

# Branching Rules for Finite-Dimensional $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ -Representations with Respect to a Right Coideal Subalgebra

Noud Aldenhoven<sup>1</sup> · Erik Koelink<sup>1</sup> · Pablo Román<sup>2</sup> 

Received: 29 January 2016 / Accepted: 3 October 2016

© The Author(s) 2017. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** We consider the quantum symmetric pair  $(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3)), \mathcal{B})$  where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a right coideal subalgebra. We prove that all finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{B}$  are weight representations and are characterised by their highest weight and dimension. We show that the restriction of a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  to  $\mathcal{B}$  decomposes multiplicity free into irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Furthermore we give explicit expressions for the highest weight vectors in this decomposition in terms of dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials.

**Keywords** Quantum groups · Coideal subalgebras · Quantum symmetric pairs · Branching rules

## 1 Introduction

The theory of quantum symmetric pairs of Lie groups has been developed by Koornwinder, Dijkhuizen, Noumi and Sugitani and others [4, 5, 23–25, 28] for classical Lie groups and

---

Presented by Catharina Stroppel.

---

✉ Pablo Román  
roman@famaf.unc.edu.ar  
Noud Aldenhoven  
n.aldenhoven@math.ru.nl  
Erik Koelink  
e.koelink@math.ru.nl

<sup>1</sup> IMAPP, Radboud Universiteit, PO Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> CIEM, FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n Ciudad Universitaria, Córdoba, Argentina

by G. Letzter [16, 18–21] for all semisimple Lie algebras, see also [13]. The motivating example for the development for this theory was given by Koornwinder [15], who studied scalar-valued spherical functions on the quantum analogue of  $(\mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{U}(1))$  considering twisted primitive elements in the quantised universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2))$ . Koornwinder identified all scalar-valued spherical functions with Askey-Wilson polynomials in two free parameters. Dijkhuizen and Noumi [4] extended the work of Koornwinder to quantum analogues of  $(\mathrm{SU}(n+1), \mathrm{U}(n))$  considering two-sided coideals of the quantised universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1))$ . More generally, Letzter considered the quantised universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with a right coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , which is the quantum analogue of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$  for a Cartan decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ . In [20] all scalar-valued spherical functions for quantum symmetric pairs with reduced restricted root systems are identified with Macdonald polynomials. However, the requirement of having a reduced restricted root system excludes the quantum analogue of  $(\mathrm{SU}(3), \mathrm{U}(2))$ .

One recent extension of this situation [1], where higher-dimensional representations of the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  are involved, arises with the study of matrix-valued spherical functions of the quantum analogue of  $(\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(2))$  where the subgroup is diagonally embedded. The quantum symmetric pair is given by the quantised universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ , where  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ , and a right coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  that can be identified with  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ . As in the Lie group setting [8, 11, 12, 27], the explicit knowledge of the branching rules plays a fundamental role in the explicit determination of the matrix-valued spherical functions. In this first case, the branching rules for the irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  follow using the standard Clebsch-Gordan decomposition.

One of the first technical difficulties that one runs into in order to extend the results of [1] to more general quantum symmetric pairs is the lack of the explicit branching rules for finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -representations with respect to a right coideal subalgebra. In this paper we deal with this problem for the quantised universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  with a right coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  as in Kolb [13]. We study the problem of describing all irreducible representations that occur in the restriction to  $\mathcal{B}$  of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ . In general, information about branching rules for quantum symmetric pairs  $(\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{B})$  as in Kolb [13] and Letzter [16, 18] is relatively scarce in particular in case the coideal subalgebra depends on an additional parameter as in this paper. However see Oblomkov and Stokman [26] for partial information on the branching rules for the quantum analogue of  $(\mathfrak{gl}(2n), \mathfrak{gl}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(n))$ . It would be of interest to see whether the results of Mudrov [22] can be used as well in the setting of Oblomkov and Stokman [26] to find precise information on the branching rule for this quantum symmetric pair, or more generally for quantum symmetric pairs involving the quantised universal enveloping algebra of type  $A$ .

There are various other instances where quantum symmetric pairs play an important role apart from the relation to special functions and matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials alluded to above. In the works of Ehrig and Stroppel, see e.g. [6] and Bao, see e.g. [2], it is indicated how modern representation theory for quantum groups, such as Schur-Jimbo duality, canonical bases, Kazhdan-Lusztig theory, categorification, etc., can be extended to quantum symmetric pairs. Moreover, the role of quantum symmetric pairs in integrable systems in mathematical physics is explained in [3] in conjunction with other types of boundary conditions. Then they are known as boundary quantum groups and they are related to explicit solutions of the reflection equation.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we review the construction of the quantised universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  and its finite dimensional irreducible

representations. Then we collect a series of commutation identities for the generators of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  and we introduce an orthogonal basis for finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ -representations which is an analogue of Mudrov [22]. We also describe the action of the generators of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  on this basis. In Section 3 we fix a right coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of the quantised universal enveloping algebra which depends on two complex parameters  $c_1, c_2$ . We describe the generators of the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  and we use them to classify all finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{B}$  under a mild genericity condition on the parameters. More precisely we prove that every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely characterised by its highest weight and its dimension. In Section 4 we prove the main theorem of the paper. We show that any irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  decomposes multiplicity free into irreducible representations of  $\mathcal{B}$  and we characterise the representations that occur in the decomposition by their highest weight and dimension. The highest weight vectors of the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$ -representations are obtained by diagonalising an element of the Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  restricted to a certain subspace where it acts tridiagonally. The eigenvectors can be then identified explicitly in terms of dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials.

## 2 The Quantised Universal Enveloping Algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3) = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}(3, \mathbb{C}) : \text{tr}(X) = 0\}$ . We fix the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of diagonal matrices. Let  $A = (a_{i,j})_{i,j}$  be the Cartan matrix for  $\mathfrak{g}$ , i.e.  $a_{i,i} = 2, i = 1, 2$ , and  $a_{i,j} = -1$  for  $i \neq j$ . Let  $R \subset \mathfrak{h}$  denote the root system of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote by  $R^+$  the subset of positive roots, so that we have the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ . We denote by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the canonical inner product on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and by  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$  the simple roots so that  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{i,j}$ . The fundamental weights are given by  $\varpi_1 = \frac{2}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_2$  and  $\varpi_2 = \frac{1}{3}\alpha_1 + \frac{2}{3}\alpha_2$ .

The quantised universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$  is the unital associative algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  generated by  $E_i, F_i$  and  $K_i^{\pm 1}$ , where  $i = 1, 2$ , subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} K_i^{\pm 1} K_j^{\pm 1} &= K_j^{\pm 1} K_i^{\pm 1}, & K_i^{\pm 1} K_j^{\mp 1} &= K_j^{\mp 1} K_i^{\pm 1}, & K_i K_i^{-1} &= 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i, \\ K_i E_j &= q^{(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} E_j K_i, & K_i F_j &= q^{-(\alpha_i, \alpha_j)} F_j K_i, & [E_i, F_j] &= \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \delta_{i,j}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for  $i, j = 1, 2$  and, for  $i \neq j$ , the quantum Serre’s relations

$$E_i^2 E_j - (q + q^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0 = F_i^2 F_j - (q + q^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2. \tag{2.2}$$

We assume that  $q \in (0, 1)$ . The quantised universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$  has a Hopf algebra structure with comultiplication  $\Delta$ , counit  $\epsilon$  and antipode  $S$  defined by

$$\Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes 1 + K_i \otimes E_i, \quad \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes K_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\pm 1} \otimes K_i^{\pm 1},$$

$$\epsilon(E_i) = 0, \quad \epsilon(F_i) = 0, \quad \epsilon(K_i^{\pm 1}) = 1,$$

$$S(E_i) = -K_i^{-1} E_i, \quad S(F_i) = -F_i K_i, \quad S(K_i^{\pm 1}) = K_i^{\mp 1},$$

with  $i = 1, 2$ . With the  $*$ -structure given by

$$E_i^* = K_i F_i, \quad F_i^* = E_i K_i^{-1}, \quad (K_i^{\pm 1})^* = K_i^{\pm 1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.3}$$

$\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(3))$  becomes a Hopf  $*$ -algebra which we denote by  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ . Following Mudrov [22] we define for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= [F_1, F_2]_q = F_1 F_2 - q F_2 F_1, & E_3 &= [E_2, E_1]_q = E_2 E_1 - q E_1 E_2, \\ \hat{F}_3[a] &= F_1 F_2 \left( \frac{q^{a+1} K_2 - q^{-a-1} K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) - F_2 F_1 \left( \frac{q^a K_2 - q^{-a} K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \right), \\ \hat{E}_3[a] &= \left( \frac{q^{a+1} K_2 - q^{-a-1} K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) E_2 E_1 - \left( \frac{q^a K_2 - q^{-a} K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) E_1 E_2, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\hat{F}_3 = \hat{F}_3[0], \hat{E}_3 = \hat{E}_3[0]$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *The following relations hold in  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ :*

- (i)  $F_1 \hat{F}_3[a] = \hat{F}_3[a] F_1,$
- (ii)  $E_2 \hat{F}_3[a] = \hat{F}_3[a - 2] E_2 - E_2 \hat{F}_3[a] = \hat{F}_3[a - 2] E_2 - \frac{(q^a - q^{-a})}{(q - q^{-1})} F_1,$
- (iii)  $K_i \hat{F}_3[a] = q^{-1} \hat{F}_3[a] K_i, K_i \hat{E}_3[a] = q \hat{E}_3[a] K_i, i = 1, 2.$

