

MINIREVIEW

New yeasts—new brews: modern approaches to brewing yeast design and development

B. Gibson^{1,*}, J.-M. A. Geertman², C. T. Hittinger³, K. Krogerus^{1,4,†}, D. Libkind⁵, E. J. Louis⁶, F. Magalhães^{1,4,‡} and J. P. Sampaio⁷

¹VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd, Tietotie 2, PO Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT, Espoo, Finland,

²Heineken Supply Chain, Global Research & Development, 2382 PH Zoeterwoude, The Netherlands,

³Laboratory of Genetics, Genome Center of Wisconsin, DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center, Wisconsin Energy Institute, J. F. Crow Institute for the Study of Evolution, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53705, USA, ⁴Department of Biotechnology and Chemical Technology, Aalto University, School of Chemical Technology, Kemistintie 1, Aalto, PO Box 16100, FI-00076 Espoo, Finland, ⁵Laboratorio de Microbiología Aplicada, Biotecnología y Bioinformática de Levaduras, Instituto Andino Patagónico de Tecnologías Biológicas y Geoambientales, IPATEC (CONICET-UNComahue), Centro Regional Universitario Bariloche, Bariloche, Río Negro, Argentina, ⁶Centre for Genetic Architecture of Complex Traits, Department of Genetics, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK and ⁷UCIBIO-REQUIMTE, Departamento de Ciências da Vida, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

*Corresponding author: VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd, Tietotie 2, PO Box 1000, FI-02044 VTT, Espoo, Finland. Tel: +358407609291;

E-mail: brian.gibson@vtt.fi

One sentence summary: A diverse range of yeasts have been selected or designed in recent years for brewing applications. Key developments are reviewed here.

Editor: John Morrissey

[†]K. Krogerus, <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7694-8277>

[‡]F. Magalhães, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7939-9186>

ABSTRACT

The brewing industry is experiencing a period of change and experimentation largely driven by customer demand for product diversity. This has coincided with a greater appreciation of the role of yeast in determining the character of beer and the widespread availability of powerful tools for yeast research. Genome analysis in particular has helped clarify the processes leading to domestication of brewing yeast and has identified domestication signatures that may be exploited for further yeast development. The functional properties of non-conventional yeast (both *Saccharomyces* and non-*Saccharomyces*) are being assessed with a view to creating beers with new flavours as well as producing flavoursome non-alcoholic beers. The discovery of the psychrotolerant *S. eubayanus* has stimulated research on *de novo* *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybrids for low-temperature lager brewing and has led to renewed interest in the functional importance of

Received: 7 April 2017; Accepted: 1 June 2017

© FEMS 2017. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

hybrid organisms and the mechanisms that determine hybrid genome function and stability. The greater diversity of yeast that can be applied in brewing, along with an improved understanding of yeasts' evolutionary history and biology, is expected to have a significant and direct impact on the brewing industry, with potential for improved brewing efficiency, product diversity and, above all, customer satisfaction.

Keywords: beer; yeast; flavour

INTRODUCTION

Saccharomyces cerevisiae may be considered the perfect model of a model organism. Its short replication time, simple cultivation, sporulation efficiency, rare pathogenicity and small genome size (6000 genes) have made it an ideal research organism and placed it at the forefront of many scientific advances. The species has been used to study medicine (Mager and Winderickx 2005), evolution (Voordeckers and Verstrepen 2015) and population genomics (Liti et al. 2009). Engineered strains are also being used in the production of pharmaceuticals and other important chemicals (Borodina and Nielsen 2014). The *S. cerevisiae* curriculum vitae includes an impressive list of firsts: first eukaryotic organism to have its genome sequenced (Goffeau et al. 1996), first genetically modified organism (GMO) approved for a food application (Aldhous 1990), and first synthetic eukaryotic chromosome (Annaluru et al. 2014). The species is also on its way to being the first eukaryote to have its genome recreated synthetically (Richardson et al. 2017).

In the midst of such credentials, it is easy to overlook the primary biotechnological function of *S. cerevisiae* and its close relatives: food and beverage production. Products resulting from yeast metabolic activity include not only bread and fermented beverages like beer and wine but also chocolate, coffee and various other foods (Avallone et al. 2001; Ardhana and Fleet 2003; Batista et al. 2015). These products would not exist, or would exist in an inferior form, without yeasts being involved in the production process. It must also be pointed out that *S. cerevisiae* would not enjoy its elevated status as a model organism if not for its primary role in food production. Pasteur and his contemporaries in the 19th century significantly advanced our understanding of microbiology, fermentation and biochemistry through their studies of yeasts (Barnett and Lichtenthaler 2001). However, the initial impetus for such research was more prosaic: how to prevent the spoilage of wine and beer (Pasteur 1866, 1876). Indeed, for some time many fundamental scientific breakthroughs were made in the course of applied research on industrial yeasts. The brewing industry, in particular, was an early supporter and benefactor of such research. Important advances in yeast taxonomy, biochemistry and genetics, as well as in the development of practical techniques, such as preparation of single-cell cultures, were made in brewery laboratories (Barnett and Lichtenthaler 2001). Given the brewing industry's enthusiasm for yeast research, it is perhaps surprising to note that most yeast strains currently used in the production of beer have not undergone any form of intentional development to improve their performance. Strains that possess superior properties likely acquired them in the preceding centuries as they were domesticated.

The brewing industry is a traditional one, and despite industrialisation and modernisation, the process of producing beer is not fundamentally different to that practised prior to industrialisation. The retention of particular strains to produce particular beers is one aspect of this respect for traditional brewing practices. The industry has also had to be sensitive to the wishes of consumers, especially with regard to the use of GMO. The pub-

lic's scepticism regarding GMO use in food is complex and related to a number of factors, including mistrust of big business, fear of possible health implications, and perception of GMO as being unnatural (Lusk, Roosen and Bieberstein 2014). Since beer is a natural product, many consumers feel less comfortable with the application of GM technology in brewing than in the production of other processed foods (Tenbült et al. 2008). Despite considerable optimism in the early years, and real potential for improvement of fermentation efficiency and product quality, GM yeasts have never been used in commercial brewing (Boulton 2015), though they have been used in the wine industry in the US following approval (Volschenk et al. 2004).

However, in the age of accessible and affordable genome analysis, we now have a greater insight into brewing yeast biology and evolution than at any time in the past. New tools have provided us with an improved understanding of the biological processes occurring in brewing yeasts and during brewer's fermentation, enabling researchers and developers to make improvements without compromising the 'natural' state of the yeasts. Such approaches include the selection of appropriate brewing strains; the use of alternative yeasts, including non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts; the generation of new intra- and interspecies hybrids; and harnessing the adaptability of genomes to maximise pre-existing traits. Such approaches are expected to offer real benefits to both the brewer and the customer in the future through improved resource efficiency and greater product diversity. We provide here an overview of recent brewing yeast developments and the technologies that have facilitated these advances.

ALE YEAST GENOME ANALYSIS AS A TOOL TO AID SELECTION AND DEVELOPMENT

Ale and lager yeasts, also known as the top-fermenting and bottom-fermenting yeasts, respectively, are the two main types of brewing yeasts used. Ale yeasts give rise to diverse beers but, in spite of the differences of the final product, most ale-brewing strains belong to *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Lager yeasts are assigned to *S. pastorianus* and are allopolyploid hybrids of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. eubayanus* (Dunn and Sherlock 2008; Nakao et al. 2009; Libkind et al. 2011). Given that lager yeasts are responsible for more than 90% of the beer produced worldwide, much more attention has been given to them. Until recently, little was known about the phylogenetic relationships of the ale yeast strains used to ferment different types of beers, as well as their relationships with non-brewing strains of *S. cerevisiae*.

A first indication that wine and ale beer strains were genetically distinct was provided by microsatellite markers (Legras et al. 2007). Using complete genome sequences, Gallone et al. (2016) and Gonçalves et al. (2016) investigated a comprehensive collection of ale-type beer yeasts and showed that they were fundamentally distinct from other industrially relevant strains of *S. cerevisiae*. Ale-type strains were grouped in a main cluster that included various types of German, British, Belgian and American beers. However, beer strains were also found to cluster

in the wine, bread and sake clades, as well as in an independent clade sister to the wine clade. Those studies also showed that beer yeasts have a high incidence of polyploidy and aneuploidy and, probably as a consequence of this, limited or no sporulation ability. Genome analyses and large-scale phenotyping of industry-specific traits revealed domestication signatures of ale-brewing yeasts. For example, ale-type strains show a significantly greater capacity to metabolise maltotriose (Gallone et al. 2016). Another characteristic that appears to have been selected during brewing yeast domestication is for reduced production of phenolic off flavours (POF). Population genomics showed the acquisition of distinct inactivating mutations through convergent evolution during domestication (Gallone et al. 2016; Gonçalves et al. 2016), giving rise to the POF-negative phenotype. Since, POFs are desired flavour components for some beer styles, such as the Bavarian wheat beers and some Belgian beers, strains that ferment these beer types have functional *PAD1* and *FDC1* genes, which represents the ancestral state seen in wild strains and other industrial lineages, such as wine yeasts (Gonçalves et al. 2016).

Marker-assisted breeding is a strategy of molecularly tracking genes known to control traits. The organisms themselves are not GM; instead, molecular methods are merely used to help breeders predict which progeny will have desired traits. Breeders can then limit the resources for detailed phenotypic characterisations to strains already known to contain desired genetic variants. This strategy is frequently used in crop and livestock breeding, and Gallone et al. (2016) recently demonstrated that it is possible to efficiently select superior segregants from intraspecific hybrids in large-scale yeast breeding schemes.

