

Ethology Ecology & Evolution

ISSN: 0394-9370 (Print) 1828-7131 (Online) Journal homepage: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/teee20

Behavioral compensation buffers body temperatures of two Liolaemus lizards under contrasting environments from the temperate Pampas: a Bogert effect?

Oscar A. Stellatelli, Carolina Block, Agustina Villalba, Laura E. Vega, Juan E. Dajil & Félix B. Cruz

To cite this article: Oscar A. Stellatelli, Carolina Block, Agustina Villalba, Laura E. Vega, Juan E. Dajil & Félix B. Cruz (2017): Behavioral compensation buffers body temperatures of two Liolaemus lizards under contrasting environments from the temperate Pampas: a Bogert effect?, Ethology Ecology & Evolution

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03949370.2017.1388293



Published online: 08 Nov 2017.



🕼 Submit your article to this journal 🗗



View related articles 🗹



View Crossmark data 🗹

Full Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/action/journalInformation?journalCode=teee20



Behavioral compensation buffers body temperatures of two *Liolaemus* lizards under contrasting environments from the temperate Pampas: a Bogert effect?

Oscar A. Stellatelli^{1,*}, Carolina Block¹, Agustina Villalba², Laura E. Vega¹, Juan E. Dajil¹ and Félix B. Cruz³

¹Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras (IIMyC), Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Deán Funes 3250, 7600 Mar del Plata, Argentina

²Laboratorio de Artrópodos, Centro de Investigación en Abejas Sociales (CIAS). Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Deán Funes 3250, 7600 Mar del Plata, Argentina

³Instituto de Investigaciones en Biodiversidad y Medioambiente (INIBIOMA), Universidad Nacional del Comahue, CONICET, Quintral 1250, 8400 San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina

Received 27 May 2017, accepted 14 September 2017

Postural adjustments and microhabitat selection are two behavioral mechanisms that lizards extensively use to regulate their body temperatures. The Bogert effect occurs when behavioral thermoregulation buffers potential changes in body temperatures of congeneric organisms due to environmental variation, in turn precluding physiological evolution. We compared field body temperatures (Tb) and behavioral thermoregulation traits between two Liolaemus lizards: the saxicolous Liolaemus tandiliensis and the arenicolous Liolaemus wiegmannii. These species are spatially segregated in two thermally contrasting environments from the SE of the temperate Pampas of Argentina. During summer, the mean operative temperature (Te) of the coastal sand dunes occupied by L. wiegmannii was 9 °C higher than that of the Tandilia mountains inhabited by L. tandiliensis. Despite the contrasting thermal conditions of both habitats, the mean Tb of L. tandiliensis (34.72 °C) was similar to that of L. wiegmannii (35.01 °C). The behavioral thermoregulation mechanisms varied considerably between both species. *Liolaemus tandiliensis* combined static body posture with displacements towards sunlit areas. In contrast, L. wiegmannii combined elevated and prostrated body postures with movements towards full and filtered sun patches. Environmental gradients offer diverse challenges impelling lizards to find different behavioral thermoregulation adaptations in order to partially cope with environmental constraints. This occurs in many species of *Liolaemus* that are thermoregulatory efficient despite of the climatic adversities. In this study, two species of Liolaemus used different postural and microhabitat path-selection strategies

^{*}Corresponding author: Oscar A. Stellatelli, Instituto de Investigaciones Marinas y Costeras (IIMyC), Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata, CONICET, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Deán Funes 3250, 7600 Mar del Plata, Argentina (E-mail: os2830@gmail.com).

^{© 2017} Dipartimento di Biologia, Università di Firenze, Italia

2 O.A. Stellatelli et al.

according to climate, allowing them to buffer changes in *T*b, thus suggesting that the Bogert effect may be occurring in these two species.

KEY WORDS: behavior, Liolaemus, reptiles, temperate climate, thermoregulation.

INTRODUCTION

The body temperature of reptiles is dependent on the magnitude of the temperature variation in the environment and the organisms' ability to regulate heat exchange (Martín et al. 1995). The notion of thermoregulation implies that organisms actively use behavioral or physiological adjustments to control their body temperatures in two different ways: by the passive exchange of heat with the environment, and by the maintenance of their body temperature within a narrow range of temperatures (Stevenson 1985; Castilla et al. 1999). Under a variety of environmental conditions, most diurnal lizards are able to regulate their body temperature within relatively narrow temperature changes during the active periods (Avery 1982). Whether body temperature is affected by environmental changes will depend on the effectiveness of the lizard's thermoregulatory behavior, because a precise thermoregulation requires a flexible thermoregulatory strategy to cope with temporal or geographical variation in the thermal environment (McGinnis 1970; Adolph 1990).

Individuals are often capable of maintaining a relatively constant body temperature, even in temporally variable environments, through compensation by behavioral flexibility (Sunday et al. 2014). Behavioral mechanisms, such as shuttling, microsite selection, and postural adjustments, can overcome the variation of the thermal environment (Huey et al. 2003; Díaz & Cabezas-Díaz 2004; McConnachie et al. 2009). As environments become colder (e.g. as a function of altitude), congeneric lizards tend to occupy more open habitats, increase basking frequencies, and restrict times of activity to maintain their body temperature across geographical thermal gradients (Hertz & Huey 1981; Marquet et al. 1989). Bogert (1949, 1959) proposed that the lack of geographic variation in the body temperature of different lizard populations or congeneric species reflects the effectiveness of behavioral thermoregulation, which may enable lizards to maintain similar body temperatures in diverse thermal environments. He also recognised that this lack of variation in body temperatures along a geographic gradient would blunt selection for variation in the physiology of thermal sensitivity. Thus, he stated that behavior could constrain the evolution of other traits. Huev et al. (2003) revalidated the idea that behavior might play such a conservative role in the evolution of thermal physiology of many lizards' genera, calling this the Bogert effect.

There are numerous reports of populations or congeneric species of lizards that live under different thermal conditions and have variable thermophysiology (e.g. Scheers & Van Damme 2002; Vidal et al. 2008; Bouazza et al. 2016). Ecological constraints may cause some lizard populations to function at suboptimal levels, independently of the degree of conservatism in the thermal physiology of the genus (Andrews et al. 1999). The inability of lizards to thermoregulate near their preferred temperature range is presumably the result of reduced thermal opportunities related to geography and climate seasonality (Andrews 1998). Thermoregulatory behavior may be energetically expensive during periods of poor thermal quality or in poor quality habitats (Downes & Shine 1998; Row & Blouin-Demers 2006; Cadena & Tattersall 2009). As an alternative to overcome large environmental and climate changes, lizards must accommodate to the local ambient temperature variation through a combination of behavioral and/or physiological adaptive evolution (Niewiarowski 2001; Basson & Clusella-Trullas 2015). Hence, plastic responses in the form of acclimatization of the body temperature are vital to cope with climate variation and favor performance, while minimizing behavioral costs in sub-optimal conditions (Hadamová & Gvoždík 2011; Basson 2013). Modifications of the thermal physiology together with behavioral compensation (i.e. Bogert effect) of thermal ecology can interact to define the thermal biology of many lizard taxa, such as the genus *Liolaemus* (Carothers et al. 2001; Vidal et al. 2008; Rodríguez-Serrano et al. 2009).

Liolaemus comprises more than 250 recognised species constituting the world's second most speciose lizard genus (Lobo et al. 2010; Abdala & Quinteros 2014). This group of South American reptiles has a wide distribution range that extends from the extremely warm Atacama Desert to the cold Patagonian steppe, and from sea level on the South Atlantic coast up to 5000 m asl in the Andes (Cei 1993; Cruz et al. 2014). A flexible thermal physiology combined with behavioral compensation of thermal ecology and microhabitat selection, allowed *Liolaemus* to colonise extreme habitats along its distribution range (Carothers et al. 2001; Rodríguez-Serrano et al. 2009). Some species of *Liolaemus* have similar body temperatures despite inhabiting contrasting thermal conditions (e.g. L. nigromaculatus, L. nitidus, L. lutzae, L. multimaculatus; Labra et al. 2008, 2009), independently of their phylogenetic relatedness, while coexisting in the same climatic zone (Valdecantos et al. 2013). At present, most studies of Liolaemus' thermal biology have primarily focused on thermoregulation parameters, such as field body temperature, preferred body temperature and critical tolerance temperatures among habitat variation (e.g. along altitudinal or latitudinal gradients; Carothers et al. 1997, 1998; Cruz et al. 2005; Villavicencio et al. 2007; Labra et al. 2008, 2009; Medina et al. 2009, 2012; Rodríguez-Serrano et al. 2009; Gutiérrez et al. 2010; Bonino et al. 2011; Maia-Carneiro et al. 2012; Moreno Azócar et al. 2013; Kubisch et al. 2016). In contrast, less attention has been given to comparative studies about behavioral processes that may shape the parameters of thermoregulation in *Liolaemidae* (e.g. Labra et al. 2001; Corbalán & Debandi 2013; Maia-Carneiro & Rocha 2013). In this sense, different studies suggested that microclimatic thermal changes induce shifts in behavioral strategies (Block et al. 2013) and in certain thermoregulation parameters of some Liolaemus species (Stellatelli et al. 2013).