*Proof* Straightforward verifications using (2.1) and (2.3). □

**Lemma 2.2** *For  $i = 1, 2$ :*

- (i) 
$$E_i F_i^k = F_i^k E_i + \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}} F_i^{k-1} \frac{q^{1-k} K_i - q^{k-1} K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$
- (ii) 
$$\begin{aligned} E_i^k F_i^k &= \frac{q^k (q^2; q^2)_k}{(1 - q^2)^{2k}} (q^{2-2k} K_i^2; q^2)_k K_i^{-k} + X E_i \\ &= \frac{(q^2; q^2)_k}{(1 - q^2)^{2k}} (-1)^k q^{-k(k-2)} (K_i^{-2}; q^2)_k K_i^k + X E_i, \end{aligned}$$
  
*for some  $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ .*

*Proof* Straightforward verifications using (2.1) and (2.3) and induction. □

### 2.1 The Finite-Dimensional Representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$

Finite-dimensional representations of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  are weight representations and are uniquely determined, up to equivalence, by their highest weights. Let  $(\pi_\lambda, V_\lambda)$  be the irreducible finite-dimensional representation with highest weight  $\lambda = \lambda_1 \varpi_1 + \lambda_2 \varpi_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $v_\lambda$  a highest weight vector, i.e. a non-zero vector  $v_\lambda \in V_\lambda$  such that

$$E_i v_\lambda = 0, \quad K_i v_\lambda = q^{(\lambda, \alpha_i)} v_\lambda = q^{\lambda_i} v_\lambda, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.4}$$

Then the dimension of  $V_\lambda$  is the same as the dimension of the corresponding irreducible representation  $\pi_\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ , namely

$$\dim(V_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + 1)(\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2).$$

Furthermore for a weight  $\nu = \nu_1 \varpi_1 + \nu_2 \varpi_2$ , the dimension of the weight spaces

$$V_\lambda(\nu) = \{v \in V_\lambda : K_i v = q^{(v, \alpha_i)} v, i = 1, 2\},$$

and the dimension of the weight spaces corresponding to the weight  $\nu$  in the representation of  $\mathfrak{su}(3)$  coincide, see [9, Ch. 7]. In particular,  $\dim(V_\lambda(\lambda)) = 1$ . The vector space  $V_\lambda$  is

spanned by the vectors  $F_{i_1} F_{i_2} \cdots F_{i_m} v_\lambda$ ,  $i_j \in \{1, 2\}$  and is equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  determined by

$$\langle v_\lambda, v_\lambda \rangle = 1, \quad \langle X v, w \rangle = \langle v, X^* w \rangle, \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3)), \quad \forall v, w \in V_\lambda.$$

Mudrov [22] describes the Shapovalov basis for the Verma modules of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ , and we have adapted his proof and construction to an orthonormal basis for the finite-dimensional unitary representations of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ . For completeness, we have sketched the proof in Appendix A. It is essentially due to Mudrov [22, §8].

**Theorem 2.3** *The set of vectors*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \mid 0 \leq m \leq \lambda_1, 0 \leq l \leq \lambda_2, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_2 + m - l\}$$

*forms an orthogonal basis for  $V_\lambda$ . Explicitly,*

$$\langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle = \delta_{k,k'} \delta_{l,l'} \delta_{m,m'} H_{k,l,m},$$

where

$$H_{k,l,m} = (q^2, q^{-2(\lambda_2-l+m)}; q^2)_k (q^2, q^{-2\lambda_1}; q^2)_m (q^2, q^{-2\lambda_2}, q^{-2(\lambda_2+1+m)}, q^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1)}; q^2)_l \times (1 - q^2)^{-2(k+2l+m)} (-1)^{k+l+m} q^{3(k+3l+m)} q^{-l(l-2m)} q^{-2l\lambda_2}.$$

In Theorem 2.3 we use the standard notation in [7] for  $q$ -shifted factorials

$$(q^a; q)_n = (1 - q^a)(1 - q^{a+1}) \cdots (1 - q^{a+n-1}),$$

$$(q^{a_1}, q^{a_2}, \dots, q^{a_j}; q)_n = (q^{a_1}; q)_n (q^{a_2}; q)_n \cdots (q^{a_j}; q)_n,$$

switching from base  $q$  to base  $q^2$ . Note that  $H_{k,l,m}$  is indeed positive. In the following proposition we calculate the action of the generators of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  in the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of Theorem 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4** *In the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $V_\lambda$  as in Theorem 2.3 we have*

- (i)  $K_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = q^{\lambda_1+k-l-2m} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda,$
- (ii)  $K_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = q^{\lambda_2-2k-l+m} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda,$
- (iii)  $F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = a_k(l, m) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda + b_k(l, m) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda,$
- (iv)  $E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = \alpha_k(l, m) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m-1} v_\lambda + \beta_k(l, m) F_2^{k+1} \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^m v_\lambda,$
- (v)  $F_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = F_2^{k+1} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda,$
- (vi)  $E_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = \eta_k(l, m) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda,$

with coefficients

$$a_k(l, m) = \frac{(q^{\lambda_2+m+1-k-l} - q^{-\lambda_2-m-1+k+l})}{(q^{\lambda_2+m+1-l} - q^{-\lambda_2-m-1+l})}, \quad b_k(l, m) = \frac{(q^k - q^{-k})}{(q^{\lambda_2+m+1-l} - q^{-\lambda_2-m-1+l})},$$

$$\eta_k(l, m) = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^{1-k+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{k-1-\lambda_2+l-m}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

$$\alpha_k(l, m) = \frac{(q^m - q^{-m})(q^{\lambda_1-m+1} - q^{-\lambda_1+m-1})(q^{\lambda_2+m+1} - q^{-\lambda_2-m-1})}{(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^{\lambda_2+m-l+1} - q^{-\lambda_2-m+l-1})},$$

$$\beta_k(l, m) = \frac{(q^l - q^{-l})(q^{\lambda_2-l+1} - q^{-\lambda_2+l-1})(q^{\lambda_1+\lambda_2-l+2} - q^{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+l-2})}{(q - q^{-1})^2 (q^{\lambda_2+m-l+1} - q^{-\lambda_2-m+l-1})}.$$

**Remark 2.5** Note that the denominators in  $a_k(l, m)$ ,  $b_k(l, m)$ ,  $\eta_k(l, m)$ ,  $\alpha_k(l, m)$  and  $\beta_k(l, m)$  are non-zero by the ranges of  $k, l, m$  as in Theorem 2.3.

*Proof* The action of  $K_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , follows from (2.4), (2.1) and Lemma 2.11(iii). The action of  $F_2$  is trivial. The action of  $E_2$  follows from Lemma 2.2(i), Lemma 2.1(ii) and (2.4) and the established actions of  $K_2$ . This completes the proof of (i), (ii), (v) and (vi).

In order to establish the action of  $F_1$ , we first show that there exist constants  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  so that

$$F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = a_k F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda + b_k F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda$$

by induction with respect to  $k$ . The case  $k = 0$  with  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $b_0 = 0$  is immediate from Lemma 2.1(i). In case  $k = 1$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 F_2 \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda &= F_1 F_2 \frac{qK_2 - q^{-1}K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \\ &= \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}} \left( \hat{F}_3 + F_2 F_1 \frac{K_2 - K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \right) \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \\ &= \frac{q^{\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-\lambda_2+l-m}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}} F_2 \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

again using Lemma 2.1(i). So the case  $k = 1$  is proved with

$$a_1 = \frac{q^{\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-\lambda_2+l-m}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}}, \quad b_1 = \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q^{1+\lambda_2-l+m} - q^{-1-\lambda_2+l-m}}.$$

For the induction we assume  $k \geq 2$ , so that

$$F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = F_1 F_2^2 F_2^{k-2} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda = (-F_2^2 F_1 + (q + q^{-1})F_2 F_1 F_2) F_2^{k-2} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda$$

by the  $q$ -Serre relation (2.2). Using the induction hypothesis, we find

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda &= -F_2^2 (a_{k-2} F_2^{k-2} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda + b_{k-2} F_2^{k-3} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda) \\ &\quad + (q + q^{-1}) F_2 (a_{k-1} F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda + b_{k-1} F_2^{k-2} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda) \\ &= (-a_{k-2} + (q + q^{-1})a_{k-1}) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m+1} v_\lambda + (-b_{k-2} + (q + q^{-1})b_{k-1}) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^m v_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

which proves the induction step as well as the recurrence

$$a_k + a_{k-2} = (q + q^{-1})a_{k-1}, \quad b_k + b_{k-2} = (q + q^{-1})b_{k-1}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

This recursion is solved by the Chebyshev polynomials (of the second kind) at  $\frac{1}{2}(q + q^{-1})$  as well as by the associated Chebyshev polynomials. This gives the solution for the recurrences and proves (iii).