ALTERNATIVE YEAST FOR ALTERNATIVE BEERS

Saccharomyces eubayanus

Saccharomyces eubayanus, the latest addition to the genus *Saccharomyces*, was originally found in South America, Argentina (Libkind et al. 2011). Since then, there have been a number of isolations elsewhere, including North America (Peris et al. 2014, 2016), East Asia (Bing et al. 2014) and New Zealand (Gayevskiy and Goddard 2016) but, interestingly, not yet from Europe. So far, five genetic populations have been detected, two in South America (Peris et al. 2014, 2016) and three in Asia, in Tibet, Sichuan and western China (Bing et al. 2014), although the latter have enough genetic differences to be potentially considered a subspecies or a different variety. Interestingly, one population from the Tibetan plateau and a few strains from USA show the closest genetic similarity to the *S. eubayanus* portion of *S. pastorianus* (with ca. 99.8% sequence similarity based on comparative genomics) (Peris et al. 2016). However, no known extant strain seems to be the direct ancestor of lager-brewing yeasts. Given that no natural populations of *S. eubayanus* have been detected hitherto in Europe, it has been suggested that the non-*S. cerevisiae* subgenome of lager yeast is of Asian origin (Bing et al. 2014). However, genomic studies have shed new light on this issue, suggesting that a primary dispersal from South America into the Holarctic may be more likely based on the relative diversities of the Holarctic and one of the two Patagonian subpopulations and the confinement of a signature of recent demographic expansion to the Tibetan subpopulation (Peris et al. 2016). A yet-undiscovered European population of *S. eubayanus* is likely to exist and was probably involved in the original hybridisation event (or events) that gave rise to the lager yeast. Ongoing efforts to reveal *S. eubayanus*

distribution and occurrence in Patagonia (Argentina and Chile) have yielded over 200 isolates sorted in at least five local lineages, and the region is characterised by the highest (by 10-fold) natural occurrence of this yeast when compared to other geographic areas (unpublished results).

The discovery of *S. eubayanus*, the non-*S. cerevisiae* parent of the lager-brewing yeasts, occurred at a time when there existed in the beer market a growing demand for innovative products and a need to deliver to the customer more complex, or at least different, beer flavours. Thus, almost immediately after the strains of *S. eubayanus* became available, studies aimed at elucidating their brewing potential were initiated. The brewing properties of *S. eubayanus* have been so far only studied in the type strain of the species. For example, Gibson et al. (2013) found it to outperform most lager strains when cultured at low temperatures (10°C) in 2% glucose or maltose laboratory media. Similarly, Walther, Hesselbart and Wendland (2014) showed that, even in synthetic media at 20°, *S. eubayanus* was still competitive with respect to growth rates when compared to several brewing strains. In line with the psychrotolerant nature of *S. eubayanus*, its performance in lab conditions significantly diminished when it was grown at temperatures $\geq 25^\circ\text{C}$ (Walther, Hesselbart and Wendland 2014; Mertens et al. 2015). In brewing wort, regardless of the fermenting temperature, *S. eubayanus* performed poorly, producing less ethanol than lager strains (Gibson et al. 2013; Krogerus et al. 2015; Mertens et al. 2015).

Sugar uptake is one of the major bottlenecks limiting the application of *S. eubayanus* to beer production; specifically, it is unable to ferment maltotriose (Gibson et al. 2013; Krogerus et al. 2015). Maltotriose uptake is a common and desirable trait of most lager yeasts because it is one of the major carbon sources in wort (Hough et al. 1982). The origin of maltotriose transporters in *S. pastorianus* is, however, still not clear. *MAL11/AGT1* has been proposed to encode the transporter responsible for maltotriose uptake in *S. cerevisiae*, although in *S. pastorianus*, these genes are not functional (Vidgren, Ruohonen and Londesborough 2005; Vidgren and Londesborough 2012; Cousseau et al. 2013). This observation led to the belief that the *lager-AGT1* (*SbAGT1* or *SeAGT1* in some references) and *MTT1/MTY1* were transmitted to the hybrid genome by the psychrotolerant parent. Both genes have higher similarity to genes from *S. cerevisiae* than from the *S. eubayanus*-type strain, although not high enough to allow for definitive conclusions (Baker et al. 2015). *MTT1* has already been found in distiller's and ale yeast and will likely be found to be of *S. cerevisiae* origin (Vidgren et al. 2010; Magalhães et al. 2016), while fragmentary sequences representing relatively close hits to *lager-AGT1* can be found in short reads deposited from a Tibetan and North American isolate of *S. eubayanus* (Bing et al. 2014; Hebly et al. 2015; Peris et al. 2016).

With regard to flavour production, *S. eubayanus* is characterised by a relatively modest production of acetate and ethyl esters and higher concentrations of fusel alcohols (Mertens et al. 2015), the latter of which are often described as having alcoholic and solvent-like aromas and, when present in high concentrations, are generally considered unpleasant in beer (Harrison 1970; Meilgaard 1982). Additionally, sensorial analysis of beers from *S. eubayanus* fermentations shows that they are characterised by the presence of strong sulphur-like flavours (Mertens et al. 2015), which normally reduce with maturation (lagering). Maybe the most characteristic flavour associated to *S. eubayanus* beers is the clove-like and/or smoky flavour derived mostly from 4-vinyl-guaiacol (4VG) (Mertens et al. 2015), occurring as a result of the decarboxylation of wort ferulic acid (Vanbeneden et al. 2008). Unlike most brewing strains, *S. eubayanus* has retained

functional forms of the *FDC1* and *PAD1* genes responsible for this conversion (Baker et al. 2015; Gallone et al. 2016; Gonçalves et al. 2016).

There are no studies yet on the mechanisms behind cold tolerance in *S. eubayanus*; however, this feature is likely to be governed by similar mechanisms as in other cold tolerant *Saccharomyces* species. In *S. uvarum*, groups of genes associated with cell wall mannoproteins, ribosomal stalk, translation elongation factors, and glycolysis underwent 'accelerated' evolution relative to *S. cerevisiae* (Gonçalves et al. 2011). In *S. kudriavzevii*, genes associated with glycerol and acetaldehyde metabolism were found to be involved in cold tolerance of this species, as well as a more efficient protein translation (Paget, Schwartz and Delneri 2014; García-Ríos, Querol and Guillamón 2016). These findings also hold to some extent for cold-adapted *S. cerevisiae* strains (Salvadó et al. 2016). Although the mechanisms for yeast tolerance to cold are still poorly understood, cold fermentation is the main feature that determines the sensorial properties of lager beer (Gibson and Liti 2015) and thus warrants further investigation.

Despite the weaker performance of *S. eubayanus* in wort fermentations, it does possess many traits advantageous for lager brewing, such as low-temperature growth (down to 4°C), efficient maltose use and production of desirable aroma compounds, which can be exploited for brewing innovation or can also be inherited when novel hybrids are created (Gibson et al. 2013; Hebly et al. 2015; Krogerus et al. 2015, 2016; Mertens et al. 2015). The first commercial product exclusively brewed with *S. eubayanus* has been recently released by Heineken in several countries. A strain collected close to San Carlos de Bariloche, Patagonia, Argentina (Libkind et al. 2011) was employed to brew a limited edition beer, the style of which has been referred as to 'wild lager'.

The brewing potential of other members of the *Saccharomyces* genus has yet to be explored. It may be that these species have limited ability to tolerate the stresses imposed during brewery fermentation or an inability to use wort sugars. Alternatively, the absence of certain species may be due to their geographical separation from traditional brewing areas. The discovery that *S. eubayanus* has the ability to ferment brewer's wort sets an interesting precedent, and it is likely that reports on the brewing potential of all members of the genus will soon be available. It is likely that these species, most of which have not undergone domestication, will possess traits similar to *S. eubayanus*, such as POF production and limited ability to utilise maltotriose. The *Saccharomyces* genus as a whole has received relatively little scientific attention compared to *S. cerevisiae*. This deficiency is likely to be redressed in the future with the growing realisation of its significance as a model genus (Hittinger 2013).

Non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in brewing

Unlike the wine industry, which values product variability arising from vintage, terroir and other factors, the brewing industry has traditionally placed a greater emphasis on consistency and stability. This is deemed essential for brand image and customer loyalty. Critical in this regard is brewery hygiene and the use of specific, pure starter cultures for fermentation. With few exceptions, non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in beer fermentations have been seen as detrimental to the brewing process due to associated problems related to beer turbidity, filterability, viscosity, POF, sourness and other flavour profile changes (Campbell 1996). However, attitudes in the industry may be changing, principally due to changing consumer tastes (Kellershohn and

Russell 2015). Increasing demand for traditional beer styles, alternative flavours and low-alcohol beers has stimulated research into the potential benefits of alternative yeasts (Saerens and Swiegers 2014b).

Volatile aroma compounds, in particular higher alcohols and esters, have a direct influence on beer quality. These compounds produced by yeasts during fermentation impart characteristic fruit and floral flavours to beer, and different aroma profiles can define particular beer styles and brands. The aromatic complexity of alcoholic beverages produced by spontaneous fermentation has been attributed to the presence of various yeast species, all of which may contribute to the final flavour profile. The functional potential of species belonging to the *Candida*, *Hanseniaspora*, *Issatchenkia*, *Kazachstania*, *Lachancea*, *Pichia*, *Schizosaccharomyces*, *Torulaspota*, *Wickerhamomyces*, *Williopsis* and *Zygosaccharomyces* genera, as well as *Saccharomyces* species other than *S. cerevisiae* has been demonstrated for wine (Jolly, Varela and Pretorius 2014; Pérez-Torrado, Barrio and Querol 2017; Varela and Borneman 2017). Individual species often produce high concentrations of particular flavour compounds, enabling them to add specific flavours to the product. *Kluyveromyces marxianus*, for example, produces relatively high levels of the rose-like flavours phenylethanol and 2-phenylethyl acetate (Carlquist et al. 2015). Other species, such as *Hanseniaspora* spp. and *Brettanomyces* spp., which are often found as contaminants in the brewing system, also produce high concentrations of these compounds (Fabre et al. 1995; Moreira et al. 2005; Garavaglia et al. 2007). Controlled use of these yeasts during wort fermentation may be a viable option when specific target flavours are required. *Torulaspota delbrueckii*, another common contaminant in the brewing environment has, for example, been shown to be capable of producing high levels of fruity amyl alcohol flavours (Michel et al. 2016) and is often associated with wheat beer, a style typically associated with pronounced fruit notes. *Torulaspota delbrueckii* has the added advantage of being resistant to the various stresses encountered during brewing, though individual strains vary in their ability to ferment wort sugars—a trait that may explain the variable performance observed in different studies (Tataridis et al. 2013; Canonico et al. 2016; Michel et al. 2016).

