

Article Article

<pubs.acs.org/Biomac>

¹ Conformational Conversion during Controlled Oligomerization into ² Nonamylogenic Protein Nanoparticles

3 Julieta M. Sánchez, †,‡ Laura Sánchez-García, $^{\S,\parallel}_{\ldots}$ Mireia Pesarrodona, $^{\dagger,\S,\parallel,\bigtriangledown}_{\ldots}$ [Naroa Serna,](#page-7-0) $^{\dagger,\S,\parallel}_{\ldots}$ 4 Alejandro Sánchez-Ch[ardi,](#page-7-0) ∟[U](#page-7-0)gutz Unzueta, i,§,∥,# Ramón Mangues, I,# Esther Vázquez, [*](#page-7-0),†,§,∥ ⁵ and Antonio Villaverde*,†,§,[∥]

 \rm° †Institut de Biotecnologia i de Biomedicina, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra 08193 Barcelona, Spain

 $^{\circ}$ ‡ Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, ICTA and Departamento de Química, Cátedra

8 de Química Biológica, Córdoba, Argentina, CONICET, Instituto de Investigaciones Biológicas y Tecnológicas (IIBYT), Córdoba,

9 Argentina, Av. Velez Sarsfield 1611, X5016GCA Córdoba, Argentina

¹⁰ § ⁸Departament de Genètica i de Microbiologia, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

¹¹ [∥] CIBER de Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina (CIBER-BBN), Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

12 [⊥]Servei de Microscòpia, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Bellaterra, 08193 Barcelona, Spain

13 [#]Biomedical Research Institute Sant Pau (IIB-Sant Pau) and Josep Carreras Research Institute, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, ¹⁴ 08025 Barcelona, Spain

50

37

25

¹⁵ ABSTRACT: Protein materials are rapidly gaining interest in

¹⁶ materials sciences and nanomedicine because of their intrinsic

¹⁷ biocompatibility and full biodegradability. The controlled

¹⁸ construction of supramolecular entities relies on the controlled ¹⁹ oligomerization of individual polypeptides, achievable through

²⁰ different strategies. Because of the potential toxicity of ²¹ amyloids, those based on alternative molecular organizations

²² are particularly appealing, but the structural bases on

²³ nonamylogenic oligomerization remain poorly studied. We

²⁴ have applied spectrofluorimetry and spectropolarimetry to

²⁵ identify the conformational conversion during the oligomeri-

²⁶ zation of His-tagged cationic stretches into regular nanoparticles ranging around 11 nm, useful for tumor-targeted drug delivery.

²⁷ We demonstrate that the novel conformation acquired by the proteins, as building blocks of these supramolecular assemblies,

²⁸ shows different extents of compactness and results in a beta structure enrichment that enhances their structural stability. The

²⁹ conformational profiling presented here offers clear clues for understanding and tailoring the process of nanoparticle formation

³⁰ through the use of cationic and histidine rich stretches in the context of protein materials usable in advanced nanomedical

³¹ strategies.

³² ■ INTRODUCTION

 Protein materials are gaining interest in materials sciences and in nanomedicine because of the intrinsic biocompatibility and nonrecalcitrant nature of polypeptides that makes their use in drug delivery or regenerative medicine safer than other micro-37 or nanoscale composites.¹ [Additionally, biologically and](#page-7-0) environmentally friendly fabrication of proteins in recombinant organisms² [and the possibility to modulate their structure and](#page-7-0) 40 function through genetic engineering³ [allow the generation of](#page-7-0) 41 tailored functional or multifunctional materials,⁴ [with unique](#page-7-0) characteristics such as a plasticity unreachable by metals, polymers, ceramics, or other nanostructured materials. The construction of protein-based materials relies on the controlled oligomerization of individual polypeptides, which act as building blocks of complex supramolecular arrangements. This is achieved by the engineering of natural oligomerization domains, by domain-swapping, or through the regulation of 49 protein-protein contacts by a diversity of strategies,1

among which one of the best exploited is controlled amyloid 50 fibril formation.^{[1a,5](#page-7-0)} The structural conversion from isolated 51 protein monomers to components of larger amyloidal ⁵² structures has been studied and reviewed in detail,⁶ [and the](#page-7-0) 53 analysis of the conformational changes along the process allows ⁵⁴ designing new categories of building blocks for novel tailored ⁵⁵ m aterials⁷ with potentially improved properties and functio- 56 nalities.^{[1a,6a](#page-7-0),[8](#page-7-0)}

GFP

<u>וחדוח</u>

T22

H6

 \mathcal{C}

Among nonfibril protein materials, isometric nanoparticles ⁵⁸ (NPs) resulting from protein self-assembling are of special ⁵⁹ interest in cell-targeted delivery of protein and nonprotein ⁶⁰ drugs.⁹ [In this context, cationic protein segments such as](#page-7-0) 61 polyarginines, as short peptides¹⁰ [or as N-terminal protein](#page-7-0) 62 fusions, 11 [promote self-assembling.](#page-7-0)¹² [Supported by this](#page-7-0) 63

Received: June 13, 2018 Revised: July 23, 2018 Published: July 27, 2018

Figure 1. (a) Modular organization of T22-GFP-H6 and T22-DITOX-H6. L corresponds to a peptidic linker that confers molecular flexibility, and F corresponds to a furin cleavage site. Box sizes are only indicative. Additional details of the constructions are given elsewhere.^{[19a,22](#page-8-0)} (b) DLS measurements of disassembled (top) and assembled (bottom) proteins. Numbers indicate mean peak size and polydispersion index (PDI), in nanometers. In the inset, Western blot analyses of purified proteins. Numbers indicate the molecular mass or markers (in kDa). (c) FESEM and TEM of protomers and NPs. Bar size is 25 nm.

 principle, T22-GFP-H6 and related fusion proteins are fluorescent building blocks that self-assemble as cyclic 66 homomeric NPs of 10−20 nm¹¹ [through the combination of](#page-7-0) electrostatic, hydrogen bond, and van der Waals forces, as determined from protein modeling.¹³ [These materials are](#page-8-0) formed by around 10 monomers that organize in a single molecular layer as a nanoscale disk.[13b](#page-8-0),[14](#page-8-0) A major driver of the assembling process is the N-terminal domain, namely, the peptide T22. This cationic protein segment is an engineered version of polyphemusin II from Atlantic horseshoe crab Limulus polyphemus, which is a well-known antagonist of the cell surface cytokine receptor CXC chemokine receptor type 4 (CXCR4).15 [CXCR4 is used by the human immunode](#page-8-0)ficiency 77 virus to initiate cell infection, 16 [but, in addition, it is an](#page-8-0) important stem-cell marker in several common human 79 cancers, 17 [including metastatic colorectal cancer.](#page-8-0) 18 [T22](#page-8-0) specifically and efficiently binds to and penetrates CXCR4+ cells via CXCR4-specific endocytosis, both in vitro and in 82 vivo.¹⁹ [T22-mediated uptake of materials is dramatically](#page-8-0)

favored when the ligand is presented in an oligomeric ⁸³ form, 20 [probably because of the cooperative multimeric cell](#page-8-0) $_{84}$ binding though simultaneous receptor-ligand interactions.^{[9a](#page-7-0)} 85 Therefore, whereas CXCR4 and its specific ligand T22 have 86 proved clinical relevance regarding cell-targeted antitumoral 87 drug delivery, $9b$ [the structural basis of T22-mediated NP](#page-7-0) 88 formation is not known. In this context, we have taken here ⁸⁹ diverse biophysical approaches, mainly spectrofluorimetry and ⁹⁰ spectropolarimetry, to explore how these T22-empowered ⁹¹ polypeptides acquire conformation compatibility with their ⁹² assembly as $CXCRA^+$ tumor-targeted NPs. For that, T22-GFP- 93 $H6$, usable as an antitumoral drug carrier, 13a [and its derivative](#page-8-0) 94 T22-DITOX-H6 have been used as models. T22-DITOX-H6 ⁹⁵ contains, instead of GFP, the active domain of the potent ⁹⁶ diphtheria toxin, 21 [as the resulting material is a self-assembled,](#page-8-0) 97 self-delivered NP with intrinsic and cell-targeted antitumoral ⁹⁸ activity. 22 [Devoid of any heterologous carrier, T22-DITOX-H6](#page-8-0) 99 NPs fulfill the emerging medical concept of vehicle-free ¹⁰⁰ nanoscale drugs. 23 23 23 101