The action of  $E_1$  follows from that of  $F_1$ , considering the adjoint. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle &= \langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, E_1^* F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, K_1 F_1 F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

equals zero if  $(k', l', m') \neq (k, l, m + 1), (k + 1, l - 1, m)$ . Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k(l, m)H_{k,l,m-1} &= \langle E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m-1} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, K_1 F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{m-1} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= q^{k-l-2m+\lambda_1} a_k(l, m - 1) H_{k,l,m}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_k(l, m)H_{k+1,l-1,m} &= \langle E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k+1} \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= \langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, K_1 F_1 F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= q^{k-l-2m+\lambda_1+2} b_{k+1}(l - 1, m) H_{k,l,m}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the expressions of  $\alpha_k(l, m)$  and  $\beta_k(l, m)$  follow from the explicit expression of  $H_{k,l,m}$  Theorem 2.3 by a straightforward computation.  $\square$

### 3 The Coideal Subalgebra

In this section we follow Kolb [13] and introduce a right coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  which is the quantum analogue of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$  with  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{u}(2)$  embedded in  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3)$ . Let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  and write  $c = (c_1, c_2)$ . Following [13, Example 9.4],  $\mathcal{B}_c = \mathcal{B}$  is the right coideal subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ , i.e.  $\Delta(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ , generated by

$$K^{\pm 1} = \left(K_1 K_2^{-1}\right)^{\pm 1}, \quad B_1^c = B_1 = F_1 - c_1 E_2 K_1^{-1}, \quad B_2^c = B_2 = F_2 - c_2 E_1 K_2^{-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Throughout Sections 3 and 4 we omit the subscript and superscript  $c$  in  $\mathcal{B}_c$  and  $B_i^c$  since the coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  will be fixed.

If we assume  $c_1 \bar{c}_2 = q^3 = \bar{c}_1 c_2$  then it follows that  $B_1^* = -\bar{c}_1 K^{-1} B_2, B_2^* = -\bar{c}_2 K B_1$  and  $K^* = K$ , so that  $\mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{B}$ . By a straightforward computation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(B_1) &= B_1 \otimes K_1^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_1 - c_1 K^{-1} \otimes E_2 K_1^{-1}, \\ \Delta(B_2) &= B_2 \otimes K_2^{-1} + 1 \otimes F_2 - c_2 K \otimes E_1 K_2^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The Serre relations for  $\mathcal{B}$  follow from from [13, Lemma 7.2, Theorem 7.4] taking  $\mathcal{Z}_1 = -K^{-1}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}_2 = -K$

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^2 B_2 - [2]_q B_1 B_2 B_1 + B_2 B_1^2 &= [2]_q (q c_2 K + q^{-2} c_1 K^{-1}) B_1, \\ B_2^2 B_1 - [2]_q B_2 B_1 B_2 + B_1 B_2^2 &= [2]_q (q c_1 K^{-1} + q^{-2} c_2 K) B_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Here we use the notation  $[j]_q = \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}}$ . Alternatively (3.2) can be verified directly from the definitions of  $B_1, B_2$  and  $K$ .

Introduce  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= B_1 B_2 - q B_2 B_1 - \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} c_2 K + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} c_1 K^{-1}, \\ C_2 &= B_2 B_1 - q B_1 B_2 - \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} c_1 K^{-1} + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} c_2 K, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

so that for  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^\times, C_1$  and  $C_2$  are self-adjoint. Then the relations  $[K, C_i] = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, [C_1, C_2] = 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} K B_1 &= q^{-3} B_1 K, & C_1 B_1 &= q B_1 C_1, & C_2 B_1 &= q^{-1} B_1 C_2, \\ K B_2 &= q^3 B_2 K, & C_1 B_2 &= q^{-1} B_2 C_1, & C_2 B_2 &= q B_2 C_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

hold. We view the commutative algebra generated by  $K^{\pm 1}, C_1, C_2$  as a Cartan subalgebra. Note that by [14, Theorem 8.5] the center of  $\mathcal{B}$  is of rank 2. Hence the center of  $\mathcal{B}$  is

generated by  $K^{\frac{1}{3}}C_1$  and  $K^{-\frac{1}{3}}C_2$ , extending  $\mathcal{B}$  by cube roots of  $K$ . Then the central elements are self-adjoint for  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}^\times$ .

### 3.1 Representation Theory of $\mathcal{B}$

Let  $(\tau, W)$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $W$  is a finite-dimensional complex vector space, there exists a non-zero vector  $w \in W$  such that  $\tau(K)w = \nu w$  for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then it follows from (3.4) that

$$\tau(K)\tau(B_1)^i w = q^{-3i} \tau(B_1)^i \tau(K)w = q^{-3i} \nu \tau(B_1)^i w, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that the vectors  $(\tau(B_1)^i w)_i$  are eigenvectors of  $\tau(K)$  with different eigenvalues. Since  $W$  is finite-dimensional, there exists  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\tau(B_1^{j+1})w = 0$  and  $\tau(B_1^j)w \neq 0$ . Therefore  $w_0 = \tau(B_1^j)w$  is a highest weight vector, i.e.

$$\tau(B_1)w_0 = 0, \quad \tau(K)w_0 = \kappa w_0,$$

where  $\kappa$  is the weight of  $w_0$ . Note that  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  since it is the eigenvalue of an invertible operator.

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\tau$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  on the vector space  $W$ . Then  $\tau$  is determined by the dimension of  $W$  and the action of  $K$  on a highest weight vector.*

*Proof* Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^\times$  be a highest weight of  $\tau$  and let  $w_0$  be a highest weight vector, i.e.  $\tau(K)w_0 = \kappa w_0$  and  $\tau(B_1)w_0 = 0$ . Since  $\tau(K), \tau(C_1)$  and  $\tau(C_2)$  form a commuting family of operators, preserving the kernel of  $\tau(B_1)$  by (3.4), we can assume that  $\tau(C_1)w_0 = \eta_1 w_0$  and  $\tau(C_2)w_0 = \eta_2 w_0$ . For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define the vector  $w_i = \tau(B_2)^i w_0 \in W$ . Since  $W$  is finite-dimensional, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $w_i \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  and  $w_{n+1} = 0$ . It follows from (3.4) that  $\tau(K)w_i = q^{3i} \kappa w_i$ , so that  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  is a set of linearly independent vectors since they are eigenvectors of  $\tau(K)$  for different eigenvalues. Moreover (3.4) implies

$$\tau(C_1)w_i = \tau(C_1)\tau(B_2)^i w_0 = q^{-i} \tau(B_2)^i \tau(C_1)w_0 = \eta_1 q^{-i} w_i,$$

and similarly  $\tau(C_2)w_i = \eta_2 q^i w_i$ . We will show that it is indeed a basis of  $W$ .

We prove by induction in  $i$  that there exist  $b_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\tau(B_1)w_i = b_i w_{i-1}$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . The statement holds for  $i = 0$  taking  $b_0 = 0$  since  $w_0$  is a highest weight vector. Let  $i > 0$  and assume that  $\tau(B_1)w_j = b_j w_{j-1}$  for for all  $j < i$ . Using (3.3) we find the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(B_1)w_i &= \tau(B_1)\tau(B_2)^i w_0 = \tau(B_1 B_2)w_{i-1} \\ &= \tau\left(C_1 + qB_2 B_1 + \frac{c_2}{(q-q^{-1})}K - \frac{(q+q^{-1})}{(q-q^{-1})}c_1 K^{-1}\right)w_{i-1} \\ &= q\tau(B_2 B_1)w_{i-1} + \tau\left(C_1 + \frac{c_2}{(q-q^{-1})}K - \frac{(q+q^{-1})}{(q-q^{-1})}c_1 K^{-1}\right)w_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis,  $\tau(B_2 B_1)w_{i-1} = b_{i-1} \tau(B_2)w_{i-2} = b_{i-1} w_{i-1}$ , so that

$$\tau(B_1)w_i = \left(qb_{i-1} + q^{1-i} \eta_1 + \frac{q^{3i-3} \kappa c_2}{(q-q^{-1})} - \frac{(q+q^{-1})}{(q-q^{-1})} q^{3-3i} \kappa^{-1} c_1\right) w_{i-1}. \tag{3.5}$$

Hence  $\tau(B_1)w_i = b_i w_{i-1}$ . Since  $\tau$  is an irreducible representation we have that  $W = \tau(\mathcal{B})w_0 = \langle \{w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n\} \rangle$ , and therefore  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  is a basis of  $W$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$



**Remark 3.2** Since we assume  $(\tau, W)$  irreducible, the coefficients  $b_i$  in the proof of Proposition 3.1 are non-zero for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . This follows from the fact that if  $b_{i_0} = 0$  for some  $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ , then  $\{w_{i_0}, w_{i_0+1}, \dots, w_n\}$  is an invariant subspace and this contradicts the irreducibility of  $\tau$ .

**Corollary 3.3** Let  $(\tau, W)$  be a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  of dimension  $n + 1$  and highest weight  $\kappa$ . let  $w_0$  be a highest weight vector and let  $w_i = (B_2)^i w_0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  is a basis of  $W$ . The action of the generators of  $\mathcal{B}$  on this basis is given by

$$\tau(K) w_j = q^{3j} \kappa w_j, \quad \tau(B_2) w_j = w_{j+1}, \quad \tau(B_1) w_j = b_j w_{j-1}$$

where

$$b_0 = 0, \quad b_j = c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{-2n-1} [j]_q \frac{(1 - q^{2n-2j+2})(1 + c_2 c_1^{-1} \kappa^2 q^{2j+2n-1})}{(q - q^{-1})}.$$

Moreover,  $\tau(C_1) w_j = q^{-j} \eta_1 w_j$  and  $\tau(C_2) w_j = q^j \eta_2 w_j$ , where

$$\eta_1 = \frac{c_1 \kappa^{-1} q(1 + q^{-2n-2}) - c_2 \kappa q^{2n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{c_2 \kappa q^{-1}(1 + q^{2n+2}) - c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{-2n}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

*Proof* The fact that  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  is a basis of  $W$  and the action of  $\tau(K)$  on  $w_j$  follow directly from the proof of Proposition 3.1. It is clear that  $b_0 = 0$ . We now show that

$$b_j = [j]_q \left( \eta_1 + \frac{c_2 \kappa q^{2j-2} - c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{1-2j}(1 + q^{2j})}{(q - q^{-1})} \right), \tag{3.6}$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . We proceed by induction on  $i$ . If  $i = 1$ , then the statement follows directly from (3.5). Now we assume that (3.6) is true for some  $j, 1 < j \leq n$ . Then it follows from (3.5) and the inductive hypothesis that

$$b_j = q [j - 1]_q \left( \eta_1 + \frac{c_2 \kappa q^{2j-4} - c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{3-2j}(1 + q^{2j-2})}{(q - q^{-1})} \right) + q^{1-j} \eta_1 + \frac{q^{3j-3} \kappa c_2}{(q - q^{-1})} - \frac{(q + q^{-1})}{(q - q^{-1})} q^{3-3j} \kappa^{-1} c_1.$$

Now (3.6) follows by a straightforward computation.