Many other non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts are unable to utilise all fermentable sugars in wort, and several studies have suggested the use of these yeasts as bioflavouring agents in co-culture fermentations with standard brewing yeast strains (Saerens and Swiegers 2014a; Canonico et al. 2016), with the objective of producing a full-strength beer with enhanced flavour. The validity of this approach has previously been demonstrated in the wine industry (Ciani et al. 2010; Viana et al. 2011; Ye, Yue and Yuan 2014; Dashko et al. 2015), including for *T. delbrueckii*, commercial preparations of which are available for this purpose. In the brewing industry, reluctance to utilise non-conventional yeasts is at least partly related to the limited control the brewer has over the organisms' fermentation performances. However, adding a yeast with only a limited ability to ferment the available sugars naturally ensures that said yeast does not dominate the process. Maintaining a complex community would be particularly important and challenging in the brewing industry where the same yeast batch is often repitched in subsequent fermentations.

Yeasts with limited abilities to utilise wort sugars but that produce typical concentrations of aroma compounds are particularly desirable for the production of low-alcohol and non-alcoholic beers. Indeed, the non-*Saccharomyces* species *Saccharomyces ludwigii* has been used commercially for this purpose for many years (Haehn and Glaubitz 1933; Huige, Sanchez and Leidig 1990). Other species considered for this purpose

include *Scheffersomyces shehatae* (formerly *Candida shehatae*) (Li, Liu and Zhang 2011), *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* (formerly *Pichia anomala*) (Walker 2011); *Pichia kluyveri* (Saerens and Swiegers 2014b) and *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* (De Francesco et al. 2015). Typically, production of alcohol-free beers involves either physical removal of alcohol from the beer or an arrested fermentation with the normal production yeast. In both cases, aroma compounds are low or absent due to their evaporation with the alcohol fraction in the former case and their lack of formation in the latter case (Brányik et al. 2012). The use of alternative, maltose-negative yeasts is therefore a useful way to produce low-alcohol beers that still retain some of the aromatic complexity of standard beers. Such yeasts will also reduce wort aldehydes, thereby removing the 'worty' taste that is often found in low-alcohol beers produced by arrested fermentation (Saison et al. 2010).

Increased demand for low-alcohol beers is one aspect of a general customer demand for more diversity in the beer market. This includes interest in beer styles that deviate from the standard flavour profile of mainstream lager beer. One such trend is a taste for sour beers. Acidic beer styles include the lambic group of beers from Belgium and the related coolship ales of North America, as well as the Berliner Weisse style found in northern Germany. Acidity in these beers is primarily due to lactic acid production by lactic acid bacteria (LAB), as well as in some cases acetic acid production by *Brettanomyces/Dekkera* spp. The complication of using mixed cultures in the brewery, especially where potential contaminants, such as LAB, can be avoided by using fermentative yeast species that naturally produce acids. One such species is *Lachancea thermotolerans*, a yeast that is used commercially in the wine industry to add acidity and freshness to the product. A recent report has suggested that this yeast is suitable for production of sour beers without the necessity of LAB inoculation (Domizio et al. 2016). Tested strains did not have the ability to utilise maltotriose, the second most abundant sugar in wort, but they were otherwise found to be suitable for single-culture beer fermentation. In particular, *L. thermotolerans* did not produce off flavours that would be expected with *Brettanomyces/Dekkera* spp., for example. *Hanseniaspora uvarum* is another yeast with acidifying power (in this case through the production of acetic acid) (Cabranes, Mangas and Blanco 1996). As the species is a common contaminant in brewery fermentations, it may be assumed that it is tolerant of the typical stresses encountered in the system and may also have potential for sour beer production.

Arguably, the most successful non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts involved in beer fermentation are the *Brettanomyces/Dekkera* species. These yeasts, particularly *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* and *B. anomala*, are essential in the production of lambic-style beers, where they contribute flavours that are not normally produced by *Saccharomyces*. In particular, volatile phenolic compounds and organic acids produced by *Brettanomyces* spp. can impart smoky, barnyard, spicy and medicinal flavours, collectively described as 'Brett' character and with the pleasantness determined by the concentration and consumer tastes (Steensels et al. 2015). Interestingly, the *Brettanomyces* spp. also have the ability to reveal masked flavours through their production of β -glucosidases. The primary function of these enzymes is hydrolysis of cellobiose, a feature that may explain the ability of these yeasts to survive for extended periods in the oak barrels used for lambic beer fermentation. These enzymes also have the effect of liberating glycosidically bound flavour compounds, thus adding complexity to the flavour profile of wines and beers (Daenen et al. 2008). Such changes have been seen in wines and lambic beers, but as they can act on hop glycosides, they are

potentially relevant to the majority of beer styles. Such reactions can enhance the levels of linalool (imparting citrus, floral and aniseed flavours) and methyl salicylate (imparting wintergreen, mint and spice flavours) (Winterhalter and Skouroumounis 1997). A number of other species are known to have this activity, including some *Saccharomyces* yeast (Sharp, Steensels and Shellhammer 2017) and several non-*Saccharomyces* yeast including *Debaryomyces* spp., *Hanseniaspora* spp. and *Pichia terricola* (formerly *Issatchenkia terricola*) (Steensels and Verstrepen 2014). Thus far, these reactions have mainly been studied in relation to their impact on wine, and it remains to be seen if the hop glycoside content of beer is high enough for this activity to have a significant impact on flavour (Sharp, Steensels and Shellhammer 2017).

The use of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts is a natural way to introduce diversity to beers on the market. The mainstream brewing industry has, however, been slow to take advantage of the increased functionality offered by alternative yeasts. These organisms have been more enthusiastically embraced in the wine industry where non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts are a normal part of the microflora during fermentation. Modern breweries maintain high levels of hygiene, and brewers are understandably reluctant to introduce foreign strains with the potential to cause contamination. Another issue is how these new yeasts can be handled in a controlled manner to achieve desired beer characteristics. However, in certain cases, the use of alternative yeast strains may be the simpler option: for example, using *L. thermotolerans* to produce sour beer may be simpler than maintaining a co-fermentation with yeasts and bacteria.

The successful application of non-conventional yeasts in brewing may, in some cases, require important changes to process conditions. One such condition is oxygen availability. In standard brewing, wort is aerated before or at the time of pitching to support initial growth of the yeast population. Thereafter, fermentation proceeds without additional oxygen. This may not be an option when certain non-conventional yeasts are employed. *Torulaspora delbrueckii*, for example, is dependent on a low level of oxygen to support fermentation (Alves-Araújo et al. 2007), and *Brettanomyces* spp., despite being able to ferment anaerobically, are more efficient when low levels of oxygen are introduced (Aguilar-Uscanga, Délia and Strehaiano 2003). In the case of co-cultivation of *Saccharomyces*-brewing yeasts and non-conventional yeasts for the purpose of bioflavouring, this dependence on oxygen may have a positive role in controlling the growth of the latter, thereby ensuring successful completion of fermentation by the former.

A further complication is that the list of yeasts that are unequivocally and generally recognised as safe (GRAS/QPS) for use in food production is a short one (Ricci et al. 2017), and further testing may be necessary to allay fears regarding consumer safety.

GENERATION OF NEW ALE AND LAGER YEAST THROUGH HYBRIDISATION

In addition to the traditional yeast hybrids that have been used extensively by the brewing industry since their isolation by Hansen and Elion in the 1880s, there is an increasing interest in new brewing yeast hybrids generated by *de novo* hybridisation. The breeding of brewing yeasts has been carried out for decades in attempts to generate unique strains and improve fermentation performance (Johnston 1965; Spencer and Spencer 1977; Russell, Hancock and Stewart 1983). However, because

most industrial brewing yeasts have been domesticated (Gallone et al. 2016; Gonçalves et al. 2016), many have characteristically lost the ability to sporulate and sexually reproduce (Bilinski, Russell and Stewart 1986; Gallone et al. 2016). This restricts the use of certain classical breeding techniques, where haploid cells of opposite mating type derived from spores are brought together and allowed to fuse. Nevertheless, the spore-to-spore mating approach has been successfully applied to a wide range of strains and species. Garcia Sanchez and co-workers (2012) describe how crossing rare viable spores of a *Saccharomyces pastorianus* strain with those of an *S. cerevisiae* ale strain yielded hybrids with improved growth at higher temperatures and greater tolerance to higher ethanol concentrations. The availability of *S. eubayanus* from 2011 onwards permitted the recreation of the *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* interspecies hybrid, which until then had only existed in the form of the traditional lager yeast strains used for centuries in the brewing industry. Hebly et al. (2015) showed how the psychrotolerant phenotype could be inherited by hybrids of the *S. eubayanus*-type strain and a laboratory strain of *S. cerevisiae* after spore-to-spore mating. In the same year, Mertens and co-workers (2015), also by mating spores, produced a set of 31 hybrids by crossing spores of six different ale strains with *S. eubayanus*. Many of these hybrids possessed a broader temperature tolerance and produced a more diverse aroma compound profile than their parent strains. In a preceding study, Steensels and co-workers (2014) had used a variant of spore-to-spore mating of three genetically diverse *S. cerevisiae* strains to generate 46 hybrids, many of which produced increased levels of 3-methylbutyl acetate (banana/pear aroma) compared to the parent strains. Here, the parent strains were first screened for heterothallism, and spore clones exhibiting stable mating types were used for hybridisation.