Biomacromolecules
102 ■ MATERIALS AND METHODS
103 Protein Production and Purific

Protein Production and Purification. T22-GFP-H6 is a modular recombinant protein that contains the potent CXCR4 ligand T22 and that spontaneously self-assembles upon bacteria production and protein purification as green fluorescent NPs[.12](#page-7-0),[13b,19a](#page-8-0) T22- DITOX-H6 is a fully engineered derivative of the previous protein, also showing self-assembling properties, that delivers the unfused f1 109 functional form of a diphtheria toxin fragment into target cells ([Figure](#page-1-0) f1 110 [1a](#page-1-0)), as has recently been described.²² [Both proteins were produced in](#page-8-0) recombinant Escherichia coli Origami B (BL21, OmpT[−], Lon[−], TrxB[−], Gor[−], Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) cultures from the engineered plasmid pET22b. Cells were grown at 37 °C in LB medium 114 supplemented with 100 μ g/mL ampicillin, 12.5 μ g/mL tetracycline, 115 and 15 μ g/mL kanamycin. When the OD₅₅₀ of the cultures reached around 0.5 to 0.7, 0.1 mM IPTG (isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyrona-117 side) was added and incubated overnight at 20 °C (for T22-GFP-H6 and T22-DITOX-H6 production). Then, cells were collected by centrifugation for 15 min (5000g at 4 °C). Cell disruption was performed in a French press (Thermo FA-078A) at 1200 psi. The 121 lysates were then centrifuged for 45 min (15 000g at 4 $^{\circ}$ C), and the 122 soluble fraction was filtered using a pore diameter of 0.2 μ m. Proteins were then purified by their H6 region by immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC) using a HiTrap Chelating HP 1 mL column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) with an AKTA purifier FPLC (GE Healthcare). Elution was achieved by elution buffer (20 mM Tris- HCl, pH 8; 500 mM NaCl; 500 mM imidazole), and proteins were 128 then dialyzed against carbonate buffer with salt (166 mM $NaCO₃H$, pH 8; 333 mM NaCl). Protein concentration was obtained by the Bradford's assay. Protein production has been partially performed by the ICTS "NANBIOSIS", more specifically by the Protein Production Platform of CIBER-BBN/IBB [\(http://www.nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-](http://www.nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-protein-production-platform-ppp/) [protein-production-platform-ppp/\)](http://www.nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-protein-production-platform-ppp/).

 Preparation of Nanoparticles and Unassembled Subunits. Upon purification, the T22-derived protein NPs occur as an 136 unbalanced mixture of NPs and unassembled protomers¹⁴ [that are](#page-8-0) separated by size-exclusion chromatography through a HiLoad Superdex 16/600 200 pg column at 1 mL/min flow rate, as described 139 elsewhere.¹⁴ [Such alternative protein versions are, in general, stable in](#page-8-0) 140 these respective forms, $13a$ [allowing their further experimental analysis](#page-8-0) in such forms. This stability is probably due to subtle electrostatic or conformational variability, although assembling and disassembling can be effectively promoted by the manipulation of buffer conditions such 144 as the ionic strength. 24 [The starting materials usable for subsequent](#page-8-0) experiments are described in [Figure 1.](#page-1-0)

146 Determination of Intrinsic Fluorescence. Fluorescence spectra were recorded in a Cary Eclipse spectrofluorimeter (Agilent Technologies, Mulgrave, Australia). A quartz cell with 10 mm path length and a thermostated holder were used. The excitation and 150 emission slits were set at 5 nm. Excitation wavelength (λ_{ex}) was set at 295 nm. Emission spectra were acquired within a range from 310 to 550 nm. The protein concentration was 0.25 mg/mL in carbonate buffer with salt. To evaluate conformational difference between protomer and NP, we decided to apply the center of spectral mass (CSM) for comparison. CSM is a weighted average of the fluorescence spectrum peak. Also, it is related to the relative exposure of the Trp to the protein environment. The maximum red shift in the 158 CSM of the Trp is compatible with a large solvent accessibility.²¹

¹⁵⁹ The CSM was calculated for each of the fluorescence emission 160 spectra²⁶ [according to](#page-8-0) eq 1, where I_i is the fluorescence intensity 161 measured at the wavelength λ_i .

$$
\lambda = \frac{\sum \lambda_i \cdot I_i}{\sum I_i} \tag{1}
$$

163 Determination of GFP Chromophore Fluorescence. The chromophore fluorescence dependence on the temperature was also evaluated. Fluorescence spectra were recorded in a Cary Eclipse spectrofluorimeter (Agilent Technologies). A quartz cell with 10 mm path length and a thermostated holder were used. The excitation slits 168 set at 2.5 nm and emission slits were set at 5 nm. λ_{ex} was set at 488

nm. Emission spectra were acquired within a range from 500 to 650 169 nm. T22-GFP-H6 concentration was 0.25 mg/mL in carbonate buffer ¹⁷⁰ with salt. 171

Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer within T22-GFP- 172 H6. The unique GFP tryptophan (Trp) is located 1.3 to 1.5 nm away 173 from the chromophore. So, an efficient energy transfer from Trp to ¹⁷⁴ the chromophore should be possible. Fluorescence resonance energy 175 transfer (FRET) analysis was developed by exciting the GFP sample 176 at $\lambda_{\text{ev}} = 295$ nm and reading the fluorescence emission at 513 nm. 177 Emission spectra were acquired within a range of 500 to 650 nm. The 178 protein concentration used was 0.25 mg/mL in carbonate buffer with ¹⁷⁹ salt. 180

Dynamic Light Scattering. The volume size distribution of NPs 181 was determined at 0.25 mg/mL in carbonate buffer with salt by ¹⁸² dynamic light scattering (DLS) at 633 nm (Zetasizer Nano ZS, 183 Malvern Instruments Limited, Malvern, U.K.). Samples were 184 maintained at the indicated temperature for 5 min before the 185 measurement. The heating rate for thermal profiles was set at $1 \degree C / 186$
nin min. 187

Electron Microscopy (EM). The ultrastructural morphometry 188 (size and shape) of unassembled protomers and NPs was determined 189 at nearly native state both by deposition on silicon wafers with field- ¹⁹⁰ emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and by negative 191 staining with transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Drops of 3 192 μ L of NPs and unassembled versions of T22-GFP-H6 and T22- 193 DITOX-H6 at 0.25 mg/mL in carbonate buffer with salt were directly ¹⁹⁴ deposited on silicon wafers (Ted Pella, Reading, CA) for 1 min, and 195 the excess of liquid was blotted with Whatman filter paper number 1 ¹⁹⁶ (GE Healthcare), air-dried for few minutes, and immediately observed 197 without coating with a FESEM Zeiss Merlin (Zeiss, Oberkochen, 198 Germany) operating at 1 kV equipped with a high-resolution in-lens 199 secondary electron detector. Drops of 3 μ L of the same four samples 200 were directly deposited on 200-mesh carbon-coated copper grids 201 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, PA) for 30 s, and the excess ²⁰² was blotted with Whatman filter paper, contrasted with 3 μ L of 1% 203 uranyl acetate (Polysciences, Warrington, PA) for 1 min, blotted 204 again, and observed in a TEM JEOL 1400 (Jeol, Tokyo, Japan) 205 operating at 80 kV equipped with a Gatan Orius SC200 CCD camera 206 (Gatan, Abingdon, U.K.). For each sample and technique, 207 representative images of different fields were captured at high ²⁰⁸ magnifications (from $100000 \times$ to $500000 \times$).