The lizards Liolaemus tandiliensis and Liolaemus wiegmannii occur in two separate localities in the SE of the temperate Pampas from Argentina and belong to different clades in the radiation of this genus. However, these species have similar body size and shape and both are oviparous and insectivorous (Vega 2001; Vega et al. 2008). The endemic L. tandiliensis [snout-vent length (SVL) of adults: 49-58 mm] is included in the L. alticolor-bibronii group of the L. chiliensis clade, and inhabits the rocky grounds of the Tandilia mountain range at elevations up to 250 m (Vega et al. 2008; Quinteros 2012). The arenicolous L. wiegmannii (SVL of adults: 42-64 mm) belongs to the L. wiegmannii group of the L. boulengeri series (Etheridge 2000; Lobo et al. 2010), and it is widely distributed in Argentina, including the Atlantic coastal sand dunes of the Buenos Aires province, where it occurs on loose sandy substrates with a relatively sparse vegetation cover (Vega 2001; Stellatelli et al. 2014). Recent studies have shown that L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii have field body temperatures between 34 and 36 °C and preferred temperatures near 38 °C. Both species are moderately efficient thermoregulators despite that there is a difference of 7 °C between the ambient temperature of coastal sand dunes and inland mountains of the SE Argentinean Pampas (Stellatelli et al. 2013; Villalba 2016).

4 O.A. Stellatelli et al.

In this study, we evaluated whether different thermal environments affect the field body temperature and the thermoregulatory behavior of the lizards Liolaenus tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii that exploit two contrasting habitats from the SE temperate Pampas in Buenos Aires province, Argentina. We also analysed the thermal behavior of these species in terms of body posture and exposure to the sun, and its relationship to environmental variables (hour of the day, environmental temperatures), body temperature and individual intrinsic factors (age, sex and body size). Particularly, we aimed to answer the following questions: (1) What is the magnitude of the difference between the field body temperature of L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii? (2) Are there interspecific differences in the frequencies of body postures and sun exposure between L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii? (3) What type of microhabitat temperature (substrate or air) constitutes the most important heat source for body temperature regulation? (4) What is the relationship between thermoregulatory behavior traits, environmental variables (hour of day, temperature), body temperature and intrinsic factors (sex, age, body size)? We hypothesised that the field body temperature of lizards is buffered by thermoregulatory behavioral strategies that compensate the variability of the thermal environment. Therefore, we predict that during daily activity, the mountain lizard L. tandiliensis will behave to favor heat absorption whereas the sand dune lizard L. wiegmannii will behave to induce heat dissipation. Because of these behavioral compensations, the body temperatures of L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii will not differ.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study areas

Fieldwork was carried out during summer (February and March of 2014, 2015) in two different areas representative of the typical habitats of each species in the temperate Pampas, SE of the Buenos Aires province, Argentina. The data for L. tandiliensis were recorded in a plot of 35 ha at Sierra de Los Padres (37°53'S; 57°50'W) located in the Tandilia mountain range. The landscape consists of patches of quartzitic rocks within a mosaic of mountains that rise up to 50–250 m asl, sparsely covered with several species of small grasses of the genera Stipa, Poa, Briza and Paspalum, and shrubs of Baccharis, Colletia and Discaria (Cabrera 1976; Burkart et al. 1999). Data for L. wiegmannii were collected in a plot of 35 ha at the Faro Querandí Natural Reserve (37° 22'S; 57°04'W) located in the Atlantic coastal dunes from Buenos Aires province, about 130 km away from the Tandilia mountains. This study plot is a well-preserved dune field sparsely covered with less than 50% of vegetation, composed mainly of grasslands of the genera Poa, Panicum and Androtrichum, scrublands of Cortaderia, and shrublands and mixed steppes of Senecio, Achyrocline, Tessaria, Baccharis and Discaria (Stellatelli et al. 2016). The SE Pampas is located in a mid-latitude climate, with average maximum temperatures of 33 °C in summer and 9 °C in winter, and the mean annual precipitation ranges from 800 to 1000 mm. During the summer months (January to March), the mean air temperature in the Tandilia mountain is approximately 24 °C, while in the coastal sand dunes the mean summer temperature is 26 °C (Burgos & Vidal 1995; NASA 2000–2016).

Field survey

Measurements of air and body temperatures and behavioral observations were conducted throughout the lizard's daily activity period (0900–1700 hr; Vega 2001; Vega et al. 2008). We walked randomly through each plot, retracing our steps until a lizard was sighted, whereupon we stopped at 3–6 m from the lizard to record hour of the day (hr), body posture, and exposure to

the sun. Body postures and positions were categorised according to Muth (1977) and McConnachie et al. (2009) as follows: (a) prostrated (body flat on the substrate surface with the head raised or flat on the ground), (b) raised (front of body and head raised, forelegs partially or fully extended, abdomen and tail flat on the ground), and (c) other (any other body posture or position assumed). Exposure to the sun was classified following Adolph (1990) and Block et al. (2013): (a) full sun (when the lizard was resting fully exposed to the sun), (b) filtered sun (when the lizard was still, under filtered sun by vegetation), and (c) shade (when the lizard was fully in shade). To avoid confounding effects that might affect lizard behavior, the same person performed all the sampling by walking at the same speed (40 m/min) and wearing the same clothing, while another person recorded subject behavior and hour of the day (Burger & Gochfeld 1993; Stellatelli et al. 2015). Following the initial observation, we proceeded to capture the lizard using a noose to measure body temperature (Tb) at 1 cm inside the vent (sensu Pough & Gans 1982) with an ultra-thin thermocouple (TP-K01, TES Electrical Electronic Corp., Taipei, Taiwan; 1.62 mm diameter) connected to a digital thermometer (TES-1300, TES Electrical Electronic Corp., Taipei, Taiwan; - 50–1300 °C). To avoid heat transfer, we handled the individuals by the head. We recorded the Tb within 20 sec after capture and then measured the snout-vent length (SVL) with a digital caliper (SC111001, Schwyz Co ®, Buenos Aires, Argentina; ± 0.01 mm) (Stellatelli et al. 2013). We determined the age of the lizards based on SVL [for L. wiegmannii: $adult \ge 42 \text{ mm} > \text{juvenile}$ (Martori & Aun 1997), for *L. tandiliensis*: adult > 49 mm \ge juvenile (Vega et al. 2008)]. We sexed the adults, based on secondary sexually dimorphic characters, such as the presence of precloacal glands and body coloration (Cei 1993; Vega et al. 2008). Merging the data of sex and age we determined three sex-age groups (Gr); juvenile, male and female. We applied temporary color marks in the individuals' belly with a black non-toxic pen marker to avoid recaptures (sensu Plummer & Ferner 2012). At the moment of capture of the individual we also recorded the substratum temperature (Ts), directly on the ground surface, and the air temperature (Ta) at 1 cm above the ground, using a thermocouple connected to a digital thermometer (TES-1300, TES Electrical Electronic Corp., Taipei, Taiwan; - 50-1300 °C). We measured operative temperatures (Te) in the field (sensu Hertz et al. 1993) using five regular data loggers (DS1921G, iButton Sunnyvale ®, CA, USA) that registered temperatures every 10 min between 09:00 and 17:00 hr during all the sampling days. The measuring devices were placed randomly in different kind of microsites (e.g., in the sun, shade, in bare soil, on rocks, under vegetation, in crevices; sensu Vitt & Sartorius 1999). Thus, we covered the spatial and temporal availabilities of microenvironmental temperatures for thermoregulation (sensu Duran et al. 2017). We obtained a specific Te value for each lizard by averaging the temperatures that were registered by the five data loggers at the hour of capture of the individual, or within the 10-min interval that included the capture time.

Data analysis

Normality and homoscedasticity were evaluated with Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Levene's tests, respectively. We used data transformations $(Log_{10}(x))$ to fit both assumptions (Zar 1984). To compare *T*b between sex-age group (juveniles, males, females) and species type (*L. tandiliensis, L. wiegmannii*) we used a two-way ANCOVA and Tukey's post hoc comparison test ($\alpha = 0.05$), with SVL as the covariate (Zar 1984). We performed a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc comparison test ($\alpha = 0.05$) to contrast environmental temperatures (*Te, Ts, Ta*) between species and sex-age groups (Zar 1984). We used a two-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc comparison test ($\alpha = 0.05$) to compare body and environmental temperatures within each lizard species (Zar 1984). To explore how *T*b is related to *Te, Ts, Ta* and SVL, we used generalised linear models (GLM) with the Gamma error structure and inverse function. We constructed four models (two for each species of lizard) because of the collinearity between the predictors *Ts* and *Ta* (Crawley 2007). We constructed six GLMs with binomial error structure and logit function to evaluate which factor explains the behavior of each species of lizard. We used presence/absence of individuals in each category of body posture (prostrated, raised, other), exposure to the sun (full sun, filtered sun, shade) as the response variables and Hr, Gr, *Tb, Te* and SVL as explanatory variables (Crawley 2007). In certain

6 O.A. Stellatelli et al.

cases, some predictor variables or categories were removed to improve the model fit (Nicholls 1989). For the analysis of "other" body postures or "shade" categories, only males and females were considered since no juveniles were observed in either case. We evaluated each model with all the possible combinations of predictor variables, and we based the model selection on Akaike's information criterion (AIC; Burnham & Anderson 2002). We used two additional parameters to model selection: the difference in AIC between the best approximating model and all the other models (Δ AIC, values between 0 and 2 indicate substantial support for the models); and model weights (w), which indicate the probability that the model is the best among the whole set of candidate models (Burnham & Anderson 2002). The parameter estimates were calculated by the model averaging technique (multimodel inference) from the w of all the candidate models (Burnham & Anderson 2002). We also assessed the degree to which 95% confidence intervals of parameter estimates overlapped zero. All statistical analyses were carried out using R software version 3.1.3 (R Development Core Team 2015). Multicollinearity was analyzed before model analysis. We considered two predictors to be collinear when the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (R) was > 0.6. When variables were strongly correlated, we retained those with the clearest ecological meaning for the species (Lennon 1999; Austin 2007). We used a z-test ($\alpha = 0.05$) with Yates' correction for continuity to compare the frequency of each type of body position and exposure to the sun between L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii (Zar 1984).