Various strategies have been developed to overcome the limitation of low fertility in traditional brewing yeasts, the most extensively used of which are rare mating and protoplast fusion. During rare mating, one exploits the fact that spontaneous loss of heterozygosity at the mating type locus can occur at low frequencies (10^{-4}), resulting in the formation of diploid (or potentially higher ploidy) cells with a single mating type (Hiraoka et al. 2000). This procedure can also enable mating among yeast strains that are unable to sporulate. This approach has been used by Choi and co-workers (2002) to generate a dextrin-fermenting brewing yeast by rare mating a strain of *S. cerevisiae* ('var. diastaticus') with an *S. cerevisiae* ale strain, while Sato and co-workers (2002) used rare mating to cross an '*S. bayanus*' strain with an *S. cerevisiae* ale strain to yield a more cold-tolerant hybrid. More recently, Krogerus and co-workers (2015, 2016) used rare mating to generate hybrids between an *S. cerevisiae* ale strain and *S. eubayanus* with improved fermentation performance and higher aroma formation. While rare mating allows for the hybridisation of strains with low fertility, the hybridisation frequencies are typically low and the parent strains require selection markers (e.g. auxotrophies) so that hybrids can be isolated from the population of parent cells. In an attempt to increase the hybridisation frequency of rare matings, Alexander and co-workers (2016) described a Hybrid Production (HyPr) technique that can be used to force mating-type change in diploid cells by transformation with a plasmid carrying the *HO* gene under the control of an inducible promoter, hence bypassing the need for spontaneous loss of heterozygosity prior to hybridisation. Techniques that leave no trace of foreign DNA in the genome, such as HyPr and CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing, seem to have been given a boost by recent decisions by the US Department of Agriculture to not regulate such organisms

(Ledford 2016; Waltz 2016; Lee 2017), but it is likely that they would still be viewed sceptically by industry, consumers and other jurisdictions.

De novo yeast hybrids have been used to successfully improve beer fermentation in a number of respects, including faster fermentation rates, increased aroma formation and higher stress tolerance. These results have been seen in both experimental-scale (150 mL–2 L) and pilot-scale (50 L) fermentations using wort strengths of 12°P–15°P (Krogerus et al. 2015; Mertens et al. 2015). The improvement in fermentation performance observed in interspecies yeast hybrids relative to their parents can be justified based on improvements on sugar utilisation rate and temperature tolerance. Although it is likely that maltose transporters were inherited from both parent strains in lager yeast hybrids, the origin of the transporters able to carry maltotriose is still a matter for debate (Baker et al. 2015). In *de novo* hybrids, it is likely that maltotriose utilisation is a property transferred by the *S. cerevisiae* parent, considering that none of the *S. eubayanus* strains characterised so far have the ability to use this sugar (Hebly et al. 2015; Krogerus et al. 2015, 2016; Mertens et al. 2015). However, newly found *S. eubayanus* strains (Bing et al. 2014; Peris et al. 2014; Gayevskiy and Goddard 2016) remain to be tested for maltotriose utilisation. The main contribution of *S. eubayanus* for the fermentation performance of artificial hybrids seems to be cold tolerance (Hebly et al. 2015; Krogerus et al. 2015, 2016; Mertens et al. 2015). The combination of superior sugar transport with cold tolerance likely enabled the hybrids to outperform the parents at the low temperatures used for lager brewing (8°C–15°C; Krogerus et al. 2015, 2016; Mertens et al. 2015). *De novo* interspecific hybrids have even displayed similar fermentation efficiencies to *S. pastorianus* strains currently used for commercial beer production (Krogerus et al. 2015; Mertens et al. 2015).

Krogerus et al. (2016) further revealed that the ploidy level influences fermentation performance, as hybrid strains with higher DNA content were superior to lower ploidy hybrids in the fermentation of wort at 15°C. These 1.5 L fermentations were conducted in both standard (15°P) and very high gravity (25°P) wort, and the relative improvement in fermentation performance was seen throughout the fermentations, with the exception of the first 24 h when fermentation is driven largely by monosaccharide utilisation. Furthermore, a link between the fermentation performance of hybrids and their sugar consumption abilities was observed, as strains fermenting fastest also consumed maltose and maltotriose fastest. Since the uptake of maltose and maltotriose tends to limit fermentation capacity during brewing (Rautio and Londesborough 2003; Alves, Herberts and Hollatz 2007), higher ploidy hybrids would result in a greater number of maltose/maltotriose transporter genes in hybrid genomes, which could account for improved uptake of these sugars. Similarly, the allotetraploid lager yeast strains from group II tend to perform better than the allotriploid ones from group I, although exceptions can be found (Gibson et al. 2013; Magalhães et al. 2016).

In addition to attempting to increase fermentation performance, many studies on yeast hybrids have focused on attempting to increase the formation and diversity of aroma-active compounds (Mukai et al. 2001; Bellon et al. 2011, 2013; Steensels et al. 2014; Krogerus et al. 2015, 2016; Mertens et al. 2015). Studies on intraspecific *S. cerevisiae* hybrids have demonstrated the possibility of increasing the formation of both ethyl and acetate esters in comparison to the parent strains (Mukai et al. 2001; Steensels et al. 2014). Steensels and co-workers (2014) revealed that an increase in 3-methylbutyl acetate formation of up to 45% could be

obtained by hybridisation, and that heterosis was particularly prevalent in outbred hybrids. The aroma spectrum of natural lager yeast hybrids is rather limited (Gibson et al. 2013; Mertens et al. 2015). However, interspecies hybridisation has shown to have potential for increasing the aromatic diversity in 50 L pilot-scale fermentations (Mertens et al. 2015). In artificial hybrids, the aroma profiles ranged from worst- to best-parent levels, with several of the hybrids producing higher concentrations of aroma compounds than either of their parents (Krogerus et al. 2015; Mertens et al. 2015). Similarly to temperature tolerance, aroma profile can be controlled based on the relative contribution of parental DNA (Krogerus et al. 2016). Tetraploid hybrids produced higher concentrations of ethyl and acetate esters than the triploid and diploid hybrids, likely due to increased copy number and transcription of several key genes related to the synthesis of ethyl and acetate esters (Krogerus et al. 2016). Some compounds produced by yeasts are not necessarily desirable, and hybridisation strategies may accentuate their synthesis. Increased production of compounds such as ethyl acetate, which is unpleasant at high concentrations (Steensels et al. 2014), and vicinal diketones (Krogerus et al. 2016) by hybrid strains have been reported. However, hybridisation or hybridisation followed by sporulation and isolation of spore clones has been used in efforts to remove unpleasant flavours. Such approaches proved efficient for the removal of 4VG (Tubb et al. 1981; Gallone et al. 2016; Krogerus et al. 2017), H₂S (Bizaj et al. 2012) and ethanethiol (Magalhães et al. 2017). While much remains to be learned, the knowledge obtained so far can be applied for the design of new hybrid strains by careful selection of parents. Before they may be utilised at industrial scale, further characterisation of *de novo* hybrid yeast performance at pilot scale will be necessary. To date, only one such study has been carried out (Mertens et al. 2015). Further sensory analysis of the resultant beers will also be necessary to identify any flavour attributes, either positive or negative, that might differentiate the beers from standard lager beers.

Research on *de novo* hybrids for brewing purposes has been triggered by the discovery of *S. eubayanus*. As research advances, it has become evident that the main contribution of the currently available *S. eubayanus* strains to the hybrid phenotypes is the cold tolerance. Due to the limited genetic diversity and the restricted geographical ranges of available *S. eubayanus* strains, one may consider the use of other cold-tolerant *Saccharomyces* species in hybridisation experiments for brewing purposes. The feasibility of this approach is supported by the fact that a second *Saccharomyces* species, which also expresses a cold-tolerant phenotype, is associated with beer fermentation but, such as *S. eubayanus*, is only found as a hybrid in partnership with *S. cerevisiae*. *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii*, a yeast species frequently associated with oak forests mainly in Europe and Eurasia, has little history of domestication in association with the beer fermentation process. This is probably due to the relatively low ethanol tolerance of *S. kudriavzevii* in comparison with other *Saccharomyces* species. *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* shows weak or no growth above 5% ethanol (Belloch et al. 2008). Competitive exclusion of *S. kudriavzevii* by other mesophilic and/or more ethanol-tolerant *Saccharomyces* species has been experimentally demonstrated in laboratory-mixed cultures (Sampaio and Gonçalves 2008; Arroyo-López et al. 2011). However, as already mentioned for *S. eubayanus*, *S. kudriavzevii* contributes to some hybrid brewing strains, rather than as a pure lineage. Hybrid strains combining the genomes of *S. cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii* have been isolated and characterised from fermenting environments related to beer and seem to be common in Belgian-style beers (González,

Barrio and Querol 2008). With the implementation of genome sequencing studies, many strains originally assumed to be *S. cerevisiae* are now being recognised as *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids. At least one quarter of 24 brewing strains regarded as *S. cerevisiae* were found to be in fact *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids (González, Barrio and Querol 2008). Half of these hybrids were recovered from Belgian speciality beers from Trappist monasteries (Trappist beers). Bottle re-fermentation or conditioning is a common practice in the production of these types of beers (van Landschoot et al. 2005), which allows adjusting and/or modifying the final flavour of beer, also known as bioflavouring (Vanderhaegen et al. 2003). These results suggest that a large fraction of brewing strains may correspond to *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids.

