POPULATION DATA

# Potential forensic use of a 33 X-InDel panel in the Argentinean population

Mariela Caputo<sup>1,2</sup> · M. A. Amador<sup>3</sup> · S. Santos<sup>3</sup> · D. Corach<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 22 April 2016 / Accepted: 3 June 2016 / Published online: 9 June 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

Abstract Polymorphic genetic markers located on the X chromosome might become a complement in particular forensic identification when the biological kinship are deficient. We analyzed forensic statistical parameters of 33 X-chromosome InDel polymorphisms in a sample of 320 individuals from Argentina. The X-chromosome InDel polymorphism (X-InDel) panel was amplified in a single multiplex PCR reaction. Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium was determined in the female cohort, whereas the male cohort was used to calculate linkage disequilibrium (LD) tested by an extension of Fisher's exact test, D', and Chi-square values. Regarding LD, 15 markers were organized and grouped into six blocks containing two or three linked loci each, namely block I (MID356-MID357), block II (MID448804-MID3703-MID218), block III (MID3705-MID3706-MID304737), block IV (MID197147-MID3754), block V (MID3664-MID284601-MID103547), and block VI (MID3763-MID3728). The haplotype diversity was higher than 0.99 in all cases. Blocks III and VI showed the highest match probability in the studied

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00414-016-1399-z) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Mariela Caputo mcaputo@ffyb.uba.ar

<sup>1</sup> Department of Forensic Genetics and DNA Fingerprinting Service, School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Junin 956, 7mo piso, C1113AAD, CABA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>2</sup> Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Tecnológicas (CONICET), Buenos Aires, Argentina

<sup>3</sup> Laboratorio de Genética Humana e Médica, Departamento de Patologia, Universidade Federal do Pará, Belém, Pará, Brazil population, whereas block II showed the lowest. The accumulated power of discrimination was 99.9999991 % in women and 99.9992925 % in men. The mean exclusion chance in trios and duos were 99.9891736 and 99.6099391 %, respectively. Since 15 markers are associated as haplotypic blocks, for a conservative treatment of the data, statistical evaluation should consider their haplotypic frequencies and the remaining 18 markers can be evaluated as independent loci.

**Keywords** X-InDel · Linkage disequilibrium · Forensic analysis · Argentina

## Introduction

Autosomal short tandem repeat (STR) polymorphisms are the gold standard for DNA-based personal and forensic identification due to their high discrimination power, sensitivity, and relatively simple analytical and methodological requirements [1, 2]. Although STRs are the markers of choice, occasionally, the results might be uninformative or inconclusive, particularly in deficient paternity cases or when degraded material is the only DNA source. Hence, complementary analyses such as polymorphisms located on Y [3, 4] and X [5, 6] chromosomes or mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) are frequently required [7, 8]. The transmission pattern of the human X chromosome differs between genders, escaping recombination in males, whereas in females, it occurs at a lower rate than for autosomes leading to higher levels of linkage disequilibrium (LD) [9]. This feature provides a complementary tool for complex paternity cases in which males, whose X chromosome remains in a hemizygous condition [10], display the recombined information from both X elements inherited from their mother.



To increase the knowledge and improve the selection of polymorphic markers, studies involving novel polymorphisms are continually being carried out. Particularly, unique event polymorphisms (UEPs) have received special attention, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and insertion/deletion (InDel) polymorphic variants. SNPs are useful as a complement to STR analysis in human identification cases involving degraded samples or inconclusive results [10, 11]. Moreover, these markers are helpful in inferring ancestry [12, 13], as well as visible external traits [14], even in ancient skeletal remains [10, 15]. Although SNPs are more likely to be amplified given their shorter length, they still exhibit some technical difficulties for routine forensic casework. A variety of platforms have been proposed for SNP analysis, i.e., microarrays [16], mini-sequencing SNaPShot® (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA) [17], and TaqMan assays (Applied Biosystems) [18], however, being more labor- and resource-intensive than STR typing approaches.

Almost two million InDels ranging from 1 to 10,000 bp [19] have been identified and extensively characterized by wet-lab [20] or in silico strategies [21]. They are widely distributed throughout the genome and derived from single mutation events which occur at a very low frequency. Moreover, some of them might be informative as ancestry markers since they may display significant allele frequency differences among geographically separated populations, whereas others might be a complement in human identification tests [22–25].

