

Biological characterization and mating compatibility of *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* (D.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations from different regions in Argentina

M.I. Herrero¹, S.V. Fogliata¹, A. Vera², A. Casmuz²,
 D. Sosa Gómez³, A.P. Castagnaro¹, G. Gastaminza² and
 M.G. Murúa^{1*}

¹Instituto de Tecnología Agroindustrial del Noroeste Argentino, Estación Experimental Agroindustrial Obispo Colombres, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (ITANOA-EEAOC-CONICET), Las Talitas 4001, Tucumán, Argentina; ²EEAOC, Las Talitas 4001, Tucumán, Argentina; ³EMBRAPA Soja, Rodovia João Strass, S/N, Acesso Orlando Amaral, CP 231, Londrina, PR 86001-970, Brazil

Abstract

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon, the South American bollworm, is a polyphagous pest of the Heliothinae complex that causes damage to soybean, cotton, and chickpea crops. Some species within this complex have developed resistance to genetically modified crops and insecticides, which has led to increased interest in their genetic diversity and population structure. The objective of this study was to characterize biological and reproductive parameters of two populations of *H. gelotopoeon* collected in two different provinces of Argentina. Intra- and inter-population crosses revealed that *H. gelotopoeon* populations from both regions of Argentina did not present evidence of pre-zygotic and post-zygotic incompatibility, suggesting that Tucumán and Córdoba populations of *H. gelotopoeon* belong to a single wide-ranging species. Our data support the occurrence of substantial gene flow between *H. gelotopoeon* populations, probably due to the widely documented, long-range migratory capacity of Heliothinae species.

Keywords: South American bollworm, biology, reproductive compatibility, fitness, insect resistance management

(Accepted 19 May 2017)

Introduction

The subfamily Heliothinae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) encompasses 381 described species, many of which are important agricultural pests. The genera *Helicoverpa* Hardwick and *Chloridea* Duncan and Westwood (Pogue, 2013) contain several

economically important pest species, which are native to South America including *H. gelotopoeon* (Dyar), *H. zea* (Boddie), *C. virescens* (Fabricius), *C. subflexa* (Guenée), and *C. tergemina* (Felder & Rogenhofer) (Mitter *et al.*, 1993; Pastrana, 2004; Pogue, 2013). The South American invasion of *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner added a new species into the Heliothinae complex; this species is now found in Brazil (Czepak *et al.*, 2013; Specht *et al.*, 2013; Tay *et al.*, 2013), Paraguay (Senave, 2013), Argentina (Murúa *et al.*, 2014), Bolivia, and Uruguay (Kriticos *et al.*, 2015). In addition to *H. armigera*, three other Heliothinae species are present in the North of Argentina: *H. gelotopoeon*, *H. zea*, and *C. virescens* (Murúa *et al.*, 2016).

*Author for correspondence
 Phone: (54) 381-521000
 Fax: (54) 381-521000 int 154
 E-mail: gmurua@eeaoc.org.ar

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon, the South American bollworm, is a polyphagous pest and has been reported in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.; Malvales: Malvaceae), alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.; Fabales: Fabaceae), sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.; Asterales: Asteraceae), soybean (*Glycine max* L.; Fabales: Fabaceae), chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.; Fabales: Fabaceae), and corn (*Zea mays* L.; Poales: Poaceae) (Pastrana, 2004; Navarro et al., 2009). Larvae cause damage in the vegetative and reproductive plant growth stages. *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* occurs in Argentina, Chile, southern Brazil, Paraguay, and Uruguay (Pastrana, 2004; Navarro et al., 2009). In Tucumán and other provinces of Argentina, *H. gelotopoeon* is a major pest of soybean and can be difficult to control with insecticides (Navarro et al., 2009; Scalora et al., 2012). Consequently, it causes a significant economic impact, since Argentina is the third major soybean producer in the world, covering an area of 20.1 million hectares (Bolsa de Cereales, 2016). *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* also affects cotton and chickpea, and damage is most severe when these crops are grown in succession (Pastrana, 2004; Fichetti et al., 2009; Navarro et al., 2009; Scalora et al., 2012).

The bioecological characteristics of this Heliothinae complex, in addition to the numerous occurrences of insecticide resistance within this subfamily (Forrester, 1990; Forrester et al., 1993; Armes et al., 1996), necessitate establishing alternatives to insecticide control for the 34 species of *Helicoverpa*. Since 1996, *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) crops have been the primary tool for managing major pests, such as *H. armigera*, *H. zea*, and *C. virescens*, in cotton and corn fields worldwide (Tabashnik et al., 2009; Blanco et al., 2016). Currently, genetically modified soybeans are one method to control primary crop pests, but these also impact on secondary pests, such as *H. gelotopoeon* and *H. zea* (MacRae et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2005; Casmuz et al., 2014; Cazado et al., 2014; Monsanto, 2014).

Helicoverpa armigera, *H. zea*, and *C. virescens* have developed resistance to insecticides and *Bt* Cry proteins (Forrester et al., 1993; Gould et al., 1995; Armes et al., 1996; Hardee et al., 2001; Li et al., 2007; Mahon et al., 2007; Pietrantonio et al., 2007; Gao et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Bird & Downes, 2014; Brévault et al., 2015; Reisig & Reay-Jones, 2015; Tay et al., 2015); this has led to increased interest in understanding Heliothinae genetic diversity and gene flow among its populations and the possible exchange of alleles between geographically distant populations. Some insect populations identified as the same species may yet display different biological and genetic traits and show reproductive isolation, preventing complete gene flow among populations. Therefore, identifying cryptic species is important to not only explain phytophagous insect evolution but also help understand implications for insect pest management and the emergence of insecticide and *Bt* resistance (Pérez Contreras, 1999; Rull et al., 2012). In general, unstructured genetic populations have been reported for *H. armigera*, *H. zea*, and *C. virescens* species, which were based on several molecular markers, including mtDNA, allozymes, and microsatellites (Korman et al., 1993; Roehrdanz et al., 1994; Nibouche et al., 1998; Zhou et al., 2000; Han & Caprio, 2002, 2004; Behere et al., 2007; Endersby et al., 2007; Groot et al., 2011; Perera & Blanco, 2011; Asokan et al., 2012; Leite et al., 2014; Arneodo et al., 2015). However, other studies (Nibouche et al., 1998; Khiaban et al., 2010; Domingues et al., 2012; Yenagi et al., 2012), have found genetic population structure in different species of this complex.