The potential of *de novo* *S. cerevisiae* interspecific hybrids with *S. kudriavzevii*, *S. mikatae*, *S. paradoxus* and *S. uvarum* has been demonstrated in winemaking conditions (Bellon et al. 2011, 2013, 2015; Lopandic et al. 2016) and also recently for bioethanol production (Peris et al. 2017b). Species such as *S. kudriavzevii* and *S. uvarum* have been shown to possess tolerance towards low fermentation temperatures (Gonçalves et al. 2011; López-Malo, Querol and Guillamon 2013), and they could feasibly act as alternatives to *S. eubayanus*. Indeed, which lineages of *Saccharomyces* were historically tapped by European brewers for domestication may be an accident of biogeography, rather than a lack of brewing potential: considerable lineage- and population-level diversity remains unexplored in each of these species (Hittinger et al. 2010; Almeida et al. 2014; Leducq et al. 2016; Peris et al. 2016; Flores et al. 2017). Future research into these new species and lineages may unlock traits and flavours inaccessible in current industrial brewing strains.

THE HYBRID GENOME

Hybrid sterility and fertility

Hybrids can clearly exhibit improvements of desired traits over the parents, as described above, but the issue of hybrid sterility impedes our understanding of the genetics of such improvements, as well as the genetics of interactions between the genomes. As mentioned above, there have been several approaches towards dealing with existing hybrids using rare viable spores (Gjermansen and Sigsgaard 1981), or creating new hybrids with various mating schemes using spore to spore mating (Naumov 1987; Steensels et al. 2014), complementation of auxotrophies (Naumov et al. 1995; Naumov, Naumova and Louis 1995), or stable heterothallic derivatives (Greig et al. 2002). Diversity could be generated in the parental strains prior to hybridisation and effects on phenotypes in the hybrid inferred but this is like selecting desired traits in mules by phenotype choice in the horse and donkey parents. Any genetic interactions in the hybrid may not be predictable or determinable. Nevertheless, this has been a successful approach in creating new brewing hybrids (Steensels et al. 2014).

Hybrid sterility in *Saccharomyces* is the basis of the biological species definition (Naumov 1987; Greig 2009; Louis 2011). There are translocations between species and populations that have been demonstrated to be involved in sterility in some cases. Although no dominant B-D-M (Bateson–Dobzhansky–Muller) incompatibilities have been found between species (Greig et al. 2002) and there is little evidence of recessive nuclear incompatibilities (Greig 2007), there are known incompatibilities between nuclear gene variants and mitochondrial variants between species (Lee et al. 2008; Chou et al. 2010). Condition-specific

B-D-M incompatibilities have been found between different *S. cerevisiae* strains that affect fitness (Hou et al. 2015), and therefore, there are likely to be some between species. The final cause of sterility is simply sequence divergence preventing proper meiotic recombination and chromosome segregation (Chambers et al. 1996; Hunter et al. 1996; Greig et al. 2003; Liti et al. 2006; Louis 2011; Hittinger 2013). The sterility due to sequence divergence can be overcome by providing homologous chromosome partners in meiosis, which can be accomplished by increasing ploidy. Tetraploid hybrids are fertile and exhibit high spore viability (Greig et al. 2002). If variation is incorporated into the two parental diploid species, then the resulting diploid hybrids from the tetraploid spores will each have a unique combination of recombinant parental species genomes. This approach could then allow genetic mapping to be performed, even on complex traits. With the appropriate manipulations already in use to create the hybrids and tetraploids, further crosses and backcrosses can be made, opening up sterile hybrids to classical genetic analysis. The future of new hybrid strain development will likely use breeding genetics in this way.

Interaction between subgenomes of interspecies hybrids

It is possible that many of the traits of hybrids are simply combinations of independent traits of each parent, such as cryotolerance coming from *S. eubayanus* and maltotriose utilisation from *S. cerevisiae*. However, some traits are better than the combination of the two parents, and clearly there must be interactions occurring between the genomes. One of the most severe is the nuclear-mitochondrial incompatibilities that are involved in reproductive isolation, as described above. In a study of protein complexes in newly generated *S. cerevisiae* × *S. uvarum* and *S. cerevisiae* × *S. mikatae* (Piatkowska et al. 2013), chimeric complexes were found in several cases that exhibited different phenotypes in different conditions. They also demonstrated an advantage of the chimeric complex of the hybrid in at least one case. With regard to aroma formation, studies of both traditional and *de novo*-generated lager yeast hybrids have indicated that the dosage and expression levels of genes involved in the synthesis of these compounds seem to correlate with the amounts produced (Van den Broek et al. 2015; Krogerus et al. 2016). This is a phenomenon that can be taken advantage of when choosing a particular hybridisation approach for a particular hybrid phenotype (Krogerus et al. 2016). However, little is still known about transregulation and subgenome cooperation in brewing yeast hybrids. With classical and quantitative genetic analysis available for those hybrids that can go through a tetraploid intermediate, the interactions between the subgenomes will be amenable to dissection. As more knowledge on the link between genotype and phenotype of hybrids becomes available, it will allow for targeted selection of parental strains for breeding and hybrid screening.

Mitochondrial inheritance

The powerhouse of the cell contains its own genome that encodes a handful of genes in *Saccharomyces* that have not been transferred to the nuclear genome. Mitochondrial genome sizes range from below 65 kb in *S. eubayanus* to above 85 kb in *S. cerevisiae* (Foury et al. 1998; Baker et al. 2015). They have low GC content (below 20%), have low protein-coding potential and are littered with selfish elements and introns. Even so, the fact that all mapped incompatibilities preventing the meiotic fertility of

Saccharomyces interspecies hybrids involve at least one mitochondrial gene implies that mitochondrial genomes are functionally important (Lee et al. 2008; Chou et al. 2010). The inheritance of the mitochondrial genome in industrial and synthetic hybrids also appears non-random. In synthetic hybrids, the mitochondrial genome rapidly stabilises to a single, sometimes recombinant, haplotype (Marinoni et al. 1999; Peris et al. 2017b). Environmental conditions can dramatically influence which parent's mitochondrial genome is retained, further suggesting an important functional role (Hsu and Chou 2017). Lager yeasts inherited *S. eubayanus* mitochondrial genomes that contain a snippet of introgression from *S. uvarum* at a known recombination hotspot in COX2 (Peris et al. 2014). Nearly all known *S. cerevisiae* × *S. kudriavzevii* hybrids have retained the mitochondrial genome of *S. kudriavzevii* (or a recombinant derivative), even though they tend to have lost several *S. kudriavzevii* nuclear chromosomes (Peris et al. 2017a). Thus, retention of the mitochondrial genomes from the non-*S. cerevisiae* parent may confer a selective advantage or desirable properties in industrial fermentation conditions.

Hybrid genome stability

De novo hybrids tend to display genetic instability post-hybridisation (Pérez-Través et al. 2012; Kumaran, Yang and Leu 2013; Selmecki et al. 2015; Peris et al. 2017b), and this could pose a problem for the brewing industry, where yeast is often reused multiple times and consistency is required. However, traditional lager yeast genomes have also been shown to contain chromosome losses and intrachromosomal translocations, sequence divergence and chromosome copy number variations (van den Broek et al. 2015). This instability of newly formed brewing hybrids could also be taken advantage of in adaptive evolution experiments (Piotrowski et al. 2012; Dunn et al. 2013; Peris et al. 2017b), as the natural lager yeast genome has been shown amenable to change via evolutionary engineering (Blieck et al. 2007; Ekberg et al. 2013). By subjecting hybrids to different environmental conditions, it could be possible to target and improve on specific phenotypes.

CONCLUSION

Recent years have seen a greater customer demand for diversity in commercially available beers. The beer-drinking public now has a greater interest in the brewing process and in the many styles of beers that are available or have been available in the past. There is also a growing appreciation of the role that yeasts play in determining these styles. This interest in brewing yeasts has coincided with the greater availability of techniques for their study. Genome analysis in particular is improving our understanding of how ale- and lager-brewing yeasts have evolved to exploit their respective fermentation environments, while promising to simultaneously satisfy the brewer's demands for quality beer. Our improved understanding of brewing yeast biology allows for better selection of strains for particular processes and the selection of appropriate traits for development. The emergence of liquid- and colony-handling robots further allows the possibility of performing high-throughput phenotyping assays on hundreds of strains simultaneously, as done in a number of recent large-scale breeding-related studies (Steensels et al. 2014; Mertens et al. 2015; Snoek et al. 2015; Gallone et al. 2016).

The discovery of *S. eubayanus* has clarified the development of the interspecies hybrid *S. pastorianus* (though much remains to be discovered about this unique organism), and it has inspired

a number of successful attempts to recreate the *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* hybridisation event. These efforts are expected to increase the genetic diversity of strains available for lager brewing, thereby creating further diversity in the beer market. Many hybridisation approaches, whether for ale or lager yeasts, can satisfy the market demand for diversity, while respecting customers' scepticism of GM technology applied to brewing as well as local legislation regarding the use of GMOs.

Brewing yeast research has, in the past, made a direct contribution to our fundamental understanding of biology (Barnett and Lichtenthaler 2001). It may be expected that the recent resurgence of interest in brewing yeasts could similarly contribute to our general understanding of the natural world. There is, for example, a growing realisation that many species, including our own (Simontti et al. 2016), have been influenced by hybridisation, and *S. pastorianus* could serve as a model organism for the study of hybrid genome function. Also, the search for alternative brewing yeasts (*S. eubayanus*, *L. thermotolerans* and others) in nature will greatly improve our understanding of the biogeography and ecology of yeast species and may inspire a greater appreciation of the potential importance of yeast diversity for the biotechnological processes of the future.

