IDENTIFICATION AND QUANTIFICATION OF THE INTERACTION MECHANISMS BETWEEN THE CATIONIC SURFACTANT HDTMA-Br AND MONTMORILLONITE

Pablo M. Naranjo^{1,*}, Edgardo L. Sham^{1,2}, Enrique Rodríguez Castellón³, Rosa M. Torres Sánchez⁴, and Elsa M. Farfán Torres^{1,5}

¹ INIQUI (Instituto de Investigaciones para la Industria Química) – Av. Bolivia 5150 (A4408FVY) Salta, Argentina ² Fac. Ingeniería, Universidad Nacional de Salta, Av. Bolivia 5150 (A4408FVY) Salta, Argentina

³ Fac. Ciencias, Campus de Teatinos, Universidad de Málaga, Boulevar Louis Pasteur 29010, Málaga, España
 ⁴ CETMIC (Centro de Tecnología en Minerales y Cerámica) – Camino Centenario y 506 CC (49) (B1897ZCA) M. B. Gonnet,

Argentina

⁵ Fac. Cs. Exactas, Universidad Nacional de Salta, Av. Bolivia 5150 (A4408FVY) Salta, Argentina

Abstract-Several detailed studies have been done on the characterization of organoclays and the type of structures developed when they interact with alkylammonium molecules. Few published contributions exist, however, on the distribution of surfactant within the organoclays and the mechanism by which they are intercalated. Also, although X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is a suitable technique for the study of the surface characteristics of organoclays, very few such XPS studies have been carried out. With the aim of contributing to a better understanding of the intercalation process, a series of organoclays was synthesized using a montmorillonite and the cationic surfactant hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide (HDTMABr), with an increasing surfactant load of between 0.2 and 4.0 times the cation exchange capacity of the starting clay. By means of XPS, zeta potential, and thermal analysis techniques, distinguishing the strongly interacting fraction from the weakly interaction fraction of the adsorbed surfactant molecules was possible. Adsorption isotherms of each of these processes were constructed and then adjusted using the Langmuir and Dubinin-Radusquevich adsorption models. Three types of interaction between the surfactant and the clay were identified and described qualitatively and quantitatively. Two of these interactions, strong and weak, involved the hexadecyltrimethylammonium cation (HDTMA⁺). The third was a weak interaction involving the ion pair HDTMA⁺Br⁻. The results of this study may be useful for the comprehensive design of organoclays with specific physicochemical properties according to the application for which they are destined.

33

1 2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Key Words—Alkylammonium molecules, Cationic surfactant HDTMA-Br, Montmorillonite.

INTRODUCTION

Smectites are layered aluminosilicates generally arranged in groups of different numbers of layers. The layers have an excess negative charge in their structure. Cations which compensate these charges are located in the interlayer space, are referred to as 'interlaminar cations', and can be exchanged, either for organic or inorganic cations.

Organically modified clays, also known as organo-41 clays or nanoclays, can be used in a number of ways, e.g. 42 in catalytic processes, as rheological control agents in 43 paintings and lubricants; to reinforce the matrix of 44 polymers and plastics; as adsorbents for effluent 45 treatments, in oil spills, releasing active matrix, etc. 46 (Azejjel et al., 2009; Carrado, 2000; de Paiva et al., 47 48 2008).

The use of thermal analysis techniques for the study
of montmorillonitic clays is well established.
Thermoanalytical studies of organo-modified clays

- 52
- 53
- 54

- 56 pnaranjo@unsa.edu.ar
- 57 DOI: 10.1346/CCMN.2013.0610208

have demonstrated the applicability of differential 33 thermal analysis-thermogravimetry (DTA-TG) and dif- 34 ferential scanning calorimetry (DSC) for differentiating 35 between adsorbed and free organic matter, and also 36 between ionic and molecular adsorption (Xi *et al.*, 2005; 37 He *et al.*, 2005, 2006; Yariv *et al.*, 2012). 38

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy is a powerful 39 technique for studying the surface characteristics of 40 various materials, including clay minerals and related 41 products. Unfortunately, to date, very few XPS studies 42 of organoclays have been published, even thoughXPS 43 provides valuable information about the distribution of 44 surfactants within organoclays (He *et al.*, 2007). 45

