

## Metadata of the article that will be visualized in Online First

Journal Name	Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications	
Article Title	Commutators of Riesz Transforms Related to Schrödinger Operators	
Copyright holder	Springer Science+Business Media, LLC This will be the copyright line in the final PDF.	
Corresponding Author	Family name	Bongioanni
	Particle	
	Given Name	B.
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral CONICET-UNL
	Address	Santa Fe, Argentina
	E-mail	bbongio@santafe-conicet.gov.ar
Author	Family name	Harboure
	Particle	
	Given Name	E.
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral CONICET-UNL
	Address	Santa Fe, Argentina
	E-mail	harbour@santafe-conicet.gov.ar
Author	Family name	Salinas
	Particle	
	Given Name	O.
	Suffix	
	Division	
	Organization	Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral CONICET-UNL
	Address	Santa Fe, Argentina
	E-mail	salinas@santafe-conicet.gov.ar
Schedule	Received	19 March 2009
	Revised	9 April 2010
	Accepted	
Abstract	In this work we obtain boundedness on $L^p$ , for $1 < p < \infty$ , of commutators $T_b f = b T f - T(bf)$ where $T$ is any of the Riesz transforms or their conjugates associated to the Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + V$ with $V$ satisfying an appropriate reverse Hölder	

---

inequality. The class where  $b$  belongs is larger than the usual  $BMO$ . We also obtain a substitute result for  $p=\infty$ , under a slightly stronger condition on  $b$ .

---

Keywords Schrödinger operator – BMO – Commutators – Riesz transforms

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 42B35 – 35J10

---

Footnotes Communicated by Soria.

This research is partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL), Argentina.

---

## Commutators of Riesz Transforms Related to Schrödinger Operators

B. Bongioanni · E. Harboure · O. Salinas

Received: 19 March 2009 / Revised: 9 April 2010  
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC

**Abstract** In this work we obtain boundedness on  $L^p$ , for  $1 < p < \infty$ , of commutators  $T_b f = bTf - T(bf)$  where  $T$  is any of the Riesz transforms or their conjugates associated to the Schrödinger operator  $-\Delta + V$  with  $V$  satisfying an appropriate reverse Hölder inequality. The class where  $b$  belongs is larger than the usual  $BMO$ . We also obtain a substitute result for  $p = \infty$ , under a slightly stronger condition on  $b$ .

**Keywords** Schrödinger operator · BMO · Commutators · Riesz transforms

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000)** Primary 42B35 · Secondary 35J10

### 1 Introduction

Let us consider the Schrödinger operator

$$\mathcal{L} = -\Delta + V$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 3$ . The function  $V$  is non-negative,  $V \neq 0$ , and belongs to a reverse-Hölder class  $RH_q$  for some exponent  $q > d/2$ , i.e. there exists a constant  $C$  such

---

Communicated by Soria.

This research is partially supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL), Argentina.

B. Bongioanni (✉) · E. Harboure · O. Salinas

Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral CONICET-UNL, Santa Fe, Argentina  
e-mail: [bbongio@santafe-conicet.gov.ar](mailto:bbongio@santafe-conicet.gov.ar)

E. Harboure  
e-mail: [harbour@santafe-conicet.gov.ar](mailto:harbour@santafe-conicet.gov.ar)

O. Salinas  
e-mail: [salinas@santafe-conicet.gov.ar](mailto:salinas@santafe-conicet.gov.ar)

48 that

$$49 \quad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B V(y)^q dy \right)^{1/q} \leq \frac{C}{|B|} \int_B V(y) dy, \quad (1)$$

52 for every ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

53 We associate to the differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  the vector valued Riesz Transform

$$54 \quad \mathcal{R} = \nabla(-\Delta + V)^{-1/2}.$$

56 This operator has been considered in [11], where the author shows that it is bounded  
 57 on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $1 < p < p_0$ , with  $p_0$  depending on  $q$  in a way that if  $V \in RH_q$  with  
 58  $q \geq d$ , it results  $p_0 = \infty$ . Moreover, Shen shows that in that case  $\mathcal{R}$  and its adjoint  
 59  $\mathcal{R}^*$  are in fact Calderón-Zygmund operators (see [11]).

60 As in [11], we will use the auxiliary function  $\rho$  defined for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$62 \quad \rho(x) = \sup \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{1}{r^{d-2}} \int_{B(x,r)} V \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (2)$$

64 Under the above conditions on  $V$ , we have  $0 < \rho(x) < \infty$ .

65 For  $\theta > 0$ , we define the class  $BMO_\theta(\rho)$  of locally integrable functions  $b$  such that

$$67 \quad \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(y) - b_B| dy \leq C \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)} \right)^\theta, \quad (3)$$

70 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $b_B = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B b$ . A norm for  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ , denoted  
 71 by  $[b]_\theta$ , is given by the infimum of the constants satisfying (3), after identifying  
 72 functions that differ upon a constant. Notice that if we let  $\theta = 0$  in (3) we obtain the  
 73 John-Nirenberg space  $BMO$ .

74 Now, with the above definition in mind, we define  $BMO_\infty(\rho) = \bigcup_{\theta > 0} BMO_\theta(\rho)$ .  
 75 Clearly  $BMO \subset BMO_\theta(\rho) \subset BMO_{\theta'}(\rho)$  for  $0 < \theta < \theta'$ , and hence  $BMO \subset$   
 76  $BMO_\infty(\rho)$ . Moreover, it is in general a larger class. As an example, when  $\rho$  is  
 77 constant (which corresponds to  $V$  a positive constant) the functions  $b_j(x) = |x_j|$ ,  
 78  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , belong to  $BMO_\infty(\rho)$  but not to  $BMO$ . Also, when  $V(x) = |x|^2$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  be-  
 79 comes the Hermite operator, we obtain  $\rho(x) \simeq \frac{1}{1+|x|}$  and we may take  $b(x) = |x_j|^2$ .

80 We denote by  $T$  either  $\mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . For  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$  we will consider the commu-  
 81 tator operator

$$82 \quad T_b f(x) = T(bf)(x) - b(x)Tf(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

84 Before stating the main theorems we introduce the definition of the *reverse Hölder*  
 85 index of  $V$  as  $q_0 = \sup\{q : V \in RH_q\}$ . It is known that  $V \in RH_q$  implies  $V \in RH_{q+\epsilon}$   
 86 for some  $\epsilon > 0$  (see [5]). Therefore, under the assumption  $V \in RH_{d/2}$  we may con-  
 87 clude  $q_0 > d/2$ .