RESULTS

Body, operative and environmental temperatures

We recorded data from 68 individuals of L. tandiliensis (23 males, 14 females and 31 juveniles) and 70 L. wiegmannii (33 males, 29 females and 8 juveniles). The field body temperature did not vary significantly between species (two-way ANCOVA: $F_{1,131} = 2.37, P = 0.135;$ Fig. 1), or sex-age group ($F_{2,131} = 0.61, P = 0.544$), and there was no significant interaction between these factors ($F_{2,131} = 0.10$, P = 0.902). The mean *T*b of *L. tandiliensis* was 34.72 °C (SD = 3.79 °C; *n* = 68), ranging from 22.30 °C to 43.00 °C, whereas the mean Tb of L. wiegmannii was 35.01 °C (SD = 4.43 °C; n = 70), ranging from 20.20 °C to 40.80 °C (Fig. 1). The Te was significantly different between habitats (two-way ANOVA: $F_{1,132} = 104.87$, P < 0.001; Fig. 1), but no effect of sex-age group was observed ($F_{2,132} = 1.42$, P = 0.244), and there was no significant interaction between these factors ($F_{2,132} = 2.96$, P = 0.055). The mean Te was 9.31 °C lower in the Tandilia mountains ($\overline{X} \pm$ SD: 30.49 ± 3.28 °C, n = 68) than in the Atlantic coastal sand dunes $(39.80 \pm 4.53 \text{ °C}, n = 70; \text{ Fig. 1})$. The Ts at the lizards capture site did not vary between species ($F_{1,132} = 276$, P = 0.09; Fig. 1), nor among sex-age groups ($F_{2,132} = 2.45$, P = 0.09), and there was no significant interaction between these factors ($F_{2,132} = 0.05$, P = 0.946). The Ta did not differ between both species ($F_{1,132} = 0.03$, P = 0.863; Fig. 1) or among sex-age groups ($F_{2,132} = 2.51$, P = 0.08) and there was no significant interaction between these factors ($F_{2,132} = 0.22$, P = 0.804). Body temperature of L. tandiliensis differed significantly from operative and environmental temperatures (one-way ANOVA: $F_{3,268}$ = 14.69, P < 0.001; Fig. 1). The *T*b of *L. tandiliensis* was 4.52 °C higher than *T*e and 2.38 °C higher than Ta, whereas there was no difference between Tb and Ts (Fig. 1). The mean Te of the Tandilia mountains was approximately 2-3 °C lower than the microhabitat temperatures (Ts and Ta), whereas there was no difference between Tsand Ta (Fig. 1). Liolaemus wiegmannii showed differences between body and environmental temperatures (one-way ANOVA: $F_{3,276} = 18.22$, P < 0.001; Fig. 1). The mean *T*b was about 5 °C lower than the mean Te, while Tb was not significantly different to Ts or



Fig. 1. — Inter and intraspecific comparisons of field body temperature (*T*b), operative temperature (*T*e), environmental temperature of substrate (*T*s) and air (*T*a) in *L. tandiliensis* (n = 68) and *L. wiegmannii* (n = 70). Horizontal bar denotes median; vertical bar denotes range; and upper–lower boundary of boxes denotes quartile. Different letters over the bars indicate statistically significant differences according to Tukey post hoc tests (P < 0.05).

*T*a (Fig. 1). *T*e was 3.38 °C higher than *T*s and 6.25 °C higher than *T*a (Fig. 1). Substrate temperature was 2.81 °C higher than *T*a (Fig. 1).

GLMs indicated that the *T*b of *L. tandiliensis* was explained in a similar way by two models, one including SVL and *T*s, and the other including SVL and *T*a as predictors. In both cases, a positive relationship among variables was found (Tables 1–2). In *L. wiegmannii*, *T*b was better explained by one model that included SVL and *T*s as predictors, with a positive relationship among the variables (Tables 1–2).

Thermoregulatory behavior

The proportion of individuals in prostrated body posture did not differ between *L. tandiliensis* and *L. wiegmannii* (z-test: Z = 1.918, df = 1, P = 0.055). However, there were interspecific differences between the proportion of lizards in a raised posture (Z = 2.033, df = 1, P < 0.05) and other types of postures (Z = 4.73, df = 1, P < 0.001; Fig. 2). There were interspecific differences in the proportion of individuals in full sun (Z = 3.076, df = 1, P < 0.001; Fig. 2) and in filtered sunlight (Z = 3.195, df = 1, P < 0.001), and there were no differences between the proportion of individuals in shade (Z = -0.055, df = 1, P = 0.956; Fig. 2).

Considering the variables Hr, Te, Tb, SVL and Gr as potential predictors of different types of body postures and degrees of exposure to the sun, GLMs indicated

| 10 10 P | | temperature | Ts = substrate | temperature; | Ta = air temper | rature; w: model weight. | | | and and |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------|---------|
| | L. tan | liliensis | | | | L. wieg | татті | | |
| Model | Variables | \mathbb{R}^2 | AAIC | И | Model | Variables | \mathbb{R}^2 | ΔAIC | И |
| Best | + SVL; + T_S | 0.39 | 0.000 | 0.701 | Best | + SVL; + T_S | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.662 |
| Global | + SVL; + <i>T</i> e; + <i>T</i> s | 0.39 | 1.940 | 0.265 | Global | + SVL; + <i>T</i> e; + <i>T</i> s | 0.39 | 1.376 | 0.332 |
| lluN | I | 0.00 | 42.240 | 0.000 | lluN | I | 0.00 | 40.540 | 0.000 |
| Best | + SVL; + <i>T</i> a | 0.28 | 0.000 | 0.673 | Best | + SVL; + <i>T</i> a | 0.19 | 0.000 | 0.461 |
| Global | + SVL; – Te ; + Ts | 0.28 | 1.870 | 0.264 | Global | + SVL; + <i>T</i> e; + <i>T</i> s | 0.21 | 0.234 | 0.410 |
| Null | I | 0.00 | 108.700 | 0.000 | Null | I | 0.00 | 13.750 | 0.000 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Generalised linear models performed on factors influencing the body temperature in *L. tandiliensis* and *L. wiegmannii* in the Southeastern temperate Pampas of Argentina. Only models with strong support (i.e. $\Delta AIC < 2$) are shown. References: SVL = snout-vent length: *Te* = onerative temperature: *Th* = field hody

Table 1.

Downloaded by [190.194.82.218] at 09:50 08 November 2017

Downloaded by [190.194.82.218] at 09:50 08 November 2017

Table 2.

temperate Pampas of Argentina. References: CI: confidence interval (95%); SVL = snout-vent length; Te = operative temperature; Tb = field body temperature; Ts = substrate temperature; Ta = air temperature. Parameters likelihoods are model weight summed across all models that contained that parameter and are Coefficient estimates (\pm SE) from generalised linear models influencing the field body temperature in *L. tandiliensis* and *L. wiegmannii* in the Southeastern indicative of the importance of the variable. Explanatory variables with CI excluding zero are in bold.

| | | L. tandiliensis | | | L. wiegmannii | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Variable | Coefficient ± SE | CI | Parameter likelihood | Coefficient ± SE | CI | Parameter likelihood |
| Intercept | 2.870 ± 0.111 | 2.650; 3.09 | | 2.97 ± 0.009 | 2.780; 3.160 | |
| SVL | 0.003 ± 0.001 | 0.001; 0.006 | 1.00 | 0.004 ± 0.001 | 0.001; 0.006 | 1.00 |
| Te | -0.000 ± 0.001 | - 0.002; 0.002 | 0.27 | 0.000 ± 0.001 | - 0.002; 0.003 | 0.33 |
| $T_{ m S}$ | 0.016 ± 0.002 | 0.010; 0.021 | 1.00 | 0.009 ± 0.001 | 0.006; 0.012 | 1.00 |
| Intercept | 2.840 ± 0.143 | 2.560; 3.120 | | 3.060 ± 0.129 | 2.810; 3.310 | |
| SVL | 0.003 ± 0.001 | 0.001; 0.006 | 1.00 | 0.004 ± 0.001 | 0.001; 0.006 | 1.00 |
| Te | -0.000 ± 0.001 | - 0.004; 0.002 | 0.28 | 0.002 ± 0.002 | - 0.003; 0.007 | 0.51 |
| Ta | 0.017 ± 0.004 | 0.009; 0.026 | 1.00 | 0.006 ± 0.002 | 0.000; 0.011 | 0.92 |