Some of the studies published have described more 46 than one type of interaction between the surfactants and 47 the surface. When dealing with cationic surfactants, 48 cationic exchange is reported as the most important 49 mechanism of adsorption (Bilgiç, 2005). This type of 50 adsorption is related to an average energy of adsorption 51 of between 9 and 16 kJ/mol (Donat *et al.*, 2005). Another 52 reported mechanism of adsorption is interaction through 53 Van der Waals forces. This interaction is weaker than 54 cation exchange adsorption, presenting average adsorption energies in the range 1-8 kJ/mol. Surfactant 56 molecules can be adsorbed by the latter mechanism 57

⁵⁵ * E-mail address of corresponding author:

either as cations or as ion pairs with the respective 1 counterion (Tahani et al., 1999). 2

To the authors' knowledge no work has been reported 3 that quantifies the fractions of surfactant adsorbed by the 4 different types of interaction. 5

The aim of the present study was to assess, qualitatively and quantitatively, different mechanisms of interaction between a HDTMA-Br type cationic 9 surfactant and the surface of a montmorillonite-type clay mineral, and to propose the mechanisms involved in 10 11 these processes.

12 13

14

22

6

7

8

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials 15

Natural Castiglione clay, which consists mainly of 16 montmorillonite and has a cation exchange capacity 17 (CEC) of 83.7 mmol/100 g, together with hexadecyl-18 trimethylammonium bromide (HDTMA-Br), provided 19 by Merck, were used as starting materials. All solutions 20 were prepared using deionized water (DI-H₂O) 21

Preparation of organoclays 23

Organoclays with surfactant loading (SL) of between 24 0.2 and 4.0 times the CEC were synthesized. The 25 synthesis was carried out in batch, putting 50 mL of a 26 suspension of ~5% w/v of the starting clay in contact with 27 different volumes of 5×10^{-3} M solution of HDTMA-Br, 28 in a final volume of 500 mL. This suspension was kept 29 under shaking for 2 h at room temperature. The solid 30 31 fraction (organoclay) was separated by centrifugation and washed with DI-H₂O until the total elimination of Br⁻. 32 Organoclays were dried at 60°C, milled in an agate mortar 33 and stored in a desiccator for further characterization, 34 designating each of them as Bent followed by SL 35 (Bent-0.4, Bent-0.8, etc.). 36

37 Characterization of the samples 38

The intercalation process was analyzed by measuring 39 the concentration of the Br⁻ remaining in the solution 40 after the intercalation, which was achieved by precipita-41 tion titration with a standard solution of 0.01 M AgNO₃ 42 and 5% w/v K₂CrO₄ solution as indicator. At the same 43 time, the amount of Na⁺ exchanged in the solution was 44 quantified by atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) 45 with flame ionization. 46

Thermogravimetric analyses were performed using a 47 48 Rigaku TAS 1100 instrument at temperatures ranging from room temperature to 1200°C, at a heating rate of 49 20°C/min using 20 mg of sample, in a static air 50 51 atmosphere.

52 The starting clay mineral, solid surfactant, and organoclays with SL of 0.4, 0.8, 2.0, and 4.0 were 53 analyzed by XPS, using an ESCA 5700 instrument from 54 'Physical Electronic' (University of Málaga, Málaga, 55 Spain), with MgK α and AlK α radiation (hv = 1253.6 and 56 1486.6 eV, respectively), using a hemispheric electron 57

analyser. Binding energies (BE) were determined with an accuracy of ± 0.1 eV. Charge referencing was 2 measured against adventitious carbon (C1s = 3284.8 eV). The residual pressure in the analysis chamber 4 was maintained below 10^{-7} Pa during all measurements. 5

Zeta potential (ζ) measurements of the starting clay 6 and the organoclay Bent 0.8 were performed in a Z-7 Meter 3.0 instrument from NNN (National University of 8 Salta, Salta, Argentina), using suspensions of samples of 9 0.005% w/v in 0.01 M NaNO3. 10