88 Finally recall that  $V \in RH_q$  for some  $q > 1$  implies that  $V$  satisfies the doubling  
 89 condition, i.e., there exist constants  $\mu \geq 1$  and  $C$  such that

$$91 \quad \int_{tB} V \leq Ct^{d\mu} \int_B V, \quad (5)$$

93 holds for every ball  $B$  and  $t > 1$ .

Now, we are in position to state our first result.

**Theorem 1** Let  $V \in RH_{d/2}$ ,  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$  and  $p_0$  such that  $1/p_0 = (1/q_0 - 1/d)^+$ , where  $q_0$  is the reverse Hölder index of  $V$ .

(i) If  $1 < p < p_0$ , then

$$\|\mathcal{R}_b f\|_p \leq C_b \|f\|_p,$$

for all  $f \in L^p$ .

(ii) If  $p'_0 < p < \infty$ , then

$$\|\mathcal{R}_b^* f\|_p \leq C_b \|f\|_p,$$

for all  $f \in L^p$ .

Moreover,  $C_b \lesssim [b]_\theta$  whenever  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ .

In order to present our result concerning the behavior of commutators for  $p = \infty$  we need the following definition.

The space  $BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$  is defined as the set of functions  $f$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  satisfying that there exists a constant  $C$  such that for every ball  $B = B(x, r)$ ,

$$\int_B |f - f_B| \leq C|B|,$$

if  $r < \rho(x)$ , and

$$\int_B |f| \leq C|B|,$$

if  $r \geq \rho(x)$ .

This space was introduced in [4] as the appropriate substitute of  $BMO$  in the study of the boundedness of operators associated to  $\mathfrak{L}$ .

Regarding the Riesz transforms, it was shown in [1] that  $\mathcal{R}^*$  preserves  $BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$  when  $q_0 > d/2$ , and the same occurs with  $\mathcal{R}$  under the stronger assumption  $q_0 > d$ . Since  $L^\infty$  is continuously embedded in  $BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$ , these results imply the  $L^\infty - BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$  continuity of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$ , under the stated hypothesis on  $q_0$ . We point out that even when  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$  are Calderón-Zygmund these results are sharper than those derived from Calderón-Zygmund theory since  $BMO_{\mathfrak{L}} \subset BMO$ .

It is a natural question to ask for the class of functions  $b$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_b$  and  $\mathcal{R}_b^*$  are also bounded operators from  $L^\infty$  into  $BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$ . For this purpose we introduce the following definition.

For  $\theta > 0$ , we denote by  $BMO_\theta^{\log}(\rho)$  the set of functions  $b$  such that

$$\frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |b - b_B| \leq C \frac{(1 + r/\rho(x))^\theta}{1 + \log^+(\rho(x)/r)},$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ . Correspondingly, we define  $BMO_\infty^{\log}(\rho) = \bigcup_{\theta > 0} BMO_\theta^{\log}(\rho)$ .

142 Our second result can be stated as follows.  
143

144 **Theorem 2** Let  $V \in RH_{d/2}$  and  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$ , then  
145

- 146 (i)  $\mathcal{R}_b^*: L^\infty \mapsto BMO_{\mathfrak{L}}$  if and only if  $b \in BMO_\infty^{\log}(\rho)$ .  
147 (ii) If  $V \in RH_d$ , the above result is also true for  $\mathcal{R}_b$ .

148 The contents of Theorem 1 were already known for functions  $b$  in  $BMO$ . In the  
149 case  $q_0 > d$ , since  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$  are Calderón-Zygmund operators, the boundedness of  
150 commutators follows from the general theory (see [2] and [9] for instance). The result  
151 for  $\mathcal{R}_b^*$  when  $d/2 < q_0 < d$  was recently proved in [6]. The novelty of Theorem 1  
152 relies on the extension of the  $L^p$ -boundedness for  $b$  belonging to the larger class  
153  $BMO_\infty(\rho)$ . Theorem 2 is completely new for this kind of Riesz transforms. However,  
154 there is a result in that direction for the classical case  $\mathfrak{L} = -\Delta$  in [7]. There, the  
155 authors show that commutators of the Hilbert transform are never bounded from  $L^\infty$   
156 into  $BMO$  except for the trivial case when  $b$  is constant.  
157

158 Our approach to handle commutators is the Strömberg technique that was also  
159 used in [6]. That involves to obtain a point-wise majorization of the sharp maximal  
160 function of the commutators. In this article we reduce the problem to estimate a more  
161 appropriate and smaller sharp maximal function which takes into account only local  
162 balls, namely those contained in a critical ball. In order to do so we prove a suitable  
163 Fefferman-Stein inequality (see Lemma 2).

164 The clue that allows us to enlarge the class of functions  $b$  with respect to the clas-  
165 sical case, relies on the stronger decay of the kernels and their modulus of continuity  
166 outside critical balls, contained in Lemmas 3 and 4.

167 The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we present some properties  
168 of the space  $BMO_\infty(\rho)$  and a Fefferman-Stein type inequality. In Sect. 3 we collect  
169 some useful estimates of the kernels of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . Section 4 is devoted to prove some  
170 estimates of averages and oscillations related to commutators that will be used in the  
171 last section to prove Theorem 1 as well as Theorem 2.

## 172 2 Preliminary Lemmas and Propositions

173  
174  
175 **Proposition 1** [11] Let  $V \in RH_{d/2}$ . For the associated function  $\rho$  there exist  $C$  and  
176  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that  
177

178  
179 
$$C^{-1}\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{-k_0} \leq \rho(y) \leq C\rho(x)\left(1 + \frac{|x-y|}{\rho(x)}\right)^{\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \quad (6)$$
  
180  
181

182 for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .  
183

184 A ball  $B(x, \rho(x))$  is called *critical*.  
185

186 **Proposition 2** [3] There exists a sequence of points  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , so that the family  
187 of critical balls  $Q_k = B(x_k, \rho(x_k))$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , satisfies  
188

- 189 (i)  $\bigcup_k Q_k = \mathbb{R}^d$ .  
 190 (ii) There exists  $N$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\text{card}\{j : 4Q_j \cap 4Q_k \neq \emptyset\} \leq N$ .

192 Inequality (6) implies that if  $x, y \in Q$ , and  $Q$  is a critical ball, then

$$193 \quad \rho(x) \leq C_0 \rho(y) \quad (7)$$

195 where the constant  $C_0$  depends on the constants  $C$  and  $k_0$  in (6).

