

Human sperm chemotaxis depends on critical levels of reactive oxygen species

Raúl Sánchez, M.D.,^{a,b} Carolina Sepúlveda,^a Jennie Risopatrón, M.Cs.,^{a,b,c} Juana Villegas, Ph.D.,^{a,b,c,d} and Laura C. Giojalas, Ph.D.^c

^a Center of Reproductive Biotechnology, ^b Department of Preclinical Science, ^c Department of Basic Sciences, and ^d Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de La Frontera, Temuco, Chile; and ^e Center for Molecular and Cellular Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina

Objective: To verify whether chemotaxis is in part an oxidative process mediated by reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Design: In this prospective study, after removal of seminal plasma, the sperm suspension received no treatment (control), ROS formation by stimulation with phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA), antioxidant treatment (with catalase), or PMA stimulus in the presence of catalase. At time zero and after 3 hours of incubation, the percentage of capacitated and oriented spermatozoa and the ROS levels were determined.

Setting: Andrology laboratory in a medical research institution.

Patient(s): Normal semen was obtained from eight men.

Intervention(s): The semen samples were evaluated to determine the effect of ROS production by stimulation with PMA and/or antioxidant treatment (with catalase) on the percentage of capacitated and oriented spermatozoa.

Main Outcome Measure(s): The sperm capacitation, chemotaxis and reactive oxygen species were assessed before and after PMA and/or antioxidant treatment.

Result(s): Prolonged exposure to high quantities of ROS decrease the sperm chemotactic response, probably because of oxidative damage of the cell. However, this effect may be reduced by the addition of antioxidants like catalase.

Conclusion(s): Similar to capacitation, chemotaxis seems to depend on the production of ROS, but in the latter process there may be a critical level of ROS necessary for chemotaxis to occur. (Fertil Steril® 2008; ■:■-■. ©2008 by American Society for Reproductive Medicine.)

Key Words: Human spermatozoa, ROS, antioxidant, chemotaxis, sperm capacitation

Mammalian spermatozoa usually produce reactive oxygen species (ROS) as part of a normal metabolism. However, oxidative stress may result from an imbalance between the production of free radicals (mainly ROS) and the ability of the antioxidants to degrade them. Consequently, significant oxidative damage occurs to many cellular organelles by damaging lipids, proteins, DNA, and carbohydrates, thus leading to cell death. The sperm cell is particularly susceptible to oxidative damage because of the lack of cytoplasm, which is also a source of antioxidants (1, 2). Immature spermatozoa and seminal leukocytes are the major source of ROS in semen. Seminal leukocytes produce H₂O₂, which is the most toxic form of ROS for spermatozoa since it rapidly crosses their membranes. Excessive ROS generation can overwhelm the protective mechanism and initiate lipid peroxidation in sperm plasma membranes. Lipid peroxidation

causes impairment of membrane functioning, decreased fluidity, inactivation of membrane-bound receptors and enzymes, and increased nonspecific permeability to ions. Thus, sperm oxidative stress may affect reproduction and fertility (1). On the other hand, antioxidant molecules could reduce the impact of H₂O₂. For example, catalase catalyzes the decomposition of H₂O₂ into water and oxygen (2).

Nevertheless, spermatozoa need ROS to normally function, and low levels of ROS are essential for capacitation, hyperactivation, motility, and acrosome reaction (3, 4). Sperm capacitation is a process that prepares the spermatozoa to fertilize the egg. It has been reported that ROS induce sperm capacitation in human and animal models by the redox-mediated protein tyrosine phosphorylation (1).

Furthermore, only capacitated spermatozoa are able to orient their movement toward the source of an attractant, which is called chemotaxis (5–8). Since sperm capacitation depends on ROS formation (1, 9) and chemotaxis depends on capacitation (5, 6), the purpose of this work was to verify whether chemotaxis is also influenced by ROS.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Culture Medium

Chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis), except dihydroethidine, which is from Molecular Probes (Eugene,

Received July 6, 2008; revised and accepted September 11, 2008.

R.S. has nothing to disclose. C.S. has nothing to disclose. J.R. has nothing to disclose. J.V. has nothing to disclose. L.C.G. is a researcher at Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Argentina.

This work was supported by Fondo de Fomento al Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDEF) grant no. D05110416, Comisión Nacional de Investigación Científica y Tecnológica (CONICYT), Chile (to R.S.).