9



Fig. 2. — Proportion of individuals of *L. tandiliensis* (n = 68) and *L. wiegmannii* (n = 70) in each type of body posture and in different exposure to sun. The numbers on the bars indicate the number of individuals. Asterisks (*) over the bars indicate statistically significant interspecific differences (P < 0.05) found using z-test with Yates' correction.

that the prostrated posture was negatively associated with the *T*b of *L. tandiliensis*; whereas in the case of *L. wiegmannii*, the prostrated posture was negatively associated with *T*e and positively with Hr (Tables 3–4). Raised posture was not explained by any variable in *L. tandiliensis*, since SVL and *T*b were included in the models but had

confidence intervals that included zero (Tables 3–4). The raised body posture of *L. wiegmannii* was positively related to *Te* (Tables 3–4). In both species, other body postures were not explained by any of the variables because the predictors selected by the models had confidence intervals that included zero (Tables 3–4). Exposure to full sun was positively associated with Hr, whereas it was negatively associated with *Te* for both species of lizards (Tables 3–4). The exposure to filtered sunlight was not explained by any of the variables in *L. tandiliensis*, whereas in *L. wiegmannii* it was positively related to *Te* (Tables 3–4). The permanence in the shade of individuals of *L. tandiliensis* and of *L. wiegmannii* was not explained by any of the variables included in the models, since all of them had confidence intervals that included zero (Tables 3–4).

DISCUSSION

The saxicolous lizard *Liolaemus tandiliensis* and the arenicolous *L. wiegmannii* are spatially segregated in two thermal contrasting environments from the SE of the Argentinean temperate Pampas. During the summer, the average environmental temperature (Te) of the Tandilia mountains was about 9 °C lower than the Te of the Atlantic sand dunes. This suggests that without a thermoregulatory strategy L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii should have different field body temperatures (Tb). However, the mean Tb of L. tandiliensis (34.72 °C) was similar to the Tb of L. wiegmannii (35.01 °C). Additionally, these temperature values were similar to those of other lizards from the SE of the Argentinean temperate Pampas, such as L. multimaculatus and L. gracilis (Vega 1999, 2001; Stellatelli et al. 2013). Therefore, the thermoregulatory behavior of liolaemid lizards, by the selection of different micro-environments, seems to be flexible enough to cope with climatic environmental constraints (Medina et al. 2009; Gutiérrez et al. 2010), except for those species that live in extremely harsh environments, such as southernmost Patagonian species (L. magellanicus and L. sarmientoi; see Ibargüengoytía et al. 2010). This suggests that interspecific similarities in Tb are not only limited to the phylogenetically closest species, i.e. Tbs are not nested within clades (Rodríguez-Serrano et al. 2009). An important source of variation in the thermal biology of *Liolaemus* lizards is mediated by shifting behavioral strategies to exploit thermally challenging environments, instead of changing thermal preferences (Artacho et al. 2017). The mean preferred temperatures do not differ between L. tandiliensis (38.17 \pm 1.11 °C; Villalba 2016) and L. wiegmannii (38.12 \pm 0.83 °C; Stellatelli et al. 2013). These findings are in concordance with Moreno Azócar et al. (2013) who mention that preferred temperatures tend to be a more conservative character than Tb in the genus Liolaemus. Liolaemus tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii may display different thermoregulatory strategies to achieve a certain T b in their contrasting thermal environments. The individuals of L. tandiliensis shifted between static prostrated body postures and displacements, remaining mainly exposed to full sun through the daily activity period. These lizards maintained a mean body temperature 4.23 °C above the Te and used sites with micro-environmental temperatures (Ts and Ta) that were 2 to 3 °C higher than the mean Te of the Tandilia mountains. The saxicolous L. tandiliensis used the orientation of the walls in order to receive sufficient insolation throughout the course of the day, since individuals continuously followed the movements of sunlight through the rugged habitat. In vertical substrates, solar radiation is higher in the morning and in the late afternoon, whereas in horizontal substrates, radiation is higher around midday (Díaz et al. 1996). This situation is almost nonexistent for ground-dwelling species because a vegetated area at flat ground level

| ω. |
|----|
| e |
| q |
| Ĥ |

Downloaded by [190.194.82.218] at 09:50 08 November 2017

Generalised linear models performed on factors influencing the body posture and the exposure to sun in *L. tandiliensis* and *L. wiegmannii* in the Southeastern temperate Pampas of Argentina. Only models with strong support (i.e. Δ AIC < 2) are shown. References: Gr = age-sex group (Ju: juvenile, Ma: male or Fe: female); Hr = hour of the day; SVL = snout-vent length; *Te* = operative temperature; *Tb* = field body temperature, *w:* model weight.

| | | L. tandiliensis | | | | | L. wiegmannii | | | |
|----------------|--------|---|----------------|-------|-------|--------|---|----------------|--------|-------|
| Behavior trait | Model | Variables | \mathbb{R}^2 | ΔAIC | м | Model | Variables | \mathbb{R}^2 | ΔAIC | ų |
| BODY POSTURE | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prostrated | Best | + SVL; – <i>T</i> b; – <i>T</i> e | 0.15 | 0.000 | 0.146 | Best | + Hr; - SVL; - <i>T</i> e | 0.15 | 0.000 | 0.230 |
| | M1 | + SVL; – Tb | 0.12 | 0.332 | 0.124 | M1 | + Hr; + Gr; - <i>T</i> e | 0.16 | 1.005 | 0.139 |
| | M2 | - <i>T</i> b | 0.09 | 0.457 | 0.116 | M2 | + Hr; – Te | 0.11 | 1.542 | 0.106 |
| | M3 | - Hr; $-$ Tb | 0.12 | 0.505 | 0.114 | M3 | – SVL; – <i>T</i> b; – <i>T</i> e | 0.15 | 1.778 | 0.094 |
| | M4 | - Hr; + SVL; $-$ Tb | 0.14 | 0.742 | 0.101 | Global | + Hr; $-$ SVL; + Gr; $ Tb$; $ Te$ | 0.17 | 4.790 | 0.021 |
| | M5 | – <i>T</i> b; – Te | 0.11 | 1.003 | 0.089 | Null | Ι | 0.00 | 8.299 | 0.004 |
| | Global | - Hr; + Gr; + SVL; $-$ Tb; $-$ Te | 0.16 | 6.462 | 0.006 | | | | | |
| | Null | I | 0.00 | 6.682 | 0.005 | | | | | |
| Raised | Best | + SVL; + Tb | 0.16 | 0.000 | 0.165 | Best | - Hr; $+$ Tb; $+$ Te | 0.27 | 0.000 | 0.301 |
| | M1 | + $Gr; + Tb$ | 0.19 | 0.365 | 0.137 | M1 | – Hr; + SVL; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.28 | 1.425 | 0.147 |
| | M2 | + $Gr; + SVL; + Tb$ | 0.21 | 0.649 | 0.119 | M2 | - Hr; + Gr; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.30 | 1.656 | 0.131 |
| | M3 | 4∏ + | 0.11 | 1.986 | 0.061 | M3 | + Tb ; + Te | 0.22 | 1.949 | 0.113 |
| | Global | – Hr; + Gr; + SVL; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.22 | 5.188 | 0.012 | Global | – Hr; + Gr; + SVL; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.30 | 4.126 | 0.038 |
| | Null | Ι | 0.00 | 8.411 | 0.002 | Null | I | 0.00 | 19.340 | 0.000 |
| Other | Best | + $Gr; - SVL; + Tb$ | 0.18 | 0.000 | 0.113 | Best | -Tb; -Te | 0.68 | 0.000 | 0.221 |
| | M1 | + Hr; – SVL | 0.12 | 0.220 | 0.101 | M1 | + Gr; $-Tb; -Te$ | 0.65 | 1.126 | 0.126 |
| | M2 | + Hr; + Gr; - SVL | 0.17 | 0.347 | 0.095 | M2 | + SVL; – <i>T</i> b; – <i>T</i> e | 0.71 | 1.238 | 0.119 |
| | M3 | - SVL; $+$ Tb | 0.11 | 0.898 | 0.072 | Global | - Hr; + Gr; + SVL; $-$ Tb; $-$ Te | 0.70 | 3.645 | 0.036 |
| | M4 | + Gr; - SVL | 0.13 | 1.024 | 0.068 | Null | Ι | 0.16 | 13.970 | 0.000 |
| | M5 | + Hr; + Gr; – SVL; + Tb | 0.19 | 1.040 | 0.067 | | | | | |

| 2017 |
|----------|
| vember |
| ž |
| 08 |
| 9:50 |
|] at 0 |
| <u>8</u> |
| 82.2 |
| .194. |
| [190] |
| by |
| g |
| loade |
| own |
| Δ |

