Defect dynamics in crystalline buckled membranes
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We study the dynamics of defect annihilation in flexible crystalline membranes suffering a symmetry-breaking phase transition. The kinetic process leading the system toward equilibrium is described through a Brazovskii-Helfrich-Canham Hamiltonian. In membranes, a negative disclination has a larger energy than a positive disclination. Here we show that this energetic asymmetry does not only affect equilibrium properties, like the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition temperature, but also plays a fundamental role in the dynamic of defects. Both unbinding of dislocations and Carraro-Nelson “antiferromagnetic” interactions between disclinations slow down the dynamics below the Lifshitz-Safran regime observed in flat hexagonal systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Spatially periodic patterns are ubiquitous in nature because ordered configurations frequently minimize the interaction potential between the building blocks of a wide variety of systems [1]. Besides the academic interest, the studies of pattern formation in low-dimensional systems also have been driven by the potential applications to soft matter, biophysics, and nanotechnology. For example, thin-film patterns of block copolymers have been used as nanolithographic masks for pattern transfer [2,3] and the synthesis of graphene, a two-dimensional material with unprecedented physical properties, has opened new horizons for science and technology [4]. One of the main difficulties associated with these systems for practical applications is the lack of long-range order due to the presence of topological defects that often control key material properties [5–8]. For example, the nonlocal disorder introduced by disclinations in smectic systems reduces the applicability to several nanodevices [8,9], and the fact that graphene is actually not flat but exhibits pronounced wrinkles into the third dimension was attributed to the presence of defects, like dislocations and grain boundaries [4]. However, defects are not necessarily undesirable. For example, it has been suggested that novel tetravalent colloidal materials can be developed by anchoring chemical linkers or DNA strands to the topological defects of nematic textures lying on the surface of micron-sized spheres [10,11]. And more recently, it has been shown that grain boundaries can be used as tunable transport gaps to develop practical digital electronic devices based on graphene [12]. However, although in some cases the diffusion of defects and grain boundaries can be arrested by an appropriate selection of the material properties, defect motion is a thermally activated process that can disturb both crystalline order and transport properties.

During the last years there has been an increasing interest in the study of two-dimensional (2D) textures on curved surfaces [13–19]. One of the main differences between planar and curved 2D-modulated phases is related to the structure of topological defects. While in most flat systems the defects are nontrivial excitations of the ground state, in the case of curved crystals long-range interactions can lead to complex arrays of defects even at zero temperature [18].

Although the equilibrium properties of crystalline membranes have been well established [20–24], how the dynamics of defects is affected by the curvature of the space in which the system lives is an open question that we address in this paper. This study is focused in a membrane with internal degrees of freedom, that below a critical temperature undergoes a symmetry-breaking phase transition.

II. THE MODEL

To describe the dynamic of defects in a crystalline membrane we propose a minimal model that includes a Brazovskii Hamiltonian geometrically coupled to the topography of the membrane. We consider a membrane that at high temperatures is a disordered structureless deformable surface, with equilibrium properties dictated by a Helfrich-Canham Hamiltonian. The low-temperature phase is described through the Brazovskii model, where the fluid membrane phase separates into a buckled crystalline state with hexagonal symmetry.

A. Fluid membrane

Fluid membranes occur in a wide variety of systems, including surfactant films, vesicles, and lipid bilayer membranes [25–27]. The phase behavior and equilibrium structure of fluid membranes have been investigated intensively in recent years through different methods, including Monte Carlo [28,29], molecular dynamics [30], and phase field models [27].

Here we employ a phase-field approach to describe the temporal evolution of the morphology of the membrane during a symmetry-breaking phase transition. This model accounts for bending stiffness, spontaneous curvature, and surface energy. In the Monge gauge, the deformation of a membrane can be described by \( r(x, y) = [x, h(x, y)] \), where \( x = (x, y) \) represents a set of standard Cartesian coordinates in the plane and \( z = h(x, y) \) is the out-of-plane deformation of the membrane over the reference plane \( x \) (see Fig. 1). The equilibrium properties of fluid membranes can be described by a Helfrich-Canham Hamiltonian \( F_{HC} \) [23,24,31–36]:

\[
F_{HC} = \int [F_1 + F_2 + F_3] \sqrt{2} dxdy, \tag{1}
\]
membrane geometry:

Brazovskii Hamiltonian [43,49] modified to account for the disorder transition can be phenomenologically described by a liquid crystals [48–45], block copolymers [46], Langmuir films [47], and boundary melting [42], symmetry-breaking phase transitions.