197 **Proposition 3** Let  $\theta > 0$  and  $1 \leq s < \infty$ . If  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ , then

$$199 \quad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B|^s \right)^{1/s} \lesssim [b]_\theta \left( 1 + \frac{r}{\rho(x)} \right)^{\theta'}, \quad (8)$$

202 for all  $B = B(x, r)$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ , where  $\theta' = (k_0 + 1)\theta$  and  $k_0$  the constant  
 203 appearing in (6).

204 Proof From the standard John-Nirenberg inequality (see [8]), given a ball  $B_0$  and a  
 205 function  $g \in BMO(B_0)$  we have, for each  $1 \leq s < \infty$ ,

$$207 \quad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g - g_B|^s \right)^{1/s} \leq C \|g\|_{BMO(B_0)}, \quad (9)$$

210 for every ball  $B \subset B_0$ , where the constant  $C$  does not depend on the ball  $B_0$ .

211 Therefore, to prove (8) we only need to show the claim: if  $R \geq 1$  and  $Q$  is a critical  
 212 ball, then we have  $b \in BMO(RQ)$  and

$$214 \quad \|b\|_{BMO(RQ)} \lesssim [b]_\theta (1 + R)^{(k_0+1)\theta}.$$

215 If this is true, an application of (9), gives that for any ball  $B \subset RQ$ ,

$$217 \quad \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B|^s \right)^{1/s} \lesssim [b]_\theta (1 + R)^{(k_0+1)\theta}. \quad (10)$$

220 Now, let  $B = B(x, r)$  and  $Q = B(x, \rho(x))$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ . If  $r \leq \rho(x)$ ,  
 221 we choose  $R = 1$ , and we may apply (10) to get (8). In the case  $r > \rho(x)$ , we notice  
 222 that  $B = \frac{r}{\rho(x)} Q$ . Then we apply (10) with  $R = \frac{r}{\rho(x)}$  which yields (8).

223 It remains to prove the claim. Let  $B = B(z, r) \subset RQ$ , with  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $r > 0$ . Due  
 224 to (6), we have

$$226 \quad \rho(x)(1 + R)^{-k_0} \lesssim \rho(z),$$

228 then, since  $r < R\rho(x)$ ,

$$230 \quad \frac{r}{\rho(z)} \lesssim (1 + R)^{(k_0+1)}.$$

232 Using that  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ , it leads to

$$233 \quad \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B| \lesssim [b]_\theta (1 + R)^{(k_0+1)\theta}.$$

□

236 Lemma 1 Let  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ ,  $B = B(x_0, r)$  and  $s \geq 1$ , then  
 237

$$238 \left( \frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} |b - b_B|^s \right)^{1/s} \lesssim [b]_\theta k \left( 1 + \frac{2^k r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\theta'}, \\ 239 240$$

241 for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\theta'$  as in (8).

242 Proof Following standard arguments and Proposition 3, we have  
 243

$$244 \left( \frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} |b - b_B|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ 245 \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|2^k B|} \int_{2^k B} |b - b_{2^k B}|^s \right)^{1/s} + \sum_{j=1}^k |b_{2^j B} - b_{2^{j-1} B}| \\ 246 \\ 247 \lesssim [b]_\theta \sum_{j=1}^k \left( 1 + \frac{2^j r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\theta'} \\ 248 \\ 249 \lesssim [b]_\theta k \left( 1 + \frac{2^k r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{\theta'}. \\ 250 \\ 251 \\ 252 \\ 253 \\ 254 \\ 255 \\ 256 \\ 257$$

□

258 Given  $\alpha > 0$  we define the following maximal functions for  $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  
 259  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$260 M_{\rho, \alpha} g(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho, \alpha}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g|,$$

$$261 M_{\rho, \alpha}^\sharp g(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho, \alpha}} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |g - g_B|,$$

262 where  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho, \alpha} = \{B(y, r) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ and } r \leq \alpha \rho(y)\}$ .

263 Also, given a ball  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , for  $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(Q)$  and  $x \in Q$ , we define

$$264 M_Q g(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{F}(Q)} \frac{1}{|B \cap Q|} \int_{B \cap Q} |g|, \tag{11}$$

265 and

$$266 M_Q^\sharp g(x) = \sup_{x \in B \in \mathcal{F}(Q)} \frac{1}{|B \cap Q|} \int_{B \cap Q} |g - g_{B \cap Q}|, \tag{12}$$

267 where  $\mathcal{F}(Q) = \{B(y, r) : y \in Q, r > 0\}$ .

268 Let us note that if  $g$  is supported in  $Q$ , operators (11) and (12) coincide with the  
 269 standard definitions of Hardy-Littlewood and sharp maximal functions defined in  $Q$   
 270 viewed as a space of homogeneous type with the Euclidean metric and the Lebesgue  
 271 measure restricted to  $Q$ .  
 272

**Lemma 2** (Fefferman–Stein type inequality) *For  $1 < p < \infty$ , there exist  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  such that if  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of balls as in Proposition 2, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho,\beta}(g)|^p \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho,\gamma}^\sharp(g)|^p + \sum_k |Q_k| \left( \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |g| \right)^p,$$

for all  $g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof* The main tool to prove this lemma is the Fefferman-Stein inequality in the setting of spaces of homogeneous type with finite measure given by Proposition 3.4 in [10]. We point out that in this case the finiteness of the  $L^p$  norm of the maximal function is not needed (in fact that assumption is only used to prove that the left hand side of inequality (3.14) there is finite, but this follows immediately from the finiteness of the measure of the space).

If  $Q$  is a critical ball and  $x \in Q$ , it is not difficult to see that

$$M_{\rho,\beta} g(x) \leq M_{2Q}(g \chi_{2Q})(x), \quad (13)$$

with  $\beta = \frac{1}{2C_0^2}$  (where  $C_0$  is the constant appearing in (7)), and for  $x \in 2Q$ ,

$$M_{2Q}^\sharp(g \chi_{2Q})(x) \lesssim M_{\rho,2}^\sharp g(x). \quad (14)$$

We give an outline of the proof of the last inequality since (13) is even easier. In fact, given a ball  $B = B(y, r) \in \mathcal{F}(2Q)$ , we divide the argument according to  $r$  greater or less than  $3^{-\frac{k_0}{k_0+1}} \frac{\rho(x_0)}{C}$  where  $C$  and  $k_0$  are the constants appearing in (6). In the first case  $B \cap 2Q$  has measure comparable to  $2Q$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,2}$ . In the other case we just use that  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,1} \subset \mathcal{B}_{\rho,2}$  and that  $|B \cap 2Q|$  is comparable with  $|B|$ .