| | M6 | + Hr; – SVL; + Tb | 0.20 | 1.294 | 0.059 | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|------------------------------------|------|--------|-------|--------|---|------|--------|-------|
| | M7 | - SVL | 0.08 | 1.561 | 0.052 | | | | | |
| | Global | + Hr; + Gr; - SVL; + Tb ; - Te | 0.19 | 3.392 | 0.021 | | | | | |
| | lluN | Ι | 0.00 | 7.248 | 0.003 | | | | | |
| EXPOSURE TO SUN | | | | | | | | | | |
| Full sun | Best | + Hr; - SVL; - <i>T</i> e | 0.33 | 0.000 | 0.270 | Best | + Hr; – SVL; – <i>T</i> e | 0.15 | 0.000 | 0.230 |
| | M1 | + Hr; + Gr; - <i>T</i> e | 0.34 | 0.871 | 0.175 | M1 | + Hr; + Gr; - <i>T</i> e | 0.16 | 1.005 | 0.139 |
| | M2 | + Hr; – Te | 0.27 | 1.493 | 0.128 | M2 | + Hr; – Te | 0.11 | 1.542 | 0.106 |
| | Global | + Hr; + Gr; - SVL; - Tb ; - Te | 0.35 | 4.958 | 0.023 | M3 | + Hr; – SVL; – Tb ; – Te | 0.15 | 1.778 | 0.094 |
| | lluN | Ι | 0.00 | 16.890 | 0.000 | Global | + Hr; + Gr; - SVL; - Tb ; - Te | 0.17 | 4.790 | 0.021 |
| | | | | | | Null | Ι | 0.00 | 8.299 | 0.004 |
| Filtered sun | Best | - Hr; $+$ Tb; $+$ Te | 0.22 | 0.000 | 0.180 | Best | - Hr; $+$ Tb; $+$ Te | 0.22 | 0.000 | 0.229 |
| | M1 | + <i>T</i> b | 0.12 | 0.643 | 0.131 | M1 | – Hr; + SVL; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.23 | 0.647 | 0.166 |
| | M2 | + $Tb; + Te$ | 0.16 | 0.761 | 0.123 | M2 | + $Tb; + Te$ | 0.18 | 0.794 | 0.154 |
| | Global | - Hr; + Gr; $-$ SVL; + Tb + Te | 0.23 | 6.138 | 0.008 | Global | – Hr; + Gr; + SVL; + <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.25 | 4.065 | 0.030 |
| | lluN | Ι | 0.00 | 5.234 | 0.013 | Null | Ι | 0.00 | 14.170 | 0.000 |
| Shade | Best | - Hr; $+$ Gr; $-$ Tb; $+$ Te | 0.53 | 0.000 | 0.236 | Best | - Hr; $-$ Tb | 0.16 | 0.000 | 0.162 |
| | M1 | + Gr; – <i>T</i> b; + <i>T</i> e | 0.44 | 1.313 | 0.122 | M1 | – <i>T</i> b; | 0.24 | 1.228 | 0.088 |
| | M2 | – Hr; + Gr; + Te | 0.42 | 1.860 | 0.093 | M2 | -Tb; -Te | 0.09 | 1.754 | 0.067 |
| | Global | - Hr; + Gr; + SVL; $-$ Tb; + Te | 0.53 | 2.484 | 0.068 | M3 | - Hr; $+$ Gr; $-$ Tb | 0.18 | 1.921 | 0.062 |
| | Null | I | 0.00 | 10.390 | 0.001 | Global | – Hr; +Gr; + SVL; – <i>T</i> b; – <i>T</i> e | 0.17 | 6.467 | 0.006 |
| | | | | | | lluN | I | 0.00 | 4.822 | 0.015 |

13

| \sim |
|----------------|
| Ξ |
| ລ. |
| 8 November 2 |
| æ |
| $\tilde{}$ |
| 5 |
| 1 |
| 3 |
| - |
| G, |
| |
| 20 |
| Ċ. |
| 2 |
| òò |
| . . |
| 6 |
| - |
| Ö. |
| 6 |
| |
| ~ |
| Ъ, |
| |
| ĕ |
| Ы |
| 03 |
| Ĕ |
| VL |
| ž |
| ž |
| |

Table 4.

Pampas of Argentina. References: CI: confidence interval (95%); Gr = age-sex group (Ju: juvenile. Ma: male or Fe: female); Hr = hour of the day; SVL = snout-Coefficient estimates (± SE) from generalised linear models influencing the behavior traits in L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii in the Southeastern temperate vent length; $T_e =$ operative temperature; $T_b =$ field body temperature. Parameters likelihoods are model weight summed across all models that contained that parameter and are indicative of the importance of the variable. Explanatory variables with CI excluding zero are in bold.

| | | | L. tandiliensis | | | L. wiegmannii | |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Behaviour trait | Variable | Coefficient ± SE | CI | Parameter likelihood | Coefficient ± SE | CI | Parameter likelihood |
| BODY POSTURE | | | | | | | |
| Prostrated | Intercept | 6.930 ± 3.310 | 0.451; 13.400 | I | 2.250 ± 3.300 | 4.210; 8.710 | I |
| | Hr | -0.067 ± 0.113 | - 0.289; 0.155 | 0.40 | 0.446 ± 0.172 | 0.108; 0.784 | 1.00 |
| | Te | -0.047 ± 0.078 | - 0.201; 0.107 | 0.40 | -0.154 ± 0.077 | - 0.305; - 0.001 | 0.96 |
| | Gr (Ju) | -0.040 ± 0.118 | - 0.272; 0.192 | 0.09 | 0.721 ± 1.130 | - 1.500; 2.940 | 0.32 |
| | Gr (Ma) | 0.031 ± 0.105 | - 0.175; 0.237 | 0.09 | 0.108 ± 0.275 | - 0.432; 0.648 | 0.32 |
| | SVL | 0.021 ± 0.029 | - 0.036; 0.080 | 0.48 | -0.029 ± 0.037 | - 0.103; 0.045 | 0.51 |
| | T^{b} | -0.179 ± 0.081 | - 0.338; - 0.020 | 0.97 | -0.022 ± 0.044 | - 0.110; 0.065 | 0.30 |
| Raised | Intercept | -14.000 ± 6.760 | - 27.300; - 0.775 | I | -14.800 ± 5.810 | - 26.200; - 3.470 | I |
| | Hr | -0.003 ± 0.032 | - 0.067; 0.060 | 0.19 | -0.345 ± 0.225 | - 0.786; 0.095 | 0.87 |
| | Te | 0.009 ± 0.029 | - 0.048; 0.067 | 0.18 | 0.321 ± 0.120 | 0.085; 0.557 | 1.00 |
| | Gr (Ju) | 0.763 ± 1.370 | - 1.920; 3.450 | 0.52 | -0.421 ± 0.766 | -1.920; 1.080 | 0.21 |
| | Gr (Ma) | 0.814 ± 1.050 | - 1.230; 2.860 | 0.52 | -0.157 ± 0.308 | - 0.761; 0.448 | 0.21 |
| | SVL | 0.058 ± 0.066 | - 0.072; 0.189 | 0.62 | 0.009 ± 0.019 | - 0.028; 0.048 | 0.24 |
| | T^{b} | 0.261 ± 0.149 | - 0.030; 0.553 | 0.95 | 0.166 ± 0.115 | - 0.059; 0.392 | 0.82 |
| Other | Intercept | 2.640 ± 4.900 | - 6.980; 12.300 | I | 31.900 ± 19.300 | - 5.910; 69.800 | I |
| | Hr | 0.095 ± 0.132 | - 0.163; 0.353 | 0.48 | -0.172 ± 0.322 | - 0.803; 0.460 | 0.38 |
| | Te | 0.008 ± 0.036 | - 0.062; 0.079 | 0.26 | -0.377 ± 0.323 | - 1.010: 0.257 | 0.86 |