It follows from the proof of Proposition 3.1 that  $\tau(C_1) w_j = q^{-j} \eta_1 w_j$  where  $\eta_1$  is the eigenvalue for the highest weight vector  $w_0$ . From the construction of the vectors  $w_i$  in Proposition (3.1), it follows that  $\tau(B_2) w_n = 0$ . Hence (3.3) and (3.6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-n} \eta_1 w_n &= \tau(C_1) w_n = q \tau(B_2 B_1) w_n - \frac{1}{q - q^{-1}} c_2 \tau(K) w_n + \frac{q + q^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} c_1 \tau(K^{-1}) w_n \\ &= -\frac{q^{n+1} - q^{-n+1}}{q - q^{-1}} \eta_1 - \frac{q^{n+1} - q^{-n+1}}{q - q^{-1}} \left( \frac{c_2 \kappa q^{2n-2} - c_2 \kappa^{-1} q^{1-2n}(1 + q^{2n})}{q - q^{-1}} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{c_2 \kappa q^{3n}}{q - q^{-1}} + \frac{(q + q^{-1}) c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{-3n}}{q - q^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the expression of  $\eta_1$  follows by a straightforward computation. The expression of  $\eta_2$  can be obtained similarly from the action of  $C_2$  on  $w_n$ . □

**Remark 3.4** If  $\tau$  is an irreducible representation with highest weight  $\kappa$  and dimension  $n + 1$ , it follows from Remark 3.2 and the explicit expression of the coefficient  $b_i$  in Corollary 3.3 that  $c_2 c_1^{-1} \kappa^2 \neq -q^{-2j-2n+1}$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Remark 3.5** It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.3 that a finite-dimensional irreducible representation  $(\tau, W)$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  is completely determined by the highest weight  $\kappa$  and the eigenvalue of  $\eta_1$  of the highest weight vector as eigenvector of  $\tau(C_1)$ .

**Corollary 3.6** Every irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  is determined by a pair  $(\kappa, n)$  where  $\kappa$  is the highest weight and the dimension is  $n + 1$ . Conversely, to each pair  $(\kappa, n)$  with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}^\times, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\kappa^2 \notin -c_1 c_2^{-1} q^{1-\mathbb{N}}$ , there corresponds an irreducible representation  $(\tau_{(\kappa,n)}, W_{(\kappa,n)})$  with highest weight  $\kappa$  and dimension  $n + 1$ .

*Proof* It follows directly from Proposition 3.1, Corollary 3.3 and Remark 3.4. □

**Proposition 3.7** Assume that  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  and  $c_1 \bar{c}_2 = q^3$ . Let  $(\tau, W)$  be an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $\tau$  is unitarizable.

*Proof* Since  $c_1 \bar{c}_2 = q^3$ , we have that  $\mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{B}$ . More precisely  $B_1^* = -\bar{c}_1 K^{-1} B_2, B_2^* = -\bar{c}_2 K B_1$  and  $K^* = K$ . Let  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  be the basis of  $W$  given in Corollary 3.3 and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  be the hermitian bilinear form defined on the basis elements by  $\langle w_0, w_0 \rangle = 1,$

$$\langle w_k, w_k \rangle = \langle \tau(((B_2)^k)^*(B_2)^k)w_0, w_0 \rangle, \quad \langle w_i, w_j \rangle = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w_k, w_k \rangle &= \langle \tau(((B_2)^k)^*(B_2)^k)w_0, w_0 \rangle \\ &= (-1)^k \bar{c}_2^{-k} q^{3\binom{k}{2}} \langle \tau(K^k (B_1)^k (B_2)^k)w_0, w_0 \rangle = (-1)^k \bar{c}_2^{-k} q^{3\binom{k}{2}} \langle \tau(K^k)w_0, w_0 \rangle \prod_{i=1}^k b_i \\ &= \frac{q^{3\binom{k}{2}-k(2n-1)}}{(1-q^2)^k} [k]_q! (q^{2n}; q^{-2})_k (-c_2 c_1^{-1} \kappa^2 q^{2n-1}; q^2)_k \langle w_0, w_0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since  $q^3 c_2 c_1^{-1} = c_1 \bar{c}_2 c_2 c_1^{-1} = |c_2|^2 > 0$ , it follows that  $c_2 c_1^{-1} > 0$  and thus (3.7) is positive. Therefore  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a positive definite bilinear form. Moreover,  $\langle \tau(X) w_i, w_j \rangle = \langle w_i, \tau(X^*) w_j \rangle$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . This follows from a straightforward verification on the generators of  $\mathcal{B}$ . □

**Remark 3.8** Let  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^\times$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $(w_i)_{i=0}^n$  be the orthogonal basis for  $W_{(\mu,n)}$  as in Corollary 3.3. We define an orthonormal basis  $(\tilde{w}_i)_{i=0}^n$  by  $\tilde{w}_i = w_i / \|w_i\|$ . The actions of  $C_1, C_2$  and  $K$  on the orthonormal basis are the same. For  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{(\kappa,n)}(B_1)\tilde{w}_i &= -c_1 \kappa^{-1} q^{-2i-n+1} \sqrt{\frac{(1-q^{2i})}{(1-q^2)} \frac{(1-q^{2n-2i+2})}{(1-q^2)}} (q + c_2 c_1^{-1} \kappa^2 q^{2n+2i}) \tilde{w}_{i-1}, \\ \tau_{(\kappa,n)}(B_2)\tilde{w}_i &= q^{i-n+1} \sqrt{\frac{(1-q^{2i+2})}{(1-q^2)} \frac{(1-q^{2n-2i})}{(1-q^2)}} (q + c_2 c_1^{-1} \kappa^2 q^{2n+2i+2}) \tilde{w}_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

### 4 The Branching Rule

In this section we prove the main theorem of the paper. We fix a coideal subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and show that any finite-dimensional representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  decomposes multiplicity free as finite-dimensional representations of  $\mathcal{B}$  and we characterise the  $\mathcal{B}$ -representations that occur in this decomposition. In case  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $*$ -invariant, every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  obviously decomposes into finite-dimensional irreducible representations. This fact is also noted by Letzter [17, Theorem 3.3].

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $\lambda = \lambda_1\varpi_1 + \lambda_2\varpi_2 \in P^+$  and fix the finite-dimensional irreducible unitary representation  $\pi_\lambda$  of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$  on the vector space  $V_\lambda$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a coideal subalgebra with  $c_2c_1^{-1} \notin -q^{2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2+1-\mathbb{N}}$ . The representation  $\pi_\lambda$  restricted to  $\mathcal{B}$  decomposes multiplicity free into irreducible representations;*

$$\pi_\lambda|_{\mathcal{B}} \simeq \bigoplus_{(\kappa,n)} \tau_{(\kappa,n)}, \quad V_\lambda = \bigoplus_{(\kappa,n)} W_{(\kappa,n)},$$

where the sum is taken over  $(\kappa, n) = (q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-3i}, i+x)$ , with  $0 \leq i \leq \lambda_1$  and  $0 \leq x \leq \lambda_2$ .

The proof of Theorem 4.1 will be carried out in the next subsections. If  $(\tau_{(\kappa,n)}, W_{(\kappa,n)})$  is a representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  that occurs in the representation  $\pi_\lambda$  upon restriction to  $\mathcal{B}$  then, up to a scalar, a highest weight vector  $w_0^{(\kappa,n)}$  for  $\tau_{(\kappa,n)}$  is completely determined by the highest weight  $\kappa$  and the eigenvalue  $\eta_1$ , see Remark 3.5. Hence, highest weight vectors for  $\mathcal{B}$ -representations in  $V_\lambda$  are eigenvectors of  $\pi_\lambda(C_1)$  belonging to the kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)$ . In Section 4.1 we determine the kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)$ .

**Remark 4.2** Observe that the Serre relations (3.2) for  $\mathcal{B}$  imply that the kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)$  is invariant under the action of  $B_1B_2$  and thus under the action of  $C_1$ .

In Section 4.2 we diagonalize the restriction of  $\pi_\lambda(C_1)$  to  $\ker(\pi_\lambda(B_1))$ . In most of the proofs we denote  $\pi_\lambda(X)$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ , with  $X$ .

