

Manuscript Number: CORTEX-D-16-00532R1

Title: Convergence of interoception, emotion, and social cognition: A twofold fMRI meta-analysis and lesion approach

Article Type: Research Report

Keywords: stroke; insula; frontotemporal networks; Multi-level Kernel Density Analysis.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Agustin Ibanez,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO)

First Author: Federico G Adolphi, Dr.

Order of Authors: Federico G Adolphi, Dr.; Blas Couto, Dr.; Fabian Richter, Dr.; Jean Decety, Dr.; Jessica Lopez, Dr.; Mariano Sigman, Dr.; Facundo Manes, Dr.; Agustin Ibanez

Abstract: Guided by indirect evidence, recent approaches propose a tripartite crosstalk among interoceptive signaling, emotional regulation, and low-level social cognition. Here we examined the neurocognitive convergence of such domains. First, we performed three meta-analyses of functional magnetic resonance imaging studies to identify which areas are consistently coactivated by these three systems. Multi-level Kernel Density Analysis revealed major overlaps in the right anterior insular and frontotemporal regions (viz., the orbitofrontal and inferior frontal gyri, the amygdala, and mid temporal lobe/subcortical structures). Second, we explored such domains in patients with fronto-insulo-temporal damage. Relative to controls, the patients showed behavioral impairments of interoception, emotional processing, and social cognition, with preservation of other cognitive functions. Convergent results from both studies offer direct support for a model of insular-frontotemporal regions integrating interoception, emotion, and social cognition.

# Convergence of interoception, emotion, and social cognition: A twofold fMRI meta-analysis and lesion approach

Federico Adolffi<sup>1,2,#</sup>, Blas Couto<sup>1,#</sup>, Fabian Richter<sup>3</sup>, Jean Decety<sup>4</sup>, Jessica Lopez<sup>5</sup>,  
Mariano Sigman<sup>6,7</sup>, Facundo Manes<sup>1,7,9</sup>, Agustín Ibáñez<sup>1,7,8,9,10\*</sup>

1 Laboratory of Experimental Psychology and Neuroscience (LPEN), Institute of Translational and Cognitive Neuroscience (INCyT), INECO Foundation, Favaloro University, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

2 Facultad de Artes y Ciencias Musicales (FAyCM), Universidad Católica Argentina (UCA), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

3 Universität zu Köln, Department of Psychology, Cologne, Germany

4 University of Chicago, Department of Psychology, Chicago, IL, USA

5 Facultad de Salud, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia.

6 Universidad Torcuato DiTella, Buenos Aires, Argentina

7 National Scientific and Technical Research Council (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina

8 Universidad Autónoma del Caribe, Barranquilla, Colombia

9 Centre of Excellence in Cognition and its Disorders, Australian Research Council (ACR), Sydney, Australia

10 Laboratory of Neuroscience, School of Psychology,  
Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez, Santiago de Chile, Chile.

# First authors

\* Corresponding author: Agustín Ibáñez, Ph.D., Laboratory of Experimental Psychology and Neuroscience (LPEN), Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO), and CONICET. Pacheco de Melo 1860, Buenos Aires, Argentina. Phone/Fax: +54 (11) 4807-4748. aibanez@ineco.org.ar

1  
2 **Convergence of interoception, emotion, and social cognition: A twofold fMRI**  
3 **meta-analysis and lesion approach**  
4

5  
6 **Abstract**  
7

8 **Guided by indirect evidence, recent approaches propose a tripartite crosstalk among**  
9  
10 **interoceptive signaling, emotional regulation, and low-level social cognition.** Here we  
11 examined the neurocognitive convergence of such domains. First, we performed three  
12 meta-analyses of functional magnetic resonance imaging studies to identify which areas  
13 are consistently coactivated by these three systems. Multi-level Kernel Density Analysis  
14 revealed major overlaps in the right anterior insular and frontotemporal regions (viz.,  
15 the orbitofrontal and inferior frontal gyri, the amygdala, and mid temporal  
16 lobe/subcortical structures). Second, we explored such domains in patients with fronto-  
17 insulo-temporal damage. Relative to controls, the patients showed behavioral  
18 impairments of interoception, emotional processing, and social cognition, with  
19 preservation of other cognitive functions. **Convergent results from both studies offer**  
20 **direct support for a model of insular-frontotemporal regions** integrating interoception,  
21 emotion, and social cognition.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 **Keywords:** stroke, insula, frontotemporal networks, Multi-level Kernel Density  
48 Analysis.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Network models of interoception, emotion, and social cognition

1  
2  
3  
4 Interoception, the sensing of internal bodily signals (Cameron, 2001; Craig, 2002), has  
5  
6  
7 been recently linked to emotion recognition and social cognition (Craig, 2009b;  
8  
9  
10 Garfinkel & Critchley, 2013; Ibanez & Manes, 2012a; Uddin, Kinnison, Pessoa, &  
11  
12 Anderson, 2014). The integration of these three domains likely depends on the insular  
13  
14 cortex (IC), whose anterior portion (aIC) plays a crucial role in interoception (Caruana,  
15  
16  
17 Jezzini, Sbriscia-Fioretti, Rizzolatti, & Gallese, 2011; Decety, Michalska, & Kinzler,  
18  
19  
20 2012; Ibanez & Manes, 2012b; Melloni, Lopez, & Ibanez, 2014; Saarela et al., 2007;  
21  
22 Singer et al., 2004). **As part of the salience network and the ventral attention system**, the  
23  
24 right aIC is assumed to reorient attention towards emotionally salient stimuli (Corbetta,  
25  
26  
27 Patel, & Shulman, 2008; Eckert et al., 2009; M. D. Fox, Corbetta, Snyder, Vincent, &  
28  
29  
30 Raichle, 2006; Menon & Uddin, 2010; Nelson et al., 2010; Seeley et al., 2007). The IC  
31  
32 features profuse connections with the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC, including the  
33  
34 anterior cingulate cortex, ACC) and the posterior bank of the superior temporal sulcus  
35  
36 (pSTS), forming a network that is consistently engaged during social and emotion  
37  
38  
39 recognition tasks. The pSTS is sometimes denoted as temporo-parietal junction (TPJ),  
40  
41 roughly characterized as an area at the border between **the temporal and parietal lobes**  
42  
43 **(surrounding the superior end of the STS) which is consistently activated during social**  
44  
45 **cognition tasks** (Melloni, Billeke, Baez, Hesse, de la Fuente, Forno, Birba, García-  
46  
47 Cordero, et al., 2016; Schurz, Radua, Aichhorn, Richlan, & Perner, 2014). These  
48  
49  
50 regions integrate signals from distant hubs, bringing together different functional  
51  
52  
53 networks (Ibáñez et al., 2016; Liang, Zou, He, & Yang, 2013; van den Heuvel &  
54  
55  
56 Sporns, 2013). This is especially true of the IC, which constitutes a “rich club”  
57  
58  
59 integrating global information patterns from all over the brain (Harriger, van den  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 Heuvel, & Sporns, 2012; Sepulcre, Sabuncu, Yeo, Liu, & Johnson, 2012; Sporns, 2014;  
2 van den Heuvel & Sporns, 2011).

3  
4  
5 Further insights into the functional organization of this fronto-insulo-temporal network  
6  
7  
8 come from functional activation and lesion studies (Adolphs, Damasio, & Tranel, 2002;  
9  
10 Cauda et al., 2012; Chang, Yarkoni, Khaw, & Sanfey, 2013; Kelly et al., 2012; Khalsa,  
11  
12 Rudrauf, Feinstein, & Tranel, 2009), which reveal multiple connections between the IC  
13  
14 and structures subserving interoceptive, emotional, and social processes, such as the  
15  
16 orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), the dorsolateral prefrontal cortices (dlPFCs), the ACC, the  
17  
18 medial and lateral temporal lobes, the ventral striatum, and the amygdala (Mufson &  
19  
20 Mesulam, 1982; Viskontas, Possin, & Miller, 2007). In sum, as indicated by anatomical  
21  
22 (B. Couto, L. Sedeno, et al., 2013; Mesulam & Mufson, 1982a, 1982b), lesion (Calder,  
23  
24 Keane, Manes, Antoun, & Young, 2000a; Couto, Adolphi, Sedeno, et al., 2015; B. Couto,  
25  
26 L. Sedeno, et al., 2013; Khalsa et al., 2009), and functional (Cauda et al., 2012; Kelly et  
27  
28 al., 2012; Kurth, Zilles, Fox, Laird, & Eickhoff, 2010) studies, the IC interacts with  
29  
30 frontotemporal networks to coordinate social cognition, emotion, and interoception. To  
31  
32 date, however, no study has directly examined the convergence of these domains,  
33  
34 arguably because of the methodological challenges implied by the task.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

#### 44 *1.2 Interoception and emotion*

45  
46 One of the key sources of interoceptive signals related to emotion is the heartbeat.  
47  
48 Indeed, heartbeat detection (HBD) tasks are the gold standard to assess cardiac  
49  
50 interoception (Khalsa et al., 2008). In such tasks, moment-to-moment encoding of  
51  
52 heartbeat signals activates the IC, the ACC, and the secondary somatosensory cortex  
53  
54 (S2) (Bechara & Naqvi, 2004; Canales-Johnson et al., 2015; Critchley, Wiens,  
55  
56 Rotshtein, Ohman, & Dolan, 2004; Ferri, Ardizzi, Ambrosecchia, & Gallese, 2013;  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Pollatos, Kirsch, & Schandry, 2005a; Pollatos, Schandry, Auer, & Kaufmann, 2007).

Moreover, performance in the HBD task correlates with the volume of the right aIC – and so do negative affective states (Bechara & Naqvi, 2004; Critchley et al., 2004).

Interoception has also been associated with emotional processing (Couto, Adolphi, Velasquez, et al., 2015). Autonomic changes (e.g., increase in heart rate) signal emotional modulations, suggesting emotional self-assessment entails interoceptive processes (Lee & Siegle, 2012). Recent evidence suggests a proportional relationship between emotional experience and interoceptive sensitivity (Critchley et al., 2004; Pollatos, Kirsch, & Schandry, 2005b; Werner, Duschek, Mattern, & Schandry, 2009), indexed by IC activity (Critchley et al., 2004). Moreover, interoceptive and emotional processes yield overlapping activations in the aIC and the ACC (Craig, 2002; Critchley, Mathias, & Dolan, 2001; Damasio et al., 2000; Terasawa, Fukushima, & Umeda, 2013). A meta-analysis of 162 neuroimaging studies revealed that the IC is one of the most consistently activated areas in the emotion literature (H. Kober et al., 2008). Taken together, this evidence further highlights interoception as an element for emotional processing and self-awareness.

### *1.3 Interoception and social cognition*

Central monitoring and the representation of bodily signals have been further associated with social cognition. Although social cognition is a complex domain involving many subcomponents, we focus here on the most classically associated processes: cognitive and affective Theory of Mind (ToM, the attribution of cognitive and affective mental states to oneself and others; Baron-Cohen, Wheelwright, Hill, Raste, & Plumb, 2001).

ToM is one of the most essential aspects of social cognition (Kanske, Bockler,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Trautwein, & Singer, 2015), and there is evidence for its specific association with interoception (Brewer, Happe, Cook, & Bird, 2015; Couto et al., 2014). For example, good interoceptive skills seem necessary to develop ToM (Keysers & Gazzola, 2007). Such domain is compromised following bilateral IC degeneration (B. Couto, F. Manes, et al., 2013). In addition, meta-analytic evidence has revealed IC involvement in non-story-based ToM studies (Mar, 2011). Finally, the interoceptive network is connected with regions that subserve varied social cognition domains, particularly the aIC and the ACC (Denny, Kober, Wager, & Ochsner, 2012; Fan, Duncan, de Greck, & Northoff, 2011; Kennedy & Adolphs, 2012; Mar, 2011; Van Overwalle, 2009; Van Overwalle & Baetens, 2009). Taken together, these results suggest that both social cognition and emotion processing are modulated by interoceptive sensing.

#### 1.4 Emotions and social cognition

Several models propose that social behaviors are triggered by the contextual appraisal of environmental and internally driven emotional signals (Adolphs, 2009; Corbetta et al., 2008; Decety & Jackson, 2004; Ibanez et al., 2016; Ibanez & Manes, 2012a; Lamm, Meltzoff, & Decety, 2010; Lamm & Singer, 2010). The IC is considered a relay area for interoceptive/emotional signals and higher-level (social cognition) information (Gu, Hof, Friston, & Fan, 2013). It is involved in the experience, recognition, and imagination of emotions (Jabbi, Bastiaansen, & Keysers, 2008; Jabbi & Keysers, 2008; Sprengelmeyer et al., 2010), including social processes like empathy and moral cognition (Caruana et al., 2011; Decety et al., 2012; Saarela et al., 2007; Singer et al., 2004). **Indeed, IC injuries disturb the ability to recognize or name basic emotions** (Adolphs, Tranel, & Damasio, 2003; Calder, Keane, Manes, Antoun, & Young, 2000b).