| | Gr (Ju) | -1.510 ± 1.990 | - 5.410; 2.380 | 0.57 | I | Ι | I |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------|---------------------|-------------------|------|
| | Gr (Ma) | -0.607 ± 0.814 | - 2.200; 0.988 | 0.57 | 1.290 ± 2.570 | - 3.740; 6.320 | 0.32 |
| | SVL | -0.130 ± 0.092 | - 0.310; 0.050 | 0.92 | 0.049 ± 0.087 | - 0.122; 0.221 | 0.37 |
| | $T^{ m b}$ | 0.049 ± 0.070 | - 0.088; 0.187 | 0.47 | -0.704 ± 0.389 | - 1.470; 0.058 | 1.00 |
| EXPOSURE TO SUN | | | | | | | |
| Full sun | Intercept | 17.000 ± 5.650 | 5.920; 28.100 | Ι | 2.250 ± 3.300 | - 4.210; 8.710 | Ι |
| | Hr | 0.533 ± 0.267 | 0.009; 1.060 | 0.96 | 0.446 ± 0.172 | 0.108; 0.784 | 1.00 |
| | Te | -0.656 ± 0.210 | - 1.070; - 0.245 | 1.00 | -0.154 ± 0.077 | - 0.305; - 0.001 | 0.96 |
| | Gr (Ju) | 0.036 ± 0.516 | - 0.974;1.050 | 0.34 | 0.721 ± 1.130 | - 1.500; 2.940 | 0.32 |
| | Gr (Ma) | -0.498 ± 0.807 | - 2.080; 1.080 | 0.34 | 0.108 ± 0.275 | - 0.432; 0.648 | 0.32 |
| | SVL | -0.035 ± 0.047 | - 0.129; 0.058 | 0.52 | -0.029 ± 0.037 | - 0.103; 0.045 | 0.51 |
| | $T\mathrm{b}$ | -0.014 ± 0.036 | - 0.086; 0.057 | 0.25 | -0.022 ± 0.044 | - 0.110; 0.065 | 0.30 |
| Filtered sun | Intercept | -16.400 ± 7.150 | - 30.400; - 2.360 | I | -14.300 ± 5.470 | - 25.000; - 3.570 | I |
| | Hr | -0.171 ± 0.257 | - 0.676; 0.333 | 0.44 | -0.235 ± 0.224 | - 0.673; 0.203 | 0.69 |
| | Te | 0.219 ± 0.209 | - 0.191; 0.629 | 0.70 | 0.244 ± 0.109 | 0.030; 0.457 | 1.00 |
| | Gr (Ju) | -0.020 ± 0.063 | - 0.146; 0.104 | 0.03 | -0.215 ± 0.446 | - 1.090; 0.660 | 0.14 |
| | Gr (Ma) | 0.014 ± 0.051 | - 0.086; 0.115 | 0.03 | -0.098 ± 0.207 | - 0.505; 0.307 | 0.14 |
| | SVL | 0.010 ± 0.021 | - 0.032; 0.053 | 0.29 | 0.017 ± 0.029 | - 0.040; 0.075 | 0.35 |
| | Tb | 0.259 ± 0.177 | - 0.087; 0.605 | 0.88 | 0.180 ± 0.109 | - 0.033; 0.393 | 0.89 |
| Shade | Intercept | -20.400 ± 11.300 | - 42.600; 1.850 | Ι | 8.120 ± 5.140 | - 1.960; 18.200 | Ι |
| | Hr | -0.506 ± 0.482 | - 1.450; 0.439 | 0.71 | -0.234 ± 0.264 | - 0.751; 0.283 | 0.61 |
| | Te | 0.893 ± 0.480 | - 0.048; 1.830 | 1.00 | -0.033 ± 0.061 | - 0.153; 0.086 | 0.34 |
| | Gr (Ju) | 1.020 ± 2.080 | - 3.050; 5.090 | 0.63 | I | I | I |
| | Gr (Ma) | 2.910 ± 3.220 | - 3.400; 9.230 | 0.63 | 0.208 ± 0.460 | - 0.693; 1.110 | 0.25 |
| | SVL | 0.017 ± 0.047 | - 0.075; 0.112 | 0.31 | -0.002 ± 0.011 | - 0.024; 0.020 | 0.16 |
| | T^{b} | -0.196 ± 0.181 | - 0.550; 0.159 | 0.74 | -0.174 ± 0.152 | - 0.472; 0.124 | 0.73 |

Downloaded by [190.194.82.218] at 09:50 08 November 2017

Behavioral compensation of two *Liolaemus* lizards 15

provides sunny and shaded patches during the entire day (Scheers & Van Damme 2002). Additionally, the relatively low conductivity and the slow heat absorption of irregular rock surfaces in contrast with other substrates, such as sand (Martins et al. 2014), reduces the amount of contact and heat transference between the rock and the body of the lizards and, finally, the efficiency of conduction (Bakken 1989). The lizard *L. tandiliensis* gains thermal energy by both direct radiation (heliothermy) and conduction (thigmothermy) because its body temperature was related to both micro-environmental temperatures sources (*Ts* and *Ta*). It is possible that *L. tandiliensis* uses air or substrate temperatures as a source of heat, alternately depending on the environmental conditions, as do other species of *Liolaemus* (Bujes & Verrastro 2006; Ibargüengoytía et al. 2010).

In L. wiegmannii, the display of raised and prostrated body postures combined with shuttling between full sun and filtered sun patches was the main thermoregulatory behavioral mechanism. Active shifts between prostrated and raised body postures allow individuals to regulate conductive heating exchange in order to maintain a steady-state body temperature during the daily activity period. Individuals of L. wiegmannii were observed to be less exposed to full sun and remained more frequently in filtered sun areas to avoid over-heating. This species searched for micro-environmental temperatures (T_{s} , Ta) about 3 to 6 °C lower than the mean Te of the sand dune habitat to maintain its body temperature 4.79 °C below the Te. Shuttling, understood as moving back and forth between fully sun and shaded patches, is an additional mechanism of behavioral thermoregulation used by L. wiegmannii (Block et al. 2013). Sand lizards used partially shaded sites in the warmest periods of the day and adopted raised postures to promote heat loss via wind movement, as do other species that move from open to shaded areas to prevent overheating in the warmer periods of the day (Bujes & Verrastro 2008; Block et al. 2013; Maia-Carneiro & Rocha 2013). The Tb of L. wiegmannii in sand dunes was closer to the temperature of the substrate rather than to that of the air, as also previously described by Block et al. (2013) and Stellatelli et al. (2013).

Despite the differences in the thermoregulatory behavioral strategy adopted by L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii, we observed a common set of variables explained by the body postures and the degree of exposure to the sun in both species. Prostrated body posture was negatively related to Te and Tb, and positively with Hr. This body posture is usually displayed to increase the body surface area that is in contact with the substrate and therefore, allows the lizard to gain heat by conduction when heat absorption by convection is negligible because of low environmental temperatures during the early hours of the day (Martín et al. 1995; Bauwens et al. 1996). On the other hand, raised body posture was positively related to Te. By raising its body off the ground, a lizard minimises the conduction with the substrate and exposes itself to lower air temperatures and higher wind speeds, increasing the convective heat loss at times of high soil temperatures (Porter et al. 1973; Losos 1987). Muth (1977) empirically determined heating rates as a function of posture given the same set of environmental conditions. The prostrated posture maximises the heating rate, while the elevated posture minimises it. The exposure to full sun was positively related to the hour of the day and negatively with the Te, while the permanence in filtered sun patches was positively related to Te. Lizards selected the fully sun-exposed sites especially during the early morning and late afternoon, when warm sunlit spots were less available, thus improving heat gain at times of relatively low environmental temperatures (Grbac & Bauwens 2001; Bujes & Verrastro 2006). Consequently, as body and environmental temperatures increased, lizards prevented overheating by moving towards semi-shaded patches that offer a mosaic of lights and shadows, as also reported by others (Huey & Pianka 1977; Labra et al. 2001).

The findings of this study confirm our predictions, since we found evidence that L. tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii combined different sets of postural adjustments and microhabitat path selection according to climate variation and this allowed to buffer changes in Tb. Our results provide quantitative evidence to support Bogert's (1949) statement that narrow variations in the field body temperature within a genus must reflect the effectiveness of behavioral thermoregulation, which enables congeneric species to maintain similar body temperatures in diverse thermal conditions. Environmental gradients offer diverse challenges that prompt lizards to find different ways to adjust their thermoregulation. It is possible that the gradient of temperatures from the Atlantic coastal dunes to the inland Tandilia mountains appears to be not harsh enough to impose limitations for behavioral thermoregulation, at least during the summer, and consequently might not promote changes in Tb. Liolaemus tandiliensis and L. wiegmannii maintained their Tb near to their preferred thermal range, and therefore behaved as moderately efficient thermoregulators according to their index of effectiveness of thermoregulation (E) that was reported in previous studies $(E_{L, wiegmannii} = 0.58-0.70, Stellatelli et al. 2013; E_{L, tandiliensis} = 0.50-0.68, Villalba$ 2016). Liolaemus populations from different altitudes may adjust thermoregulation to compensate the differences in the availability of thermal microenvironments and in wind intensity by using different behaviors and heat sources (Medina et al. 2011). In this sense, our study provides an integrative framework about the role of behavior that may define certain patterns of the thermal biology of some species of *Liolaemus*. Hence, it contributes to the understanding of the evolutionary mechanisms that mould the patterns of thermal biology at a broader scale, and in turn will help to predict the responses of some species to different types of environmental pressures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Camila Rocca for her assistance during the fieldwork. The authors adhered to guidelines for the use of animals in research and to the legal requirements of Buenos Aires province, Argentina (CICUAL RD 255; Scientific Purposes Permit 22230-21/2006-0).

DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

FUNDING

Funding for the study was provided by Comisión Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata [UNMdP; 15E/695; EXA 745/15].