Circular Dichroism. Measurements were made with a JASCO J- 210 715 spectropolarimeter (JASCO, Oklahoma City, OK) with a 211 thermostated device by a Peltier system. spectropolarimeter using a 212 1 mm path length quartz cell. Each spectrum was an average of six 213 scans. The protein concentration was adjusted to 0.25 mg/mL in 214 carbonate buffer with salt. Scan speed was set at 50 nm/min with a 1 s ²¹⁵ response time. Molar ellipticity was calculated according to eq 2. 216

$$
[\theta]_{\lambda}^{\text{MRW}} = \frac{\text{MRW} \times \theta}{l \times c}
$$
 (2) ₂₁₇

where MRW is the mean residue molecular weight calculated from 218 the protein sequence, θ is the measured ellipticity (in degrees) at a 219 given wavelength, l is the path length in millimeters, and c is the 220 protein concentration in g/mL. Measurements were carried out in the 221 200−260 nm region. Molar ellipticity units were deg cm² dmol⁻¹ 222 residue⁻¹. For the thermal studies, the heating rate was set at 1 $^{\circ}$ C/ 223 min. 224 \blacksquare RESULTS 225

T22-GFP-H6 and its derivative T22-DITOX-H6 [\(Figure 1](#page-1-0)a) ²²⁶ have been produced in recombinant bacteria as single ²²⁷ molecular species ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)b) and obtained as either ²²⁸ unassembled protomers or assembled NPs ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)b,c), ²²⁹ with sizes and molecular architecture described elsewhere.^{[13b,22](#page-8-0)} 230 This fact allows the comparative analysis of the conformation ²³¹ acquired by these proteins in each supramolecular form. For ²³² that, intrinsic fluorescence spectrum and circular dichroism ²³³

Figure 2. Protein spectroscopy obtained at 25 °C for the protomer (whole line) and the NP (dashed line) versions. (a) T22-GFP-H6 Trp fluorescence spectra. (b) T22-GFP-H6 CD spectra. (c) T22-DITOX-H6 fluorescence spectra. (d) T22-DITOX-H6 CD spectra.

Figure 3. Protein thermal unfolding measured by the center of spectral mass of the Trp fluorescence spectrum CSM (black symbols) and by far-UV CD molar ellipticity values (× symbols) at (a,b) 218 and (c,d) 222 nm. (a,b) T22-GFP-H6 protomer and NPs, respectively. (c,d) T22-DITOX-H6 protomer and NPs, respectively.

 spectrum of each protein versions were determined to identify possible structural changes as the monomer undergoes conversion into NPs. In tryptophan (Trp)-containing proteins, the amino acid fluorescence dominates the emission spectrum upon excitation at 295 nm, and it results in being sensitive to the molecular environment.²⁶ [This property is related to the](#page-8-0) protein globular conformation. Initially, the T22-GFP-H6 Trp f_2 241 fluorescence spectrum was performed (Figure 2a). GFP contains only one Trp located 1.3 to 1.5 nm away from the chromophore, and efficient energy transfer from Trp to the green chromophore should be possible. This fact explains the low-intensity values for Trp fluorescence emission in GFP-

 $H6²⁷$ [Besides, T22 contains only one Trp residue located after](#page-8-0) $_{246}$ two arginines from the amino terminal sequence. Therefore, ²⁴⁷ the higher accessibility to the molecular environment reflected ²⁴⁸ a more hydrated or polar environment for Trp from T22. The ²⁴⁹ inset from Figure 2a proved that in this protein the Trp ²⁵⁰ fluorescence signal comes mainly from the cationic peptide 251 instead of GFP domain. Because T22 seems to be more ²⁵² exposed to the medium, 13b no visible diff[erences could be](#page-8-0) $_{253}$ detected between both protein formats. However, subtle ²⁵⁴ changes in the fluorescence signal were observed, and T22- ²⁵⁵ GFP-H6 NPs exhibited a discrete displacement of the CSM ²⁵⁶ toward minor values with respect to the protomer. In such NP ²⁵⁷

Figure 4. Far-UV CD spectra of T22-GFP-H6 building blocks (a) and NPs (b) and T22-DITOX-H6 building blocks (c) and NPs (d) before (whole line) and after (dashed line) the thermal treatment up to 90 °C for T22-GFP-H6 versions and up to 70 °C for T22-DITOX-H6. The inset details the spectrum of heated T22-DITOX-H6 NPs.

 version, new intra- or intermolecular interaction of T22 within the protein assembly appeared ([Figure 2a](#page-3-0)). On the contrary, 260 CD studies demonstrated the highly β --sheet secondary structure of T22-GFP-H6, with a spectrum minimum at 217 nm ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)b, whole line). The oligomeric form of T22-GFP- H6 exhibited an inconspicuous increase in beta structure extent with respect to the protomer ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)b, dashed line). The minimum increase was only 2000 molar ellipticity units (from −2000 to −4000).

 On the contrary, T22-DITOX-H6 contains five Trp residues, what makes this construct suitable for intrinsic fluorescence analysis. The fluorescence spectrum analysis of this protein obtained at 25 °C turned out a CSM value of 271 345.2 nm and a maximal wavelength, λ_{max} of 330 nm [\(Figure](#page-3-0) [2](#page-3-0)c, whole line). These data were compatible with Trp residues localized in a nonpolar environment. It is interesting to compare this CSM value of 345.2 nm with CMS of 352 nm obtained with the T22-GFP-H6 protomer. As mentioned above, the fluorescence signal of the GFP moiety comes from the Trp highly accessible to a polar environment. Within the NPs, the Trp residues of T22-DITOX-H6 sensed a less 279 hydrophobic environment (CMS = 345.9) while λ_{max} moved f3 280 from 332 to 334 nm [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)c, dashed line or [Figure 3](#page-3-0)c,d, 281 black points from 25 to 40 $^{\circ}$ C). Although these last results are not drastically different, a remarkable contrast in the far UV CD signal emerged between T22-DITOX-H6 as a protomer and as a NP [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)d).The protomer exhibited highly alpha structure (two spectrum minima at 211 and 222 nm) as previously reported for the catalytic domain of diphtheria toxin.28 [In the assembled form, the alpha structure content](#page-8-0) seemed to fade away concomitant with the appearance of beta conformation as the two minima become less noticeable ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)d, dashed line). Besides, the secondary structure content analyzed by JASCO spectra-manager analysis software showed an increase in beta structure of 23% (RMS:25%) as the protomer takes part of NPs. In these cases, the spectra wavelength range was 190 to 260 nm.