Reprint requests: Raúl Sánchez G., Center of Reproductive Biotechnology, Faculty of Medicine, Manuel Montt 112, Universidad de la Frontera, Temuco, Chile (FAX: 56-45-234326; E-mail: rsanchez@ufro.cl).

OR), and the salts, which are from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Bovine follicular fluid was obtained and lyophilized as described by Kurosaka et al. (10). The culture medium was Biggers, Whitten, Whittingham medium (BWW) (11), at pH 7.4, supplemented with Hepes (45 mM) and 4% bovine serum albumin (fraction V).

Sperm Preparation

Human semen samples were obtained by masturbation from eight healthy donors after 3–5 days of sexual abstinence. The donors were informed verbally and in writing about the aims of the investigation and signed the consent for the use of their sperm. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine at the Universidad de La Frontera. Only those samples showing normal sperm density, motility, and morphology according to World Health Organization guidelines were included in the study. The semen samples were allowed to liquefy for 30 minutes at 37°C, and then spermatozoa were separated from the seminal plasma by the migration-sedimentation technique to prevent damage produced by centrifugation (12) in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂ in air at 37°C. The highly motile sperm population was recovered and adjusted to 4.5×10^6 cells/mL. The cells were immediately divided into four treatments as follows: spermatozoa in BWW (as a control), spermatozoa incubated with 0.1 mM phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) to induce ROS production (13), spermatozoa with 2600 U/mL catalase as an antioxidant, spermatozoa with both PMA and catalase as indicated above. In each treatment, the spermatozoa were evaluated for capacitation, chemotaxis, and ROS production at time zero (defined as the end of the sperm separation from the seminal plasma) and after 3 hours of incubation under the capacitating conditions mentioned above.

Capacitation

The criterion to evaluate the level of capacitated spermatozoa was the percentage of spermatozoa that underwent the acrosome reaction upon pharmacological stimulation. As previously recommended by the Andrology Special Interest Group of the European Society for Human Reproduction and Embryology, we used the calcium ionophore A23187 to stimulate the acrosome reaction (14) only in capacitated spermatozoa (15, 16).

The level of capacitated sperm was determined as described elsewhere (6) from the difference between the percentage of acrosome-reacted spermatozoa before (spontaneous acrosome reaction) and after calcium ionophore stimulation. The acrosome-reacted spermatozoa were visualized by the acrosome marker *Pisum sativum* agglutinin labeled with fluorescein isothiocyanate.

Chemotaxis Assay

Chemotaxis assays were performed at 37°C in a modified Zigmond chemotaxis chamber consisting of two wells separated by a wall and closed with a coverslip sealed with paraf-

fin as described elsewhere (6). Briefly, one of the wells contained spermatozoa in BWW and the other one contained the attractant or BWW as a negative control. Bovine follicular fluid (10^{-4} in BWW) was used as the attractant since it has been shown to chemoattract human spermatozoa (7) and because large quantities of it can be easily obtained. This construction allowed the formation of a stable, one-dimensional concentration gradient of the chemoattractant between both compartments. The movement of sperm cells on top of the partition wall (in the middle of the field between the two wells) was videotaped at $63\times$ for 5 minutes. The sperm tracks were subsequently analyzed using the Sperm Track 2.9 software (Center for Cellular and Molecular Biology, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina), and the percentage of oriented cells in each treatment was determined. The occurrence of chemotaxis was calculated by discounting the percentage of oriented spermatozoa observed in the absence of attractant (culture medium alone) from the value obtained in the presence of the attractant (follicular fluid).

ROS Determination

ROS production was measured by means of 20 μ M dihydroethide, a permeant fluorescence oxidative marker that changes into 2-hydroxyethide when it is oxidated by the superoxide anion; it is then trapped inside the nucleus and generates a red fluorescence (17). Spermatozoa were incubated with dihydroethide for 15 minutes at 37°C. The cells were subsequently centrifuged at $300 \times g$ for 10 minutes. The samples were smeared into a slide, and the percentage of red fluorescence-labeled cells (ROS-producing cells) was determined under an epifluorescence microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) at $\times 1000$.

Statistical Analysis


Eight experiments were performed, analyzing a total of 1600 cells per treatment for induced acrosome reaction, 1200 for chemotaxis, and 1600 for ROS production. Data were analyzed with a nonparametric analysis of variance and Tukey tests using the Prism 3.0 GraphPad software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA). $P \leq .05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Capacitation

At time zero, there were no significant differences between treatments in the level of induced acrosome reacted spermatozoa (Fig. 1A). However, after 3 hours of incubation, there was a significant decrease in the level of induced acrosome reacted spermatozoa in the samples treated with PMA in comparison with time zero and in the samples subjected to other treatments after 3 hours of sperm incubation ($P < .001$). The capacitated cells under catalase treatment did not significantly differ from those observed in the control group.

