Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Colloids and Surfaces A

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/colsurfa



Aggregation behaviour and solubilization capability of mixed micellar systems formed by a gemini lipoamino acid and a non-ionic surfactant

L. Catalina Cabana Saavedra^a, Erica M. Pachón Gómez^a, Rafael G. Oliveira^b, Mariana A. Fernández^{a,*}

^a Instituto de Investigaciones en Físico-Química de Córdoba (INFIQC-CONICET), Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria, X5000HUA, Córdoba, Argentina

^b Centro de Investigaciones en Química Biológica de Córdoba (CIQUIBIC-CONICET), Departamento de Química Biológica Dr. Ranwell Caputto, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Ciudad Universitaria, X5000HUA, Córdoba, Argentina

G R A P H I C A L A B S T R A C T



ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Mixed surfactants Gemini surfactant Lipoamino acid surfactant Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon Synergism

ABSTRACT

In this work we carried out the physicochemical characterization of mixtures of a non-ionic surfactant and a gemini lipoamino acid one. The mixed systems were studied by surface tension measurements, fluorescence quenching and SAXS determinations. Both surfactants formed mixed micelles in different relationships and the properties of the mixtures were more similar to those of their major component. SAXS analysis showed that the micelles were triaxial ellipsoids, type core shell. The mixtures exhibited non-ideal behaviour, as indicated by critical micelle concentrations (*CMC*) lower than those of the individual surfactants, and negative interaction parameters, β .

The mixed surfactant systems produced enhanced water solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, viz, naphthalene, phenanthrene and pyrene, quantified in terms of the molar solubilization ratio and the micellewater partition coefficient. Synergism due to the presence of both surfactants in the mixture was observed for the solubilization of naphthalene and phenanthrene, but not for pyrene. This difference in behaviour might be related to the *CMC* and structure of the surfactants, the polarity of the solutes, and their association constants with the micellar phase.

* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: marfer@fcq.unc.edu.ar, marianafer37@gmail.com (M.A. Fernández).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.08.011

Received 7 April 2017; Received in revised form 27 July 2017; Accepted 11 August 2017 Available online 18 August 2017 0927-7757/ © 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the last years many efforts were made to design and develop new amphiphilic molecules or new systems of amphiphiles with adequate properties for application in different areas or technologies [1–3].

In this respect, gemini surfactants are an interesting type of surfactants consisting of two hydrophobic chains and two hydrophilic heads covalently attached through a spacer [4,5]. These surfactants have attractive properties such as low critical micelle concentrations (*CMC*), higher surface activity, better solubilizing power and viscoe-lastic properties than those of monomeric ones [6–8]. However, the synthesis of these surfactants usually requires several steps and, in some cases, it is more expensive than certain commercial monomeric surfactants for application to large volumes. Some mixtures of surfactants show synergism, with better properties than those of the individual components [9,10]. In the presence of synergic effect, the study of mixtures of surfactants could lead to the use of lower amounts of the more expensive or difficult to obtain surfactant, in combination with one more accessible, for different possible applications.

In our laboratory we synthesised, according to the literature [11], a gemini lipoamino acid surfactant named 3,3'-disulfanediyl bis 2-decamido propanoic acid (SDDC), Fig. 1, derived from the amino acid cystine and a fatty acid, decanoic acid. The use of surfactants from renewable sources is also particularly interesting due to the improved properties of these compounds, including enhanced biodegradability and biocompatibility, and a variety of chemical functionalities, with minimal environmental impact [12,13].

Surfactants are important tools for solving many hazardous environmental problems caused by toxic water-insoluble organic compounds [14]. There are many examples in the literature of applications of surfactants to increase the solubilization of insoluble pollutants in water, by partition of the hydrophobic organic compounds in the micellar core [15-17]. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are ubiquitous pollutants formed by incomplete combustion of organic matter due to natural and anthropogenic activities [18]. They display low water solubility and high adsorption capacity, thus their removal from soils depends largely on the desorption of the pollutant from the soil surface and its incorporation into the micelles. A variety of mixed surfactant systems was studied with the aim of obtaining better media to enhance the remediation of PAH contaminated soils, finding synergism in some mixtures [19-21]. A new and not so explored field is the application of gemini surfactants [22,23] or binary systems formed by gemini-gemini [24] or gemini-conventional surfactants on the solubilization of PAHs [25,26]. Synergism is also sometimes shown in these systems.

We had previously studied a mixture of a non-ionic surfactant (Tween 80) with an anionic one (sodium laurate), finding synergism for the solubilization of naphthalene in water [27] and for the desorption of naphthalene and phenanthrene from solid matrices [28]. The behaviour observed in that system was attributed to the formation of mixed micelles between both surfactants. In this work, we studied a new mixture of surfactants formed by the same non-ionic surfactant previously used (Tween 80) and the anionic gemini surfactant, SDDC, synthesized in our laboratory (Fig. 1). The aim of this work was two-fold: first, to characterize the new mixed micellar system, where the previous anionic surfactant was changed for a compound with two



hydrophobic chains and two anionic charges in the working conditions. The studies included surface tension, fluorometric determinations, and small-angle X-ray scattering measurements (SAXS). The changes in the structure of the anionic surfactant influenced considerably the characteristics of the mixed micellar system, providing it with different properties. Second, we proposed to analyse the application of these systems in the solubilization of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene (as representative PAHs) in water, seeking synergism in the mixture of surfactants.

2. Experimental section

2.1. Materials

Aqueous solutions were prepared from Milli Q water (Millipore purification equipment). Methanol (HPLC grade, J. T. Baker) was used as received.

PAHs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich with purity higher than 98%.

