Differential effects of glucocorticoids in the establishment and maintenance of endotoxin tolerance
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Summary

Gram-negative infections can result in endotoxic shock, which is the most common cause of death in intensive care units. Most of the undesirable effects in sepsis and septic shock have been ascribed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a normal constituent of the bacterial wall. The response to LPS involves rapid secretion of proinflammatory cytokines [tumour necrosis factor-α, interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-8, interferon-γ] and the concomitant induction of anti-inflammatory mediators such as IL-10 and transforming growth factor-β and glucocorticoids (GC), which render the host temporarily refractory to subsequent lethal doses of LPS challenge in a process known as LPS or endotoxin tolerance. Although protective from the development of sepsis or systemic inflammation, endotoxin tolerance has also been pointed out as the principal cause of the non-specific immunosuppression described in these patients. In this report we demonstrate, using a mouse model, that while the maintenance of tolerance is dependent upon GC, the establishment of tolerance by LPS could be inhibited by dexamethasone (Dex), a synthetic GC. Conversely, we demonstrated that mifepristone (RU486), a known GC receptor antagonist, was capable of inducing a transient and reversible disruption of endotoxin tolerance, also permitting partial restoration of the humoral immune response in LPS tolerant/immunosuppressed mice. These results are encouraging for the management of immunosuppression in sepsis and/or non-infectious shock, and deserve further investigation in the future.
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Introduction

Severe Gram-negative infections can result in endotoxic shock, which is the most common cause of death in intensive care units [1–5]. Most of the undesirable effects in sepsis and septic shock caused by Gram-negative bacteria have been ascribed to lipopolysaccharide (LPS), a normal constituent of the bacterial wall [3,6–9].

Substantial evidence suggests that the response to LPS involves not only a rapid secretion of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-1, IL-6, IL-8 and interferon (IFN)-γ, but also the concomitant induction of potent anti-inflammatory factors secreted by monocytes/macrophages such as IL-10, transforming growth factor (TGF)-β [10–13] or glucocorticoids (GC) [10,13–15], which render the host temporarily refractory to subsequent lethal doses of LPS challenge [16–19].

This refractoriness to LPS, known as LPS or endotoxin tolerance, is characterized by a decreased production of proinflammatory cytokines in response to LPS following a first exposure to the same stimulus, and is thought to be a host adaptation to limit overwhelming inflammation that occurs during bacterial Gram-negative infection [1,15,20]. However, although protective from the development of sepsis or systemic inflammation, endotoxin tolerance has also been pointed out as the principal cause of the non-specific immunosuppression reported in these patients, which can lead to fatal blunting of immunological responses to subsequent infections in survivors of sepsis or septic shock [18,21–23].

GC and anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10 and TGF-β have been considered to be the main agents responsible for support of endotoxin tolerance [18,24,25]. The importance of GC was demonstrated essentially by the fact that adrenalectomized mice did not become tolerant to LPS [15,18,26].

However, the mode of action of GC in tolerance is not understood fully. For instance, LPS injection of
galactosamine-treated mice did not generate endotoxin tolerance, despite the fact that the level of corticosterone in these animals was similar to that found in LPS-treated naive mice [15]. In addition, although it is known that the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis plays an active role in endotoxin tolerance [14,27], GC treatment in high doses have been used historically in sepsis with no benefit to patients. However, more recently low doses of GC have been used to treat septic shock in patients with adrenal insufficiency [28,29]. In addition, the management of endotoxin tolerance/immunosuppression is controversial and constitutes a crucial problem in the treatment of sepsis [23,30,31].

The aim of our studies was to gain insight into the role of GC on the mechanisms of establishment and maintenance of endotoxin tolerance, as well as immunosuppression induced by the tolerance phenomenon, through the use of dexamethasone (Dex), a synthetic GC, and mifepristone (RU486), an inhibitor of GC and progesterone receptors.

For this purpose, and considering that de-activation of endotoxin tolerance and/or restoration of the immune response might potentially be beneficial in the treatment of sepsis or septic shock [23,30–33], we used LPS-induced tolerant/immunosuppressed mice as an experimental model to analyse events during early and late stages of human sepsis.

In brief, our results indicate that GC could play an important and differential role in the establishment and maintenance of endotoxin tolerance with opposing effects on these two processes. Conversely, the humoral immune response could be restored partially in tolerant/immunosuppressed animals through inhibition of endogenous GC activity by RU486. All these effects were dependent upon the time-point of exposure to GC or to RU486.

