

## NEW DINUCLEAR ASYMMETRIC COMPLEXES OF RUTHENIUM AND RHENIUM

FLORENCIA FAGALDE and NÉSTOR E. KATZ\*

*Instituto de Química Física, Facultad de Bioquímica, Química y Farmacia,  
Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Ayacucho 491, (4000) San Miguel  
de Tucumán, Argentina*

*(Received 21 August 2000; In final form 16 February 2001)*

New dinuclear asymmetric complexes of ruthenium and rhenium, of formula  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^I(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{II/III}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{3+/4+}$  have been prepared and characterized by spectroscopic and electrochemical techniques. In the mixed-valent species  $[\text{Re}^I, \text{Ru}^{III}]$ , the back electron transfer reaction  $\text{R}^{II} \rightarrow \text{Re}^I$ , that occurs after light excitation, is predicted to be in the Marcus inverted region. This fact is consistent with the observed quenching of the luminiscence of the Re chromophore in  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^I(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{III}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$ , when compared to the parent complex  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^I(4,4'\text{-bpy})]^+$ . A theoretical treatment due to Creutz, Newton and Sutin has been successfully applied to predict the electronic coupling element in the mixed-valent complex.

*Keywords:* Mixed-valence; Electron transfer; Asymmetric complexes

### INTRODUCTION

Interest in mixed-valence complexes arises from their use as models for testing current electron transfer theories [1] and for designing molecular devices for solar energy conversion [2]. In this work, we report the syntheses and characterization of new dinuclear asymmetric complexes derived from  $\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})^+$  (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) as a photosensitizing unit bridged by 4,4'-bpy (4,4'-bpy = 4,4'-bipyridine) to  $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5^{n+}$  as electron donor ( $n = 2$ ) or acceptor ( $n = 3$ ) units.

---

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: 54 381 42009, Fax: 54 381 42480, e-mail: nkatz@arnet.com.ar

A related work by Lin and Guarr [3] on bimetallic complexes containing a  $(\alpha\text{-diimine})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}$  chromophore covalently attached to a ruthenium pentaammine moiety has evidenced that, in spite of a very weak metal-metal interaction in these systems, the emission from the  $\text{Re} \rightarrow \alpha\text{-diimine}^3\text{MLCT}$  excited state is quenched by both  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}$  and  $\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}$ , thus pointing to the occurrence of rapid photoinduced intramolecular electron transfer processes. We address in this work the changes in photophysical behavior that can be obtained by separating the  $\alpha\text{-diimine}$  moiety from the electron relay groups by shorter chemical bridges, such as that formed by 4,4'-bpy.

For the mixed-valent species  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$ , we have attempted to test, for the first time in an asymmetric system, a recent theoretical formula developed by Creutz, Newton and Sutin [4] that predicts the electronic metal-to-metal coupling element from metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT) absorption data, and to compare this calculated value to that determined from metal-to-metal charge transfer (MMCT) absorption data, by Hush's well-known formula [5]. Encouraging results on the applicability of this formalism have been recently reported by Crutchley *et al.* [6] for symmetric mixed-valent ruthenium complexes. The prediction of intramolecular electron transfer parameters is relevant to the design of efficient photoconverters [7].

## EXPERIMENTAL

### Syntheses

The previously reported hexafluorophosphate salts of the complexes  $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(4,4'\text{-bpy})]^+$  and  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})]^{2+}$  were prepared and purified as in previous Refs. [8, 9].

The new binuclear  $\text{PF}_6^-$  salt of the complex  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{3+}$ , (**I**), was prepared as a tetrahydrate by stirring  $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(4,4'\text{-bpy})](\text{PF}_6)$  (80 mg, 0.1 mmol) in  $\text{Me}_2\text{CO}$  (10 mL) under Ar and adding, after 1 h,  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})](\text{PF}_6)_2$  (54 mg, 0.1 mmol), followed by continuous stirring under Ar for 2 h in the dark. 100 mL of ether was then added to precipitate the complex. The solid was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL) and sorbed onto a column of Sephadex LH-20 ( $3 \times 10$  cm) and eluted with MeOH. The 2nd fraction was collected, rotoevaporated to 5 mL, cooled to room temperature and precipitated with ether (100 mL). The solid was filtered, washed with ether and dried *in vacuo* over  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ . *Yield*: 100 mg

(78%). *Anal. Calc.*: C, 21.65%, H, 3.08%; N, 9.88%. Found : C, 21.14%; H, 2.92%; N, 10.42%.