FIGURE 1



Sánchez. Sperm chemotaxis and reactive oxygen species. *Fertil Steril* 2008.

Chemotaxis

At time zero, there were no significant differences in the percentage of chemotactic spermatozoa under PMA treatment or under PMA and catalase treatment in comparison with the absence of treatment (Fig. 1B). However, the level of chemotactic spermatozoa under treatment with catalase only was significantly lower than in the other experimental groups ($P < .05$). After 3 hours of incubation under capacitating conditions, the percentage of chemotactic spermatozoa in the presence of PMA was significantly lower than the percentage

FIGURE 1 Continued

Percentage of induced acrosome reacted spermatozoa (level of capacitated spermatozoa) (A), chemotactic spermatozoa (B), and ROS level (C) in the presence of PMA, catalase (Cat), or PMA plus catalase (PMA-Cat), either at time zero (filled bars) or after 3 hours of incubation (open bars). C = control. The corresponding negative control has already been discounted. Data are expressed as mean \pm standard error. a: Significant differences versus PMA at time zero and versus other treatments after 3 hours of incubation ($P < .001$). b: Significant differences versus other treatments at time zero ($P < .05$). c: Significant differences versus other treatments at time zero ($P < .001$). d: Significant differences versus time zero ($P < .001$). e: Significant differences versus time zero and other treatments after 3 hours of incubation ($P < .001$). f: Significant differences versus time zero ($P < .05$). g: Significant differences versus other treatments after 3 hours of incubation ($P < .05$).

in the absence of treatment and in those samples previously incubated with catalase or with PMA and catalase ($P < .001$). In addition, after 3 hours of incubation, the percentage of chemotactic spermatozoa treated with PMA was significantly lower than at time zero ($P < .001$), an effect that was avoided when catalase was added to the sample ($P < .001$). When the cells were incubated with catalase for 3 hours, the percentage of chemotactic spermatozoa significantly increased in comparison with time zero ($P < .05$).

ROS Production

In all treatments, the level of ROS production was significantly higher after 3 hours of incubation under capacitating conditions compared with the respective time zero ($P < .05$), whereas in the spermatozoa incubated under PMA stimulus, the ROS production was significantly higher than in the other treatments ($P < .05$; Fig. 1C). ROS production under catalase treatment did not significantly differ from that observed in the control group.

DISCUSSION

The results presented here indicate that under our experimental conditions, ROS appeared to be involved in the capacitation and chemotaxis process.

When the spermatozoa received no treatment, the chemotactic response toward follicular fluid was observed even at time zero (8%). The latter fact was apparently not expected because it is well-known that the spermatozoa need a period of time to achieve the capacitation state to be able to chemotactically orient their movement toward follicular fluid. However, time zero represents the time when the sperm separation from the seminal plasma ended, and this procedure lasted

about 1 hour, time enough to capacitate some of the cells. After 3 hours of incubation under capacitating conditions, there is a normal rate of spermatozoa (12%) that chemotactically respond to the chemoattractant (Fig. 1B).

Although at time zero there is no significant increase in the sperm ROS level under PMA treatment, the proportion of capacitated sperm cells is equivalent to that observed in the control after 3 hours of incubation (12%) and is highly correlated with the level of chemotactic spermatozoa at the same time point (12%; Fig. 1). However, after 3 hours of incubation in the presence of PMA, the spermatozoa lose the ability to chemotactically respond to follicular fluid, which is in agreement with a significant decrease in the level of capacitated spermatozoa. The latter fact may be explained by the excessive accumulation of ROS after 3 hours of incubation with PMA, which may accelerate the acquisition of the physiological postcapacitated state, which under normal in vitro conditions is expected to appear after 4 hours of incubation (18).

On the other hand, in the presence of catalase, the level of chemotactic cells is significantly lower than in the respective control groups (1% vs. 8% at 0 hours; 8% vs. 12% at 3 hours). However, the level of ROS production and that of the capacitated cells under catalase treatment did not significantly differ from those observed in the control group. Therefore, the low level of chemotactic cells observed in the presence of catalase at time zero probably means that there is a critical minimum level of ROS required for the expression of chemotaxis. Unfortunately, such a threshold cannot be detected under experimental conditions.