Materials and methods

Reagents

Mouse recombinant IFN-γ and rabbit anti-murine anti-TNF-α were purchased from PeproTech Inc. (Mexico, DF). Soluble TNF-α receptor (sTNFR – etanercept) was obtained from Wyeth Pharmaceuticals Inc. (Collegeville, PA, USA). Mifepristone (RU486-17-hydroxy-11-(4-dimethylaminophenyl) 17-(1-propynyl) estra-4, 9-diene-3-one), thioglycollate broth, mouse recombinant TNF-α and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from Escherichia coli O111:B4, catalogue no. L2630 purified by phenol extraction, were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Synthetic glucocorticoid dexamethasone (Dex) (Decadron Shock) was obtained from Sidus S.A. (C.A. Buenos Aires, Argentina). Cytokines and reagents were prepared in sterile pyrogen-free saline. Corticosterone level was determined by a commercially available radioimmunoassay (RIA) kit from ICN Biomedicals (Costa Mesa, CA, USA). [3H]-dexamethasone ([3H]-Dex) in ethanol was from New England Nuclear (Boston, MA, USA) and had a specific activity of 35·00 Ci/mM (1254·00 GBq/mM). Sheep red blood cells (SRBC) were obtained from Alfredo Gutierrez® (C.A.). The following anti-mouse antibodies were used: phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated rat anti-immunoglobulin (Ig)M monoclonal antibody (mAb) (BD-Pharmingen, San Diego, CA, USA) and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-IgG polyclonal antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA, USA).

Mice

BALB/c mice were bred in the animal facility of the Department of Experimental Medicine, Academia Nacional de Medicina, Buenos Aires. Female mice aged 12–16 weeks weighing 20–25 g were used throughout the experiments. They were maintained under a 12 h light–dark cycle at 22 ± 2°C and fed with standard diet and water ad libitum. The experiments performed herein were conducted according to the principles set forth in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals [34].

Endotoxin tolerance models

Classical tolerance model. Mice were tolerized by intraperitoneal (i.p.) inoculation of LPS (80 µg/kg) for 4 consecutive days. Twenty-four hours after the last injection animals were resistant to a lethal dose (LD) of LPS (2 LD₅₀ = 8 mg/kg i.p.).

Tolerance/immunosuppression model. Because immunosuppressant is a quantitative effect dependent upon the number of doses and concentration of LPS injections, a stronger immunosuppression was obtained by treatment of mice with different doses of LPS for 13 consecutive days. The inoculation regimen began with 200 µg/kg i.p. for the first 3 days, followed by 4 mg/kg i.p. for 9 days.

Lethality studies

Mice were injected i.p. with a lethal dose of LPS (2 LD₅₀ = 200 µg) in pyrogen-free saline and followed up to 72 h. This dose induces 100% mortality between 24 and 48 h after injection. The same batch of LPS was used throughout the experiments.

Treatment with RU486 and immunization in tolerant/immunosuppressed mice

Twenty-four hours after the last dose of endotoxin, LPS tolerant/immunosuppressed mice were inoculated with RU486 (30 mg/kg i.p.) and 30 min later they were immunized with SRBC (5 × 10⁸/mouse i.p.). Then, at 24 and 30 h after the immunization, mice were treated again with RU486. Control mice (naive) were either treated or not with RU486 and immunized using the same regimen.
Seven days after immunization the animals were bled and serum sample were collected and frozen at −20°C until to use.

Thioglycollate-elicited mouse peritoneal macrophages

Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 2 ml of 3% (wt/vol) thioglycollate broth. After 4 days they were killed and cells were harvested by peritoneal lavage with cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and cultured in 48-well tissue culture plates (Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA) at a concentration of 2.5 × 10^5 cells/well in RPMI-1640, supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 1% penicillin and 1% streptomycin. After overnight incubation at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 (and 95% air), wells were washed twice with PBS to remove non-adherent cells. As judged by morphological criteria and Turk colourant staining, more than 90–95% of the adherent cells were macrophages.