The non-ionic surfactant, Tween 80, was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and used without purification. SDDC was synthesised in the laboratory according to the literature by the reaction of cystine with decanoic chloride [11].

The solutions were prepared in buffer of pH 9.16 (0.01 M $Na_2B_4O_7$, 0.02 M NaCl) so that they could be compared with a previous study [27]. Stock solutions of PAHs were prepared in methanol.

2.2. Surface tension measurements

Surface tension of the solutions of Tween 80/SDDC was measured by a Du Nöuy ring tensiometer (Cole Parmer Surface Tensiomat 21) at 30.0 °C as previously described [27]. The surface tension value obtained was an average of at least three consecutive measurements, yielding almost the same value.

2.3. Fluorescence measurements

We determined the fluorescence spectrum of monomeric pyrene in water and the fluorescence intensity ratio (I_3/I_1) of the first $(I_1, 373 \text{ nm})$ and third $(I_3, 384 \text{ nm})$ vibronic peaks. The excitation wavelenght was 335 nm. The concentration of pyrene in the solutions was 2.0×10^{-6} M. A Perkin Elmer LS 55 fluorescence spectrometer was used for the measurements.

The determination of aggregation number was performed measuring fluorescence quenching with the same equipment, with a 1 cm optical path length quartz cell. The concentrations of the probe (pyrene) and surfactant were maintained constant ([Pyrene] = 2.0×10^{-6} M, [Surfactant]_{total} = 2.0×10^{-2} M) and the quencher concentration was varied (quencher = cetylpyridinium bromide, CPB). CPB solutions were prepared in water. The final solutions (probe, quencher, and surfactant) were shaken for five hours at 30° C in a bath. The percentage of methanol in those solutions was 0.4 vol%. The excitation wavelength was 335 nm and the spectra were registred in 350–500 nm range. The bandwidths of the excitation and fluorescence slits were both set as 3.0 nm. Pyrene forms excimers due to self-association, thus the concentration of pyrene was mantained low enough to avoid their formation [29]. All the fluorescence experiments were performed at 30 °C.

2.4. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) determinations

DLS experiments were performed using a Beckman Coulter Delsa NanoC equipment. The apparent hydrodynamic diameters of the aggregates could only be determined for pure Tween 80 and for the mixture where the molar fraction of Tween 80 was 0.8 ($\alpha_{TW80} = 0.8$). In mixtures where the amount of SDDC was larger, aggregates were too

small to be detected by this technique. The solutions were filtered with 0.45 μ m PTFE membranes prior to measurements. The scattering intensity was measured at an angle of 165°. The apparent hydrodynamic diameters were obtained using CONTIN algorithm. The polydispersity index of the measurements was always below 0.2.

2.5. SAXS experiments

SAXS measurements were performed in the SAXS-1 line at the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory, Campinas, Brazil. The incident photon wavelength was $\lambda = 1.5$ Å and the nominal sample-detector distance was 1 m. Intensity is expressed as a function of the modulus of the scattering vector $q = (4\pi \sin 2\theta/2)/\lambda$, the scattering angle being 20. We carried out two types of experiments: a) measuring the systems at a constant surfactant concentration of 8 mM, varying the molar fraction of Tween 80 in the mixtures (α_{TW80}); b) measuring the systems at constant equimolar surfactant mixture ($\alpha_{TW80} = 0.5$), varying the total surfactant concentration. Samples were placed in cells for liquids with mica windows (1 mm optical path) and temperature was maintained at 30 °C. A Pilatus 300 K X-ray detector from Dectris was used to record the two-dimensional SAXS patterns. The contribution of the buffer was subtracted as background from the SAXS profile and the resulting signal was radially integrated using Fit 2D. The scattering profile was fitted with several core-shell models for micelles employing the free software SASfit.

2.6. Solubilization tests

The solubilization of the PAHs in single and mixed surfactant solutions at different surfactant concentrations was performed as previously reported [27]. The only difference found in relation to previous determinations can be attributed to the fact that, in these experiments, temperature was maintained at 30 °C due to the value of Kraft temperature of SDDC [11].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Micellar properties of mixed surfactant systems

The *CMC* of the pure surfactants and the Tween 80/SDDC mixtures were determined by surface tension measurements (Table 1). The break points in the plots of surface tension against the logarithm of the concentration of surfactants were taken as *CMC* values. Fig. 2 shows a representative plot. From Table 1 it can be seen that the experimental *CMCs* at different compositions of the mixture range between the *CMC* values of gemini surfactant and Tween 80, except the value for $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.8$ that is even lower than the *CMC* of Tween 80.

The micropolarity of the micellar interface in pure and in the

Table	1
-------	---

Characterization	of the	aggregates	in the	mixed	system	Tween	80/SDDC ^a
onductoribution	01 111	approprieduced		muncu	0,000111	1110011	00,0000

α_{TW80}	CMC , $10^{-4} \text{M}^{\text{b}}$	I_3/I_1^{c}	N ^d	Diameter, nm
0.0 0.2 0.5 0.8 1.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	1.18 1.18 1.25 1.13 1.09	$22.9 \pm 0.5 \\ 15 \pm 1 \\ n.d.^{e} \\ 29.0 \pm 0.2 \\ 44.0 \pm 0.3 \\$	$3.8^{f} \\ 4.4^{f} \\ 6.0^{f} \\ 7.6^{f} (10.6)^{g} \\ 8.8^{f} (11.2)^{g}$

^a Buffer pH = 9.16.

^b Determined by surface tension.

^c Polarity of the aggregate interface (pyrene fluorescence).

