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## A B S TRACT

We give a complete proof of the following theorem:

Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in at least three symbols can be extended to a de Bruijn sequence of order $n+1$. Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in two symbols can not be extended to order $n+1$, but it can be extended to order $n+2$.
© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

A (non-cyclic) de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in a $k$ symbol alphabet is a sequence of length $k^{n}+n-1$ such that every sequence of length $n$ occurs exactly once as a consecutive substring [2,12]. See [3] for a fine presentation and history.

In this note we give a complete proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 1. Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in at least three symbols can be extended to a de Bruijn sequence of order $n+1$. Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in two symbols can not be extended to order $n+1$, but it can be extended to order $n+2$.

An indirect proof of part of Theorem 1 was first given by Leach in [11] with a topological and measure-theoretic argument on the set of real numbers corresponding to limits of frequency distribution sequences. In [7] Flaxman et al. use the graph-theoretical characterization of de Bruijn sequences to show that the extensions always exist in alphabets with at least three symbols. However, their proof is incomplete because it misses out part of the argument, the demonstration of the property of strong connectedness

[^0]of the corresponding graph. Also, it does not cover the extension result for the two-symbol alphabet.

Nota bene. The possibility of constructing de Bruijn sequences of order $n+1$ from those of order $n$ has been considered in several algorithms for the two-symbol alphabet, see the survey of Fredricksen [6]. However, instead of producing de Bruijn extensions, these constructions join maximal cycles obtained as an application of Lempel's homomorphism [10]. Efficient implementations of these constructions are given in [4,1].

Notation and preliminaries. Recall that a hamiltonian cycle of a graph is a cycle in which each vertex of the graph occurs exactly once. An eulerian cycle is a cycle in which each edge of the graph occurs exactly once. A graph that admits an eulerian cycle is called eulerian. An undirected graph is connected if for every pair of vertices, there is a path between them. A directed graph is strongly connected if for every pair of vertices there is a directed path between them. A directed graph is regular if each vertex has the same number of incoming and outgoing edges as all other vertices. Given a directed graph $G$, its line graph is a directed graph whose vertices are the edges of $G$, and whose edges correspond to the directed paths of length two of $G$.

For a sequence $w$ we denote its length $|w|$ and we number the positions of $w$ from 1 to $|w|$ The symbol at position $i$ of a sequence $w$ is denoted by $w[i]$, and segments of $w$ are denoted by $w[i \ldots j]$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant|w|$. A de Bruijn graph of order $n$, which we denote by $G_{n}$, is a graph whose vertices are all sequences of length $n$, and the edges link overlapping sequences $w, v$ such that $w[2 \ldots n]=v[1 \ldots n-1]$. The edges of $G_{n}$ can be labeled with sequences of length $n+1$, such that the edge ( $w, v$ ) is labeled with $w[1] v=w(v[n])$. Then, each possible sequence of length $n+1$ in $k$ symbols appears in exactly one edge of $G_{n}$. Moreover, the line graph of $G_{n}$ is exactly $G_{n+1}$. The label of a path $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$ in $G_{n}$ is the sequence that contains as subsequences exactly the sequences $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{t}$, in that order, namely, $v_{1}[1] v_{2}[1] \ldots v_{t-1}[1] v_{t}$. Note that the label of a path of length $t$ is a sequence of length $t+$ $n-1$. If we take a path of length $t$ in $G_{n}$ and consider the set of $t-1$ traversed edges, it is easy to see that they form a path that has the same label in $G_{n+1}$. The label of a hamiltonian cycle in $G_{n}$ is a de Bruijn sequence of order $n$, and the label of an eulerian cycle in $G_{n}$ is a de Bruijn sequence of order $n+1$, because an eulerian cycle in $G_{n}$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $G_{n+1}$.

We will base the proof of Theorem 1 in the characterization of eulerian directed graphs by I.J. Good [9], which states that a directed graph is eulerian if and only if it is strongly connected and the in-degree and out-degree of each vertex coincide.

Proposition 2 (Folklore). A directed graph $G$ in which each vertex has its in-degree equal to its out-degree is strongly connected if and only if its underlying undirected graph is connected.