The mixed-valent ion  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$ , (**II**), was generated by adding 100 times in excess  $\text{Br}_2$  (0.5 mL) to an acetonitrile solution (10 mL) of **(I)**( $\text{PF}_6$ )<sub>3</sub> (50 mg, 0.04 mmol) with a stoichiometric amount of  $\text{Bu}_4^{\text{n}}\text{PF}_6$  (11.8 mg). After 10 min of reaction, 100 mL of ether was added to precipitate the complex. The solid was filtered, washed with ether and dried *in vacuo* over  $\text{P}_4\text{O}_{10}$ . *Yield*: 43 mg (75%). *Anal. Calc.*: C, 20.48%, H, 2.32%; N, 9.34%. Found : C, 20.86%; H, 2.76%; N, 9.73%.

### Materials, Instrumentation and Techniques

Acetonitrile was distilled from  $\text{KMnO}_4$  and dried over molecular sieves. Tetrakis(*n*-butyl)ammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAH) was recrystallized four times from EtOH and dried at 150°C for 72 h. All other chemicals were reagent grade and used without further purification.

IR spectra were recorded, as KBr pellets, on a double-beam Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrophotometer UV-visible spectra were obtained with a double-beam Shimadzu UV-160A spectrophotometer in 1-cm cells. Cyclic




FIGURE 1 IR spectrum of  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_5](\text{PF}_6)_3 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (KBr pellet).


FIGURE 2 IR spectrum of  $[(bpy)(CO)_3Re^I(4,4'-bpy)Ru^{III}(NH_3)_5](PF_6)_4$  (KBr pellet).

TABLE I Electronic absorption spectral data in MeCN

Complex	$\lambda_{max}, nm (10^{-3} \epsilon_{max}, M^{-1} cm^{-1})^a$
(I), $[(bpy)(CO)_3Re^I(4,4'-bpy)Ru^{II}(NH_3)_5]^{3+}$	534 (4.5), 346 (6.1), 319 (13), 309 (12), 268 (13), 245 (17)
(II), $[(bpy)(CO)_3Re^I(4,4'-bpy)Ru^{III}(NH_3)_5]^{4+}$	480 (1.0), 343 (10), 319, (21), 304 (17), 273 (sh), 236 (29)

<sup>a</sup> At 22°C. Errors:  $\pm 2$  nm in  $\lambda_{max}$ ,  $\pm 5\%$  in  $\epsilon_{max}$ .

voltammetry experiments were carried out in MeCN, 0.1 M TBAH, with an EQMAT-SI cyclic voltammetry equipment, controlled by a IBM-compatible computer. A standard three electrode compartment cell was used with Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) as a reference electrode and Pt as auxiliary and working electrodes. Ar was bubbled through the solutions prior to measurements. Luminescence spectra were recorded with a spectrofluorometer Shimadzu RF-5301 PC, provided with 1-cm fluorescence cells. Samples were bubbled with Ar prior to measurements. Chemical analyses were performed at INQUIMAE, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina.




FIGURE 3 UV-visible spectrum of  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{3+}$  in MeCN.




FIGURE 4 Spectrophotometric titration of  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}^{\text{I}}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{3+}$ , (I), by  $\text{Br}_2$  in MeCN. Molar ratios  $[\text{I}]/[\text{Br}_2]$  are: (a) 1:0; (b) 1:0.5; (c) 1:1; (d) 1:1.25.