²⁹⁵ The unfolding of each protein version was studied by the ²⁹⁶ analysis of the tertiary (center of spectral mass (CSM)) and ²⁹⁷ the secondary (the molar ellipticity value at the spectrum minimum point) structure as the temperature increased. When ²⁹⁸ proteins unfolded, Trp residues moved to a highly hydrated ²⁹⁹ environment and consequently the CSM value grew [\(Figure](#page-3-0) ³⁰⁰ [3](#page-3-0)). On the contrary, the secondary structure faded away versus ³⁰¹ temperature and an increase in the molar ellipticity was ³⁰² recorded ([Figure 3,](#page-3-0) \times symbols). The unfolding temperature 303 (T_m) is the " \times " value that corresponds to the inflection point 304 in the curve ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)). In this context, the heating of ³⁰⁵ unassembled T22-GFP-H6 caused a modest increase in the ³⁰⁶ CSM value at 70 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)a), indicating that the protein 307 transited to a more loosely packed structure. Moreover, in ³⁰⁸ T22-GFP-H6 NPs, this event was negligible ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)b). In ³⁰⁹ both versions of T22-GFP-H6, the molar ellipticity seemed to ³¹⁰ be unaltered while heating ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)a,b, \times symbols). Despite 311 that, no visible secondary structure appeared in the CD spectra ³¹² of T22-GFP-H6 after heating the protein to 90 °C (Figure 313 f4 4a,b). This indicated that at 90 $^{\circ}$ C the secondary structure of 314 f4 both formats of T22-GFP-H6 vanished, but it cannot be ³¹⁵ demonstrated by the thermal profile of the CD value at 222 nm ³¹⁶ analyses. 317

In the thermal unfolding of the T22-DITOX-H6 building ³¹⁸ block, a typical two-state thermal transition was observed. The ³¹⁹ unfolding temperature (T_m) is 57 °C [\(Figure 3](#page-3-0)c). Because 320 fluorescence studies are related to the tertiary structure and far- ³²¹ UV CD deals with the secondary structure of proteins, the ³²² overlaid experimental curves confirmed that T22-DITOX-H6 ³²³ protomer unfolds as a cooperative unit. In contrast, T22- ³²⁴ DITOX-H6, assembled as NPs, revealed a more complex ³²⁵ thermal unfolding profile. In contrast with what happens with ³²⁶ the subunit, the oligomeric protein first loses its tertiary ³²⁷ conformation [\(Figure 3d](#page-3-0)), and this event occurs at a lower ³²⁸ temperature than in the case of protomers $(T_m = 52 \text{ °C})$. 329 However, the secondary structure was preserved at higher ³³⁰ temperatures with respect to the protomer $(T_m = 64 \text{ °C})$ 331 ([Figure 3d](#page-3-0)). This complex thermal unfolding was previously ³³² described for other oligomeric proteins.²⁹ [Besides, the data in](#page-8-0) 333 Figure 4d demonstrate that after heating to 70 °C T22- ³³⁴ DITOX-H6 preserved its secondary structure in NPs (see the ³³⁵ inset). The molar ellipticity value exhibited by the protomer ³³⁶ jumps around 14 000 units from low to high temperatures ³³⁷

 (from −18 000 to −4000 ellipticity), while the change in molar ellipticity of NPs during the whole heating range is just 2000 units (from −4800 to −3200). Therefore, we confirm that oligomerization confers secondary structure thermal stability to T22-DITOX-H6, although it is still unclear with the situation of T22-GFP-H6 upon heating. To go further into the analyses of NP integrity, we evaluated the hydrodynamic size of the NPs and the possible disassembly associated with temperature increase.

³⁴⁷ DLS analyses confirmed the oligomeric nature of the NP ³⁴⁸ samples at 25 °C. T22 GFP-H6 protomer showed a size of 5.6 349 nm (pdi = 0.342), while the NPs measured 12.3 nm (pdi = f5 350 0.452) (Figure 5a,c, whole line). Contrary to what is expected

Figure 5. Relative frequency distribution of diameters (volumeweighted distribution) determined by DLS. (a) T22-GFP-H6 protomers, (b) T22-DITOX-H6 protomers, (c) T22-GFP-H6 NPs, and (d) T22-DITOX-H6 NPs. The hole line represents the measurement at 25 °C and the dashed line represents the measurement at 70 (for T22-DITOX-H6) or 85 °C (for T22-GFP-H6).

 when the protein was heated to 85 °C, the building block acquired on average an oligomeric size of 13.5 nm (pdi = 0.178), equally from that presented by the heated NPs (13.5 nm (pdi = 0.159)). Therefore, the disassembling of NPs as temperature increased was ruled out. It is noteworthy that, in fact, the heated samples displayed higher particle size, a phenomenon that could be related to the highly hydrated or unfolding nature of T22-GFP-H6. The reason for acquiring a similar particle size would need further investigation, but it could be related to the appearance of an oligomeric transition state during unfolding in the NPs as in the protomer. The unassembled T22-DITOX-H6 exhibited a molecular size of 363 8.72 nm (pdi = 0.596) at 25 °C (Figure 5b, whole line), and 364 the NP size was on average 12.3 nm (pdi = 0.293) (Figure 5d, 365 whole line). When both samples were heated to 70 $^{\circ}$ C, the proteins were completely aggregated (Figure 5d, dashed line). These last DLS size measurements of protomers and NPs were ∼1990 nm (pdi = 0.25), far from the detection limit of the equipment. Despite the NP coagulation state, they seemed to retain secondary structure, as demonstrated by data in [Figure](#page-3-0) [3](#page-3-0)d (dashed line or inset). In addition, data in the inset of [Figure 4d](#page-4-0) also supported the preservation of secondary structure while heating.

Later, we take advantage of the internal FRET phenomenon ³⁷⁴ that occurs within the protein. Interestingly, the fluorescence ³⁷⁵ of the green chromophore excited at 488 nm (λ_{ex}) was 376 practically the same within both versions (Figure 6a). On the 377 f6

Figure 6. T22-GFP-H6 chromophore fluorescence intensity (at 513 nm) decrease versus temperature measured at two different $\lambda_{\rm ex}$ / wavelengths (a) $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 488 \text{ nm}$ and (b) $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 295 \text{ nm}$.

contrary, we evaluated the internal FRET as described in the ³⁷⁸ [Materials and Methods.](#page-1-0) Surprisingly, the fluorescence decay ³⁷⁹ occurs with different slopes, depending on the supramolecular ³⁸⁰ state of T22-GFP-H6 up to 80 °C (Figure 6b) (slope $P_{\text{Protoner}} = 381$ -23 ± 0.5 and slope_{NPs} = -20 ± 0.7). Beyond this 382 temperature, both protein versions exhibited the same ³⁸³ fluorescence intensity, suggesting that up to 80 $^{\circ}$ C there is 384 subtle remoteness between the fluorophores concomitant with ³⁸⁵ distinct structural features within NPs. Above 80 °C, similar ³⁸⁶ protein structure exhibited similar fluorescence values (Figure ³⁸⁷ $(6a)$ and similar sizes (Figure 5 a,c) 388

In an attempt to assess that the subtle structural qualities of ³⁸⁹ NPs with respect to T22-GFP-H6 protomer modulate the ³⁹⁰ thermal stability up to 80 $^{\circ}$ C, we studied the thermal 391 reversibility of the internal FRET upon heating. The obtained ³⁹² data demonstrated that upon cooling from 80 °C, the protein ³⁹³ within the NPs recovered 62% of the initial fluorescence at 40 ³⁹⁴ $^{\circ}$ C ([Figure 7](#page-6-0)a,b). 395 f7

