

## Population and Community Ecology

# Egg Hatching and Survival of Immature Stages of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) Under Natural Temperature Conditions During the Cold Season in Buenos Aires, Argentina

María Sol De Majo,<sup>1</sup> Pedro Montini, and Sylvia Fischer

Instituto de Ecología, Genética y Evolución de Buenos Aires, Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires. 4to piso, Pabellón 2, Ciudad Universitaria, 1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina (msdemajo@ege.fcen.uba.ar; pedro.montini@hotmail.com.ar; sylvia@ege.fcen.uba.ar), and <sup>1</sup>Corresponding author, e-mail: msdemajo@ege.fcen.uba.ar

Received 18 April 2016; Accepted 1 August 2016

## Abstract

In temperate regions, the seasonal dynamics of *Aedes aegypti* (L.) (Diptera: Culicidae) is mainly influenced by temperature. It is assumed that, during the winter season, the population remains as eggs and that the development and population growth of surviving eggs begin during the following spring. The aim of the current study was to assess egg hatching of *Ae. aegypti* during the winter in Buenos Aires city (Argentina), and analyze the survival of immature stages. The experiments consisted of immersing eggs and studying the development of immature stages of cohorts from June and September under natural temperature conditions. The proportion of hatched eggs was compared between weeks of immersion and related to environmental variables. Survival was compared among cohorts and the development rate was related to the mean temperature during development. The results showed that, with few exceptions, egg hatching was over 45% during the winter period. The proportion of hatched eggs was positively associated with immersion temperature, pre-immersion temperature and photoperiod. The immature stages completed the development during the cold season, with a trend toward increased survival of late-hatching cohorts. Survival was 30% at 13.2°C and above 90% at 20°C, whereas the development time at low temperatures was 49.4 d at 13.2°C and 17.7 d at 20°C. The high hatching and survival compared with other studies suggest that the local population might be adapting to winter conditions. The anticipated emergence of adults would be adaptive if they are able to reproduce successfully in the early spring.

**Key words:** unfavorable condition, temperate region, overwintering, survival

*Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) is a mosquito species of tropical origin that has a worldwide distribution, ranging from tropical to temperate regions. In tropical and subtropical regions, *Ae. aegypti* has been extensively studied because it is the main vector of several viral diseases, including dengue fever, chikungunya, Zika and yellow fever (Gubler 2004, Barret and Higgs 2007, Vega-Rúa et al. 2014, Rodriguez-Morales 2015). In contrast, in temperate regions like Buenos Aires (Argentina), this mosquito species has been much less studied because research started after its reintroduction less than 30 years ago (Campos 1993, Junín et al. 1995). The first studies in Buenos Aires analyzed predominantly the population dynamics and its relationship with environmental and climatic conditions (Campos and Maciá 1996, Micieli et al. 2006, Carbajo et al. 2004, Vezzani et al. 2004, De Majo et al. 2013). However, in temperate Argentina, the vector is abundant and the risk of transmission of dengue virus is high. In fact, in the Metropolitan Area of Buenos

Aires, a total of 350 and 8,500 endemic cases of dengue were recorded in 2009 and 2016, respectively, during the epidemics recorded at the national level (Ministry of Health of Argentina 2010, 2016).

Although *Ae. aegypti* is associated with the domestic environment, its population dynamics is closely dependent on the climate regime. In temperate regions with moderate winters, such as New Orleans, Charleston, and Memphis in the USA, and Buenos Aires in Argentina, this species exhibits seasonal variations, with medium to high abundances of the active stages (immature and adult) only during part of the year, whereas during the winter, the populations remain in the egg stage (Eisen et al. 2014).

In Buenos Aires city, the population dynamics of *Ae. aegypti* is mainly modulated by temperature, and to a lesser extent by rainfall (Romeo Aznar et al. 2013). Oviposition activity has been recorded only during the warm season from October to May-June (Carbajo

et al. 2004), and the presence of few larvae has been recorded in the early winter, until the second week of July, but not during August and September (Vezzani et al. 2004). On this basis, it is assumed that, during the winter period, the hatching response and development of the active stages are restricted by the low temperatures; that the population remains as eggs; and that the development and population growth of the surviving eggs begin during the following spring. However, the results of some previous experiments have led us to question this scenario.