**RESULTS**

Figures 1 and 2 show the IR spectra (as KBr pellets) of the  $\text{PF}_6^-$  salts of complexes **(I)** and **(II)** respectively. Table I displays the UV-visible absorption data in MeCN at 22°C for both complexes. Figure 3 shows the UV-visible spectrum of **(I)** in MeCN. A spectrophotometric titration of complex **(I)** with  $\text{Br}_2$  in MeCN is recorded in Figure 4. The cyclic voltammogram of complex **(I)** is shown in Figure 5, at  $\nu = 200 \text{ mV/s}$ . Finally, Figure 6 shows the emission spectra in MeCN solution ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 350 \text{ nm}$ ) of the mononuclear species  $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{bpy})(4,4'\text{-bpy})]^+$  and of the dinuclear complex **(II)**.




FIGURE 5 Cyclic voltammogram of complex **(I)** in MeCN, 0.1 M TBAH,  $\nu = 200 \text{ mV/s}$ .




FIGURE 6 Emission spectra, in MeCN, at 22°C, of (A):  $[(bpy)(CO)_3Re^I(4,4'-bpy)]^+$  and (B):  $[(bpy)(CO)_3Re^I(4,4'-bpy)Ru^{III}(NH_3)_5]^{4+}$  ( $\lambda_{ex} = 350$  nm).

## DISCUSSION

### IR Spectra

As shown in Figures 1 and 2, characteristic carbonyl stretching frequencies  $[10] \nu(CO)$  are exhibited at 2033 and 1927  $cm^{-1}$  for complex (I) and at 2034

and  $1924\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for complex **(II)**. The main differences arise when comparing the ammonia deformation frequencies  $\delta_{\text{sym}}(\text{NH}_3)$  that appear at  $1289\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for complex **(I)** and at  $1319\text{ cm}^{-1}$  for complex **(II)**, a clear indication of localized oxidation states  $+2$  and  $+3$  for ruthenium in complexes **(I)** and **(II)**, respectively [11].

### UV-vis Spectra

The electronic spectral data shown in Table I include UV absorptions between 200 and 300 nm, that can be assigned to characteristic intraligand  $\pi\text{-}\pi^*$  transitions of the pyridinic ligands [8]. The absorptions at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 346$  and  $534\text{ nm}$  for complex **(I)**, shown in Figure 3, correspond to metal-to-ligand charge transfer transitions (MLCT)  $d_{\pi}(\text{Re}) \rightarrow \pi^*(\text{bpy})$  and  $d_{\pi}(\text{Ru}) \rightarrow \pi^*(4,4'\text{-bpy})$  respectively, by comparison with the spectra of the mononuclear parent complexes [8, 12]. The latter band is red-shifted when compared to the same band in  $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(4,4\text{-bpy})]^{2+}$  ( $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 470\text{ nm}$ ), indicating considerable metal-metal  $\pi$ -interaction between Re and Ru through the 4,4'-bpy bridge. A spectrophotometric redox titration of complex **(I)** with  $\text{Br}_2$  in MeCN is shown in Figure 4. When the molar ratio of  $[(\text{I})]/[\text{Br}_2] \geq 1$ , the band at  $534\text{ nm}$  disappears, while that at *ca.*  $340\text{ nm}$  is left almost unchanged, clear evidence of formation of complex **(II)**. The new band at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} \approx 480\text{ nm}$  ( $\varepsilon_{\text{max}} \approx 980\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) can be assigned to a metal-to-metal charge transfer (MMCT) transition  $\text{Re}(\text{I}) \rightarrow \text{Ru}(\text{III})$ , which has not been previously detected in similar systems [3].

### Electrochemistry

Figure 5 shows the cyclic voltammogram in the oxidative range of complex **(I)**, in MeCN at  $22^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $0.1\text{ M}$  TBAH and scan rate  $v = 200\text{ mV/s}$ . The two observed waves can be assigned to the couples  $\text{Re}^{\text{II}}/\text{Re}^{\text{I}}$  ( $E_{1/2} = 1.84\text{ V}$ ) and  $\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}$  ( $E_{1/2} = 0.44\text{ V}$ ). The value obtained for  $\Delta E_{1/2} = E_{1/2}(\text{Re}^{\text{II}}/\text{Re}^{\text{I}}) - E_{1/2}(\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}/\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}) = 1.40\text{ eV}$ .