**Remark 4.3** The restriction on  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in Theorem 4.1 is assumed in order to ensure the complete reducibility of  $\pi_\lambda$  upon restriction to  $\mathcal{B}$ . This is not always true for the excluded values of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . For example let  $\lambda = \varpi_1$ . Then  $V_\lambda$  is a three dimensional vector space. Mudrov’s basis in Theorem 2.3 is given by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{v_\lambda, F_1 v_\lambda, F_2 F_1 v_\lambda\}.$$

In this basis, the operator  $C_1$  is given by the  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_1q^2+c_1-qc_2}{q(q^2-1)} & 0 & -c_1c_2 \\ 0 & \frac{x_1q^4+c_1-qc_2}{q^2-1} & 0 \\ -q & 0 & \frac{c_1q^4+c_1-q^3c_2}{q(q^2-1)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvectors of  $C_1$  are (multiples of) the vectors

$$\rho_1 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -c_2/q \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $c_1 \neq -c_2/q$ , then  $V_\lambda$  decomposes as a sum of a two-dimensional and a one-dimensional irreducible representations of  $W$ :

$$V_\lambda = W_{(q,0)} \oplus W_{(q^{-2},1)},$$


where  $W_{(q,0)} = \{\{\rho_1\}\}$  and  $W_{(q^{-2},1)} = \{\{\rho_2, \rho_3\}\}$ . Moreover, the highest weight vectors of  $W_{(q,0)}$  and  $W_{(q^{-2},1)}$  are  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  respectively. If we let  $c_1 = -c_2/q$  then the matrix  $C_1$  degenerates into a non-diagonalizable matrix. The only eigenvectors are the multiples of  $\rho_2$  and  $\rho_3$  and therefore, although  $W_{(q^{-2},1)}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -invariant subspace of  $V_\lambda$ , there is no one-dimensional  $\mathcal{B}$ -invariant subspace in  $V_\lambda$ .

### 4.1 The Kernel of $B_1$

The goal of this subsection is to describe the structure of the kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)$  by introducing a particular basis. For each  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ , we introduce the following subspaces of  $V_\lambda$ :

$$U_i = \langle \mathcal{B}_i \rangle, \quad \mathcal{B}_i = \{F_2^k F_3^l F_1^{i+k} v_\lambda : 0 \leq l \leq \lambda_2, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - i\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Note  $U_i = \ker(K - q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 3i})$ , so that the  $U_i$  are mutually orthogonal subspaces of  $V_\lambda$ . By (3.4) we have  $B_1 : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$ ,  $B_2 : U_i \rightarrow U_{i-1}$  and by Proposition 2.4 we have



**Fig. 1** Weight diagram for the weight  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 5\varpi_2$ . The multiplicities are as in the Lie algebra case. In particular,  $\dim V_\lambda(v) = 1$  for  $v$  in the outer hexagon,  $\dim V_\lambda(v) = 2$  in the inner hexagon and  $\dim V_\lambda(v) = 3$  in the remaining weight spaces. The subspaces  $U_i$  defined in (4.1) are spanned by the basis vectors in the weight spaces indicated in the gray lines. Each vector in the weight space  $V_\lambda(v)$  is an eigenvector for  $K$ , where the eigenvalue is constant along the line parallel to  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ . In particular the  $U_i$ 's are the eigenspaces for  $K$  for the eigenvalue  $q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 3i}$

$F_1, E_2 : U_i \rightarrow U_{i+1}$  and  $F_2, E_1 : U_{i+1} \rightarrow U_i$ . This is shown in Fig. 1 for the highest weight  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 5\varpi_2$ .

**Remark 4.4** For each  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ , the basis  $\mathcal{B}_i$  consists on  $\lambda_1 - i + 1$  layers of  $\lambda_2 + 1$  vectors. More precisely, for  $k = 0, \dots, \lambda_1 - i$ , the  $k$ -th layer is given by the vectors

$$F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda, \quad l = 0, \dots, \lambda_2.$$

This structure is indicated in the Fig. 2 for the representation  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 5\varpi_2$ . The layers appear as circled numbers.

**Remark 4.5** The dimension of  $U_i$  is  $(\lambda_2 + 1)(\lambda_1 - i + 1)$ . Therefore, the dimension of  $\ker(B_1)|_{U_i}$  is, at least,  $\lambda_2 + 1$ . In particular,  $U_{\lambda_1} \subset \ker(B_1)$ .

**Proposition 4.6** *The kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)|_{U_i}$  has dimension  $\lambda_2 + 1$ . Moreover, a basis of  $\ker \pi_\lambda(B_1)|_{U_i}$  is given by  $(u_n^i)_{n=0}^{\lambda_2}$ , where*

$$u_n^i = \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \gamma_{k,l}^n F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda,$$

and the coefficients  $\gamma_{k,l}^n$  are given by the recurrence relation

$$a_k(l, k+i) \gamma_{k,l}^n + b_{k+1}(l-1, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l-1}^n - c_1 q^{l+2i+k+1-\lambda_1} \eta_{k+1}(l, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l}^n = 0,$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, \lambda_1 - i - 1, l = 0, \dots, \lambda_2$ , with initial values  $\gamma_{\lambda_1-i,l}^n = \delta_{n,l}$ .


*Proof* Let  $u = \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \gamma_{k,l} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda$  be a vector in the kernel of  $B_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 u &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \gamma_{k,l} (F_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda - c_1 E_2 K_1^{-1} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \gamma_{k,l} (a_k(l, k+i) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i+1} v_\lambda + b_k(l, k+i) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda \\ &\quad - c_1 q^{l+2i+k-\lambda_1} \eta_k(l, k+i) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} (a_k(l, k+i) \gamma_{k,l} + b_{k+1}(l-1, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l-1} \\ &\quad - c_1 q^{l+2i+k+1-\lambda_1} \eta_{k+1}(l, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l}) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i+1} v_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since the elements  $F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i+1}, 0 \leq k \leq \lambda_1 - i, 0 \leq l \leq \lambda_2$ , are linearly independent it follows that the coefficients  $\gamma_{k,l}$  satisfy the following recurrence relation.

$$a_k(l, k+i) \gamma_{k,l} + b_{k+1}(l-1, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l-1} - c_1 q^{l+2i+k+1-\lambda_1} \eta_{k+1}(l, k+i+1) \gamma_{k+1,l} = 0. \tag{4.2}$$

For each  $n = 0, 1, \dots, \lambda_2$ , if we set  $\gamma_{\lambda_1-i,l}^n = \delta_{n,l}$ , then (4.2) determines uniquely a vector  $u_n$  in the kernel of  $B_1$ . The vectors  $u_n$  are clearly linearly independent and span the kernel of  $B_1$  restricted to  $U_i$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$



**Fig. 2** Structure of the basis of  $U_0$  for the representation  $\pi_\lambda$  with  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 5\varpi_2$  as in Fig. 1. The circled numbers indicate the layers of the basis. Note that vertically aligned basis elements span the weight space  $V_\lambda(\lambda - r(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))$  for  $r = 0$  (left) to  $r = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 7$  (right)

**Remark 4.7** According to the layer structure of  $\mathcal{B}_i$  described in Remark 4.4, the vector  $u_n^i$  has a single non-zero contribution from the vectors of the upper layer, namely from  $F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^n F_1^{\lambda_1}$ , and two contributions from the one but upper layer. Therefore, we have

$$u_n^i = F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^n F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n}^n F_2^{\lambda_1-i-1} \hat{F}_3^n F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n+1}^n F_2^{\lambda_1-i-1} \hat{F}_3^{n-1} F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda + \sum_{k=0}^{\lambda_1-i-2} \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \gamma_{k,l}^n F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{i+k} v_\lambda. \tag{4.3}$$

The coefficients  $\gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n}^n$  and  $\gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n+1}^n$  corresponding to the vectors of the one but last layer are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n}^n &= c_1 q^{n+i} \frac{(q^{\lambda_1-i} - q^{-\lambda_1+i})(q^{\lambda_2+\lambda_1-n} - q^{-\lambda_2-\lambda_1+n})}{(q-q^{-1})^2}, \\ \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1,n+1}^n &= -\frac{(q^{\lambda_1-i} - q^{-\lambda_1+i})(q^{\lambda_2+\lambda_1-n-1} - q^{-\lambda_2-\lambda_1+n+1})}{(q^{\lambda_2+\lambda_1+1-n} - q^{-\lambda_2-\lambda_1-1+n})(q^{\lambda_2+i-n} - q^{-\lambda_2-i+n})}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The structure of the vectors  $u_n^i$  for  $U_{\lambda_1-2}$  is depicted in Fig. 3.


**Remark 4.8** The basis  $\{u_n^i\}_n$  of the kernel of  $\pi_\lambda(B_1)$  is not an orthogonal basis. In fact, it follows from Remark 4.7 that

$$\begin{aligned} u_0^{\lambda_1-1} &= F_2^{\lambda_1-1} F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda + \gamma_{\lambda_1-2,0}^0 F_2^{\lambda_1-2} F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda, \\ u_1^{\lambda_1-1} &= F_2^{\lambda_1-1} \hat{F}_3 F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda + \gamma_{\lambda_1-2,1}^1 F_2^{\lambda_1-2} \hat{F}_3 F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda + \gamma_{\lambda_1-2,2}^1 F_2^{\lambda_1-2} F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\langle u_0^{\lambda_1-1}, u_1^{\lambda_1-1} \rangle = \gamma_{\lambda_1-2,0}^0 \gamma_{\lambda_1-2,2}^1 H_{\lambda_1-2,0,\lambda_1-1} \neq 0,$$

using the explicit expressions (4.4).