Proof. The implication from left to right is immediate. Fix $G$ and let $d(v)$ be the in and out-degree of vertex $v$ in $G$. Let $u$ be an arbitrary vertex of $G$. Let $U$ be the set of vertices that are accessible from $u$, that is, the smallest set such that (1) $u \in U$, and (2) for every edge ( $v, w) \in G$, if $v \in U$ then $w \in U$. Note that, by definition, there is a directed path from $u$ to each of the vertices in $U$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the subgraph of $G$ induced by $U$. It is clear that each vertex $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ has out-degree $d(v)$, because every outgoing edge in $G$ that has its first endpoint in $U$ is in $G^{\prime}$ by condition (2). Also, the in-degree of each vertex $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ is less than or equal to $d(v)$ because $G^{\prime}$ is a subgraph of $G$. Since the sum of the in-degrees is the same as the sum of the out-degrees in $G^{\prime}$, the in-degree of vertex $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ must also be $d(v)$. Therefore, if $w \notin G^{\prime}$, then there is no edge in $G$, in any direction, that connects $w$ to any vertex $v$ in $G^{\prime}$ (because that would make the in- or out-degree of $v$ greater than $d(v)$ ). Since the underlying graph of $G$ is connected, there is no such $w$, which implies $G^{\prime}=G$. Since every vertex in $G^{\prime}$ is accessible from $u$, every vertex in $G$ is accessible from $u$. Since this is valid for any $u, G$ is strongly connected.

Lemma 3. A hamiltonian cycle in a de Bruijn graph over an alphabet of at least three symbols can be extended to an eulerian cycle in the same graph.

Proof. Let $H$ be a hamiltonian path in $G_{n}$. Let $I$ be the graph resulting of removing the edges in $H$ from $G_{n}$. We first prove that the underlying undirected graph of $I$ is connected. For an arbitrary pair of vertices $u, v$, we recursively define below a sequence of pairs $u_{i}, v_{i}$, for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, satisfying the following properties:
(1) $u=u_{0}$ and $v=v_{0}$.
(2) For each $i<n$, there is an edge from $u_{i}$ to $u_{i+1}$ in $I$. Analogously for $v_{i}$.
(3) The last $i$ symbols of $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ coincide.

Let $u_{0}=u$ and $v_{0}=v$. For $0 \leqslant i<n$, let $a_{i+1}$ be such that setting $u_{i+1}=u_{i}[2 \ldots n] a_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+1}=v_{i}[2 \ldots n] a_{i+1}$ make the edges $\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right)$ and ( $v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ ) not belong to $H$. Such a symbol $a_{i+1}$ exists because each vertex has exactly one of its (at least three) outgoing edges used in $H$. By definition the edges $\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right)$ and ( $v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ ) are in $G_{n}$ but not in $H$, hence they are in I. If the last $i<n$ symbols of $v_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ coincide, so do the last $i+1$ symbols of $u_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+1}$, because they are the last $i$ symbols of $u_{i}$ plus $a_{i+1}$. By condition (3), $v_{n}=u_{n}$, thus, $u$ and $v$ belong to the same connected component of the underlying undirected graph of $I$. Since this is valid for any pair $u, v$, the underlying undirected graph of $I$ is connected.

Since $G_{n}$ and $H$ are regular, $I$ is also regular. Then, by Proposition 2,I is strongly connected. These two properties ensure $I$ is eulerian. Adding an eulerian cycle of $I$ to $H$ gives the desired extension.

Observation 4. Lemma 3 fails if the alphabet has just two symbols.

Proof. Consider the de Bruijn graph of order 1. It has just one hamiltonian cycle. The removal of this cycle leaves the two points of the graph disconnected. As argued by Lempel in [10], the same failure occurs at every order, because removing a hamiltonian cycle from the graph leaves the self-loops (that always exist in the vertices of the form $a^{n}$ ) isolated in the residual graph.

Lemma 5. A hamiltonian cycle in a de Bruijn graph in two symbols can be extended to an eulerian cycle in the de Bruijn graph of the next order.