1  
2 Together, these studies highlight the central role of the IC in a broad network that  
3 integrates basic emotions and social cognition.  
4  
5  
6

7 *1.5 Study goal*

8  
9 In sum, indirect evidence from multiple sources indicates that fronto-temporo-insular  
10 networks subserve the integration of interoceptive signals, emotional processes, and  
11 social cognition. However, no study has provided direct empirical evidence of such a  
12 connection. Although in the last decade several studies (Craig, 2009b; Danziger,  
13 Faillelot, & Peyron, 2009; Garfinkel & Critchley, 2013; Stanley & Adolphs, 2013;  
14 Uddin et al., 2014; Wiens, 2005) have highlighted the interrelations among different  
15 domains and the possible role of the insular cortex in the corresponding processes, only  
16 a few reports (Terasawa et al., 2013; Zaki, Davis, & Ochsner, 2012) have assessed their  
17 overlaps –mostly in pairwise comparisons between only two of the domains presently  
18 examined. There is a dearth of direct empirical evidence of the convergence among  
19 interoception, emotional, and social cognition processes.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 Here we explore this hypothesis using a double approach. First, we surveyed the brain  
40 signatures of interoception, emotional processing, and social cognition through three  
41 meta-analyses of relevant fMRI studies. Second, to assess the role of the aIC in this  
42 interaction, we administered tasks tapping the three domains to stroke patients with  
43 fronto-insulo-temporal damage and matched controls. In short, via this twofold  
44 approach, we seek to examine the organization of a broad network hypothesized to  
45 integrate interoception, emotion, and social cognition processes.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57

58 **2. Quantitative meta-analysis**  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## 2.1 Methods

1  
2 The meta-analytic approach is highly suitable to detect which effects are robust despite  
3  
4 all the variability in the field (Lindquist, Satpute, Wager, Weber, & Barrett, 2015;  
5  
6 Schurz et al., 2014). The benefit of meta-analyses lies in the balancing of the individual  
7  
8 studies' shortcomings and limited informative value, overcoming the empirical  
9  
10 limitations each of them has on their own. To identify reliable experimental effects,  
11  
12 meta-analyses pool data and characterize the degree of agreement across studies,  
13  
14 independent from tasks and recordings (Salimi-Khorshidi, Smith, Keltner, Wager, &  
15  
16 Nichols, 2009). This integrative approach is suitable to provide statistical evidence of  
17  
18 convergent findings across studies and average results to identify brain areas that show  
19  
20 consistent activity.  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Using Multi-level Kernel Density Analysis (MKDA), we analyzed the distribution of  
30  
31 peak coordinates reported in several studies using varied methodological designs (H.  
32  
33 Kober et al., 2008; H. Kober & Wager, 2010; T. D. Wager, Lindquist, & Kaplan, 2007;  
34  
35 T. D. Wager, Lindquist, Nichols, Kober, & Van Snellenberg, 2009). Coordinates  
36  
37 originally reported in Talairach stereotactic space were converted to MNI space, which  
38  
39 is widely used in the field and minimizes localization errors in meta-analytical results  
40  
41 (Carmack et al., 2004). The MKDA method performs multiple nested analyses on  
42  
43 individual peaks and interprets their contrast as a random effect. In a nutshell, the  
44  
45 MKDA is a 'random effects' approach (Fan et al., 2011; H. Kober & Wager, 2010; T.  
46  
47 D. Wager et al., 2009), given that: a) it nests peak activation coordinates within  
48  
49 contrasts, and contrasts within studies; b) it models the variability across peaks within a  
50  
51 contrast, rather than just counting all the peaks as if they all belonged to the same study  
52  
53 or contrast –so that effects are assumed to vary randomly between samples; c) it  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1 assesses statistical significance density maps by comparing against the following null  
2 hypothesis: activated regions in the resulting pair-wise contrast maps are randomly  
3 distributed throughout the brain (H. Kober & Wager, 2010; T. D. Wager et al., 2009).  
4 See Supplementary Data for a comparison between the different available methods for  
5 voxel-wise meta-analyses of fMRI studies.  
6

7 Prior meta-analyses (P. T. Fox, Parsons, & Lancaster, 1998; Laird et al., 2005; T. D.  
8 Wager, Jonides, & Reading, 2004; T. D. Wager, Phan, Liberzon, & Taylor, 2003) have  
9 treated peaks within each voxel as a fixed effect. This procedure ignores the fact that  
10 points are not independent of one another (they are nested within contrasts within  
11 studies). An important consequence is that any single study that has a large number of  
12 peaks (due to differences in reporting, voxel size, and thresholding) can dramatically  
13 influence the analyses. In contrast, the Peak Density Method presently used respects the  
14 data's multidimensionality, as it treats peaks as random rather than fixed factors. This  
15 means modeling the variability across peaks within a contrast, rather than just counting  
16 all the peaks as if they all belonged to the same study or contrast (see supplementary  
17 data for a detailed discussion). To this end, we created one Contrast Indicator Map  
18 (CIM) for each contrast. CIMs were created for each set of coordinates by convolving a  
19 10-mm spherical kernel around each peak. The resulting maps contained "active" voxels  
20 that were within 10 mm of a reported peak for that contrast. Spherical kernels of 10 mm  
21 were chosen because they match roughly the three dimensional spatial resolution of the  
22 reported data and due to its general acceptance in MKDA use (H. W. Kober, T. D.,  
23 2010; Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2009; T. D. Wager et al., 2004; T. D. Wager et al., 2007).  
24 The combined CIMs are weighted by the square root of the sample size of the contrast,  
25 reflecting the proportion of contrasts yielding activations near each voxel (see  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Supplementary Material, methods section). Also, studies using fixed effects analyses are down-weighted by a factor of 0.75 (see Supplementary Information).

Hence, the crucial measure of interest is the number of contrasts that produced activation near a voxel, rather than the number of individual activation peaks. Thus, MKDA avoids bias towards any single study that reports multiple nearby peaks, as these are treated as spatially independent. This might reflect low spatial smoothness in the data, reporting conventions, low thresholds, or the voxel sizes used (T. D. Wager, *et al.*, 2008). The MDKA approach allows weighting contrasts by study sample size and quality (T. D. Wager *et al.*, 2009), so that reports with fewer participants or fixed effect designs are given less weight, while studies with a larger number of participants or random effect designs are given more weight. The meta-analysis statistic at each voxel, reported in text and tables as the 'z statistic' (H. Kober *et al.*, 2008), is the proportion difference of contrasts that activated within 10 mm (kernel region) of that voxel, weighted by the sample size and quality (fixed versus random effects) of the study (Buhle *et al.*, 2014; Denny *et al.*, 2012; H. Kober *et al.*, 2008; Mende-Siedlecki, Said, & Todorov, 2013). Thus, z-values (maximum statistic values) are based on weighted CIMs rather than studies, and represent the weighted percentage of CIMs that reported activation in each cluster (H. Kober *et al.*, 2008).

The general null hypothesis states that peak coordinates of activated regions are randomly distributed across the brain. If the number of nearby activations for a peak coordinate is greater than the number expected by chance, the null hypothesis is rejected. Given that the MKDA method does not differentiate between a peak coming from a study applying correction and a peak not applying it, only those voxels which survive whole-brain correction have been considered significant, which is in itself a reliable procedure (Cacioppo, Bianchi-Demicheli, Frum, Pfaus, & Lewis, 2012; Juan *et*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

al., 2013; H. Kober et al., 2008). MKDA does so by identifying maps of activated clusters according to a ‘height’ or ‘extent’ based threshold. The height-based threshold encloses voxels that have proportions of contrasts inside the 10mm kernel region that exceed the maximum expected over the entire brain by chance ( $p < .05$ , family wise error rate (FWE) corrected) and reducing to this level the Type I error at any single voxel. The extent-based threshold selects contiguous voxels outside the 10 mm of the clusters for the height-based threshold, that show greater activation than would be expected at a given level of chance ( $p < .05$ ) and which are secondary FWE-corrected for spatial extent at  $p < .05$ . Beyond that, a combined map of voxels meeting both criteria (height and extent) are computed.

Finally, both visual and statistical overlaps with conjunction analysis were conducted as explained below. For further details about MKDA (H. Kober & Wager, 2010; T. D. Wager, *et al*, 2008; T. D. Wager et al., 2007) and its relationship to other meta-analytical approaches, see Supplementary Material.

Relevant studies were selected through an extensive computer-based search of peer-reviewed functional neuroimaging studies. We relied on the following sources: MEDLINE library, life science journals, and online books indexed in PubMed. Also, we used the Sleuth Software (BrainMap Development Team, Version 2.0.3, Research Imaging Center, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio) to search the BrainMap database for published functional neuroimaging experiments.

Each study was then checked for content relevance. The studies included in the meta-analyses of emotion and social cognition were published from the year 1999 onwards.

The reference lists of identified papers were reviewed to ensure the inclusion of all

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1 relevant studies that fit our criteria: (1) description of standard Talairach (Talairach,  
2 1988) or Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) coordinates (to enable comparison of  
3 reported peak activation across studies); (2) samples composed of unmedicated and  
4 untrained healthy adults; (3) studies in which the threshold of significance has been  
5 established at the whole-brain level; (4) measurement of regional cerebral blood flow  
6 (e.g., through PET) or blood oxygenation (e.g., through fMRI); (5) employment of  
7 image subtraction to determine activation foci; and (6) Tasks which tap core processes  
8 of emotion, social cognition or interoception without assessing related high-level  
9 processes or pursuing more specific goals (such as correlations and/or interactions with  
10 other psychological constructs or demographic features). All three meta-analyses  
11 included studies with varied tasks. In particular, the interoception meta-analyses was not  
12 limited to cardiac interoception, as a recent meta-analysis [44]. This inclusive criterion  
13 was chosen to ensure each domain was fully represented and the number of studies was  
14 balanced across domains.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36 Following previous recommendations (Lindquist et al., 2015; Lindquist, Wager, Kober,  
37 Bliss-Moreau, & Barrett, 2012; Vytal & Hamann, 2010; T. D. Wager, *et al*, 2008), only  
38 activations were included, because deactivations have been less consistently reported  
39 and prove more difficult to interpret. Furthermore, interpretations of reported  
40 deactivations are unclear, since their neural mechanisms remain largely unknown  
41 (Gusnard & Raichle, 2001; Hutchinson et al., 1999). The key words used to search the  
42 databases as well as number of studies, contrasts, and participants for each meta-  
43 analysis are shown in Table I (See also Supplementary Data and Supplementary Tables  
44 I-III).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

The results were visualized using the Caret Software (version 5.65, Van Essen Laboratory, Saint Louis; <http://brainvis.wustl.edu>). Activation maps containing the combined results from extent- and height-based thresholds were projected onto the left and right hemispheres of the PALS atlas (Population-Average, Landmark and Surface based atlas, Van Essen Laboratory, Saint Louis; <http://sumsdb.wustl.edu/sums/humanpalsmore.do>) using MNI-Talairach coordinate space. Overlapping brain foci were displayed by mapping both activation maps to the surface and adjusting the  $p$  values to .05 corrected for multiple comparisons by family-wise error (FWE). The left and right cortical surfaces were inflated to reveal the major sulci of the brain and to facilitate data visualization. To extract the significant areas activated in the overlap, we performed a conjunction analysis on the three MKDA-corrected maps (at .05 FWE, via Bonferroni tests, Fig. S1) using SPM-8 (Statistical Parametric Maps, Welcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, <http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm>).

## 2.2 Results

### 2.2.1 Interoception

The meta-analysis of interoception showed the greatest likelihood of activation within the right aIC ( $z = 0.31$ ). The subsequent clusters in likelihood included the left insula ( $z = 0.21$ ), the right claustrum ( $z = 0.19$ ), the right pulvinar thalamic nucleus ( $z = 0.18$ ), the right inferior parietal lobe ( $z = 0.16$ ), the left cingulate gyrus ( $z = 0.14$ ), and the left parahippocampal gyrus ( $z = 0.07$ ; see Table II).

### 2.2.2 Emotion

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Greatest activation increases in the MKDA maps of emotions were concentrated in a large cluster ranging from the left inferior frontal gyrus ( $z = 0.08$ ) to the left ( $z = 0.21$ ) and right ( $z = 0.17$ ) amygdalae, the left aIC ( $z = 0.07$ ), the left claustrum ( $z = 0.11$ ), the basal ganglia (right globus pallidus,  $z = 0.1$ ; head of the right caudate,  $z = 0.06$ ), and the thalamus (medial dorsal nuclei,  $z = 0.08$ ). Significant activation was also found in the right aIC ( $z = 0.09$ ), the ACC ( $z = 0.07$ ), the bilateral inferior frontal gyri ( $z = 0.07$ ), the right superior temporal gyrus ( $z = 0.05$ ), the right parahippocampal gyrus ( $z = 0.17$ ), the bilateral fusiform gyri ( $z = 0.09$ ), and the right inferior occipital gyrus ( $z = 0.06$ ). In the cerebellum, significant activated areas were identified in the culmen ( $z = 0.03$ ) and the declive (right,  $z = 0.07$ ; left,  $z = 0.09$ ; Table III).

### 2.2.3 Social cognition

The meta-analysis of social cognition showed the most significant clusters were located in the cingulate gyrus ( $z = 0.19$ ) and right superior temporal gyrus (STG,  $z = 0.18$ ). A similar cluster with lower likelihood appeared in the left STG ( $z = 0.17$ ). The subsequent clusters comprised the left middle frontal gyrus ( $z = 0.16$ ), the posterior cingulate gyrus ( $z = 0.16$ ), the left inferior frontal gyrus ( $z = 0.15$ ), the left insula ( $z = 0.15$ ), and the bilateral middle temporal gyrus ( $z = 0.15$ ). Other areas that showed significant activation were the anterior cingulate cortex ( $z = 0.14$ ), the right supramarginal gyrus ( $z = 0.14$ ), the left amygdala ( $z = 0.13$ ), the right lingual gyrus ( $z = 0.12$ ), and the left superior frontal gyrus (SFG;  $z = 0.12$ ; Table IV).

### 2.2.4 Overlap among the three domains

The images resulting from each meta-analysis overlapped in several regions (Fig. 1). These included the aIC (right > left), the right ACC, and the right temporal pole.