Genetically distinct populations of insects can vary in their susceptibility to control tactics (Joyce et al., 2014); therefore,

understanding the biology and genetic population structure of *H. gelotopoeon* is essential for the development of sustainable management strategies. The objective of this study was to characterize biological and reproductive parameters of two *H. gelotopoeon* populations collected in Tucumán and Córdoba provinces of Argentina. Our research provided detailed information about South American bollworm biology, unknown until now, and will be useful to define the management strategies for this species in Argentina.

Materials and methods

Insect collections

Helicoverpa gelotopoeon larvae were collected from September to October 2014 in commercial chickpea fields located in two Argentine provinces. In Tucumán province (Northwestern region), collections were made in San Agustín county ($26^{\circ}50'21''S$, $64^{\circ}51'32''W$), and in Córdoba province (Pampas region), collections were made in Marcos Juárez county ($32^{\circ}43'14.25''S$, $62^{\circ}07'00.30''W$). Each sampling location was treated as a population. At each sampling site, a minimum of 300 larvae (instars 2–5) were collected and placed individually in glass tubes (12 cm high and 1.5 cm diameter) with pieces of artificial diet. Collected larvae were returned to the laboratory and placed in breeding chambers under controlled conditions ($27 \pm 2^{\circ}C$, 70–75% relative humidity, 14 : 10 light : dark photoperiod) until adult emergence. Then, all adults that emerged in the laboratory were examined using male genitalia to confirm the species according to Velasco de Stacul et al. (1969). Sampled insects from each of these populations were deposited as voucher specimens in the insect collection of the Sección Zoología Agrícola, Estación Experimental Agroindustrial Obispo Colombres, Tucumán, Argentina.

Insect rearing

Approximately 250 adults (125 females and 125 males) were randomly selected from reared larvae to establish an experimental colony for each population. Adults were arranged in five cylindrical oviposition cages (40 cm high and 20 cm diameter) lined with polyethylene bags with approximately 25 females and 25 males per cage. For aeration, both ends of the cage were covered with a nylon cloth. Each population was maintained in the same chamber under identically controlled conditions at $27 \pm 2^{\circ}C$, 70–75% relative humidity and a photoperiod of 14 : 10 light:dark. The food for adults was provided via a cotton plug saturated with a mixture of honey and water (1 : 1 volume : volume) which was replaced every day. Cages were checked daily for oviposition and adult mortality. Rearing of each population was maintained between September 2014 and February 2015.

Eggs deposited in the cages were collected and put into plastic containers of 1000 ml. Once emerged, neonate larvae were placed individually in glass tubes with artificial larval diet that included chickpea flour (Grandiet®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), wheat germ (Grandiet®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), brewer's yeast (Calsa®, Tucumán, Argentina), vitamin C (Anedra®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), sorbic acid (Anedra®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), sodium benzoate (TodoDroga®, Córdoba, Argentina), vitamin supplement amino acids (Ruminal®, Buenos Aires, Argentina), and methylparaben (Todo Droga®, Córdoba, Argentina) (Murúa et al., 2003). Artificial diet was replaced every 2–3 days. As

larvae pupated, pupae were sexed and placed in containers with moistened filter paper until adult emergence. Adults were used to initiate a new generation. After establishing a colony for each population, individuals from the second to the fourth generation were used for studies of fitness and reproductive compatibility.

Biology of South American bollworm populations from different provinces

From each experimental colony of *H. gelotopoeon*, five groups of neonate larvae were randomly selected (total 120 and 90 larvae of Tucumán and Córdoba province, respectively) to analyze the following parameters: duration of larval instars and pupal stage, pupal mass (obtained 24 h after pupation), and adult sex ratio.

From the adults obtained, a set of approximately 35 females and 35 males of Tucumán population, and 22 females and 22 males of Córdoba population were randomly selected to determine incubation period, longevity, and reproductive parameters. One virgin female and one virgin male (24 h old) from the same population were placed in cylindrical oviposition cages similar to those described above (25 cm high and 15 cm diameter) (Tucumán population, $N=35$; Córdoba population, $N=22$). These single pair matings represented the parental cross that was used for reproductive compatibility studies. Moths were maintained in this cage, with mortality and oviposition recorded daily. Dead females were dissected to establish the number of spermatophores present in their reproductive tract to determine whether or not mating had occurred. Pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition period (number of days that a female survives after its last oviposition); total fecundity (number of eggs deposited by a female during her entire life period); incubation period; total fertility (percentage of eggs hatching); and adult longevity were recorded.

Reproductive compatibility between South American bollworm populations from different provinces

A crossing experiment was performed to determine reproductive compatibility between Tucumán and Córdoba populations, according to the methodologies described by Pashley & Martin (1987); Pashley *et al.* (1990); Lopez-Edwards *et al.* (1999); Murúa *et al.* (2008) and Fogliata *et al.* (2016). To determine compatibility, we used one virgin female and one virgin male of 24 h old. Four different types of crosses were performed: (i) parental crosses using parents from the same population, (ii) hybrid crosses using one parent of each population, (iii) backcrosses with the female progenitor as the recurrent parent and backcrosses with the male progenitor as the recurrent parent, and (iv) inter-hybrid mating crosses between F1 hybrids from different populations.

A subset of larval progeny (F1) from each fertile cross was monitored for survival until pupation and then reared to adulthood. The parameters measured to determine compatibility were: number of spermatophores; pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition period duration; total fecundity; and total fertility.

Data analysis

Fitness data between both populations were compared by Wilcoxon rank-sum test (Lehmann, 1975) ($P<0.05$). For the

reproductive compatibility data, due to the high number of combinations, the performance of all parental crosses was compared with the results of other types of crosses (hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings) using Kruskal-Wallis (1952) test ($P<0.05$).

For all studies, pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition periods were compared for those females that laid eggs. Total fecundity was compared for all females including those that laid no eggs. For total fertility, females that laid eggs but had no spermatophores were not included. All data were analyzed using InfoStat (2006).

Results

Biological and reproductive parameters

All adults from Tucumán and Córdoba sampled were identified as *H. gelotopoeon* based on the morphology of male genitalia (Velasco de Stacul, 1969).