A study carried out by Bond et al. (1970) in a temperate zone (Mississippi, USA) showed that, in natural conditions, egg hatching occurred at low temperatures, and that mortality of larvae within the first 24 h at daily mean temperatures below 9.5°C reached 100%, while at daily mean temperatures between 9.5°C and 12°C, partial survival was recorded.

In Buenos Aires, during a study of the winter survival of eggs under natural conditions, 4.2% of the eggs hatched during the second half of August due to a short period of increased temperature (mean temperature 15.2°C) and another 3.8% hatched during the first half of September (mean temperature 12.9°C) before the beginning of spring (Byttebier, personal communication). Because the aim of the experimental design of that study was not to assess egg hatching, the results may have underestimated the proportion of eggs that may have hatched during the winter.

Among environmental variables, the temperatures during and before immersion are supposed to affect the hatching of *Ae. aegypti* eggs (Clements 1992, Vinogradova 2007). The results of a recent laboratory study with eggs from Buenos Aires have shown that more than 25% of eggs hatched with a constant immersion temperature of 12°C, and that a higher proportion of eggs hatched at 14°C and 16°C (in all cases the first larval stage remained alive during the first 24 h; Byttebier et al. 2014). This study also showed that eggs previously stored at warm temperatures in the laboratory and eggs previously stored under cold temperatures in natural conditions exhibited different predisposition to hatch, which could indicate that the temperature before immersion could influence the hatching process (Byttebier et al. 2014). Another variable that affects some Aedine mosquitoes is the photoperiod, which is involved in the induction of diapausing eggs. Along with temperature, photoperiod influences the hatching response of several mosquito species in temperate climates (Vinogradova 2007). However, the diapause phenomenon has not been documented in *Ae. aegypti* (Denlinger and Armbruster 2014).

In Buenos Aires city, the average monthly temperature during the winter months (June–August) is lower than 11.6°C, and due to daily fluctuations, average maximum temperatures reach 15.7°C in June, 15.4°C in July, and 17.1°C in August (National Meteorological Service 2014). Occasionally, periods with temperature increases for several days are recorded, but it is unclear whether hatching events occur during those periods or only in late winter, or whether larvae are able to survive the thermal conditions of the post-hatching weeks. In the case that the eggs hatch but larvae do not survive, winter hatchings could represent an additional factor of mortality of eggs, not covered in previous studies.

Some laboratory studies have shown that the lowest constant temperature with complete development of *Ae. aegypti* is 14°C, with a survival rate of 24%, and that at lower temperatures, larvae are unable to complete their development (Bar Zeev 1958, Rueda et al. 1990, Tun Lin et al. 2000). In Taiwan, Chang et al. (2007) observed that under natural conditions with average daily temperatures of 14–15°C, developmental survival was approximately 30%, whereas in Japan, Tsuda and Takagi (2001) found that with average

daily temperatures below 10°C, *Ae. aegypti* development could not be completed. To our knowledge, there are no studies of *Ae. aegypti* survival in the characteristic intermediate temperature range of Buenos Aires city.

The aim of the current study was to assess egg hatching of *Ae. aegypti* during winter in Buenos Aires, and to analyze the survival of immature stages and their ability to complete development.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Area

Buenos Aires city (34° 35' S, 58° 29' W), Argentina, is characterized by a temperate climate with a pronounced thermal seasonality. The mean temperature during the cold season is 11.5°C, whereas that in spring varies around 17°C, with cool mornings and nights. The rainfall events do not present seasonality: they are recorded regularly throughout the year, but are slightly lower between June and September, with a mean of eight monthly rainfall events, with an average 8.64 mm per event (National Meteorological Service 2014).

The study site was located in Mataderos, an urban neighborhood characterized by high survival of eggs in winter (Fischer et al. 2011) and by high oviposition activity in summer (De Majo et al. 2013).

The experiments were performed in the backyard of a family residence with 20 m<sup>2</sup> of ceramic floor, no roof, three 9-m-high walls, and a 2.20-m-high wall with glass windows. The backyard has sun during the afternoon and flowerpots with vegetation both on the floor and walls.