FUNDING

Work at VTT was supported by the Alfred Kordelin Foundation, Svenska Kulturfonden—The Swedish Cultural Foundation in Finland, PBL Brewing Laboratory, the Academy of Finland (Academy Projects 276480 and 305453) and the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007–2013/under REA grant agreement 555 n° 606795. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DEB-1253634, by USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch Project 1003258, and funded in part by the DOE Great Lakes Bioenergy Research Center (DOE Office of Science BER DE-FC02-07ER64494). CTH is a Pew Scholar in the Biomedical Sciences, supported by the Pew Charitable Trusts. This material is based upon work supported by grant UID/Multi/04378/2013 from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Portugal. The work at GACT is supported by the BBSRC. Work at IPATEC is supported by Universidad Nacional del Comahue, CONICET (grant PIP424), FONCyT (grants PICT3677 and PICT2542) and COFECyT.

Conflicts of interest. CTH declares the following conflicts of interest: the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (WARF), William G. Alexander, David Peris and CTH have filed a provisional patent application on HyPr; commercial use of certain strains is subject to licencing agreements with WARF; all technologies and strains are freely available for non-commercial academic research.

REFERENCES

Aguilar-Uscanga MG, Délia ML, Strehaiano P. *Brettanomyces bruxellensis*: effect of oxygen on growth and acetic acid production. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2003;61:157–62

Aldhous P. Genetic engineering. Modified yeast fine for food. *Nature* 1990;344:186.

Alexander W, Peris D, Pfannenstiel B et al. Efficient engineering of marker-free synthetic allotetraploids of *Saccharomyces*. *Fungal Genet Biol* 2016;89:10–7.

Almeida P, Gonçalves C, Teixeira S et al. A Gondwanan imprint on global diversity and domestication of wine and cider yeast *Saccharomyces uvarum*. *Nat Commun* 2014;2:4044.

Alves-Araújo C, Pacheco A, Almeida MJ et al. Sugar utilization patterns and respiro-fermentative metabolism in the baker's yeast *Torulaspora delbrueckii*. *Microbiology* 2007;153:898–904.

Alves S Jr, Herberts R, Hollatz C. Maltose and maltotriose active transport and fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Am Soc Brew Chem* 2007;65:99–104.

Annaluru N, Muller H, Mitchell LA et al. Total synthesis of a functional designer eukaryotic chromosome. *Science* 2014;344:55–9.

Ardhana MM, Fleet GH. The microbial ecology of cocoa bean fermentations in Indonesia. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2003;86:87–99.

Arroyo-López FN, Pérez-Través L, Querol A et al. Exclusion of *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* from a wine model system mediated by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2011;28:423–35.

Avallone S, Guyot B, Brillouet J-M et al. Microbiological and biochemical study of coffee fermentation. *Curr Microbiol* 2001;42:252–6.

Baker E, Wang B, Bellora N et al. The genome sequence of *Saccharomyces eubayanus* and the domestication of lager-brewing yeasts. *Mol Biol Evol* 2015;32:2818–31.

Barnett JA, Lichtenthaler FW. A history of research on yeasts 3: Emil Fishcer, Eudard Buchner and their contemporaries, 1880–1900. *Yeast* 2001;18:262–388.

Batista NN, Ramos CL, Ribeiro DD et al. Dynamic behaviour of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Pichia kluyveri* and *Hanseniaspora uvarum* during spontaneous and inoculated cocoa fermentations and their effect on sensory characteristics of chocolate. *LWT Food Sci Technol* 2015;63:221–7.

Belloch C, Orlic S, Barrio E et al. Fermentative stress adaptation of hybrids within the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2008;122:188–95.

Bellon J, Eglinton J, Siebert T et al. Newly generated interspecific wine yeast hybrids introduce flavour and aroma diversity to wines. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2011;91:603–12.

Bellon J, Schmid F, Capone D et al. Introducing a new breed of wine yeast: interspecific hybridisation between a commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* wine yeast and *Saccharomyces mikatae*. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e62053.

Bellon J, Yang F, Day M et al. Designing and creating *Saccharomyces* interspecific hybrids for improved, industry relevant, phenotypes. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2015;99:8597–609.

Bilinski C, Russell I, Stewart G. Analysis of sporulation in brewer's yeast: induction of tetrad formation. *J Inst Brew* 1986;92:594–8.

Bing J, Han P, Liu W et al. Evidence for a far east asian origin of lager beer yeast. *Curr Biol* 2014;24:R380–81.

Bizaz E, Cordente A, Bellon J et al. A breeding strategy to harness flavour diversity of *Saccharomyces* interspecific hybrids and minimize hydrogen sulphide production. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2012;12:456–65.

Blicke L, Toye G, Dumortier F et al. Isolation and characterization of brewer's yeast variants with improved fermentation performance under high-gravity conditions. *Appl Environ Microb* 2007;73:815–24.

Borodina I, Nielsen J. Advances in metabolic engineering of yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for production of chemicals. *Biotechnol J* 2014;9:609–20.

Boulton CA. Advances in metabolic engineering of yeasts. In: Hill A (ed). *Brewing Microbiology, Managing Microbes, Ensuring Quality and Valorising Waste*. Oxford, UK: Woodhead Publishing, 2015, 47–64.

- Brányik T, Silva DP, Baszczyński M et al. A review of methods of low alcohol and alcohol-free beer production. *J Food Eng* 2012;**108**:493–506
- Cabranes C, Mangas JJ, Blanco D. Controlled production of cider by induction of alcoholic fermentation and malolactic conversion. *J Inst Brew* 1996;**102**:103–9
- Campbell I. Wild yeasts in brewing and distilling. In: Priest FG, Campbell I (eds). *Brewing Microbiology*. London, UK: Chapman & Hall, 1996, 193–208.
- Canonica L, Agarbati A, Comitini F et al. *Torulaspora delbrueckii* in the brewing process: a new approach to enhance bioflavour and to reduce ethanol content. *Food Microbiol* 2016;**56**:45–51.
- Carlquist M, Gibson B, Karagul Y et al. Process engineering for bioflavour production with metabolically active yeast – a minireview. *Yeast* 2015;**32**:123–43.
- Chambers SR, Hunter N, Louis EJ et al. The mismatch repair system reduces meiotic homeologous recombination and stimulates recombination-dependent chromosome loss. *Mol Cell Biol* 1996;**16**:6110–20.
- Choi B, Jang K, Kim K. Fermentation characteristics of brewing yeast HCS with glucoamylase expression by rare mating and beer analysis. *Food Sci Biotechnol* 2002;**11**:34–9.
- Chou JY, Hung YS, Lin KH et al. Multiple molecular mechanisms cause reproductive isolation between three yeast species. *PLoS Biol* 2010;**8**:e1000432.
- Ciani M, Comitini F, Mannazzu I et al. Controlled mixed culture fermentation: a new perspective on the use of non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in winemaking. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2010;**10**:123–33.
- Cousseau FE, Alves SL, Trichez D et al. Characterization of maltotriose transporters from the *Saccharomyces eubayanus* subgenome of the hybrid *Saccharomyces pastorianus* lager brewing yeast strain Weihenstephen 34/70. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 2013;**56**:21–9.
- Daenen L, Saison D, Sterckx F et al. Screening and evaluation of the glucoside hydrolase activity in *Saccharomyces* and *Brettanomyces* brewing yeasts. *J Appl Microbiol* 2008;**104**:478–88.
- Dashko S, Zhou N, Tinta T et al. Use of non-conventional yeast improves the wine aroma profile of Ribolla Gialla. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2015;**42**:997–1010.
- De Francesco G, Turchetti B, Sileoni V et al. Screening of new strains of *Saccharomyces ludwigii* and *Zygosaccharomyces rouxii* to produce low-alcohol beer. *J Inst Brew* 2015;**121**:113–21.
- Domizio P, House JF, Joseph CML et al. *Lachancea thermotolerans* as an alternative yeast for the production of beer. *J Inst Brew* 2016;**122**:599–604.
- Dunn B, Sherlock G. Reconstruction of the genome origins and evolution of the hybrid lager yeast *Saccharomyces pastorianus*. *Genome Res* 2008;**18**:1610–23
- Dunn B, Paulish T, Stanbery A et al. Recurrent rearrangement during adaptive evolution in an interspecific yeast hybrid suggests a model for rapid introgression. *PLoS Genet* 2013;**9**:e1003366.
- Ekberg J, Rautio J, Mattinen L et al. Adaptive evolution of the lager brewing yeast *Saccharomyces pastorianus* for improved growth under hyperosmotic conditions and its influence on fermentation performance. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2013;**13**:335–49.
- Fabre CE, Duviau VJ, Blanc PJ et al. Identification of volatile flavour compounds obtained in culture of *Kluveromyces marxianus*. *Biotechnol Lett* 1995;**17**:1207–12.
- Flores MG, Rodríguez ME, Oteiza JM et al. Physiological characterization of *Saccharomyces uvarum* and *Saccharomyces eubayanus* from Patagonia and their potential for cidermaking. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2017;**249**:9–17.
- Foury F, Roganti T, Lecrenier N et al. The complete sequence of the mitochondrial genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEBS Lett* 1998;**440**:325–31.
- Gallone B, Steensels J, Prah T et al. Domestication and divergence of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* beer yeasts. *Cell* 2016;**166**:1397–410.
- Garavaglia J, Hickman Flôres S, Mara Pizzolato T et al. Bioconversion of L-phenylalanine into 2-phenylethanol by *Kluveromyces marxianus* in grape must cultures. *World J Microb Biot* 2007;**23**:1273–9.
- García Sanchez R, Solodovnikova N, Wendland J. Breeding of lager yeast with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* improves stress resistance and fermentation performance. *Yeast* 2012;**29**:343–55.
- García-Ríos E, Querol A, Guillamón JM. iTRAQ-based proteome profiling of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and cryotolerant species *Saccharomyces uvarum* and *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* during low-temperature wine fermentation. *J Proteomics* 2016;**146**:70–9.
- Gayevskiy V, Goddard M. *Saccharomyces eubayanus* and *Saccharomyces arboricola* reside in North Island native New Zealand forests. *Environ Microbiol* 2016;**18**:1137–47.
- Gibson B, Liti G. *Saccharomyces pastorianus*: genomic insights inspiring innovation for industry. *Yeast* 2015;**32**:17–27.
- Gibson B, Storgårds E, Krogerus K et al. Comparative physiology and fermentation performance of Saaz and Froberg lager yeast strains and the parental species *Saccharomyces eubayanus*. *Yeast* 2013;**30**:255–66.
- Gjermansen C, Sigsgaard P. Construction of a hybrid brewing strain of *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* by mating of meiotic segregants. *Carlsberg Res Commun* 1981;**46**:1–11.
- Goffeau A, Barrell BG, Bussey H et al. Life with 6000 genes. *Science* 1996;**274**:546–67.
- Gonçalves M, Pontes A, Almeida P et al. Distinct domestication trajectories in top-fermenting beer yeasts and wine yeasts. *Curr Biol* 2016;**26**:2750–61.
- Gonçalves P, Valério E, Correia C et al. Evidence for divergent evolution of growth temperature preference in sympatric *Saccharomyces* species. *PLoS One* 2011;**6**:e20739.
- González SS, Barrio E, Querol A. Molecular characterization of new natural hybrids of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *S. kudriavzevii* in brewing. *Appl Environ Microb* 2008;**74**:2314–20.
- Greig D. A screen for recessive speciation genes expressed in the gametes of F1 hybrid yeast. *PLoS Genet* 2007;**3**:e21.
- Greig D. Reproductive isolation in *Saccharomyces*. *Heredity* 2009;**102**:39–44.
- Greig D, Borts RH, Louis EJ et al. Epistasis and hybrid sterility in *Saccharomyces*. *Proc Biol Sci* 2002;**269**:1167–71.
- Greig D, Travisano M, Louis EJ et al. A role for the mismatch repair system during incipient speciation in *Saccharomyces*. *J Evol Biol* 2003;**16**:429–37.
- Haehn H, Glaubitz M. Beer manufacture. Patent US1898047 A. 1933.
- Harrison GAF. The flavour of beer—a review. *J Inst Brew* 1970;**76**:486–95.
- Hebly M, Brickwedde A, Bolat I et al. *S. cerevisiae* × *S. eubayanus* interspecific hybrid, best of both worlds and beyond. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2015;**15**, DOI: 10.1093/femsyr/fov005
- Hiraoka M, Watanabe K, Umezaki K et al. Spontaneous loss of heterozygosity in diploid *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells. *Genetics* 2000;**156**:1531–48