Polymorphic genetic markers located on the X chromosome might complement forensic identification cases, particularly in deficient paternity cases. Aiming to evaluate their performance, we analyzed the genetic features of a panel of 33 X-chromosome InDel polymorphisms (X-InDels) including allele distribution, genetic variability, LD, and forensic statistical parameters in a representative sample from the Argentinean population.

#### Subjects, materials, and methodology

#### **Donors and sample collection**

Unrelated voluntary donor samples (200 males and 120 females) were collected at the Department of Forensic Genetics and DNA Fingerprinting Service, School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Buenos Aires, Argentina, during the period 2009–2012. All donors participated in paternity testing and signed written consent statements, approved by the Ethical Committee of the School of Pharmacy and Biochemistry. However, samples were treated anonymously during the study. Sample size was chosen as an approximation to the relative population density of each region to the entire Argentinean population (National Institute of Statistics and Censuses, INDEC 2010 www.indec.mecon. ar) attaining a similar representation of the Argentinean population density. Three major regions were considered for sampling, including Central region (N = 209), North-Eastern region (N = 53), and Southern region (N = 58).

### DNA extraction and quantification

Blood samples were obtained by finger puncture and spotted onto Whatman 3MM paper (Merck, Lutterworth, UK). DNA extraction was performed with a semi-automated extraction method (Maxwell®16 System, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions.

All DNA extracts were quantified by real-time PCR in a Rotor Gene 6000 equipment (Corbett, Sydney, Australia) using Plexor HY® kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### **PCR** amplification

The analyzed panel included 33 X-InDels, namely MID218, MID1445, MID3703, MID184, MID357, MID448804, MID3774, MID3780, MID1326, MID3763, MID193, MID3728, MID2610, MID3705, MID2652, MID3706, MID2657, MID1540, MID3692, MID304737, MID2694, MID2600, MID358, MID1705, MID3756, MID19147, MID356, MID3764, MID284601, MID2047, MID103547, and MID3712 (Figure S1). Localization, rs numbers, and primer sequences of the different markers were previously described in supplementary data by Freitas et al. [26].

#### Statistical analysis

Allele distribution and statistical parameters of forensic relevance, such as expected heterozygosity (HET), power of discrimination in females (PD<sub>F</sub>) and power of discrimination in males (PD<sub>M</sub>), were calculated with an online software ChrX-STR.org 2.0 Calculator (http://www.chrx-str. org/) [27]. The mean exclusion chance of X-chromosomal markers in trios involving daughters (MEC<sub>TRIOS</sub>) and father/daughter duos lacking the maternal genotype information (MEC<sub>DUOS</sub>) were calculated according to Desmarais et al. [28]. Since allele frequencies in males and females showed no significant statistical difference, both datasets were combined for forensic parameter calculation.

Arlequin v3.5 software was used to test Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and LD [29]. HWE was evaluated in females, whereas LD was tested by an extension of Fisher's exact test on contingency tables, D', and Chi-square values from male haplotype counts. Alternatively, a likelihood-ratio test for the obtained haplotypes was performed on female genotypic data. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Diversity parameters including dw<sub>min</sub> (minimum diversity within the population) and

 $mw_{max}$  (maximum match probability within the population) were calculated according to Brinkmann et al. [30].

## Results

For the 33 X-InDel markers, allele distribution, statistical parameters of forensic relevance, and LD test were performed in a sample of the Argentinean population. The majority of the samples showed different combinations of the insertion allele. In contrast, combinations of deletion alleles occurred at significantly lower ratios. No deviation from HWE was detected among the 33 markers included in the panel.

Regarding LD, 15 markers were organized in haplotypic blocks and tested accordingly [26]. LD was considered positive when  $D' \ge 0.8$ , a measure of recombination patterns, and the linkage Chi-square test, in men, was significant [31]. The likelihood-ratio test in women was also statistically significant [32]. We could identify six blocks containing two or three linked loci, namely block I (MID356-MID357), block II (MID448804-MID3703-MID218), block III (MID3705MID3706-MID304737), block IV (MID197147-MID3754), block V (MID3664-MID284601-MID103547), and block VI (MID3763-MID3728). The observed frequencies for six haplotypic blocks in the Argentinean population are shown in Table S1.

The statistical parameters were calculated using single locus information (18 systems with independent segregation), whereas markers in LD were treated as haplotypes. The mean heterozygosity of the 33 X-InDel panel was 0.36, including 15/24 higher than 0.3. The accumulated power of discrimination was higher in females (99.9999992 %) than in males (99.9992925 %). The mean exclusion chance in trios and duos were 99.9891736 and 99.6099391 %, respectively (Table 1).