REFERENCES

- Abdala CS, Quinteros AS. 2014. Los últimos 30 años de estudios de la familia de lagartijas más diversa de Argentina: actualización taxonómica y sistemática de Liolaemidae. [The last 30 years of study of the most diverse family of lizards in Argentina: a taxonomic and systematic update of Liolaemidae]. Cuad Herpetol. 28:55–82. Spanish.
- Adolph SC. 1990. Influence of behavioral thermoregulation on microhabitat use by two Sceloporus lizards. Ecology. 71:315–327.
- Andrews RM. 1998. Geographic variation in field body temperature of *Sceloporus* lizards. J Therm Biol. 23:329–334.
- Andrews RM, Méndez de la Cruz FR, Villagrán-Santa Cruz M, Rodríguez-Romero F. 1999. Field and selected body temperatures of the lizards *Sceloporus aeneus* and *Sceloporus bicanthalis*. J Herpetol. 33:93–100.
- Artacho P, Saravia J, Perret S, Bartheld JL, Le Galliard JF. 2017. Geographic variation and acclimation effects on thermoregulation behavior in the widespread lizard *Liolaemus pictus*. J Therm Biol. 63:78–87.
- Austin M. 2007. Species distribution models and ecological theory: a critical assessment and some possible new approaches. Ecol Modell. 200:1–19.
- Avery RA. 1982. Field studies of body temperatures and thermoregulation. In: Gans C, Pough FH, editors. Biology of the Reptilia: physiological ecology. London (UK): Academic Press; p. 93– l66.
- Bakken GS. 1989. Arboreal perch properties and the operative temperature experienced by small animals. Ecology. 70:922–930.
- Basson CH 2013. Thermal adaptation in the lizard *Cordylus oelofseni*: physiological and behavioural responses to temperature variation [Master Thesis]. South Africa: Stellenbosch University.
- Basson CH, Clusella-Trullas S. 2015. The behavior-physiology nexus: behavioral and physiological compensation are relied on to different extents between seasons. Physiol Biochem Zool. 88:384–394.
- Bauwens D, Hertz PE, Castilla AM. 1996. Thermoregulation in a lacertid lizard: the relative contributions of distinct behavioral mechanisms. Ecology. 77:1818–1830.
- Block C, Stellatelli OA, García GO, Vega LE, Isacch JP. 2013. Factors affecting the thermal behavior of the sand lizard *Liolaemus wiegmannii* in natural and modified grasslands of temperate coastal dunes from Argentina. J Therm Biol. 38:560–569.
- Bogert CM. 1949. Thermoregulation in reptiles, a factor in evolution. Evolution. 3:195-211.
- Bogert CM. 1959. How reptiles regulate their body temperature. Sci Am. 200:105-120.
- Bonino MF, Moreno Azócar DL, Tulli MJ, Abdala CS, Perotti MG, Cruz FB. 2011. Running in cold weather: morphology, thermal biology and performance in the southernmost lizard clade in the world (*Liolaemus lineomaculatus* section: Liolaemini: Iguania). J Exp Zool. 315:495–503.
- Bouazza A, Slimani T, El Mouden H, Blouin-Demers G, Lourdais O. 2016. Thermal constraints and the influence of reproduction on thermoregulation in a high-altitude gecko (*Quedenfeldtia trachyblepharus*). J Zool. 300:36–44.
- Bujes CS, Verrastro L. 2006. Thermal biology of *Liolaemus occipitalis* (Squamata, Tropiduridae) in the coastal sand dunes of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. Braz J Biol. 66:945–954.
- Bujes CS, Verrastro L. 2008. Annual activity of the lizard *Liolaemus occipitalis* (Squamata, Liolaemidae) in the coastal sand dunes of southern Brazil. Iheringia Sér Zool. 98:156–160.
- Burger J, Gochfeld M. 1993. The importance of the human face in risk perception by black iguanas *Ctenosaura similis*. J Herpetol. 27:426–430.
- Burgos JJ, Vidal AL. 1995. Los climas de la República Argentina según la nueva clasificación de Thornthwaite. [The climates of the Argentine Republic according to the new Thornthwaite classification]. Meteoros. 1:3–32. Spanish.
- Burkart R, Bárbaro NO, Sánchez RO, Gómez DA. 1999. Eco-regiones de la Argentina. [Ecoregions of Argentina]. Buenos Aires (BA): Administración de Parques Nacionales. Spanish.

- Burnham KP, Anderson DR, editors. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. New York (NY): Springer-Verlag.
- Cabrera AL. 1976. Regiones fitogeográficas argentinas. [Argentinian phytogeographical regions]. Enciclopedia Argentina de Agricultura y Jardinería. Buenos Aires (BA): ACME. Spanish.
- Cadena V, Tattersall GJ. 2009. The effect of thermal quality on the thermoregulatory behavior of the Bearded Dragon *Pogona vitticeps*: influences of methodological assessment. Physiol Biochem Zool. 82:203–217.
- Carothers JH, Fox SF, Marquet PA, Jaksic FM. 1997. Thermal characteristics of ten Andean lizards of the genus *Liolaemus* in central Chile. Rev Chil Hist Nat. 70:297–309.
- Carothers JH, Jaksic FM, Marquet PA. 2001. Altitudinal zonation among lizards of the genus *Liolaemus*: questions answered and unanswered questions. Rev Chil Hist Nat. 74:313–316.
- Carothers JH, Marquet PA, Jaksic FM. 1998. Thermal ecology of a *Liolaemus* lizard assemblage along an Andean altitudinal gradient in Chile. Rev Chil Hist Nat. 71:39–50.
- Castilla AM, Van Damme R, Bauwens D. 1999. Field body temperatures, mechanisms of thermoregulation and evolution of thermal characteristics in lacertid lizards. Nat Croat. 8:253– 274.
- Cei JM. 1993. Reptiles del centro, centro oeste y sur de la Argentina. Monogr. IV. [Reptiles of the Center, Central-West and South of Argentina. Monogr. IV]. Torino: Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali. Spanish.
- Corbalán V, Debandi G. 2013. Basking behaviour in two sympatric herbivorous lizards (Liolaemidae: *Phymaturus*) from the Payunia volcanic region of Argentina. J Nat Hist. 47:1365–1378.
- Crawley MJ. 2007. The R book. Chichester (UK): John Wiley and Sons.
- Cruz FB, Fitzgerald LA, Espinoza RE, Schulte JAII. 2005. The importance of phylogenetic scale in tests of Bergmann's and Rapoport's rules: lessons from a clade of South American lizards. J Evol Biol. 18:1559–1574.
- Cruz FB, Moreno Azócar DL, Bonino MF, Schulte JA II, Abdala CS, Perotti MG. 2014. Clima, distribución geográfica y viviparismo en especies de *Liolaemus* (Reptilia; Squamata): cuando las hipótesis se ponen a prueba. [Climate, geographic distribution and viviparism in *Liolaemus* species (Reptilia; Squamata): when the hypotheses are tested]. Ecosistemas. 23:37–45. Spanish.
- Díaz JA, Cabezas-Díaz S. 2004. Seasonal variation in the contribution of different behavioural mechanisms to lizard thermoregulation. Funct Ecol. 18:867–875.
- Díaz JA, Díaz-Uriarte R, Rodríguez A. 1996. Influence of behavioral thermoregulation on the use of vertical surfaces by Iberian wall lizards *Podarcis hispanica*. J Herpetol. 30:548–552.
- Downes S, Shine R. 1998. Heat, safety or solitude? Using habitat selection experiments to identify a lizard's priorities. Anim Behav. 55:1387–1396.
- Duran F, Kubisch EL, Boretto JM. 2017. Thermal physiology of three sympatric and syntopic Liolaemidae lizards in cold and arid environments of Patagonia (Argentina). J Comp Physiol B. doi:10.1007/s00360-017-1116-3
- Etheridge R. 2000. A review of lizards of the *L. wiegmannii* group (Squamata, Iguania, Tropiduridae) and a history of morphological change in the sand-dwelling species. Herpetol Monogr. 14:293–352.
- Grbac I, Bauwens D. 2001. Constraints on temperature regulation in two sympatric *Podarcis* lizards during autumn. Copeia. 1:178–186.
- Gutiérrez JA, Krenz JD, Ibargüengoytía NR. 2010. Effect of altitude on thermal responses of *Liolaemus pictus argentinus* in Argentina. J Therm Biol. 35:332–337.
- Hadamová M, Gvoždík L. 2011. Seasonal acclimation of preferred body temperatures improves the opportunity for thermoregulation in newts. Physiol Biochem Zool. 84:166–174.
- Hertz PE, Huey RB. 1981. Compensation for altitudinal changes in the thermal environment by some Anolis lizards on Hispaniola. Ecology. 62:515–521.
- Hertz PE, Huey RB, Stevenson RD. 1993. Evaluating temperature regulation by field-active ectotherms: the fallacy of the inappropriate question. Am Nat. 142:796–818.