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION


1 Interoception and emotions yielded overlapping activity over a broad network which  
2 included the bilateral insula, the left basal ganglia, the medial anterior temporal lobe  
3  
4 (mATL), the subgenual ACC, the ventral mPFC, the inferotemporal (fusiform gyrus),  
5  
6 and the occipital cortices. Interoception and social cognition shared the bilateral IC, the  
7  
8 bilateral mPFC and ACC, the right somatosensory cortex, the right inferior parietal  
9  
10 lobule, and the medial occipital cortex. Finally, emotions and social cognition showed  
11  
12 related activation in the bilateral pSTS, the inferior frontal gyrus, the bilateral basal  
13  
14 ganglia, the bilateral mPFC, the medial occipital cortex, the bilateral precuneus, the  
15  
16 medial ATL, and the lateral right temporal pole.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 A conjunction analysis performed over the three MKDA maps revealed common  
25  
26 activations throughout an insular-frontotemporal network: the right aIC, the ACC, the  
27  
28 bilateral parahippocampal gyrus, the hippocampus, the right amygdala, the inferior  
29  
30 frontal gyrus, and the right globus pallidus ( $p < .001$ , FWE corrected; Fig. S1 and Table  
31  
32 V). In sum, the three meta-analyses revealed overlaps in cortico-subcortical fronto-  
33  
34 temporo-insular networks, in agreement with abundant research on interoception and  
35  
36 social cognition, in general, and the mentalizing network, in particular. Crucially,  
37  
38 significant overlaps emerged in the right aIC, frontal and ATL structures, and the  
39  
40 amygdala, all of which play well established roles in affective and cognitive integration  
41  
42 (Adolphs, 2002; Craig, 2009a; Lamm & Singer, 2010; Singer, Critchley, & Preusschoff,  
43  
44 2009).  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65




INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

A.



B.



**Figure 1.** A. Meta-analyses of cortical overlap (yellow). Significantly activated (meeting height- or extent-based threshold at  $p < .05$ , FWE corrected) clusters from studies of interoception (blue), emotion recognition, perception (violet), and social

1 cognition/mentalization (cyan). **B.** Conjunction analysis of interoception, emotion, and  
2 social cognition activation maps (MKDA). The regions highlighted include the right  
3 anterior insula and the amygdala, as well as the right frontal opercula, the right  
4 hippocampus, and the parahippocampal gyrus.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

### 10 11 12 **3. Lesion study**


#### 13 14 **3.1 Methods**

##### 15 16 *3.1.1. Participants*

17  
18 Thirty-seven subjects participated in this study. Sociodemographic, neuropsychological,  
19 affective, and experimental data were collected and are reported in Table VI. All  
20 participants read and signed an informed consent form prior to the beginning of the  
21 study and all protocols were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and  
22 regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki. All protocols of this study were approved by  
23 the ethics committee of the Institute of Cognitive Neurology (INECO).  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

##### 37 38 *3.1.1.1 Patient sample*

39  
40 Seventeen individuals were selected from the outpatient population of the Institute of  
41 Cognitive Neurology. All patients were recruited from a previous ongoing protocol  
42 (Sandra Baez et al., 2014; Garcia-Cordero et al., 2015), and presented predominantly  
43 fronto-insulo-temporal brain lesions (Fig. 2) due to ischemic stroke (chronic  
44 cerebrovascular lesions acquired at least 6 months before testing), and reported no  
45 history of psychiatric conditions. All patients included in the lesion study were  
46 cognitively stable, according to a neurological assessment. Their diagnoses were made  
47 by stroke specialists. No patients with tumors, hemorrhagic stroke, or brain trauma were  
48 included in the study.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



**Figure 2.** Overlap of the fronto-insular lesions created by adding the MNI warped images over a single-subject T1 scan. The lesions were analyzed in terms of lesion overlaps with MRIcron. Lesion overlap across patients was mapped on a standard brain. The colorbar indicates number of subjects sum overlap. Areas with greater lesion overlap across patients are shown brighter.

### 3.1.1.2 Control sample

The control group comprised 20 individuals with no history of neurological or psychiatric conditions. They were matched to the patient group in terms of demographic variables (age, gender, laterality, education). Sociodemographic and neuropsychological data are presented in Table VI.

### 3.1.2 Instruments and procedures

#### 3.1.2.1 Neuropsychological evaluation and affective screening

General cognitive state was assessed with the Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE) (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975). Executive functions were evaluated with the

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

INECO Frontal Screening (IFS) (Torralva, Roca, Gleichgerrcht, Lopez, & Manes, 2009) which assesses six executive domains via eight subtests (tapping motor programming, conflicting instructions, motor inhibitory control, numerical working memory, verbal working memory, spatial working memory, abstraction capacity, and verbal inhibitory control). The overall score, reflecting general executive functioning, is calculated as the sum of each of the eight subtests. Intelligence was measured through the Word Accentuation Test (WAT) for premorbid IQ (Burin, Jorge, Arizaga, & Paulsen, 2000). Depression and anxiety were assessed via the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II) (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996) and the State Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) (Spielberger, Gorsuch, Lushene, Vagg, & Jacobs, 1983), respectively.

### 3.1.2.2 *Interoceptive measures*

We assessed interoception through a behavioral HBD task (Couto et al., 2014; Melloni et al., 2013; Sedenio et al., 2014; Yoris et al., 2015). Participants were required to track heartbeats by tapping a computer keyboard, while electrocardiograms were recorded (see Supplementary Material). First, in a motor control condition, each participant was instructed to follow an audio recording of a sampled heartbeat. Next, they followed their own heartbeat with no external cues or feedback (intero condition). These two conditions provided a measure of audio-motoric performance and a cardiac interoceptive measure. The output variables considered were reaction times, number of correct responses (matched to a heartbeat within a heart rate-based time window; see Supplementary Materials for additional details), and interoceptive accuracy (a composite of the previous measures).

### 3.1.2.3 *Emotion recognition measures*

1 The patients and controls completed two computerized emotion recognition tasks: one  
2 involving visual facial stimuli, the *emotional morphing task* (B. Couto, L. Sedeno, et al.,  
3 2013; Hurtado, Haye, Gonzalez, Manes, & Ibanez, 2009) and another one employing  
4 verbal **auditory stimuli**, the *prosody test* (B. Couto, L. Sedeno, et al., 2013; Scott et al.,  
5 1997). In both cases we measured accuracy and reaction times.  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

11 The facial expression recognition task featured six basic emotions (happiness, surprise,  
12 sadness, fear, anger, and disgust) taken from the Pictures of Affect Series (Ekman &  
13 Friesen, 1976). Each stimulus was morphed for each prototype emotion and for a  
14 neutral state (Young et al., 1997) and was presented in twenty 500-ms frames. During  
15 the Emotional Morphing Task, the 48 morphed facial stimuli were randomly presented  
16 on a computer screen until the patient indicated a response on the keyboard. Participants  
17 were asked to respond as soon as they recognized the facial expression, and then to  
18 identify it from a forced choice list of six options. This task measures the accuracy of  
19 emotion recognition and reaction times.  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38

39 The second task (Scott et al., 1997) comprised six disyllabic concrete nouns with neutral  
40 meaning selected from a larger sample of words used in previous studies (Blas Couto et  
41 al., 2013). These were produced with six different intonations conveying happiness,  
42 anger, fear, disgust, sadness, and a neutral emotion. The stimuli were presented  
43 binaurally. After each presentation, the patients viewed a forced-choice list of six  
44 emotions and chose the one they felt best described the word perceived.  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

#### 56 *3.1.2.4 Social cognition measures*

57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Low-level social cognition was assessed via the *Reading-the-Mind-in-the-Eyes* test, an instrument tapping theory-of-mind skills. This validated task was administered following previously reported procedures (Baez et al., 2013; Baez et al., 2012; Couto et al., 2014; B. Couto, L. Seden, et al., 2013; Manes et al., 2011).. Participants were presented with 36 images, showing facial regions from the midway along the nose to just above the eyebrows, and they were asked to choose which of four words best described what the person in the picture was thinking or feeling. Task performance was measured by considering accuracy. For additional details on each of these tasks, see Supplementary Data

### 3.1.2.5 Neurological and neuropsychological assessment

The patients underwent a neurological examination. MRI lesions were visually inspected by two experts in clinical neuroimaging (BC and FM). Subsequently, the patients and control subjects were assessed with the neuropsychological and affective screening questionnaires, the HBD task, the emotion recognition tests, and the social cognition test.

## 3.2 Data analysis

### 3.2.1 Behavioral data analysis

Demographic data for each group were compared using independent samples student's *t*-tests for numerical variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Neuropsychological and experimental data were compared via Mann-Whitney tests for non-normally distributed data. All statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS 22.0 software package.

### 3.2.2 Physiological data analysis

1  
2 Electrocardiogram recordings during the HBD task were analyzed with *ad hoc* scripts,  
3  
4 as follows: first, we extracted heartbeat peaks from the electrocardiogram signal with  
5  
6 MATLAB's peakfinder function (Yoder, 2009); second, we tracked and assigned each  
7  
8 electrocardiogram peak to the corresponding keyboard taps using a heart-rate-dependent  
9  
10 time window (HR < 69, window = 650 ms; HR > 69 and HR < 99, window = 700 ms;  
11  
12 HR < 99, window = 750 ms); third, we considered the previous pairings and calculated  
13  
14 reaction times and accuracy as previously described (Couto et al., 2014; Melloni et al.,  
15  
16 2013; Seden et al., 2014).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Sociodemographic, clinical, and neuropsychological results

24  
25  
26 The groups exhibited no significant differences in age, gender, laterality or years of  
27  
28 education. Analysis of neuropsychological data revealed no significant differences (see  
29  
30 Table VI) in general cognitive state (MMSE), executive functions (IFS) or intelligence  
31  
32 (WAT). Finally, no significant differences were found for depression (BDI), anxiety  
33  
34 state (STAI-S) or trait anxiety (STAI-T).  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

### 3.3.2 Heartbeat detection

43  
44 No significant accuracy differences emerged between patients ( $M = .54, SD = .18$ ) and  
45  
46 controls ( $M = .53, SD = .26$ ) in the motor control condition of the HBD task ( $U = 81.0,$   
47  
48  $p = .938, z = -.078$ ). However, in the interoceptive condition, accuracy was greater for  
49  
50 controls ( $M = .38, SD = .21$ ) than for patients ( $M = .23, SD = .17, U = 51.0, p = .013, z =$   
51  
52  $-2.49$ ; see Fig. 3A).  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

### 3.3.3 Emotion


1  
2 Emotion recognition performance revealed impairments in the patient group. Total  
3  
4 accuracy in the prosody task was significantly higher for controls ( $M = .71$ ,  $SD = .18$ )  
5  
6 than for patients ( $M = .56$ ,  $SD = .19$ ,  $U = 55.0$ ,  $p = .017$ ,  $z = -2.37$ ; see Fig. 3B).  
7  
8 Regarding individual emotions, differences were found for happiness and disgust (see  
9  
10 Supplementary Data). Similarly, in the emotional morphing task, patients ( $M = .73$ ,  $SD$   
11  
12  $= .09$ ) were outperformed by controls ( $M = .83$ ,  $SD = .08$ ,  $U = 49.5$ ,  $p = .025$ ,  $z = -2.23$ ;  
13  
14 see Fig. 3C). In particular, patients were impaired in the recognition of sadness (see  
15  
16 Supplementary Data).  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 3.3.4 Social cognition

24  
25  
26 Analysis of accuracy in the *Reading-the-Mind-in-the-Eyes* task showed that patients ( $M$   
27  
28  $= .58$ ,  $SD = .11$ ) performed significantly worse than healthy controls ( $M = .75$ ,  $SD = .10$ ,  
29  
30  $U = 51.00$ ,  $p < .001$ ,  $z = -3.756$ ; see Fig. 3D).  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

36 In sum, we did not find robust evidence of impairment in general cognitive function,  
37  
38 executive functions, or intelligence, in the patient sample. Also, their levels of anxiety  
39  
40 and depression were similar to those of controls. Conversely, patients exhibited altered  
41  
42 cardiac interoception and significant impairments in emotion processing and low-level  
43  
44 social cognition. These results remain largely the same with a parametric analysis with  
45  
46 corrections for multiple comparisons (see Supplementary data).  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65





**Figure 3.** Results for behavioral data comparisons. **A.** Interoception. **B and C.** Emotion recognition. **D.** Social cognition. Box-plot diagram shows first and third quartile (bottom and top of the box), the median (second quartile represented by the band inside the box), and the minimum and maximum of all of the data (ends of the whiskers). Statistical analysis revealed significantly worse performance in patients (red) than controls (blue) in all three domains.

## 4. Discussion

At least since the Williams-Lange theory (Lange & James, 1967), body feelings and visceral signals have been considered to be integrated with emotions. Visceral neural sites related to both these processes –specifically, the anterior IC– have been repeatedly and independently implicated in interoception, emotions, and, more widely, in social cognition. In this work, we provided convergent meta-analytical and experimental evidence on the integration of such three domains in the aIC and its related functional networks.

