Kinetic-Spectrophotometric Method for Diclofenac Quantification
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Abstract—This paper introduces a kinetic-spectrophotometric method for diclofenac quantification and its application to pharmaceutical preparations. The redox reaction rate between diclofenac and KMnO₄ in a strong acidic environment is determined. Plotting initial slope vs. concentration a good linearity has been found. Linear range of the essay was 5-20 ppm. The effect of temperature on the reaction rate has been studied and Ea was 21.48 kJ/mol. The proposed method was found to be highly precise, having a relative standard deviation, CV% = 1.3% for repeatability (n=10). Recovery of analyte in placebo 98.7 - 108.15%, values that fall within the requirements set by USP and ANMAT (National Drug, Food and Medical Technology Administration of Argentina). This spectrophotometric method was compared with HPLC. Statistical data show no significant difference between them. The proposed method was found to be simple, rapid, specific, allowing the determination without preliminary extraction procedures.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Diclofenac sodium 2-[(2,6-dichlorophenyl)amino]phenyl]acetate (Fig.1) is a Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug (NSAID) used to reduce inflammation, and as an analgesic reducing pain, in medical conditions such as osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis [1].

Figure 1: Diclofenac sodium chemical structure

The Medicinal Plant of Corrientes (PLAMECOR) under The Ministry of Public Health produces tablets of 50 mg of diclofenac for distribution in primary care centers across the province. Several methods for diclofenac quantification in pure form and in tablets have been used. USP (United States Pharmacopoeia) recommends its determination by liquid chromatography methods [2, 3, 4]. The main research interests regarding diclofenac determination have focused on electrochemical techniques such as: cyclic voltammetry [5]; potentiometric sensor [6]; capillary electrophoresis [7]. Other papers focus on diclofenac quantification in vivo using HPLC-MS [8, 9]. A great deal of research papers are focused on official method validation concerning drug release from dosage forms [10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

However, spectrophotometric UV-visible techniques are preferred given its high selectivity and simplicity. Diclofenac–Fe (III) and Diclofenac–Cu (II) complexes have been studied [15, 16]. The same authors developed a technique based on diclofenac oxidation by Fe (III) in the presence of o-phenanthroline [17]. ANMAT (National Drug, Food and Medical Technology Administration of Argentina), has not yet established analytical methods for diclofenac tablets quality control.

This paper introduces a simple, versatile, cost-efficient and fast quantitative diclofenac determination by a kinetic-spectrophotometric method and its application to pharmaceutical preparations.

The kinetic differential method involves measuring reagent concentration from de initial slope of the absorbance vs. time curve. This parameter is linearly related with initial analyte concentration [18, 19, 20].

In this method the redox reaction rate between diclofenac and KMnO₄ in a strong acidic environment is determined. A pseudo zero order reaction is verified with respect to diclofenac concentration. The effect of temperature on the reaction rate has been studied and activation energy was determined.
Implementation of a new analytical method requires a validation procedure, therefore basic parameters were determined: precision, accuracy, specificity, linearity and linear range [21, 22]. This method was compared with HPLC.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Samples
Diclofenac in pure form lot 080301-5 (powder), origin China, (99.99 % purity, determined by HPLC method) and diclofenac 50 mg tablets lot Nº 103/12 from PLAMECOR were used.
Placebo used in specificity and accuracy determinations, was provided by PLAMECOR and its composition is the same present in the tablet: magnesium stearate 1%, talc 2%, sodium starch glycolate 3.5%, polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) 1.2% and 73.2% green precompact powder.

2.2 Reagents
- KMnO$_4$ AR Cicarelli (Argentina)
- H$_2$SO$_4$ 98% AR Cicarelli (Argentina)

2.3 Equipment
- Boeco S-26 UV-visible spectrophotometer.
- Jenway Heated Cell Block Controller. Model: 633004. Temperature range: Ambient +2°C to 60°C Accuracy: ±0.5°C
- HPLC: Agilent 1120 compact LC, with UV detection.

2.4 Techniques
Working solutions for spectrophotometric method
- H$_2$SO$_4$ 6N.
- KMnO$_4$ 7.42x10$^{-4}$ M in H$_2$SO$_4$ 1N.

Active Ingredient working solutions of 600 ppm, 716 and 160 ppm were prepared by weighing the necessary amount of the compound, leading to volume with distilled water and stirred magnetically for 10 min. Then centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min.

Diclofenac tablets working solutions of 600 ppm and 163 ppm were prepared from a pool of 10 tablets, following the same procedure as the active ingredient.

2.5 Spectrophotometric Procedure
The spectrophotometer was set at 524 nm and the thermostatic cell was set at 30°C.

Diclofenac pure form analysis: 2 mL of KMnO$_4$ 7.42x10$^{-4}$ M and 1 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$ 6N were added to a thermostated cuvette and incubated inside the spectrophotometer during 10 min. An aliquot of 25 µL of diclofenac pure form 600 ppm solution was added and homogenized with micropipette. Since it is a batch system, measurements at time zero were made at the closing of the spectrophotometer lid. Absorbance was recorded every 5s during a 30s period. The procedure was repeated for additions of 50, 75 and 100 µL of diclofenac pure form 600 ppm solution. The additions were made for initial concentrations of diclofenac in the cell of 5.95, 11.80, 17.56 and 23.22 ppm. Absorbance vs. time was plotted and slope was determined using the method of initial rates. A calibration curve of initial slope (ΔA/Δt) vs. C was constructed.