### Egg Collection and Conditioning

The eggs used in the experiments were collected with ovitraps, during four consecutive weeks from the third week of April to the second week of May in four neighborhoods within the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires (for more details on the collection method, see De Majo et al. 2013). Ovitrap were reconditioned weekly. Paddles with less than 20 eggs were left in the traps for another week, while those with 20 or more eggs were transported to the laboratory and replaced in the trap by new ones. All the eggs collected were assumed to correspond to *Ae. aegypti* because this is the only container breeding Aedine mosquito species in this region (Rubio et al. 2012).

The oviposition substrates were inspected under a stereoscopic microscope in the laboratory. The intact eggs were counted and the collapsed or hatched eggs were removed. Then, the substrates with eggs were fixed vertically by a clip in closed plastic containers (9.4-cm-high and 6.5-cm-wide) conditioned with wet filter paper and cotton to maintain air humidity. Plastic containers were stored outdoors under natural conditions and ambient temperature, at the experimental site, until the start of the experiments.

## Experimental Design

### Egg Hatching During the Cold Season

The experiment consisted in immersing eggs under natural conditions during the winter, to assess the hatching dynamics. Every week from June throughout September 2013, 19 paddles with a known number of eggs (a total of approximately 1,000 eggs per week) were immersed in 50-ml plastic Falcon tubes 12 cm in height and 3 cm in diameter, containing 40 ml of tap water that had been conditioned for two weeks at ambient temperature in an open plastic bucket, to allow organic matter collection and bacteria colonization. After this period, the water was placed in falcon tubes for immersing eggs.

The substrates were removed from the solution after 48 h, and the number of live and dead larvae in each tube was counted. The substrates were transferred to the laboratory, and intact eggs were counted under a stereoscopic microscope.

The substrates with eggs were acclimated in the laboratory under controlled conditions of temperature ( $24 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ ), humidity ( $\sim 80\%$ ), and a photoperiod of 12:12 (L:D) h for 5 d. After this, to assess the viability of the remaining eggs, the substrates were individually subjected to a strong hatching stimulus (immersion in a 0.25 g/liter yeast solution in dechlorinated water) during 7 h at  $24^\circ\text{C}$ . After this period, the substrates were removed, and the number of hatched larvae and the number of unhatched remaining eggs were counted. Chorions of the few unhatched eggs were bleached with a commercial 50% sodium hypochlorite solution to allow direct observation of the embryos. Embryos that were yellow-brown or red-brown were considered nonviable (McHaffey and Harwood 1970). Completely collapsed eggs and eggs that contained nonviable embryos were considered dead, whereas eggs that either hatched or contained viable embryos were considered alive.

### Development of Immature Stages During the Cold Season

Following each event of egg immersion between June and September, immature development was studied under natural conditions. All larvae hatched within 48 h before the beginning of the experiments. Each week, one cohort consisting of three groups (replicates) of 20 larvae hatched in natural conditions and three groups of 20 larvae hatched in the laboratory were placed in a 220-ml cylindrical container with 135 ml of a nutrient solution. The containers were placed outdoors but protected from rainfall. The nutrient solution (37.5 mg of yeast per 1,000 ml of tap water conditioned for 48 h at ambient temperature) was changed three times a week, and each time, the larvae were counted and transferred to the new solution. **As our main question in this experiment was related to temperature conditions, optimal food conditions for *Ae. aegypti* were provided to avoid the confusing factor of food limitation.**

The pupae were transferred to individual containers fitted with a support to facilitate the resting of the newly emerged adults. The sex of the adults and time of adult emergence were recorded.

Hourly temperature was recorded during the experiments with a meteorological station placed in situ. In addition, the meteorological data collected in situ were corroborated with the data provided by the National Meteorological Service for Villa Ortúzar station, located at 8.16 km from the study site.

### Data Analyses

Mean daily temperature was calculated based on the hourly data from the meteorological station placed in the study site. Temperature data that could not be recorded during September because of malfunction of the station were estimated from hourly data from Villa Ortúzar station. To this end, the relationship between the temperature at the experimental site and that from Villa Ortúzar station was assessed with linear regressions stratified by time intervals with similar dynamics (24-h data were divided in four strata). The equations obtained were used to estimate the missing temperature data for the corresponding time interval.