The energy density of the membrane can be used to obtain the time evolution of both scalar fields:

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\nabla_{LB}^2 \left( \frac{\delta F}{\delta \psi} \right) + \eta_{\psi}(\mathbf{r},t),
\]

(3)

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = -\frac{\delta F}{\delta h} + \eta_h(\mathbf{r},t).
\]

(4)

Here \( \eta_{\psi}(\mathbf{r},t) \) and \( \eta_h(\mathbf{r},t) \) are the random Gaussian noise fields satisfying fluctuation dissipation [53]. The coupled dynamical equations were numerically solved using a semi-implicit pseudospectral algorithm with periodic boundary conditions. The size of the time step \( t_0 = 10^{-4} \), and spatial steps were selected to provide numerical stability [33]. The system size is \( L \times L \), where \( L = 256 \). The results discussed here did not display any detectable finite-size effects.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Shortly after the quench into the unstable region of the phase diagram, the process of phase separation is dictated by the high temperature fluctuations where both \( \psi \) and \( h \) remain decoupled (\( \psi \sim 0 \)). A linear instability analysis of Eq. (3) indicates that during this characteristic incubation time \( t_c (t_c \sim t^{-1}) \), there is a continuous amplification of \( \psi \) until the anharmonic terms of the free-energy functional triggers the inhomogeneous nucleation of precursors for crystallization [44]. This stage is characterized by growing crystalline domains embedded in the unstructured fluid phase (see Fig. 2).

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Mechanism of defect formation during the early stage of the phase-separation process. Left panel: nucleation and growth of the ordered phase. The propagating domains with hexagonal symmetry remain roughly flat and free of topological defects. Right panel: the collision of the orientationally uncorrelated domains produces a granular structure with domain walls decorated with dislocations. The different colors indicate different grain orientations (color code indicated on the right).](image-url)
Due to causality, the orientation of distant growing crystals is completely uncorrelated, and the collision of the different domains unavoidably leads to the formation of domain walls decorated with dislocations. Although disclinations also may be present, its strain field is strongly screened by dislocation lines [45,54]. In order to track the temporal evolution of the system, here we identify the defects by means of Voronoi diagrams through the centers of the particles (local maxima of $\psi$) [44,55]. We found that the initial density of topological defects is exclusively determined by the temperature of the quench [45].

It was noted by Park and Lubensky that the crystalline membrane is more rigid than the fluid membrane [23]. Consequently, a crystalline membrane is more crinkled than crumpled and its configuration depends on the distribution of defects. Theoretically, it has been found that the buckling induced by topological defects is determined by the interplay between the strain energy of the defect and the free-energy penalization for curvature [20–23,56]. In hexagonal crystals, disclinations are points of local fivefold or sevenfold symmetry, while dislocations are topological defects formed by disclination dipoles separated by a lattice constant $a_0$ [50,53]. It was found that the buckling occurs when $K_0 \ell_0^2 / k_B > \gamma$, where $\ell_0$ is a characteristic length scale and $\gamma$ is a dimensionless constant of order $10^2$. In addition and contrary to flat systems, it has been observed that in the buckled state positive and negative disclinations do not have the same energy. As compared with a positive disclination, a negative disclination has a larger energy and a smaller critical value of $K_0 / k_B$ at buckling [24].

In the flat ordered phase the Lamé constants and 2D Young modulus can be determined through the order parameter field [57]. For the hexagonal phase $\psi(r,t) = A_k \cos(k_0 x) \cos(k_0 y) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2k_0 x) + \phi_0$, where $A_k = \frac{1}{12\sqrt{3}}(-3\phi_0 + \sqrt{3} \sqrt{20k_0^2 - 20k_0^4 - 5\tau - 12\phi_0^2})$. In this case the Lamé constants $\lambda$ and $\mu$ and the 2D Young modulus $K_0$ can be expressed as $\lambda = 30|A_k|^2 k_0^4, \mu = 6|A_k|^2 k_0^2$, and $K_0 = \frac{4\phi_0(\mu+2\lambda)}{\pi(\mu+3\lambda)}$. Here we select the temperature and free-energy parameters in order to allow the defect-induced buckling transition. We consider only membranes with fixed genus where the free-energy parameters were fixed at $\phi_0 = 0.4, \tau = 0, \sigma = 1.1, k_B = 0.1$, and $C_0 = 0$. Figure 3 shows the time evolution of a membrane suffering a symmetry-breaking phase transition. A crystalline membrane can buckle out of the plane driven by the relaxation of the strain field introduced by topological defects. During the temporal evolution toward equilibrium the annihilation and diffusion of topological defects is dictated by the strong coupling between the membrane shape and the geometrically screened strain field associated with the defects.