### 4.1 Meta-analysis of fMRI literature

#### 4.1.1 Interoception

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1 The meta-analysis of interoception studies revealed areas that are typically highlighted  
2 in relevant reviews. The areas with highest activation increases were the right aIC and  
3  
4 ACC, two core structures in the interoceptive network (Craig, 2002, 2009b; Critchley et  
5 al., 2004; Pollatos, Schandry, et al., 2007). The detection of right-sided aIC activity has  
6  
7 been reported in studies examining the subjective evaluation of one's physical condition  
8  
9 (Craig, 2002; Critchley et al., 2004). Furthermore, the right-sided asymmetry appears to  
10  
11 be associated with the nature of afferents. Stimuli such as cardiac perception, visceral  
12  
13 perception, air hunger, and pain (as implemented in our meta-analysis) primarily  
14  
15 activated the sympathetic afferents that project to the right aIC. Instead, stimuli that  
16  
17 induce mainly parasympathetic arousal (i.e., the subjective sense of fullness (Stephan et  
18  
19 al., 2003)) provide input to the left aIC (Craig, 2002; Kelly et al., 2012). This is in part  
20  
21 consistent with a recent cardiac interoception meta-analysis showing a right hemispheric  
22  
23 dominance for cardioception (Schulz, 2016). In contrast with our findings, and also  
24  
25 with a recent report using a lesion approach (Indira García-Cordero et al., 2016), ACC  
26  
27 did not arise as a key structure. Schulz's study sought to reveal neural activity emerging  
28  
29 only from tasks involving cardioceptive attentiveness. Thus, differences with the results  
30  
31 of our interoception meta-analysis (which included other tasks besides cardioception)  
32  
33 could be due to differential processing along interoceptive streams. Supporting this, it  
34  
35 has been shown that cardiac interoception impairments can emerge due to focal insular  
36  
37 damage, while white matter damage of ACC-insular connections may affect other  
38  
39 interoceptive domains (Couto, Adolfi, Sedeño, et al., 2015). Moreover, different  
40  
41 interoceptive dimensions present different neuroanatomical correlates (Indira García-  
42  
43 Cordero et al., 2016). Thus, although the IC seems to be a critical region for  
44  
45 interoception in general, ACC involvement would be dependent on the type or  
46  
47 dimensions of interoceptive processing.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2 Also related to this network, we observed activity in the thalamus, a relay of the lamina-  
3  
4 1-spino-thalamo-cortical pathway projecting physiological signals to the interoceptive  
5  
6 network (Craig, 2002). Clusters were also identified in areas not typically linked to the  
7  
8 interoceptive network (e.g., the inferior parietal lobe and the parahippocampal gyrus).  
9  
10 Although these areas were more activated than expected by chance, this may be related  
11  
12 to the differential task loads of the reviewed studies, suggesting that not all areas are  
13  
14 necessary for interoception.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

#### 21 *4.1.2 Emotion*

22  
23 The meta-analysis of emotion studies showed widespread patterns of limbic,  
24  
25 subcortical, and brainstem activation, which is consistent with other meta-analytic  
26  
27 investigations of this domain (Lindquist et al., 2012; Phan, Wager, Taylor, & Liberzon,  
28  
29 2002; Phan, Wager, Taylor, & Liberzon, 2004; T. D. Wager, *et al*, 2008). Within our  
30  
31 framework, the cluster observed in the IC and the ACC merit particular attention. A  
32  
33 network comprising the IC, the ACC, the mPFC, and subcortical regions appears to be  
34  
35 the prime candidate for the integration of interoception and emotions. This common  
36  
37 ground is supported by several studies that suggest that we refer to our own bodily state  
38  
39 when evaluating our emotional state (Dunn et al., 2010; Gu, Liu, Van Dam, Hof, & Fan,  
40  
41 2013; Pollatos et al., 2005a). Different models propose that integrating interoceptive  
42  
43 stimuli and exteroceptive environmental stimuli in the aIC leads to the subjective  
44  
45 experience of emotion (Craig, 2009b; Critchley, 2009; Gu, Hof, et al., 2013; Kurth et  
46  
47 al., 2010; Terasawa et al., 2013). The crucial role of the ACC in emotion processing is  
48  
49 broadly accepted (Etkin, Egner, & Kalisch, 2011; Lavin et al., 2013). **In light of this**  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 background, our findings robustly support the view that the IC and the ACC are critical  
2 for interoceptive processes in emotional awareness (Wager et al., (2008)).  
3  
4  
5

6  
7 The present work did not discriminate among emotions in terms of valence. However, it  
8  
9 is possible that negative and positive emotions could interact differently with the other  
10  
11 two domains (interoception and social cognition). This area should be further explored  
12  
13 in future studies.  
14  
15

#### 16 17 18 19 20 21 *4.1.3 Social cognition*

22 The social cognition meta-analysis results involved the bilateral STG (progressing to  
23 pSTS), the bilateral mPFC, the bilateral pSTS, the precuneus, and the right  
24  
25 supramarginal gyrus. These findings are highly consistent with other studies that  
26  
27 investigated social cognition and identified what is widely known as the mentalizing  
28  
29 network (Adolphs, 2009; Beer & Ochsner, 2006; Denny et al., 2012; Frith & Frith,  
30  
31 2007; Mar, 2011; Van Overwalle & Baetens, 2009). Moreover, the cluster located in the  
32  
33 mPFC is consistent with previous findings (Denny et al., 2012; Frith & Frith, 2007),  
34  
35 suggesting a role in meta-cognitive processes and activated when thinking about the  
36  
37 mental states of oneself and others (Amodio & Frith, 2006; Frith & Frith, 2007).  
38  
39 Additional clusters appeared in the ACC, which is recruited in the cognitive-evaluative  
40  
41 form of empathy (Fan et al., 2011) and social cognition (Lavin et al., 2013). Additional  
42  
43 clusters were identified in the thalamus, the left mid temporal gyrus, and the superior  
44  
45 frontal gyrus. Mid temporal gyrus activation in our task may reflect the engagement of  
46  
47 listening- and reading-related mechanisms. The left lateralization of these patterns  
48  
49 supports this assumption, since language processing distinctively relies on the left  
50  
51 hemisphere.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3 Relative to exhaustive meta-analyses on social cognition (e.g. (Schurz et al., 2014; Van  
4 Overwalle, Baetens, Marien, & Vandekerckhove, 2014)), our work includes fewer  
5 studies. However, these are focused on specific social domains, as we have deliberately  
6 excluded reports assessing high-level social cognition and other related processes (see  
7 supplementary data for a discussion on inclusion criterion #6). This study represents a  
8 challenging first attempt to explore the associations between social cognition and  
9 interoception. To render this aim attainable, we operationalized social cognition by  
10 means of its most fundamental and nuclear processes (namely, understanding that others  
11 have their own private beliefs and mental states). Future studies should sample  
12 dimensions of the social cognition domain more broadly.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30

#### 31 *4.1.4 A convergent model of interoceptive, emotional, and social processing*

32  
33 As previously suggested (Garfinkel & Critchley, 2013; Uddin et al., 2014), the aIC is  
34 critical for the integration of internal sensations with emotional and social information.  
35  
36 In our study, the results from the conjunction analysis for these three domains  
37 converged in the aIC and the right amygdala. This finding corroborates that, in healthy  
38 brains, both structures are related to the integration of bodily signals, emotions, and  
39 social processing. The amygdala has been proposed as a hub for social behavior  
40 (Adolphs, 2002; Hesse et al., 2016; Kennedy, Glascher, Tyszka, & Adolphs, 2009;  
41 Pessoa & Adolphs, 2010). In this sense, it could account for the aversive salience that is  
42 typically caused by the detection/awareness of bodily symptoms (Critchley & Harrison,  
43 2013). Common activations were also observed in the right inferior frontal gyrus, the  
44 basal ganglia, and the medial ATL. In previous independent studies, these regions have  
45 been related to emotions or social behavior, but not to interoception. Nevertheless,  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1 recent network models of social cognition (Baez, Garcia, & Ibanez, 2016; Ibanez &  
2 Manes, 2012b; Kennedy & Adolphs, 2012; Moll, Zahn, de Oliveira-Souza, Krueger, &  
3 Grafman, 2005) ascribe critical importance to fronto-temporo-insular nodes, which  
4 highlight the role of the IC in the evaluative association of the internal milieu and  
5 external cues to provide a framework for social behaviors (Ibanez, Gleichgerrcht, &  
6 Manes, 2010; Ibanez & Manes, 2012b; Kennedy & Adolphs, 2012). The aIC is thus a  
7 suitable hub for orchestrating this association: it conveys a sense of the homeostatic  
8 status of the body (Craig, 2003) and it is ubiquitously engaged in affective-cognitive  
9 networks (Kelly et al., 2012; Kurth et al., 2010; Uddin et al., 2014).  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

24 This work represents a first step towards identifying the convergence of activation  
25 between the three domains in an effort to pinpoint the most directly related neural  
26 structures. In line with a frequent strategy in the neuroimaging meta-analysis literature  
27 (Lindquist et al., 2015; Lindquist et al., 2012; Vytal & Hamann, 2010), our database did  
28 not include contrasts reporting neural deactivations (see Supplementary Information for  
29 a detailed rationale for this exclusion). Problems concerning replicability and bias from  
30 small, high-variance studies merit careful attention when interpreting results. Jackknife  
31 leave-one-out procedures are often used to detect bias and pinpoint the studies that most  
32 contributed to data heterogeneity (Radua & Mataix-Cols, 2009). These procedures were  
33 not necessary in this case, since MKDA circumvents the risks above by treating CIMs  
34 rather than individual peaks as the unit of analysis, which prevents individual studies  
35 from driving the results (Buhle et al., 2014; Cacioppo et al., 2013; Denny et al., 2012;  
36 Lindquist et al., 2015; Lindquist et al., 2012; Mende-Siedlecki et al., 2013; Qin et al.,  
37 2012; Taylor et al., 2012; Thayer, Ahs, Fredrikson, Sollers, & Wager, 2012) (see  
38 Supplementary Information for an in-depth discussion). Finally, although our results  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

reveal a number of regions known to play a role within a distributed network, future studies should address this directly by performing connectivity analyses.

## 4.2 Lesion study empirical evidence

### 4.2.1 Overall findings

Patients exhibited impaired cardiac interoception in our behavioral HBD task. Abundant research has previously related HBD task performance to the IC, ACC, and somatosensory cortices through different neuroimaging methods such as electroencephalography, functional and structural resonance imaging and intracranial recordings (Canales-Johnson et al., 2015; Critchley et al., 2004; Pollatos et al., 2005a). Since motor tracking abilities were spared, the patients' deficits seem to be purely interoceptive. These results confirm that the IC is relevant for cardiac interoception, as indicated in previous focal IC lesion studies (Couto, Adolphi, Sedeño, et al., 2015; I. García-Cordero et al., 2016; Khalsa et al., 2009). Patients also showed impaired emotion processing. These findings likely reflect damage to the bilateral amygdalae, the putamen, and the insular cortex (right > left), regions which are largely recognized as participating in emotional processing networks (Adolphs, 2002; B. Couto, L. Sedeno, et al., 2013) and contextual social cognition (Baez, Kanske, et al., 2016; Baez, Morales, et al., 2016; Baez, Santamaría-García, et al., 2016; Ibanez & Manes, 2012a; Melloni et al., 2014; Sedeño et al., 2016). Social cognition impairments were expected and our meta-analytic results highlight the right pSTS and the bilateral aIC as key neuroanatomical candidates for these deficits. Future research could include a battery of both basic (RMET) and complex (e.g., faux pas, false beliefs) tasks in order to assess a broader spectrum of social cognition.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Executive functions have at least partial impact on any task, in proportion to features such as working memory load. Since these domains depend on the frontal lobe, they are difficult to control when patients feature vast and deep damage in such region (Miller & Cummings, 2007). Importantly, patients and controls in our study did not differ in general cognitive state or executive performance. Importantly, patients and controls in our study did not differ in general cognitive state or executive performance. This may be due to the location of stroke-induced lesions in our patient sample (largely fronto-insulo-temporal networks, with a major overlap in the IC, see Figure 2). On the other hand, the possibility exists that between-group differences in cognitive function did not emerge due to low sample size. Countering this assumption, in our study the lack of robust differences in general cognitive and executive performance was accompanied by impairments in the domains of interoception, emotion and social cognition. In fact, other reports have shown impairments with similar or lower sample sizes (S. Baez et al., 2014; Camille et al., 2004; Couto, Adolfi, Sedeño, et al., 2015; Ell, Marchant, & Ivry, 2006; Fleming, Ryu, Golfinos, & Blackmon, 2014; Indira García-Cordero et al., 2016; Melloni, Billeke, Baez, Hesse, de la Fuente, Forno, Birba, Garcia-Cordero, et al., 2016; Muller, Machado, & Knight, 2002; Roca, Gleichgerrcht, Ibanez, Torralva, & Manes, 2013; Winkel et al., 2016). Moreover, other confounding factors, such as depression and anxiety, which have been controversial but nevertheless possibly related in some way to our domains of interest, did not differ between groups, highlighting the primary nature of the patients' deficits in interoception, emotion, and social cognition.

As a further assessment of the interrelation among these three domains, future studies could conduct correlation analyses to explore possible associations between behavioral performance patterns in emotion, social cognition, and interoception tasks. Also, future



1 studies with greater sample sizes could conduct voxel-based lesion-symptom mapping  
2 (VLSM) analyses to assess the relationship between tissue damage and behavior, as  
3  
4 well as correlation analyses between task performances.  
5  
6  
7  
8

#### 9 *4.3 Convergent meta-analytic and lesion evidence*

10 The present study offered direct evidence for shared cortico-subcortical networks  
11  
12 involving the insula for the processing of interoceptive, emotional, and social  
13  
14 information. The association between interoception and emotions by virtue of the IC has  
15  
16 been previously proposed based on indirect evidence (Critchley, 2009; Kurth et al.,  
17  
18 2010; Pollatos, Gramann, & Schandry, 2007; Pollatos et al., 2005b; Terasawa et al.,  
19  
20 2013). We confirmed this convergence by reviewing the joint activation of these three  
21  
22 domains in published fMRI data. Specifically, the qualitative anatomical overlap  
23  
24 comprised the right aIC, the basal ganglia, and fronto-temporal (medial ATL) nodes. In  
25  
26 patients with insular damage, we found impaired cardiac interoception, emotional  
27  
28 processing, and low-level social information. This finding implies that the IC and its  
29  
30 networks, including fronto-temporal nodes, play an important role in the common  
31  
32 processing and most likely the integration of body signals, leading to the emergence of  
33  
34 affective and social behaviors. This tripartite crosstalk has actually been previously  
35  
36 proposed (Craig, 2009b; Fukushima, Terasawa, & Umeda, 2011; Garfinkel & Critchley,  
37  
38 2013; Uddin et al., 2014). Hence, we suggest that the overlapping fronto-insulo-  
39  
40 temporal networks may offer neural grounding for the theoretical connection between  
41  
42 affective and social functions, as both would emerge under the influence of the bodily  
43  
44 sensation. This hypothesis deviates from the classical conception of emotion processing  
45  
46 outlined by Cannon and Bard (LeDoux, 2003), according to which the hypothalamus  
47  
48 would index emotional relevance, the expression of emotion would be mediated by  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

signals from the hypothalamus to the brainstem, and projections from the hypothalamus to the cortex would subserve conscious experience of emotion. It also highlights the relevance of bottom-up modulation of higher processes accounting for complex social behavior and, even more, their potential interaction with other cognitive-perceptual mechanisms, such as withdrawal or the urge to consume in addictions (Bechara & Naqvi, 2004). Furthermore, it may illuminate the brain mechanisms underlying the psychopathology of clinical affective disorders, such as anxiety, panic or social phobia, in which body signals and cognitive processes might be tightly intermingled.