Adsorption isotherms were adjusted using the 11 Langmuir equation. 12

$$q_{\rm e} = q_{\rm max} * K_{\rm L} * C_{\rm e} / (1 + K_{\rm L} * C_{\rm e})$$
(1) ¹³
₁₄

where q_e is the amount of solute adsorbed per unit of 15 solid mass (mol/g) for an equilibrium concentration 16 $C_{\rm e}$ (mol/L); $q_{\rm max}$ is the maximum amount adsorbed in 17 the surface (mol/g), and K_L is the Langmuir constant 18 (L/mol). From the Langmuir constant, a parameter, r, 19 referred to as a "dimensionless equilibrium parameter" 20 can be calculated (Mahramanlioglu et al., 2002). A value 21 of r > 1 indicates favorable adsorption, while r < 1 22 indicates an unfavorable adsorption. This parameter was 23 calculated as follows: 24

$$r = 1/(1 + K_L * C_0)$$
 (2) $\frac{25}{26}$

where C_0 is the value of the initial concentration of one 27 of the isotherm points. 28

These data were also adjusted using the Dubinin-29 Raduskevich equation: 30

$$q_{\rm e} = q_{\rm max} \exp(-\beta \varepsilon^2) \tag{3}$$

where ε is the Polanyi potential:

$$\varepsilon = \mathbf{R}T \ln(1 - 1/C_{\rm e}) \tag{4} \quad \frac{34}{35}$$

33

47

48

49

 $q_{\rm e}, q_{\rm max}$, and $C_{\rm e}$ have the same meanings as in the 36 previous equation and β (mol²/J²) is a constant related to 37 the average adsorption free energy (E, J/mol): 38

$$E = - (2\beta)^{(-1/2)} \tag{5} \frac{39}{40}$$

This last isotherm is more general than the Langmuir 41 isotherm because it assumes neither homogeneity of 42 surface adsorption sites nor constancy of adsorption 43 potential (Ackay et al., 2006). The magnitude of 44 adsorption energy can give an indication of the type of 45 adsorption which occurred. 46

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previous results showed that surfactant is adsorbed by 50 the Bent clay in at least two kinds of different sites 51 indicated by the different thermal stabilities of surfactant 52 molecules. 53

From characterization results and molecular model- 54 ing calculations, a mechanism of adsorption was 55 proposed. Surfactant molecules were initially adsorbed 56 in sites located in the interlayer space of the clay through 57

18



Figure 1. Sorption isotherms of bromide in montmorillonite.

cation exchange. In these kinds of sites, the adsorption 18 was carried out until the interlayer space was saturated. 19 Depending on the surfactant loading anchored in the 20 intercalation process, different kinds of organization of 21 the interlayer were obtained, varying from monolayer in 22 Bent-0.4 to bilayer/pseudo-trilayer in Bent-3.0. 23

Further adsorption of surfactant occurred in the 24 mesopores generated by tactoids ordered in a 'house-25 of-cards' type structure. This process left surfactant 26 molecules relatively free, outside the interlayer space. 27

Quantification of Br^{-} and Na^{+} in supernatant solution 29 of adsorption isotherms 30

The Br- anion is present during the adsorption 31 process as a counterion of the cationic surfactant. 32 Chemical analysis, performed after alkylammonium 33 adsorption, showed that in the case of organoclays 34 with SL up to ~ 1.0 CEC, all the initial Br⁻ stayed in the 35 solution. For SL values >1.0 CEC, a fraction of Br⁻ was 36 adsorbed in the solid. 37

The sorption isotherm of Br⁻ (Figure 1) coincided 1 with the S-type isotherm described by Giles et al. 2 (1974), indicating that it is a system with low affinity of 3adsorbate for adsorbent. This is concurrent with the 4 existing electrostatic repulsion between the structural 5 negative charges of the layer and the bromide anion. 6 When the SL is >1.0 CEC (Figure 1), the negative charge 7 is compensated by an excess of cationic surfactant, and 8 the process of Br⁻ sorption begins. A similar phenom- 9 enon was observed by Su *et al.* (2012) for NO_3^- 10 adsorption in reversed-charge smectites. 11