In total, 35 and 22 parental crosses were used to determine biological and reproductive parameters of Tucumán and Córdoba populations, respectively. The duration of each life stage and reproductive parameters are presented in table 1. The biological parameters that showed significant differences between Tucumán and Córdoba populations were: incubation period ($W=3969$; $P=0.0005$), third instar larval development time ($W=7055$; $P=0.0014$) and female longevity ($W=294.50$; $P=0.0438$), which were all longer in duration for the Tucumán population and pupal mass ($W=1982$, $P=0.0066$) that was larger for the Córdoba population (table 1). Differences in reproductive parameters only occurred in pre-oviposition period ($W=451$; $P=0.0155$), which was longer for the Tucumán population.

Reproductive compatibility between South American bollworm populations

In total, 57 parental crosses, 35 hybrid crosses, 93 backcrosses, and 56 inter-hybrid matings were performed between Tucumán and Córdoba populations (table 2). No significant differences were observed in reproductive parameters between both populations. In general, all hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings showed more similar values than both parental crosses for all parameters (tables 2 and 3).

Discussion

This study compared biological and reproductive demographic traits and mating compatibility between two *H. gelotopoeon* populations collected from chickpea in two regions of Argentina. *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* populations from Tucumán and Córdoba showed similar biological and reproductive characteristics when reared on artificial diet in the laboratory. However, differences in incubation period, third instar larval development time, female longevity, pupal mass, and pre-oviposition period were found. These parameters were higher for the Tucumán population, but the pupal mass was higher for Córdoba population (table 1).

Results of our study showed that the South American bollworm populations from Tucumán and Córdoba provinces complete a single generation (from egg to adult) in approximately 40 and 38 days under laboratory conditions. A different development time was reported by Navarro *et al.* (2009) for this species, but a similar observation was reported by Naseri

Table 1. Duration in days (mean \pm SE) of egg, larval (L1–L5), and pupal stages; pupal mass (mg); female, male, and total longevity (days); sex ratio (F : M); and life span of *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* populations collected in Tucumán and Córdoba provinces in Argentina and reared at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, 70–75% RH, and 14L:10D.

| Life cycle stages | Tucumán population | n | Range | Córdoba population | n | Range |
|---------------------------|---------------------|------|---------|---------------------|------|---------|
| Egg | 4.07 \pm 0.13a | 9507 | 2–7 | 3.41 \pm 0.13b | 9689 | 2–6 |
| L1 | 2.54 \pm 0.06a | 116 | 2–4 | 2.7 \pm 0.07a | 87 | 2–4 |
| L2 | 2.24 \pm 0.08a | 116 | 1–4 | 2.04 \pm 0.06a | 87 | 1–4 |
| L3 | 1.94 \pm 0.04a | 111 | 1–3 | 1.73 \pm 0.09b | 83 | 1–4 |
| L4 | 2.14 \pm 0.07a | 111 | 1–6 | 1.99 \pm 0.08a | 82 | 1–4 |
| L5 | 5.81 \pm 0.14a | 108 | 2–11 | 5.87 \pm 0.14a | 62 | 3–9 |
| Overall larval stage | 14.75 \pm 0.18a | 107 | 13–24 | 14.24 \pm 0.18a | 62 | 12–19 |
| Pupa | 12.79 \pm 0.34a | 90 | 9–20 | 11.86 \pm 0.33a | 49 | 8–17 |
| Pupal mass | 224 \pm 5.6a | 61 | 149–310 | 253 \pm 9.3b | 34 | 131–434 |
| Female longevity | 12.68 \pm 0.8a | 35 | 4–21 | 9.75 \pm 1.07b | 22 | 4–17 |
| Male longevity | 13 \pm 1.06a | 35 | 2–23 | 11.18 \pm 0.98a | 22 | 4–19 |
| Sex ratio ♀:♂ | 1: 1.04 | 90 | | 1: 1.3 | 49 | |
| Spermatophores per female | 1.29 \pm 0.12a | 35 | 1–4 | 1.27 \pm 0.12a | 22 | 1–3 |
| Pre-oviposition period | 4.91 \pm 0.31a | 34 | 3–10 | 3.81 \pm 0.42b | 21 | 1–8 |
| Oviposition period | 5.44 \pm 0.68a | 34 | 1–17 | 4.86 \pm 0.63a | 21 | 1–11 |
| Post-oviposition period | 1.74 \pm 0.39a | 34 | 0–8 | 2.19 \pm 0.47a | 21 | 0–7 |
| Total fecundity | 340.74 \pm 51.49a | 35 | 0–1326 | 517.68 \pm 84.11a | 22 | 0–1397 |
| Total fertility | 79.73 \pm 1.55a | 33 | 44–92 | 84.35 \pm 1.81a | 19 | 63–98 |

Values followed by same letters within a row are not significantly different according to Wilcoxon test ($P > 0.05$).

Table 2. Number (mean \pm SE) of spermatophores per female; duration of pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition periods; total fecundity (number of eggs per female); and total fertility (percentage of egg hatch) of *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* parental crosses, hybrid crosses, backcrosses, and inter-hybrid matings using populations collected in Tucumán and Córdoba provinces in Argentina and reared at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, 70–75% RH, and 14L:10D.

| | Spermatophores per female | Pre-oviposition period (days) | Oviposition period (days) | Post-oviposition period (days) | Fecundity | Fertility |
|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Parental crosses | 1.28 \pm 0.09a (57) | 4.49 \pm 0.26a (55) | 5.22 \pm 0.49a (55) | 1.91 \pm 0.3a (55) | 409.04 \pm 46.31a (55) | 81.45 \pm 1.22a (52) |
| Hybrid crosses | 0.86 \pm 0.11a (35) | 4.36 \pm 0.26a (28) | 5.18 \pm 0.62a (28) | 1.71 \pm 0.38a (28) | 366.81 \pm 60.58a (26) | 75.96 \pm 2.73a (23) |
| Backcrosses | 1.19 \pm 0.11a (93) | 4.51 \pm 0.26a (75) | 4.83 \pm 0.36a (75) | 2.41 \pm 0.27a (75) | 375.26 \pm 37.52a (70) | 77.68 \pm 1.43a (58) |
| Inter-hybrid matings | 1.27 \pm 0.15a (56) | 5.03 \pm 0.38a (36) | 6.06 \pm 0.54a (36) | 2.44 \pm 0.37a (36) | 297.88 \pm 40.81a (43) | 77.95 \pm 1.67a (33) |

Values followed by same letters within a column are not significantly different according to Kruskal–Wallis test ($P > 0.05$).

