 kDa for TcSMUGΔΔ::GFP and TcMUCΔΔ::GFP, respectively (Fig. 2B)), passive diffusion of these molecules through the nuclear pore was impaired (48). On the other hand, we noticed the formation of GFP-bearing “granules” in TcSMUGΔSP::GFP-expressing parasites (Fig. 2C), much alike to what has been observed in TcSMUGΔSP expressing ones. Again, TcSMUGΔSP::GFP was excluded from the final GPI-AMs-enriched fraction, consistent with its cytoplasmic localization (Fig. 2, D and E). Oddly, and despite RT-PCR analyses indicating mRNA expression, no specific signal was detected by fluorescence microscopy or Western blot assays in parasites transfected with the TcMUCΔSP::GFP construct (not shown). Whether this was due to protein toxicity, post-transcriptional regulation mechanisms and/or other alternative was beyond the aims of this study and not further pursued. Most importantly, and consistent with results described for TcSMUGΔGPI, both ΔGPI::GFP products showed several features supporting their ER accumulation (Fig. 2, F and G). In Nonidet P-40-based experiments, they largely partitioned to the microsomal fraction, and fluorescence microscopy assays indicated accumulation of these products in discrete patches concentrated in the perinuclear area, typical of ER-resident molecules (49). Indeed, co-localization assays with BiP showed almost complete overlapping of the signals.

In summary, these results provide strong support to the main conclusions highlighted in the previous section. Together with previous findings in TcMUC molecules transgenically expressed in epimastigote forms (24, 50), they also indicate a high degree of correspondence, which is consistent with the overall structural similarity of their encoded signals (supplemental Fig. S1), in the trafficking of TcSMUG and TcMUC products. TcSMUGΔGPI::GFP Products Are Secreted as Fully Processed Mucins—Going back to the original issue, that is, the putative secretion of GPI-minus products, parasites were incubated in serum-free medium for 3 h and both the parasite pellets and the CM fractions were analyzed by Western blot. FLAG-reactive signals were indeed detected in the CM of TcSMUGΔGPI::GFP- and TcMUCΔGPI::GFP-transfected parasites but not in the CM of TcSMUGΔGPI-transfected ones (Fig. 3A). When we carried out in-gel Western blot, however, a ~25 kDa, FLAG-reactive band was detected in the CM of TcSMUGΔGPI-transfected parasites (Fig. 3B), indicating that this product is secreted but impaired in its binding to membrane supports. These findings support GFP-translational fusion as an appropriate strategy to overcome Western blot detection limitations of anchorless mucins. FLAG-reactive signals were neither detected in the CM of TcSMUGΔ::GFP-expressing parasites (Fig. 3A), thus ruling out significant parasite lysis during the assay, nor in the CM of epimastigotes transfected with pTREX omni vector. The latter expressed high amounts of FLAG-tagged GFP in the cytoplasm, thus arguing against a possible “spill-over” effect in our system.

Besides secretion, one interesting finding was that, for TcSMUGΔGPI and for both ΔGPI::GFP reporters, the apparent molecular mass of secreted products was larger than that of the intracellularly accumulated counterparts (compare CM and P lanes in Fig. 3). This shift suggested that anchorless products underwent further processing, and particularly O-glycosylation, in post-ER compartments before being secreted into the medium. To evaluate this possibility, we probed parasite extracts and CM fractions with a monoclonal antibody (mAb 10D8) directed toward GalF-based epitopes that decorate O-type glycans in mature, surface-associated Gp35/50 mucins from Tc1 parasites (23). A distinctive ~55–60 kDa signal, very reminiscent of the secreted TcSMUGΔGPI::GFP product itself (Fig. 3), was detected in the CM of TcSMUGΔGPI::GFP-transfected parasites (Fig. 4A). This signal was also observed, although to a much lesser extent, in the parasite fraction, likely due to cross-contamination with the CM fraction (see below). In contrast, only the signal corresponding to...
endogenous Gp35/50 mucins was detected in TcSMUG\textDelta GPI- and TcMUC\Delta GPI::GFP-expressing parasites upon labeling with mAb 10D8.

To address the nature of the additional signal observed in TcSMUG\textDelta GPI::GFP-expressing parasites, parasite lysates and CM were independently fractionated using FLAG affinity chromato-

---

**FIGURE 2.** Expression and localization of TcSMUG::GFP and TcMUC::GFP variants in T. cruzi epimastigotes. A, C, F, T. cruzi epimastigotes expressing the indicated GFP fusion protein were analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy (green signals). DAPI signals are shown in blue. Bars, 10 μm. Kinetoplast (k) and nucleus (n) are indicated with white arrowheads. When indicated, parasites were permeabilized and labeled by indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) assays using an antiserum to TbBiP (ER marker, red). Epimastigotes showing undetectable expression levels are indicated with black arrowheads. B, D, G, epimastigotes transfected with the indicated construct (in panel D, transfected with TcSMUG\textDelta SP::GFP) were fractionated following a Nonidet P-40-based method and samples from the cytoplasm (C) and microsomes (M) were probed with mAb anti-FLAG. E, epimastigotes transfected with the TcSMUG\textDelta SP::GFP construct were fractionated with Triton X-114 and aliquots of each fraction (see “Experimental Procedures”) were probed with mAb anti-FLAG. Asterisks denote degradation products. Molecular markers (in kDa) are indicated.

