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The effect of the addition of CNT to the 2LiBH$_4$·MgH$_2$ system was studied. The enhanced kinetic behaviour disappeared after several absorption/desorption cycles.
CNT addition to the LiBH$_4$–MgH$_2$ composite: The effect of milling sequence in the hydrogen cycling properties.

F. Cova$^*$, F. C. Gennari$^2$ and P. Arneodo Larochette$^3$

The composite 2LiBH$_4$·MgH$_2$ has recently received attention as a potential hydrogen storage material. This is mainly due to its high storage capacity. However, the temperatures needed to obtain adequate reaction kinetics are still too high for practical applications. In the present work we study the effect of Ni and carbon nanotubes addition as catalysts. We found that different synthesis methods of the composite lead to different hydrogen absorption/desorption kinetic behaviours. These changes can be attributed to morphological and microstructural differences caused by the dissimilar milling stages at which the nanotubes were introduced during the sample synthesis. An induction time during the hydrogen desorption appeared as a consequence of the different dispersion of the carbon nanotubes observed in the samples prepared with both synthesis methods. It was also found that equilibrium pressure increased when temperature decreased below 375°C, this effect was kinetic and it was possible to conclude that the addition of nanotubes had no effect on the thermodynamics of the system.

1. Introduction

In the last few years, many efforts have been devoted to the development of new methods of hydrogen storage in order to establish hydrogen as a viable energy carrier. Recently, attention has shifted towards the study of complex hydrides and complex hydride based composites due to their high gravimetric storage capacities. Complex hydrides are usually ionic solids which have an alkali or alkaline earth cation and a complex anion group formed by a central atom (generally N, Al, or B) and surrounding hydrogen atoms linked to the central one by a covalent bond. One of the most studied complex hydride based composite is 2LiBH$_4$·MgH$_2$, which is composed by the mixture of a complex hydride (LiBH$_4$) and a metallic hydride (MgH$_2$). Metallic hydrides are basically metallic or intermetallic compounds in which the hydrogen occupies interstitial positions. LiBH$_4$ is a complex hydride which has one of the highest hydrogen storage capacities among all the hydrides. However, its decomposition requires high temperatures for a reasonable absorption/desorption kinetics and the reactions have a very high reaction enthalpy for practical purposes. The presence of MgH$_2$ allows the LiBH$_4$ to decompose to a lower energy state during desorption. In presence of Mg, under right hydrogen pressure and temperature conditions, the dehydrogenation of LiBH$_4$ derives in the formation MgB$_2$ instead of B. MgB$_2$ is a more stable compound than B and thus the dehydrogenated state of the composite is stabilised respect to the pure LiBH$_4$. This process is called destabilisation and was firstly introduced by Vajo et al. However, even in destabilised systems, the desorption temperatures remain high for practical applications. In an attempt to overcome these problems, several authors have proposed that the nanoconfinement of different composite systems could improve the reaction kinetics allowing lower temperatures for the reaction. Additionally, several catalysts have been proposed to increase the reaction rate at low temperatures, however adequate kinetics at temperatures below 250°C have not been obtained thus far. On the other hand, carbon nanotubes (CNT) have shown a substantial beneficial effect over the hydrogen sorption properties in several different hydrides and hydride systems. In particular, the addition of CNT has a catalytic effect in MgH$_2$, which is related to the introduction during ball milling of carbon fragments to the hydride matrix, allowing better interactions of the material with the hydrogen molecule. In complex hydrides, the presence of carbon results in the weakening of the bonds between hydrogen and the alkali or alkali-earth metals, leading to a lower desorption energy. Some studies of the addition of CNT to the composite 2LiBH$_4$·MgH$_2$ have been done previously showing good results for the first desorption, in particular with the addition of another catalyst like Ru. However, the effect of the presence of the CNT has not been thoroughly studied after several absorption/desorption cycles. In fact, Wang et al. reported an important loss in the hydrogen storage capacity after the second cycle. For these reasons, in this work, the effect of the addition of carbon nanotubes to the Ni catalysed 2LiBH$_4$·MgH$_2$ composite was
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studied, with a focus in the stability of the system and its resilience to deterioration after several sorption cycles. Additionally, two different synthesis methods were compared in order to find the effect that the milling sequence has over the sample hydrogen storage properties.