Table 3. Number (mean \pm SE) of mated female, total fecundity (number of eggs per female), and total fertility (percentage egg hatch) of each *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* crosses and backcrosses using populations collected in Tucumán (T) and Córdoba (C) provinces in Argentina and reared at $25 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$, 70–75% RH, and 14L:10D.

| Type of crosses | ♀ | ♂ | N° paired | Mated female | Fecundity | Fertility |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Parental crosses | T | T | 35 | 35 | 340.74 \pm 51.49 (35) | 79.73 \pm 1.55 (33) |
| Parental crosses | C | C | 22 | 22 | 517.68 \pm 84.11 (22) | 89.35 \pm 1.81 (19) |
| Hybrid crosses | T | C | 17 | 13 | 389.23 \pm 85.03 (13) | 71.53 \pm 3.82 (12) |
| Hybrid crosses | C | T | 18 | 13 | 344.38 \pm 89.30 (13) | 80.80 \pm 3.50 (11) |
| Backcrosses | C | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | 16 | 12 | 379.08 \pm 101.32 (12) | 82.07 \pm 2.08 (10) |
| Backcrosses | C | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | 14 | 10 | 280 \pm 99.19 (10) | 76.61 \pm 5.38 (9) |
| Backcrosses | T | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | 12 | 12 | 473.58 \pm 78.91 (12) | 77.72 \pm 3.63 (11) |
| Backcrosses | T | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | 13 | 8 | 183.38 \pm 87.50 (8) | 79.64 \pm 4.40 (5) |
| Backcrosses | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | C | 8 | 5 | 563.40 \pm 140.97 (5) | 68.70 \pm 6.95 (4) |
| Backcrosses | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | T | 10 | 8 | 507.75 \pm 137.12 (8) | 72.93 \pm 4.74 (7) |
| Backcrosses | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | C | 10 | 8 | 268.75 \pm 89.81 (8) | 80.50 \pm 1.52 (7) |
| Backcrosses | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | T | 10 | 7 | 390.29 \pm 108.70 (7) | 78.73 \pm 1.21 (5) |
| Inter-hybrid matings | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | 16 | 12 | 260.67 \pm 72.55 (12) | 78.49 \pm 3.37 (9) |
| Inter-hybrid matings | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | 14 | 10 | 176.30 \pm 49.03 (10) | 75.14 \pm 5.16 (7) |
| Inter-hybrid matings | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | 15 | 14 | 406.50 \pm 79.76 (14) | 79.10 \pm 2.05 (12) |
| Inter-hybrid matings | F ₁ (C♀ × T♂) | F ₁ (T♀ × C♂) | 11 | 7 | 318.14 \pm 120.19 (7) | 78.12 \pm 4.30 (5) |

et al. (2009) for *H. armigera*. The incubation period for both populations was similar to that reported by Navarro *et al.* (2009), but it differed compared with the report made by Urretabizkaya *et al.* (2010). Five larval instars of both populations were found and this result was similar to that reported by

Iannone & Leiva (1993) and Sharma *et al.* (2011) for *H. gelotopoeon* and *H. armigera*, respectively. Larval development time was similar to that reported by Iannone & Leiva (1993); Urretabizkaya *et al.* (2010); and Navarro *et al.* (2009) for *H. gelotopoeon*. Pupal stage duration was shorter than

reported by other studies (Iannone & Leiva, 1993; Urretabizkaya *et al.*, 2010), but the values were consistent with those obtained by Naseri *et al.* (2009) and Mironidis (2014) for *H. armigera*. No previous studies have examined *H. gelotopoeon* pupal mass, but in general it was smaller than those observed for *H. armigera* (Arghand *et al.*, 2014) and *H. zea* (Giolo *et al.*, 2006). Adults from the *H. gelotopoeon* populations had a similar survival time as those reported by Simmons & Lynch (1990); Liu *et al.* (2004); Naseri *et al.* (2009); and Pérez & Suris (2012) for *Helicoverpa* and *Chloridea* species. The sex ratio found for the *H. gelotopoeon* population from Tucumán and Córdoba (1 female:1 male) was similar to that reported by Álvarez Hernández *et al.* (2010) for *C. virescens* reared on leaves and pods of chickpea. In this study, we found that females emerged before males, suggesting that *H. gelotopoeon* is a protogynous species, a characteristic also observed by Giolo *et al.* (2006) and Colvin *et al.* (1994) with *H. zea* and *H. armigera*, respectively. According to Rhainds *et al.* (1999), protogyny may be an evolved mechanism to reduce inbreeding, given that early emerged females are less likely to mate with their brothers.

The number of spermatophores found in dissected females was generally one for both populations. Similar observations were reported by Callahan (1958) and Navarro (1987) for *H. zea*. The periods of pre-oviposition, oviposition, and post-oviposition were similar with those found by other reports for *H. armigera* (Sharma *et al.*, 2011). Navarro *et al.* (2009) reported higher fecundity of *H. gelotopoeon* than that recorded in our study. However, our fecundity results were similar to that found by Urretabizkaya *et al.* (2010) for the same species. No previous studies have examined *H. gelotopoeon* fertility. Nevertheless, our results showed values lower than those reported for *C. virescens* and *H. zea* (Navarro, 1987; Méndez Barceló, 2003), but higher than those found for *H. armigera* (Laster & Sheng, 1995; Ali *et al.*, 2009) (table 1).

Intra- and inter-population crosses revealed that *H. gelotopoeon* populations from the northwestern and Pampas regions in Argentina did not present evidence of pre-zygotic or post-zygotic incompatibility, suggesting that Tucumán and Córdoba populations of *H. gelotopoeon* belong to a single wide-ranging species (tables 2 and 3).

As previously mentioned, unstructured genetic populations have been reported for different species of the Heliothinae complex. Most of these studies used molecular tools (Korman *et al.*, 1993; Roehrdanz *et al.*, 1994; Nibouche *et al.*, 1998; Zhou *et al.*, 2000; Han & Caprio, 2002, 2004; Behere *et al.*, 2007; Endersby *et al.*, 2007; Groot *et al.*, 2011; Perera & Blanco, 2011; Asokan *et al.*, 2012; Leite *et al.*, 2014; Arneodo *et al.*, 2015). Only Colvin *et al.* (1994) compared reproductive compatibility between different African, Indian, Chinese, and Australian populations of *H. armigera*, suggesting that *H. armigera* exists as a single species over its geographical range.