^d Aggregation number determined by quenching of the fluorescence of pyrene in the presence of the quencher cetylpyridinium bromide.

e Not determined.

 $^{\rm f}$ SAXS (diameters were calculated considering equivalent radios, $R_{\rm equiv},$ informed in Table 2).



Fig. 2. Surface tension measurements in aqueous Tween 80 (\blacksquare), SDCC (\bullet), and Tween 80/SDCC mixture, $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.5$ (\blacktriangle); T = (30.0 ± 0.1) °C.

mixtures of surfactants was determined using pyrene as polarity probe [30] (Table 1). The ratio of the fluorescence intensity for the vibronic bands of pyrene (I_3/I_1) was higher for the gemini surfactant than for Tween 80, implying a less polar interface in the gemini (the value for this ratio was 0.63 in water [30]). This is the behaviour expected since, in general, the aggregates of anionic surfactants present less polar interfaces than those of non-ionic ones [30]. For instance, the value of $I_3/$ I_1 ratio was 1.20 for the anionic surfactant sodium dodecyl sulfate and 0.93 for the non-ionic Brij-35 [31]. The polar head of Tween 80 has several hydroxyl groups that provide higher polarity to the interface. Indeed, the value observed for this ratio in the mixtures agrees with those obtained for some alcohols, for instance 1-pentanol and 2-propanol (1.07 and 1.10 [30]). Thus, we could consider that the dielectric constant or permittivity (ε) of the mixed surfactant solutions here studied is closer to those of simple polar solvents like the alcohols mentioned (ε between 15 or 20), but not so high as the value corresponding to water (ε = 78.54), nor so low as the value for hydrocarbon solvents $(\varepsilon \sim 2)$ [30].

From the fluorescence intensity ratio of a probe like pyrene, in the presence (I) and absence (I_0) of a quencher (Q), it is possible to calculate the aggregation number (N), according to Eq. (1) [32]

$$\ln\left(\frac{Io}{I}\right) = \frac{[Q]N}{[S]_{tot} - [CMC]} \tag{1}$$

where Q is the concentration of quencher and [S]_{tot} is the total concentration of a given surfactant or a mixture of surfactants. Aggregation numbers were determined from the slope of a plot of the left-hand side of Eq. (1) (corresponding to the fluorescence of pyrene) against the quencher concentration [Q] (Q = cetylpyridinium bromide), at constant surfactant concentration. Table 1 shows the aggregation numbers obtained in this way. At $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.2$, the aggregation number was lower than that obtained for pure SDDC. At $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.5$, the values were not reproducible. This fact could be related to selective solubilization of pyrene in the different surfactants, as previously described [33]. With the further increment in α_{TW80} , *N* increased again, becoming closer to the value of pure Tween 80 (Fig. S1).

The single and mixed surfactant systems were analysed by SAXS. Fig. 3 shows the experimental X-ray scattering intensities I (q) of each individual and mixed surfactant system. All the scattering profiles tend to be horizontal at low q, suggesting the formation of micelles. The bump of micelles is displaced to higher q values for SDDC, indicating smaller micelles. The bump of pure Tween 80 is located at lower q. This indicates the largest micelles. The mixtures show proportional displacement of q (without broadening). All this suggests monodisperse mixed micelles. From these experiments it was possible to establish the shape and size of the aggregates by fitting the data to different micelle

g DLS.



Fig. 3. SAXS experimental curves of water solutions of Tween 80 (black), SDCC (red) and Tween 80/SDCC mixtures, $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.2$ (green), 0.5 (pink), and 0.8 (blue); [Surfactant]_{total} = 8 × 10⁻³ M; T = (30.0 ± 0.1) °C. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article).

 Table 2

 Summary of the main structural data from SAXS.

α_{TW80}	a ^a (nm)	b ^a (nm)	c ^a (nm)	Shell ^b (nm)	R _{equiv} ^c (nm)
0.0	2.46	1.86	1.43	0.21	1.9
0.2	2.83	2.22	1.67	0.36	2.2
0.5	3.47 (oblate)	3.47	2.21	1.43	3.0
0.8	4.64	3.98	2.86	1.61	3.8
1.0	5.71	4.72	3.35	2.18	4.4

^a a-c are the axes of the ellipsoid.

^b Shell is the thickness of the polar headgroup of the amphiphile (it is included in a-c as a constant value).

^c R_{equiv} is the radius of a sphere with identical volume to the ellipsoid (reported in Table 1 for comparison against DLS values). In the particular case of $\alpha_{TW80} = 0.5$, the two major semi-axes converge in a single value (oblate micelle).

models. The micelles were core-shell type triaxial ellipsoids. Table 2 shows the calculated axes (see the fits for the pure surfactants in Fig. S2). The values of the equivalent radios (R_{equiv}) obtained from these results are in good agreement with those determined by DLS (Table 1) and they can be extended to values not accessible by this technique. DLS values are slightly higher since this technique measures hydrodynamic radios. Finally, the increase in the concentration of both surfactants at a fixed molar ratio ($\alpha_{TW80} = 0.5$) does not alter the SAXS curve (data not shown). As a comparison, from atom level molecular dynamics simulations, considering a self-assembly of Tween 80 micellar system comprising 30 molecules in water, a prolate-like shape micelle was obtained, in agreement with the results obtained here [34].

3.2. Interaction parameters for surfactants in mixed micelles of Tween 80/SDDC

Eq. (2) (Clint equation) [35] was used to calculate the ideal *CMC* values for the different compositions of the mixtures, CMC_{ideal} , considering ideal mixing of both surfactants.

$$\frac{1}{CMC_{ideal}} = \frac{\alpha_1}{CMC_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{CMC_2}$$
(2)

In this equation, CMC_{ideal} is the critical micelle concentration of the mixture of surfactants and CMC_1 and CMC_2 are the CMC of the individual pure components 1 and 2, respectively; α_1 and α_2 represent the mole fraction of surfactants 1 and 2 in mixed surfactant solutions, respectively.