The amount of Na^+ released from the clay, as a result 12 of cationic exchange with the surfactant, was also 13 determined. Results show that the amount of Na⁺ 14 released increased with SL up to 1.0 CEC, and remained 15 constant for higher SL values. 16

Thermogravimetric analysis

Up to ~120°C, the starting clay experienced a mass 19 loss corresponding to the elimination of physisorbed 20 water, and then a second mass loss corresponding to the 21 dehydroxylation of layers between 500 and 700°C 22 (Figure 2a). Both thermal events are associated with 23 several endothermic peaks detected in the DTA thermo- 24 gram (Figure 2b). In organoclay thermograms, a mass 25 loss related to the elimination of physisorbed water was 26 observed between room temperature and ~120°C 27 (Figure 2a). Another mass loss, associated with a series 28 of exothermic events, was observed between 180 and 29 700°C, corresponding both to surfactant combustion 30 phenomena and to the dehydroxylation of layers. 31

The total percentage of organic compound adsorbed 32 by the clay as function of the SL was determined from 33 thermograms. According to previous results, distinguish- 34 ing the amount of surfactant adsorbed through each of 35 the two mechanisms, based on the adsorption energies, is 36possible. The fraction with lower sorption energy 37



1

16

17

28

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

- (mechanism 1) is removed between 180 and 350°C, and 1 the fraction with greater sorption energy (mechanism 2), 2
- between 350 and 800°C (Figure 3). 3

For mechanism 1, the adsorption isotherm slope 4 decreased as the equilibrium concentration of the 5 surfactant increased. It was a C-type isotherm in the 6 Giles classification, indicating that the affinity between 7 the solute and the solid was weak, consistent with the 8 9 low temperatures at which this fraction of surfactant was eliminated (between 180 and 350°C). For mechanism 2, 10 the isotherm slope increased vertically up to ~0.7 mmol 11 surfactant/g clay and then remained almost constant. 12 This was an H-type isotherm in the Giles classification, 13 with high affinity between the solute and the adsorbent 14 and with high adsorption energy, consistent with the 15 higher temperature of elimination of this fraction of 16 surfactant (between 350 and 800°C). The total adsorp-17 tion isotherm is produced from the addition of these two 18 isotherms. 19

Adjustment of adsorption isotherms 21

Data from adsorption isotherms of the surfactant 22 adsorbed by each mechanism type and the total adsorbed 23 were adjusted using the Langmuir and Dubinin-24 Raduskevich equations (Figure 3). The results obtained 25 are summarized in Table 1. 26

27

47

20

28 Langmuir adsorption model. From the Langmuir adsorption model, values of q_{max} for the total adsorbed amount 29 $(q_{\text{max, Total}})$ and for the amount adsorbed in weak sites 30 through mechanism 1 $(q_{\text{max}, 1})$ were calculated. For 31 strong sites, a poor adjustment of the Langmuir equation 32 was obtained ($R^2 = 0.4930$). This is related to the kind of 33 adsorption process developed for the interactions with 34 strong sites. Therefore, the value of q_{max} for mechanism 35 2 $(q_{\text{max},2})$ was obtained from the difference: $q_{\text{max},2} =$ 36 $q_{\max, Total} - q_{\max, 1}$. A dimensionless equilibrium para-37 meter was calculated using the concentration value of 38 the first point of the adsorption isotherm $(1.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$. 39 A value of r < 1 was found in each case, indicating that 40 both adsorption mechanisms were favored. 41 42

Dubinin-Raduskevich adsorption model. From the 43 Dubinin-Raduskevich adsorption model, the values of 44 average adsorption energy for the total adsorption of 45 46



Figure 3. Adsorption isotherms of surfactant in clay by mechanism 1 (\bigcirc), mechanism 2 (\Box) and total adsorption (\triangle). 17 Experimental data were adjusted by Langmuir (solid line) and 18 Dubinin-Raduskevich (dash line) models. 19

surfactant ($E_{Total} = 12.8 \text{ kJ/mol}$), the surfactant adsorbed 21 through mechanism 1 (weak adsorption, $E_1 =$ 22 9.3 kJ/mol), and the surfactant adsorbed through 23 mechanism 2 (strong adsorption, $E_2 = 19.3$ kJ/mol) 24 were obtained. 25