On the contrary, the heating of the protein samples to 90 °C ³⁹⁶ demonstrated that the recovery of fluorescence values after ³⁹⁷ cooling to 40 \degree C was negligible for both protein versions 398 ([Figure 7](#page-6-0)c,d). Then, we can conclude that a subtle structural ³⁹⁹ difference appears in both T22-GFP-H6 versions that is ⁴⁰⁰ maintained until the protein sample is heated to 80 °C. 401
■ DISCUSSION 402

Peptide and protein self-assembling is a complex thermody- ⁴⁰³ namic process³⁰ [whose control, even partial, might allow the](#page-8-0) 404 generation of promising protein-based materials with a ⁴⁰⁵ spectrum of biomedical applications, especially in drug ⁴⁰⁶ delivery. $2a, b, 4, 8, 31$ Several types of protein NPs for industrial 407 or biomedical applications have been generated by exploiting ⁴⁰⁸

Figure 7. T22-GFP-H6 chromophore fluorescence intensity (at 513 nm, λ_{ev} = 295 nm) as heating–cooling cycle. (a,b) Heating to 80 °C and cooling to 40 °C. (c,d) Heating to 90 °C and cooling to 40 °C.

 the hydrophobic interactions between short amylogenic peptides³² [or the structural plasticity of transmembrane](#page-8-0) proteins, 33 [among others. In the context of the emerging](#page-8-0) 412 interest of artificial viruses as drug delivery agents,⁵ 413 antimicrobial peptides^{9b,[35](#page-8-0)} and a diversity of proteins and 414 protein segments^{[1b](#page-7-0),[2c](#page-7-0)} have been genetically instructed to self- assemble as mimetics of viral capsids for cell-targeted drug or gene delivery. Such materials are structurally distinguishable 417 from those based on amyloid fibrils, $1a, d, 5$ which are being developed as well using different nanoscale architectonic principles.

 A category of GFP-based oligomeric NPs (T22-GFP-H6) and a potent self-targeted, self-delivered, nanostructured protein drug (T22-DITOX-H6, [Figure 1](#page-1-0)), fully representative 423 of the vehicle-free emerging concept in nanomedicine, 23 [have](#page-8-0) been explored here regarding the conformational changes undergone during oligomerization. These NPs organize as symmetric toroid architectures^{13b} [whose assembly appears to](#page-8-0) 427 be initiated by electrostatic cross-molecular contacts¹² [and](#page-7-0) supported by a diversity of noncovalent interactions between building blocks (including hydrogen bond and van der Waals interactions).13a [The C-terminal histidine-rich domain has a](#page-8-0) prevalent role in the oligomerization process because imidazole 432 is a potent disruptor of the material once formed.²⁴ [The](#page-8-0) resulting nanoscale materials are highly soluble, do not form 434 fibrils, and show a moderate content of cross-molecular β -sheet conformation compared with amyloidal aggregates of the same 436 protein species, $13b,36$ supportive of a nonamylogenic character. 437 These types of protein-only constructs are supported by a modular multidomain architecture, and they are especially appealing regarding the design of innovative tumor-targeted cancer medicines, where T22-DITOX-H6 is a paradigmatic representative. Produced by biological fabrication in a single step, they self-deliver therapeutic proteins with cytotoxic activities, such as human pro-apoptotic factors, toxins, or venom components, in a nanostructured way and with a high 445 level of selectivity for specific tumor markers. $9b,37$ $9b,37$ The use of human proteins or deimmunized toxin versions as the main component of these novel drugs, in constructs that do not contain heterologous protein segments (or as minor components), is expected to minimize or eliminate the risk of immune reactions that might be associated with the

repeated administration of nonhuman polypeptides as ⁴⁵¹ therapeutics. 38×452 38×452

In general, how proteins adopt their conformation during ⁴⁵³ controlled self-assembling to form nonamyloid materials is a ⁴⁵⁴ neglected issue but is of pivotal relevance in the context of the ⁴⁵⁵ growing interest in protein-based functional materials.^{[2a,b](#page-7-0),[4](#page-7-0),[6a](#page-7-0),[8](#page-7-0)} 456 In the oligomeric state, the GFP-based T22-GFP-H6 construct ⁴⁵⁷ presents a shift on λ_{max} values and an increase in the CD signal 458 ([Figure 2a](#page-3-0),b, respectively). T22-GFP-H6 contains two Trp ⁴⁵⁹ residues (one within GFP and the other within T22). Their ⁴⁶⁰ emission (expressed as CSM value) senses a higher hydro- ⁴⁶¹ phobic environment compared with this phenomenon in the ⁴⁶² subunit ([Figure 2a](#page-3-0)). Besides, an important proportion of the ⁴⁶³ fluorescence comes from T22 ([Figure 2a](#page-3-0), inset). These results, ⁴⁶⁴ concomitant with an increase in the beta structure content in ⁴⁶⁵ the NP forms ([Figure 2](#page-3-0)b), are in agreement with the concept ⁴⁶⁶ that the structural conformation is explained by the appearance 467 of the intermolecular interactions in the NPs. Nevertheless, the ⁴⁶⁸ expansion of the structural information obtained by internal ⁴⁶⁹ FRET experiments proves that subtle structural rearrange- 470 ments emerge in GFP moieties of the protein once assembled ⁴⁷¹ in NPs. Overall, the described structural features are related to ⁴⁷² a resilient conformation [\(Figure 6](#page-5-0)a,b) of the NPs until 80 $^{\circ}$ C 473 with respect to their unassembled, individual building blocks. ⁴⁷⁴ After a thermal heating to 85 $^{\circ}$ C/90 $^{\circ}$ C, an unfolded structure 475 is achieved [\(Figure 4](#page-4-0)a,b) Surprisingly, both protomers and ⁴⁷⁶ NPs reached the same oligomer size ([Figure 4](#page-4-0)b,d), suggesting ⁴⁷⁷ that particular oligomeric forms could also represent an ⁴⁷⁸ intermediate transition state in the thermal unfolding of the ⁴⁷⁹ unassembled version. 480

Finally, DITOX-based NPs present a notably distinct ⁴⁸¹ conformation with respect to the subunit version. As NPs, ⁴⁸² the fusion protein exhibits lesser alpha content and higher beta ⁴⁸³ structure than the protomer version [\(Figures 2](#page-3-0)d and [3](#page-3-0) d). This ⁴⁸⁴ result is concomitant with those obtained with fluorescence ⁴⁸⁵ analyses, like the modest increase in the CSM values in NPs ⁴⁸⁶ with respect to the subunits (Figure $2c$,d) that could be related 487 to the increase in the functionality of DITOX-based NPs. ⁴⁸⁸ Interestingly, the secondary structure of the NP version ⁴⁸⁹ remains practically changeless up to 70 °C, and the protein ⁴⁹⁰ gets aggregated in stable and well-formed NPs ([Figures 4d](#page-4-0) and ⁴⁹¹ $5d)$ $5d)$. 492