Now we use the decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given by Proposition 2, the mentioned Proposition 3.4 in [10], and inequalities (13) and (14), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho,\beta}(g)|^p &\leq \sum_k \int_{Q_k} |M_{\rho,\beta}(g)|^p \\ &\leq \sum_k \int_{Q_k} |M_{2Q_k}(g \chi_{2Q_k})|^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_k \int_{2Q_k} |M_{2Q_k}^\sharp(g \chi_{2Q_k})|^p + \sum_k |2Q_k| \left( \frac{1}{|2Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |g| \right)^p \\ &\lesssim \sum_k \int_{2Q_k} |M_{\rho,4}^\sharp(g)|^p + \sum_k |Q_k| \left( \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |g| \right)^p \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho,4}^\sharp(g)|^p + \sum_k |Q_k| \left( \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |g| \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the finite overlapping property given by Proposition 2.  $\square$

### 3 Estimates for the Kernels of $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}^*$

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^*$  be the vector valued kernels of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}^*$  respectively.

**Lemma 3** *If  $V \in RH_{d/2}$ , then we have:*

(i) *For every  $N$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{K}^*(x, z)| \leq \frac{C(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^{-N}}{|x-z|^{d-1}} \left( \int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du + \frac{1}{|x-z|} \right). \quad (15)$$

Moreover, the last inequality also holds with  $\rho(x)$  replaced by  $\rho(z)$ .

(ii) *For every  $N$  and  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, 2 - d/q_0\}$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}^*(x, z) - \mathcal{K}^*(y, z)| \\ \leq \frac{C|x-y|^\delta (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^{-N}}{|x-z|^{d-1+\delta}} \left( \int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du + \frac{1}{|x-z|} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

whenever  $|x-y| < \frac{2}{3}|x-z|$ . Moreover, the last inequality also holds with  $\rho(x)$  replaced by  $\rho(z)$ .

(iii) *If  $\mathbf{K}^*$  denotes the  $\mathbb{R}^d$  vector valued kernel of the adjoint of the classical Riesz operator, then for every  $0 < \sigma < 2 - d/q_0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}^*(x, z) - \mathbf{K}^*(x, z)| \\ \leq \frac{C}{|x-z|^{d-1}} \left( \int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du + \frac{1}{|x-z|} \left( \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)} \right)^\sigma \right), \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

whenever  $|x-y| < \rho(x)$ .

(iv) *When  $q_0 > d$ , the term involving  $V$  can be dropped from inequalities (15), (16) and (17).*

*Proof* Inequalities (15) and (17) are basically contained in [11], and (16) can be found in [6]. Statement (iv) for (17) is a consequence of Lemma 1 in [1] since it gives the boundedness of the first term by the second one. The remaining inequalities follow from the same lemma, applying (15) and (16) with perhaps a different  $N$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *If  $V \in RH_d$ , then we have:*

(i) *For every  $N$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{K}(x, z)| \leq \frac{C(1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^{-N}}{|x-z|^d}. \quad (18)$$

(ii) *For every  $N$  and  $0 < \delta < \min\{1, 1 - d/q_0\}$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{K}(x, z) - \mathcal{K}(y, z)| \leq \frac{C|x-y|^\delta (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^{-N}}{|x-z|^{d+\delta}} \quad (19)$$

whenever  $|x-y| < \frac{2}{3}|x-z|$ .

377 (iii) If  $\mathbf{K}$  denotes the  $\mathbb{R}^d$  vector valued kernel of the classical Riesz operator, for  
 378 every  $0 < \sigma < 2 - d/q_0$ , we have

379

$$380 |\mathcal{K}(x, z) - \mathbf{K}(x, z)| \leq \frac{C}{|x - z|^d} \left( \frac{|x - z|}{\rho(z)} \right)^\sigma. \quad (20)$$

381

382 *Proof* Estimate (18) can be found in [11, inequality (6.5)]. Estimates (19) and (20)  
 383 are also basically contained in [11]. Details for (20) are given in [1]. As for (19) in  
 384 [11] it is proved for  $N = 0$ . Nevertheless, the same argument can be applied to any  
 385 positive  $N$ .  $\square$

386 *Remark 1* Let us observe that when  $V \in RH_d$ , (18) and (19) together with (16) and  
 387 Lemma 3(iv) imply that  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{K}^*$  are Calderón-Zygmund kernels.

## 391 4 Technical Lemmas

392 As usual we denote by  $M$  the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and, for  $s > 1$ , by  
 393  $M_s$  the operator defined as  $M_s f = (M(f^s))^{1/s}$ .

394 **Lemma 5** *Let  $V \in RH_{d/2}$ ,  $1/p_0 = (1/q_0 - 1/d)^+$ , and  $b \in BMO_\theta(\rho)$ . Then, for any  
 395  $s > p'_0$  there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

396

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}_b^* f| \leq C[b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y),$$

397

401 for all  $f \in L^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and every ball  $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$ . Additionally, if  $q_0 > d$ , the  
 402 above estimate also holds for  $\mathcal{R}$  instead of  $\mathcal{R}^*$ .

403

404 *Proof* Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$ . We first observe

405

$$\mathcal{R}_b^* f = (b - b_Q) \mathcal{R}^* f - \mathcal{R}^*(f(b - b_Q)), \quad (21)$$

406

407 and so we have to deal with the average on  $Q$  of each term.

408 By Hölder's inequality with  $s > p'_0$  and Lemma 1,

409

$$410 \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |(b - b_Q) \mathcal{R}^* f| \leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b - b_Q|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\mathcal{R}^* f|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

411

$$412 \lesssim [b]_\theta \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^* f|^s \right)^{1/s}.$$

413

414 If we write  $f = f_1 + f_2$  with  $f_1 = f \chi_{2Q}$  then, using that  $\mathcal{R}^*$  is bounded on  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$   
 415 with  $s > p'_0$ ,

416

$$417 \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^* f_1|^s \right)^{1/s} \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |f|^s \right)^{1/s}$$

418

$$419 \lesssim \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y). \quad (22)$$

420

Now, for  $x \in Q$  and using (15) in Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}^* f_2(x)| &= \left| \int_{|x_0-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \mathcal{K}^*(x, z) f(z) dz \right| \\ &\lesssim I_1(x) + I_2(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_1(x) = \int_{|x_0-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^d (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^N} dz$$

and

$$I_2(x) = \int_{|x_0-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x-z|^{d-1} (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^N} \int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du dz.$$

To deal with  $I_1(x)$ , using that in our situation  $\rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_0)$  and  $|x-z| \simeq |x_0-z|$ , we split into annuli to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(x) &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-Nk}}{(2^k \rho(x_0))^d} \int_{|x_0-z|<2^k \rho(x_0)} |f(z)| dz \\ &\lesssim \inf_{y \in Q} Mf(y). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

