arXiv:0901.1994v1 [math.AP] 14 Jan 2009

REMARKS ON AN OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM FOR THE $p-$LAPLACIAN

LEANDRO M. DEL PEZZO AND JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER

Abstract. In this note we give some remarks and improvements on a recent paper of us [3] about an optimization problem for the $p-$Laplace operator that were motivated by some discussion the authors had with Prof. Cianchi.

1. Introduction

In this note, we want to give some remarks and improvements on a recent paper of us [3] about an optimization problem for the $p-$Laplace operator.

These remarks were motivated by some discussion the authors had with Prof. Cianchi and we are grateful to him.

Let us recall the problem analyzed in [3].

Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ (bounded, connected, with smooth boundary) and some class of admissibel loads $\mathcal{A}$, in [3] we studied the following problem:

$$\mathcal{J}(f) := \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x) u_f \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \to \max$$

for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{H}^d$ denotes the $d-$dimensional Hausdorff measure and u is the (unique) solution to the nonlinear problem with load f

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{p-2}u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Where $p \in (1,\infty)$, $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the usual $p-$Laplacian, $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the outer normal derivative and $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ with $q > \frac{p'}{N'}$.

In [3], we worked with three different classes of admissible functions $\mathcal{A}$

- The class of rearrangements of a given function f_0.
- The (unit) ball in some L^q.
- The class of characteristic functions of sets of given measure.

For each of these classes, we proved existence of a maximizing load (in the respective class) and analyzed properties of these maximizer.

When we worked in the unit ball of L^q, we explicitly found the (unique) maximizar for $\mathcal{J}$, namely, the first eigenfunction of a Steklov-like nonlinear eigenvalue problem.

Supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires under grant X078, by ANPCyT PICT No. 2006-290 and CONICET (Argentina) PIP 5478/1438. J. Fernández Bonder is a member of CONICET. Leandro Del Pezzo is a fellow of CONICET.

Whereas when we worked with the class of characteristic functions of set of given boundary measure, besides to prove that there exists a maximizer function we could give a characterization of set where the maximizer function is supported. Moreover, in order to analyze properties of this maximizer, we computed the first variation with respect respect to perturbations on the set where the characteristic function was supported. See [3] (section 5).

The aim of this work is to generalize the results obtained for the class of characteristic functions of set of given boundary measure to the class of rearrangements function of a given function f_0.

Recall that if f_0 is a characteristic function of a set of $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-measure α, then *every* characteristic function of a set of $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$-measure α is a rearrangement of f_0.

2. Characterization of Maximizer Function

In this section we give characterization of the maximizer function relative to the class of rearrangements of a given function f_0.

We begin by observe that (1.1) has a unique weak solution u_f, for which the following equations hold

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \mathcal{I}(u), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}(u) := \frac{1}{p-1}\Big\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} f u \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\Big\}.$$

Let $f_0 \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$, with $q = p/(p-1)$, and let $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ be the class of rearrangements of f_0. We was interested in finding

$$\sup_{f\in\mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

In [3], Theorem 3.1, we could proof that there exists $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ such that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u} \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

where $\hat{u} = u_{\hat{f}}$.

We begin by giving a characterization of this maximizer $\hat{f}$ in the spirit of [2].

Theorem 2.1. *$\hat{f}$ is the unique maximizer of linear functional $L(f) := \int_{\partial\Omega} f\hat{u}\, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$, relative to $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$. Therefore, there is an increasing function ϕ such that $\hat{f} = \phi\circ\hat{u}$ $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}-a.e.$*

Proof. We proceed in three steps.

Step 1. First we show that $\hat{f}$ is a maximizer of $L(f)$ relative to $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$.

In fact, let $h \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$, since $\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{R}_{f_0}} \int_{\partial\Omega} f u_f\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} h u_h\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\\ &= \sup_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} hu\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\}\\ &\geq \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} h\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\},\end{aligned}$$

and, since

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\},$$

we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} h\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{R}_{f_0}} L(f).$$

Step 2. Now, we show that $\hat{f}$ is the unique maximizer of $L(f)$ relative to $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$.

We suppose that g is another maximizer of $L(f)$ relative to $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$. Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \int_{\partial\Omega} g\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} g\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\\ &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} g u_g\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\\ &= \sup_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} gu\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial\Omega} g\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\\ &= \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} \hat{f}\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\}\\ &= \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} g\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla \hat{u}|^p + |\hat{u}|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} g\hat{u}\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} = \sup_{u\in W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{p-1}\left\{p\int_{\partial\Omega} gu\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^p + |u|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N\right\}.$$

Therefore $\hat{u} = u_g$. Then $\hat{u}$ is the unique weak solution to

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_p \hat{u} + |\hat{u}|^{p-2}\hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega,\\ |\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-2}\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \nu} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega.\end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we now that u is the unique weak solution to

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_p \hat{u} + |\hat{u}|^{p-2}\hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla \hat{u}|^{p-2}\frac{\partial \hat{u}}{\partial \nu} = \hat{f} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Therefor $\hat{f} = g$ $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}-$a.e.