This study is the first to report a lack of reproductive isolation between *H. gelotopoeon* populations from Argentina based on reproductive parameters and mating compatibility. Our results clearly indicate that there are no signs of geographical isolation, since populations mated successfully in both directions. This supports the presumption that substantial gene flow occurs between *H. gelotopoeon* populations, probably due to the long-range migratory capacity of Heliothinae species, which has been widely documented (Hartstack *et al.*, 1982; Farrow & Daly, 1987; Gregg *et al.*, 1995; Westbrook, 2008; Westbrook & Lopez, 2010).

This study provides useful information about South American bollworm biology to define the management strategies and control of this species in Argentina. However, additional research on the population genetics of this species in other crops will further increase our understanding of its unstructured genetic populations.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Ing. Lucas Fadda and Tec. David González at EEAOC for excellent technical support and assistance in the collection of material and Ing. Fernando Flores (INTA-Marcos Juárez) for the *Helicoverpa gelotopoeon* individuals collected. The authors also thank Dr Andrew Michel (Department of Entomology, The Ohio State University, Ohio) for critical review of the manuscript and valuable comments and Lic. Eduardo Willink (EEAOC) for constructive comments on an earlier draft of the manuscript. This study was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica de Argentina (ANPCyT) through the Fondo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (FONCyT), Ministerio de Ciencia, Tecnología e Innovación Productiva (MINCyT) (grants PICT/2015 No. 3109), EEAOC, CONICET, and Consejo de Investigaciones de la Universidad Nacional de Tucumán (CIUNT no. G535/26). This study is part of the first author's doctoral thesis.

References

- Ali, A., Choudhury, R.A., Ahmad, Z., Rahman, F., Khan, F.R. & Ahmad, S.K. (2009) Some biological characteristics of *Helicoverpa armigera* on chickpea. *Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection* **4**, 99–106.
- Álvarez Hernández, U., Pérez, L., González, M., Cruz, A., Gómez, J. & Álvarez, J.M. (2010) Biología de *Heliothis virescens* (Fabricius) en garbanzo (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Centro Agrícola* **37**, 89–92.
- Arghand, A., Naseri, B., Razmjou, J., Hassanpour, M. & Rahimi Namin, F. (2014) Comparative biological parameters of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on various corn hybrids. *Journal of Entomological Society of Iran* **34**, 33–35.
- Armes, N.J., Jadhav, D.R. & DeSouza, K.R. (1996) A survey of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* in the Indian subcontinent. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **86**, 499–514.
- Arneodo, J.D., Balbi, E.I., Flores, F. & Sciocco, A. (2015) Molecular identification of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Argentina and development of a novel PCR-RFLP method for its rapid differentiation from *H. zea* and *H. gelotopoeon*. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **108**, 2505–2510.
- Asokan, R., Rebijith, K.B., Krishna Kumar, N.K. & Manamohanan, M. (2012) Genetic diversity of tomato fruit borer, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) inferred from mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase-I (mtCO-I). *Pest Management in Horticultural Ecosystems* **18**, 29–34.
- Behere, G.T., Tay, W.T., Russel, D.A., Heckel, D.G., Appleton, B.R., Kranthi, K.R. & Batterham, P. (2007) Mitochondrial DNA analysis of field populations of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) and of its relationship to *H. zea*. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **7**, 117.
- Bird, L.J. & Downes, S.J. (2014) Toxicity and cross-resistance of insecticides to Cry2Ab-resistant and Cry2Ab-susceptible *Helicoverpa armigera* and *Helicoverpa punctigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **107**, 1923–1930.