- Hittinger CT. *Saccharomyces* diversity and evolution: a budding model genus. *Trends Genet* 2013;**29**:309–17.
- Hittinger CT, Gonçalves P, Sampaio JP et al. Remarkably ancient balanced polymorphisms in a multi-locus gene network. *Nature* 2010;**464**:54–8.
- Hou J, Friedrich A, Gounot JS et al. Comprehensive survey of condition-specific reproductive isolation reveals genetic incompatibility in yeast. *Nat Commun* 2015;**6**:7214.
- Hough JS, Briggs DE, Stevens R et al. Hopped wort and beer. In: Hough JS, Briggs DE, Stevens R, Young TW (eds). *Malting and Brewing Science*, vol. 2. London: Chapman and Hall. 1982.
- Hsu YY, Chou JY. Environmental factors can influence mitochondrial inheritance in the *Saccharomyces* Yeast Hybrids. *PLoS One* 2017;**12**:e0169953.
- Huige NG, Sanchez GW, Leidig AR. Process for preparing a nonalcoholic (less than 0.5 volume percent alcohol) malt beverage. Patent US4970082 A. 1990.
- Hunter N, Chambers SR, Louis EJ et al. The mismatch repair system contributes to meiotic sterility in an interspecific yeast hybrid. *Embo J* 1996;**15**:1726–33.
- Johnston J. Breeding yeasts for brewing: II. Production of hybrid strains. *J Inst Brew* 1965;**71**:135–7.
- Jolly NP, Varela C, Pretorius IS. Not your ordinary yeast: non-*Saccharomyces* yeasts in wine production uncovered. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2014;**14**:215–37.
- Kellershohn J, Russell I. Innovations in alcoholic beverage production. In: Ravindra P (ed). *Advances in Bioprocess Technology*. London, UK: Springer, 2015, 423–33.
- Krogerus K, Arvas M, De Chiara M et al. Ploidy influences the functional attributes of *de novo* lager yeast hybrids. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2016;**100**:7203–22.
- Krogerus K, Magalhães F, Vidgren V et al. New lager yeast strains generated by interspecific hybridization. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2015;**42**:769–78.
- Krogerus K, Seppänen-Laakso T, Castillo S et al. Inheritance of brewing-relevant phenotypes in constructed *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces eubayanus* hybrids. *Microb Cell Fact* 2017;**16**:66.
- Kumaran R, Yang S-Y, Leu J-Y. Characterization of chromosome stability in diploid, polyploid and hybrid yeast cells. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e68094.
- Ledford H. Gene-editing surges as US rethinks regulations. *Nature* 2016;**532**:158–9.
- Leducq JB, Nielly-Thibault L, Charron G et al. Speciation driven by hybridization and chromosomal plasticity in a wild yeast. *Nat Microbiol* 2016;**1**:15003.
- Lee HY, Chou JY, Cheong L et al. Incompatibility of nuclear and mitochondrial genomes causes hybrid sterility between two yeast species. *Cell* 2008;**135**:1065–73.
- Lee L. Will CrispR be GMO? *Lux Spotlight*. 2017. http://blog.luxresearchinc.com/blog/2017/05/will-crispr-be-gmo/?utm_campaign=Lux%20Spotlight%20-%20May%207%2C%202017&utm_source=hs_email&utm_medium=email&utm_content=51586796&hsenc=p2ANqtz-8By1Mt1bbh-Ey4fI0zyeRGzUL_COTDe2RYbOalqWi-GdCtx-.3-uS21Sq8cSMoaHz15goIQz8OnNZJU6d8lSjhnypdxUKKlvalZZ.pgt3VVSWE6E&hsmi=51612276 (07 June 2017, date last accessed).
- Legras JL, Merdinoglu D, Cornuet JM et al. Bread, beer and wine: *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* diversity reflects human history. *Mol Ecol* 2007;**16**:2091–102.
- Li H, Liu Y, Zhang W. Method for preparing non-alcoholic beer by *Candida shehatae*. Patent CN102220198 B. 2011.
- Libkind D, Hittinger C, Valerio E et al. Microbe domestication and the identification of the wild genetic stock of lager-brewing yeast. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;**108**:14539–44.
- Liti G, Barton DB, Louis EJ. Sequence diversity, reproductive isolation and species concepts in *Saccharomyces*. *Genetics* 2006;**174**:839–50.
- Liti G, Carter DM, Moses AM et al. Population genomics of domestic and wild yeasts. *Nature* 2009;**458**:337–41.
- Lopandic K, Pfliegler W, Tiefenbrunner W et al. Genotypic and phenotypic evolution of yeast interspecies hybrids during high-sugar fermentation. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2016;**100**:6331–43.
- López-Malo M, Querol A, Guillamon J. Metabolomic comparison of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the cryotolerant species *S. bayanus* var. *uvarum* and *S. kudriavzevii* during wine fermentation at low temperature. *PLoS One* 2013;**8**:e60135.
- Louis EJ. Population genomics and speciation in yeasts. *Fungal Biol Rev* 2011;**25**:136–42.
- Lusk JL, Roosen J, Bieberstein A. Consumer acceptance of new food technologies: causes and roots of controversies. *Ann Rev Res Econ* 2014;**6**:381–405.
- Magalhães F, Krogerus K, Vidgren V et al. Improved cider fermentation performance and quality with newly-generated *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* × *Saccharomyces eubayanus* hybrids. *J Ind Microbiol Biot* 2017, DOI:10.1007/s10295-017-1947-7.
- Magalhães F, Vidgren V, Ruohonen L et al. Maltose and maltotriose utilisation by group I strains of the hybrid lager yeast *Saccharomyces pastorianus*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2016;**16**, DOI: 10.1093/femsyr/fow053
- Mager WH, Winderickx J. Yeast as a model for medical and medicinal research. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2005;**26**:265–73.
- Marinoni G, Manuel M, Petersen RF et al. Horizontal transfer of genetic material among *Saccharomyces* yeasts. *J Bacteriol* 1999;**181**:6488–96.
- Mertens S, Steensels J, Saels V et al. A large set of newly created interspecific yeast hybrids increases aromatic diversity in lager beers. *Appl Environ Microb* 2015;**81**:8202–14.
- Meilgaard MC. Prediction of flavor differences between beers from their chemical composition. *J Agric Food Chem* 1982;**30**:1009–17.
- Michel M, Kopecká J, Meier-Dörnberg T et al. Screening for new brewing yeasts in the non-*Saccharomyces* sector with *Torulaspora delbrueckii* as model. *Yeast* 2016;**33**:129–44.
- Moreira N, Mendes F, Hogg T et al. Alcohols, esters and heavy sulphur compounds production by pure and mixed cultures of apiculate wine yeasts. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2005;**103**:285–94.
- Mukai N, Nishimori C, Fujishige I et al. Beer brewing using a fusant between a sake yeast and a brewer's yeast. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2001;**91**:482–6.
- Nakao Y, Kanamori T, Itoh T et al. Genome sequence of the lager brewing yeast, an interspecies hybrid. *DNA Res* 2009;**16**:115–29.
- Naumov GI. Genetic basis for classification and identification of the ascomycetes yeasts. *Stud Mycol* 1987;**30**:469–75.
- Naumov GI, Naumova ES, Hagler AN et al. A new genetically isolated population of the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex from Brazil. *Anton Van Leeuw* 1995;**67**:351–5.
- Naumov GI, Naumova ES, Louis EJ. Two new genetically isolated populations of the *Saccharomyces sensu stricto* complex from Japan. *J Gen Appl Microbiol* 1995;**41**:499–505.
- Paget C, Schwartz J, Delneri D. Environmental systems biology of cold-tolerant phenotype in *Saccharomyces* species adapted to grow at different temperatures. *Mol Ecol* 2014;**23**:5241–57.
- Pasteur L. *Études sur le vin, ses maladies, causes qui les provoquent, procédés nouveaux pour le conserver et pour le vieillir*. Paris, France: Gauthier-Villars. 1866.