All of these data, apart from the explanation of the ⁴⁹³ conformational transition of protein building blocks into ⁴⁹⁴ nonamyloid protein NPs, suggest a higher structural stability ⁴⁹⁵ of the proteins once assembled compared with the ⁴⁹⁶ unassembled versions. In fact, this NP thermodynamic stability ⁴⁹⁷ could represent a kinetically trapped state of the proteins, as ⁴⁹⁸ demonstrated in our previous analyses^{[12,](#page-7-0)[24](#page-8-0)} and still under 499 study. Such notably high stability of the oligomers had been ⁵⁰⁰ already observed in vivo, where a proper tissue targeting and 501 excellent tumor biodistribution are achieved by T22- 502 empowered NPs but not by the equivalent unassembled 503 protein versions.^{13a} [The data presented here strongly push](#page-8-0) 504 toward the use of oligomeric versions of cell-targeted drugs or ⁵⁰⁵ vehicles versus the monomeric or dimeric versions employed ⁵⁰⁶ in immunotoxins, antibody−drug nanoconjugates, and other ⁵⁰⁷ innovative drugs.^{9b} [Structurally, protein-based oligomers might](#page-7-0) 508 offer all of the conditions for the optimal mimicking of protein- ⁵⁰⁹ based natural nanoscale agents so that such viruses are ideal ⁵¹⁰ regarding tissue penetrability, multivalent ligand presentation, ⁵¹¹ and intracellular cell delivery. $9a,39$ $9a,39$ 512

Biomacromolecules
513 ■ CONCLUSIONS

 The results presented in this study demonstrate the novel conformation and structure acquired by T22-empowered polypeptides as building blocks of regular homo-oligomers, 517 which is compatible with their functionality as CXCR4⁺ tumor- targeted NPs. While the internal compactness of the polypeptide is dependent on the specific amino acid sequence located between the cationic and histidine-rich terminal peptides (see the differences between GFP and DITOX), oligomerization occurs concomitantly to an increase in beta structure, which seems to be associated with a thermal stabilization of the protein in the complex. Whether this enhanced structural stability is connected to an improved functional stability, thus supporting the high in vivo perform- ance of these NPs, needs to be further investigated. This structural profiling adds clues for the further design of self- assembling protein NPs that, like T22-DITOX-H6, base both architecture and therapeutic activity on the conformation of the assembled protein.

⁵³² ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

533 Corresponding Authors

⁵³⁴ *E.V.: E-mail: Esther.vazquez@uab.es.

⁵³⁵ *A.V.: E-mail: Antoni.villaverde@uab.es.

536 ORCID[®]

⁵³⁷ Antonio Villaverde: [0000-0002-2615-4521](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2615-4521)

538 Present Address

 $_{539}$ \triangledown M.P.: Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB Barcelo-⁵⁴⁰ na), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, ⁵⁴¹ Barcelona 08028, Spain.

542 Author Contributions

⁵⁴³ The manuscript was written through contributions of all ⁵⁴⁴ authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of ⁵⁴⁵ the manuscript.

546 Notes

 The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): L.S.-G., N.S., U.U., R.M., E.V., and A.V. have authored a patent on the use of self-assembling, tumor-targeted cytotoxic proteins.

⁵⁵¹ ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 Protein production and DLS have been partially performed by the ICTS "NANBIOSIS", more specifically by the Protein Production Platform of CIBER-BBN/IBB ([http://www.](http://www.nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-protein-production-platform-ppp/) [nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-protein-production-platform-ppp/\)](http://www.nanbiosis.es/unit/u1-protein-production-platform-ppp/) and the Biomaterial Processing and Nanostructuring Unit ([http://www.nanbiosis.es/portfolio/u6-biomaterial-](http://www.nanbiosis.es/portfolio/u6-biomaterial-processing-and-nanostructuring-unit/) [processing-and-nanostructuring-unit/](http://www.nanbiosis.es/portfolio/u6-biomaterial-processing-and-nanostructuring-unit/)). J.M.S. is a Career Investigator from CONICET (Government of Argentina), L.S.-G. was supported by a predoctoral fellowship from AGAUR (2017FI_B100063), N.S. was supported by a predoctoral fellowship from the Government of Navarra, and U.U. received a Sara Borrell postdoctoral fellowship from AGAUR. A.V. received an ICREA ACADEMIA award. This study has been funded by the Agencia Estatal de Investigacioń (AEI) and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) (grant BIO2016-76063-R, AEI/FEDER, UE), AGAUR (2017SGR-229), and CIBER-BBN (project VENOM4- CANCER) granted to A.V., ISCIII (PI15/00272 cofounding FEDER) to E.V., and ISCIII (PI15/00378 and PIE15/00028, cofounding FEDER) to R.M.

■ REFERENCES
■ REFERENCES ₅₇₂

(1) (a) Li, D.; Jones, E. M.; Sawaya, M. R.; Furukawa, H.; Luo, F.; 573 Ivanova, M.; Sievers, S. A.; Wang, W. Y.; Yaghi, O. M.; Liu, C.; 574 Eisenberg, D. S. Structure-Based Design of Functional Amyloid 575 Materials. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (52), 18044−18051. 576 (b) Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Rodriguez-Carmona, E.; Corchero, J. L.; 577 Garcia-Fruitos, E.; Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A. Engineering protein 578 self-assembling in protein-based nanomedicines for drug delivery and 579 gene therapy. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 2015, 35 (2), 209−21. (c) Loo, Y.; 580 Goktas, M.; Tekinay, A. B.; Guler, M. O.; Hauser, C. A.; Mitraki, A. 581 Self-Assembled Proteins and Peptides as Scaffolds for Tissue 582 Regeneration. Adv. Healthcare Mater. 2015, 4 (16), 2557−86. 583 (d) Kumar, V. A.; Wang, B. K.; Kanahara, S. M. Rational design of 584 fiber forming supramolecular structures. Exp. Biol. Med. 2016, 241 585 (9), 899−908. (e) Yeates, T. O.; Liu, Y.; Laniado, J. The design of 586 symmetric protein nanomaterials comes of age in theory and practice. 587 Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2016, 39, 134-143.

(2) (a) Sutherland, T. D.; Rapson, T. D.; Huson, M. G.; Church, J. 589 S. Recombinant Structural Proteins and Their Use in Future 590 Materials. Subcell. Biochem. 2017, 82, 491−526. (b) Kobayashi, N.; 591 Arai, R. Design and construction of self-assembling supramolecular 592 protein complexes using artificial and fusion proteins as nanoscale 593 building blocks. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. 2017, 46, 57−65. (c) Corch- 594 ero, J. L.; Vazquez, E.; Garcia-Fruitos, E.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; 595 Villaverde, A. Recombinant protein materials for bioengineering and 596 nanomedicine. Nanomedicine 2014, 9 (18), 2817−28. 597

(3) Sanchez-Garcia, L.; Martin, L.; Mangues, R.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; 598 Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A. Recombinant pharmaceuticals from 599 microbial cells: a 2015 update. Microb. Cell Fact. 2016, 15, 33. 600

(4) Sutherland, T. D.; Huson, M. G.; Rapson, T. D. Rational design 601 of new materials using recombinant structural proteins: Current state 602 and future challenges. *J. Struct. Biol.* **2018**, 201 (1), 76–83. 603

(5) Knowles, T. P. J.; Mezzenga, R. Amyloid Fibrils as Building 604 Blocks for Natural and Artificial Functional Materials. Adv. Mater. 605 2016, 28 (31), 6546−6561. 606

(6) (a) Wei, G.; Su, Z.; Reynolds, N. P.; Arosio, P.; Hamley, I. W.; 607 Gazit, E.; Mezzenga, R. Self-assembling peptide and protein amyloids: 608 from structure to tailored function in nanotechnology. Chem. Soc. Rev. 609 2017, 46 (15), 4661−4708. (b) Wendell, D. W.; Patti, J.; 610 Montemagno, C. D. Using biological inspiration to engineer 611 functional nanostructured materials. Small 2006, 2 (11), 1324−9. 612 (7) Dai, B.; Li, D.; Xi, W.; Luo, F.; Zhang, X.; Zou, M.; Cao, M.; Hu, 613 J.; Wang, W.; Wei, G.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, C. Tunable assembly of 614 amyloid-forming peptides into nanosheets as a retrovirus carrier. Proc. 615 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2015, 112 (10), 2996-3001. 616