**FIGURE 3.** Secretion of TcSMUG\textDelta GPI::GFP and TcMUC\textDelta GPI::GFP transgenic products. A, epimastigotes transfected with the indicated construct were incubated in serum-free medium and the conditioned medium (CM) and parasite pellet (P) fractions were probed by Western blot with mAb anti-FLAG. B, epimastigotes transfected with the indicated construct were incubated in serum-free medium and the CM fractions were probed by in-gel Western blot with mAb anti-FLAG. Asterisks denote degradation products. Molecular markers (in kDa) are indicated.
mucin and analyzed by Western blot. The secreted TcSMUG\textunderscore GPI::GFP product, but not the ER-retained one, beared mAb 10D8-reactive epitopes (Fig. 4B). In the case of TcMUC\textunderscore GPI::GFP, although both the intracellular and secreted forms were efficiently immunoprecipitated, neither one of them reacted with mAb 10D8.

Another diagnostic feature of mature TcSMUG products is the presence of terminal Galp residues, which could be extracellularly decorated with SA residues through the action of TS molecules (21). To test whether secreted TcSMUG\textunderscore GPI::GFP may also function as SA acceptor, we carried out sialylation assays over parasites incubated in the presence of exogenously added T. cruzi TS, as epimastigote forms express low amounts of this enzyme, and Neu5Azα2–3LacβOMe as sialyl residue donor (40). The azido group of Neu5Az incorporated in parasite-associated or secreted glycoproteins is then reacted with a phosphine-tagged biotin, lysed, and probed with HRP-avidin by Western blot. Control experiments carried out in the absence of Neu5Azα2–3LacβOMe rendered negative results, ensuring the specificity of the overall system. Initial sialylation experiments in TcSMUG-transfected parasites showed the incorporation of SA residues in a smear corresponding to the endogenously expressed Gp35/50 mucins (Fig. 4C). The ectopically expressed TcSMUG construct was also labeled with SA but did not render an additional band since, as expected, it co-migrated with endogenous Gp35/50 mucins (30). Note that the proportion of this 35 kDa product retained by avidin chromatography was significantly increased upon Neu5Azα2–3LacβOMe labeling (Fig. 4D). Importantly, the secreted TcSMUG\textunderscore GPI::GFP product, but not the TcMUC\textunderscore GPI::GFP one, functioned as an effective acceptor of SA residues in the TS-catalyzed reaction.

Together with mAb 10D8 results, these findings indicate (i) that GPI-minus reporters that achieve to exit the putative retention mechanism undergo O-glycosylation in post-ER compartments and are ultimately secreted as mature mucin-type glycopro-
whereas the parental N66 molecule only eluted from the resin. The A66 variant was recovered in the unbound fraction lysates were fractionated by concanavalin A chromatography. To check for TcSMUG processing and secretion.

DISCUSSION

With as much as \(4 \times 10^6\) molecules per parasite, mucins constitute one of the major GPI-AMs in every developmental form of \(T. cruzi\) (16). Despite the sheer numbers and relevant roles for parasite growth and infectivity, methodological issues have so far curtailed detailed studies on these molecules. In this work, we aimed to dissect the contribution of distinct post-translational modifications on their trafficking, maturation, and secretion using the fidelity of a homologous expression system. Although we analyzed a single variant for each group (TcSMUG or TcMUC), the high degree of conservation of trafficking signals among members of either gene family (16), and even between them, allows in principle to generalize our results for every mucin in \(T. cruzi\).

The major conclusion that can be drawn out from these studies is that the absence of GPI anchor leads to the accumulation of immature apo-mucins in the early secretory pathway, most likely in the ER, of insect-dwelling epimastigote forms. Notably, a few GPI-minus reporters eventually escape this retention mechanism and become secreted as mature mucin-type molecules. At variance with TcSMUG reporters, and although they showed a \(\sim 20\) kDa shift as compared with their ER-retained counterparts, TcMUC reporters do not acquire typical Gp35/50 mucin glycomarkers such as mAb 10D8-reactive epitopes or terminal \(\beta\)Galp residues in the proper configuration as to become SA acceptors. Although mass spectrometric analyses of TcMUC transgenic products will be required to address the structure of attached oligosaccharides, GPI-AMs undergoing extensive glycosylation at Ser/Thr residues but lacking terminal Gal residues have been described in the epimastigote surface (51).