- Pasteur L. *Études sur la bière*. Paris, France: Gauthier-Villars, 1876.
- Pérez-Través L, Lopes C, Barrio E et al. Evaluation of different genetic procedures for the generation of artificial hybrids in *Saccharomyces* genus for winemaking. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2012;156:102–11.
- Pérez-Torrado R, Barrio E, Querol A. Alternative yeasts for wine-making: *Saccharomyces non-cerevisiae* and its hybrids. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 2017, DOI:10.1080/10408398.2017.1285751.
- Peris D, Langdon QK, Moriarty RV et al. Complex ancestries of lager-brewing hybrids were shaped by standing variation in the wild yeast *Saccharomyces eubayanus*. *PLoS Genet* 2016;12:e1006155.
- Peris D, Sylvester K, Libkind D et al. Population structure and reticulate evolution of *Saccharomyces eubayanus* and its lager-brewing hybrids. *Mol Ecol* 2014;23:2031–45.
- Peris D, Arias A, Orlic S et al. Mitochondrial introgression suggests extensive ancestral hybridization events among *Saccharomyces* species. *Mol Phylogenet Evol* 2017a;108:49–60.
- Peris D, Moriarty RV, Alexander WG et al. Hybridization and adaptive evolution of diverse *Saccharomyces* species for cellulosic biofuel production. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2017b;10:78.
- Piatkowska EM, Naseeb S, Knight D et al. Chimeric protein complexes in hybrid species generate novel phenotypes. *PLoS Genet* 2013;9:e1003836.
- Piotrowski J, Nagarajan S, Kroll E et al. Different selective pressures lead to different genomic outcomes as newly-formed hybrid yeasts evolve. *BMC Evol Biol* 2012;12:46.
- Rautio J, Londesborough J. Maltose transport by brewer's yeasts in brewer's wort. *J Inst Brew* 2003;109:251–61.
- Ricci A, Allende A, Bolton D et al. Scientific opinion on the update of the list of QPS-recommended biological agents intentionally added to food or feed as notified to EFSA. *EFSA J* 2017, DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4664.
- Richardson SM, Mitchell LA, Stracquadanio G et al. Design of a synthetic yeast genome. *Science* 2017;355:1040–4.
- Russell I, Hancock I, Stewart G. Construction of dextrin fermentative yeast strains that do not produce phenolic off-flavours in beer. *J Am Soc Brew Chem* 1983;41:45–51.
- Saerens S, Swiegers JH. Enhancement of beer flavor by a combination of *Pichia* yeast and different hop varieties. Patent US20140234480 A1. 2014a.
- Saerens S, Swiegers JH. Production of low-alcohol or alcohol-free beer with *Pichia kluyveri* yeast strains. Patent WO2014135673 A2. 2014b.
- Saison D, De Schutter DP, Vanbeneden N et al. Decrease of aged beer aroma by the reducing activity of brewing yeast. *J Agric Food Chem* 2010;58:3107–15.
- Salvadó Z, Ramos-Alonso L, Tronchoni J et al. Genome-wide identification of genes involved in growth and fermentation activity at low temperature in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2016;236:38–46.
- Sampaio JP, Gonçalves P. Natural populations of *Saccharomyces kudriavzevii* in Portugal are associated with oak bark and are sympatric with *S. cerevisiae* and *S. paradoxus*. *Appl Environ Microb* 2008;74:2144–52.
- Sato M, Kishimoto M, Watari J et al. Breeding of brewer's yeast by hybridization between a top-fermenting yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and a cryophilic yeast *Saccharomyces bayanus*. *J Biosci Bioeng* 2002;93:509–11.
- Selmecki A, Maruvka Y, Richmond P et al. Polyploidy can drive rapid adaptation in yeast. *Nature* 2015;519:349–52.
- Sharp DC, Steensels J, Shellhammer TH. The effect of hopping regime, cultivar and β -glucosidase activity on monoterpene alcohol concentrations in wort and beer. *J Inst Brew* 2017, DOI: 10.1002/jib.418.
- Simontti CN, Vernot B, Bastarache L et al. The phenotypic legacy of admixture between modern humans and Neandertals. *Science* 2016;351:737–41.
- Snoek T, Picca Nicolino M, Van den Bremt S et al. Large-scale robot-assisted genome shuffling yields industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yeasts with increased ethanol tolerance. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 2015;8:32.
- Spencer J, Spencer D. Hybridization of non-sporulating and weakly sporulating strains of brewer's and distiller's yeasts. *J Inst Brew* 1977;83:287–9.
- Steensels J, Daenen L, Malcorps P et al. *Brettanomyces* yeasts – From spoilage organisms to valuable contributors to industrial fermentations. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2015;206:24–38.
- Steensels J, Meersman E, Snoek T et al. Large-scale selection and breeding to generate industrial yeasts with superior aroma production. *Appl Environ Microb* 2014;80:6965–75.
- Steensels J, Verstrepen KJ. Taming wild yeast: potential of conventional and nonconventional yeasts in industrial fermentations. *Annu Rev Microbiol* 2014;68:61–80.
- Tataridis P, Kanellis A, Logothetis S et al. Use of non-*Saccharomyces Torulaspora delbrueckii* yeast strains in winemaking and brewing. *Zb Mat Srp Prir Nauk* 2013;124:415–26.
- Tenbült P, De Vries NK, van Breukelen G et al. Acceptance of genetically modified foods: the relation between technology and evaluation. *Appetite* 2008;51:129–36.
- Tubb R, Searle B, Goodey A et al. Rare mating and transformation for construction of novel brewing yeasts. *Proc 18th Congr Eur Brew Conv*. Brussels, Belgium: European Brewing Convention 1981 pp. 487–96.
- Vanbeneden N, Gils F, Delvaux F et al. Formation of 4-vinyl and 4-ethyl derivatives from hydroxycinnamic acids: occurrence of volatile phenolic flavour compounds in beer and distribution of *Pad1*-activity among brewing yeasts. *Food Chem* 2008;107:221–30.
- Van den Broek M, Bolat I, Nijkamp J et al. Chromosomal copy number variation in *Saccharomyces pastorianus* evidence for extensive genome dynamics in industrial lager brewing strains. *Appl Environ Microb* 2015;81:6253–67.
- van Landschoot A, Vanbeneden N, Machtelinckx M et al. Peculiarities of seven refermented Belgian strong ales and their corresponding industrial yeasts. *Cerevisiae* 2005;30:181–8.
- Vanderhaegen B, Neven H, Coghe S et al. Bioflavoring and beer refermentation. *Appl Microbiol Biot* 2003;62:140–50.
- Varela C, Borneman AR. Yeasts found in vineyards and wineries. *Yeast* 2017;34:111–28.
- Viana F, Belloch C, Vallés S et al. Monitoring a mixed starter of *Hanseniaspora vineae*-*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in natural must: Impact on 2-phenylethyl acetate production. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2011;151:235–40.
- Vidgren V, Londesborough J. Characterization of the *Saccharomyces bayanus*-type *AGT1* transporter of lager yeast. *J Inst Brew* 2012;118:148–51.
- Vidgren V, Multanen JP, Ruohonen L et al. The temperature dependence of maltose transport in ale and lager strains of brewer's yeast. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2010;10:402–11.

- Vidgren V, Ruohonen L, Londesborough J. Characterization and functional analysis of the MAL and MPH loci for maltose utilization in some ale and lager yeast strains. *Appl Environ Microb* 2005;71:7846–57.
- Volschenk H, Viljoen-Bloom M, van Staden J et al. Genetic engineering of an industrial strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for L-malic acid degradation via an efficient malo-ethanolic pathway. *S Afr J Enol Vitic* 2004;25:63–73.
- Voordeckers K, Verstrepen KJ. Experimental evolution of the model eukaryote *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* yields insight into the molecular mechanisms underlying adaptation. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2015;28:1–9.
- Walker GM. *Pichia anomala*: cell physiology and biotechnology relative to other yeasts. *Anton Van Leeuw* 2011;99:25–34.
- Walther A, Hesselbart A, Wendland J. Genome sequence of *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis*, the world's first pure culture lager yeast. *G3* 2014;4:783–93.
- Waltz E. Gene-edited CRISPR mushroom escapes US regulation. *Nature* 2016;532:293.
- Winterhalter P, Skouroumounis GK. Glycoconjugated aroma compounds: occurrence, role and biotechnological transformation. *Adv Biochem Eng Biot* 1997;55:74–99.
- Ye M, Yue T, Yuan Y. Effects of sequential mixed cultures of *Wickerhamomyces anomalus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on apple cider fermentation. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2014;14:873–82.