Despite Eq. (2), experimental *CMCs* of surfactant mixtures usually differ from the theoretical or ideal *CMCs* due to the interaction between



Fig. 4. Experimental *CMC* of mixtures of Tween 80/SDDC (\bigcirc). The line represents the theoretical ideal *CMC* (*CMC*_{ideal}) calculated according to Eq. (2).

the components in the mixed micelle. Indeed, there are differences between the experimental and the calculated values for the Tween 80/ SDDC mixed system. The *CMC* values observed were lower than the ideal ones, indicating negative deviation from ideal behaviour in the mixed micelles (Fig. 4).

The non-ideal behaviour of mixed surfactants has been frequently modelled by the regular solution theory. According to that, the interaction parameter, β , represents the observed deviation of the experimental *CMCs* values from the theoretical ideal *CMCs*. β can be calculated from Eqs. (3) and (4) [36],

$$1 - \frac{(x_1)^2 \ln(\alpha_1 CMC_{12}/x_1 CMC_1)}{(1 - x_1)^2 \ln[(1 - \alpha_1) CMC_{12}/(1 - x_1) CMC_2]} = 0$$
(3)

$$\beta = \frac{\ln(\alpha_1 CMC_{12}/x_1 CMC_1)}{(1-x_1)^2}$$
(4)

where CMC_1 and CMC_2 are the experimental CMC of the individual surfactants, α_1 and x_1 are the stoichiometric fraction of the non-ionic surfactant in the mixture (α_{TW80}) and in the mixed micelle, respectively. CMC_{12} is the experimental CMC determined at each composition of the mixtures (i.e., at each α_1). β is proportional to the free energy of the mixture of surfactants and represents the degree of compatibility between the surfactants in the mixtures. Negative β values imply that the interactions between the surfactants in the mixtures are attractive; however, if β is positive, the mixing of surfactants results in repulsive interactions. The β values obtained for the mixtures studied here are -2.5 and -5.9, for α_{TW80} 0.5 and 0.8, respectively. The obtention of negative β values in the mixture of anionic and non-ionic surfactants was previously observed in several mixtures [37], which can be explained considering that the electrostatic self-repulsion present in anionic surfactants, and the steric self-repulsion in the micelles of nonionic ones, may be weakened by dilution of the surfactants in the mixed micelles. However, it is important to mention that in the non-ideal solution theory there are some assumptions and approximations that preclude considering strictly the β values calculated in that way, hence, they are only useful for estimation purposes [38].

3.3. Solubilization of PAHs by single surfactant systems

We compared the effect of Tween 80 and SDDC on the solubilization of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene in buffer pH = 9.16. Fig. 5 shows the apparent solubility of naphthalene in both surfactants. A plot of the aqueous solubility of this compound vs surfactant concentration showed a linear increment above the *CMC* of each detergent, and the same behaviour was observed with the other PAHs, phenanthrene and pyrene (Fig. S3). Although the solubility of naphthalene in buffer is 1.78×10^{-6} M, from Fig. 5 it can be seen that, in the presence of 4 mM



Fig. 5. Water solubility enhancements of naphthalene by single surfactant systems at 30 °C, pH = 9.16, (\bullet) Tween 80, (\blacksquare) SDDC.

of Tween 80, it is possible to solubilize approximately 1 mM of the pollutant. This increment in the solubilized amount can be attributed to the incorporation or partition of the organic compounds within surfactant micelles. Surfactant monomers produce no effect on the solubilization of the hydrocarbons.

Molar solubilization ratio (MSR) is a measurement of the effectiveness of a determined surfactant to solubilize a given solute [39] and can be expressed by Eq. (5). MSR means the amount of moles of organic compound solubilized per mole of surfactant-forming micelles. Experimentally, MSR can be obtained for each surfactant and for each pollutant, from the slopes of the plots in Figs. 5 and S3.

$$MSR = (S_{PAH,mic} - S_{PAH,CMC})/(C_{surf} - CMC)$$
(5)

In Eq. (5), $S_{\text{PAH,CMC}}$ corresponds to the solubility of a PAH at the *CMC* of the surfactant, also corresponding to their buffer solubility; $S_{\text{PAH,mic}}$ is the total solubilized amount of the compound in micellar solution at a given surfactant concentration (higher than *CMC*); and C_{surf} is the concentration of surfactant at which $S_{\text{PAH,mic}}$ is analyzed.

Table 3 shows the values of MSR determined for naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene in the presence of both pure surfactants. The non-ionic surfactant is the most efficient, despite the fact that gemini surfactant has two hydrophobic chains, but shorter than that of Tween 80. In addition, gemini surfactant is negatively charged and presents a higher CMC than Tween 80. The non-ionic surfactant then, with a lower CMC, is more efficient than the gemini. That behaviour was previously reported by other authors. For instance, Brij 58 and Triton X-100 were more efficient at solubilizing pyrene than the cationic gemini surfactant ethane-1,2-divl bis(N,N-dimethyl-N-hexadecyl-ammoniumacetoxy) dichloride (16-E2-16). The MSR for those systems were 0.0902, 0.0134 and 0.0408 respectively [40]. The values of MSR for the non-ionic surfactants were similar to the value obtained in this work for the solubilization of pyrene in Tween 80. However, the cationic gemini surfactant seems to be more efficient than SDDC.