To take care of  $I_2(x)$ , having in mind Lemma 3(iv) we may assume  $d/2 < q_0 < d$ . Then, since  $x \in Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &\lesssim \int_{|x_0-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x_0-z|^{d-1} (1 + \frac{|x_0-z|}{\rho(x_0)})^N} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0-z|)} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du dz \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-Nk}}{(2^k \rho(x_0))^{d-1}} \int_{|x_0-z|<2^{k+1}\rho(x_0)} |f(z)| \int_{B(x_0, 2^{k+3}\rho(x_0))} \frac{V(u)}{|u-z|^{d-1}} du dz \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-Nk}}{(2^k \rho(x_0))^{d-1}} \int_{|x_0-z|<2^k \rho(x_0)} |f| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p'_0 < s < d$  (this is always possible because  $q_0 > 1$ , and also sufficient since  $M_s f$  increases with  $s$ ). Using first Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of the fractional integral  $\mathcal{I}_1 : L^s \mapsto L^q$  with  $1/q = 1/s' + 1/d$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{|x_0-z|<2^k \rho(x_0)} |f| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}) \\ &\leq \|f \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_s \|\mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))})\|_{s'} \\ &\lesssim \|f \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_s \|V \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_q. \end{aligned}$$

471 Since  $V \in RH_q$ , from our assumptions on  $s$ , we obtain  
 472

$$\begin{aligned} 473 \|V \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_q &\lesssim (2^k \rho(x_0))^{-d/q'} \int_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))} V \\ 474 &\lesssim 2^{k(d\mu-d/q')} \rho(x_0)^{-d/q'} \int_{B(x_0, \rho(x_0))} V \\ 475 &\lesssim 2^{k(d\mu-d/q')} \rho(x_0)^{-d/q'+d-2}, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

480 where in the last two inequalities we have used (5) and the definition of  $\rho$  respectively.  
 481 Therefore,

$$482 I_2(x) \lesssim \rho(x_0)^{-d/q'-1} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{k(-N+1-d+d\mu-d/q')} \|f \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_s. \quad (25)$$

485 Finally, observing that

$$486 487 \|f \chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_s \lesssim (2^k \rho(x_0))^{d/s} \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y)$$

488 and using that  $d/s - d/q' = 1$ , we have

$$489 490 491 I_2(x) \lesssim \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y) \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{k(-N+d\mu-d+2)}, \quad (26)$$

493 since  $N$  can be chosen large enough the last series converges.

494 To deal with the second term of (21), we split again  $f = f_1 + f_2$ . Choosing  $p'_0 <$   
 495  $\tilde{s} < s$  and denoting  $v = \frac{\tilde{s}s}{s-\tilde{s}}$ , using the boundedness of  $\mathcal{R}^*$  on  $L^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see [11]) and  
 496 applying Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} 497 \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^* f_1(b - b_Q)| &\leq \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |\mathcal{R}^* f_1(b - b_Q)|^{\tilde{s}} \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ 498 &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |f(b - b_Q)|^{\tilde{s}} \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ 499 &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |f|^s \right)^{1/s} \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |(b - b_Q)|^v \right)^{1/v} \\ 500 &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y), \end{aligned}$$

508 where in the last inequality we have used Proposition 3.

509 For the remaining term we have to deal with

$$510 511 512 \tilde{I}_1(x) = \int_{|x-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \frac{|f(z)(b - b_Q)|}{|x - z|^d (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^N} dz$$

513 and

$$514 515 516 \tilde{I}_2(x) = \int_{|x-z|>2\rho(x_0)} \frac{|f(z)(b - b_Q)|}{|x - z|^{d-1} (1 + \frac{|x-z|}{\rho(x)})^N} \int_{B(z, |x-z|/4)} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz.$$

518 We start by observing that for  $1 \leq \tilde{s} < s$  and  $v = \frac{\tilde{s}s}{s-\tilde{s}}$ , using Lemma 1, we obtain  
 519

$$\begin{aligned} 520 \quad & \|f(b - b_Q)\chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_{\tilde{s}} \\ 521 \quad & \leq \|f\chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_s \| (b - b_Q)\chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_v \\ 522 \quad & \lesssim (2^k \rho(x_0))^{d/\tilde{s}} \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y) k 2^{k\theta'} [b]_\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

523  
 524 For  $\tilde{I}_1(x)$  we proceed as for  $I_1(x)$ , and using (27) with  $\tilde{s} = 1$ , we arrive to  
 525

$$\begin{aligned} 526 \quad & \tilde{I}_1(x) \lesssim \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{2^{-Nk}}{(2^k \rho(x_0))^d} \int_{|x_0 - z| < 2^k \rho(x_0)} |b(z) - b_Q| |f(z)| dz \\ 527 \quad & \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y) \sum_{k \geq 1} k 2^{k(-N+\theta')} \\ 528 \quad & \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y). \end{aligned}$$

529 To deal with  $\tilde{I}_2(x)$  we argue as in the estimate for  $I_2(x)$  with  $f(b - b_Q)$  instead  
 530 of  $f$  and  $\tilde{s}$  and  $\tilde{q}$  instead of  $s$  and  $q$ , where  $1/\tilde{q} = 1/\tilde{s}' + 1/d$ . In this way, as in (25),  
 531 using also (27), we have  
 532

$$\begin{aligned} 533 \quad & \tilde{I}_2(x) \lesssim \rho(x_0)^{-1-d/\tilde{q}'} \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{k(-N+1-d+d\mu-d/\tilde{q}')} \|f(b - b_Q)\chi_{B(x_0, 2^k \rho(x_0))}\|_{\tilde{s}} \\ 534 \quad & \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y) \sum_{k \geq 1} k 2^{k(-N+\theta'+2-d+d\mu)} \\ 535 \quad & \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

536 choosing  $N$  large enough.  
 537

538 Finally, we notice that in the proof above, we only have used the size of  $\mathcal{K}^*$  given  
 539 by (15) in Lemma 3, therefore in the case  $q_0 > d$  we also have the result for  $\mathcal{R}$  in  
 540 view of Lemma 4.  $\square$   
 541

542 *Remark 2* It is easy to check that if the critical ball  $Q$  is replaced by  $2Q$ , last lemma  
 543 also holds.  
 544

545 **Lemma 6** Let  $V \in RH_{d/2}$  and  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$ , then for any  $s > p'_0$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ , there  
 546 exists a constant  $C$  such that  
 547

$$548 \quad \int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}^*(x, z) - \mathcal{K}^*(y, z)| |b(z) - b_B| |f(z)| dz \leq C [b]_\theta \inf_{u \in B} M_s f(u), \quad (29)$$