Haplotype diversity parameters  $dw_{min}$  and  $mw_{max}$  (match probability within the population) are indicated in Table S1. The haplotype diversity was higher than 0.99 in all cases. Block II showed the lowest  $mw_{max}$ , probably as a consequence of haplotype frequency distribution, whereas blocks III and VI showed the highest  $mw_{max}$  since over 90 % of the samples exhibited the same haplotype (Table S1).

Table 1Analysis of forensicstatistical parameters of 33 X-InDel markers includingdisequilibrium linkage blocks

|             | D allele | PIC    | HET    | $PD_F$     | PD <sub>M</sub> | MECTRIOS   | MEC <sub>DUOS</sub> |
|-------------|----------|--------|--------|------------|-----------------|------------|---------------------|
| Block I     | ·        | 0.4428 | 0.5408 | 0.6912     | 0.5408          | 0.4428     | 0.3066              |
| Block II    |          | 0.6794 | 0.7258 | 0.8784     | 0.7258          | 0.6794     | 0.5381              |
| Block III   |          | 0.1568 | 0.1625 | 0.2929     | 0.1625          | 0.1568     | 0.0869              |
| Block IV    |          | 0.5213 | 0.6044 | 0.7604     | 0.6044          | 0.5213     | 0.3775              |
| Block V     |          | 0.5202 | 0.5999 | 0.7602     | 0.5999          | 0.5202     | 0.3780              |
| Block VI    |          | 0.1402 | 0.1441 | 0.2635     | 0.1441          | 0.1402     | 0.0769              |
| MID1445     | 0.8815   | 0.1865 | 0.2082 | 0.3513     | 0.2082          | 0.1865     | 0.1041              |
| MID184      | 0.2369   | 0.3063 | 0.3663 | 0.5384     | 0.3663          | 0.3063     | 0.1885              |
| MID3774     | 0.4279   | 0.3698 | 0.4896 | 0.6197     | 0.4896          | 0.3698     | 0.2448              |
| MID3780     | 0.1503   | 0.2225 | 0.2550 | 0.4125     | 0.2550          | 0.2225     | 0.1275              |
| MID1326     | 0.6059   | 0.3635 | 0.4775 | 0.6130     | 0.4775          | 0.3635     | 0.2387              |
| MID193      | 0.4932   | 0.3750 | 0.4999 | 0.6250     | 0.4999          | 0.3750     | 0.2500              |
| MID358      | 0.7922   | 0.2752 | 0.3295 | 0.4961     | 0.3295          | 0.2752     | 0.1647              |
| MID2610     | 0.0433   | 0.0789 | 0.0823 | 0.1544     | 0.0823          | 0.0789     | 0.0412              |
| MID2652     | 0.7057   | 0.3290 | 0.4151 | 0.5718     | 0.4151          | 0.3290     | 0.2076              |
| MID2657     | 0.0114   | 0.0215 | 0.0218 | 0.0428     | 0.0218          | 0.0215     | 0.0109              |
| MID1540     | 0.4394   | 0.3713 | 0.4926 | 0.6212     | 0.4926          | 0.3713     | 0.2463              |
| MID3692     | 0.1048   | 0.1703 | 0.1880 | 0.3229     | 0.1880          | 0.1703     | 0.0940              |
| MID2694     | 0.0502   | 0.0905 | 0.0950 | 0.1765     | 0.0950          | 0.0905     | 0.0475              |
| MID2600     | 0.0416   | 0.0772 | 0.0805 | 0.1512     | 0.0805          | 0.0772     | 0.0402              |
| MID1705     | 0.3157   | 0.3389 | 0.4323 | 0.5843     | 0.4323          | 0.3389     | 0.2161              |
| MID3756     | 0.4487   | 0.3724 | 0.4948 | 0.6224     | 0.4948          | 0.3724     | 0.2474              |
| MID2047     | 0.5023   | 0.3750 | 0.5000 | 0.6250     | 0.5000          | 0.3750     | 0.2500              |
| MID3712     | 0.3075   | 0.3354 | 0.4263 | 0.5800     | 0.4263          | 0.3354     | 0.2131              |
| Accumulated |          |        |        | 99.9999992 | 99.9992925      | 99.9891736 | 99.6099391          |