Another way to evaluate the effectiveness of solubilization of PAHs by surfactants is analyzing the micelle-water partition coefficient, $K_{\rm m}$. This parameter is defined as the ratio of the mole fraction of the organic compound in the micellar pseudophase ($X_{\rm m}$) to the mole fraction of the compound in the aqueous pseudophase (X_a), Eq. (6) [42]. In this equation, $X_m = MSR/(1 + MSR)$ and $X_a = [S_{PAH,CMC}]V_w$, where V_w is the molar volume of water (0.01807 L/mol at 30 °C [43]).

$$K_{\rm m} = X_m / X_a \tag{6}$$

 $K_{\rm m}$ can be then expressed as in Eq. (7):

$$K_{\rm m} = {\rm MSR} / \{ [S_{\rm PAH, CMC}] V_{\rm w} (1 + {\rm MSR}) \}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

The value of $K_{\rm m}$ is dependent on several factors: the chemistry of the surfactant and the solubilizate, and the temperature of the system. Table 3 shows the K_m values for naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene in pure surfactant solutions. The octanol-water partition coefficients $(K_{0/w})$ for each hydrocarbon are also included [41]. It is common to observe that the partition of a given solubilizate into the micellar phase increases as the K_{ow} value increases, as seen here with pure surfactants. Additionally, log K_m is higher than log $K_{o/w}$, indicating that the PAH partition efficiency is higher in the micellar phase than in the octanol phase. In this work, the values of $K_{\rm m}$ for the association of the different hydrocarbons with the gemini surfactant (Table 3) follow a linear behaviour with $K_{o/w}$ (Fig. S4), as with Tween 80 [27] and with other systems [39]. On the other hand, the values of $K_{\rm m}$ for Tween 80 are higher than the corresponding values for SDDC, thus the association between the hydrocarbons and the non-ionic surfactant is favoured. This is also evidenced by the higher MSR values obtained for Tween 80. In general, the order of solubilizing power for organic solutes by micelles has been reported to be non-ionic > cationic > anionic surfactants, having the same non-polar chain length [25]. The results obtained here are agreement with that fact.

 $K_{\rm m}$ values afford important information on the partitioning of the organic compounds in simple micelle-water systems, that can be useful for further understanding of the partition of organic compounds in mixed micelles.

3.4. Solubilization of PAHs by Tween 80/SDDC mixed systems

In this section we performed the same kind of analysis that in the previous one but with mixtures of different composition of Tween 80 and SDDC.

In order to evaluate the potential of the mixture of surfactants studied, we made some comparisons with other systems. Wei et al. analyzed the solubilization of naphthalene in the presence of the cationic gemini surfactant $C_{12-2-12}$, the nonionic $C_{12}E_{23}$, and their mixtures [44]. The solubilization of naphthalene in those individual surfactants was more important than in the surfactants used here. MSR values for cationic gemini and non-ionic surfactants were 0.1677 and 0.3953 respectively (see Table 3 for comparison with SDDC and Tween 80). However, the equimolar mixture of Tween 80/SDDC (MSR = 0.450) was more efficient than the mixture $C_{12}E_{23}/C_{12-2-12}$ (MSR = 0.3065).

The equimolar mixture of the gemini surfactant *N*,*N*-bis(dimethyldodecyl)-1,2-pentanediammonium dibromide (12-5-12) and the non-ionic hexaethylene glycol monododecyl ether ($C_{12}E_6$) showed a MSR value of 0.075 for naphtahlene and of 0.073 for pyrene [45]. That implies that this mixture is considerably less efficient at solubilizing naphthalene than the system of this work (MSR = 0.450); however, it is better at solubilizing pyrene (our MSR = 0.01).

Molar solubilization ratios and micellar affinity constants of the hydrocarbons in micellar systems^a.

РНА	MSR _{TW80}	MSR _{SDDC}	$\log K_{\rm m}^{\rm TW80}$ [27]	$\log K_{\rm m} \alpha_{\rm TW80} = 0.5$	$\log K_{\rm m}^{\rm SDDC}$	log K _{o/w} [41]
Naphthalene	0.240	0.054	4.77	4.99	4.22	3.36
Phenanthrene	0.184	0.009	6.27	6.21	5.01	4.57
Pyrene	0.080	0.004	6.47	5.61	5.15	5.18

^a pH = 9.16, T = 30 °C.

Table 3

Yadav et al. studied a mixture formed by a phosphate head group based anionic gemini surfactant (AG) and cationic conventional ones (dodecyltrimethylammonium bromide, DTAB, and tetradecyltrimethylammonium bromide, TTAB) [46]. The MSR values for pyrene solubilization by mixtures of AG/DTAB and AG/TTAB at molar relation 0.6/0.4 are 0.0124 and 0.0154 respectively. These values are comparable to the value obtained at equimolar relation of Tween 80/ SDDC (0.010).

From all these results, it is clear that it is very difficult to make generalizations, hence, it is important to evaluate each new system before application.

To quantify the mixing effect of the surfactant systems on the solubilization of PAHs, the deviation ratio (*R*) between the experimental molar solubilization ratio, MSR_{exp} , and the ideal value, MSR_{ideal} , can be defined as $R = MSR_{exp}/MSR_{ideal}$, where $MSR_{ideal} = \sum_i MSR_{i\infty i}$. MSR_i is the experimental MSR value of solubilizate in the pure *i*-th surfactant solution, whose bulk mole fraction in the mixture is α_i . A *R* value higher than 1 implies a positive mixing effect of the surfactants on solubilization, i.e., synergism.