Step 3. Finally, we have that there is an increasing function ϕ such that $\hat{f} = \phi \circ \hat{u}$ $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}-$a.e.

This is a direct consequence of Steps 1, 2 and Theorem 2.3 below.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

In order to state Theorem 2.3, we need the following definition

Definition 2.2. *The measure space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is called nonatomic if for $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\mu(U) > 0$, there exists $V \in \mathcal{M}$ with $V \subset U$ and $0 < \mu(V) < \mu(U)$. The measure space $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ is called separable if there is a sequence $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of measurable sets such that for every $V \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n such that*

$$\mu(V \setminus U_n) + \mu(U_n \setminus V) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 2.3 (See [1])**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ be a finite separable nonatomic measure space, let $1 \le p \le \infty$, let q be the conjugate exponent of p, let $f_0 \in L^p(X, \mu)$ and $g \in L^q(X, \mu)$ and let R_{f_0} be the set of rearrangements of f_0 on X. If $L(f) = \int_X fg\, d\mu$ has a unique maximizer $\hat{f}$ relative to $\mathcal{R}_{f_0}$ there is an increasing function ϕ such that $f^* = \phi \circ g$ $\mu-$a.e.*

3. Derivate with respect to the load

Now we compute the derivate of the functional $\mathcal{J}(\hat{f})$ with respect to perturbations in $\hat{f}$. We will consider regular perturbations and asume that the function $\hat{f}$ has bounded variation in $\partial\Omega$.

We begin by describing the kind of variations that we are considering. Let V be a regular (smooth) vector field, globally Lipschitz, with support in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ such that $\langle V, \nu \rangle = 0$ and let $\psi_t : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ be defined as the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\psi_t(x) = V(\psi_t(x)) & t > 0, \\ \psi_0(x) = x & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

We have

$$\psi_t(x) = x + tV(x) + o(t) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{R}_{f_0}$, we define $f_t = f \circ \psi_t^{-1}$. Now, let

$$I(t) := \mathcal{J}(f_t) = \int_{\partial\Omega} u_t f_t \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}$$

where $u_t \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u_t + |u_t|^{p-2} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_t|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u_t}{\partial \nu} = f_t & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Given $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega)$ then*

$$f_t = f \circ \psi_t^{-1} \quad \to \quad f \text{ in } L^q(\partial\Omega), \text{ as } t \to 0.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $g \in C_c^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ fixed such that $\|f - g\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} < \varepsilon$. By the usual change of variables formula, we have,

$$\|f_t - g_t\|^q_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = \int_{\partial\Omega} |f - g|^q J_\tau \psi_t \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1},$$

where $g_t = g \circ \psi_t^{-1}$ and $J\psi$ is the tangential Jacobian of ψ. We also know that

$$J_\tau \psi := 1 + t \ \mathrm{div}_\tau V + o(t).$$

Here $\mathrm{div}_\tau V$ is the tangential divergence of V over $\partial\Omega$. Then

$$\|f_t - g_t\|^q_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} = \int_{\partial\Omega} |f - g|^q (1 + t \ \mathrm{div}_\tau V + o(t)) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1}.$$

Then, there exist $t_1 > 0$ and such that if $0 < t < t_1$ then

$$\|f_t - g_t\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

where C is a constant independent of t. Moreover, since $\psi_t^{-1} \to Id$ in the C^1 topology when $t \to 0$ then $g_t = g \circ \psi_t^{-1} \to g$ in the C^1 topology and therefore there exists $t_2 > 0$ such that if $0 < t < t_2$ then

$$\|g_t - g\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} < \varepsilon.$$

Finally, we have for all $0 < t < t_0 = \min\{t_1, t_2\}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_t - f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} &\leq \|f_t - g_t\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} + \|g_t - g\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} + \|g - f\|_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant independent of t. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let u_0 and u_t be the solution of (3.5) with $t = 0$ and $t > 0$, respectively. Then*

$$u_t \to u_0 \text{ in } W^{1,p}(\Omega), \text{ as } t \to 0^+.$$

Proof. The proof follows exactly as the one in Lemma 4.2 in [2]. The only difference being that we use the trace inequality instead of the Poincaré inequality. □

Remark 3.3. *It is easy to see that, as $\psi_t \to Id$ in the C^1 topology, then from Lemma 3.2 it follows that*

$$w_t := u_t \circ \psi_t \to u_0 \quad \text{strongly in } W^{1,p}(\Omega).$$

With these preliminaries, the following theorem follows exactly as Theorem 5.5 of [3].

Theorem 3.4. *With the previous notation, we have that $I(t)$ is differentiable at $t = 0$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dI(t)}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{p-1}\Big\{ & p \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \ div_\tau V \, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + p \int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T \rangle \, d\mathcal{H}^N \\ & - \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_0|^p + |u_0|^p) \ div V \, d\mathcal{H}^N \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

where u_0 is the solution of (3.5) with $t = 0$.