*HET* expected heterozygosity,  $PD_F$  power of discrimination in females,  $PD_M$  power of discrimination in males,  $MEC_{TRIOS}$  mean exclusion chance of X-chromosomal markers in trios involving daughters,  $MEC_{DUO}$  mean exclusion chance of X-chromosomal markers in father/daughter duos

## Discussion

It is well known that increasing the number of polymorphic markers suitable for DNA-based forensic identification improves the well-established STR-based human identification analytical platform [33]. Prior to their incorporation, it is mandatory to characterize these markers completely by testing them in the potential population in which they will be used. Therefore, the aim of this work was to evaluate the potential forensic suitability of additional genetic markers to be included in the routine forensic casework toolbox.

Regardless of LD, the results obtained with the X-InDel panel for accumulated power of discrimination in males and females, as well as the mean exclusion chances in duos and trios, were slightly lower than other studied InDels [26, 34, 35], X-STR [6, 36, 37], and X-SNPs [38, 39], but comparable with the X-chromosomal 21-InDel panel proposed by Edelman et al. [40].

Our results for blocks I, III, and V are in accordance with previously published reports. In the Argentinean population, MID356 and MID357 markers (block I) showed to be linked, which is in agreement with previous reports for Somalian and Iraqi [41], Colombian [42], Brazilian Amazon [26], Portugal, Angola, Mozambique, Macau [35], German, and Baltic [40] populations due to their extreme proximity on the X chromosome (5.2 kb apart). A similar picture is observed for block III where MID3705, MID3706, and MID304737 are 0.07 centiMorgan (cM) apart. It was observed that those markers were in LD in the Brazilian Amazon population of Belem [26], European, African, and Northern and Southern Brazilian [43] populations. Regarding block V (MID3664-MID284601-MID103547), studies performed in the Brazilian Amazon population of Belem are in concordance with those obtained for this linkage block. Nonetheless, our results for blocks II and VI slightly differ when compared with previously published data. Within block II (MID448804-MID3703-MID218), LD was detected in European, African, and admixed populations from Northern and Southern Brazil for MID3703, MID218, plus MID3774 marker but not linked to MID448804 [43]. Furthermore, they found the MID448804 marker linked to MID 3780 in African, Native American, and admixed populations from Northern and Southern Brazil but not among the Europeans [43]. A similar scenario was observed in the population of Belem where the linkage between MID3780, MID448804, MID218, and MID3774 was previously described [26]. This discrepancy could be explained by (a) the different parental genetic migration rates that occurred in Brazilian Amazon and Argentinean populations and (b) possible admixture that took place in a period not long enough to detect the linkage. In the case of block VI (MID3728 and MID3763), the same were obtained by Freitas et al. for the population of Belem [26]. Moreover, Resque et al. detected this linkage block plus MID3728 in European, African, and admixed populations from Northern and Southern Brazil [43]. However, the latest criterion for determining linkage was D' > 0.65, which is less stringent than that applied in our study. Further studies involving different and larger sample sets need to be carried out to understand better the LD patterns in different population groups. Finally, no previous studies have reported on block IV (MID197147-MID3754).

By analyzing the forensic parameters, at least five markers (5/18) and blocks III and VI presented expected heterozygosity values below 0.2, indicating that these markers would not be informative for forensic analysis, at least, in the Argentinean population (Table 1). The markers MID2600, MID2610, MID2694, MID3692, and MID2657 were associated with African ancestry [44]. Since the African population, or African descendants, are not numerically well represented in Argentina [45], the inclusion of these markers for forensic purposes could be discarded.

To our knowledge, this is the first X-chromosome InDel study in the Argentinean population. The analysis of forensic parameters showed that the values obtained for  $PD_F$ ,  $PD_M$ ,  $MEC_T$ , and  $MEC_D$  for this panel were slightly lower than those of other InDels [26, 34, 35, 41] or STR panels [6]. Nevertheless, due to the low mutation rates of InDels, this panel could be used as a complement in cases displaying few microsatellite transmission incompatibilities. However, to prevent data misinterpretation, careful consideration should be taken when markers in LD are used [46, 47]. Further studies testing these markers' potential use for ancestry analysis are ongoing.