2. Experimental

2.1 Synthesis of the materials

The synthesis of the materials used in this work was done from the following raw materials: MgH$_2$ (Sigma Aldrich, hydrogen storage grade), Ni (Sigma Aldrich, purity 99%), LiBH$_4$ (Sigma Aldrich, purity >90%) and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (Aldrich, 95% of nanotubes and about 5% of carbon). All the sample preparation and handling was done in an argon-filled glove box (M Braun Unilab), with oxygen and moisture levels <1 ppm. These precautions were necessary due to the high reactivity of MgH$_2$ and LiBH$_4$ with air and water.

The materials were prepared by mechanical grinding in a medium-energy planetary type mill (Fritsch Pulversette 6) under 100 kPa of argon atmosphere. All samples were ball-milled at 400 rpm with a ball mass/sample mass ratio of 40:1. Because of the slow reaction kinetics of the 2LiBH$_4$-MgH$_2$ composite, Ni was added as a catalyst. The chosen composition for the base material was 2LiBH$_4$-MgH$_2$ + 5 wt. % Ni. This material, referred as LBMN in the text, was selected as reference based in previous work performed with a composite with this composition.$^{36}$ CNT were added to the base material in a proportion, 95% LBMN + 5% CNT in weight. Two different samples were prepared by the addition of nanotubes. The first sample was synthesised by milling all the raw materials during 10 hours under the specified conditions, this sample was denominated LBMNC. The other sample was prepared in two steps. Initially MgH$_2$, Ni and LiBH$_4$ were milled for 8 hours in order to form the LBMN sample. After that, CNT were added to the milling chamber and the new mixture was milled for another 2 hours to complete the 10 hour milling used for the first sample. The second sample was named LBMNT.

2.2 Characterisation

Microstructural, structural, thermal and hydrogen storage properties of the composites were studied by means of powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD, Bruker Advance D8), Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR, PerkinElmer Spectrum 400), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, TA 2910 calorimeter), scanning electron microscopy (SEM Nova Nano 230, FEI Company), surface area (BET, Micromeritics ASAP 2020) and a Sieverts type volumetric equipment.

PXRD (CuKα radiation) and FTIR analyses were performed to obtain the structural information about the samples. A tight sealed sample holder was used during PXRD and FTIR data collection, to completely prevent any interaction between samples and air. For IR spectroscopy measurements, the selected samples were ground with dry KBr and pressed into pellets before introducing them in the airtight sample cell.

Solid-state IR spectra were obtained in the range of 800-4000 cm$^{-1}$ in transmission mode. Morphological and microstructural characterizations were performed via SEM with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analyser. Samples of the synthesised/cycled powders were prepared inside the glove box to prevent decomposition in contact with the atmosphere, and only opened at the moment of measuring.

Textural characteristics of the samples were studied using N$_2$ adsorption isotherms. The isotherms were collected at -196°C, 100 mg of sample was used. Before performing the measurements, the samples were evacuated at 100°C for 12 hours to remove any adsorbed molecule that could be present in the surface of the material. Surface area and pore distribution were obtained applying the method of Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET method).

The study of the thermal behaviour of the samples was done by DSC at a heating rate of 5°C min$^{-1}$. An argon flow of 122 ml min$^{-1}$ was used to avoid any interaction with the atmosphere during desorption. About 5 mg of sample was loaded into aluminium capsules closed in the glove box. A modified Sieverts type device, coupled with a mass flow controller was used to measure the kinetics of hydrogenation and dehydrogenation of the samples. The mass flow controller allowed the Sieverts device to keep an almost constant pressure during the whole experiment. Absorptions performed to test the cycling properties of the materials were done at 425°C and 6000 kPa. Hydrogen desorption measurements were performed at 425°C and 600 kPa of backpressure. This backpressure is necessary to guarantee that the system follows the desired reaction pathway during the desorption experiment at 425°C.$^{36-38}$ Before performing the pressure-composition isotherm absorption measurements, the samples were desorbed with the mentioned conditions.

The method utilised for measuring desorption (absorption) PCIs was as follows: a programmed amount of hydrogen was extracted (introduced) from (into) the system using the mass flow controller. The hydrogen was extracted (introduced) in batches of 0.45 mg (equivalent to 0.3 wt. %). Consequently the pressure decreased (increased) around 30 kPa. Then the measurement software waited for 1000 seconds for the system to reach equilibrium. Simultaneously, the software measured the temporal variation of the pressure. In the case that this variation was lower than a fixed value (10$^{-2}$ kPa.s$^{-1}$), the system was considered to be in equilibrium and a data point in the PCI curve was saved. A data point was also saved if the 1000 seconds waiting period was achieved.

