

## **The First Parthenogenetic Pleurodont Iguanian: A New All-female** *Liolaemus* **(Squamata: Liolaemidae) from Western Argentina**

Author(s): Cristián S. Abdala , Diego Baldo , Ricardo A. Juárez , and Robert E. Espinoza Source: Copeia, 104(2):487-497. Published By: The American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1643/CH-15-381> URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/full/10.1643/CH-15-381>

BioOne [\(www.bioone.org\)](http://www.bioone.org) is a nonprofit, online aggregation of core research in the biological, ecological, and environmental sciences. BioOne provides a sustainable online platform for over 170 journals and books published by nonprofit societies, associations, museums, institutions, and presses.

Your use of this PDF, the BioOne Web site, and all posted and associated content indicates your acceptance of BioOne's Terms of Use, available at [www.bioone.org/page/terms\\_of\\_use.](http://www.bioone.org/page/terms_of_use)

Usage of BioOne content is strictly limited to personal, educational, and non-commercial use. Commercial inquiries or rights and permissions requests should be directed to the individual publisher as copyright holder.

BioOne sees sustainable scholarly publishing as an inherently collaborative enterprise connecting authors, nonprofit publishers, academic institutions, research libraries, and research funders in the common goal of maximizing access to critical research.

# The First Parthenogenetic Pleurodont Iguanian: A New All-female Liolaemus (Squamata: Liolaemidae) from Western Argentina

# Cristián S. Abdala $^1$ , Diego Baldo $^2$ , Ricardo A. Juárez $^3$ , and Robert E. Espinoza $^4$

Vertebrate taxa with entirely unisexual populations are composed of females that reproduce without fertilization and are generally of hybrid origin. At least 23 vertebrate families have unisexual lineages. Within squamate reptiles,  $>\!\!40$ uniparental species have been described from five major lineages: acrodont iguanians, Gekkota, Serpentes, Scincomorpha, and Varanidae. Pleurodont iguanians include >1100 species, all of which have gonochoristic reproduction. Here we report the discovery of a new unisexual lizard from the liolaemid genus Liolaemus, a speciesrich ( $\sim$ 260 species) clade distributed over most of southern South American. The new species, Liolaemus parthenos, is the only known all-female triploid pleurodont iguanian. The structural chromosome heteromorphisms of the karyotypes support the hypothesis that L. parthenos is the product of a hybrid origin. Based on morphology, the new species is assignable to the L. boulengeri group (sensu Abdala, 2007), and phylogenetic analyses of mitochondrial DNA indicate that L. parthenos is nested within L. darwinii, suggesting that the latter is the maternal ancestor of this species. Additional data are needed to identify the patrilineal ancestor.

Los taxones de vertebrados con poblaciones totalmente unisexuales se componen de hembras que se reproducen sin fecundación y son generalmente de origen híbrido. Al menos 23 familias de vertebrados tienen linajes unisexuales. Dentro de los reptiles escamosos, se han descrito  $>$ 40 especies uniparentales pertenecientes a cinco grandes linajes: iguanios acrodontes, Gekkota, Serpentes, Scincomorpha y Varanidae. Los iguanios pleurodontes incluyen >1100 especies, las cuales todas tienen reproducción gonocórica. Aquí presentamos el descubrimiento de un nuevo lagarto unisexual del género Liolaemus, clado con gran riqueza especifica (~260 especies) distribuido en casi todo el sur de América del Sur. La nueva especie, Liolaemus parthenos, es la única conocida con hembras triploides entre los iguanios pleurodontes. Los heteromorfismos cromosómicos estructurales de sus cariotipos apoyan la hipótesis de que L. parthenos es producto de un origen híbrido. Basado en la morfología, la nueva especie es asignable al grupo de *L. boulengeri* (*sensu* Abdala, 2007) y el análisis filogenético de ADN mitocondrial indica que *L. parthenos* está anidada dentro de *L. darwinii,* lo que sugiere que este último es el antepasado matrilineal de esta especie. Se necesitan más datos para identificar su antepasado patrilineal.

F the more than 67,000 recognized species of vertebrates, unisexual taxa that reproduce without fertilization are very rare and generally of hybrid origin. Although it is estimated that only 0.1% of vertebrate species are unisexual, this mode of reproduction is phylogenetically widespread, particularly among fishes, amphibians, and reptiles (Avise, 2008; Neaves and Baumann, 2011). Squamate reptiles (lizards and snakes) provide the only known examples of unisexual vertebrates that reproduce with all-female clones via parthenogenesis—a process in which females reproduce without males or male gametes (Avise, 2008). Of the more than 6000 species of lizards currently recognized (Uetz and Hošek, 2015),  $\langle 1\%$  are known to be parthenogenetic (Dawley, 1989). Most parthenogenetic lizard species or populations occur within two major lizard clades: Gekkota (solely in Gekkonidae) and Scincomorpha (reported in: Gymnophthalmidae, Lacertidae, Scincidae, Teiidae, and Xantusiidae; Vrijenhoek et al., 1989; Adams et al., 2003; Malysheva et al., 2006; Avise, 2008; Kearney et al., 2009); however, isolated cases of facultative parthenogenesis have been reported in other lineages of captive squamates (reviewed by Avise, 2008; Lampert, 2008; Kearney et al., 2009; Booth et al., 2011; Neaves and Baumann, 2011).