From a Hush treatment of the MMCT spectrum [5], the reorganization energy  $\lambda$  for the intramolecular electron transfer through the 4,4'-bpy bridge can be calculated as:

$$\lambda = E_{\text{op}} - \Delta G^{\circ} - \Delta E_{\text{exc}} \quad (1)$$

where  $E_{\text{op}}$  (maximum of the MMCT absorption)  $= 2.58\text{ eV}$ ,  $\Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta E_{1/2} = 1.40\text{ eV}$ , and  $\Delta E_{\text{exc}} = 0.25\text{ eV}$  [13]. Thus,  $\lambda \approx 1\text{ eV}$ , a value comparable to



that obtained by Lin and Guarr for a similar complex [3]. Since  $\lambda < -\Delta G^o$  for the reverse electron transfer process  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}} \rightarrow \text{Re}^{\text{II}}$ , we predict that this back reaction will fall in the Marcus inverted region [14].


### Luminescence Properties

Figure 6 shows the emission spectra of the mononuclear species  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}(4,4'\text{-bpy})]^+$ , (A), and of the dinuclear complex  $[(\text{bpy})(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}(4,4'\text{-bpy})\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5]^{4+}$ , (B). The emission of the former complex at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 559 \text{ nm}$  ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 350 \text{ nm}$ ) is quenched by a factor of 6.4 in the latter complex, evidencing an electron transfer process in the excited state, as shown in Scheme I.

This possibility has also been addressed by Lin and Guarr in a similar complex [3]. In this case, with a shorter metal-to-metal distance, the quenching of the emission is not complete, as expected from the distance dependence of the reorganization energy.

### Calculations of $H_{AB}$

According to Creutz, Newton and Sutin [4], the electronic coupling element  $H_{AB}$  for intramolecular electron transfer reactions in mixed-valent systems can be calculated by assuming a superexchange mechanism. For a metal-to-metal coupling  $H_{AB} = H_{MM'}$  and can be derived as follows:



SCHEME I

$$H_{MM'} = \frac{H_{ML}H_{M'L}}{2\Delta E_{ML}} \quad (2)$$

where  $H_{ML}$  and  $H_{M'L}$  are the two metal-ligand coupling elements ( $M = \text{Re}$  and  $M' = \text{Ru}$  in this study) and  $\Delta E_{ML}$  can be computed from the energy maxima of the MLCT and MMCT bands through the formula:

$$\frac{1}{\Delta E_{ML}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\Delta E_{MLCT}} + \frac{1}{\Delta E_{MLCT} - \Delta E_{MM'CT}} \right) \quad (3)$$

We neglect in this case LMCT interactions.

On the other hand, we can derive  $H_{AB}$  from spectral data of the mixed-valent species by using Hush formula [5]:

$$H_{AB} = \frac{2.06 \times 10^{-2}}{r} (\varepsilon_{\max} \cdot \Delta \tilde{\nu}_{1/2} \cdot \tilde{\nu}_{\max})^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

where  $H_{AB}$  is the donor-acceptor coupling element,  $r$  is the metal-to-metal distance and  $\varepsilon_{\max}$ ,  $\Delta \nu_{1/2}$  and  $\nu_{\max}$  are the molar absorptivity (in  $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$ ), bandwidth at half-height (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) and energy maximum of the MMCT band (in  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ), respectively.