Composition of the samples after PCI measurements was studied by PXRD and the Rietveld method.$^{39}$ For the refinement, a pseudo-Voigt shape was assumed; the background introduced by the sample holder was initially set by hand and refined in each run. The ATZ coefficient was obtained using the Fullprof software$^{40}$ for each PXRD measurement. This coefficient allowed to perform the quantitative phase analysis for each partially absorbed sample.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterisation of the as-milled materials

In order to understand the differences in the as-milled samples caused by the two different methods of synthesis, DSC and PXRD analyses were performed in both samples. In Figure 1 A, the results of the DSC results are presented. In that same figure, the DSC corresponding to the LBMN sample was also added as reference. All the samples show the same peaks. The onsets at 115°C and 275°C, correspond to the transition from orthorhombic to hexagonal phases (O → H) and melting of pure LiBH$_4$ respectively.\textsuperscript{18,41,42} The onset at 345°C can be attributed to the dehydrogenation of MgH$_2$, while the increment of the heat flow observed at 375°C can be associated to the LiBH$_4$ desorption.\textsuperscript{41} Comparing the results from the samples with and without CNT, it can be deduced that the nanotubes do not provide an additional catalytic effect. The decrease in the dehydrogenation temperature observed in the material respect to the pure LiBH$_4$ is due to the Ni.\textsuperscript{36} However it is possible to notice an effect caused by the CNT. The samples that included the nanotubes present a clearly perceptible sagging of the baseline. This may be due to the variation of the thermal conductivity of the sample caused by the presence of the CNT. It is important to remark that graphite planes that make CNTs have an anisotropic thermal conductivity due to their planar crystal structure. The as-milled LBMNC and LBMNT samples were studied by PXRD to ensure that no reaction occurred among the initial materials during the milling process.

Further PXRD studies of the desorbed samples showed no disparities structure-wise. In our previous work,\textsuperscript{36} this phenomenon was attributed to an uneven distribution or proximity between LiBH$_4$ and MgH$_2$ particles in the powder. This conclusion was taken based on the results reported by Bosenberg et al.\textsuperscript{41} However, the desorption behaviour of the samples with nanotubes showed significant differences between them, as can be seen in Figure 2 A. In the figure becomes clear that the LBMNC sample presents an important induction time which is not present in the LBMNT samples and LBMN. By contrast, the sample that had the CNT added after the initial milling process, presented a desorption behaviour similar to the sample without nanotubes. This is an indication that the CNT have an effect in the system during the milling. This effect is not structural, as it becomes clear from the PXRD and FTIR analyses. While it may be assumed that the Ni catalytic effect can be cancelled by the nanotubes deriving in the presence of the induction time, the results obtained from DSC curves (Figure 1 A) indicate that the catalytic effect is still present in both samples.

In order to determine if the differences between the samples were morphological/microstructural, they were observed by SEM. The images obtained in this study are presented in Figure 3. It can be seen that there is a considerable difference between the two samples from a morphological point of view. In the images taken with a magnification of 6000x (Figures 3 C1 and T1) it can be clearly distinguished that in the LBMNT sample, on which the nanotubes were added after a milling process, the material surface appears to be smooth while the other seems to be rough, with lots of cracks on it.
One possible explanation for this is that in the LBMC sample (C1), the agglomerates created from multiple particles are stuck to each other. In the LBMNT sample (T1), the agglomerates are coated by graphite layers detached from the nanotubes. This could be a reason that explains why the surface of this sample seems to be smooth. This reasoning however, do not explain why the graphite layer detachment and subsequent coating of the composite does not occur in the LBMC material. An observation of both materials at higher magnification (24000x) is presented in Figures 3 C2 and T2. In those images it can be seen that in the LBMC sample (C2) there are tubular structures that appear to be inserted in the agglomerates. In Figure 3 C2, it is possible to notice the tip of one of these tubular structures protruding from an agglomerate. This type of structure is unusual in milled materials, so it could be assumed that these are braids of nanotubes coated by the 2LiBH₄:MgH₂ composite during the milling process. The coating deposited on the surface of the nanotubes could have caused the confinement of graphite layers and thus it was not possible for the planes to detach from the CNT. In the image corresponding to the LBMNT sample (T2) nanotubes are clearly visible on the material surface and coming out from inside the material. The presence of the nanotubes exposed on the surface would allow the formation of a graphite layer covering the surface. Since the nanotubes are not covered by the composite, the graphite layers are not confined, and it is possible for them to be detached from the nanotubes surface.