### Egg Hatching During the Cold Season

The proportion of field-hatched eggs was calculated for each oviposition substrate by dividing the number of observed larvae by the

initial number of viable eggs. The hatching response in the field was compared between weeks of immersion with a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) using R software, Version 3.1.2 (R Core Team 2014), accessed through a user-friendly interface in InfoStat software (Di Rienzo et al. 2014). An observation-level random intercept variable was included in the model to account for overdispersion (Zuur et al. 2013). The binomial family with the logit link function was selected. Multiple comparisons were performed with Fisher's LSD test on ranks (Conover 1999), adjusting the significance of the test with the Holm–Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (Holm, 1979).

**For each immersion, the following variables were calculated: the mean temperature of 48 h during immersion (immersion temperature), the mean temperature of 96 h before the immersion (pre-immersion temperature), the mean temperature of 15 d before pre-immersion temperature (acclimatization temperature), and the daily numbers of hours with light (photoperiod).** The periods of the pre-immersion temperature and acclimatization temperature were defined after previous exploration of the data.

The relationship between egg hatching and pre-immersion temperature, immersion temperature, acclimatization temperature, and photoperiod was analyzed with a logistic regression analysis. The dependent categorical variable was the hatching response of each egg (hatched = 1, not hatched = 0). The logistic regression was performed by the sequential method, starting with the full model, and deleting variables one-by-one until all variables in the model had significant contributions at a 0.05 probability level.

### Development of Immature Stages During the Cold Season

For each replica of each cohort, survival during development was estimated as the number of adults at the end of the experiments divided by the number of initial larvae. Development time was estimated from the first-instar larvae to adult stage, and the mean development time of each cohort was calculated for each replica. In addition, the mean temperature from the beginning of each cohort to the emergence of adults was calculated for each replica.

Survival was compared among cohorts with a GLMM. The factors considered were cohort (16 levels) and hatching site (two levels: field or laboratory). The binomial family with the logit link function was selected. To assess significant differences, post-hoc comparisons among cohorts were made with Fisher's LSD test on ranks, adjusting the significance of the test with the Holm–Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

The proportion of females was estimated as the number of females at the end of the experiments divided by the total number of adults. The association between proportion of females and survival was analyzed with Pearson correlation.

The association between survival of each replica and the mean temperature during development was analyzed with Spearman rank correlations because of the nonlinear relationship. The average survivals for different temperature intervals ( $1^\circ\text{C}$  amplitude from  $13^\circ\text{C}$  to  $21^\circ\text{C}$ ) were calculated. The relationship between the development rate ( $Dr = 1/\text{Development time}$ ) under field conditions and mean temperature ( $T^\circ\text{m}$ ) was analyzed with a linear regression of the form  $Dr = a + b T^\circ\text{m}$ , as the temperatures in our experiment were within the temperature range for which a linear relationship is expected (Couret et al. 2014).

To assess whether the hatching response intensity was related to developmental success, the relationship between the proportion

of hatched eggs and survival was analyzed with a Pearson correlation.

## Results

### Meteorological Data

Pre-immersion temperature varied from 8.77°C in July (fourth week) to 20.38°C in September (first week). Immersion temperature was generally higher than pre-immersion temperature until the end of August, except in the third week of June, the fourth week of July, and the second week of August. The higher thermal amplitude (difference between maximum and minimum temperatures) was recorded from the second week of August up to the second week of September. The maximum temperatures were recorded during the third week of August and the second week of September, while the minimum temperatures were recorded during the last week of June, the fourth week of July, and the fourth week of August. The photoperiod increased gradually from a minimum of 9 h and 50 min at the beginning of the experiment up to 12 h and 26 min at the beginning of spring (Fig. 1).

### Egg Hatching During the Cold Season

Eggs of *Ae. aegypti* hatched during the whole winter period. The proportion of dead larvae after 48 h was less than 1% throughout the study period.

The hatching response was significantly different between weeks (GLMM: chi-square = 242.55,  $df = 15$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ,  $n = 19$ ), although a great heterogeneity between substrates within the same week was observed. During the winter (June, July and August), the hatching response was relatively high, with median values exceeding 45%, except for three weeks with lower hatching response (12, 5, and 22%) that coincided with the lowest temperatures (mean temperature lower than 12°C). However, during September, the median hatching response exceeded 85% (Fig. 2), in coincidence with the temperature increase during this month. The logistic regression analysis showed a positive relationship between egg hatching and immersion temperature, pre-immersion temperature, and photoperiod (Table 1), and a nonsignificant relationship with acclimatization temperature.