549 for all  $f$  and  $x, y \in B = B(x_0, r)$ , with  $r < \gamma \rho(x_0)$ . Additionally, if  $q_0 > d$ , the above  
 550 estimate also holds for  $\mathcal{K}$  instead of  $\mathcal{K}^*$ .  
 551

Proof Denoting  $Q = B(x_0, \gamma\rho(x_0))$ , by (16), and since in our situation  $\rho(x) \simeq \rho(x_0)$  and  $|x - z| \simeq |x_0 - z|$ , we need to bound four terms

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= r^\delta \int_{Q \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(z)| |b(z) - b_B|}{|x_0 - z|^{d+\delta}} dz, \\ I_2 &= r^\delta \rho(x_0)^N \int_{Q^c} \frac{|f(z)| |b(z) - b_B|}{|x_0 - z|^{d+\delta+N}} dz, \\ I_3 &= r^\delta \int_{Q \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(z)| |b(z) - b_B|}{|x_0 - z|^{d-1+\delta}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - z|)} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_4 = r^\delta \rho(x_0)^N \int_{Q^c} \frac{|f(z)|, |b(z) - b_B|}{|x_0 - z|^{d-1+\delta+N}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - z|)} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz.$$

Splitting into annuli, we have

$$I_1 \lesssim \frac{1}{r^d} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d+\delta)} \int_{2^j B} |f| |b - b_B|,$$

where  $j_0$  is the least integer such that  $2^{j_0} \geq \gamma\rho(x_0)/r$ .

By Hölder's inequality and Lemma 1 we obtain for  $j \leq j_0$ ,

$$\int_{2^j B} |f| |b - b_B| \leq j [b]_\theta |2^j B| \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j 2^{-j\delta} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with  $I_2$ , splitting into annuli, using Lemma 1 and choosing  $N > \theta'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\lesssim \frac{\rho(x_0)^N}{r^{N+d}} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(d+\delta+N)} \int_{2^j B} |f| |b - b_B| \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{N-\theta'} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} j 2^{-j(\delta+N-\theta')} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} j 2^{-j\delta} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y). \end{aligned}$$

To deal with  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ , due to Lemma 3(iv) we may assume  $d/2 < q_0 \leq d$ . Now,

$$I_3 \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d-1+\delta)} \int_{2^j B} |f(z)| |b(z) - b_B| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{2^{j+2}B})(z) dz.$$

If  $p'_0 < \tilde{s} < s$ ,  $\nu = \frac{\tilde{s}s}{s-\tilde{s}}$  and  $q$  such that  $1/q = 1/\tilde{s}' + 1/d$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^j B} |f| |b - b_B| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}) &\leq \|f \chi_{2^j B}\|_s \| (b - b_B) \chi_{2^j B} \|_\nu \| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}) \|_{\tilde{s}'} \\ &\lesssim j |2^j B|^{1/\tilde{s}} [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \|V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}\|_q, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where in the last inequality we use Lemma 1 and that  $j \leq j_0$ .

Since  $V \in RH_q$ , from our assumptions on  $\tilde{s}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}\|_q &\lesssim \|V \chi_Q\|_q \\ &\lesssim \rho(x_0)^{-d/q'} \int_Q V \\ &\lesssim \rho(x_0)^{d/q-2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j \leq j_0$ . Therefore, since  $d/\tilde{s} = d + 1 - d/q$  and  $2 - d/q > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \frac{r^{d/\tilde{s}-d+1}}{\rho(x_0)^{2-d/q}} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} j 2^{-j(d-1+\delta-d/\tilde{s})} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \left( \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{2-d/q} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} j 2^{-j(d/q-2+\delta)} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \left( \frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} \right)^{2-d/q} 2^{j_0(2-d/q)} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} j 2^{-j\delta} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for  $I_4$  we have

$$I_4 \lesssim \frac{\rho(x_0)^N}{r^{d-1+N}} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(d-1+\delta+N)} \int_{2^j B} |f(z)| |b(z) - b_B| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{2^{j+2}B})(z) dz.$$

Now we proceed as in (30) to obtain, for  $j > j_0$ ,

$$\int_{2^j B} |f| |b - b_B| \mathcal{I}_1(V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}) \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) j \frac{(2^j r)^{\theta'+d/\tilde{s}}}{\rho(x_0)^{\theta'}} \|V \chi_{2^{j+2}B}\|_q,$$

659 moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} 660 \quad \|V\chi_{2^{j+2}B}\|_q &\lesssim (2^j r)^{-d/q'} \int_{2^j B} V \\ 661 \quad &\lesssim 2^{j(d\mu-d/q')} \frac{r^{-d/q'+d\mu}}{\rho(x_0)^{d\mu}} \int_Q V \\ 662 \quad &\lesssim 2^{j(d\mu-d/q')} \frac{r^{-d/q'+d\mu}}{\rho(x_0)^{d\mu-d+2}}. \\ 663 \end{aligned}$$

664 With this estimate, choosing  $N$  large enough so that  $d - 2 + N - \theta' - d\mu > 0$ , we  
 665 have

$$\begin{aligned} 666 \quad I_4 &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y) \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{d-2+N-\theta'-d\mu} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} j 2^{-j(d-2+N-\theta'-d\mu+\delta)} \\ 667 \quad &\lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in B} M_s f(y), \\ 668 \end{aligned}$$

669 and we have finished the proof (29).

670 Now, suppose  $q_0 > d$ . To obtain the estimate for  $\mathcal{K}$  we use (19) in Lemma 4 to get

$$671 \quad \int_{(2B)^c} |\mathcal{K}(x, z) - \mathcal{K}(y, z)| |b(z) - b_B| |f(z)| dz \lesssim I_1 + I_2,$$

672 completing the proof of the lemma. □

## 685 5 Proofs of the Main Results

686 *Proof of Theorem 1* We will prove part (ii) and part (i) follows by duality. We start  
 687 with a function  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $p'_0 < p < \infty$ , and we notice that due to Lemma 5  
 688 we have  $\mathcal{R}_b^* f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

689 By using Lemma 2, Lemma 5 with  $p'_0 < s < p$  and Remark 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 690 \quad \|\mathcal{R}_b^* f\|_p^p &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho, \beta}(\mathcal{R}_b^* f)|^p \\ 691 \quad &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho, \gamma}^\sharp(\mathcal{R}_b^* f)|^p + \sum_k |Q_k| \left( \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{2Q_k} |\mathcal{R}_b^* f| \right)^p \\ 692 \quad &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |M_{\rho, \gamma}^\sharp(\mathcal{R}_b^* f)|^p + [b]_\theta^p \sum_k \int_{2Q_k} |M_s f|^p. \\ 693 \end{aligned}$$

694 By the finite overlapping property given by Proposition 2 and the boundedness of  $M_s$   
 695 in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the second term is controlled by  $[b]_\theta^p \|f\|_p^p$ . Thus, we have to take care of  
 696 the first term.