**Fig. 3** Structure of the basis  $(u_n^0)_n$  of  $\ker(B_1|_{U_0})$  for the representation  $\lambda = 2\varpi_1 + 5\varpi_2$  as in Fig. 1. The black circles indicate the terms that contribute to the expression of the element  $u_0^0 = F_2^2 \hat{F}_3 F_1^2 v_\lambda + \dots$

### 4.2 The Action of $C_1$

In Remark 4.2 we observed that the kernel of  $B_1$  is stable under the action of  $C_1$ . Furthermore for each  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ ,  $U_i$  is stable under  $C_1$ . The goal of this subsection is to compute the action of  $C_1$  in the basis of  $\ker \pi_\lambda(B_1)$  given in Proposition 4.6.

**Lemma 4.9** *In the basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of Theorem 2.3 we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1 F_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda &= a_{k+1}(l, k+i) F_2^{k+1} \hat{F}_3^l F^{k+i+1} v_\lambda + b_{k+1}(l, k+i) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda, \\
 E_2 F_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda &= \eta_{k+1}(l, k+i) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda, \\
 F_1 E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda &= \alpha_k(l, k+i) a_k(l, k+i-1) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda \\
 &\quad + \alpha_k(l, k+i) b_k(l, k+i-1) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^{l+1} F_1^{k+i-1} v_\lambda \\
 &\quad + \beta_k(l, k+i) a_{k+1}(l-1, k+i) F_2^{k+1} \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^{k+i+1} v_\lambda \\
 &\quad + \beta_k(l, k+i) b_{k+1}(l-1, k+i) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda, \\
 E_2 E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} &= \alpha_k(l, k+i) \eta_k(l, k+i-1) F_2^{k-1} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i-1} v_\lambda \\
 &\quad + \beta_k(l, k+i) \eta_{k+1}(l-1, k+i) F_2^k \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda, \\
 K F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda &= q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-3i} F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda, \\
 K^{-1} F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda &= q^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1+3i} F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* The lemma is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4. □

Since  $K$  acts as a multiple of the identity on each  $U_i$ , it suffices to determine the action of  $B_1 B_2$  on  $U_i$ .

**Lemma 4.10** *For  $i \in 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ , in the basis  $(u_n^i)_n$  of  $\ker(B_1)$ , we have*

$$B_1 B_2 u_n^i = A(n) u_{n+1}^i + B(n) u_n^i + C(n) u_{n-1}^i, \quad n = 0, \dots, \lambda_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(n) &= \frac{q^{\lambda_2+i-n} (1-q^2) (1-q^{2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2-2n})}{(1-q^{2\lambda_2+2\lambda_1-2n+2}) (1-q^{2\lambda_2+2i-2n})}, \\
 B(n) &= -c_1 \frac{q^{2n+i-\lambda_1-\lambda_2} (1-q^{2\lambda_2-2n+2i})}{(1-q^2)} + \frac{c_2 q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2+2n-i+1} (1-q^{-2n-2i})}{(1-q^2)}, \\
 C(n) &= \frac{c_1 c_2 q^{3n-3\lambda_2-i-2} (1-q^{2n}) (1-q^{2\lambda_2-2n+2}) (1-q^{2\lambda_1+2\lambda_2-2n+4}) (1-q^{2\lambda_2+2i+2-2n})}{(1-q^2)^3 (1-q^{2\lambda_2+2\lambda_1+2-2n})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* Since  $U_i$  is stable under  $B_1 B_2$  and  $(u_n^i)_n$  is a basis of  $\ker(B_1|_{U_i})$ , we have

$$B_1 B_2 u_n^i = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_2} v_j u_j^i,$$

for certain coefficients  $v_j$ . Since  $\mathcal{B}_i$  is an orthogonal basis and  $u_n^i$  has a single contribution from the vectors in the upper layer of  $\mathcal{B}_i$ , see Remark 4.7, we obtain that

$$\langle B_1 B_2 u_n^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_2} v_j \langle u_j^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle = v_s H_{\lambda_1-i, s, \lambda_1}^2.$$

On the other hand, from (3.1) we have

$$B_1 B_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda = F_1 F_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda - c_1 q^{l+k+2i-1-\lambda_1} E_2 F_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda - c_2 q^{k+l-i-\lambda_2} F_1 E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda + c_1 c_2 q^{2l+2k+i-\lambda_1-\lambda_2-2} E_2 E_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda. \tag{4.5}$$

Applying Lemma 4.9 to (4.5), we verify that the action of  $B_1 B_2$  on the vector of the  $k$ -th layer  $F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^{k+i} v_\lambda$  has contributions from the  $(k - 1)$ -th,  $k$ -th and  $(k + 1)$ -th layer. Hence, Remark 4.7 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_1 B_2 u_n^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle &= \langle B_1 B_2 F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n}^n \langle B_1 B_2 F_2^{\lambda_1-i-1} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n+1}^n \langle B_1 B_2 F_2^{\lambda_1-i-1} \hat{F}_3^{s-1} F_1^{\lambda_1-1} v_\lambda, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^s F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From Lemma 4.9 we obtain that (4.6) is zero unless  $s = n - 1, n, n + 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_1 B_2 u_n^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^{n+1} F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle &= [b_{\lambda_1-i+1}(n, \lambda_1) + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n+1}^n a_{\lambda_1-i}(n+1, \lambda_1-1)] H_{\lambda_1-i, n+1, \lambda_1}^2, \\ \langle B_1 B_2 u_n^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^n F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle &= [-c_1 q^{n+i-1} \eta_{\lambda_1-i+1}(l, \lambda_1) \\ &\quad - c_2 q^{\lambda_1+n-2i-\lambda_2} \alpha_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1) a_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1-1) \\ &\quad - c_2 q^{\lambda_1-2i+n-\lambda_2} \beta_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1) b_{\lambda_1-i+1}(n-1, \lambda_1) + \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n}^n a_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1-1) \\ &\quad - c_2 q^{\lambda_1+n-2i-\lambda_2} \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n+1}^n \beta_{\lambda_1-i-1}(n+1, \lambda_1-1) a_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1-1)] H_{\lambda_1-i, n, \lambda_1}^2, \\ \langle B_1 B_2 u_n^i, F_2^{\lambda_1-i} \hat{F}_3^{n-1} F_1^{\lambda_1} v_\lambda \rangle &= [c_1 c_2 q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2+2n-i-2} \beta_{\lambda_1-i}(n, \lambda_1) \eta_{\lambda_1-i+1}(n-1, \lambda_1) \\ &\quad - c_2 q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2+n-2i-1} \gamma_{\lambda_1-i-1, n}^n \beta_{\lambda_1-i-1}(n, \lambda_1-1) a_{\lambda_1-i}(n-1, \lambda_1-1)] H_{\lambda_1-i, n-1, \lambda_1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now the lemma follows from Proposition 2.4 and (4.4). □

**Lemma 4.11** For  $i \in 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ , in the basis  $(u_n^i)_n$  of  $\ker(B_1)$ , we have

$$C_1 u_n^i = A(n) u_{n+1}^i + (B(n) + D) u_n^i + C(n) u_{n-1}^i, \quad D = -c_2 \frac{q^{\lambda_1-\lambda_2-3i}}{q-q^{-1}} + c_1 \frac{q^{\lambda_2-\lambda_1+3i} (q+q^{-1})}{q-q^{-1}}.$$

*Proof* Lemma 4.10, (3.3) and  $K$  acting as a multiple of the identity give the result. □

We are now ready to find the eigenvectors of  $C_1$  restricted to  $\ker(B_1)|_{U_i}$ . We will describe these eigenvectors as a linear combination of the vectors  $u_n^i$  with explicit coefficients given in terms of dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N$ , the dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials are given explicitly by

$$K_n(\lambda(x); c, N|q) = \frac{(q^{x-N}; q)_n}{(q^{-N}; q)_n q^{nx}} {}_2\phi_1 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{-x} \\ q^{N-x-n+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q, cq^{x+1} \right),$$



where  $\lambda(x) = q^{-x} + cq^{x-N}$ , see [10, (3.17.1)]. We follow the standard notation of [7] for basic hypergeometric series, namely

$${}_2\phi_1\left(\begin{matrix} a, b \\ c \end{matrix} \middle| q, z\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, b; q)_k}{(c; q)_k} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k}.$$

The polynomials

$$r_l(\lambda(x)) = (q^{-2N}; q)_l K_l(\lambda(x); c, N|q^2), \tag{4.7}$$

satisfy the three term recurrence relation

$$x r_l(x) = r_{l+1}(x) + (1 + c)q^{2l-2N} r_l(x) + cq^{-2N}(1 - q^{2l})(1 - q^{2l-2N-2})r_{l-1}(x). \tag{4.8}$$

**Proposition 4.12** For  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1$ , the set  $\{\psi_x^i\}_{x=0}^{\lambda_2}$  where

$$\psi_x^i = \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \frac{(-1)^l c_2^{-l} q^{-l(\lambda_1+4)+l(l+1)/2} (1 - q^{2l})(q^{-2\lambda_2-2\lambda_1}; q^2)_l}{(q^2, q^{-2\lambda_2-2\lambda_1-2}, q^{-2\lambda_2-2i}; q^2)_l} K_l(\lambda(x), -c_1^{-1}c_2q^{2\lambda_1-2i+1}, \lambda_2, q^2) u_l^i,$$

is a basis of eigenvectors of  $C_1$  restricted to  $\ker(B_1)|_{U_i}$ . The eigenvalue of  $\psi_x^i$  is

$$\eta_1 = \frac{c_1 \kappa^{-1} q(1 + q^{-2n-2}) - c_2 \kappa q^{2n}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

for  $\kappa = q^{\lambda_1-3i-\lambda_2}$  and  $n = x + i$ .