We can determine  $\Delta E_{ML}$  with Eq. (3) by using spectral data of Meyer *et al.*, on the  $\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{bpy}$  MLCT transition [15], and our own data on the  $\text{Re} \rightarrow \text{Ru}$  MM'CT transition (Tab. I). By introducing this value into Eq. (2), with the previously calculated values of  $H_{ML}$  and  $H_{M'L}$  through Eq. (4), we obtain a ‘‘calculated’’ value of  $H_{M\mu} = 674 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . With the same formula (4), and using our own spectral data, we determine an ‘‘experimental’’ value of  $H_{MM} = 736 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Both values compare very well and thus we infer that the Creutz, Newton and Sutin theoretical treatment is also applicable to asymmetric mixed-valent species, as well as to symmetric systems, such as those studied by Crutchley *et al.* [6].

## CONCLUSIONS

New dinuclear complexes derived from the photosensitizing unit [(bpy)(CO)<sub>3</sub>Re<sup>I</sup>(4,4'-bpy)]<sup>+</sup> have been obtained, using capping [Ru(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>n+</sup> units as electron donor ( $n = 2$ ) or electron acceptor ( $n = 3$ ) relay groups, and characterized by spectroscopic and electrochemical techniques. The new mixed-valent complex, of formula [(bpy)(CO)<sub>3</sub>Re<sup>I</sup>(4,4'-bpy)Ru<sup>III</sup>(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]<sup>4+</sup>, presents a MMCT transition  $\text{Re}^{\text{I}} \rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{III}}$  at  $\lambda_{\max} \approx 480 \text{ nm}$ ; in this species, the high asymmetry between both redox sites ( $\Delta E_{1/2} = 1.40 \text{ eV}$ ) and the

quenching of the emission (by a factor of 6.4) of the  $\text{Re}^{\text{I}}$  chromophore (when compared to the mononuclear Re species) point to the fact that the reverse intramolecular electron transfer  $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}} \rightarrow \text{Re}^{\text{II}}$  falls in the Marcus inverted region. Besides, the value of the electronic coupling element between the donor (Re) and acceptor (Ru),  $H_{AB}$ , as deduced by Hush theory, can be calculated from spectroscopic data of the parent complexes through a theoretical treatment due to Creutz, Newton and Sutin, which seems to work very well for these asymmetric mixed-valent complexes. Thus, this and similar systems [3] can be used as models to test current theories of electron transfer and to help in designing efficient schemes for energy conversion.

### *Acknowledgements*

To CONICET, CIUNT, TWAS, Fundación Antorchas and ANPCyT for financial help. F.F. and N.E.K. are members of the Research Career (CONICET, Argentina).

### *References*

- [1] C. Creutz, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.* **30**, 1 (1983).
- [2] T. J. Meyer, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **22**, 1048 (1989).
- [3] R. Lin and T. G. Guarr, *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **226**, 79 (1994).
- [4] C. Creutz, M. D. Newton and N. Sutin, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem.* **82**, 47 (1994).
- [5] (a) N. S. Hush, *Progr. Inorg. Chem.*, **8**, 391 (1967); (b) N. S. Hush, *Coord Chem. Rev.* **64**, 135 (1985).
- [6] C. E. B. Evans, M. L. Naklicki, A. R. Rezvani, C. A. White, V. V. Kondratiev and R. J. Crutchley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **120**, 13096 (1998).
- [7] V. Balzani and F. Scandola, *Supramolecular Photochemistry* (Ellis Horwood Limited, Great Britain, 1991), p. 54.
- [8] G. Tapolsky, R. Duesing and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **29**, 2285 (1990).
- [9] J. E. Sutton and H. Taube, *Inorg. Chem.* **20**, 3125 (1981).
- [10] K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 4th. edn. (John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1986), p. 291.
- [11] F. Fagalde and N. E. Katz, *Polyhedron* **14**, 1213 (1995).
- [12] R. W. Callahan, G. M. Brown and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **14**, 1443 (1975).
- [13] N. E. Katz, C. Creutz and N. Sutin, *Inorg. Chem.* **27**, 1687 (1988).
- [14] R. A. Marcus and N. Sutin, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **811**, 265 (1985).
- [15] P. Chen, M. Curry and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.* **28**, 2271 (1989).