TNF-α bioassay

The biological activity of TNF-α was determined using a sensitive actinomycin D-treated murine L-929 fibroblast assay, as described previously [35]. Briefly, L-929 cells were plated in 96-well plates (Costar) at 1·8 × 10^4 cells/well in 0·1 ml and allowed to grow to near confluence overnight at 37°C in 95% air, 5% CO2. Serially diluted macrophage supernatants were added to the L-929 cells. After 18 h of incubation in the presence of 10 µg/ml actinomycin D (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA), the plates were washed with PBS and viable cells were fixed and stained with violet crystal solution (0·1% in 20% methanol) for 20 min at 37°C. Then, absorbance of the blue colour extracted with 30% acetic acid was measured with a microtitre plate reader (Organon Tecnika, C.A. Buenos Aires Argentina) at 550 nm. The activity titre of TNF-α in lytic units/ml (LU/ml) was calculated from the reciprocal of the dilution necessary for 50% cell lysis.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Plasma were collected and frozen at −20°C until use. TNF-α and IL-10 ELISA were performed on flat-bottomed polystyrene microtitre plates (OptEIA set; BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Haemagglutination assay

The antibody response to SRBC was evaluated through a haemagglutination assay. Briefly, serum samples were inactivated by 56°C for 30 min and diluted in a double dilution test using PBS–bovine serum albumin (BSA) 0-2%. Then, 50 µl of each dilution was dispensed in a round-bottomed 96-well microplate and 50 µl of 0-25% SRBC in PBS–BSA was added. Finally, the plates were incubated for 24 h at room temperature and the titre was considered as the reciprocal of the last positive dilution.

Flow cytometry to determine IgG, IgM anti-SRBC

To measure mouse IgG and IgM anti-SRBC, serum samples were prepared at different dilutions in PBS–BSA 0-5%. Then, 10 µl of serum were incubated with 3 µl of 1% SRBC (PBS–BSA 0-5%) for 30 min at 4°C. The cells were washed three times (and PE) anti-IgM or (FITC) anti-IgG was added and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were washed and immunoglobulins were evaluated in a Becton Dickinson FACScan using CellQuest software (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Controls of SRBC incubated with labelled antibodies in the absence of serum were also carried out.

Statistical analysis

Values are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.) of n observations. The statistical significance of differences between TNF-α samples measured by the L-929 bioassay was determined using the non-parametric Friedman test followed by Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test. ELISA and haemagglutination assays were analysed using the Mann–Whitney unpaired test. All statistical tests were interpreted in a two-tailed fashion and P < 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Dexamethasone induces refractoriness to a lethal dose of LPS

A daily i.p. injection of LPS (80 µg/kg) in mice for 4 days induces the establishment of tolerance to LPS, a phenomenon characterized by low secretion of TNF-α in response to subsequent doses of LPS [19,36] and high levels of corticosterone in serum 3 h after the last LPS injection (tolerants: 1099.6 ng/ml ± 23.2 versus normal: 163.7 ng/ml ± 5.8; n = 5) [15,37–39]. This increase of GC in tolerant animals seems to be important in the refractoriness to LPS, as naive mice (n = 6) survived when they were pretreated with Dex 2.5 mg/kg i.p. between 0 and 3 h before a lethal dose of LPS (8 mg/kg i.p.). However, when LPS was injected 10 h after Dex, the mortality was 57.2% (n = 7) and after 24 h reached values of 92.3% (n = 13). This LPS refractoriness induced by Dex correlated with the low amount of TNF-α in mice plasma 90 min after the simultaneous injection of Dex and LPS (Dex–LPS = 183 ± 67 pg/ml versus LPS = 8431 ± 1027 pg/ml) (n = 6).

Similar results were obtained in vitro when mouse peritoneal macrophages were treated with Dex (40 µg/ml) for 30 min, and later with LPS (20 ng/ml) for 6 h. After this period the supernatants were collected and the biological
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Table 1. Dexamethasone inhibits the establishment of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) tolerance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control (n = 6)</th>
<th>LPS (n = 6)</th>
<th>Dex + LPS (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality %</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/ml)</td>
<td>7211 ± 1174</td>
<td>43 ± 14</td>
<td>4303 ± 1429*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>2877 ± 403</td>
<td>298 ± 89</td>
<td>3756 ± 776*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.001 significantly different from tolerant (LPS). BALB/c mice were injected with LPS [80 μg/kg intraperitoneally (i.p.)]. The endotoxin was injected alone (LPS) or simultaneously with dexamethasone (Dex) (2.5 mg/kg; i.p.). The results were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean, four to six per group.

Activity of TNF-α was determined using the L-929 assay. The LPS-induced secretion of TNF-α was reduced significantly by Dex to 6.7 ± 2% of control (LPS alone) (n = 6).