An average adsorption energy of between 1 and 26 8 kJ/mol indicated physisorption, and between 9 and 27 16 kJ/mol, chemical adsorption (Donat et al., 2005). In 28 the present study, the adsorption on strong sites was a 29 process involving a slightly higher adsorption energy 30 than is generally the case in processes of cationic 31 exchange, so it may be a specific adsorption type, 32 probably resulting in the formation of an inner sphere 33 complex between the surfactant molecule and the clay 34 mineral layer. Besides, the adsorption on weak sites 35 practically coincided with the physisorption energy, 36 meaning that mechanism 1 is the result of the adsorption, 37 through Van der Waals forces (VdW), of surfactant 38 molecules previously adsorbed in the clay by the strong 39 mechanism. 40

XPS

Sodium and bromine. The results obtained showed the 43 presence of Na in the starting clay, as characterized by 44 the band at 1072.9 eV. In organoclays, the intensity of 45

Table 1. Langmuir and Dubinin-Raduskevich parameters for mechanism 1, mechanism 2, and the total adsorption.

	Dubinin-Raduskevich isotherm			Langmuir isotherm				
Mechanism	E _{ads} (kJ/mol)	R ²	Ν	$q_{\rm m}$ (mmol/g)	K _L (l/mol)	R^2	r	N 7 7
2 (strong)	19.3	0.8765	7	0.67*	_	_	_	_
(weak)	9.3	0.9642	7	1.13	4.39E+03	0.9970	0.59	7
Гotal	12.8	0.9744	7	1.80	1.10E+04	0.8695	0.36	7

* Value obtained by difference between $q_{\text{max}, \text{Total}}$ and $q_{\text{max}, 1}$. 57

20

41

42

46

47



For a SL of 0.8 CEC, only traces of Na were detected, and Na was absent from organoclays with greater SL values as well as for pure surfactant (Figure 4). The band corresponding to bromine in the XPS spectrum

of HDTMA-Br was found at 67.0 eV. Its presence was detected in organoclays with SL values of 2.0 and 4.0 CEC, at 67.7 eV and 67.5 eV, respectively, but was absent from organoclays with lower SL values (Figure 5).

For a given element, binding energy (BE) increases when oxidation occurs, and decreases with reduction. The bromide anion adsorption starts when HDTMA⁺ adsorption exceeds the CEC. In this situation, Br-anions were surrounded by an excess of cations, which

density in the anion bromide is equivalent to 'oxidation,' coinciding with the observed increase in BE.

Nitrogen. Most nitrogen functions in which nitrogen is 26 bound to carbon, like amine, amide, nitrile, urea, and 27 nitrogen in aromatic rings, show N1s binding energies in 28 the range 399-400 eV. Quaternary nitrogen shows 29 greater binding energies in the range 401.5-402.5 eV, 30 as expected from the localized positive charge (Briggs, 31 1998; Liu et al., 2010).

HDTMA-Br presented a band corresponding to N1s 33 at 402.1 eV, referred to as B1 in the present study. In 34 organoclays, B1 shifted to values between 402.2 and 35





3

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22



402.5 eV. The XPS spectra of organoclays showed
another band, referred to as 'B2' here, at values of
between 399.6 and 399.7 eV (Figure 6).

The position of the B1 band coincides with the BE 26 range of the quaternary nitrogen N1s band, so it was 27 28 assigned to nitrogen atoms of the surfactant molecules 29 adsorbed in the surface with low energy through Van der Waals forces, as was described for mechanism 1. On 30 31 other hand, the position of the B2 band was assigned to nitrogen atoms adsorbed with high energy, and corre-32 sponds to surfactant molecules adsorbed through 33 mechanism 2 (strong adsorption). The B2 band was not 34 detected in the organoclay with the greatest SL value. 35

The decrease in binding energy of the B2 band indicated an increase of electron density in the nitrogen. This phenomenon occurs due to a charge transfer from the clay sheets to the surfactant molecule (Seki and Yurdakoç, 2005).