697 700

698 701

699 702

700 703

701 704

702 705

Our goal is to find a point-wise estimate of  $M_{\rho,\gamma}^\sharp(\mathcal{R}_b^*f)$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $B = B(x_0, r)$ , with  $r < \gamma\rho(x_0)$  such that  $x \in B$ . If  $f = f_1 + f_2$ , with  $f_1 = f\chi_{2B}$ , then we write

$$\mathcal{R}_b^*f = (b - b_B)\mathcal{R}^*f - \mathcal{R}^*(f_1(b - b_B)) - \mathcal{R}^*(f_2(b - b_B)). \quad (31)$$

Therefore, we need to control the mean oscillation on  $B$  of each term that we call  $\mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$ .

Let  $s > p'_0$ , an application of Hölder's inequality and Proposition 3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_1 &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |(b - b_B)\mathcal{R}^*f| \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*f|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta M_s \mathcal{R}^*f(x), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{r}{\rho(x_0)} < \gamma$ .

To estimate  $\mathcal{O}_2$ , let  $p'_0 < \tilde{s} < s$  and  $v = \frac{\tilde{s}s}{s-\tilde{s}}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_2 &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)f_1)| \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*((b - b_B)f_1)|^{\tilde{s}} \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |(b - b_B)f|^{\tilde{s}} \right)^{1/\tilde{s}} \\ &\lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |b - b_B|^v \right)^{1/v} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |f|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta M_s f(x). \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

For  $\mathcal{O}_3$  we observe that

$$\mathcal{O}_3 \lesssim \frac{1}{|B|^2} \int_B \int_B |\mathcal{R}^*(f_2(b - b_B))(u) - \mathcal{R}^*(f_2(b - b_B))(z)| du dz$$

and the integral is clearly bounded by the left hand side of (29). Therefore, Lemma 6 asserts

$$\mathcal{O}_3 \lesssim [b]_\theta M_s f(x). \quad (33)$$

Therefore, we have proved that

$$|M_{\rho,\gamma}^\sharp(\mathcal{R}_b^*f)| \lesssim [b]_\theta (M_s \mathcal{R}^*f + M_s f).$$

Since  $s < p$ , we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

753 Proof of Theorem 2 We first assume  $V \in RH_d$ , and we denote  $T$  either  $\mathcal{R}$  or  $\mathcal{R}^*$  and  
 754  $G$  either  $\mathcal{K}$  or  $\mathcal{K}^*$ .

755 756 Let  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$ . In view of Proposition 2, it is not hard to  
 757 see that it is enough to consider averages over critical balls (see [4]). Due to Lemma 5,  
 758

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |T_b f| \lesssim [b]_\theta \inf_{y \in Q} M_s f(y) \lesssim [b]_\theta \|f\|_\infty.$$

760 761 In order to deal with the oscillations, let  $B = B(x_0, r)$  with  $r < \rho(x_0)$ . Notice that  
 762 by Lemma 5 the function  $T_b f$  belongs to  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

763 We write as in (31)

$$764 765 T_b f = (b - b_B)Tf - T(f_1(b - b_B)) - T(f_2(b - b_B)),$$

766 and its mean oscillations on  $B$  as  $\mathcal{O}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$ .

767 768 The estimate for terms  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_3$  are already done in (32) and (33) for  $\mathcal{R}^*$ . They  
 769 also can be performed for  $\mathcal{R}$  as long as  $q_0 > d$ , due to the boundedness of  $\mathcal{R}$  in  
 770  $L^{\tilde{s}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $\tilde{s} > 1$  and Lemma 6. Thus, both terms are bounded by  $[b]_\theta \|f\|_\infty$ .

771 To deal with  $\mathcal{O}_1$  we fixed  $u \in B$  and write,

$$772 773 (b - b_B)Tf = (b - b_B)Tf_1 + (b - b_B)(Tf_2 - Tf_2(u)) \\ 774 + Tf_{21}(u)(b - b_B) + Tf_{22}(u)(b - b_B), \quad (34)$$

775 776 where  $f_2 = f_{21} + f_{22}$ , with  $f_{22} = f \chi_{Q \setminus 2B}$  and  $Q = B(x_0, \rho(x_0))$ . We denote each  
 777 oscillation  $\mathcal{O}_{11}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{12}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{13}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{14}$ .

778 We observe that  $Tf_{21}(u)$  and  $Tf_{22}(u)$  are finite for any  $u \in B$ , since  $f \in L^\infty$  and

$$779 780 \int_{(2B)^c} |G(u, z)| dz < \infty. \quad (35)$$

781 782 We will see that  $\mathcal{O}_{11}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{13}$  are bounded under the condition  $b \in$   
 783  $BMO_\infty(\rho)$ . For  $\mathcal{O}_{11}$ , choosing  $s$  so that  $T$  is bounded on  $L^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$784 785 \mathcal{O}_{11} \leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |(b - b_B)Tf_1| \\ 786 \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |Tf_1|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ 787 \lesssim \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b - b_B|^{s'} \right)^{1/s'} \left( \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |f|^s \right)^{1/s} \\ 788 \lesssim [b]_\theta \|f\|_\infty. \quad (36)$$

789 790 For  $\mathcal{O}_{12}$  we claim

$$791 792 |Tf_2(x) - Tf_2(u)| \lesssim \|f\|_\infty,$$

793 794 for any  $x$  and  $u$  in  $B$ .