**Remark 4.13** As we pointed out in Remark 4.8, the basis  $(u_n^i)_n$  is not orthogonal. Still the operator  $C_1$  acts tridiagonally. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $*$ -invariant then the basis  $\{\psi_x^i\}_{x=0}^{\lambda_2}$  in Proposition 4.12 is orthogonal although, because of the non-orthogonality of  $(u_n^i)_n$ , this does not follow directly from the orthogonality of the dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials.

*Proof* Assume there exist polynomials  $p_n(x)$  such that  $v = \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} p_l(x) u_l^i$  is an eigenvector of  $C_1$  with eigenvalue  $\eta_1$ , i.e.  $C_1 v = \eta_1 v$ . From Lemma 4.11 we have

$$C_1 v = \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} p_l(x)(A(l)u_{l+1}^i + (B(l) + D)u_l^i + C(l)u_{l-1}^i) = \sum_{l=0}^{\lambda_2} \eta_1 p_l(x) u_l^i.$$

Since  $(u_l^i)_l$  is a basis of  $\ker(B_1)|_{U_i}$  the vectors  $u_l^i$  are linearly independent and hence the polynomials  $p_l$  satisfy the following three term recurrence relation

$$\eta_1 p_l(x) = C(l + 1)p_{l+1}(x) + (B(l) + D) p_l(x) + A(l - 1)p_{l-1}(x).$$

If  $k_l$  is the leading coefficient of  $p_l$ , then  $P_l = k_l^{-1} p_l$  is a sequence of monic polynomials satisfying the recurrence relation

$$\eta_1 P_l(x) = P_{l+1}(x) + (B(l) + D) P_l(x) + C(l)A(l - 1)P_{l-1}(x), \tag{4.9}$$

where

$$B(l) + D = -\frac{c_1 q^{2l+i-\lambda_1-\lambda_2}(1 - c_1^{-1}c_2 q^{2\lambda_1-2i+1})}{(1 - q^2)} - \frac{c_1 q^{3i-\lambda_1+\lambda_2+2}}{(1 - q^2)},$$

$$C(l)A(l - 1) = -\frac{c_1 c_2 q(1 - q^{2l})(1 - q^{2l-2\lambda_2-2})}{(1 - q^2)^2},$$

using Lemma 4.10 and Lemma 4.11. We will identify the polynomials  $P_l$  with the dual  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials. If we let

$$c = -c_1^{-1} c_2 q^{2\lambda_1 - 2i + 1}, \quad N = \lambda_2,$$

the recurrence relation (4.8) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x r_l(x) &= r_{l+1}(x) + (1 + c_1^{-1} c_2 q^{2\lambda_1 - 2i + 1}) q^{2l - 2\lambda_2} r_l(x) \\ &\quad + c_1^{-1} c_2 q^{2\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 - 2i + 1} (1 - q^{2l}) (1 - q^{2l - 2\lambda_2 - 2}) r_{l-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

If we let  $\tilde{r}_l(x) = a^{-l} r_l(ax)$  with  $a = -c_1^{-1} q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - i} (1 - q^2)$ , by a straightforward computation we obtain

$$\left( x - \frac{c_1 q^{3i - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2}}{(1 - q^2)} \right) \tilde{r}_l(x) = \tilde{r}_{l+1}(x) + (B(l) + D) \tilde{r}_l(x) + C(l) A(l - 1) \tilde{r}_{l-1}(x). \tag{4.10}$$

If we evaluate (4.10) in  $\lambda(x)a^{-1}$ , the eigenvalue is given by

$$\frac{\lambda(x)}{a} - \frac{c_1 q^{3i - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2}}{(1 - q^2)} = \frac{c_1 q^{3i - \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2} (1 + q^{-2x - 2i - 2}) + c_2 q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - i + 2x}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Therefore the polynomials  $P_l(x) = \tilde{r}(\lambda(x)a^{-1}) = a^{-l} r_l(\lambda(x))$  satisfy the recurrence (4.9) with eigenvalue

$$\eta_1 = \frac{c_1 \kappa^{-1} q(1 + q^{-2n - 2}) - c_2 \kappa q^{2n}}{q - q^{-1}},$$

with  $\kappa = q^{\lambda_1 - 3i - \lambda_2}$  and  $n = x + i$ , for  $x = 0, \dots, \lambda_2$ . Finally,  $p_l(x) = \kappa_l a^{-l} r_l(\lambda(x))$ . The explicit expression of  $p_l$  follows from (4.7) and Lemma 4.10. □

*Proof of Theorem 4.1* From Proposition 4.12 we obtain vectors  $\psi_x^i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1, x = 0, \dots, \lambda_2$  such that

$$\pi_\lambda(B_1) \psi_x^i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 \psi_x^i = \frac{c_1 \kappa^{-1} q(1 + q^{-2n - 2}) - c_2 \kappa q^{2n}}{q - q^{-1}} \psi_x^i = \eta_1 \psi_x^i.$$

where  $\kappa = q^{\lambda_1 - 3i - \lambda_2}$  and  $n = x + i$ , so that  $\psi_x^i$  is a highest weight vector. It follows from Corollary 3.6 that the highest weight vector  $\psi_x^i$  defines an irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{B}$  of dimension  $x + i + 1$

$$W_{q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 3i}, x+i} = \langle \{ \psi_x^i, \pi_\lambda(B_2) \psi_x^i, \pi_\lambda(B_2)^2 \psi_x^i, \dots, \pi_\lambda(B_2)^{x+i} \psi_x^i \} \rangle.$$

Let  $W = \bigoplus_{(\kappa, n)} W_{(\kappa, n)}$  where the sum is taken over  $(\kappa, n) = (q^{\lambda_1 - 3i - \lambda_2}, x + i)$  for  $i = 0, \dots, \lambda_1, x = 0, \dots, \lambda_2$ . We have that  $W \subset V_\lambda$  and

$$\dim W = \sum_{i, x} \dim W_{q^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - 3i}, x+i} = \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_1 + 1) (\lambda_2 + 1) (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2) = \dim V_\lambda.$$

Therefore  $W = V_\lambda$  and this completes the proof of the theorem. □

**Acknowledgments** We thank Stefan Kolb for helpful discussions on this paper. Noud Aldenhoven also thanks him for his hospitality during his visit to Newcastle. We thank J. Stokman for pointing out reference [3].

The research of Noud Aldenhoven is supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under project number 613.001.005 and by the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole Dygest P07/18.

The research of Pablo Román is supported by the Radboud Excellence Fellowship. P. Román was partially supported by CONICET grant PIP 112-200801-01533, FONCyT grant PICT 2014-3452 and by SeCyT-UNC.

We would like to thank the anonymous referees for their comments and remarks, that have helped us to improve the paper.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### Appendix A. Proof of Theorem 2.3

**Lemma A.1** *The following relations hold in  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))$ :*

- (i)  $F_2 \hat{F}_3[a] = \hat{F}_3[a + 1]F_2,$
- (ii)  $E_1 \hat{F}_3[a] = \hat{F}_3[a + 1]E_1 + F_2 \frac{(q^{a+1}K_1K_2 - q^{-a-1}(K_1K_2)^{-1})}{(q - q^{-1})},$
- (iii)  $F_2F_3 = qF_3F_2,$
- (iv)  $(\hat{F}_3[a])^* = q\hat{E}_3[a](K_1K_2)^{-1}, F_3^* = qE_3(K_1K_2)^{-1},$
- (v)  $\hat{F}_3 = F_3 \frac{qK_2 - q^{-1}K_2^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} + qF_2F_1K_2,$
- (vi)  $E_1F_3 = F_3E_1 + F_2K_1,$

*Proof* Straightforward verifications using (2.1) and (2.3). □

**Corollary A.2** *For  $l \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$E_1(\hat{F}_3[a])^l = (\hat{F}_3[a+1])^l E_1 + \frac{q^l - q^{-l}}{q - q^{-1}} F_2(\hat{F}_3[a])^{l-1} \frac{(q^{a+2-l}K_1K_2 - q^{-a-2+l}(K_1K_2)^{-1})}{(q - q^{-1})}$$

*Proof* By induction on  $l$  using Lemma A.1(ii) and (i). □

*Proof of Theorem 2.3* By the PBW-theorem,  $F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda$  for  $k, l, m \in \mathbb{N}$  spans  $V_\lambda$ . By Proposition 2.4

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda &= q^{\lambda_1+k-l-2m} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \\ K_2 F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda &= q^{\lambda_2-2k-l+m} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Since  $K_i, i = 1, 2,$  are self-adjoint, we find that  $\langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle = 0$  in case  $k - l - 2m \neq k' - l' - 2m'$  or  $-2k - l + m \neq -2k' - l' + m'$ . For  $k' > k$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle &= \langle (E_2 K_2^{-1})^{k'} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= q^{k'(k'+1)} \langle K_2^{-k'} E_2^{k'} F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

since  $E_i^{k'} F_i^k \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(3)) E_i^{k'-k}$  for  $k, k' \in \mathbb{N}, k' > k,$  using also Lemma 2.1(ii) for  $a = 0,$  (2.1) and (2.4). Because of the symmetry between  $k$  and  $k',$  we see that the inner product (A.2) is 0 for  $k \neq k'.$  With the above remark, we find

$$\langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^{k'} \hat{F}_3^{l'} F_1^{m'} v_\lambda \rangle = 0$$

in case  $k \neq k' \text{ or } l \neq l' \text{ or } m \neq m'.$

So it suffices to calculate the norm of the vectors, and see that this is non-zero precisely for the range mentioned. First, using the case  $k = k'$  of the first part of (A.2) and that  $K_2$  acts on  $E_2^k F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda$  by the scalar  $q^{\lambda_2 - l + m}$ , we find

$$\langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle = q^{k(k+1) - k(\lambda_2 - l + m)} \langle E_2^k F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle.$$