## 5. Conclusion

We have provided meta-analytic and experimental evidence of the behavioral and neuroanatomical convergence of interoceptive, emotional, and social cognitive processes. Such circuits are mainly located in the IC and distributed throughout associated fronto-temporal nodes. These networks play a relevant role in accomplishing behaviors related to these three domains.

## 6. Funding

This research was partially supported by grants CONICYT/FONDECYT Regular (1130920 and 1140114), FONCyT-PICT 2012-0412 and 2012-1309, CONICET, and the INECO Foundation.

## 7. Author' contributions

FA, BC, AI designed the study; BC, FM assessed clinical, neuropsychological and MRI evaluations; BC, FR, JL collected data; FA, BC, FR, JD, MS, FM analyzed the data; FA, BC, FR, AI wrote the manuscript; All authors contributed to revising the final version of the manuscript.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**8. Disclosure**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Adolphs, R. (2002). Neural systems for recognizing emotion. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, 12(2), 169-177.

Adolphs, R. (2009). The social brain: neural basis of social knowledge. *Annu Rev Psychol*, 60, 693-716. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163514

Adolphs, R., Damasio, H., & Tranel, D. (2002). Neural systems for recognition of emotional prosody: a 3-D lesion study. *Emotion*, 2(1), 23-51.

Adolphs, R., Tranel, D., & Damasio, A. R. (2003). Dissociable neural systems for recognizing emotions. *Brain Cogn*, 52(1), 61-69.

Amodio, D. M., & Frith, C. D. (2006). Meeting of minds: the medial frontal cortex and social cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 7(4), 268-277. doi: 10.1038/nrn1884

Baez, S., Couto, B., Torralva, T., Sposato, L. A., Huepe, D., Montanes, P., . . . Ibanez, A. (2014). Comparing moral judgments of patients with frontotemporal dementia and frontal stroke. *JAMA Neurol*, 71(9), 1172-1176. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2014.347

Baez, S., Couto, B., Torralva, T., Sposato, L. A., Huepe, D., Montañes, P., . . . Slachevsky, A. (2014). Comparing moral judgments of patients with frontotemporal dementia and frontal stroke. *JAMA neurology*, 71(9), 1172-1176.

Baez, S., Garcia, A. M., & Ibanez, A. (2016). The Social Context Network Model in Psychiatric and Neurological Diseases. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci*. doi: 10.1007/7854\_2016\_443

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Baez, S., Herrera, E., Villarin, L., Theil, D., Gonzalez-Gadea, M. L., Gomez, P., . . . Ibanez, A. M. (2013). Contextual social cognition impairments in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *PLoS One*, 8(3), e57664. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0057664
- Baez, S., Kanske, P., Matallana, D., Montanes, P., Reyes, P., Slachevsky, A., . . . Ibanez, A. (2016). Integration of Intention and Outcome for Moral Judgment in Frontotemporal Dementia: Brain Structural Signatures. *Neurodegener Dis*. doi: 10.1159/000441918
- Baez, S., Morales, J. P., Slachevsky, A., Torralva, T., Matus, C., Manes, F., & Ibanez, A. (2016). Orbitofrontal and limbic signatures of empathic concern and intentional harm in the behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Cortex*, 75, 20-32. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2015.11.007
- Baez, S., Rattazzi, A., Gonzalez-Gadea, M. L., Torralva, T., Vigliecca, N. S., Decety, J., . . . Ibanez, A. (2012). Integrating intention and context: assessing social cognition in adults with Asperger syndrome. *Front Hum Neurosci*, 6, 302. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00302
- Baez, S., Santamaría-García, H., Orozco, J., Fittipaldi, S., García, A., Pino, M., & Ibáñez, A. (2016). Your misery is no longer my pleasure: Reduced schadenfreude in Huntington's disease families. *Cortex*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.07.009>
- Baron-Cohen, S., Wheelwright, S., Hill, J., Raste, Y., & Plumb, I. (2001). The "Reading the Mind in the Eyes" Test revised version: a study with normal adults, and adults with Asperger syndrome or high-functioning autism. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 42(2), 241-251.
- Bechara, A., & Naqvi, N. (2004). Listening to your heart: interoceptive awareness as a gateway to feeling. *Nat Neurosci*, 7(2), 102-103. doi: 10.1038/nn0204-102
- Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory-II. *Psychological Corporation*.
- Beer, J. S., & Ochsner, K. N. (2006). Social cognition: a multi level analysis. *Brain Res*, 1079(1), 98-105. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.01.002
- Brewer, R., Happe, F., Cook, R., & Bird, G. (2015). Commentary on "Autism, oxytocin and interoception": Alexithymia, not Autism Spectrum Disorders, is the consequence of

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

interoceptive failure. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, 56, 348-353. doi:

10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.006

Buhle, J. T., Silvers, J. A., Wager, T. D., Lopez, R., Onyemekwu, C., Kober, H., . . . Ochsner,

K. N. (2014). Cognitive reappraisal of emotion: a meta-analysis of human neuroimaging

studies. *Cereb Cortex*, 24(11), 2981-2990. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bht154

Burin, D. I., Jorge, R. E., Arizaga, R. A., & Paulsen, J. S. (2000). Estimation of premorbid

intelligence: the word accentuation test-- Buenos Aires version. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*, 22(5),

677-685. doi: 10.1076/1380-3395(200010)22:5;1-9;FT677

Cacioppo, S., Bianchi-Demicheli, F., Frum, C., Pfaus, J. G., & Lewis, J. W. (2012). The

common neural bases between sexual desire and love: a multilevel kernel density fMRI

analysis. *J Sex Med*, 9(4), 1048-1054. doi: 10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02651.x

Cacioppo, S., Frum, C., Asp, E., Weiss, R. M., Lewis, J. W., & Cacioppo, J. T. (2013). A

quantitative meta-analysis of functional imaging studies of social rejection. *Sci Rep*, 3, 2027.

doi: 10.1038/srep02027

Calder, A. J., Keane, J., Manes, F., Antoun, N., & Young, A. W. (2000a). Impaired recognition

and experience of disgust following brain injury. *Nat. Neurosci.*, 3(11), 1077-1078.

Calder, A. J., Keane, J., Manes, F., Antoun, N., & Young, A. W. (2000b). Impaired recognition

and experience of disgust following brain injury. *Nat Neurosci*, 3(11), 1077-1078. doi:

10.1038/80586

Cameron, O. G. (2001). Interoception: The Inside Story - A Model for Psychosomatic

Processes. *Psychosom Med*, 63, 697-710.

Camille, N., Coricelli, G., Sallet, J., Pradat-Diehl, P., Duhamel, J. R., & Sirigu, A. (2004). The

involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex in the experience of regret. *Science*, 304(5674), 1167-

1170. doi: 10.1126/science.1094550

Canales-Johnson, A., Silva, C., Huepe, D., Rivera-Rei, A., Noreika, V., Garcia Mdel, C., . . .

Bekinschtein, T. A. (2015). Auditory Feedback Differentially Modulates Behavioral and Neural

Markers of Objective and Subjective Performance When Tapping to Your Heartbeat. *Cereb*

*Cortex*, 25(11), 4490-4503.

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Carmack, P. S., Spence, J., Gunst, R. F., Schucany, W. R., Woodward, W. A., & Haley, R. W.

(2004). Improved agreement between Talairach and MNI coordinate spaces in deep brain regions. *Neuroimage*, *22*(1), 367-371. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.01.022

Caruana, F., Jezzini, A., Sbriscia-Fioretti, B., Rizzolatti, G., & Gallese, V. (2011). Emotional and social behaviors elicited by electrical stimulation of the insula in the macaque monkey. *Current Biology*, *21*(3), 195-199.

Cauda, F., Costa, T., Torta, D. M., Sacco, K., D'Agata, F., Duca, S., . . . Vercelli, A. (2012). Meta-analytic clustering of the insular cortex: characterizing the meta-analytic connectivity of the insula when involved in active tasks. *Neuroimage*, *62*(1), 343-355. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.04.012

Chang, L. J., Yarkoni, T., Khaw, M. W., & Sanfey, A. G. (2013). Decoding the role of the insula in human cognition: functional parcellation and large-scale reverse inference. *Cereb Cortex*, *23*(3), 739-749. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhs065

Corbetta, M., Patel, G., & Shulman, G. L. (2008). The reorienting system of the human brain: from environment to theory of mind. *Neuron*, *58*(3), 306-324. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.04.017

Couto, B., Adolphi, F., Sedeno, L., Salles, A., Canales-Johnson, A., Alvarez-Abut, P., . . . Ibanez, A. (2015). Disentangling interoception: insights from focal strokes affecting the perception of external and internal milieus. *Front Psychol*, *6*(503).

Couto, B., Adolphi, F., Sedeño, L., Salles, A., Canales-Johnson, A., Alvarez-Abut, P., . . . Ibanez, A. (2015). Disentangling interoception: insights from focal strokes affecting the perception of external and internal milieus. *Front Psychol*, *6*, 503. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00503

Couto, B., Adolphi, F., Velasquez, M., Mesow, M., Feinstein, J., Canales-Johnson, A., . . . Ibanez, A. (2015). Heart evoked potential triggers brain responses to natural affective scenes: A preliminary study. *Auton Neurosci*, *3*(15), 30007-30002.

Couto, B., Manes, F., Montanes, P., Matallana, D., Reyes, P., Velasquez, M., . . . Ibanez, A. (2013). Structural neuroimaging of social cognition in progressive non-fluent aphasia and

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Front Hum Neurosci*, 7, 467. doi:

10.3389/fnhum.2013.00467

Couto, B., Salles, A., Sedeno, L., Peradejordi, M., Barttfeld, P., Canales-Johnson, A., . . .

Ibanez, A. (2014). The man who feels two hearts: the different pathways of interoception. *Soc*

*Cogn Affect Neurosci*, 9(9), 1253-1260. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst108

Couto, B., Sedeno, L., Sposato, L. A., Sigman, M., Riccio, P. M., Salles, A., . . . Ibanez, A.

(2013). Insular networks for emotional processing and social cognition: comparison of two case

reports with either cortical or subcortical involvement. *Cortex*, 49(5), 1420-1434. doi:

10.1016/j.cortex.2012.08.006

Couto, B., Sedeño, L., Sposato, L. A., Sigman, M., Riccio, P. M., Salles, A., . . . Ibanez, A.

(2013). Insular networks for emotional processing and social cognition: comparison of two case

reports with either cortical or subcortical involvement. *Cortex*, 49(5), 1420-1434.

Craig, A. D. (2002). How do you feel? Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of

the body. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 3(8), 655-666. doi: 10.1038/nrn894

Craig, A. D. (2003). Interoception: the sense of the physiological condition of the body.

*Curr.Opin.Neurobiol.*, 13(4), 500-505.

Craig, A. D. (2009a). Emotional moments across time: a possible neural basis for time

perception in the anterior insula. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 364(1525), 1933-1942.

doi: 10.1098/rstb.2009.0008

Craig, A. D. (2009b). How do you feel--now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat*

*Rev Neurosci*, 10(1), 59-70. doi: 10.1038/nrn2555

Critchley, H. D. (2009). Psychophysiology of neural, cognitive and affective integration: fMRI

and autonomic indicants. *Int J Psychophysiol*, 73(2), 88-94. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2009.01.012

Critchley, H. D., & Harrison, N. A. (2013). Visceral influences on brain and behavior. *Neuron*,

77(4), 624-638. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.02.008

Critchley, H. D., Mathias, C. J., & Dolan, R. J. (2001). Neuroanatomical basis for first- and

second-order representations of bodily states. *Nat Neurosci*, 4(2), 207-212. doi: 10.1038/84048

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1 Critchley, H. D., Wiens, S., Rotshtein, P., Ohman, A., & Dolan, R. J. (2004). Neural systems  
2 supporting interoceptive awareness. *Nat Neurosci*, 7(2), 189-195. doi: 10.1038/nn1176

3  
4  
5 nn1176 [pii]

6  
7 Damasio, A. R., Grabowski, T. J., Bechara, A., Damasio, H., Ponto, L. L., Parvizi, J., &  
8  
9 Hichwa, R. D. (2000). Subcortical and cortical brain activity during the feeling of self-generated  
10 emotions. *Nat Neurosci*, 3(10), 1049-1056. doi: 10.1038/79871

11  
12 Danziger, N., Faillenot, I., & Peyron, R. (2009). Can we share a pain we never felt? Neural  
13  
14 correlates of empathy in patients with congenital insensitivity to pain. *Neuron*, 61(2), 203-212.  
15  
16 doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2008.11.023

17  
18  
19 Decety, J., & Jackson, P. L. (2004). The functional architecture of human empathy. *Behav Cogn*  
20  
21  
22 *Neurosci Rev*, 3(2), 71-100. doi: 10.1177/1534582304267187

23  
24  
25 Decety, J., Michalska, K. J., & Kinzler, K. D. (2012). The contribution of emotion and  
26  
27 cognition to moral sensitivity: a neurodevelopmental study. *Cereb Cortex*, 22(1), 209-220. doi:  
28  
29 10.1093/cercor/bhr111

30  
31  
32 Denny, B. T., Kober, H., Wager, T. D., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). A meta-analysis of functional  
33  
34 neuroimaging studies of self- and other judgments reveals a spatial gradient for mentalizing in  
35  
36 medial prefrontal cortex. *J Cogn Neurosci*, 24(8), 1742-1752. doi: 10.1162/jocn\_a\_00233

37  
38  
39 Dunn, B. D., Galton, H. C., Morgan, R., Evans, D., Oliver, C., Meyer, M., . . . Dalgleish, T.  
40  
41 (2010). Listening to your heart. How interoception shapes emotion experience and intuitive  
42  
43 decision making. *Psychol Sci*, 21(12), 1835-1844. doi: 0956797610389191

44  
45  
46 10.1177/0956797610389191

47  
48  
49 Eckert, M. A., Menon, V., Walczak, A., Ahlstrom, J., Denslow, S., Horwitz, A., & Dubno, J. R.  
50  
51 (2009). At the heart of the ventral attention system: the right anterior insula. *Hum Brain Mapp*,  
52  
53 30(8), 2530-2541. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20688

54  
55 Ekman, P., & Friesen, E. (1976). *Pictures of facial affects*. Palo Alto: Consulting Psychologists  
56  
57 Press.