In order to facilitate the study of these results and to
analyze them together with data provided by thermogavimetric analysis, the following ratios were defined:

44
45
46

$$R_{i, (B1/(B1+B2))} = A_{i, B1}/(A_{i, B1} + A_{i, B2})$$

 $R_{i, (IC/IC+VdW)} = q_{i, VdW}/(q_{i, IC} + q_{i, VdW})$

47 where a_i is the area of the nitrogen XPS band (B1 or B2, 48 as indicated) of the organoclay with SL = i and q_i is the 49 amount of surfactant adsorbed per mass unit, as 50 determined by TG, corresponding to adsorption by 51 cationic exchange (CE) or by Van der Waals (VdW) 52 interactions of the organoclay with SL = i.

Both ratios increased with SL (Figure 7). This indicates that the relative amount of molecules adsorbed through Van der Waals forces increased with SL. For small SL values, the TG results indicated that 20% of the molecules were adsorbed by Van der Waals interactions, whereas XPS results indicated that this percentage is 23 50%. For large SL values, the percentage of molecules 24 adsorbed by weak forces increased to 60%, according to 25 TG, whereas, according to XPS, 100% of the molecules 26 were observed to be adsorbed by Van der Waals 27 interactions, *i.e.* molecules adsorbed through CE were 28 not detected. The TG provided data about all of the 29 sample, whereas XPS analyzes the surface only up to a 30 determined depth (~4 nm in this case). So, the fact that 31 molecules adsorbed through CE were not detected by 32 XPS analyses in organoclays with SL = 4.0 CEC 33 confirms that weak adsorption is produced at the 34 external surface of the layers. The presence, in the 35 interlayer space, of molecules fixed through strong 36 adsorption could not be detected from XPS spectra 37



Figure 7. Percentage of surfactant adsorption by a weak55mechanism with respect to total adsorption: data obtained from56TG (\Box) and XPS (\bigcirc) are compared.57

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

18

because of the limited depth of analysis in the conditions in which these studies were carried out.

Zeta potential

The zeta potential (ζ) of organoclay Bent-0.8 was less 5 negative than the ζ of the starting clay throughout the pH 6 range studied (Figure 8). In order to produce a variation 7 in this potential, the adsorption of an ion with a charge 8 9 opposite to that of the layer (in this case, a cation) must occur at a distance smaller than the thickness of the 10 Stern layer (Jouniaux and Ishido, 2012). Inorganic 11 cations are hydrophilic, adsorbed with their hydration 12 spheres forming outer sphere complexes outside of the 13 Stern layer. Moreover, surfactant molecules are hydro-14 phobic and are adsorbed in a specific way, forming inner 15 sphere complexes with the negative charges of the 16 layers, which produce an increase in the ζ . 17

19 Adsorption model

20 The processes that are possible as the SL value increases were deduced by analysing the data shown in 21 Figure 9 and the scheme illustrated in Figure 10. Note 22 that Figure 9 is not an adsorption isotherm, as the 23 horizontal axis does not represent surfactant concentra-24 tion at equilibrium. Figure 9 shows the variations of the 25 quantity of surfactant and bromide adsorbed by the 26 different mechanisms with respect to the amount of 27 28 surfactant added initially.

29 When the SL value was small (Figure 10b), surfactant adsorption occurred with the release of Na⁺ to super-30 natant solution, as was verified by chemical analysis and 31 XPS results. The large adsorption energies determined 32 from the adjustment of adsorption isotherms and the 33 variations in bending energy indicate that the process 34 carried out in this stage was not simply an exchange of 35 36 cations. The zeta potential results suggest that it was a specific adsorption, involving the formation of an inner 37 sphere complex and the transfer of charge between the 38

surfactant and the clay mineral. This process (strong 1 adsorption) continued until it reached a value of SL = 1. 2 Surfactant molecules adsorbed through this mechanism 3 are referred to as 'HDTMA⁺(CE).' 4