The solubilities of the PAHs were measured as a function of the total surfactant concentration in Tween 80/SDDC mixtures at different α_{TW80} . The mixtures increased the solubility of each hydrocarbon with respect to buffer. The calculated values of R were higher than 1 (between 1.5 and 2.3) for naphthalene and phenanthrene but lower than 1 for pyrene (Table S1). In the last case, it seems that the mixtures were worst when the amount of Tween increased. Fig. 6 shows these results, comparing the solubilized PAH amount by the single surfactants and an equimolar mixture of both. It is clear that in those conditions, the mixture is more efficient than the individual surfactants at solubilizing naphthalene and phenanthrene, but not pyrene. Although the interaction between the surfactants is favourable from the parameter β obtained, the solubilization of pyrene is not improved in the mixture, being better in Tween 80 alone. Hydrophilic-hydrophilic interactions between surfactant molecules occuring at the mixed micelle-water interface could affect the solubilization of the less hydrophobic compound, in this case, naphthalene. In the micellar core, instead, hydrophobic-hydrophobic interactions take place, affecting mainly the solubilization of the pyrene, the most hydrophobic compound. As mentioned, the strong interaction between both surfactants, with the concomitant formation of a more closely packed micelle, could prevent pyrene entering the micellar phase from aqueous phase by diffusion, finally reducing the solubilization capability of the micelle. Similar results have been observed in other systems, for instance for the solubilization of phenanthrene in Tween 80/sodium laurate mixtures, where no synergism was observed [27]. Wei et al. also found no synergic effect in the study of solubilization of PAHs in equimolar mixed gemini surfactant solutions, although in those systems the CMCs were also lower than the ideal *CMC*, and β values were negative [15].



In mixed surfactant systems with a very negative β value, the micelle becomes densely packed [25], which can reduce its solubilization capability. It is also known that as the compound becomes bigger, its sits more deeply in the interior of the micelle, compared with the smaller compound [47]. Fatma et al. reported that in mixtures of a cationic gemini surfactant (ethane-1,2-diyl bis(N,N-dimethyl-N-dodecylammoniumacetoxy) dichloride) and conventional surfactants. pyrene is incorporated in the non-polar micellar core, whereas in a smaller compound, anthracene, the probable localization site is the palisade layer [48]. In the other hand, in the study of the effect of aqueous micellar solution of dodecylammonium trifluoroacetate on the solubilization of PAHs, a change was found in the solubilization site of the hydrocarbon with the increment in the number of carbon atoms. confirming that the relation of size and hydrophobicity of the hydrocarbon and the polar region of the micelle determines solubilization effects [47]. Taking into account the negative β values in this system and the large size of pyrene, both effects can be combined to produce a reduction in the solubilization of pyrene into the micelles. Table 3 shows the $K_{\rm m}$ values obtained for the hydrocarbons in an equimolar mixture of Tween 80/SDDC. Km values are higher in the mixture with α_{TW80} 0.5 than those in SDDC alone. However, the value for pyrene is notably low, K_m being lower than the value in Tween 80. The affinity of naphthalene for the mixed micelle is higher than that for the individual surfactants, whereas for phenanthrene, it is practically the same as that for the Tween 80 micelles. The association constant of pyrene and the mixed micelles is considerably lower than with Tween 80, according to the lack of synergism found in this system.

The variation in the behaviour observed in the mixed systems studied (synergism for solubilization of naphthalene and phenanthrene and inhibition for the solubilization of pyrene) depends upon the surfactant molecular microstructure (packing of surfactant molecules in the mixed micelle core) and on the surfactant-solute interaction in the mixed micelles.

The mixing effect seen on the solubilization of the hydrocarbons studied (synergism or inhibition) confirms a non-ideal interaction among the surfactants in the mixtures [49].

4. Conclusions

We investigated the properties of mixed surfactant solutions formed by a commercial surfactant, Tween 80, and the lipoamino acid derivative SDDC. The mixed micelles had triaxial ellipsoid shape with properties in between both pure surfactants. The mixtures showed a non-ideal behaviour as indicated by a lower *CMC* than that of the individual surfactants, and negative interaction parameter, β , values.

The solubility of naphthalene, phenanthrene, and pyrene was measured in solutions of the individual surfactants and in their mixtures to gain additional information on the nature of the interaction of surfactants. All the amphiphilic systems solubilized higher concentrations of pollutants than pure water, Tween 80 being a better system than the gemini surfactant. The mixture of both surfactants proved to be more efficient at solubilizing naphthalene and phenanthrene, as compared with the values calculated for a mixture considering individual behaviour. For pyrene, however, no synergism on the solubilization was observed in the mixture of surfactants. This different behaviour is probably related to different solubilization sites of the hydrocarbons in the aggregates and to a very attractive interaction of both surfactants in the mixture, making a compact micelle precluding the deep enough incorporation of pyrene. When discussing the mixing effect of surfactants on the solubilization of PAHs, the CMC and structure of the surfactants, as well as the polarity of the solutes and their association constants with the micellar phase, must be considered simultaneously. They are all important factors influencing the solubilization of organic compounds by mixed surfactant solutions.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by Agencia Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCyT, PICT 2010–2001), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET, PIP 112-201101-00441), Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Provincia deCórdoba (MINCyT, PID 2009–2011) and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba (UNC), Argentina (05/C579). We thank the Brazilian Synchrotron Light Laboratory (Beamline SAXS-1) for beamline under project 20150067. MAF and RGO hold researcher positions at CONICET. LCCS and EMPG thank CONICET for the fellowships granted.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2017.08.011.