58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Ell, S. W., Marchant, N. L., & Ivry, R. B. (2006). Focal putamen lesions impair learning in rule-based, but not information-integration categorization tasks. *Neuropsychologia*, *44*(10), 1737-1751. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2006.03.018

Etkin, A., Egner, T., & Kalisch, R. (2011). Emotional processing in anterior cingulate and medial prefrontal cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *15*(2), 85-93. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.11.004

Fan, Y., Duncan, N. W., de Greck, M., & Northoff, G. (2011). Is there a core neural network in empathy? An fMRI based quantitative meta-analysis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, *35*(3), 903-911. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.10.009

Ferri, F., Ardizzi, M., Ambrosecchia, M., & Gallese, V. (2013). Closing the gap between the inside and the outside: interoceptive sensitivity and social distances. *PLoS One*, *8*(10), e75758. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0075758

Fleming, S. M., Ryu, J., Golfinos, J. G., & Blackmon, K. E. (2014). Domain-specific impairment in metacognitive accuracy following anterior prefrontal lesions. *Brain*, *137*(Pt 10), 2811-2822. doi: 10.1093/brain/awu221

Folstein, M. F., Folstein, S. E., & McHugh, P. R. (1975). "Mini-mental state". A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res*, *12*(3), 189-198.

Fox, M. D., Corbetta, M., Snyder, A. Z., Vincent, J. L., & Raichle, M. E. (2006). Spontaneous neuronal activity distinguishes human dorsal and ventral attention systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *103*(26), 10046-10051. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0604187103

Fox, P. T., Parsons, L. M., & Lancaster, J. L. (1998). Beyond the single study: function/location metaanalysis in cognitive neuroimaging. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*, *8*(2), 178-187.

Frith, C. D., & Frith, U. (2007). Social cognition in humans. *Curr Biol*, *17*(16), R724-732. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2007.05.068

Fukushima, H., Terasawa, Y., & Umeda, S. (2011). Association between interoception and empathy: evidence from heartbeat-evoked brain potential. *Int J Psychophysiol*, *79*(2), 259-265. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2010.10.015

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

García-Cordero, I., Sedeno, L., Fraiman, D., Craiem, D., de la Fuente, L. A., Salamone, P., . . .

Ibanez, A. (2015). Stroke and Neurodegeneration Induce Different Connectivity Aberrations in the Insula. *Stroke*, *16*(115), 009598.

García-Cordero, I., Sedeño, L., de la Fuente, L., Slachevsky, A., Forno, G., Klein, F., . . .

Ibañez, A. (2016). Feeling, learning from, and being aware of inner states: Interoceptive dimensions in neurodegeneration and stroke. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016-0006.

García-Cordero, I., Sedeño, L., de la Fuente, L., Slachevsky, A., Forno, G., Klein, F., . . .

Ibañez, A. (2016). Feeling, learning from and being aware of inner states: interoceptive dimensions in neurodegeneration and stroke. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *371*(1708). doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0006

Garfinkel, S. N., & Critchley, H. D. (2013). Interoception, emotion and brain: new insights link internal physiology to social behaviour. Commentary on: "Anterior insular cortex mediates bodily sensibility and social anxiety" by Terasawa et al. (2012). *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*, *8*(3), 231-234. doi: 10.1093/scan/nss140

Gu, X., Hof, P. R., Friston, K. J., & Fan, J. (2013). Anterior insular cortex and emotional awareness. *J Comp Neurol*, *521*(15), 3371-3388. doi: 10.1002/cne.23368

Gu, X., Liu, X., Van Dam, N. T., Hof, P. R., & Fan, J. (2013). Cognition-emotion integration in the anterior insular cortex. *Cereb Cortex*, *23*(1), 20-27. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhr367

Gusnard, D. A., & Raichle, M. E. (2001). Searching for a baseline: functional imaging and the resting human brain. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, *2*(10), 685-694. doi: 10.1038/35094500

Harriger, L., van den Heuvel, M. P., & Sporns, O. (2012). Rich club organization of macaque cerebral cortex and its role in network communication. *PLoS One*, *7*(9), e46497. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0046497

Hesse, E., Mikulan, E., Decety, J., Sigman, M., Garcia Mdel, C., Silva, W., . . . Ibanez, A. (2016). Early detection of intentional harm in the human amygdala. *Brain*, *139*(Pt 1), 54-61. doi: 10.1093/brain/awv336

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Hurtado, E., Haye, A., Gonzalez, R., Manes, F., & Ibanez, A. (2009). Contextual blending of ingroup/outgroup face stimuli and word valence: LPP modulation and convergence of measures. *BMC.Neurosci.*, *10*, 69.

Hutchinson, M., Schiffer, W., Joseffer, S., Liu, A., Schlosser, R., Dikshit, S., . . . Brodie, J. D. (1999). Task-specific deactivation patterns in functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Imaging*, *17*(10), 1427-1436.

Ibanez, A., García, A. M., Esteves, S., Yoris, A., Muñoz, E., Reynaldo, L., . . . Manes, F. (2016). Social neuroscience: Undoing the schism between neurology and psychiatry. *Social Neuroscience*. doi: 10.1080/17470919.2016.1245214

Ibanez, A., Gleichgerrcht, E., & Manes, F. (2010). Clinical effects of insular damage in humans. *Brain Struct Funct*, *214*(5-6), 397-410. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0256-y

Ibanez, A., & Manes, F. (2012a). Contextual social cognition and the behavioral variant of frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*, *78*(17), 1354-1362. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182518375

Ibanez, A., & Manes, F. (2012b). Contextual Social Cognition and the Behavioral Variant of Frontotemporal Dementia. *Neurology*, *78*, 1354-1362.

Ibáñez, A., Billeke, P., de la Fuente, L., Salamone, P., García, A., & Melloni, M. (2016). Reply: Towards a neurocomputational account of social dysfunction in neurodegenerative disease. *Brain*, *In press*.

Jabbi, M., Bastiaansen, J., & Keysers, C. (2008). A common anterior insula representation of disgust observation, experience and imagination shows divergent functional connectivity pathways. *PLoS One*, *3*(8), e2939. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002939

Jabbi, M., & Keysers, C. (2008). Inferior frontal gyrus activity triggers anterior insula response to emotional facial expressions. *Emotion*, *8*(6), 775-780. doi: 10.1037/a0014194

Juan, E., Frum, C., Bianchi-Demicheli, F., Wang, Y. W., Lewis, J. W., & Cacioppo, S. (2013). Beyond human intentions and emotions. *Front Hum Neurosci*, *7*, 99. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00099

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1 Kanske, P., Bockler, A., Trautwein, F. M., & Singer, T. (2015). Dissecting the social brain:

2 Introducing the EmpaToM to reveal distinct neural networks and brain-behavior relations for  
3 empathy and Theory of Mind. *Neuroimage*, *122*, 6-19. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.07.082  
4  
5

6 Kelly, C., Toro, R., Di Martino, A., Cox, C. L., Bellec, P., Castellanos, F. X., & Milham, M. P.  
7  
8 (2012). A convergent functional architecture of the insula emerges across imaging modalities.  
9

10 *Neuroimage*, *61*(4), 1129-1142. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.03.021  
11

12 Kennedy, D. P., & Adolphs, R. (2012). The social brain in psychiatric and neurological  
13  
14 disorders. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *16*(11), 559-572. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.09.006  
15

16 Kennedy, D. P., Glascher, J., Tyszka, J. M., & Adolphs, R. (2009). Personal space regulation by  
17  
18 the human amygdala. *Nat Neurosci*, *12*(10), 1226-1227. doi: 10.1038/nn.2381  
19  
20

21 Keyzers, C., & Gazzola, V. (2007). Integrating simulation and theory of mind: from self to  
22  
23 social cognition. *Trends Cogn Sci*, *11*(5), 194-196. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2007.02.002  
24  
25

26 Khalsa, S. S., Rudrauf, D., Damasio, A. R., Davidson, R. J., Lutz, A., & Tranel, D. (2008).  
27  
28 Interoceptive awareness in experienced meditators. *Psychophysiology*, *45*(4), 671-677. doi:  
29  
30 10.1111/j.1469-8986.2008.00666.x  
31  
32

33 Khalsa, S. S., Rudrauf, D., Feinstein, J. S., & Tranel, D. (2009). The pathways of interoceptive  
34  
35 awareness. *Nat Neurosci*, *12*(12), 1494-1496. doi: 10.1038/nn.2411  
36  
37

38 Kober, H., Barrett, L. F., Joseph, J., Bliss-Moreau, E., Lindquist, K., & Wager, T. D. (2008).  
39  
40 Functional grouping and cortical-subcortical interactions in emotion: a meta-analysis of  
41  
42 neuroimaging studies. *Neuroimage*, *42*(2), 998-1031. doi: S1053-8119(08)00294-2  
43  
44

45 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.03.059  
46

47 Kober, H., & Wager, T. D. (2010). Meta-analysis of neuroimaging data. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev*  
48  
49 *Cogn Sci*, *1*(2), 293-300. doi: 10.1002/wcs.41  
50

51 Kober, H. W., T. D. (2010). Meta-analysis of neuroimaging data. *Wiley Interdisciplinary*  
52  
53 *Reviews: Cognitive Science*, *1*, 293-300.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Kurth, F., Zilles, K., Fox, P. T., Laird, A. R., & Eickhoff, S. B. (2010). A link between the systems: functional differentiation and integration within the human insula revealed by meta-analysis. *Brain Struct Funct*, *214*(5-6), 519-534. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0255-z
- Laird, A. R., Fox, P. M., Price, C. J., Glahn, D. C., Uecker, A. M., Lancaster, J. L., . . . Fox, P. T. (2005). ALE meta-analysis: controlling the false discovery rate and performing statistical contrasts. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *25*(1), 155-164. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20136
- Lamm, C., Meltzoff, A. N., & Decety, J. (2010). How do we empathize with someone who is not like us? A functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Cogn Neurosci*, *22*(2), 362-376. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2009.21186
- Lamm, C., & Singer, T. (2010). The role of anterior insular cortex in social emotions. *Brain Struct Funct*, *214*(5-6), 579-591. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0251-3
- Lange, C. G., & James, W. (1967). *The Emotions*. New York: Hafner Publishing Co.
- Lavin, C., Melis, C., Mikulan, E., Gelormini, C., Huepe, D., & Ibanez, A. (2013). The anterior cingulate cortex: an integrative hub for human socially-driven interactions. *Front Neurosci*, *7*, 64. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00064
- LeDoux, J. (2003). The emotional brain, fear, and the amygdala. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*, *23*(4-5), 727-738.
- Lee, K. H., & Siegle, G. J. (2012). Common and distinct brain networks underlying explicit emotional evaluation: a meta-analytic study. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*, *7*(5), 521-534.
- Liang, X., Zou, Q., He, Y., & Yang, Y. (2013). Coupling of functional connectivity and regional cerebral blood flow reveals a physiological basis for network hubs of the human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, *110*(5), 1929-1934. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214900110
- Lindquist, K. A., Satpute, A. B., Wager, T. D., Weber, J., & Barrett, L. F. (2015). The Brain Basis of Positive and Negative Affect: Evidence from a Meta-Analysis of the Human Neuroimaging Literature. *Cereb Cortex*. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhv001
- Lindquist, K. A., Wager, T. D., Kober, H., Bliss-Moreau, E., & Barrett, L. F. (2012). The brain basis of emotion: a meta-analytic review. *Behav Brain Sci*, *35*(3), 121-143. doi: 10.1017/S0140525X11000446

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Manes, F., Torralva, T., Ibanez, A., Roca, M., Bekinschtein, T., & Gleichgerrcht, E. (2011).

Decision-making in frontotemporal dementia: clinical, theoretical and legal implications.

*Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*, 32(1), 11-17. doi: 10.1159/000329912

Mar, R. A. (2011). The neural bases of social cognition and story comprehension. *Annu Rev*

*Psychol*, 62, 103-134. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-120709-145406

Melloni, M., Billeke, P., Baez, S., Hesse, E., de la Fuente, L., Forno, G., . . . A., I. (2016). Your

perspective and my benefit: multiple lesion models of self-other integration strategies during

social bargaining. *Brain*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/brain/aww1231>.

Melloni, M., Billeke, P., Baez, S., Hesse, E., de la Fuente, L., Forno, G., . . . Ibanez, A. (2016).

Your perspective and my benefit: multiple lesion models of self-other integration strategies

during social bargaining. *Brain*. doi: 10.1093/brain/aww231

Melloni, M., Lopez, V., & Ibanez, A. (2014). Empathy and contextual social cognition. *Cogn*

*Affect Behav Neurosci*, 14(1), 407-425. doi: 10.3758/s13415-013-0205-3

Melloni, M., Seden, L., Couto, B., Reynoso, M., Gelormini, C., Favaloro, R., . . . Ibanez, A.