Another adsorption mechanism may be proposed 5 based on TG results, the adjustment of data given by 6 the Dubinin-Raduskevich adsorption model, and the 7 binding energy bands corresponding to nitrogen atoms in 8 XPS studies, in which the surfactant in the solution 9 interacts, through Van der Waals forces, with alkyl tails 10 of previously adsorbed surfactant cations. This process 11 (weak adsorption) was observed throughout the SL range 12 studied. Surfactant molecules adsorbed through this 13 mechanism were referred to as 'HDTMA^{total}(VdW).' 14

From the total amount of surfactant molecules 15 adsorbed through Van der Waals forces, a fraction was 16 present as cations, and another fraction formed ion pairs 17 with bromide anions. For an SL value < the CEC 18 (Figure 10a), the organoclay carried a net negative 19 charge, keeping Br⁻ anions from getting close to it. 20 Weak adsorption of ionic pairs, quantified by the 21 decrease of bromide in supernatant solutions and 22 corroborated by the presence of the peak corresponding 23 to bromine in XPS spectra, started when SL > 1 and 24 increased with SL in the studied range (Figure 10d). 25 Surfactant molecules adsorbed through this mechanism 26 were referred to as 'HDTMA⁺Br⁻(VdW).' 27

The interaction mechanisms between the surfactant 28 and the clay are summarized in Table 2. The difference 29 between HDTMA^{total}(VdW) and HDTMA⁺Br⁻(VdW) 30 was the amount of surfactant adsorbed by VdW forces 31 that did not form ion pairs. This type of adsorption was 32 observed throughout the SL range studied, with a 33 maximum at an intermediate value (SL \approx 1.7 CEC) 34 (Figure 9). Surfactant molecules adsorbed through this 35 mechanism were referred to as 'HDTMA⁺(VdW).' 36



Figure 8. Zeta potential vs. pH. Comparison of the starting clay (\blacksquare) with the organoclay Bent-0.4 (\bigcirc) .



Figure 9. Different forms of surfactant adsorption: HDTMA⁺(CE) (\bullet), HDTMA^{Total}(VdW) (\blacksquare), HDTMA⁺Br⁻(VdW) (\blacktriangle), and HDTMA⁺(VdW) (\blacklozenge): amounts adsorbed per mass of clay in relation to the amount of surfactant added initially. 57

29

30

31



Figure 10. Schematic representation of the process of adsorption of surfactant on the surface of montmorillonite.

CONCLUSIONS

Organoclays containing different amounts of organic compounds were synthesized using HDTMA⁺Br⁻ as the surfactant. Two different mechanisms of surfactant adsorption were observed and the fractions adsorbed by each mechanism were quantified, using different characterization techniques.

Maximum adsorbed amounts and the adsorption energies involved in each mechanism were determined applying Langmuir and Dubinin-Raduskevich adsorption models to the data.

The present study verified that surfactant adsorption 42 in the interlayer space of the clay mineral is produced by 43 a 'strong' adsorption mechanism, in which the surfactant 44 forms an inner sphere and/or charge-transfer complex 45 with clay layers, as corroborated by ζ potential and XPS 46 measurements. The study also confirmed the existence 47 of a 'weak' adsorption mechanism, by Van der Waals-48 49 type interactions between carbon tails of the surfactant 50 molecules in solution and the molecules previously 51 adsorbed by the 'strong' mechanism.

52 Based on these results, the proposed interaction mechanism between the organic surfactant and the clay 53 mineral is characterized qualitatively and, for the first 54 time, quantitatively. 55

REFERENCES

Akçay, G., Akçay, M., and Yurdakoç, K. (2006) The characterization of prepared organomontmorillonite 33 (DEDMAM) and sorption of phenoxyalkanoic acid herbi-34 cides from aqueous solution. Journal of Colloid and 35 Interface Science, 296, 428-433.