- Blanco, C.A., Chiaravalle, W., Dalla-Rizza, M., Farias, J.R., García-Degano, M.F., Gastaminza, G., Mota-Sánchez, D., Murúa, M.G., Omoto, C., Pieralisi, B.K., Rodríguez, J., Rodríguez-Maciel, J.C., Terán-Santofimio, H., Terán-Vargas, A.P., Valencia, S.J. & Willink, E.** (2016) Current situation of pests targeted by *Bt* crops in Latin America. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* **15**, 131–138.
- Bolsa de Cereales** (2016) Panorama Agrícola Semanal. Estimaciones Agrícolas. Relevamiento al 21/07/2016. Available online at <http://www.bolsadecereales.com.ar> (last accessed 7 March 2017).
- Brévault, T., Tabashnik, B.E. & Carrière, Y.** (2015) A seed mixture increases dominance of resistance to *Bt* cotton in *Helicoverpa zea*. *Scientific Reports* **5**, 9807. Doi: 10.1038/srep09807.
- Callahan, P.S.** (1958) Behavior of the imago of the corn earworm, *Heliothis zea* (Boddie), with special reference to emergence and reproduction. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **51**, 271–283.
- Casmuz, A.S., Cazado, L.E., Scalora, F.S., Tuzza, M.F., Fernández, R.A., Fadda, C., Fadda, L.A., Dami, L., Colledani Toranzo, A., Gómez, C.H., De Felice, M., Vera, M.A. & Gastaminza, G.** (2014) Evaluación de diferentes alternativas para el control del complejo de plagas del cultivo de soja. pp. 137–142 in Devani, M.R., Ledesma, F. & Sánchez, J.R. (Eds) *El cultivo de la soja en el noroeste argentino. Campaña 2013–2014*. Publicación Especial Soja EEAOC N° 50, Las Talitas, Tucumán, Argentina, EEAOC. Available online at <http://www.eeaoc.org.ar/publicaciones/categoría/16/461/10Plagas.html> (last accessed 7 March 2017).
- Cazado, L.E., Casmuz, A.S., Scalora, F.S., Fadda, C., Fernández, R.A., Tuzza, M.F., Fadda, L.A., Dami, L., Colledani Toranzo, A., Jadur, A., Vera, A., Gastaminza, G., López, R. & Ruiz, S.** (2014) Comportamiento de la soja Bt frente a las principales plagas insectiles y depredadores en el Noroeste Argentino (NOA). pp. 153–161 in Devani, M.R., Ledesma, F. & Sánchez, J.R. (Eds) *El cultivo de la soja en el noroeste argentino. Campaña 2013–2014*. Publicación Especial Soja EEAOC N° 50, Las Talitas, Tucumán, Argentina, EEAOC. Available online at <http://www.eeaoc.org.ar/publicaciones/categoría/16/463/12Plagas-vs-Bt.html> (last accessed 7 March 2017).
- Colvin, J., Cooter, R.J. & Patel, S.** (1994) Laboratory mating behavior and compatibility of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) originating from different geographical regions. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **87**, 1502–1506.
- Czepak, C., Albernaz, K.C., Vivan, L.M., Guimarães, H.O. & Carvalhais, T.** (2013) First reported occurrence of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical* **43**, 110–113. Available online at <http://www.revistas.ufg.br/index.php/pat/article/view/23691/13928>.
- Domingues, F.A., Silva-Brandão, K.L., Abreu, A.G., Perera, O.P., Blanco, C.A., Cônsoli, F.L. & Omoto, C.** (2012) Genetic Structure and gene flow among Brazilian populations of *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* **105**, 2136–2146.
- Endersby, N.M., Hoffmann, A.A., McKechnie, S.W. & Weeks, A.R.** (2007) Is there genetic structure in populations of *Helicoverpa armigera* from Australia? *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **122**, 253–263.
- Farrow, R.A. & Daly, J.C.** (1987) Long range movements as an adaptive strategy in the genus *Heliothis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): a review of its occurrence and detection in four pest species. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **35**, 1–24.
- Fichetti, P., Avalos, S., Mazzuferi, V. & Carreras, J.** (2009) Lepidópteros asociados al cultivo de garbanzo (*Cicer arietinum* L.) en Córdoba (Argentina). *Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal, Plagas* **35**, 49–58.
- Fogliata, S.V., Vera, A., Gastaminza, G., Cuenya, M.I., Zucchi, M.I., Willink, E., Castagnaro, A.P. & Murúa, M.G.** (2016) Hybrid sterility between two populations of *Diatraea saccharalis* (Lepidoptera: Crambidae) (F) from different host plant species and regions in Argentina. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **26**, 1–7.
- Forrester, N.W.** (1990) Designing, implementing and servicing an insecticide resistance management strategy. *Pesticide Science* **28**, 167–179.
- Forrester, N.W., Cahill, M., Bird, L.J. & Layland, J.K.** (1993) Management of pyrethroid and endosulfan resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **1**, 1–132.
- Gao, Y., Wu, K., Gould, F. & Shen, Z.** (2009) Cry2Ab tolerance response of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations from Cry1Ac cotton planting region. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **102**, 1217–1223.
- Giolo, F.P., Rossato Busato, G., Silveira Garcia, M., Manzoni, C.G., Bernardi, O. & Zart, M.** (2006) Biología de *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie, 1850) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) em duas dietas artificiais. *Revista Brasileira de Agrociência* **12**, 167–171.
- Gould, F., Anderson, A., Reynolds, A., Bumgarner, L. & Moar, W.** (1995) Selection and genetic analysis of a *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) strain with high levels of resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxins. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **88**, 1545–1559.
- Gregg, P.C., Fitt, G.P., Zalucki, M.P. & Murray, D.A.H.** (1995) Insect migration in an arid continent. II. *Helicoverpa* spp. in eastern Australia. pp. 151–172 in Drake, V.A. & Gatehouse, A.G. (Eds) *Insect Migration: Tracking Resources Through Space and Time*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.
- Groot, A.T., Classen, A., Inglis, O., Blanco, C.A., López, J., Vargas, A.T., Schal, C., Heckel, G. & Schöfl, G.** (2011) Genetic differentiation across North America in the generalist moth *Helicoverpa virescens* and the specialist *H. subflexa*. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 2676–2692.
- Han, Q. & Caprio, M.A.** (2002) Temporal and spatial patterns of allelic frequencies in cotton bollworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Environmental Entomology* **31**, 462–468.
- Han, Q. & Caprio, M.A.** (2004) Evidence from genetic markers suggests seasonal variation in dispersal in *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Environmental Entomology* **33**, 1223–1231.
- Hardee, D.D., Adams, L.C., Solomon, W.L. & Sumerford, D.V.** (2001) Tolerance to Cry1Ac in Populations of *Helicoverpa zea* and *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): Three-Year Summary. *Journal of Agricultural and Urban Entomology* **18**, 187–197.
- Hartstack, A.W., Lopez, J.D., Muller, R.A., Sterling, W.L., King, E.G., Witz, J.A. & Eversull, A.C.** (1982) Evidence of long range migration of *Heliothis zea* (Boddie) into Texas and Arkansas. *Southwestern Entomologist* **7**, 188–201.
- Iannone, N. & Leiva, P.** (1993) Bioecología, umbrales de acción y control de la isoca bolillera *Heliothis gelotopoeon* Dyar en soja. Carpeta de producción vegetal. Tomo 3, Serie soja, Información 114. Buenos Aires, Argentina, EEA Pergamino.
- InfoStat.** (2006) *InfoStat Version 2006, Manual del Usuario*. Grupo InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. 1st edn. Argentina, Editorial Brujas.