In laboratory conditions, 96.15% of the remaining eggs hatched at 24°C. Among the 190 eggs that did not hatch after the field or laboratory stimuli, 137 were alive.

### Development of Immature Stages During the Cold Season

In all replicas of all cohorts, some individuals completed the development. However, differences in survival between different cohorts were observed, with a trend toward increased survival of late-hatching cohorts.

Survival during development was significantly affected by the cohort (GLMM:  $df = 15$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), but not by the hatching site ( $df = 1$ ,  $P = 0.33$ ) or interaction between both variables ( $df = 15$ ,  $P = 0.07$ ). Lowest survival (less than 35%) was observed in cohorts started on June and on the second and third week of July. Intermediate survival (51 and 59%) was recorded for the cohorts started in the first and the fourth week of July, respectively. Furthermore, higher survival (60–80%) was observed between the fifth week of July up to the second week of September. In the cohorts started on the fourth and fifth weeks of September, survival was significantly higher than that of most of the previous cohorts, with values exceeding 90% (Fig. 3).

Survival was positively associated to mean temperature within the temperature range analyzed (Spearman  $r = 0.79$ ,  $n = 96$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), involving a gradual nonlinear increase from about 30% survival at 13.2°C to above 90% survival at 18–20°C (Fig. 4a).

The average development times ranged from about 49.4 d at 13.2°C to about 17.7 d at 20°C (Fig. 4b). The development rate (Dr) under field conditions was related to the mean temperature during development ( $T^m$ ) by the equation:

$$Dr = -0.05037 + 0.00535 T^m.$$

In addition, the proportion of females showed a significant and negative relationship with survival ( $r = -0.624$ ,  $df = 16$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ), with a range from 0.33 to 0.59 at high survival rates, and from 0.44 to 0.78 at low survival rates.

The lowest survival values (16 and 23%) corresponded to cohorts of intermediate egg hatching values (51 and 62%), whereas the highest survival (93%) corresponded to cohorts with high egg hatching values (88 and 94%). However, the relationship between




Fig. 1. Pre-immersion temperature ( $T^p$ ) and immersion temperature ( $T^i$ ), maximum and minimum temperatures during immersion (area), and photoperiod (P) for each of the 16 cohorts studied.




Fig. 2. Weekly proportion of hatched eggs during the cold season. The same letters indicate weeks of immersion with no significant differences.

**Table 1.** Logistic regression analysis of the proportion of hatched eggs during the cold season

Variables	OR (95% CI)	P-value
Immersion temperature	1.13 (1.12–1.15)	<0.0001
Pre-immersion temperature	1.19 (1.16–1.21)	<0.0001
Photoperiod	1.93 (1.83–2.03)	<0.0001

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

the proportion of hatched eggs and survival was only marginally significant ( $r = 0.479$ ,  $df = 16$ ,  $P = 0.062$ ).

## Discussion

The proportion of hatched eggs during the winter period suggests that a relatively high proportion of eggs immersed in water can hatch even when mean temperatures are below 12°C. This seems to be contrary to expectations based on results of previous studies where no hatching at temperatures lower than 12°C was reported (Christophers 1960). However, other studies, such as that of Bond et al. (1970), obtained results similar to those of the current study. Considering that both Bond's study and ours were conducted under natural conditions with varying temperatures, it is possible that hatching occurs during the day, when the temperature exceeds the hatching threshold. In our study, when the average temperatures during immersion were lower than 12°C, mean maximum temperatures reached 14.8°C in June, 12°C in July, 17°C and 18°C in August, and 14°C in September.

Results showed a high variability in egg hatching between weeks, with increased hatching from June to September, related to increases of immersion temperature, pre-immersion temperature, and photoperiod. These results are consistent with previous studies where the


hatching rate increases when the mean temperature before and during the immersion increases (Christophers 1960, Monteiro et al. 2007, Bytтеbier et al. 2014).

The higher proportion of egg hatching in the second immersion in laboratory conditions than at lower temperatures in the field suggests that although eggs were potentially able to hatch, they did not under less favorable environmental conditions, and thus remain available for future immersion events with more favorable conditions.