36 Azejjel, H., del Hoyo, C., Draoui, K., Rodríguez-Cruz, M.S., 37 and Sánchez-Martín, M.J. (2009) Natural and modified clays 38 from Morocco as sorbents of ionizable herbicides in aqueous medium. Desalination, 249, 1151-1158. 39

- Bilgiç, C. (2005) Investigation of the factors affecting organic 40 cation adsorption on some silicate minerals. Journal of 41 Colloid and Interface Science, 281, 33-38.
- 42 Briggs, D. (1998) Surface Analysis of Polymers by XPS and Static SIMS. Cambridge University Press, New York. 43
- Carrado, K.A. (2000) Synthetic organo- and polymer-clays: 44 preparation, characterization, and materials applications. 45 Applied Clay Science, 17, 1–23.
- 46 de Paiva, L.B., Morales, A.R., and Valenzuela Díaz, F.R. (2008) Organoclays: Properties, preparation and application. 47 Applied Clay Science, 42, 8–24. 48
- Donat, R., Akdogan, A., Erdem, E., and Cetisli, H. (2005) Thermodynamics of Pb^{2+} and Ni^{2+} adsorption onto natural 49 50 bentonite from aqueous solutions. Journal of Colloid and 51 Interface Science, 286, 43-52.
- Giles, C., Smith, D., and Huitson, A. (1974) A general 52 treatment and classification of the solute adsorption 53 isotherm. I. Theoretical. Journal of Colloid and Interface 54 Science, 47, 755-765. 55
- He, H., Ding, Z., Zhu, J., Yuan, P., Xi, Y., Yang, D., and Frost, 56 R.L. (2005) Thermal characterization of surfactant-modified montmorillonites. Clays and Clay Minerals, 53, 287-293. 57

	II. II. Freed, D. L. Destrem, T. Verra, D. Desera, I. Verra, D.	Collectered Interface Science 207, 1, 5	
1	Xi V and Kloprogge IT (2006) Changes in the	Su K Radian A Mishael V Vang I and Stucki IW	1
2	morphology of organoclays with HDTMA+ surfactant	(2012) Nitrate reduction by redox-activated polydiallyldi-	2
3	loading. Applied Clay Science, 31 , 262–271.	methylammonium-exchanged ferruginous smectite. <i>Clavs</i>	3
4	He, H., Zhou, Q., Frost, R.L., Wood, B.J., Duong, L.V., and	and Clay Minerals, 59, 464–472.	4
5	Kloprogge, J. T. (2007) A X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy	Tahani, A., Karroua, M., Van Damme, H., Levitz, P., and	5
6	study of HDTMAB distribution within organoclays.	Bergaya, F. (1999) Adsorption of a cationic surfactant on	6
7	Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular	Na-montmorillonite: Inspection of adsorption layer by X-ray	7
8	Jouniaux I and Ishido T (2010) Electrokinetics in earth	Interface Science 216 242-249	8
9	sciences: A tutorial. International Journal of Geophysics,	Xi, Y., Martens, W., He, H., and Frost, R.L. (2005)	9
10	Volume 2012, 16 pages, doi: 10.1155/2012/286107.	Thermogravimetric analysis of organoclays intercalated	10
11	Liu, G., Wu, S., van de Ven, M., Molenaar, A., and Besamusca,	with the surfactant octadecyltrimethylammonium bromide.	11
12	J. (2010) Characterization of surfactant on montmorillonite	Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, 81, 91–97.	12
13	nanoclay to be used in bitumen. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering 22, 794–799	Yariv, S., Lapides, Y., and Borisover, M. (2012) Inermal	13
14	Mahramanlioglu, M., Kizilcikli, I., and Bicer, I. (2002)	nium montmorillonites. Journal of Thermal Analysis and	14
15	Adsorption of fluoride from aqueous solution by acid	Calorimetry, 110, 385-394.	15
16	treated spent bleaching earth. Journal of Fluorine		16
17	<i>Chemistry</i> , 115 , 41–47.		17
18	Seki, Y. and Yurdakoç, K. (2005) Paraquat adsorption onto	(Received 4 July 2012; revised 24 January 2013; Ms. 687;	18
19	clays and organoclays from aqueous solution. Journal of	АЕ: П. Пе)	10
20			20
20			20
21			21
22			22
23			23
24			24
25			25
20			20
27			27
20			20
29			29
21			21
22			22
32			32
24			24
34 25			34 25
20			20
30			30 27
20			20
38			38
39			39
40			40
41			41
42			42
43			43
44			44
45			45
46			46
4/			4/
48			48
49			49
50			50
51			51
52			52
53			53
54			54
55			55
56			56