- Joyce, A.L., White, W.H., Nuessly, G.S., Solis, M.A., Scheffer, S.J., Lewis, M.L. & Medina, R.F.** (2014) Geographic population structure of the sugarcane borer, *Diatraea saccharalis* (F.) (Lepidoptera: Crambidae), in the southern United States. *PLoS ONE* **9**(10): e110036. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0110036.
- Khiaban, N.G., Hejazi, M.S., Irani-Nejad, K.H., Mohammadi, S.A. & Khaghaninia, S.** (2010) Genetic variability of geographical populations of the bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), in west and northwest of Iran. *Munis Entomology & Zoology* **5**, 670–676.
- Korman, A.K., Mallet, J., Goodenough, J.L., Graves, J.B., Hayes, J.L., Hendricks, D.E., Luttrell, R., Pair, S.D. & Wall, M.** (1993) Population structure in *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae): an estimate of gene flow. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **86**, 182–188.
- Kriticos, D.J., Ota, N., Hutchison, W.D., Beddow, J., Walsh, T., Tay, W.T., Borchart, D.M., Paula-Moreas, S.V., Czepak, C. & Zalucki, M.P.** (2015) The potential distribution of invading *Helicoverpa armigera* in North America: is it just a matter of time? *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0133224.
- Kruskal, W.H. & Wallis, W.A.** (1952) Use of ranks on one-criterion variance analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **47**, 583–621.
- Laster, M.L. & Sheng, C.F.** (1995) Search for hybrid sterility for *Helicoverpa zea* in crosses between the North American *H. zea* and *H. armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) from China. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **88**, 1288–1291.
- Lehmann, E.L.** (1975) *Nonparametrics: Statistical Methods Based on Ranks*. San Francisco, USA, Holden-Day, Inc.
- Leite, N.A., Alves-Pereira, A., Corrêa, A.S., Zucchi, M.I. & Omoto, C.** (2014) Demographics and genetic variability of the new world bollworm (*Helicoverpa zea*) and the old world bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera*) in Brazil. *PLoS ONE* **9**(11), e113286. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0113286.
- Li, G.P., Wu, K.M., Gould, F., Wang, J.K., Miao, J., Gao, X.W. & Guo, Y.Y.** (2007) Increasing tolerance to Cry1Ac cotton from cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera*, was confirmed in *Bt* cotton farming area of China. *Ecological Entomology* **32**, 366–375.
- Liu, F., Xu, Z., Zhu, Y.C., Huang, F., Wang, Y., Li, H., Gao, C., Zhou, W. & Shen, J.** (2010) Evidence of field-evolved resistance to Cry1Ac-expressing *Bt* cotton in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in northern China. *Pest Management Science* **66**, 155–61.
- Liu, Z., Li, D., Gong, P.Y. & Wu, K.J.** (2004) Life table studies of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hubner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), on different host plants. *Environmental Entomology* **33**, 1570–1576.
- Lopez-Edwards, M., Hernandez Mendoza, J.L., Pescador Rubio, A., Molina Ochoa, J., Lezama Gutierrez, R., Hamm, J.J. & Wiseman, B.R.** (1999) Biological differences between five populations of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) collected from corn in Mexico. *Florida Entomologist* **82**, 254–262.
- MacRae, T.C., Baur, M.E., Boethel, D.J., Fitzpatrick, B.J., Gao, A., Gamundi, J.C., Harrison, L.A., Kabuye, V.T., McPherson, R.M., Miklos, J.A., Paradise, M.S., Toedebusch, A.S. & Viegas, A.** (2005) Laboratory and field evaluations of transgenic soybean exhibiting high-dose expression of a synthetic *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry 1A gene for control of Lepidoptera. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **98**, 577–587.
- Mahon, R.J., Olsen, K.M., Garsia, K.S. & Young, S.R.** (2007) Resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry2Ab in a strain of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Australia. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **100**, 894–902.
- Méndez Barceló, A.** (2003) Aspectos biológicos sobre *Heliothis virescens* (Fabricius) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) en la empresa municipal agropecuaria Antonio Guiteras de la zona norte de la provincia de Las Tunas. *Fitosanidad* **7**, 21–25.
- Mironidis, G.K.** (2014) Development, survivorship and reproduction of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) under fluctuating temperatures. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **104**, 751–764.
- Mitter, C., Poole, R.W. & Matthews, M.** (1993) Biosystematics of the Heliothinae (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annual Review of Entomology* **38**, 207–225.
- Monsanto.** (2014) Beneficios de INTACTA RR2 PRO, 7p. Available online at <http://www.intactarr2pro.com.ar> (last accessed 7 March 2017).
- Murúa, M.G., Virla, E. & Defagó, V.** (2003) Evaluación de cuatro dietas artificiales para la cría de *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) destinada a mantener poblaciones experimentales de himenópteros parasitoides. *Boletín de Sanidad Vegetal Plagas* **29**, 43–51.
- Murúa, M.G., Vera, M.T., Abraham, S., Juaréz, M.L., Prieto, S., Head, G.P. & Willink, E.** (2008) Fitness and mating compatibility of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations from different host plant species and regions in Argentina. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **101**, 639–649.
- Murúa, M.G., Scalora, F.S., Navarro, F.R., Cazado, L.E., Casmuz, A., Villagrán, M.E., Lobos, E. & Gastaminza, G.** (2014) First record of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Argentina. *Florida Entomologist* **97**, 854–856.
- Murúa, M.G., Cazado, L.E., Casmuz, A.S., Herrero, M.I., Villagrán, M.E., Vera, A., Sosa-Gómez, D.R. & Gastaminza, G.** (2016) Species from the Heliothinae complex (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Tucumán, Argentina, an update of geographical distribution of *Helicoverpa armigera*. *Journal of Insect Science* **16**, 1–7.
- Naseri, B., Fathipour, Y., Moharramipour, S. & Hosseiniinavieh, V.** (2009) Comparative life history and fecundity of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on different soybean varieties. *Entomological Science* **2**, 147–154.
- Navarro, F.R., Saini, E.D. & Leiva, P.D.** (2009) Clave pictórica de polillas de interés agrícola, agrupadas por relación de semejanza. Primera edición. Instituto Nacional de tecnología agropecuaria, INTA. Estación experimental agropecuaria Pergamino y IMyZA – CNIA Castelar, Facultad de Cs Naturales e Instituto ‘Miguel Lillo’, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Bs As, Argentina. 100pp.
- Navarro, R.V.** (1987) Comportamiento de emergencia y reproducción del gusano del jojoto (*Heliothis zea* Boddie). *Agronomía Tropical* **37**, 55–61.
- Nibouche, S., Bues, R., Toubon, J.F. & Poitout, S.** (1998) Allozyme polymorphism in the cotton bollworm *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae): comparison of African and European populations. *Heredity* **80**, 438–445.
- Pashley, D.P. & Martin, J.A.** (1987) Reproductive incompatibility between host strains of the fall armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **80**, 731–733.
- Pashley, D.P., Hardy, T.N., Hammond, A.M. & Mihm, J.A.** (1990) Genetic evidence for sibling species within the sugarcane borer (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **83**, 1048–1053.
- Pastrana, J.A.** (2004) *Los lepidópteros Argentinos, sus plantas hospedadoras y otros sustratos alimenticios*. Buenos Aires, Sociedad Entomológica Argentina ediciones. 334 pp.