Acknowledgments Daniel Corach and Mariela Caputo are members of Carrera del Investigador Científico CONICET (National Scientific and Technical Research Council). This work was supported, in part, by grants PIP 112-200801-02836 (CONICET) and 20020100100744 (UBACyT) to Daniel Corach. The funding agencies had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## References

- Chakraborty R, Stivers DN, Su B, Zhong Y, Budowle B (1999) The utility of short tandem repeat loci beyond human identification: implications for development of new DNA typing systems. Electrophoresis 20(8):1682–1696
- Butler JM (2011) In: Advanced topics in Forensic DNA typing: methodology. 3er Edition. edn., pp 324–328
- 3. Jobling MA, Tyler-Smith C (2003) The human Y chromosome: an evolutionary marker comes of age. Nat Rev Genet 4(8):598–612
- 4. Roewer L, Krawczak M, Willuweit S, Nagy M, Alves C, Amorim A, Anslinger K, Augustin C, Betz A, Bosch E, Caglia A, Carracedo A, Corach D, Dekairelle AF, Dobosz T, Dupuy BM, Furedi S, Gehrig C, Gusmao L, Henke J, Henke L, Hidding M, Hohoff C, Hoste B, Jobling MA, Kargel HJ, de Knijff P, Lessig R, Liebeherr E, Lorente M, Martinez-Jarreta B, Nievas P, Nowak M, Parson W, Pascali VL, Penacino G, Ploski R, Rolf B, Sala A, Schmidt U, Schmitt C, Schneider PM, Szibor R, Teifel-Greding J, Kayser M (2001) Online

reference database of European Y-chromosomal short tandem repeat (STR) haplotypes. Forensic Sci Int 118(2-3):106-113

- Szibor R, Hering S, Kuhlisch E, Plate I, Demberger S, Krawczak M, Edelmann J (2005) Haplotyping of STR cluster DXS6801-DXS6809-DXS6789 on Xq21 provides a powerful tool for kinship testing. Int J Legal Med 119(6):363-369
- Gusmao L, Sanchez-Diz P, Alves C, Gomes I, Zarrabeitia MT, Abovich M, Atmetlla I, Bobillo C, Bravo L, Builes J, Caine L, Calvo R, Carvalho E, Carvalho M, Cicarelli R, Catelli L, Corach D, Espinoza M, Garcia O, Malaghini M, Martins J, Pinheiro F, Joao Porto M, Raimondi E, Riancho JA, Rodriguez A, Rodriguez A, Rodriguez Cardozo B, Schneider V, Silva S, Tavares C, Toscanini U. Vullo C. Whittle M. Yurrebaso I. Carracedo A. Amorim A (2009) A GEP-ISFG collaborative study on the optimization of an X-STR decaplex: data on 15 Iberian and Latin American populations. Int J Legal Med 123(3):227-234
- Anderson S, Bankier AT, Barrell BG, de Bruijn MH, Coulson AR, 7. Drouin J, Eperon IC, Nierlich DP, Roe BA, Sanger F, Schreier PH, Smith AJ, Staden R, Young IG (1981) Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. Nature 290(5806):457-465
- Parson W, Dur A (2007) EMPOP-a forensic mtDNA database. 8. Forensic Sci Int Genet 1(2):88–92
- Schaffner SF (2004) The X chromosome in population genetics. 9. Nat Rev Genet 5(1):43-51
- Budowle B, van Daal A (2008) Forensically relevant SNP classes. 10. Biotechniques 44(5):603-608, 610
- Fondevila M, Phillips C, Santos C, Pereira R, Gusmão L, Carracedo 11. A, Butler JM, Lareu MV, Vallone PM (2012) Forensic performance of two insertion-deletion marker assays. Int J Legal Med 126(5): 725-737. doi:10.1007/s00414-012-0721-7
- 12. Rosenberg NA, Li LM, Ward R, Pritchard JK (2003) Informativeness of genetic markers for inference of ancestry. Am J Hum Genet 73(6):1402-1422
- 13 Lao O, van Duijn K, Kersbergen P, de Knijff P, Kayser M (2006) Proportioning whole-genome single-nucleotide-polymorphism diversity for the identification of geographic population structure and genetic ancestry. Am J Hum Genet 78(4):680-690
- Walsh S, Liu F, Wollstein A, Kovatsi L, Ralf A, Kosiniak-Kamysz A, Branicki W, Kayser M (2013) The HIrisPlex system for simultaneous prediction of hair and eye colour from DNA. Forensic Sci Int Genet 7(1):98-115
- Draus-Barini J, Walsh S, Pospiech E, Kupiec T, Glab H, Branicki 15 W, Kayser M (2013) Bona fide colour: DNA prediction of human eye and hair colour from ancient and contemporary skeletal remains. Investig Genet 4(1):3
- Divne AM, Allen M (2005) A DNA microarray system for forensic 16. SNP analysis. Forensic Sci Int 154(2-3):111-121
- 17. Lao O, Vallone PM, Coble MD, Diegoli TM, van Oven M, van der Gaag KJ, Pijpe J, de Knijff P, Kayser M (2010) Evaluating self-declared ancestry of U.S. Americans with autosomal, Y-chromosomal and mitochondrial DNA. Hum Mutat 31(12):E1875-1893
- 18. LifeTechnologies Ab (2011) TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays. Accessed http://ptr.pharmacy.ufl.edu/files/2013/01/TaqMan.pdf
- Mills RE, Pittard WS, Mullaney JM, Farooq U, Creasy TH, 19. Mahurkar AA, Kemeza DM, Strassler DS, Ponting CP, Webber C, Devine SE (2011) Natural genetic variation caused by small insertions and deletions in the human genome. Genome Res 21(6):830-839
- 20. Weber JL, David D, Heil J, Fan Y, Zhao C, Marth G (2002) Human diallelic insertion/deletion polymorphisms. Am J Hum Genet 71(4): 854-862
- Mills RE, Luttig CT, Larkins CE, Beauchamp A, Tsui C, Pittard 21. WS, Devine SE (2006) An initial map of insertion and deletion (INDEL) variation in the human genome. Genome Res 16(9): 1182-1190