Conversely, prolonged exposure to high quantities of ROS decreases the sperm chemotactic response, probably because of the oxidative damage to the cell. However, this effect may be reduced by the addition of antioxidants like catalase. Thus, similar to capacitation, chemotaxis also seems to depend on the production of ROS. However, the involvement of a specific ROS in the sperm chemotaxis may depend on the incubation time, and the present data converge to emphasize the concept that the chemotaxis is part of an oxidative process. As a whole, these results may have potential clinical applications, particularly in those cases of silent seminal infection in which the ROS levels are high, and then they may accelerate the capacitation state, causing the cells to arrive more quickly at a postcapacitating state, during which the sperm chemotactic response may be abolished.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal A, Makker K, Sharma R. Clinical relevance of oxidative stress in male factor infertility: an update. *Am J Reprod Immun* 2007;59:2–11.
2. Armstrong JS, Rajasekarn M, Chamulitrat W, Gatti P, Hellstrom WJ, Sikka SC Characterization of reactive oxygen species induced effects on human spermatozoa movement and energy metabolism. *Free Rad Biol Med* 1999;26:869–80.
3. Griveau JF, Le Lannou D. Reactive oxygen species and human spermatozoa: physiology and pathology. *Int J Androl* 1997;20:61–9.
4. Agarwal A, Nallella KP, Allamaneni SS, Said TM. Role of antioxidants in treatment of male infertility: an overview of the literature. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2004;8:616–27.
5. Cohen-Dayag A, Tur-Kaspa Y, Dor J, Mashiach S, Eisenbach M. Sperm capacitation in humans is transient and correlates with chemotactic responsiveness to follicular factors. *Proc Nat Acad Sci* 1995;92:11039–43.
6. Fabro G, Rovasio RA, Civalero S, Frenkel A, Caplan R, Eisenbach M, et al. Chemotaxis of capacitated rabbit spermatozoa to follicular fluid revealed by a novel directionality-based assay. *Biol Reprod* 2002;67:1565–71.
7. Sun F, Gjojalas LC, Rovasio RA, Tur-Kaspa I, Sanchez R, Eisenbach M. Lack of species-specificity in mammalian sperm chemotaxis. *Devel Biol* 2003;255:423–7.
8. Eisenbach M, Gjojalas LC. Sperm guidance in mammals—a non-paved road to the female egg. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2006;7:276–85.
9. Kodama H, Kuribayashi Y, Gagnon C. Effect of sperm lipid peroxidation on fertilization. *J Androl* 1996;17:151–7.
10. Kurosaka S, Takai K, Kita M, Tachiura G, Kanoh J. Effect of heparin on bovine oocytes development in culture during and after in vitro fertilization. *J Anim Reprod* 1990;36:241–4.
11. Biggers JD, Whitten KW, Whittingham DG. The culture of mouse embryos in vitro. In: Daniel Jr JC, ed. *Methods in Mammalian Embryology*. San Francisco: W.H. Freeman Company, 1971:86–116.
12. Hauser R, Homonnai ZT, Paz GF, Yavetz H, Amit A, Lessing JB, et al. Migration sedimentation technique as a predictive test for the fertilizing capacity of spermatozoa in an in-vitro fertilization programme. *Int J Androl* 1992;15:498–503.
13. Whittington K, Harrison SC, Williams KM, Day JL, McLaughlin EA, Hull MG, et al. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and the outcome of diagnostic tests of sperm function. *Int J Androl* 1999;22:236–42.
14. Mortimer D, Fraser L. Consensus workshop on advanced diagnostic andrology techniques. *Hum Reprod* 1996;11:1463–79.
15. Jaiswal BS, Cohen-Dayag A, Tur-Kaspa Y, Eisenbach M. Sperm capacitation is, after all, a prerequisite for both partial and complete acrosome reaction. *FEBS Lett* 1998;427:309–13.
16. Jaiswal BS, Eisenbach M, Tur-Kaspa I. Detection of partial and complete acrosome reaction in human spermatozoa: which inducers and probes to use? *Mol Hum Reprod* 1999;5:214–9.
17. Aitken RJ, Wingate JK, De Iuliis GN, Koppers AJ, McLaughlin EA. Cis-unsaturated fatty acids stimulate reactive oxygen species generation and lipid peroxidation in human spermatozoa. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2006;91:4154–63.
18. Oren-Benaroya R, Kipnis J, Eisenbach M. Phagocytosis of human post-capacitated spermatozoa by macrophages. *Hum Reprod* 2007;22:2947–55.