Differential processing of TcMUC and TcSMUG reporters reveals the presence of mucin-specific sequence/structure determinants, which can be told apart by the O-glycosylation machinery in \(T. cruzi\) epimastigotes. This is consistent with our previous results, in which we showed that epimastigotes with the same genetic background were able to discriminate, and process accordingly, even between highly related TcSMUG S and L transgenic products (30). Interestingly, epimastigote-expressed TcMUC reporters neither acquired glycomarkers typical of bloodstream trypomastigote mucins. A plausible interpretation would be that some of the glycosyltransferases, carbohydrate epimerases, and/or glycosidases required to elaborate trypomastigote-restricted glycomarkers are not present in the epimastigote forms. Taking into account that attached carbohydrates drive most of functional aspects of \(T. cruzi\) mucins, such level of complexity and optimization of the O-glycosylation machinery could reflect another level for differential regulation of “protein expression” in an organism that appears to largely bypass regulation of transcription initiation.

The phenomenon of ER-accumulation is restricted to the GPI-minus reporters. Since the amount of endogenous...
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Gp35/50 mucins was not significantly affected in transfected parasites as compared with nontransfected controls (see Fig. 5C, right panel) it cannot be attributed to a global trafficking depression due to ER stress or saturation of transport mechanisms. As for the molecular basis underlying this observation, two alternative hypotheses can be envisaged. On one hand, it is possible that ΔGPI reporters, which are normally membrane bound, are unable to attain a stable conformation when expressed in soluble form and are thus retained in the ER by folding quality control systems (35). Experiments aimed at quantifying the association of GPI-minus reporters with BiP and/or other molecular chaperones may shed some light on this issue. In this line, however, it is worth noting that calreticulin, the main N-glycoprotein chaperone in T. cruzi (35), does not seem to play a significant role in apo-mucin maturation/trafficking, as revealed by the A66 mutant. Further evidence weighs against “quality control” as a mechanism for regulating export of ΔGPI reporters. Firstly, T. cruzi mucins, unlike mammalian ones (52), do not form intra- or inter-molecular disulfide bonds as part of their folding process. Neither them are predicted to adopt local or global complex three-dimensional conformations. Secondly, we did not find evidence supporting degradation of “misfolded” GPI-minus products either in reservosomes or in proteasomes following their retrotranslocation to the cytoplasm. Moreover, secreted TcSMUG GPI-minus products display several features diagnostic of mature mucin-type molecules, thus indicating that elimination of the GPI anchor does not impair their proper processing and maturation.

We rather favor a second hypothesis, which is supported by phylogenetic data and which poses that the GPI moiety itself constitutes a forward transport signal within the secretory pathway, by conferring some physical/chemical property, which improves the timely forward transport of attached apo-mucins. In concordance with recent models of vesicular transport in eukaryotes (8, 9, 12, 13), this hypothesis implies that the GPI anchor triggers cargo discrimination at ERES, which facilitates selective entry of GPI-AMs into budding, COPII coated secretory vesicles. The capacity of GPs to act as sorting signals could rely either on their affinity for membrane lipid microdomains enriched with cholesterol and sphingolipids or, alternatively, on their binding to specific receptor(s) that mediate vesicle loading. In support of the latter aspect, a p24-based mechanism for selective GPI-anchored cargo loading into COPII vesicles has been recently unraveled in the highly related parasite T. brucei (13). Together with a remarkable degree of “streamlining” architecture in the early secretory pathway, this mechanism is likely to maximize efficiency of variant surface glycoprotein (VSG) transport to the T. brucei surface. Interestingly, studies with VSG reporters led to similar findings than those presented here. Briefly, deletion of the GPI signal was shown to significantly reduce the rate of VSG transport to the plasma membrane relative to GPI-anchored controls in fly-accumulated in the ER but they were nonetheless finally secreted in small amounts (53). At odds with our T. cruzi mucin system, however, point mutation(s) on the N-glycosylation sites reduced VSG expression up to 15-fold (47). GPI addition was also shown to positively affect trafficking of Gp63, one of the major GPI-AM in Leishmania promastigotes (55–57). Overall, and besides minor variations depending on the species, developmental stage and/or reporter molecules, trypanosomatids seem to have evolved a fine-tuned transport mechanism for improved secretion of their GPI-AMs to the point of over-reliance. As a result of the need to efficiently transport large amounts of mucins, and although further studies are required to address the underlying molecular basis, our results likely point to a similar phenomenon, in which GPI-anchors are recognized as positive forward transport signals, in T. cruzi.

From a functional standpoint, our findings open an even more interesting possibility that deserves to be explored. T. cruzi genomic data indicate that a significant proportion (~25%) of mucin-type genes, and particularly from the TcMUC II group, bear translation termination codons in their central domains (17, 58). Although mRNA expression for some of them has been demonstrated (58), these arbitrarily called “pseudogenes” were thought to be conserved merely as an additional pool of genetic variability for the generation of new mucin variants through recombination/gene conversion mechanisms (17). Interestingly, their predicted products present structural features (overall amino acid composition, extensive number of potentially O-glycosylation sites) as to be considered mucin-type products. So, translation of some of these “pseudogenes” is likely to generate molecules structurally related to the ΔGPI reporters analyzed here. ER-accumulation and/or constitutive secretion of anchorless mucin-type molecules could actively contribute in shaping the parasite/host interplay.
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