(8) Guttenplan, A. P. M.; Young, L. J.; Matak-Vinkovic, D.; 617 Kaminski, C. F.; Knowles, T. P. J.; Itzhaki, L. S. Nanoscale click- 618 reactive scaffolds from peptide self-assembly. J. Nanobiotechnol. 2017, 619 $15(1), 70.$ 620

(9) (a) Unzueta, U.; Cespedes, M. V.; Vazquez, E.; Ferrer-Miralles, 621 N.; Mangues, R.; Villaverde, A. Towards protein-based viral mimetics 622 for cancer therapies. Trends Biotechnol. 2015, 33 (5), 253−8. 623 (b) Serna, N.; Sanchez-Garcia, L.; Unzueta, U.; Diaz, R.; Vazquez, 624 E.; Mangues, R.; Villaverde, A. Protein-Based Therapeutic Killing for 625 Cancer Therapies. Trends Biotechnol. 2018, 36 (3), 318−335. 626

(10) (a) Holowka, E. P.; Sun, V. Z.; Kamei, D. T.; Deming, T. J. 627 Polyarginine segments in block copolypeptides drive both vesicular 628 assembly and intracellular delivery. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6 (1), 52−7. 629 (b) Liu, L.; Xu, K.; Wang, H.; Jeremy Tan, P. K.; Fan, W.; 630 Venkatraman, S. S.; Li, L.; Yang, Y. Y. Self-assembled cationic peptide 631 nanoparticles as an efficient antimicrobial agent. Nat. Nanotechnol. 632 2009, 4 (7) , 457–63.

(11) Vazquez, E.; Roldan, M.; Diez-Gil, C.; Unzueta, U.; Domingo- 634 Espin, J.; Cedano, J.; Conchillo, O.; Ratera, I.; Veciana, J.; Daura, X.; 635 Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Villaverde, A. Protein nanodisk assembling and 636 intracellular trafficking powered by an arginine-rich (R9) peptide. 637 Nanomedicine 2010, 5 (2), 259–68. 638

(12) Unzueta, U.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Cedano, J.; Zikung, X.; 639 Pesarrodona, M.; Saccardo, P.; Garcia-Fruitos, E.; Domingo-Espin, J.; 640

H

641 Kumar, P.; Gupta, K. C.; Mangues, R.; Villaverde, A.; Vazquez, E. 642 Non-amyloidogenic peptide tags for the regulatable self-assembling of 643 protein-only nanoparticles. Biomaterials 2012, 33 (33), 8714−22.

644 (13) (a) Cespedes, M. V.; Unzueta, U.; Tatkiewicz, W.; Sanchez-645 Chardi, A.; Conchillo-Sole, O.; Alamo, P.; Xu, Z.; Casanova, I.;

646 Corchero, J. L.; Pesarrodona, M.; Cedano, J.; Daura, X.; Ratera, I.;

647 Veciana, J.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A.; Mangues, 648 R. In vivo architectonic stability of fully de novo designed protein-

649 only nanoparticles. ACS Nano 2014, 8 (5), 4166−76. (b) Rueda, F.;

650 Cespedes, M. V.; Conchillo-Sole, O.; Sanchez-Chardi, A.; Seras-

 Franzoso, J.; Cubarsi, R.; Gallardo, A.; Pesarrodona, M.; Ferrer- Miralles, N.; Daura, X.; Vazquez, E.; Garcia-Fruitos, E.; Mangues, R.; Unzueta, U.; Villaverde, A. Bottom-Up Instructive Quality Control in the Biofabrication of Smart Protein Materials. Adv. Mater. 2015, 27 (47), 7816−22.

 (14) Pesarrodona, M.; Crosas, E.; Cubarsi, R.; Sanchez-Chardi, A.; Saccardo, P.; Unzueta, U.; Rueda, F.; Sanchez-Garcia, L.; Serna, N.; Mangues, R.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A. Intrinsic functional and architectonic heterogeneity of tumor-targeted protein nanoparticles. Nanoscale 2017, 9 (19), 6427−35.

 (15) Murakami, T.; Maki, W.; Cardones, A. R.; Fang, H.; Tun Kyi, A.; Nestle, F. O.; Hwang, S. T. Expression of CXC chemokine receptor-4 enhances the pulmonary metastatic potential of murine B16 melanoma cells. Cancer Res. 2002, 62 (24), 7328−34.

665 (16) Wilen, C. B.; Tilton, J. C.; Doms, R. W. Molecular mechanisms 666 of HIV entry. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2012, 726, 223−42.

 (17) (a) Klonisch, T.; Wiechec, E.; Hombach-Klonisch, S.; Ande, S. R.; Wesselborg, S.; Schulze-Osthoff, K.; Los, M. Cancer stem cell markers in common cancers - therapeutic implications. Trends Mol. Med. 2008, 14 (10), 450−60. (b) Sun, X.; Cheng, G.; Hao, M.; Zheng, J.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, J.; Taichman, R. S.; Pienta, K. J.; Wang, J. CXCL12/CXCR4/CXCR7 chemokine axis and cancer progression. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2010, 29 (4), 709−22.

 (18) (a) Kim, J.; Mori, T.; Chen, S. L.; Amersi, F. F.; Martinez, S. R.; Kuo, C.; Turner, R. R.; Ye, X.; Bilchik, A. J.; Morton, D. L.; Hoon, D. S. Chemokine receptor CXCR4 expression in patients with melanoma and colorectal cancer liver metastases and the association with disease outcome. Ann. Surg. 2006, 244 (1), 113−20. (b) Liang, Z.; Yoon, Y.; Votaw, J.; Goodman, M. M.; Williams, L.; Shim, H. Silencing of CXCR4 blocks breast cancer metastasis. Cancer Res. 2005, 65 (3), 681 967−71.

 (19) (a) Unzueta, U.; Cespedes, M. V.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Casanova, I.; Cedano, J.; Corchero, J. L.; Domingo-Espin, J.; Villaverde, A.; Mangues, R.; Vazquez, E. Intracellular CXCR4(+) cell targeting with T22-empowered protein-only nanoparticles. Int. J. Nanomed. 2012, 7, 4533−44. (b) Cespedes, M. V.; Unzueta, U.; Alamo, P.; Gallardo, A.; Sala, R.; Casanova, I.; Pavon, M. A.; Mangues, M. A.; Trias, M.; Lopez-Pousa, A.; Villaverde, A.; Vazquez, E.; Mangues, R. Cancer-specific uptake of a liganded protein nanocarrier targeting aggressive CXCR4+ colorectal cancer models. Nanomedicine 2016, 12 (7), 1987−1996.

692 (20) Xu, Z.; Unzueta, U.; Roldán, M.; Mangues, R.; Sánchez-Chardi, 693 A.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Villaverde, A.; Vázquez, E. Formulating tumor-694 homing peptides as regular nanoparticles enhances receptor-mediated 695 cell penetrability. Mater. Lett. 2015, 154, 140−143.