References

- L.E. Scheeren, D.R. Nogueira, L.B. Macedo, M.P. Vinardell, M. Mitjans, M.R. Infante, C.M.B. Rolim, PEGylated and poloxamer-modified chitosan nanoparticles incorporating a lysine-based surfactant for pH-triggered doxorubicin release, Colloid Surf. B: Biointerfaces 138 (2016) 117–127.
- [2] A. Bhadani, T. Misono, S. Singh, K. Sakai, H. Sakai, M. Abe, Structural diversity, physicochemical properties and application of imidazolium surfactants: recent advances, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 231 (2016) 36–58.
- [3] M.A. Hegazy, A.Y. El-Etre, M. El-Shafaie, K.M. Berry, Novel cationic surfactants for corrosion inhibition of carbon steel pipelines in oil and gas wells applications, J. Mol. Liq. 214 (2016) 347–356.
- [4] F.M. Menger, C.A. Littau, Gemini surfactants: a new class of self-assembling molecules, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 115 (1993) 10083–10090.
- [5] S. Das, I. Mukherjee, B.K. Paul, S. Ghosh, Physicochemical behaviors of cationic gemini surfactant (14-4-14) based microheterogeneous assemblies, Langmuir 30 (2014) 12483–12493.
- [6] K. Sakai, S. Umezawa, M. Tamura, Y. Takamatsu, K. Tsuchiya, K. Torigoe, T. Ohkubo, T. Yoshimura, K. Esumi, H. Sakai, M. Abe, Adsorption and micellization behavior of novel gluconamide-type gemini surfactants, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 318 (2008) 440–448.
- [7] S.Q. Fu, J.W. Guo, X. Zhong, Z. Yang, X.F. Lai, Synthesis, physicochemical property and antibacterial activity of gemini quaternary ammonium salts with a rigid spacer, RSC Adv. 6 (2016) 16507–16515.
- [8] J. Lakra, D. Tikahira, T. Yadav, S. Das, S. Ghosh, M. Satnami, K. Ghosh, Mixed micellization of gemini and cationic surfactants: physicochemical properties and solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 451 (2014) 56–65.
- [9] L. Zhang, L. Luo, S. Zhao, J. Yu, Studies of synergism/antagonism for lowering dynamic interfacial tensions in surfactant/alkali/acidic oil systems, part 2: synergism/antagonism in binary surfactant mixtures, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 251 (2002) 166–171.
- [10] M.S. Bakshi, G. Kaur, I. Ahmad, Synergistic interactions in mixed micelles of alkyltriphenylphosphonium bromides and triblock polymers, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 253 (2005) 1–8.
- [11] H. Fan, F. Han, Z. Liu, L. Qin, Z. Li, D. Liang, F. Ke, J. Huang, H. Fu, Active control of surface properties and aggregation behavior in amino acid-based gemini surfactant systems, J. Colloid Interface Sci 321 (2008) 227–234.
- [12] L. Pérez, A. Pinazo, R. Pons, M.R. Infante, Gemini surfactants from natural amino acids, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 205 (2014) 134–155.
- [13] R. Bordes, K. Holmberg, Physical chemical characteristics of dicarboxylic amino acid-based surfactants, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 391 (2011) 32–41.
- [14] B. Yang, Y. Zhang, S. Deng, G. Yu, Y. Lu, J. Wu, J. Xiao, G. Chen, X. Cheng, L. Shi, Reductive degradation of chlorinated organic pollutants-contaminated water by bimetallic Pd/Al nanoparticles: effect of acidic condition and surfactants, Chem. Eng. J. 234 (2013) 346–353.
- [15] J. Wei, G. Huang, C. An, H. Yu, Investigation on the solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the presence of single and mixed gemini surfactants, J. Hazard. Mater. 190 (2011) 840–847.
- [16] Q. Zeng, S. Peng, M. Liu, Z. Song, X. Wang, X. Zhang, S. Hong, Solubilization and adsorption behaviors of 2,4,6-trichlorophenol in the presence of surfactants, Chem. Eng. J. 230 (2013) 202–209.
- [17] I. Xiarchos, D. Doulia, Effect of nonionic surfactants on the solubilization of alachlor, J. Hazard. Mater. B 136 (2006) 882–888.
- [18] E. Gallego, F.J. Roca, J.F. Perales, X. Guardino, M.J. Berenguer, VOCs and PAHs emissions from creosote-treated wood in a field storage area, Sci. Total Environ. 402 (2008) 130–138.
- [19] Z. Shi, J. Chen, J. Liu, N. Wang, Z. Sun, X. Wang, Anionic-nonionic mixed-surfactant-enhanced remediation of PAH-contaminated soil, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 22 (2015) 12769–12774.