In this study, we documented variable proportions of eggs hatching from June through September. Nevertheless, our results do not allow estimating the proportion of the egg bank remaining after the winter, as our design included only a single hatching stimulus in the field each week. Future studies should assess how successive flooding events determined by the frequency and quantity of water supply (due to rainfall or human behavior) determine cumulative hatching response during unfavorable periods.

Regarding the survival of immature stages of *Ae. aegypti*, our results indicate that a significant proportion of individuals reach the adult stage regardless of the time of hatching. However, survival increased for the latest cohorts, associated with the rise of temperature from winter to spring in Buenos Aires city.

The higher proportion of females in cases with lower survival suggests that mortality due to unfavorable thermal conditions would act primarily on males. To our knowledge, such a pattern has not been reported previously, and the implications for the populations are not clear. The nonsignificant relationship between the hatching response and adult survival indicates a limited ability of eggs to anticipate favorable environmental conditions during the following weeks. One of the most reliable environmental signals to anticipate subsequent conditions is the photoperiod, as it has a predictable pattern and, unlike temperature, is not subjected to variability (Danks 2007). Although we found a positive association between hatching and increasing photoperiod, our results do not allow concluding a causal relationship. To our knowledge, no studies have assessed the role of the photoperiod in the hatching response of *Ae. aegypti* eggs, although it has been demonstrated that this variable may affect



**Fig. 3.** Proportion of adults that emerged from eggs hatched during the cold season (winter and early spring). The same letters indicate weeks of immersion with no significant differences.



**Fig. 4. a)** Association between survival and mean temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ). The line represents the average survival for different temperature ranges. **b)** Relationship between development time (days) and mean temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) for each cohort. The line represents predicted values based on parameters obtained for the development rate.

other stages of development. For example, the photoperiod during the larval stage affects the survival of females (Costanzo et al. 2015).

The results of our study showed a survival rate higher than that observed in other studies. At  $14\text{--}15^{\circ}\text{C}$ , a survival range of 40–80% was observed in our study, as compared with the 30% recorded in Taiwan (Chang et al., 2007). In our experiments, survival also exceeded that reported by studies at constant temperatures. For example, survival ranged from 3% to 23% at  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Rueda et al., 1990; Tun Lin et al., 2000), and 24% at  $14^{\circ}\text{C}$  (Bar Zeev, 1958). In all these studies, larvae were unable to complete their development below  $14^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Furthermore, the development times at low temperatures in our study (40.8 d at  $14^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 33.5 d at  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and 28.5 d at  $16^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) were lower than those observed in previous studies at constant temperatures (60 d at  $14^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 39.7 d at  $15^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and 34.12 d at  $16^{\circ}\text{C}$ ; Bar Zeev 1958, Tun Lin et al. 2000).

These results, high survival and lower development times at low temperatures compared with previous studies, suggest that the local population might be adapting to winter conditions in Buenos Aires. Thus, the emergence of the first cohorts of adults earlier in the spring would allow for the anticipation of the reproductive season if the adults survive and females complete the gonotrophic cycle.

It should be noted that, as in previous studies, the survival and development time for immature stages of *Ae. aegypti* at low temperatures in this work were recorded under optimal conditions of food availability. This means that our results may have overestimated the success during the larval development of *Ae. aegypti* at the low temperatures of the winter season in Buenos Aires, because the availability of food in the breeding habitats in nature may be lower than that provided in this study.

Furthermore, some concerns about temporal and spatial limitation of the study could arise. The comparison among 18 years of the temperature data, provided by the National Meteorological Service

for Buenos Aires, showed that the study period (June–September 2013) attained intermediate temperature values as compared with similar periods in other years, thus being representative of average conditions. On the other hand, no information is currently available about variability in temperatures between different neighborhoods or even between adjacent backyards. However, as our temperature data were taken in situ, the results could be extrapolated to similar thermal conditions.

**In conclusion, the hatching observed throughout the cold season and the high survival during development in June, July, and August suggest that development is possible during winter conditions in Buenos Aires. Further studies on survival, reproduction, and oviposition of adults under natural conditions during the winter and spring would help to understand how this response influences the population dynamics of *Ae. aegypti*.**

## Acknowledgments

We thank María Victoria Gonzalez Eusevi for English review. The Servicio Meteorológico Nacional provided the meteorological data from Villa Ortúzar station used in this study. This study was supported by grants UBACyT 20020130100778BA (Universidad de Buenos Aires), and PICT-2012-1254 (FONCYT). We are grateful for the comments of two anonymous reviewers that helped us to improve this manuscript.