Proof. Let $H$ be a hamiltonian cycle in $G_{n}$. Since $G_{n+1}$ is the line graph of $G_{n}, H$ corresponds to a simple cycle in $G_{n+1}$ that goes through half of the points of $G_{n+1}$. Let $I$ be the graph that results from removing the edges in $H$ from $G_{n+1}$. We first prove that the underlying undirected graph of $I$ is connected. Let us call the two symbols of the alphabet 0 and 1 . Note that for any sequence $s$ of $n$ symbols, $H$ contains exactly one of the two vertices $s 0$ and $s 1$ of $G_{n+1}$. This is because $s$ corresponds exactly to one vertex in $G_{n}$, and $s 0$ and $s 1$ correspond to its outgoing edges. Since $H$ is a hamiltonian cycle in $G_{n}$, exactly one of these edges is used in $H$. This in turn implies that any vertex in $G_{n+1}$ has exactly one successor in $H$ and one successor not in $H$. For an arbitrary pair of vertices $u, v$ in $G_{n+1}$, we recursively define below a sequence of pairs $u_{i}, v_{i}$, for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, satisfying the following properties:
(1) For each $i$, at least one of $u_{i}$ or $v_{i}$ is not in $H$.
(2) There is an edge from $u$ to $u_{0}$, and there is an edge from $v$ to $v_{0}$.
(3) For each $i \leqslant n$, there is an edge from $u_{i}$ to $u_{i+1}$ in $I$. Analogously for $v_{i}$.
(4) The last $i$ symbols of $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ coincide.

Let $u_{0}$ be any successor of $u$ and $v_{0}$ be the successor of $v$ not in $H$. For $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, if $v_{i} \notin H$, let $a_{i+1}$ be such that $u_{i}[2 \ldots n+1] a_{i+1}$ is not in $H$. Otherwise, let $a_{i+1}$ be such that $v_{i}[2 \ldots n+1] a_{i+1}$ is not in $H$. Set $u_{i+1}=$ $u_{i}[2 \ldots n+1] a_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+1}=u_{i}[2 \ldots n+1] a_{i+1}$. By definition, at least one of the endpoints of both $\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right)$ and ( $v_{i}, v_{i+1}$ ) is not in $H$, so both edges are in $I$. Moreover, at least one of $u_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+1}$ is not in $H$. If the last $i<n$ symbols of $v_{i}$ and $u_{i}$ coincide, so do the last $i+1$ symbols of $u_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+1}$, because they are the last $i$ symbols of $u_{i}$ plus $a_{i+1}$. By condition (4), $u_{n+1}=v_{n+1}$, thus, $u$ and $v$ belong to the same connected component of the underlying undirected graph of $I$. Since this is valid for any pair $u, v$, the underlying undirected graph of $I$ is connected.

Every vertex in $I$ has its in-degree equal to its outdegree, because it is a cycle subtracted from a regular graph. So, by Proposition 2, I is strongly connected. These two properties ensure $I$ is eulerian. Adding an eulerian cycle in $I$ to $H$ gives the desired extension.

We are now ready to give the proof of the already stated Theorem 1:

Theorem 1. Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in at least three symbols can be extended to a de Bruijn sequence of order $n+1$. Every de Bruijn sequence of order $n$ in two symbols can not be extended to order $n+1$, but it can be extended to order $n+2$.

Proof. De Bruijn sequences of order $n$ correspond exactly to the hamiltonian cycles in de Bruijn graphs $G_{n}$. In turn, the hamiltonian cycles in $G_{n+1}$ are exactly the eulerian cycles in $G_{n}$. Now the first assertion follows from Lemma 3 and the second from Observation 4 and Lemma 5.

Definition 6. An infinite sequence in an alphabet of at least three symbols is an infinite de Bruijn sequence if it is the inductive limit of extending de Bruijn sequences of order $n$, for each $n$. In case of a two-symbol alphabet, an infinite de Bruijn sequence is the limit of extending de Bruijn sequences of order $2 n$, for each $n$.

Corollary 7. Infinite de Bruijn sequences exist over any alphabet.

Question 1. Theorem 1 raises naturally the question of giving efficient algorithms to construct extensions of de Bruijn sequences and infinite de Bruijn sequences. According to the proof of Lemmas 3 and 5, the extension problem is just to construct an eulerian cycle in the remaining graph.

Any of the known algorithms for eulerian cycles is usable, even the ancient algorithm of Fleury [8]. However, this may not be the most efficient way of proceeding.

Question 2. Let $\operatorname{occ}(w, s)=\#\{j: s[j \ldots j+|w|-1]=w\}$ be the number of occurrences of a sequence $w$ in a sequence $s$. For each order $n$ and each length $\ell<n$, what are the maximum and minimum values attained by the frequencies $\operatorname{occ}(w, s[1 \ldots i]) / i$, for all sequences $w$ of length $\ell$, extended de Bruijn sequences $s$ of order $n$, and all $i \leqslant n$ ?

In [5] Cooper and Heitsch address the problem for $\ell=1$ in the lexicographically least classical de Bruijn sequence. The range of frequencies in extended de Bruijn sequences may be tighter.
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