Taking into account the schedules used for these in vivo and in vitro experiments we investigated if the effect of Dex could be due to a mere interaction or blockade of LPS by Dex. For this purpose, LPS and [3H]-Dex were incubated at 37°C for 1 h and passed through a Sephadex G-10. The first peak eluted from the column (LPS) was devoid of radioactivity, indicating that [3H]-Dex was not bound to LPS. In addition, the capacity of this peak of LPS to induce TNF-α secretion from mouse macrophages remained intact (not shown).

Dexamethasone inhibits the establishment of LPS tolerance

Considering that GC are increased in plasma of tolerant mice and that Dex was responsible for animal protection to a lethal dose of LPS, we speculated that Dex would be also capable of inducing tolerance to LPS. However, daily injections of Dex (2.5 mg/kg) for 4 days instead of LPS did not induce a tolerant state indicating that, although important for protection, Dex is not involved in the establishment of the tolerant state (not shown).

Conversely, when we tried to tolerize animals through the simultaneous injection of LPS and Dex instead of LPS alone, the animals did not become tolerant to endotoxin, indicating that Dex prevented the establishment of LPS tolerance. This effect correlated with the increase in TNF-α and IL-10 after exposure to a lethal dose of LPS, which is in agreement with the lack of tolerance in these animals (Table 1).

TNF-α is not relevant for the establishment of endotoxin tolerance

Because TNF-α is one of the first cytokines induced by LPS and is capable of inducing a lethal shock similar to LPS [32], the TNF-α effect in the establishment of tolerance to LPS was studied. For this purpose, three groups of mice (n = 6/group) were injected with 25, 50 or 100 ng of TNF-α for 4 consecutive days. After this period a lethal dose of LPS was injected. The animals from all three groups died between 48 and 72 h, while those treated with LPS instead of TNF-α survived (data not shown). Moreover, peritoneal macrophages could still be made tolerant to LPS in the presence of anti-TNF-α antibodies or soluble TNF-α receptors (Fig. 1).

RU486 disrupts the maintenance but not the establishment of LPS tolerance

In order to analyse the importance of Dex in refractoriness to LPS, RU486, a well-known GC and progesterone receptor antagonist, was assayed. Thus, when RU486 (12 mg/kg s.c.) was injected 5 min before a protective dose of Dex, all animals died (n = 6) when challenged with a lethal dose of...
indicated in the table, a lethal dose of LPS (8 mg/kg i.p.) was injected. The mortality was evaluated until 72 h after lethal LPS inoculation. One control (i.p.) for 4 days. After 24 h of the last LPS dose, mice were inoculated with mifepristone (RU486) (12 mg/kg subcutaneously) and at different times indicated in the table, a lethal dose of LPS (8 mg/kg i.p.) was injected. The mortality was evaluated until 72 h after lethal LPS inoculation. One control group of tolerant mice (Tol) was inoculated with propyleneglycol (RU486 vehicle) and all animals survived a lethal dose of LPS. Control naive (N) were inoculated with saline instead of LPS tolerizing dose and challenge with a lethal dose of LPS. Mice treated under the same schedule were bled 90 min after of LPS lethal dose and plasma levels of tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-10 were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean of four to seven per group; n.s.: not significant.

LPS, indicating that the effect of RU486 was exerted on GC and not on progesterone receptors.

We then analysed whether RU486 was able to overcome the tolerant state. Tolerant mice were treated with RU486 and the animals were injected with a lethal dose of LPS at different times. Mortality was evaluated up to 72 h post-LPS. The results shown in Table 2 indicate that RU486 abrogates endotoxin tolerance completely up to 3 h after injection, and mice then return gradually to the initial tolerance state (8 h), indicating that the effect of RU486 was limited to induce a transient and reversible effect.

Disruption of the mechanism of endotoxin tolerance by RU486 correlates with the increase of TNF-α in these animals, this being another marker of tolerance de-activation. The high levels of IL-10 observed in RU486-treated tolerant mice also suggest limited importance of IL-10 in the maintenance of tolerance. Conversely, pretreatment or simultaneous injection of naive mice with RU486 and LPS did not prevent the establishment of tolerance (data not shown).

In order to compare the overcoming of LPS tolerance induced by RU486 to that obtained by IFN-γ [17,33] in the treatment of septic/immunosuppressed patients, mouse peritoneal macrophages were made tolerant with LPS and then treated with mouse IFN-γ for 18 h, washed and restimulated with LPS, and the production of TNF-α was evaluated at different times. We observed an increase in TNF-α production at 0 h and 24 h later, indicating that mouse IFN-γ similar to human IFN-γ, induces disruption to the LPS tolerance state. However, after 72 h this effect disappears and cells return to the tolerant state (Fig. 2). This transient and reversible effect resembles those observed with RU486, although it should be taken into account that IFN-γ was studied in vitro, whereas the effects of RU486 were studied in vivo.