## References Cited

- Barret, A. D., and S. Higgs. 2007. Yellow fever: A disease that has yet to be conquered. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 52: 209–229.
- Bar Zeev, M. 1958. The effect of temperature on the growth rate and survival of the immature stages of *Aedes aegypti* (L.). *Bull. Entomol. Res.* 49: 157–163.
- Bond, H. A., J. E. Keirans, and M. F. Babbitt. 1970. Environmental influences on the viability of overwintering *Aedes aegypti* (L.) eggs. *Mosq. News* 30: 528–533.
- Byttebier, B., M. S. De Majo, and S. Fischer. 2014. Hatching response of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) eggs at low temperatures: Effects of hatching media and storage conditions. *J. Med. Entomol.* 51: 97–103.
- Campos, R. E. 1993. Presencia de *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti* L. 1762 (Diptera: Culicidae) en la localidad de Quilmes, Provincia de Buenos Aires, República Argentina. *Rev. Soc. Entomol. Argent.* 52: 36.
- Campos, R. E., and A. Maciá. 1996. Observaciones biológicas de una población natural de *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) en la provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina. *Rev. Soc. Entomol. Argent.* 55: 67–72.
- Carbajo, A., S. M. Gomez, S. L. Curto, and N. Schweigmann. 2004. Variación espacio - temporal de riesgo de transmisión de dengue en la ciudad de Buenos Aires. *Medicina (Buenos Aires)* 64: 231–234.
- Chang, L. H., E. L. Hsu, H. J. Teng, and C. M. Ho. 2007. Differential survival of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae exposed to low temperatures in Taiwan. *J. Med. Entomol.* 44: 205–210.
- Christophers, R. 1960. *Aedes aegypti* (L.). The Yellow Fever Mosquito. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p. 739.
- Clements, A. N. 1992. The biology of mosquitoes, vol. 1. Development, nutrition and reproduction. Chapman & Hall, London, United Kingdom.
- Conover, W. J. 1999. *Practical Nonparametric Statistics*, 3rd Edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Costanzo, K. S., S. Schelble, K. Jerz, and M. Keenan. 2015. The effect of photoperiod on life history and blood-feeding activity in *Aedes albopictus* and *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Vector Ecol.* 40: 164–171.
- Couret, J., E. Dotson, and M. Q. Benedict. 2014. Temperature, larval diet, and density effects on development rate and survival of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *PLoS ONE* 9: e87468.
- Danks, H. V. 2007. The elements of seasonal adaptations in insects. *Canad. Entomol.* 139: 1–44.
- Denlinger, D. L., and P. A. Armbruster. 2014. Mosquito diapause. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 59: 73–93.
- De Majo, M. S., S. Fischer, M. Otero, and N. Schweigmann. 2013. Effects of thermal heterogeneity and egg mortality on differences in the population dynamics of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) over short distances in temperate Argentina. *J. Med. Entomol.* 50: 543–551.
- Di Rienzo, J. A., F. Casanoves, M. G. Balzarini, L. Gonzalez, M. Tablada, and C. W. Robledo. 2014. InfoStat version 2014. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. (<http://www.infostat.com.ar>)
- Eisen, L., A. J. Monaghan, S. Lozano-Fuentes, D. F. Steinhoff, M. H. Hayden, and P. E. Bieringer. 2014. The impact of temperature on the bionomics of *Aedes (Stegomyia) aegypti*, with special reference to the cool geographic range margins. *J. Med. Entomol.* 51: 496–516.
- Fischer, S., I. S. Alem, M. S. De Majo, R. E. Campos, and N. Schweigmann. 2011. Cold season mortality and hatching behavior of *Aedes aegypti* L. (Diptera: Culicidae) eggs in Buenos Aires City, Argentina. *J. Vector Ecol.* 36: 94–99.
- Gubler, D. J. 2004. The changing epidemiology of yellow fever and dengue, 1900 to 2003: Full circle? *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 27: 319–330.
- Holm, S. 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scan. J. Stat.* 6: 65–70.