- Phillips C, García-Magariños M, Salas A, Carracedo A, Lareu MV
- 22. (2012) SNPs as supplements in simple kinship analysis or as core markers in distant pairwise relationship tests: when do SNPs add value or replace well-established and powerful STR tests? Transfus Med Hemother 39(3):202-210
- 23 Santos NP, Ribeiro-Rodrigues EM, Ribeiro-Dos-Santos AK, Pereira R, Gusmao L, Amorim A, Guerreiro JF, Zago MA, Matte C, Hutz MH, Santos SE (2009) Assessing individual interethnic admixture and population substructure using a 48-insertiondeletion (INSEL) ancestry-informative marker (AIM) panel. Hum Mutat 31(2):184-190
- 24. da Costa Francez PA, Rodrigues EM, de Velasco AM, dos Santos SE (2012) Insertion-deletion polymorphisms-utilization on forensic analysis. Int J Legal Med 126(4):491-496
- 25. Manta F, Caiafa A, Pereira R, Silva D, Amorim A, Carvalho EF, Gusmao L (2012) Indel markers: genetic diversity of 38 polymorphisms in Brazilian populations and application in a paternity investigation with post mortem material. Forensic Sci Int Genet 6(5): 658-661
- 26. Freitas NS, Resque RL, Ribeiro-Rodrigues EM, Guerreiro JF, Santos NP, Ribeiro-dos-Santos A, Santos S (2010) X-linked insertion/deletion polymorphisms: forensic applications of a 33markers panel. Int J Legal Med 124(6):589-593
- Szibor R, Hering S, Edelmann J (2006) A new web site compiling 27. forensic chromosome X research is now online. Int J Legal Med 120(4):252-254
- 28. Desmarais D, Zhong Y, Chakraborty R, Perreault C, Busque L (1998) Development of a highly polymorphic STR marker for identity testing purposes at the human androgen receptor gene (HUMARA). J Forensic Sci 43(5):1046-1049
- Excoffier LLG, Scheneider S (2005) Arlequin ver. 3.5: an integrat-29. ed software package for population genetics data analysis. Evol Bioinformatics Online 1:47-50
- 30. Brinkmann C, Forster P, Schurenkamp M, Horst J, Rolf B, Brinkmann B (1999) Human Y-chromosomal STR haplotypes in a Kurdish population sample. Int J Legal Med 112(3):181-183
- Hartl D, Clark A (2007) Organization of genetic variation. In: 31. Principles of Population Genetics. Fourth edn. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA USA
- 32 Remington DL, Thornsberry JM, Matsuoka Y, Wilson LM, Whitt SR, Doebley J, Kresovich S, Goodman MM, Buckler ES (2001) Structure of linkage disequilibrium and phenotypic associations in the maize genome. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98(20):11479-11484
- 33. Gomes C, Magalhaes M, Alves C, Amorim A, Pinto N, Gusmao L (2012) Comparative evaluation of alternative batteries of genetic markers to complement autosomal STRs in kinship investigations: autosomal indels vs. X-chromosome STRs. Int J Legal Med 126(6): 917-921. doi:10.1007/s00414-012-0768-5
- 34. Fan G, Ye Y, Luo H, Hou Y (2015) Use of multi-InDels as novel markers to analyze 13 X-chromosome haplotype loci for forensic purposes. Electrophoresis 36:2931-2938. doi:10.1002/elps. 201500159
- Pereira R, Pereira V, Gomes I, Tomas C, Morling N, Amorim A, 35. Prata MJ, Carracedo A, Gusmao L (2012) A method for the analysis of 32 X chromosome insertion deletion polymorphisms in a single PCR. Int J Legal Med 126(1):97-105
- Gomes I, Prinz M, Pereira R, Meyers C, Mikulasovich RS, Amorim 36. A, Carracedo A, Gusmao L (2007) Genetic analysis of three US population groups using an X-chromosomal STR decaplex. Int J Legal Med 121(3):198-203
- 37. Becker D, Rodig H, Augustin C, Edelmann J, Gotz F, Hering S, Szibor R, Brabetz W (2008) Population genetic evaluation of eight X-chromosomal short tandem repeat loci using Mentype Argus X-8 PCR amplification kit. Forensic Sci Int Genet 2(1):69-74