RU486 restores the humoral immune response

Taking into account that endotoxin tolerance may be one of the causes of the immunosuppression observed frequently in late sepsis [40,41], and considering that RU486 induces a transient overcoming of tolerance, finally we analysed the effect of RU486 on humoral immune response in LPS-induced tolerant/immunosuppressed mice. Although immunosuppression was observed in mice tolerized with the classical endotoxin tolerance model (four doses of LPS), stronger immunosuppression was obtained by increasing the concentration and the number of LPS doses.

**Table 2. RU486 disrupts the maintenance of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) tolerance.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tolerant + RU486</th>
<th>0 h (n = 20)</th>
<th>1 h (n = 11)</th>
<th>3 h (n = 11)</th>
<th>5 h (n = 10)</th>
<th>8 h (n = 10)</th>
<th>24 h (n = 10)</th>
<th>Tol (n = 11)</th>
<th>N (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality %</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α (pg/ml)</td>
<td>2474 ± 565*</td>
<td>219 ± 63**</td>
<td>247 ± 67**</td>
<td>205 ± 68***</td>
<td>104 ± 35**</td>
<td>83 ± 20**</td>
<td>43 ± 14</td>
<td>8431 ± 1027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 (pg/ml)</td>
<td>1676 ± 392**</td>
<td>2507 ± 712*</td>
<td>2589 ± 264*</td>
<td>1963 ± 430*</td>
<td>358 ± 84**</td>
<td>260 ± 122**</td>
<td>336 ± 107</td>
<td>3083 ± 456</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P<0.001, **P<0.01, ***P<0.05, significantly different from tolerant (Tol). BALB/c mice were tolerized with LPS (80 μg/kg intraperitoneally (i.p.)) for 4 days. After 24 h of the last LPS dose, mice were inoculated with mifepristone (RU486) (12 mg/kg subcutaneously) and at different times indicated in the table, a lethal dose of LPS (8 mg/kg i.p.) was injected. The mortality was evaluated until 72 h after lethal LPS inoculation. One control group of tolerant mice (Tol) was inoculated with propyleneglycol (RU486 vehicle) and all animals survived a lethal dose of LPS. Control naive (N) were inoculated with saline instead of LPS tolerizing dose and challenge with a lethal dose of LPS. Mice treated under the same schedule were bled 90 min after of LPS lethal dose and plasma levels of tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) and interleukin (IL)-10 were determined by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean of four to seven per group; n.s.: not significant.

Fig. 2. Peritoneal macrophages were pretreated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) 20 ng/ml or saline for 20 h, washed and incubated for 18 h with mouse interferon (IFN)-γ 500 U/ml. They were then restimulated at 0, 24 and 72 h with LPS 100 ng/ml for 6 h (a–c). Supernatants were collected and biological activity of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)-α was determined by L-929 cytotoxicity assay. Results are expressed as the mean ± standard error of the mean (% control) of six independent experiments and were represented in log10 scale. ##P<0.01, **P<0.05, significantly different from control; ***P<0.01, significantly different from tolerant (dark bar). The control cells were treated only with LPS 100 ng/ml for 6 h; this TNF-α activity was considered to be 100% and is represented by a dashed line.
The results shown in Fig. 3 indicate that RU486 can partially restore or enhance the primary humoral immune response in immunosuppressed mice. In addition, using a flow cytometry assay we observed that restoration of the primary humoral immune response involved the production of both IgM and IgG antibodies (Fig. 4). At 1 : 300 dilutions the IgM anti-SRBC of the control group appears to be similar to RU486-treated immunosuppressed mice. However at 1 : 5000 dilutions the IgM response was still detected in the control group, while it was negative in the RU486-treated immunosuppressed group (data not shown).

Discussion

Endotoxin tolerance has been considered to be one of the main causes of immunosuppression reported in patients with sepsis due to Gram-negative infections [17,23]. It has also been described that patients who succumb to septic shock after 72 h (late sepsis) show similar clinical signs of endotoxin tolerance [32,33].