696 (21) Akbari, B.; Farajnia, S.; Ahdi Khosroshahi, S.; Safari, F.; Yousefi, 697 M.; Dariushnejad, H.; Rahbarnia, L. Immunotoxins in cancer therapy: 698 Review and update. Int. Rev. Immunol. 2017, 36, 207−219.

 (22) Sanchez-Garcia, L.; Serna, N.; Alamo, P.; Sala, R.; Cespedes, M. V.; Roldan, M.; Sanchez-Chardi, A.; Unzueta, U.; Casanova, I.; Mangues, R.; Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A. Self-assembling toxin-based nanoparticles as self-delivered antitumoral drugs. J. Controlled Release 2018, 274, 81−92.

704 (23) Shen, J.; Wolfram, J.; Ferrari, M.; Shen, H. Taking the vehicle 705 out of drug delivery. Mater. Today 2017, 20 (3), 95−97.

706 (24) Unzueta, U.; Serna, N.; Sanchez-Garcia, L.; Roldan, M.; 707 Sanchez-Chardi, A.; Mangues, R.; Villaverde, A.; Vazquez, E. 708 Engineering multifunctional protein nanoparticles by in vitro

disassembling and reassembling of heterologous building blocks. 709 Nanotechnology 2017, 28 (50), 505102. 710

(25) (a) Li, T. M.; Hook, J. W., 3rd; Drickamer, H. G.; Weber, G. 711 Plurality of pressure-denatured forms in chymotrypsinogen and 712 lysozyme. Biochemistry 1976, 15 (25), 5571−80. (b) Ruan, K.; 713 Weber, G. Hysteresis and conformational drift of pressure-dissociated 714 glyceraldehydephosphate dehydrogenase. Biochemistry 1989, 28 (5), 715 2144−53. (c) Mohana-Borges, R.; Silva, J. L.; Ruiz-Sanz, J.; de Prat- 716 Gay, G. Folding of a pressure-denatured model protein. Proc. Natl. 717 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 1999, 96 (14), 7888−93. 718

(26) Lakowicz, J. R.; Kusba, J.; Wiczk, W.; Gryczynski, I.; 719 Szmacinski, H.; Johnson, M. L. Resolution of the conformational 720 distribution and dynamics of a flexible molecule using frequency- 721 domain fluorometry. Biophys. Chem. 1991, 39 (1), 79–84. 722

(27) Orm, M.; Cubitt, A. B.; Kallio, K.; Gross, L. A.; Tsien, R. Y.; 723 Remington, S. J. Crystal structure of the Aequorea victoria green 724 fluorescent protein. Science 1996, 273 (5280), 1392−5. 725

(28) Choe, S.; Bennett, M. J.; Fujii, G.; Curmi, P. M. G.; 726 Kantardjieff, K. A.; Collier, R. J.; Eisenberg, D. The Crystal-Structure 727 of Diphtheria-Toxin. Nature 1992, 357 (6375), 216−222. 728

(29) Sanchez, J. M.; Nolan, V.; Perillo, M. A. beta-galactosidase at 729 the membrane-water interface: a case of an active enzyme with non- 730 native conformation. Colloids Surf., B 2013, 108, 1-7. 731

(30) Wang, J.; Liu, K.; Xing, R.; Yan, X. Peptide self-assembly: 732 thermodynamics and kinetics. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, 45 (20), 5589− 733
5604. 734 5604. 734

(31) (a) Yeates, T. O. Geometric Principles for Designing Highly 735 Symmetric Self-Assembling Protein Nanomaterials. Annu. Rev. 736 Biophys. 2017, 46, 23−42. (b) de Pinho Favaro, M. T.; Sanchez- 737 Garcia, L.; Sanchez-Chardi, A.; Roldan, M.; Unzueta, U.; Serna, N.; 738 Cano-Garrido, O.; Azzoni, A. R.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Villaverde, A.; 739 Vazquez, E. Protein nanoparticles are nontoxic, tuneable cell stressors. 740 Nanomedicine 2018, 13 (3), 255–268. 741

(32) (a) Zou, Q.; Abbas, M.; Zhao, L.; Li, S.; Shen, G.; Yan, X. 742 Biological Photothermal Nanodots Based on Self-Assembly of 743 Peptide-Porphyrin Conjugates for Antitumor Therapy. J. Am. Chem. 744 Soc. 2017, 139 (5), 1921−1927. (b) Liu, K.; Yuan, C.; Zou, Q.; Xie, 745 Z.; Yan, X. Self-Assembled Zinc/Cystine-Based Chloroplast Mimics 746 Capable of Photoenzymatic Reactions for Sustainable Fuel Synthesis. 747 Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56 (27), 7876−7880. 748

(33) Tarasov, S. G.; Gaponenko, V.; Howard, O. M.; Chen, Y.; 749 Oppenheim, J. J.; Dyba, M. A.; Subramaniam, S.; Lee, Y.; Michejda, 750 C.; Tarasova, N. I. Structural plasticity of a transmembrane peptide 751 allows self-assembly into biologically active nanoparticles. Proc. Natl. 752 Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2011, 108 (24), 9798−803. 753

(34) Noble, J. E.; De Santis, E.; Ravi, J.; Lamarre, B.; Castelletto, V.; 754 Mantell, J.; Ray, S.; Ryadnov, M. G. A De Novo Virus-Like Topology 755 for Synthetic Virions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138 (37), 12202−10. 756

(35) (a) De Santis, E.; Alkassem, H.; Lamarre, B.; Faruqui, N.; Bella, 757 A.; Noble, J. E.; Micale, N.; Ray, S.; Burns, J. R.; Yon, A. R.; 758 Hoogenboom, B. W.; Ryadnov, M. G. Antimicrobial peptide capsids 759 of de novo design. Nat. Commun. 2017, 8 (1), 2263. (b) Castelletto, 760 V.; de Santis, E.; Alkassem, H.; Lamarre, B.; Noble, J. E.; Ray, S.; 761 Bella, A.; Burns, J. R.; Hoogenboom, B. W.; Ryadnov, M. G. 762 Structurally plastic peptide capsules for synthetic antimicrobial 763 viruses. Chem. Sci. 2016, 7 (3), 1707−1711. 764

(36) Pesarrodona, M.; Ferrer-Miralles, N.; Unzueta, U.; Gener, P.; 765 Tatkiewicz, W.; Abasolo, I.; Ratera, I.; Veciana, J.; Schwartz, S., Jr.; 766 Villaverde, A.; Vazquez, E. Intracellular targeting of CD44+ cells with 767 self-assembling, protein only nanoparticles. Int. J. Pharm. 2014, 473 768 $(1-2), 286-95.$ 769

(37) Diaz, R.; Pallares, V.; Cano-Garrido, O.; Serna, N.; Sanchez- 770 Garcia, L.; Falgas, A.; Pesarrodona, M.; Unzueta, U.; Sanchez-Chardi, 771 A.; Sanchez, J. M.; Casanova, I.; Vazquez, E.; Mangues, R.; Villaverde, 772 A. Selective CXCR4(+) Cancer Cell Targeting and Potent Antineo- 773 plastic Effect by a Nanostructured Version of Recombinant Ricin. 774 Small 2018, 14 (26), 1800665. 775

(38) (a) Frokjaer, S.; Otzen, D. E. Protein drug stability: a 776 formulation challenge. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2005, 4 (4), 298−306. 777

 (b) Schellekens, H. Bioequivalence and the immunogenicity of biopharmaceuticals. Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery 2002, 1 (6), 457−62. (39) Mangues, R.; Vazquez, E.; Villaverde, A. Targeting in Cancer Therapies. Med. Sci. 2016, 4 (1), 6.