Thouless transition temperature of the membrane [24], but also dictates the early dynamics of buckling. Since the diffusion of defects is affected by the geometric potential, the long time relaxation of the system becomes tightly linked to the early stage of buckling.

At the early stage of buckling, the compressional strain field of the lattice in the neighborhood of a positive disclination can induce the buckling of the membrane in either side of the flat reference configuration ($h = 0$). While the energy corresponding to isolated buckled disclinations is independent of the direction of buckling ($h < 0$ or $h > 0$), as two disclinations become closer, the energy depends on the degree of overlap between the membrane deformation induced by each disclination. It has been noted by Carraro and Nelson (CN) that buckling in opposite directions is favored for defects that are further apart than two lattice constants [56]. This CN or “antiferromagnetic” interaction between defects is favored by the bending energy of the membrane. At the early stage of buckling, the interspace between topological defects is nearly flat because the deformation is concentrated at the core of the disclinations and there is no substantial overlap between the deformation induced by the different defects [Fig. 4(a)]. Thus, the buckling introduced by the positive disclinations in the upward ($h > 0$) or downward ($h < 0$) directions is predominantly random. As time proceeds, there is an increase in the membrane deformation and the bending energy controls the direction of buckling [Fig. 4(b)]. In order to identify the existence of CN interactions during the relaxation of the crystalline membrane, we define a disclination-disclination pair correlation function $C(s)$ that takes into account the direction of buckling:

$$C(s) = \langle \rho(r,t) \rho(r-s,t) \Upsilon(r) \Upsilon(r-s) \rangle,$$

(5)

where $\rho(r,t)$ is the density of topological defects, $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ denotes an ensemble average with all the disclination pairs, $s$ is the geodesic distance between defects, and $\Upsilon(x) = h(x)/|h(x)|$ takes into account the sign of the CN interactions [i.e., the
FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) The positive disclinations involved into the dislocations relax the stress field of the lattice by buckling out of the plane. (b) Favored by the bending energy, the buckling of the membrane in opposite directions produces metastable configurations of defects. Here the green (gray) line represents the geodesic distance $s$ between two positive disclinations. (c) $C(s)$ as a function of $s$ at the onset of the buckling transition (circles) and at long times (squares).

The sign of $\Upsilon(r)\Upsilon(r - s)$ determines if the buckling introduced by a pair of defects is in either the same side or opposite sides of the flat reference configuration [60].

Figure 4(c) also shows the pair correlation function $C(s)$ at two different time scales. At the onset of the buckling transition $C(s)$ shows a maximum at the position corresponding to the average distance between the positive and negative disclinations forming a dislocation. Driven by the coupling between the defects and geometry of the membrane, at large time scales there is a splitting of the main peak of $C(s)$, associated to the unbinding of the positive and negative disclinations. In addition, it is also possible to observe local minima at a distance slightly smaller than two lattice spacings, indicating the presence of CN interactions between near defects. Both mechanisms slow down the dynamics. The unbinding of the dislocations stabilizes the position of the positive disclinations by increasing the membrane deformation. Thus, the binding geometrical potential generated by the membrane deformation slows down the dynamics of particles and defects in the neighborhood of the dynamically stabilized positive disclinations. On the other hand, the increase of the CN interactions produces dynamically metastable configurations of defects, like those shown in Fig. 4(b), that also slow down the dynamics.

In flat crystals the pathways toward equilibrium involve the diffusion of dislocations located along grain boundaries. In these systems it has been found that the rate of defect annihilation is not controlled by the glide motion of dislocations but for the slow diffusion of triple points (bounded regions where three misoriented grains meet) [38,55]. As a consequence of the pinning of triple points, in flat systems the temporal evolution of the correlation length depends logarithmically on time (Lifshitz-Safran mechanism) [52,61,62]. On the other hand, in frozen curved topographies it has been determined that the local curvature acts as a geometric potential that strongly affects the motion of defects [19,63].