Proof. For the details see the proof of Theorem 5.5 of [3]. □

Now we try to find a more explicit formula for $I'(0)$. For This, we consider $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega) \cap BV(\partial\Omega)$, where $BV(\partial\Omega)$ is the space of functions of bounded variation. For details and properties of BV functions we refer to the book [4].

Theorem 3.5. *If $f \in L^q(\partial\Omega) \cap BV(\partial\Omega)$, we have that*

$$\frac{\partial I(t)}{\partial t}\Big|_{t=0} = \frac{p}{p-1}\int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 V\, d[Df].$$

where u_0 is the solution of (3.5) with $t = 0$.

Proof. In the course of the computations, we require the solution u_0 to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_0 + |u_0|^{p-2}u_0 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

to be C^2. However, this is not true. As it is well known (see, for instance, [7]), u_0 belongs to the class $C^{1,\delta}$ for some $0 < \delta < 1$.

In order to overcome this difficulty, we proceed as follows. We consider the regularized problems

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}((|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2}\nabla u_0^\varepsilon) + |u_0^\varepsilon|^{p-2}u_0^\varepsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (|\nabla u_0^\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{(p-2)/2}\frac{\partial u_0^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} = f & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

It is well known that the solution u_0^ε to (3.6) is of class $C^{2,\rho}$ for some $0 < \rho < 1$ (see [6]).

Then, we can perform all of our computations with the functions u_0^ε and pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0+$ at the end.

We have chosen to work formally with the function u_0 in order to make our arguments more transparent and leave the details to the reader. For a similar approach, see [5].

Now, by Theorem 3.4 and since

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(|u_0|^p V) &= p|u_0|^{p-2}u_0\langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle + |u_0|^p \operatorname{div} V, \\ \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u_0|^p V) &= p|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u_0 D^2 u_0, V\rangle + |\nabla u_0|^p \operatorname{div} V, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) =& \frac{1}{p-1}\Big\{ p\int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \operatorname{div}_\tau V \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + p\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ & - \int_\Omega (|\nabla u_0|^p + |u_0|^p) \operatorname{div} V \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ =& \frac{1}{p-1}\Big\{ p\int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \operatorname{div}_\tau V \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + p\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u_0, {}^T V' \nabla u_0^T\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ & - \int_\Omega \operatorname{div}((|\nabla u_0|^p + |u_0|^p)V) \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N + p\int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u_0 D^2 u_0, V\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ & + p\int_\Omega |u_0|^{p-2}u_0\langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using that $\langle V, \nu\rangle = 0$ in the right hand side of the above equality we find

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{p}{p-1}\Big\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \ \mathrm{div}_\tau V \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0,^T V' \nabla u_0^T + D^2 u_0 V^T \rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \Big\} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1}\Big\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \ \mathrm{div}_\tau V \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_\Omega |\nabla u_0|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u_0, \nabla(\langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle)\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \\ &\quad + \int_\Omega |u_0|^{p-2} u_0 \langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^N \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_0 is a week solution of (3.5) with $t = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{p}{p-1}\Big\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 f \ \mathrm{div}_\tau V \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle \nabla u_0, V\rangle f \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathrm{div}_\tau (u_0 V) f \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $f \in BV(\partial\Omega)$ and $V \in C^1(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\begin{aligned} I'(0) &= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathrm{div}_\tau (u_0 V) f \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}^{N-1} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \int_{\partial\Omega} u_0 V \, \mathrm{d}[Df]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is now complete. □

References

[1] Burton, G. R. Rearrangements of functions, maximization of convex functionals, and vortex rings. Math. Ann. 276 (1987), no. 2, 225–253.

[2] F. Cuccu, B. Emamizadeh and G. Porru. *Nonlinear elastic membranes involving the p-Laplacian operator*. Electron. J. Differential Equations 2006, No. 49, 10 pp.

[3] Del Pezzo, L. M. and Fernández Bonder, J. Some optimization problems for nonlinear elastic membranes. To appear in Appl. Math. Optim.

[4] Evans, Lawrence C.; Gariepy, Ronald F. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Studies in Advanced Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1992.

[5] J. García Meliá, J. Sabina de Lis. *On the perturbation of eigenvalues for the p-Laplacian*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 332 (2001), no. 10, 893–898.

[6] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'tseva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.

[7] P. Tolksdorf. *Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations*. J. Differential Equations, 51 (1984), 126–150.

Leandro M. Del Pezzo
Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina.

E-mail address: ldpezzo@dm.uba.ar

Julián Fernández Bonder
Departamento de Matemática, FCEyN, Universidad de Buenos Aires,
Pabellón I, Ciudad Universitaria (1428), Buenos Aires, Argentina.
E-mail address: jfbonder@dm.uba.ar
Web page: http://mate.dm.uba.ar/∼jfbonder