Diclofenac tablets analysis: 2 mL of KMnO$_4$ 7.42x10$^{-4}$ M and 1 mL of H$_2$SO$_4$ 6N were added to a thermostated cuvette and incubated inside the spectrophotometer during 10min. An aliquot of 50 µL of diclofenac tablet 600 ppm solution was added and homogenized with micropipette. Again measurements at time zero were made at the closing of the spectrophotometer lid. Absorbance was recorded every 5s during a 30s period. Absorbance vs. time was plotted and initial slope was determined. Diclofenac concentration was calculated using the calibration curve constructed with diclofenac pure form.

2.6 Activation Energy
The method was performed at various temperatures (30, 45, 50, 55 and 60 °C). An Arrhenius plot was used to analyze the effect of temperature on the rate of the chemical reaction. Activation Energy (Ea) was calculated using the Arrhenius equation [23].

2.7 Method Validation
For linearity study a calibration curve was constructed with diclofenac pure form in a concentration range between 5 and 23 ppm.
Specificity was assessed by spectrophotometric readings of placebo prepared with excipients in the same proportion as found in 50 mg diclofenac tablets.
Precision was analyzed as repeatability. A number of 10 aliquots of a homogeneous sample (50 µL of diclofenac tablet 600 ppm solution) were analyzed on the same day, by the same operator, using the same instrument.

Accuracy was based on the recovery of known amounts of analyte in placebo. Spiked samples with different levels of diclofenac (30; 60; and 90 µL diclofenac pure form 716 ppm solution) were prepared. The analysis was done in triplicate.
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2.8 HPLC – UV analysis

For diclofenac measurement mobile phase consisting of a filtered and degassed mixture of methanol and phosphate buffer pH 2.5 (70:30) was used. Diluent was a methanol and water mixture (70:30). Column: RP-18C, 125x4.5 mm. Flow rate was 1 mL/min. Detection wavelength was set at 276nm. Injection volume was 20 μL. Diclofenac pure form 160 ppm solution and Diclofenac tablets solution 163 ppm were used. Identity was verified with diclofenac retention time (9.3min). Peak areas were determined. Conversion of peak area (A) to concentration levels (C) was based on equation 1

\[
C_{\text{sample}} = \frac{C_{\text{diclofenac pure form}} \cdot A_{\text{pure form}}}{A_{\text{sample}}}
\]

Equation 1

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Absorbance vs. time was plotted (Fig. 2) and data obtained were processed using the method of initial rates. The slope of each curve at zero time was found to be proportional to diclofenac initial concentration.

Figure 2: Absorbance vs. time for different diclofenac concentration levels
Calibration Curve: plotting initial slope \((\Delta A/\Delta t)_i\) vs. Diclofenac initial concentration \((C_i)\) a good linearity has been found from the regression analysis \([y = 0.0012x - 0.0019]\) with \(r^2 = 0.9925\) with a 95% confidence level. Linear range of the essay was 5-23 ppm (Fig 3).

Figure 3: Differential kinetic method calibration curve, \((\Delta A/\Delta t)_i\) vs. \(C_i\) of diclofenac (ppm)
Kinetic-Spectrophotometric Method For Diclofenac Quantification

Spectrophotometric analysis of placebo demonstrates the chemical inertia of diclofenac tablets excipients to KMnO₄ oxidation, and therefore the specificity of the method.

The proposed method was found to be highly precise, having a Relative Standard Deviation for repeatability (n=10) of 1.3%, below the maximum amount accepted by the pharmacopoeias for pharmaceutical preparations (CV%≤ 2%).

Accuracy; recovery of analyte in placebo 98.7 - 108.15%, values that fall within the requirements set by USP and ANMAT. (Table 1)

**Table 1:** Accuracy (recovery of analyte in placebo)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>V_diluted ppm (mL)</th>
<th>C (ppm)</th>
<th>recup mass</th>
<th>recup %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>193.58</td>
<td>108.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.58</td>
<td>191.48</td>
<td>106.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>185.17</td>
<td>103.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>190.19</td>
<td>106.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>181.59</td>
<td>101.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.75</td>
<td>188.06</td>
<td>105.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>177.39</td>
<td>99.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>180.25</td>
<td>100.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.58</td>
<td>176.67</td>
<td>98.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of temperature on the rate of the chemical reaction was analyzed with an Arrhenius plot (Fig 4).

**Figure 4:** Arrhenius plot

Activation energy, Ea, calculated with Arrhenius equation was 21.48 kJ/mol.

This spectrophotometric method was compared with HPLC. Statistical data obtained by using Student’s t-test and F-tests show no significant difference between the methods. A confidence level of 95% was considered. Results are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Statistical comparison of the kinetic method with the reference method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Kinetic- Spectrophotometric Method</th>
<th>HPLC-UV Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Xm ± SD</td>
<td>50.072 ± 2.03</td>
<td>48.578 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD%</td>
<td>2.508</td>
<td>4.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S²</td>
<td>4.119</td>
<td>1.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F test</td>
<td>2.7744 (3.1788)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t test</td>
<td>-1.9955 (2.1009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Values in parentheses correspond to those tabulated for p = 0.05).
IV. CONCLUSIONS

The kinetic spectrophotometric UV-visible technique with KMnO₄ in acidic media has been confirmed to be useful for the quality control of diclofenac 50 mg tablets, without interference from common excipients. This method was found to be simple, rapid and specific, allowing the determination without preliminary extraction procedures.
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