- Huey RB, Hertz PE, Sinervo B. 2003. Behavioral drive versus behavioral inertia in evolution: a null model approach. Am Nat. 161:357–366.
- Huey RB, Pianka ER. 1977. Seasonal variation in thermoregulatory behavior and body temperature of diurnal Kalahari lizards. Ecology. 58:1066–1075.
- Ibargüengoytía NR, Medina M, Fernández JB, Gutiérrez JA, Tappari F, Scolaro A. 2010. Thermal biology of the southernmost lizards in the world: *Liolaemus sarmientoi* and *Liolaemus magellanicus* from Patagonia. Argentina J Therm Biol. 35:21–27.
- Kubisch EL, Fernández JB, Ibargüengoytía NR. 2016. Vulnerability to climate warming of *Liolaemus pictus* (Squamata, Liolaemidae), a lizard from the cold temperate climate in Patagonia, Argentina. J Comp Physiol B. 186:243–253.
- Labra A, Pienaar J, Hansen T. 2009. Evolution of thermal physiology in *Liolaemus* lizards: adaptation, phylogenetic inertia, and niche tracking. Am Nat. 174:204–220.
- Labra A, Soto-Gamboa M, Bozinovic F. 2001. Behavioral and physiological thermoregulation of Atacama desert-dwelling *Liolaemus* lizards. Ecoscience. 8:413–420.
- Labra A, Vidal MA, Solís R, Penna M. 2008. Ecofisiología de anfibios y reptiles. [Ecophysiology of amphibians and reptiles]. In: Vidal-Maldonado MS, Labra A, editors. Herpetología de Chile. Spanish. Santiago de Chile (MS): Science Verlag; p. 483–516.
- Lennon JJ. 1999. Resource selection functions: taking space seriously? Trends Ecol Evol. 14:399–400.
- Lobo F, Espinoza RE, Quinteros S. 2010. A critical review and systematic discussion of recent classification proposals for liolaemid lizards. Zootaxa. 2549:1–30.
- Losos JB. 1987. Postures of the military dragon (*Ctenophorus isolepis*) in relation to substrate temperature. Amphibia-Reptilia. 8:419–423.
- Maia-Carneiro T, Dorigo TA, Rocha CFD. 2012. Influences of seasonality, thermal environment and wind intensity on the thermal ecology of Brazilian sand lizards in a Restinga remnant. South Am J Herpetol. 7:241–251.
- Maia-Carneiro T, Rocha CFD. 2013. Seasonal variations in behaviour of thermoregulation in juveniles and adults *Liolaemus lutzae* (Squamata, Liolaemidae) in a remnant of Brazilian restinga. Behav Processes. 100:48–53.
- Marquet PA, Ortiz JC, Bozinovic F, Jaksik FM. 1989. Ecological aspects of thermoregulation at high altitudes: the case of Andean *Liolaemus* lizards in northern Chile. Oecologia. 81:16–20.
- Martín J, López P, Carrascal LM, Salvador A. 1995. Adjustment of basking postures in the highaltitude lberian rock lizard (*Lacerta monticola*). Can J Zool. 73:1065–1068.
- Martins LS, Verrastro L, Tozetti AM. 2014. The influences of habitat on body temperature control in a southern population of *Liolaemus occipitalis* (Boulenger 1885) in Brazil. South Am J Herpetol. 9:9–13.
- Martori R, Aun L. 1997. Reproductive and fat body cycle of *Liolaemus wiegmannii* in Central Argentina. J Herpetol. 31:578–581.
- McConnachie Z, Graham JA, Whiting MJ. 2009. Selected body temperature and thermoregulatory behavior in the sit-and-wait foraging lizard *Pseudocordylus melanotus melanotus*. Herpetol Monogr. 23:108–122.
- McGinnis SM. 1970. Flexibility of thermoregulatory behavior in the western fence lizard Sceloporus occidentalis. Herpetologica. 26:70–76.
- Medina M, Gutiérrez J, Scolaro A, Ibargüengoytía NR. 2009. Thermal responses to environmental constraints in two population of the viviparous lizard *Liolaemus bibronii* in Patagonia, Argentina. J Therm Biol. 34:32–40.
- Medina M, Scolaro A, Méndez-De La Cruz F, Sinervo B, Ibargüengoitia N. 2011. Thermal relationships between body temperature and environment conditions set upper distributional limits on oviparous species. J Therm Biol. 36:527–534.
- Medina M, Scolaro A, Méndez-De La Cruz F, Sinervo B, Miles DB, Ibarguengoytía N. 2012. Thermal biology of genus *Liolaemus*: a phylogenetic approach reveals advantages of the genus to survive climate change. J Therm Biol. 37:579–586.
- Moreno Azócar DL, Vanhooydonck B, Bonino MF, Perotti MG, Abdala CS, Schulte JA II, Cruz FB. 2013. Chasing the Patagonian sun: comparative thermal biology of lizards. Oecologia. 171:773–788.

- Muth A. 1977. Thermoregulatory postures and orientation to the sun: a mechanistic evaluation for the zebra-tailed lizard, *Callisaurus draconoides*. Copeia. 4:10–720.
- NASA. 2000–2016. Prediction of worldwide energy resource (POWER): climatology resource for agroclimatology. Available from: https://power.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/cgiwrap/solar/agro.cgi [Accessed 11 Nov 2015].
- Nicholls AO. 1989. How to make biological surveys go further with generalised linear models. Biol Conserv. 50:51–75.
- Niewiarowski PH. 2001. Energy budget, growth rates and thermal constraints: toward an integrative approach to the study of life history variation. Am Nat. 157:421–433.
- Plummer MV, Ferner JW. 2012. Marking reptiles. In: McDiarmid RW, et al., editors. Reptile biodiversity: standard methods for inventory and monitoring. California: University of California Press; p. 143–150.
- Porter WP, Mitchell JW, Beckman WA, DeWitt CB. 1973. Behavioral implications of mechanistic ecology: thermal and behavioral modeling of desert ectotherms and their microenvironment. Oecologia. 13:1–54.
- Pough FH, Gans C. 1982. The vocabulary of reptilian thermoregulation. In: Gans C, Pough FH, editors. Biology of the Reptilia. London (UK): Academic Press; p. 17–23.
- Quinteros AS. 2012. Taxonomy of the *Liolaemus alticolor-bibronii* group (Iguania: Liolaemidae) with descriptions of two new species. Herpetologica. 68:100–120.
- R Development Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available from: http://www.Rproject.org [Accessed 11 Nov 2015].
- Rodríguez-Serrano E, Navas CA, Bozinovic F. 2009. The comparative field body temperature among *Liolaemus* lizards: testing the static and the labile hypotheses. J Therm Biol. 34:306– 309.
- Row JR, Blouin-Demers G. 2006. Thermal quality influences effectiveness of thermoregulation, habitat use and behaviour in milk snakes. Oecologia. 148:1–11.
- Scheers H, Van Damme R. 2002. Micro-scale differences in thermal habitat quality and a possible case of evolutionary flexibility in the thermal physiology of lacertid lizards. Oecologia. 132:323–331.
- Stellatelli OA, Block C, Vega LE, Cruz FB. 2014. Responses of two sympatric sand lizards to exotic forestations in the coastal dunes of Argentina: some implications for conservation. Wildl Res. 41:480–489.
- Stellatelli OA, Block C, Vega LE, Cruz FB. 2015. Nonnative vegetation induces changes in predation pressure and escape behavior of two sand lizards (Liolaemidae: *Liolaemus*). Herpetologica. 71:136–142.
- Stellatelli OA, Block C, Vega LE, Isacch JP, Cruz FB. 2016. Factors affecting the spatial ecology of the lizard *Liolaemus wiegmannii* in the pampasic coastal dunes of Argentina. Herpetol J. 26:11–19.
- Stellatelli OA, Vega LE, Block C, Cruz FB. 2013. Effects on the thermoregulatory efficiency of two native lizards as a consequence of the habitat modification by the introduction of the exotic tree *Acacia longifolia*. J Therm Biol. 38:135–142.
- Stevenson RD. 1985. The relative importance of behavioral and physiological adjustments controlling body temperature in terrestrial ectotherms. Am Nat. 126:362–386.
- Sunday JM, Bates AE, Kearney MR, Colwell RK, Dulvy NK, Longino JT, Huey RB. 2014. Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across latitude and elevation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 111:5610–5615.
- Valdecantos S, Martínez V, Lobo F, Cruz FB. 2013. Thermal biology of *Liolaemus* lizards from the high Andes: being efficient despite adversity. J Therm Biol. 38:126–134.
- Vega LE 1999. Ecología de saurios arenícolas de las dunas costeras bonaerenses. [Ecology of arenicolous saurians from the coastal dunes of Buenos Aires] [PhD Thesis]. Mar del Plata (BA): Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata. Spanish.
- Vega LE. 2001. Herpetofauna: diversidad, ecología e historia natural. [Herpetofauna: diversity, ecology and natural history]. In: Iribarne O, editor. Reserva de Biosfera Mar Chiquita:

características físicas, biológicas y ecológicas. Mar del Plata (BA): Editorial Martín; p. 213–226. Spanish.

- Vega LE, Bellagamba PJ, Lobo F. 2008. New endemic species of *Liolaemus* (Iguania: Liolaemidae) from the mountain range of Tandilia, Buenos Aires province, Argentina. Herpetologica. 64:81–91.
- Vidal MA, Ortíz JC, Labra A. 2008. Intraspecific variation in a physiological thermoregulatory mechanism: the case of the lizard *Liolaemus tenuis* (Liolaeminae). Rev Chil Hist Nat. 81:171–178.
- Villalba A 2016. Ecología térmica de la lagartija endémica *Liolaemus tandiliensis* en las sierras del Partido de General Pueyrredón (Buenos Aires, Argentina). [Thermal ecology of the endemic lizard *Liolaemus tandiliensis* in the sierras of the district of General Pueyrredón (Buenos Aires, Argentina)] [Bachellor´s Thesis]. Mar del Plata (BA): Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata. Spanish.
- Villavicencio HJ, Acosta JC, Marinero JA, Cánovas MG. 2007. Thermal ecology of a population of the lizard, *Liolaemus pseudoanomalus* in western Argentina. Amphibia-Reptilia. 28:163– 165.
- Vitt LJ, Sartorius SS. 1999. HOBOs, Tidbits and lizard models: the utility of electronic devices in field studies of ectotherm thermoregulation. Funct Ecol. 13:670–674.
- Zar JH. 1984. Biostatistical analysis. New Jersey (NJ): Prentice Hall.