- [20] W. Zhou, L. Zhu, Enhanced desorption of phenanthrene from contaminated soil using anionic/nonionic mixed surfactant, Environm. Pollut. 147 (2007) 350–357.
- [21] J. Long, L. Li, Y. Jin, H. Sun, Y. Zheng, S. Tian, Synergistic solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by mixed micelles composed of a photoresponsive surfactant and a conventional non-ionic surfactant, Sep. Purif. Technol. 160 (2016) 11–17.
- [22] A.A. Serdyuk, A.B. Mirgorodskaya, I.V. Kapitanov, N. Gathergood, L.Y. Zakharova, O.G. Sinyashin, Y. Karpichev, Effect of structure of polycyclic aromatic substrates on solubilization capacity and size of cationic monomeric and gemini 14-s-14surfactant aggregates, Colloid Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 509 (2016) 613–622.
- [23] J. Wei, J. Li, G. Huang, X. Wang, G. Chen, B. Zhao, Adsorptive removal of naphthalene induced by structurally different Gemini surfactants in a soil-water system, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23 (2016) 18034–18042.
- [24] M. Panda, N. Fatma, K. -ud-Din, Enhanced aqueous solubility of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by green diester-linked cationic gemini surfactants and their binary solutions, J. Mol. Struct. 1115 (2016) 109–116.
- [25] M. Panda, K. -ud-Din, Solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by gemini-conventional mixed surfactant systems, J. Mol. Liq. 187 (2013) 106–113.
- [26] J. Wei, G. Huang, H. Yu, C. An, Efficiency of single and mixed gemini/conventional micelles on solubilization of phenanthrene, Chem. Eng. J. 168 (2011) 201–207.
- [27] P.S. Sales, R.H. de Rossi, M.A. Fernández, Different behaviors in the solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in water induced by mixed surfactant solutions, Chemosphere 84 (2011) 1700–1707.
- [28] P.S. Sales, M.A. Fernández, Synergism in the desorption of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from soil models by mixed surfactant solutions, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 23 (2016) 10158–10164.
- [29] G. Basu Ray, I. Chakraborty, S.P. Moulik, Pyrene absorption can be a convenient method for probing critical micellar concentration (cmc) and indexing micellar polarity, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 294 (2006) 248–254.
- [30] K. Kalyanasundaram, J.K. Thomas, Environmental effects on vibronic band intensities in pyrene monomer fluorescence and their application in studies of micellar systems, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 99 (1977) 2039–2044.
- [31] M.F. Torres, R.H. de Rossi, M.A. Fernández, Hydrolysis of phenyl trifluoroacetate in mixed surfactant systems, J. Phys. Org. Chem 29 (2016) 665–671.
- [32] N.J. Turro, A. Yekta, Luminescent probes for detergent solutions. A simple procedure for determination of the mean aggregation number of micelles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 5951–5952.
- [33] T.Y. Nakano, G. Sugihara, T. Nakashima, S.C. Yu, Thermodynamic study of mixed hydrocarbon/fluorocarbon surfactant system by conductimetric and fluorimetric techniques, Langmuir 18 (2002) 8777–8785.
- [34] R.A. Karjiban, M. Basri, M.B.A. Rahman, A.B. Salleh, Structural properties of nonionic Tween 80 micelle in water elucidated by molecular dynamics simulation, APCBEE Procedia 3 (2012) 287–297.
- [35] J.H. Clint, Surfactant Aggregation, Blackie/Chapman & Hall, Glasgow/New York, 1992 pp. 131.
- [36] P.M. Holland, D.N. Rubingh, Nonideal multicomponent mixed micelle model, J. Phys. Chem. 87 (1983) 1984–1990.
- [37] Q. Zhou, M.J. Rosen, Molecular interactions of surfactants in mixed monolayers at the air/aqueous solution interface and in mixed micelles in aqueous media: the regular solution approach, Langmuir 19 (2003) 4555–4562.
- [38] M.J. Rosen, Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena, 3rd ed., Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2004 pp. 398.
- [39] D.A. Edwards, R.G. Luthy, Z. Liu, Solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in micellar nonionic surfactant solutions, Environ. Sci. Technol. 25 (1991) 127–133.
- [40] W.H. Ansari, N. Fatma, M. Panda, K. -ud-Din, Solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by novel biodegradable cationic gemini surfactant ethane-1, 2-diyl bis(*N*,*N*-dimethyl-*N*-hexadecyl-ammoniumacetoxy) dichloride and its binary mixtures with conventional surfactants, Soft Matter 9 (2013) 1478–1487.
- [41] Y.-J. An, E.R. Carraway, M.A. Schlautman, Solubilization of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons by perfluorinated surfactant micelles, Water Res. 36 (2002) 300–308.
- [42] K. Hayase, S. Hayano, The distribution of higher alcohols in aqueous micellar solutions, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 50 (1977) 83–85.
- [43] H. Siddiqui, M. Kamil, M. Panda, K. -ud-Din, Solubilization of phenanthrene and fluorene in equimolar binary mixtures of gemini/conventional surfactants, Chin. J. Chem. Eng. 22 (2014) 1009–1015.
- [44] J. Wei, G. Huang, L. Zhu, S. Zhao, C. An, Y. Fan, Enhanced aqueous solubility of naphthalene and pyrene by binary and ternary gemini cationic and conventional nonionic surfactants, Chemosphere 89 (2012) 1347–1353.
- [45] U. Patel, P. Parekh, N.V. Sastry, V.K. Aswal, P. Bahadur, Surface activity, micellization and solubilization of cationic gemini surfactant-conventional surfactants mixed systems, J. Mol. Liq. 225 (2017) 888–896.
- [46] S.K. Yadav, K. Parikh, S. Kumar, Solubilization potentials of single and mixed oppositely charged gemini surfactants: a case of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 514 (2017) 47–55.
- [47] T. Morisue, Y. Moroi, O. Shibata, Solubilization of benzene, naphthalene, anthracene, and pyrene in dodecylammonium trifluoroacetate micelles, J. Phys. Chem. 98 (1994) 12995–13000.
- [48] N. Fatma, M. Panda, W.H. Ansari, K-ud Din, Solubility enhancement of anthracene and pyrene in the mixtures of a cleavable cationic gemini surfactant with conventional surfactants of different polarities, Colloids Surf. A: Physicochem. Eng. Aspects 467 (2015) 9–17.
- [49] M.J. Rosen, Q. Zhou, Surfactant-surfactant interactions in mixed monolayer and mixed micelle formation, Langmuir 17 (2001) 3532–3537.