Finally, a detail of both samples is presented in Figures 3 C3 and T3 in a higher magnification (50000x). It is possible to notice that when observed at this level of detail, the morphology of both materials, LBMNT (T3) and LBMC (C3), is similar. The only observable difference is the presence of the coating and the nanotubes on the surface. This would reinforce the hypothesis presented earlier about the LBMNT material, been similar to the LBMC, but with the cover of graphite layers.

Considering that the presence of carbon nanotubes on the surface of the material could have an influence on the specific area, BET area measurements were made in both materials. However, the results showed a specific area of 5 m²·g⁻¹ in both cases. These values are similar to the area obtained for the LBMN sample. The measurements also did not show any change in the type or the hysteresis of the N₂ isotherm. From these results it is possible to deduce that the induction time observed is related to the morphology of the LBMC sample. One possible explanation for the presence of this induction time may be that the nanotubes covered by the composite previously observed by SEM (Figure 3 C2) could hinder the nucleation of the MgB₂ phase. It has been reported that the graphite planes are introduced inside the MgH₂ matrix during milling which could make the interactions of Mg with LiBH₄ more difficult. These graphitic layers could occupy the places of the boron layers formed on MgB₂ and thus slowing down their nucleation.

Figure 2: First desorption of the LBMNT, LBMC and LBMN samples (A). Desorption cycles of sample LBMNT (B).

Figure 3: Images taken by SEM of the samples LBMC (C1, C2 and C3) and LBMNT (T1, T2 and T3) at different magnifications.
After some cycles of hydrogenation/dehydrogenation the LBMNT sample started to show the same induction time observed in the LBMNC sample. This effect is shown in Figure 2B. As it can be seen in the figure, the induction time appears after only 3 cycles and it grows with each cycle. After the 6th cycle, the induction time is similar to the one observed for the LBMNT sample. To find an explanation to this phenomenon the LBMNT sample was removed after several hydrogen absorption/desorption cycles and observed at SEM. The images obtained are presented in Figure 4. It is possible to notice that now the nanotubes can be clearly observed as tubes covered by the composite. There is also a straightening of the nanotubes; this could be caused by the solidification of the LiBH$_4$ over its surface. The nanotubes provide a site for the nucleation and when the crystals start to grow, they cause a tension that unbend the nanotubes causing them to adopt the straight position observed in the figure. This covering may have an effect similar to the observed in the LBMNC sample and be the reason for the induction time observed after some hydrogenation/dehydrogenation cycles.

### 3.3 Thermodynamic study of the interactions between the samples and hydrogen

The thermodynamic study of the samples was performed by PCI measurements as described in the experimental section. Absorption isotherms were obtained in a temperature range varying from 325°C to 425°C for both samples. Each measurement was done with a fresh sample to prevent any effect that may appear after the first absorption/desorption cycle. The results for the LBMNT sample are presented in Figure 5A. The LBMNC sample (not presented) had a similar behaviour. The plateau pressures for temperatures in the range between 375°C and 425°C are consistent with the reported previously for the 2LiBH$_4$MgH$_2$ composite. The equilibrium pressures at temperatures below 375°C however, show an increment when the temperature decreases. This result was not expected, as this would indicate that a different reaction was occurring at those temperatures. Furthermore, the enthalpy of this reaction should be negative, in contrast to the positive enthalpy of the reaction: Mg$_3$B$_2$ + LiH + H$_2$ → LiBH$_4$ + MgH$_2$. This behaviour is highly unusual for this kind of reactions, although not impossible.

In order to get a better understanding of the obtained results, the PCI measurements were redone, and the measurements were stopped at fixed values of absorbed hydrogen. The samples were then quenched to prevent any reaction that could happen after the measurement had finished. This process was done for 3 different temperatures, 325°C, 375°C and 425°C, and the measurements were stopped at 5 different amounts of absorbed hydrogen (indicated in Figure 5B with dashed vertical lines).

Each measurement was done with a new fresh sample. The amounts of absorbed hydrogen in each measurement are shown in Figure 5B. The quenched and withdrawn samples were subsequently analysed by PXRD. The results from the PXRD analyses are shown in Figure 6. It is possible to notice from the results, that at 375°C and 325°C, MgB$_2$ decomposition and MgH$_2$ formation occur simultaneously. However at 425°C, the decomposition of MgB$_2$ gives as result the formation of Mg, and in a different step the Mg hydrogenates to form MgH$_2$. These results were expected considering the double plateau observed at 425°C. The obtained diffractograms were refined utilising the Rietveld method in order to get the phase composition for each one and compare the pathways at 325°C and 375°C. The average value for the R$_{wp}$ obtained from the refinements was 10.5% with a $\chi^2$ value of 1.2. These results are presented in Figure 7.
It is important to notice that the absorbed hydrogen percentage shown in Figures 6 and 7 do not match the values at which the samples were quenched presented in figure 5 B. This is because the weight of absorbed hydrogen for each sample was recalculated based on the results obtained from the Rietveld refinement. Therefore these values are a better representation of the actual quantity of hydrogen present in the samples. The difference observed between both amounts of absorbed hydrogen can be attributed to several factors like the time needed to remove the samples from the heater and quench them, volumetric measurements errors and the weight of the sample.