Now use Lemma 2.2(ii) for  $i = 2$  and next the commutation relations of Lemma 2.1(ii) and (2.1) to see that the  $U_q(\mathfrak{su}(3))E_2$ -part of Lemma 2.2(ii) gives zero contribution. Because of the action of  $K_2$  being diagonal, we find

$$\langle F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_2^k \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_k}{(1 - q^2)^{2k}} (q^{-2(\lambda_2 - l + m)}; q^2)_k (-1)^k q^{3k} \langle \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle.$$

Next we write

$$\langle \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda \rangle = \langle \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, F_1^m \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = q^{m(m+1)} q^{-m(\lambda_1 - l)} \langle E_1^m \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle$$

using Lemma 2.1(i), the  $*$ -structure (2.3), (2.1) and (A.1). Following Mudrov [22, §8] we replace  $\hat{F}_3^l$  on the left by  $F_3^l$ . First use Lemma A.1(v)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E_1^m \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle &= \frac{q^{2+\lambda_2 - l + m} - q^{-2-\lambda_2 + l - m}}{q - q^{-1}} \langle E_1^m F_3 \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle \\ &\quad + q^{2+\lambda_2 - l + m} \langle E_1^m F_2 F_1 \hat{F}_3^{l-1} F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In the second term, move  $F_2$  to the left using (2.1), and then the other side so that is essentially an  $E_2$  which we can move through, by Lemma 2.1(ii), to the highest weight vector, and hence gives zero. This we can repeat, since  $F_2$  also  $q$ -commutes with  $F_3$  by Lemma A.1(iii). This yields

$$\langle E_1^m \hat{F}_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = \frac{(-1)^l q^{l(2+\lambda_2 + m)l} q^{-\frac{1}{2}l(l-1)}}{(1 - q^2)^l} (q^{-\lambda_2 - 2 - m}; q^2)_l \langle E_1^m F_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle.$$

Using Lemma A.1(vi), and moving  $F_2$  to the other side, where  $F_2^*$  kills  $\hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda$ , we see

$$\langle E_1^m F_3^l F_1^m v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = (-1)^m q^{-m(m-2) + m\lambda_1} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_m}{(1 - q^2)^{2m}} (q^{-2\lambda_1}; q^2)_m \langle F_3^l v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle$$

by Lemma 2.2(ii). Assume  $l \geq 1$ , so it remains to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_3^l v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle &= \langle F_3^{l-1} v_\lambda, (F_3)^* \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = q^{1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2l)} \langle F_3^{l-1} v_\lambda, (E_2 E_1 - E_1 E_2) \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle \\ &= q^{1 - (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - 2l)} \langle F_3^{l-1} v_\lambda, E_2 E_1 \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where we use Lemma A.1(iv), the diagonal action of  $K_i$  and the fact that the action of  $E_1 E_2$  is zero by Lemma 2.1(ii) and (2.4). By Corollary A.2 for  $a = 0$  and (2.4) we find

$$E_1 \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda = \frac{q^l - q^{-l}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^{2+\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - l} - q^{-2-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + l}}{q - q^{-1}} F_2 \hat{F}_3^{l-1} v_\lambda$$

and next applying  $E_2$ , using (2.1), (2.4) and Lemma 2.1(ii) we find

$$E_2 E_1 \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda = \frac{q^l - q^{-l}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^{2+\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - l} - q^{-2-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + l}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^{\lambda_2 - l + 1} - q^{-\lambda_2 + l - 1}}{q - q^{-1}} \hat{F}_3^{l-1} v_\lambda,$$

so that

$$\langle F_3^l v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = q^{1-(\lambda_1+\lambda_2-2l)} \frac{q^l - q^{-l}}{q - q^{-1}} \times \frac{q^{2+\lambda_1+\lambda_2-l} - q^{-2-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+l}}{q - q^{-1}} \frac{q^{\lambda_2-l+1} - q^{-\lambda_2+l-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \langle F_3^{l-1} v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^{l-1} v_\lambda \rangle.$$

Iterating, since we normalize  $\langle v_\lambda, v_\lambda \rangle = 1$ , we find

$$\langle F_3^l v_\lambda, \hat{F}_3^l v_\lambda \rangle = q^{l(\lambda_2+7)} q^{-\frac{1}{2}l(l+1)} \frac{(q^2; q^2)_l}{(1 - q^2)^{3l}} (q^{-2\lambda_2}; q^2)_l (q^{-2(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+1)}; q^2)_l.$$

Note that this expression is positive for  $0 \leq l \leq \lambda_2$  and equals zero for  $l > \lambda_2$ . Collecting all the intermediate results gives the explicit expression for the norm of the basis elements.  $\square$

## References

1. Aldenhoven, N., Koelink, E., Román, P.: Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials for the quantum analogue of  $(SU(2) \times SU(2), \text{diag})$ . In: Ramanujan, J. (ed.) (2016). doi:10.1007/s11139-016-9788-y
2. Bao, H.: Kazhdan-Lusztig theory of super type  $D$  and quantum symmetric pairs. arXiv:1603.05105
3. Delius, G.W., MacKay, N.: Affine quantum groups, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Physics. In: Françoise, J.-P., Naber, G.L., Tsun, T.S. (eds.), pp. 183–190 (2006)
4. Dijkhuizen, M.S., Noumi, M.: A family of quantum projective spaces and related  $q$ -hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350**, 3269–3296 (1998)
5. Dijkhuizen, M.S., Stokman, J.V.: Some limit transitions between BC type orthogonal polynomials interpreted on quantum complex Grassmannians. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **35**, 451–500 (1999)
6. Ehrig, M., Stroppel, C.: Nazarov-Wenzl algebras, coideal subalgebras and categorified skew Howe duality. arXiv:1310.1972v2
7. Gasper, G., Rahman, M. Basic Hypergeometric Series, 2nd edition, vol. 96. Cambridge University Press (2004)
8. Heckman, G., van Pruijssen, M.: Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials for Gelfand pairs of rank one. Tohoku Math. J. **68**(2), 407–437 (2006)
9. Klimyk, A., Schmüdgen, K.: Quantum Groups and Their Representations Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1997)
10. Koekoek, R., Swarttouw, R.F.: The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its  $q$ -analogue, online at <http://aw.twi.tudelft.nl/~koekoek/askey.html>, Report 98-17. Technical University Delft (1998)
11. Koelink, E., van Pruijssen, M., Román, P.: Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to  $(SU(2) \times SU(2), \text{diag})$ . Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **24**, 5673–5730 (2012)
12. Koelink, E., van Pruijssen, M., Román, R.: Matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials related to  $(SU(2) \times SU(2), \text{diag})$ , II. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **49**, 271–312 (2013)
13. Kolb, S.: Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs. Adv. Math. **267**, 395–469 (2014)
14. Kolb, S., Letzter, G.: The center of quantum symmetric pair coideal subalgebras. Rep. Theory **12**, 294–326 (2008)
15. T: Koornwinder, Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the  $SU(2)$  quantum group. SIAM J. Math. Anal. **24**, 795–813 (1993)
16. Letzter, G.: Symmetric pairs for quantized enveloping algebras. J. Alg. **220**, 729–767 (1999)
17. Letzter, G.: Harish-Chandra modules for quantum symmetric pairs. Rep. Theory **4**, 64–96 (2000)
18. Letzter, G.: Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs, vol. 43, pp. 117–166. New Directions in Hopf Algebras, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
19. Letzter, G.: Quantum symmetric pairs and their zonal spherical functions. Transform. Groups **8**, 261–292 (2003)
20. Letzter, G.: Quantum zonal spherical functions and Macdonald polynomials. Adv. Math. **189**, 88–147 (2004)
21. Letzter, G.: Invariant differential operators for quantum symmetric spaces. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 193 (2008)

22. Mudrov, A.: Orthogonal basis for the Shapovalov form on  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}(n + 1))$ . *Rev. Math. Phys.* **27**(2), 1550004 (2015). 23
23. Noumi, M.: Macdonald's symmetric polynomials as zonal spherical functions on some quantum homogeneous spaces. *Adv. Math.* **123**, 16–77 (1996)
24. Noumi, M., Dijkhuizen, M.S., Sugitani, T.: Multivariable Askey-Wilson polynomials and quantum complex Grassmannians. *Fields Inst. Commun.* **14**, 167–177 (1997)
25. Noumi, M., Sugitani, T.: Quantum symmetric spaces and related  $q$ -orthogonal polynomials, *Group Theoretical Methods in Physics*, pp. 28–40. World Science Publishing, River Edge (1994)
26. Oblomkov, A.A., Stokman, J.V.: Vector valued spherical functions and Macdonald-Koornwinder polynomials. *Compos. Math.* **141**(5), 1310–1350 (2005)
27. van Pruijssen, M.: Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials related to compact Gel'fand pairs of rank one. PhD Thesis, Radboud Universiteit (2012)
28. Sugitani, T.: Zonal spherical functions on quantum Grassmann manifolds. *J. Math. Sci Univ. Tokyo* **6**, 335–369 (1999)