- Tomas C, Sanchez JJ, Castro JA, Borsting C, Morling N (2010) Forensic usefulness of a 25 X-chromosome single-nucleotide polymorphism marker set. Transfusion 50(10):2258–2265
- 39. Tomas C, Sanchez JJ, Barbaro A, Brandt-Casadevall C, Hernandez A, Ben Dhiab M, Ramon M, Morling N (2008) X-chromosome SNP analyses in 11 human Mediterranean populations show a high overall genetic homogeneity except in North-west Africans (Moroccans). BMC Evol Biol 8:75
- Edelmann J, Kohl M, Dressler J, Hoffmann A (2016) X-chromosomal 21-indel marker panel in German and Baltic populations. Int J Legal Med 130(2):357–360. doi:10.1007/s00414-015-1221-3
- Pereira VME, Diez IE, Tomas C, Amorim A, Morling N, Gusmao L, Prata MJ (2011) Genetic characterization of Somali and Iraqi populations using a set of 33 X-chromosome Indels. Forensic Sci Int: Genet Suppl Ser 3:e137–e138
- 42. Ibarra A, Restrepo T, Rojas W, Castillo A, Amorim A, Martinez B, Burgos G, Ostos H, Alvarez K, Camacho M, Suarez Z, Pereira R, Gusmao L (2014) Evaluating the X chromosome-specific diversity of Colombian populations using insertion/deletion polymorphisms. PLoS One 9(1), e87202. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0087202

- Resque RL, Freitas Ndo S, Rodrigues EM, Guerreiro JF, Santos NP, Ribeiro dos Santos A, Zago MA, Santos S (2010) Estimates of interethnic admixture in the Brazilian population using a panel of 24 X-linked insertion/deletion markers. Am J Hum Biol 22(6): 849–852
- 44. Ribeiro-Rodrigues EM, dos Santos NP, dos Santos AK, Pereira R, Amorim A, Gusmao L, Zago MA, dos Santos SE (2009) Assessing interethnic admixture using an X-linked insertion-deletion multiplex. Am J Hum Biol 21(5):707–709
- 45. Corach D, Lao O, Bobillo C, van Der Gaag K, Zuniga S, Vermeulen M, van Duijn K, Goedbloed M, Vallone PM, Parson W, de Knijff P, Kayser M (2010) Inferring continental ancestry of Argentineans from autosomal, Y-chromosomal and mitochondrial DNA. Ann Hum Genet 74(1):65–76
- Pereira RPC, Alves C, Amorin A, Carracedo A, Gusmao L (2009) Insertion/deletion polymorphisms: a multiplex assay and forensic applications. Forensic Sci Int: Genet Suppl Ser 2(1):513–515
- 47. Phillips C, Fondevila M, Garcia-Magarinos M, Rodriguez A, Salas A, Carracedo A, Lareu MV (2008) Resolving relationship tests that show ambiguous STR results using autosomal SNPs as supplementary markers. Forensic Sci Int Genet 2(3):198–204