In Figure 6 broad peak can be observed at low angles. This is part of the background and belongs to the dome shaped sample holder required to prevent the interaction between the samples and air. From this Figure it can be deduced that the hydrogen absorption reactions at 375°C and 325°C, both follow the same path (only one step: $\text{MgB}_2 + \text{LiH} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2$ without the formation of Mg as an intermediate reaction), and the inconsistencies observed in the equilibrium pressure (Figure 5 A) are not due to a different reaction occurring. A possible explanation for the unusual behaviour of the samples is that at 325°C the hydrogenation
reaction is limited by its kinetic. Additional PCI measurements were performed increasing the amount of time that the measurement software waited to reach equilibrium at 325°C; however, the obtained plateau pressures in these experiments were similar to the obtained with a shorter waiting time. This may imply that at temperatures below 375°C, the reaction is very slow, and would require to wait for the equilibrium an amount of time high enough to induce the presence of significant measurement errors.

At lower temperatures, the energetic barriers presented by the different reaction steps became hard to surpass for the system, which results in the stopping of the reaction. Higher pressures increase the chemical potential of the hydrogen in gaseous state, thus reducing the reaction barrier. Greater pressures also increase the number of attempts that the hydrogen in gaseous states does for crossing the energy barrier. This is equivalent to consider that the higher pressures guarantee the necessary driving force for the reaction to happen. It is possible that at 325°C and 350°C the rate constant may be so small that a much greater driving force is needed for the reaction to happen. If this is correct, then the pressure measured at the absorption PCI is not the equilibrium pressure but the pressure that ensures a driving force that is required for the reaction to happen.

Is it possible to conclude from these results that the presence of CNT do not have any impact in the thermodynamics of the system?

4. Conclusions

The effect of the addition of CNT to the Ni catalysed 2LiBH₄-MgH₂ composite was studied. It was found that the steps utilised during the synthesis of the materials had a noticeable effect in the first desorption process. The milling of the nanotubes with the raw materials from scratch resulted in the apparition of an induction time. This time was not present in the material without nanotubes and in the material in which the nanotubes were added in a further step. Since between both materials with nanotubes showed the presence of the same phases, the induction time could be attributed to the morphology of the system. It was clearly observed in the images taken by SEM that the CNT in both materials were dispersed differently. In the LBMNMC sample, they were covered by the composite. When this happens the interaction between the surface of the nanotubes and the material may hinder the formation of MgB₂ deriving in the observed induction time. In the LBMNT sample the CNT were dispersed in the surface of the 2LiBH₄-MgH₂ composite and were not covered by it. Unlike the LBMNMC, this second sample did not show the induction time. The explanation about the interaction that causes the presence of the induction time is reinforced by the fact that after some hydrogenation/dehydrogenation cycles the LBMNT sample showed the same induction time and when it was observed in the SEM after several cycles, the CNT were again covered by the composite. It is important to remark that the presence of the induction time severely hinders the applicability of the material as a hydrogen storage system.

An unusual behaviour was observed in the equilibrium pressure at temperatures below 375°C. The pressure augmented when the temperature decreased. PXRDR analyses of the samples obtained with different amounts of hydrogen absorbed at several temperatures showed that the reactions at temperatures below 375°C followed the same pathway that reactions above that temperature. This indicates that the behaviour was probably caused by kinetic reasons that made impossible to measure the real equilibrium pressure of the system. From these results can be deduced that the presence of the nanotubes do not have any effect on the thermodynamics of the system.

Under the studied experimental conditions, it was found that the initial enhancement in the kinetic behaviour disappears after a few hydrogenation/dehydrogenation cycles, generating induction times of almost two hours. On the other hand, this disadvantage is not outweighed by an improvement in the already complex thermodynamics of the system. Taking both results into account, it is possible to conclude that the system is severely limited in its application as a potential hydrogen storage material.
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