(2013). Preliminary evidence about the effects of meditation on interoceptive sensitivity and

social cognition. *Behav Brain Funct*, 9, 47. doi: 10.1186/1744-9081-9-47

Mende-Siedlecki, P., Said, C. P., & Todorov, A. (2013). The social evaluation of faces: a meta-

analysis of functional neuroimaging studies. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*, 8(3), 285-299. doi:

10.1093/scan/nsr090

Menon, V., & Uddin, L. Q. (2010). Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model

of insula function. *Brain Struct Funct*, 214(5-6), 655-667. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0262-0

Mesulam, M. M., & Mufson, E. J. (1982a). Insula of the old world monkey. I. Architectonics in

the insulo-orbito-temporal component of the paralimbic brain. *J Comp Neurol*, 212(1), 1-22.

doi: 10.1002/cne.902120102

Mesulam, M. M., & Mufson, E. J. (1982b). Insula of the old world monkey. III: Efferent

cortical output and comments on function. *J Comp Neurol*, 212(1), 38-52. doi:

10.1002/cne.902120104

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2 Miller, B. L., & Cummings, J. L. (2007). *The human frontal lobes : functions and disorders*  
3 (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford Press.

4 Moll, J., Zahn, R., de Oliveira-Souza, R., Krueger, F., & Grafman, J. (2005). Opinion: the  
5 neural basis of human moral cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, *6*(10), 799-809. doi: 10.1038/nrn1768  
6

7  
8 Mufson, E. J., & Mesulam, M. M. (1982). Insula of the old world monkey. II: Afferent cortical  
9 input and comments on the claustrum. *J Comp Neurol*, *212*(1), 23-37. doi:  
10

11 10.1002/cne.902120103  
12

13  
14 Muller, N. G., Machado, L., & Knight, R. T. (2002). Contributions of subregions of the  
15 prefrontal cortex to working memory: evidence from brain lesions in humans. *J Cogn Neurosci*,  
16 *14*(5), 673-686. doi: 10.1162/08989290260138582  
17  
18

19  
20 Nelson, S. M., Dosenbach, N. U., Cohen, A. L., Wheeler, M. E., Schlaggar, B. L., & Petersen,  
21 S. E. (2010). Role of the anterior insula in task-level control and focal attention. *Brain Struct*  
22 *Funct*, *214*(5-6), 669-680. doi: 10.1007/s00429-010-0260-2  
23  
24

25  
26 Pessoa, L., & Adolphs, R. (2010). Emotion processing and the amygdala: from a 'low road' to  
27 'many roads' of evaluating biological significance. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, *11*(11), 773-783.  
28  
29

30  
31 Phan, K. L., Wager, T., Taylor, S. F., & Liberzon, I. (2002). Functional neuroanatomy of  
32 emotion: a meta-analysis of emotion activation studies in PET and fMRI. *Neuroimage*, *16*(2),  
33 331-348. doi: 10.1006/nimg.2002.1087  
34  
35

36  
37 Phan, K. L., Wager, T. D., Taylor, S. F., & Liberzon, I. (2004). Functional neuroimaging studies  
38 of human emotions. *CNS Spectr*, *9*(4), 258-266.  
39  
40

41  
42 Pollatos, O., Gramann, K., & Schandry, R. (2007). Neural systems connecting interoceptive  
43 awareness and feelings. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *28*(1), 9-18. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20258  
44  
45

46  
47 Pollatos, O., Kirsch, W., & Schandry, R. (2005a). Brain structures involved in interoceptive  
48 awareness and cardioafferent signal processing: a dipole source localization study. *Hum Brain*  
49 *Mapp*, *26*(1), 54-64. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20121  
50  
51

52  
53 Pollatos, O., Kirsch, W., & Schandry, R. (2005b). On the relationship between interoceptive  
54 awareness, emotional experience, and brain processes. *Brain Res Cogn Brain Res*, *25*(3), 948-  
55 962. doi: 10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2005.09.019  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2 Pollatos, O., Schandry, R., Auer, D. P., & Kaufmann, C. (2007). Brain structures mediating  
3 cardiovascular arousal and interoceptive awareness. *Brain Res, 1141*, 178-187. doi:

4 10.1016/j.brainres.2007.01.026  
5

6 Qin, P., Liu, Y., Shi, J., Wang, Y., Duncan, N., Gong, Q., . . . Northoff, G. (2012). Dissociation  
7 between anterior and posterior cortical regions during self-specificity and familiarity: a  
8 combined fMRI-meta-analytic study. *Hum Brain Mapp, 33*(1), 154-164. doi:

9 10.1002/hbm.21201  
10

11 Radua, J., & Mataix-Cols, D. (2009). Voxel-wise meta-analysis of grey matter changes in  
12 obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Br J Psychiatry, 195*(5), 393-402. doi:

13 10.1192/bjp.bp.108.055046  
14

15 Roca, M., Gleichgerrcht, E., Ibanez, A., Torralva, T., & Manes, F. (2013). Cerebellar stroke  
16 impairs executive functions but not theory of mind. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci, 25*(1),  
17 E48-49. doi: 10.1176/appi.neuropsych.12030057  
18

19 Saarela, M. V., Hlushchuk, Y., Williams, A. C., Schurmann, M., Kalso, E., & Hari, R. (2007).  
20 The compassionate brain: humans detect intensity of pain from another's face. *Cereb Cortex,*  
21 *17*(1), 230-237. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhj141  
22

23 Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Smith, S. M., Keltner, J. R., Wager, T. D., & Nichols, T. E. (2009). Meta-  
24 analysis of neuroimaging data: a comparison of image-based and coordinate-based pooling of  
25 studies. *Neuroimage, 45*(3), 810-823. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.12.039  
26

27 Schulz, S. (2016). Neural correlates of heart-focused interoception: a functional magnetic  
28 resonance imaging meta-analysis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*  
29 *Sciences, 371*(1708). doi: 10.1098/rstb.2016.0018  
30

31 Schurz, M., Radua, J., Aichhorn, M., Richlan, F., & Perner, J. (2014). Fractionating theory of  
32 mind: a meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev, 42*, 9-34.  
33 doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.01.009  
34

35 Scott, S. K., Young, A. W., Calder, A. J., Hellowell, D. J., Aggleton, J. P., & Johnson, M.  
36 (1997). Impaired auditory recognition of fear and anger following bilateral amygdala lesions.  
37 *Nature, 385*(6613), 254-257. doi: 10.1038/385254a0  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Sedeno, L., Couto, B., Melloni, M., Canales-Johnson, A., Yoris, A., Baez, S., . . . Ibanez, A.

(2014). How Do You Feel when You Can't Feel Your Body? Interoception, Functional

Connectivity and Emotional Processing in Depersonalization-Derealization Disorder. *PLoS*

*One*, 9(6), e98769. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0098769

Sedeño, L., Couto, B., García-Cordero, I., Melloni, M., Baez, S., Morales Sepúlveda, J. P., . . .

Ibanez, A. (2016). Brain Network Organization and Social Executive Performance in

Frontotemporal Dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, 22(2), 250-262. doi:

10.1017/S1355617715000703

Seeley, W. W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A. F., Keller, J., Glover, G. H., Kenna, H., . . . Greicius,

M. D. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive

control. *J Neurosci*, 27(9), 2349-2356. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.5587-06.2007

Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M. R., Yeo, T. B., Liu, H., & Johnson, K. A. (2012). Stepwise

connectivity of the modal cortex reveals the multimodal organization of the human brain. *J*

*Neurosci*, 32(31), 10649-10661. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0759-12.2012

Singer, T., Critchley, H. D., & Preuschoff, K. (2009). A common role of insula in feelings,

empathy and uncertainty. *Trends Cogn Sci.*, 13(8), 334-340.

Singer, T., Seymour, B., O'Doherty, J., Kaube, H., Dolan, R. J., & Frith, C. D. (2004). Empathy

for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science*, 303(5661), 1157-

1162. doi: 10.1126/science.1093535

Spielberger, C. D., Gorsuch, R. L., Lushene, R., Vagg, P. R., & Jacobs, G. A. (1983). Manual

for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. *Consulting Psychologists Press*.

Sporns, O. (2014). Contributions and challenges for network models in cognitive neuroscience.

*Nat Neurosci*, 17(5), 652-660. doi: 10.1038/nn.3690

Sprengelmeyer, R., Atkinson, A. P., Sprengelmeyer, A., Mair-Walther, J., Jacobi, C.,

Wildemann, B., . . . Hacke, W. (2010). Disgust and fear recognition in paraneoplastic limbic

encephalitis. *Cortex*, 46(5), 650-657. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2009.04.007

Stanley, D. A., & Adolphs, R. (2013). Toward a neural basis for social behavior. *Neuron*, 80(3),

816-826. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.10.038

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65
- Stephan, E., Pardo, J. V., Faris, P. L., Hartman, B. K., Kim, S. W., Ivanov, E. H., . . . Goodale, R. L. (2003). Functional neuroimaging of gastric distention. *J Gastrointest Surg*, *7*(6), 740-749.
- Talairach, J., & Tournoux, P. . (1988). *Co-planar stereotaxic atlas of the human brain: 3-Dimensional proportional system—An approach to cerebral imaging*. New York: Thieme.
- Taylor, S. F., Kang, J., Brege, I. S., Tso, I. F., Hosanagar, A., & Johnson, T. D. (2012). Meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies of emotion perception and experience in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*, *71*(2), 136-145. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2011.09.007
- Terasawa, Y., Fukushima, H., & Umeda, S. (2013). How Does Interoceptive Awareness Interact with the Subjective Experience of Emotion? An fMRI Study. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *34*(3), 598-612.
- Thayer, J. F., Ahs, F., Fredrikson, M., Sollers, J. J., 3rd, & Wager, T. D. (2012). A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*, *36*(2), 747-756. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2011.11.009
- Torralva, T., Roca, M., Gleichgerrcht, E., Lopez, P., & Manes, F. (2009). INECO Frontal Screening (IFS): a brief, sensitive, and specific tool to assess executive functions in dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*, *15*(5), 777-786. doi: 10.1017/S1355617709990415
- Uddin, L. Q., Kinnison, J., Pessoa, L., & Anderson, M. L. (2014). Beyond the tripartite cognition-emotion-interoception model of the human insular cortex. *J Cogn Neurosci*, *26*(1), 16-27. doi: 10.1162/jocn\_a\_00462
- van den Heuvel, M. P., & Sporns, O. (2011). Rich-club organization of the human connectome. *J Neurosci*, *31*(44), 15775-15786. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3539-11.2011
- van den Heuvel, M. P., & Sporns, O. (2013). An Anatomical Substrate for Integration among Functional Networks in Human Cortex. *J Neurosci*, *33*(36), 14489-14500. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2128-13.2013
- Van Overwalle, F. (2009). Social cognition and the brain: a meta-analysis. *Hum Brain Mapp*, *30*(3), 829-858. doi: 10.1002/hbm.20547

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2 Van Overwalle, F., & Baetens, K. (2009). Understanding others' actions and goals by mirror and  
3 mentalizing systems: a meta-analysis. *Neuroimage*, 48(3), 564-584. doi:

4 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.06.009  
5

6 Van Overwalle, F., Baetens, K., Marien, P., & Vandekerckhove, M. (2014). Social cognition  
7 and the cerebellum: a meta-analysis of over 350 fMRI studies. *Neuroimage*, 86, 554-572. doi:

8  
9 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.09.033  
10

11 Viskontas, I. V., Possin, K. L., & Miller, B. L. (2007). Symptoms of frontotemporal dementia  
12 provide insights into orbitofrontal cortex function and social behavior. *Ann NY Acad Sci*, 1121,  
13 528-545. doi: 10.1196/annals.1401.025  
14  
15

16 Vytal, K., & Hamann, S. (2010). Neuroimaging support for discrete neural correlates of basic  
17 emotions: a voxel-based meta-analysis. *J Cogn Neurosci*, 22(12), 2864-2885. doi:

18  
19 10.1162/jocn.2009.21366  
20

21 Wager, T. D., *et al.* (2008). The neuroimaging of emotion. In J. M. H.-J. M. Lewis, & L.F.  
22 Barrett (Ed.), *Handbook of emotions, 3rd Edition* (pp. 249-271). New York: Guilford.  
23

24 Wager, T. D., Jonides, J., & Reading, S. (2004). Neuroimaging studies of shifting attention: a  
25 meta-analysis. *Neuroimage*, 22(4), 1679-1693. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2004.03.052  
26

27 Wager, T. D., Lindquist, M., & Kaplan, L. (2007). Meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging  
28 data: current and future directions. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*, 2(2), 150-158. doi:

29  
30 10.1093/scan/nsm015  
31

32 Wager, T. D., Lindquist, M. A., Nichols, T. E., Kober, H., & Van Snellenberg, J. X. (2009).  
33 Evaluating the consistency and specificity of neuroimaging data using meta-analysis.  
34

35 *Neuroimage*, 45(1 Suppl), S210-221. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2008.10.061  
36

37 Wager, T. D., Phan, K. L., Liberzon, I., & Taylor, S. F. (2003). Valence, gender, and  
38 lateralization of functional brain anatomy in emotion: a meta-analysis of findings from  
39 neuroimaging. *Neuroimage*, 19(3), 513-531.  
40

41 Werner, N. S., Duschek, S., Mattern, M., & Schandry, R. (2009). Interoceptive sensitivity  
42 modulates anxiety during public speaking. *J Psychophysiol*, 23(2), 85-94.  
43

44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Winkel, J., Hawkins, G. E., Ivry, R. B., Brown, S. D., Cools, R., & Forstmann, B. U. (2016).

Focal striatum lesions impair cautiousness in humans. *Cortex*, 85, 37-45. doi:

10.1016/j.cortex.2016.09.023

Yoder, N. (2009). PeakFinder. *Matlab, MathWorks*. URL

<http://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/25500-peakfinder>. Date of access:

18/02/2016.