- Perera, O.P. & Blanco, C.A.** (2011) Microsatellite variation in *Helicoverpa zea* (Boddie) populations in the Southern United States. *Southwestern Entomologist* **36**, 271–286.
- Pérez Contreras, T.** (1999) La especialización en los insectos fitófagos: Una regla más que una excepción. *Boletín S.E.A.* **26**, 759–776.
- Pérez, J.C. & Suris, M.** (2012) Ciclo de vida y reproducción de *Heliothis virescens* (F.) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) sobre garbanzo. *Revista de Protección Vegetal* **27**, 85–89.
- Pietrantonio, P.V., Junek, T.A., Parker, R., Mott, D., Siders, K., Troxclair, N., Vargas-Campilis, J., Westbrook, J.K. & Vassiliou, V.A.** (2007) Detection and evolution of resistance to the pyrethroid cypermethrin in *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) populations in Texas. *Environmental Entomology* **36**, 1174–1188.
- Pogue, M.G.** (2013) Revised status of *Chloridea* Duncan and (Westwood), 1841, for the *Heliothis virescens* species group (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae: Heliothinae) based on morphology and three genes. *Systematic Entomology* **38**, 523–542.
- Reisig, D.D. & Reay-Jones, F.P.F.** (2015) Inhibition of *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) growth by transgenic corn expressing *Bt* toxins and development of resistance to Cry1Ab. *Environmental Entomology* **44**, 1275–85.
- Rhainds, M., Gries, G. & Min, M.M.** (1999) Size- and density-dependent reproductive success of bagworms, *Metisa plana*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **91**, 375–383.
- Roehrdanz, R.L., Lopez, J.D., Loera, J. & Hendricks, D.E.** (1994) Limited mitochondrial-DNA polymorphism in North-American populations of *Heliothis virescens* (Lepidoptera, Noctuidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* **87**, 856–866.
- Rull, J., Abraham, S., Kovaleski, A., Segura, D.F., Islam, A., Wornoayporn, V., Dammalage, T., Santo Tomas, U. & Vera, M.T.** (2012) Random mating and reproductive compatibility among Argentinean and southern Brazilian populations of *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae). *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **102**, 435–443.
- Scalora, F., Casmuz, A., Cazado, L., Socías, G., Tolosa, G., Aralde, M., Aybar Guchea, M., Fadda, L., Gómez, M., Gómez, H., Montaldi, T., Gastaminza, G., Willink, E. & Rodríguez, W.** (2012) Evaluación de diferentes insecticidas para el control de la oruga bolillera, *H. gelotopoeon* Dyar (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). pp. 147–15 in Devani, M.R., Ledesma, F. & Sánchez, J.R. (Eds) *El cultivo de la soja en el noroeste argentino. Campaña 2011/2012*. Publicación Especial EEAOC n° 45. Las Talitas, Tuc., Argentina, EEAOC. Available online at <http://www.eeaoc.org.ar/upload/publicaciones/archivos/286/20121122085717000000.pdf>. (last accessed 7 March 2017).
- SENAVE. Servicio Nacional de Calidad y Sanidad Vegetal y de Semillas.** (2013). Alerta tras ingreso de peligrosa plaga agrícola. Color ABC, 17 October 2013. Available online at <http://www.abc.com.py/edicion-impresa/economia/senave-alerta-tras-ingreso-de-peligrosa-plaga-agricola-629240.html> (last accessed 7 march 2017).
- Sharma, K.C., Bhardwaj, S.C. & Sharma, G.** (2011) Systematic studies, life history and infestation by *Helicoverpa armigera* on tomato in semi arid region of Rajasthan. *Biological Forum. An International Journal* **3**, 52–56.
- Simmons, A.M. & Lynch, R.E.** (1990) Egg production and adult longevity of *Spodoptera frugiperda*, *Helicoverpa zea* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), and *Elasmopalpus lignosellus* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae) on selected adult diets. *Florida Entomologist* **73**, 665–671.
- Specht, A., Sosa-Gómez, D.R., Paula-Moraes, S.V. & Yano, S.A. C.** (2013) Identificação morfológica e molecular de *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) e ampliação do seu registro de ocorrência no Brasil. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira* **48**, 689–692.
- Tabashnik, B.J., Van Rensburg, J.B.J. & Carriere, R.E.** (2009) Field-evolved insect resistance to *Bt* crops: definition, theory, and data. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **102**, 2011–2025.
- Tay, W.T., Soria, M.F., Walsh, T., Thamazoni, D., Silvie, P. & Behere, G.T.** (2013) A brave new world for an old world pest: *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) in Brazil. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e80134.
- Tay, W.T., Mahon, R.J., Heckel, D.G., Walsh, T.K., Downes, S., James, W.J., Lee, S.F., Reineke, A., Williams, A.K. & Gordon, K.H.J.** (2015) Insect resistance to *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin Cry2Ab is conferred by mutations in an ABC transporter subfamily A protein. *PLoS Genetics* **11**, e1005534.
- Urretabizkaya, N., Vasicek, A. & Saini, E.** (2010) *Insectos perjudiciales de importancia agronómica. I. Lepidópteros*. Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA). Buenos Aires, Argentina, Universidad Nacional de Lomas de Zamora y Universidad Nacional de La Plata. 77 pp.
- Velasco de Stacul, M., Barral, J.M. & Orfila, R.N.** (1969) Taxonomía, especificidad y caracteres biológicos diferenciados del complejo de especies denominadas 'oruga del capullo' del algodón, 'oruga de la espiga' del maíz, 'oruga del brote' del tabaco y 'bolillera' del lino. *Revista de Investigaciones Agropecuarias*, INTA, Bs. As., Rep. Argentina. Serie 5. *Patología Vegetal* **6**, 19–68.
- Westbrook, J.K.** (2008) Noctuid migration in Texas within the nocturnal agro-ecological boundary layer. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* **48**, 99–106.
- Westbrook, J.K. & Lopez, J.D. Jr.** (2010) Long distance migration in *Helicoverpa zea*: what we know and need to know. *Southwestern Entomologist* **35**, 355–360.
- Wu, K., Um, W., Liang, G. & Gou, Y.** (2005) Regional reversion of insecticide resistance in *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is associated with the use of *Bt* cotton in northern China. *Pest Management Science* **61**, 491–498.
- Yenagi, B.S., Patil, V.C., Biradar, D.P. & Khadi, B.M.** (2012) Molecular diversity of cotton bollworm (*Helicoverpa armigera* Hübner) using RAPD markers. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* **11**, 61–65.
- Zhou, X.F., Faktor, O., Applebaum, S.W. & Coll, M.** (2000) Population structure of the pestiferous moth *Helicoverpa armigera* in the Eastern Mediterranean using RAPD analysis. *Heredity* **85**, 251–256.