First, observe that when  $V \in RH_d$ , the claim follows easily, since both kernels are Calderón-Zygmund. Therefore, for  $V \in RH_q$  and  $d/2 \leq q < d$ , and  $T = \mathcal{R}^*$  due to (16) in Lemma 3, we only need to estimate

$$J_1 = r^\delta \int_{Q \setminus 2B} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x_0 - z|^{d-1+\delta}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - z|)} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz,$$

and

$$J_2 = r^\delta \rho(x_0)^N \int_{Q^c} \frac{|f(z)|}{|x_0 - z|^{d-1+\delta+N}} \int_{B(x_0, 4|x_0 - z|)} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz.$$

Since the remaining term can be handled as in the Calderón-Zygmund case, we proceed as in Lemma 6 when estimating  $I_3$  and  $I_4$ . In fact, splitting into annuli we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{r^{d-1}} \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d-1+\delta)} \int_{2^j B} \int_{2^{j+2} B} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_\infty}{r^{d-2}} \int_{4Q} V \sum_{j=2}^{j_0} 2^{-j(d-2+\delta)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{d-2} 2^{-j_0(d-2+\delta)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \frac{\rho(x_0)^N}{r^{d-1+N}} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(d-1+\delta+N)} \int_{2^j B} \int_{2^{j+2} B} \frac{V(u)}{|u - z|^{d-1}} du dz \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \frac{\rho(x_0)^{N-d\mu}}{r^{d-2+N-d\mu}} \sum_{j=j_0-1}^{\infty} 2^{-j(d-2+\delta+N-d\mu)} \int_Q V \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty \left( \frac{\rho(x_0)}{r} \right)^{d-2+N-d\mu} 2^{-j_0(d-2+N-d\mu-\delta)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

thus the claim is proved.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_{12} &\leq \frac{2}{|B|} \int_B |b(x) - b_B| |Tf_2(x) - Tf_2(u)| dx \\ &\lesssim [b]_\theta \|f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

That  $\mathcal{O}_{13} \lesssim [b]_\theta \|f\|_\infty$ , is a consequence of (35).

Therefore, the theorem will follow if and only if there exists a constant  $C_b$  such that for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,1}$  and  $u \in B$ ,

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \left( \int_B |b(z) - b_B| dz \right) \left| \int_{4Q \setminus 2B} G(u, z) f(z) dz \right| \leq C_b \|f\|_\infty. \quad (37)$$

But, adding and subtracting  $K(u, z)$ , the kernel of the classical Riesz Transform or its adjoint accordingly to the case, estimate (37) will hold if and only if

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \left( \int_B |b(z) - b_B| dz \right) \left| \int_{4Q \setminus 2B} K(u, z) f(z) dz \right| \leq C_b \|f\|_\infty. \quad (38)$$

In fact, by using (17) or (20), it is easy to check that  $\int_{4Q} |G(u, z) - K(u, z)| dz$  is bounded independently of the critical ball  $Q$ , more precisely

$$\int_{4Q} \frac{1}{|u - z|^d} \left( \frac{|u - z|}{\rho(u)} \right)^\sigma dz \lesssim \rho(x_0)^{-\sigma} \int_{4Q} \frac{1}{|x_0 - z|^{d-\sigma}} dz \lesssim 1.$$

Due to the self-improvement of the reverse-Hölder inequality, we may assume  $V \in RH_q$  for  $d/2 < q < d$ . Setting  $1/s = 1/d + 1/q'$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{4Q} \frac{1}{|u - z|^{d-1}} \int_{B(z, |u-z|/4)} \frac{V(w)}{|w - z|^{d-1}} dw dz \\ & \lesssim \left( \int_{4Q} \frac{dz}{|z - x_0|^{s(d-1)}} \right)^{1/s} \|I_1(V \chi_{4Q})\|_{s'} \\ & \lesssim \rho(x_0)^{1-d/s'} \|V \chi_{4Q}\|_q \lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used (24) for  $k = 2$ .

Note that up to this point we only have used  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$ .

Now, if we assume that  $b$  satisfies the stronger condition  $b \in BMO_\infty^{\log}(\rho)$ , since

$$\left| \int_{4Q \setminus 2B} K(u, z) f(z) dz \right| \leq C_b \|f\|_\infty \log(\rho(x_0)/r), \quad (39)$$

we conclude that (38) holds proving the boundedness of  $T_b$ .

On the other hand if we suppose that  $T_b$  is bounded with  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$ , then (38) must hold for each component  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , of  $K$  and for any  $f$  in  $L^\infty$ . Choosing  $f = \text{sg}(u_i - z_i)$ , and adding over  $i$ , inequality (38) implies

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(z) - b_B| dz \int_{4Q \setminus 2B} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^d |z_i - u_i|}{|z - u|^{d+1}} dz \leq C_b$$

since  $|z - u| \simeq |z - x_0|$ , performing the integration, the inequality

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |b(z) - b_B| dz \leq \frac{C_b}{1 + \log(\rho(x_0)/r)},$$

894 must hold for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\rho,1}$ . Since we assume that  $b \in BMO_\infty(\rho)$ , we conclude that  
895  $b \in BMO_\infty^{\log}(\rho)$ . □  
896  
897

## 898 References

899

- 900 1. Bongioanni, B., Harboure, E., Salinas, O.: Riesz transforms related to Schrödinger operators acting  
901 on  $BMO$  type spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **357**(1), 115–131 (2009)
- 902 2. Coifman, R.R., Rochberg, R., Weiss, G.: Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables.  
Ann. Math. (2) **103**(3), 611–635 (1976)
- 903 3. Dziubański, J., Zienkiewicz, J.: Hardy spaces  $H^1$  associated to Schrödinger operators with potential  
904 satisfying reverse Hölder inequality. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **15**(2), 279–296 (1999)
- 905 4. Dziubański, J., Garrigós, G., Martínez, T., Torrea, J., Zienkiewicz, J.: BMO spaces related to  
906 Schrödinger operators with potentials satisfying a reverse Hölder inequality. *Math. Z.* **249**(2), 329–  
356 (2005)
- 907 5. Gehring, F.W.: The  $L^p$ -integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping. *Acta  
908 Math.* **130**, 265–277 (1973)
- 909 6. Guo, Z., Li, P., Peng, L.:  $L^p$  boundedness of commutators of Riesz transforms associated to  
910 Schrödinger operator. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341**(1), 421–432 (2008)
- 911 7. Harboure, E., Segovia, C., Torrea, J.L.: Boundedness of commutators of fractional and singular integrals  
912 for the extreme values of  $p$ . *Ill. J. Math.* **41**(4), 676–700 (1997)
- 913 8. John, F., Nirenberg, L.: On functions of bounded mean oscillation. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **14**,  
415–426 (1961)
- 914 9. Pérez, C.: Sharp estimates for commutators of singular integrals via iterations of the Hardy-Littlewood  
maximal function. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **3**(6), 743–756 (1997)
- 915 10. Pradolini, G., Salinas, O.: Commutators of singular integrals on spaces of homogeneous type.  
*Czechoslov. Math. J.* **57**(1), 75–93 (2007)
- 916 11. Shen, Z.:  $L^p$  estimates for Schrödinger operators with certain potentials. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*  
**45**(2), 513–546 (1995)