Yoris, A., Esteves, S., Couto, B., Melloni, M., Kichic, R., Cetkovich, M., . . . Sedeno, L. (2015).

The roles of interoceptive sensitivity and metacognitive interoception in panic. *Behav Brain*

*Funct*, 11(1), 015-0058.

Young, A. W., Rowland, D., Calder, A. J., Etcoff, N. L., Seth, A., & Perrett, D. I. (1997). Facial

expression megamix: tests of dimensional and category accounts of emotion recognition.

*Cognition*, 63(3), 271-313.

Zaki, J., Davis, J. I., & Ochsner, K. N. (2012). Overlapping activity in anterior insula during

interoception and emotional experience. *Neuroimage*, 62(1), 493-499. doi:

10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.05.012

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

Tables

**Table I.** Keywords and number of studies, contrasts and participants for meta-analyses on 3 domains.

	<b>Key words</b>	<b><i>n</i> of studies</b>	<b><i>n</i> of contrasts</b>	<b><i>n</i> of participants</b>
<i>Interoception</i>	"fMRI" <OR> "functional neuroimaging" <AND> "interoception" <OR> "cardiac interoception" <OR> "visceral perception" <OR> "body emotions" <OR> "bodily emotions" <OR> "heartbeat" <OR> "heartbeat detection"	34	66	730
<i>Emotion recognition and experience</i>	"fMRI" <OR> "functional neuroimaging" <AND> "emotion" <OR> "emotion recognition" <OR> "emotion perception"	178	405	2602
<i>Social Cognition</i>	"fMRI" <OR> "functional neuroimaging" <AND> "social cognition" <OR> "theory of mind" <OR> "mentalizing" <OR> "empathy"	63	123	1438

## INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

**Table II.** Greatest likelihood of activation revealed by the meta-analysis on interoception.

Name	Brodman ann Area	x	y	z	Voxels	Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Max stat
Right Anterior Insula	13	40	12	6	452	3616	0.31
Right Anterior Insula	13	34	18	10	73		0.25
Left Anterior Insula	13	-40	12	8	94		0.2
Right Insula	13	40	10	4	379		0.31
Left Insula	13	-40	6	8	222	1776	0.21
Left Insula	13	-40	2	8	128		0.21
Left Insula	13	-46	-24	24	612	4896	0.15
Right Claustrum		40	-8	8	11	88	0.19
Left Claustrum		-36	12	-2	772	6176	0.13
Left Cingulate Gyrus	24	-2	6	32	1785	14280	0.14
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	39	54	-52	24	370	2960	0.11
Left Parahippocampus	27	-10	-38	0	246	1968	0.07
Right Thalamus		14	-30	-6	603	4824	0.12
Right Thalamus		16	-16	16	16	128	0.16
Right Thalamus (Pulvinar)		20	-34	2	40	320	0.07
Right Thalamus (Pulvinar)		16	-28	18	23	184	0.09
Left Thalamus (Medial Dorsal Ncl.)		-2	-10	2	6184	49472	0.12
Right Posterior Thalamus (Pulvinar Ncl.)		18	-24	8	53	424	0.18
Left Nucleus Caudatus (Caudate Head)		-2	12	-6	208	1664	0.13
Right Nucleus Caudatus		12	-4	18	148	1184	0.07
Right Inferior Parietal Lobe	40	64	-42	28	35	280	0.16
Left Inferior Parietal Lobe	40	-50	-30	38	1005	8040	0.13
Left Inferior Parietal Lobe	40	-38	-42	44	171	1368	0.07
Left Inferior Parietal Lobe	40	-42	-30	46	14	112	0.06
Right Postcentral Gyrus	2	56	-24	36	282	2256	0.10
Right Postcentral Gyrus	3	44	-22	52	25	200	0.07
Left Postcentral Gyrus	3	-58	-14	24	10	80	0.08
Left Cerebellum (Culmen)		-4	-64	-6	198	1584	0.08
Left Cerebellum (Culmen)		-12	-38	-10	941	7528	0.14
Left Cerebellum (Culmen)		-30	-50	-14	276	2208	0.13

Significance level: < .05

Minimum number of voxels is 10.

INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

**Table III.** Greatest likelihood of activation revealed by the meta-analysis on emotion.

Name	Brodman Area	x	y	z	Voxels	Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Max stat
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus (OFC)	47	-38	28	-10	193		0.07
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	9	-46	16	22	244	195	0.07
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus (OFC)	13	-32	12	-16	259		0.08
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus (OFC)	47	-44	22	-4	402		0.08
Right Inferior Frontal Gyrus	45	46	24	0	121		0.07
Right Inferior Frontal Gyrus	9	46	16	22	178		0.07
Left Medial Frontal Gyrus	9	-2	52	26	231	184	0.08
Medial Frontal Gyrus	6	-2	20	44	18		0.06
Superior Frontal Gyrus	6	0	14	52	28		0.06
Right Superior Frontal Gyrus	6	2	16	46	82	656	0.06
Anterior Cingulate Gyrus	24	0	40	4	124	992	0.07
Mid Cingulate Gyrus	32	2	18	40	13		0.06
Mid Cingulate Gyrus	32	2	14	44	23		0.06
Right Anterior Insula	13	40	20	2	1236	9888	0.09
Right Anterior Insula	13	38	18	-2	432		0.09
Right Anterior Insula	13	32	26	-10	209		0.07
Right Anterior Insula	13	44	22	12	139		0.08
Left Anterior Insula	13	-34	16	-2	137		0.07
Left Insula	13	-42	14	-2	124		0.07
Right Insula	13	40	8	6	118		0.07
Right Claustrum		30	22	8	35	280	0.03
Right Claustrum		34	12	2	39		0.06
Left Claustrum		-34	-6	-10	173		0.11
Right Parahippocampus/Amygdala		22	-4	-14	1220	9760	0.17
Right Parahippocampus/Amygdala		22	-4	-16	1069		0.17
Right Parahippocampus (Uncus)	34	14	-8	-28	13	104	0.03
Left Parahippocampus (Uncus)	36	-24	-4	-32	11	88	0.03
Left Parahippocampus/Amygdala		-22	-4	-16	1107		0.21
Left Parahippocampus	35	-18	-18	-18	25	200	0.03
Left Thalamus (Medial Dorsal Ncl.)		-4	-20	2	224		0.08
Left Thalamus (Medial Dorsal Ncl.)		-6	-16	10	44		0.06
Left Thalamus		-12	-8	-4	346		0.1
Right Thalamus (Medial Dorsal Ncl.)		2	-14	4	190		0.08
Right Caudate Head		6	14	-6	27	216	0.06
Left Putamen (Lentiform Ncl.)		-24	0	-10	3199	25592	0.21
Right Globus Pallidus (Lentiform Ncl.)		22	0	-6	151		0.1
Right Medial Temporal Gyrus	21	54	-42	8	106	848	0.06
Right Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	38	-52	-16	424	3392	0.09
Right Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	40	-48	-18	245		0.09

INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Right Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	48	-50	-10	22	176	0.03
Right Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	40	-56	-6	1185	9480	0.03
Left Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	-40	-64	-14	548	4384	0.09
Left Temporal Lobe (Fusiform Gyrus)	37	-42	-54	-16	184		0.07
Right Inferior Occipital Gyrus	17	16	-94	-2	41	328	0.03
Right Inferior Occipital Gyrus	19	44	-76	-4	17	136	0.06
Right Cerebellum (Declive)		36	-58	-14	179		0.07
Right Cerebellum (Culmen)		50	-52	-24	14	112	0.03
Left Cerebellum (Culmen of Vermis)		-2	-66	2	4244	33952	0.03
Left Cerebellum (Declive)		-38	-68	-12	364		0.09

Significance level: < .05

Minimum number of voxels is 10.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65



INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

**Table IV.** Greatest likelihood of activation revealed by the meta-analysis on social cognition.

Name	Brodman Area	x	y	z	Voxels	Volume (mm <sup>3</sup> )	Max stat
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	45	-48	24	0	402	3216	.15
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	47	-48	28	-6	142		.15
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	45	-54	22	16	68		.12
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	45	-52	24	6	61		.13
Left Inferior Frontal Gyrus	47	-44	26	-14	50		.12
Right Inferior Frontal Gyrus	45	54	28	6	114	912	.14
Right Medial Frontal Gyrus	9	48	20	24	63	504	.13
Left Medial Frontal Gyrus	9	-2	36	28	2642	21136	.1
Left Medial Frontal Gyrus	6	-4	54	32	289		.16
Left Medial Frontal Gyrus	47	-44	36	-16	43	344	.05
Left Medial Frontal Gyrus	32	-4	16	46	21		.12
Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	6	-6	16	48	31	248	.12
Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	6	-4	14	54	10		.12
Left Superior Frontal Gyrus	8	-18	54	32	16	128	.05
Right Precentral Gyrus/Frontal Lobe	44	54	18	4	24	192	.06
Left Insula		-42	22	-4	81		.15
Anterior Cingulate Cortex	32	0	48	-12	94	752	.14
Cingulate Gyrus	31	0	-54	30	318	2544	.19
Cingulate Gyrus	31	2	-54	30	249		.19
Posterior Cingulate Gyrus	23	-4	-50	26	69		.16
Right Claustrum		36	14	0	166	1328	.09
Left Putamen/Lentiform Ncl.		-22	2	-2	2913	23304	.07
Left Parahippocampus/Amygdala		-22	-8	-18	94	752	.13
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	22	52	-44	10	545	4360	.18
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	38	50	10	-26	181	1544	.15
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	22	50	-38	2	177		.18
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	22	56	-50	16	147		.17
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	41	52	-40	12	140		.15
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	38	48	12	-28	120		.15
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	39	62	-60	24	38	304	.05
Right Superior Temporal Gyrus	38	42	20	-36	34	272	.05
Left Superior Temporal Gyrus	9	0	56	26	543	4344	.17
Left Superior Temporal Gyrus	22	-52	-58	20	203	1624	.16
Left Superior Temporal Gyrus	22	-52	-58	20	183		.16
Right Medial Temporal Gyrus	38	52	8	-22	73		.15
Left Medial Temporal Gyrus	21	-58	-8	-14	204	1632	.15
Left Medial Temporal Gyrus	21	-58	-6	-16	122		.15
Left Medial Temporal Gyrus	21	-56	-12	-14	82		.15
Left Medial Temporal Gyrus	22	-56	-42	2	20	160	.11
Left Medial Temporal Gyrus	37	-48	-68	12	20		.12
Right Supramarginal Gyrus	40	54	-48	22	81		.14
Right Lingual Gyrus	17	14	-92	4	12	96	.12
Right Precuneus	23	4	-62	22	57	456	.07
Left Precuneus	31	-10	-56	30	12	96	.07

# INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

Significance level: < .05

Minimum number of voxels is 10.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- 29
- 30
- 31
- 32
- 33
- 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40
- 41
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

**Table V.** Overlap of three meta-analyses activations (MKDA) for interoception, emotion and social cognition domains, sorted by cluster size.

Region	Brodman Area	x	y	z	Voxels	Cluster size (in voxels)	Z peak
R Insula	13	36	18	0	410	1014	249.2
R Frontal Inferior Operculum	46	40	18	8	27	1014	249.2
R Frontal Inferior Gyrus <i>Pars Triangularis</i>	46	44	22	14	115	1014	249.2
R Orbitofrontal	47/11	2	42	-8	99	1014	249.2
L Insula	13	-42	16	0	529	1001	126.5
L Putamen	-	-18	8	-4	9	1001	126.5
L Supplementary Motor Area	6/8	2	12	50	91	882	100.7
R Mid Cingulate	32	4	12	42	180	882	100.7
L Anterior Cingulate	25	0	26	22	229	882	100.7
L amygdale	-	-20	-6	-16	17	552	94.8
R Pallidum	-	18	4	-2	25	552	94.8
L Pallidum	-	-12	-2	-2	27	552	94.8
R Superior Temporal Gyrus	38	46	-6	-12	34	34	7.22
R Thalamus	-	6	-16	4	10	10	28.81

Significance level:  $p < .001$  FWE corrected

INTEROCEPTION, EMOTION, AND SOCIAL COGNITION

**Table VI.** Socio-demographic, neuropsychological and affective screening data.

	Patients (N=17)	Controls (N=20)	<i>p</i>
<b>Demographic</b>	M (SD)	M (SD)	
Age	56.82 (14.39)	57.75 (12.29)	.869
Gender (males:females)	9:8	9:11	.630
Laterality (R:L)	14:3	19:1	.104
Education	15.19 (4.27)	14.95 (3.35)	.626
<b>Neuropsychological</b>			
IQ (WAT)	67.80 (7.43)	69.73 (4.22)	.522
Cognitive State (MMSE)	28.73 (1.48)	29.00 (1.35)	.780
Executive Functions (IFS)	21.91 (4.00)	24.25 (1.93)	.091
Motor Programming	2.88 (0.33)	2.95 (0.22)	.729
Conflicting Instructions	2.88 (0.33)	3.00 (0.00)	.557
Motor Inhibitory Control	2.12 (1.27)	2.65 (0.81)	.244
Numerical Working Memory	3.41 (1.06)	3.10 (0.97)	.407
Verbal Working Memory	1.70 (0.59)	1.85 (0.49)	.517
Spatial Working Memory	2.00 (0.93)	2.60 (0.94)	.091
Abstraction Capacity	2.20 (0.50)	2.45 (0.60)	.104
Verbal Inhibitory Control	4.70 (1.53)	5.40 (0.94)	.167
<b>Affective screening</b>			
Depression (BDI)	12.31 (9.22)	7.83 (4.91)	.295
State Anxiety (STAI-S)	31.79 (5.43)	29.54 (3.62)	.350
Trait Anxiety (STAI-T)	41.08 (12.73)	39.54 (9.05)	.979

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65

**Suppl. material for online publication only**

**[Click here to download Suppl. material for online publication only: Supplementary information.doc](#)**