- Junín, B., H. Grandinetti, J. M. Marconi, and R. U. Carcavallo. 1995. Vigilancia de *Aedes aegypti* (L) en la ciudad de Buenos Aires (Argentina). *Entomología y Vectores* 2: 71–75.
- McHaffey, D. G., and R. F. Harwood. 1970. Photoperiod and temperature influences on diapause in eggs of the floodwater mosquito *Aedes dorsalis* (Meigen) (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 7: 631–644.
- Miceli, M. V., J. J. García, M. F. Achinelli, and G. A. Martí. 2006. Population dynamics of the immature stages of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae), vector of dengue: A longitudinal study (1996-2000). *Int. J. Trop. Biol.* 54: 979–983.
- Ministry of Health of Argentina 2010. Situación del dengue en Argentina, primer semestre de 2009. Boletín epidemiológico periódico. Edición especial 2009. ([http://www.msal.gov.ar/saladesituacion/epidemiologia\\_boletines.php](http://www.msal.gov.ar/saladesituacion/epidemiologia_boletines.php), accessed 12 August 2016).
- Ministry of Health of Argentina 2016. Boletín integrado de vigilancia. N° 312, Semana epidemiológica 22. (<http://www.msal.gov.ar/index.php/home/boletin-integrado-de-vigilancia>, accessed 12 August 2016).
- Monteiro, L.C.C., J.R.B. De Souza, and C. M. De Albuquerque. 2007. Eclosion rate, development and survivorship of *Aedes albopictus* (Skuse) (Diptera: Culicidae) under different water temperatures. *Neotrop. Entomol.* 36: 966–971.
- National Meteorological Service (SMN). 2014. El invierno en la ciudad de Buenos Aires. (<http://www.smn.gov.ar/serviciosclimaticos/clima/archivo/invierno.pdf>, accessed 12 August 2016).
- R Core Team. 2014. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. (<http://www.R-project.org/>, accessed 12 August 2016).
- Rodriguez-Morales, A. J. 2015. Chikungunya virus infection: Ecoepidemiological considerations of a new threat for Latin America. *One Health Newsletter* 8: 7–9.
- Romeo Aznar, V., M. J. Otero, M. S. De Majo, S. Fischer, and H. G. Solari. 2013. Modeling the complex hatching and development of *Aedes aegypti* in temperate climates. *Ecol. Model.* 253: 44–55.
- Rubio, A., M. I. Bellocq, and D. Vezzani. 2012. Community structure of artificial container-breeding sites (Insecta: Diptera) in relation to the urbanization level. *Landsc. Urban Plan* 105: 288–295.
- Rueda, L. M., K. J. Patel, R. C. Axtell, and R. E. Stinner. 1990. Temperature-dependent development and survival rates of *Culex quinquefasciatus* and *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J. Med. Entomol.* 27: 892–898.
- Tsuda, Y., and M. Takagi. 2001. Survival and development of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* (Diptera: Culicidae) larvae under a seasonally changing environment in Nagasaki, Japan. *Environ. Entomology* 30: 855–860.
- Tun Lin, W., T. R. Burkot, and B. H. Kay. 2000. Effects of temperature and larval diet on development rates and survival of the dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* in north Queensland, Australia. *Med. Vet. Entomol.* 14: 31–37.

- Vega-Rúa, A., K. Zouache, R. Girod, A. B. Failloux, and R. Lourenço-de-Oliveira. 2014. High level of vector competence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from ten American countries as a crucial factor in the spread of chikungunya virus. *J. Virol.* 88: 6294–6306.
- Vezzani, D., S. M. Velázquez, and N. Schweigmann. 2004. Seasonal pattern of abundance of *Aedes aegypti* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Buenos Aires City, Argentina. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz.* 99: 351–356.
- Vinogradova, E. B. 2007. Diapause in aquatic insects, with emphasis on mosquitoes, pp. 83–113. In V. R. Alekseev, B. T. DeStasio, and J. J. Gilbert (eds.), *Diapause in aquatic invertebrates: Theory and human use*. Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Zuur, A. F., J. M. Hilbe, and E. N. Ieno. 2013. *A Beginner's Guide to GLM and GLMM with R*. Highland Statistics Ltd, United Kingdom, p. 253.