These are some of the reasons why studies on the regulation of LPS tolerance have merited the attention of research groups around the world. However, despite these efforts, the complex phenomenon of endotoxin tolerance has not yet been elucidated completely. Part of this complexity could be due to the different agents, factors or mechanisms involved in LPS-induced tolerance/immunosuppression, such as chemokines induced by IL-13 and IL-4 [40], 1α,25-dihydroxyvitamin D3 [42], GC [15,20], catecholamines [43,44], depletion of dendritic cells [45], IL-10 and TGF-β [25] or the decreased expression of fractalkine receptors [46]. In addition, LPS has been found to regulate as many as 1500 genes [47].

Although the relevance of GC in LPS-induced tolerance/immunosuppression has long been recognized, some of their effects are controversial and not understood completely [15,18,28]. This may be due to the different models used or, more probably, to conclusions resulting from studies directed to investigate a particular stage of endotoxin tolerance (i.e. maintenance), and later generalized inappropriately.

The aim of our study was essentially to evaluate the participation of endogenous and exogenous (Dex) GC in two relevant and different steps of endotoxin tolerance: establishment, a short period with prevalence of inflammatory cytokines, and maintenance, a longer period with predominance of anti-inflammatory agents.
Considering that endotoxin induces the increase of GC in serum through activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, we speculated that Dex would also be responsible for inducing tolerance to LPS. However, a daily injection of Dex was not capable of inducing a tolerant state. On the other hand, the simultaneous injection of LPS and Dex instead of LPS alone inhibited the induction of tolerance, suggesting that although important for the protection of animals against a lethal dose of LPS, paradoxically, Dex inhibited the establishment of endotoxin tolerance.

Because concomitantly to this effect, Dex inhibits TNF-α production, we investigated whether TNF-α could be a necessary cytokine for the establishment of LPS tolerance. However, the negative results obtained by daily injections of TNF-α and the fact that anti-TNF-α or soluble TNF-α receptors (etanercept) did not modify the tolerance induced by LPS in vitro indicated clearly that, in our hands, TNF-α is not a cytokine responsible for the establishment of tolerance.

Our results are in agreement with those of Medvedev et al. [48], but not with other authors, who suggested that TNF-α was capable of inducing LPS tolerance [49,50]. This discrepancy could be the result of using a different animal model (rat) and/or the fact that these experiments were carried out using a non-physiological dose of TNF-α (200 μg/kg/day for 5 consecutive days) [49] or from a different species [50].

However, as GC and Dex inhibit the production of a set of proinflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1-α, IL-1β, IL-12, IFN-γ, IL-6 and IL-8 [28,51,52], this suggests that inflammatory agent(s) other than TNF-α would be necessary for the establishment of LPS tolerance. In line with this, we have found previously that IL-1β was capable of inducing the establishment of endotoxin tolerance, an effect determined through protection against LPS, increasing the level of GC and by down-regulation of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR-4) and up-regulation of GC receptors, both indicators of endotoxin tolerance [53].

Considering that RU486 can overcome the tolerant state, and taking into account all the previously described data, a central role for GC in the maintenance of endotoxin tolerance is suggested.

Similarly to GC, IL-10 has been recognized as an important cytokine in tolerance, although its mode of action is also controversial. In fact, many researchers have tried to reverse the state of immunosuppression in sepsis using IFN-γ, granulocyte colony stimulation factor (G-CSF) or granulocyte–macrophage colony stimulation factor (GM-CSF) [12,33,60].

In fact, IFN-γ administered to septic patients restored deficient HLA-DR expression, LPS-induced TNF-α production and bacterial clearance in many patients, although the effect on the immune response is not known.

In this report we have demonstrated a RU486-driven disruption of tolerance that, although using a mouse model, resembles those obtained by treatment with IFN-γ. In addition, in our case RU486 treatment was capable of restoring immunological competence in LPS tolerant/ immunosuppressed mice. Considering that RU486 exerts a transient and reversible disruption of the regulation of tolerance/immunosuppression, but not a dismantling effect (Table 2), this suggests that RU486 opens a window that, although transient, is central for initiation of the humoral immune response (Figs 3 and 4).

In summary, in our mouse experimental model the establishment of tolerance by LPS could be inhibited by simultaneous injection of LPS with Dex, the maintenance of tolerance is dependent on GC, and overcoming endotoxin tolerance can be achieved by a competitive inhibitor of GC, RU486. These data and the preliminary observation that RU486 can restore the primary humoral immune response in immunosuppressed mice, are important and encouraging results that deserve further investigation in a situation where the loss of immune competence can be fatal [31].
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