In order to analyze the dynamics of the system, here we track the motion of the particles and defects. Once the position of the particles (defects) was identified, the trajectories were determined through $\rho(r(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta[r - r_i(t)]$, where $r_i(t)$ is the position of the particle (defect) $i$ at time $t$. Figure 5 compares the time evolution of the average density of topological defects $\rho_{\text{def}}$ in flat and buckled systems. As expected, at short time scales, where the polycrystalline structure is defined, $\rho_{\text{def}}$ is approximately the same in both systems. However, at large time scales ($t > 100t_0$) $\rho_{\text{def}}$ in the buckled membrane is systematically higher than in flat crystals, indicating a slower mechanism of defect annihilation [64].

The role of the buckling onto the relaxational dynamics can also be analyzed by tracking the diffusion of the individual particles in the system. The dynamics of particle diffusion in 2D crystals with hexagonal symmetry has been studied in colloidal systems. The diffusion coefficient $D(r)$ of particles in a 2D crystal can be described by the Ornstein-Zernicke relationship [65].

FIG. 5. (Color online) Diffusion coefficient (squares) and dislocation density (triangles) as a function of time in flat and buckled membranes. In the buckled membrane, both disclination unbinding and CN interactions slow down the dynamics of coarsening below the Lifshitz-Safran regime. Inset: $g_{60}(r)$ over the reference plane $h = 0$ as function of $r$ at early (lines) and long times (symbols).
systems on different geometries [18,63]. Taking into account the free diffusion of particles at short times and approximating the restoring potential between near neighbor particles by a circularly symmetric harmonic interaction $W(r) = \frac{1}{2}k_s r^2$ centered about each particle equilibrium position ($k_s$ being an effective spring stiffness), the time dependence of the average mean square displacement of the particles $\langle \Delta s^2 \rangle$ can be described by the following two-parameter model [18,65]:

$$\langle \Delta s^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{k_s}{\beta} + \frac{1}{4D} \right)^2.$$  

(6)

Here $D$ is the diffusion constant and $\beta = 1/k_BT$ is the thermal energy [66]. Figure 5 also shows the time evolution of $\langle \Delta s^2 \rangle$ in flat and buckled membranes. A standard regression fit through the data for $\langle \Delta s^2 \rangle$ yields $D = 3.010^{-5}a_0^2$ and $k_s = k_s^F = 14\beta/a_0^2$ for the flat crystal and $D = 2.510^{-5}a_0^2$ and $k_s = k_s^B = 24\beta/a_0^2$ for the buckled membrane. Thus, the strength of the harmonics traps involved in the long time relaxation of the buckled membrane are very large as compared with the flat counterpart ($k_s^B/k_s^F \sim 1.7$) while the diffusion coefficient becomes about 20% smaller, in agreement with the results for the dynamics of defects. Note that here the nature of the interactions responsible for the slowing down is completely different.

The inset of Fig. 5 shows the azimuthally averaged correlation function $g_0(r) = \langle \exp(i\theta(r + r') - \theta(r')) \rangle$, defined in terms of the local bond orientation $\theta(r)$ [55]. Consistently with the data for $\langle \Delta s^2 \rangle$ and $\rho_{\text{def}}$, we clearly observe that the orientational dynamics is slower in the membrane (at long times the orientational correlation length is about 40% smaller than in the flat crystal).

Thus, while in flat systems the dynamics becomes slow as a consequence of the pinning of triple points, in crystalline membranes the pinning of positive disclinations and Carraro-Nelson interactions control the dynamics.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, the early dynamics of buckling is led by the positive disclinations. The coupling between the the topography of the membrane and the defects induces the pinning of positive disclinations and the formation of metastable structures of defects, stabilized by CN interactions. Consequently, the relaxational dynamics of the system becomes even slower than the Lifshitz-Safran regime observed in flat crystals. The Brazovskii-Helfrich-Canham approach described here provides a general framework for studying the dynamics of topological defects in crystalline membranes, and can be straightforwardly extended to crystalline phases with different symmetries.
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[60] Here the maximum membrane deformation $h = h_{\text{max}}$ remains relatively small ($h_{\text{max}} < 2a_0$). Then, $\gamma(x)$ provides a good approximation to determine the CN interactions.
[66] Similarly to previous works [18,65], here we also observe that the structural contribution to $\langle \Delta x^2 \rangle$ is very small.