Unlike Gekkota and Scincomorpha, few parthenogenetic species have been reported from the large lizard clade Iguania. Iguania includes two well-defined groups characterized by dental implantation: Acrodonta (Agamidae and Chamaeleonidae) and Pleurodonta (12 families, sensu Townsend et al., 2011; Wiens et al., 2012; Pyron et al., 2013; Reeder et al., 2015). Within Acrodonta, a small number of agamid and chamaeleonid species have been reported to be parthenogenetic (Malysheva et al., 2006; Kearney et al., 2009; Grismer and Grismer, 2010; Grismer et al., 2014). Within the pleurodont iguanians, unisexuality is confined to a single incident of presumed facultative parthenogenesis in a longterm captive liolaemid, Phymaturus patagonicus (Chiszar et al., 1999). However, the alternative hypothesis of extended sperm retention could not be rejected for this case. Pleurodonta is a diverse group composed of 12 families and more than 1100 species (Uetz and Hošek, 2015). One of the pleurodont families, Liolaemidae, includes the second most species-rich genus of lizards in the world (Liolaemus) with nearly 260 currently recognized species (Abdala and Quinteros, 2014). Liolaemus has one of the broadest latitudinal and elevational distributions of any lizard genus and occupies most arid and semi-arid habitats in southern South America (Etheridge, 1995; Lobo et al., 2010). Here we

© 2016 by the American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists பூ DOI: 10.1643/CH-15-381 Published online: 10 June 2016

 $1$  Instituto de Herpetología, Fundación Miguel Lillo (CONICET) and Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, Miguel Lillo 251, 4000 Tucumán, Argentina; Email: samiryjazmin@gmail.com.

 $^2$  Laboratorio de Genética Evolutiva, Instituto de Biología Subtropical (CONICET–UNaM), Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Felix de Azara 1552, CPA N3300LQF, Posadas, Misiones, Argentina; Email: diegobaldo@gmail.com. ´

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Laboratorio de Zoología, Museo de Ciencias Naturales e Antropología, Antonio Serrano, Gardel 62, 3100 Entre Ríos, Argentina; Email: ricardoj73@hotmail.com.

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, California State University, Northridge, Northridge, California 91330-8303; Email: robert.e.espinoza@csun.edu. Send reprint requests to this address.

Submitted: 5 December 2014. Accepted: 7 December 2015. Associate Editor: B. Stuart.

describe a new species of Liolaemus from western Argentina that all evidence indicates is unisexual and parthenogenetic.

We had four principal goals for this study: (1) describe a new parthenogenetic species in the lizard genus Liolaemus, which is the first known unisexual species of pleurodont iguanian; (2) characterize the karyotype of this new species; (3) provide a phylogenetic hypothesis for the new species and closely related congeners to determine its phylogenetic position and attempt to identify its maternal ancestor; and (4) propose a hypothesis for the origin of unisexuality in the new species based on morphological, cytogenetic, and DNAsequence data.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Morphology.—For the description of the new species, we scored morphological character states of specimens of the new species and 60 of the 64 currently recognized species belonging to the L. boulengeri group (sensu Abdala, 2007). In total, we examined more than 1500 specimens (see Material Examined). For the six species unavailable for study  $(L)$ . arambarensis, L. azarai, L. cinereus, L. enigmaticus, L. rabinoi, and *L. riojanus*), we relied on information from their type descriptions and data from subsequent taxonomic revisions. We studied characters traditionally included in taxonomic studies of *Liolaemus* lizards, as described in detail by Etheridge (1995) and Abdala (2003, 2005, 2007). Terminology for the description of squamation follows Smith (1946) and neck-fold terminology is after Frost (1992). Terminology for body patterns and coloration follows Lobo and Espinoza (1999) and Abdala (2007). When characters were bilateral, data were taken from the right side of the specimen. Scale characteristics and counts were made with the aid of a stereomicroscope  $(10-40\times)$  and measurements were made with digital calipers  $(\pm 0.05 \text{ mm})$ . Colors in life were based on observations made in the field and from digital photographs taken immediately after capture. Specimens of the new species have been deposited in the following Argentine collections: Fundación Miguel Lillo, Tucumán (FML), Laboratorio de Genética Evolutiva, Instituto de Biología Subtropical, Misiones (LGE), Museo de Historia Natural de San Rafael, Mendoza (MHNSR), and Museo de La Plata (MLP, including MLP.S).

Natural history.—We made field observations and collected lizards assignable to the new species at or near the type locality (see below) on 24 occasions over an 18-year period (1995–2012). Over this period, 26 individuals spent an estimated 650 combined person hours searching for and collecting lizards. All searches took place during the activity season (austral summer), during daylight hours, and under favorable conditions for lizard activity (i.e., air temperatures  $>$ 25°C; low to moderate wind and cloud cover).

We collected environmental and field body temperatures  $(T<sub>b</sub>)$  of active lizards on 11 February 1995 from 1842–1915 hr.  $T<sub>b</sub>$  was measured with a digital thermometer (Omega 871A, type-K thermocouple; Stamford, CT). Lizards were handled by their head and one of their limbs to minimize heat exchange with the investigator and a 30-AWG (0.5 mm diameter, tip polished smooth) thermocouple was inserted  $\sim$ 2 mm into the cloaca. Only  $T<sub>b</sub>$ s recorded within 10 s of the time the lizard was captured were included in analyses. Lizard body sizes (snout–vent length, SVL) were measured with a transparent ruler following  $T<sub>b</sub>$  measurement.

Cytogenetic analyses.—Chromosome preparations were obtained from ten adults of the new species (see Material Examined) from samples of bone marrow and intestines after the specimens were treated with in vivo injections of colchicine and processed following methods described by Schmid et al. (2010). Chromosome number and morphology were studied on conventional stained preparations with Giemsa solution (10%). We followed the methods of Howell and Black (1980) for silver-nucleolus organizer region (Ag-NOR) staining. Images were observed under an Olympus BX50F-3 photomicroscope and photomicrographs were taken with a Sony ExwaveHAD videocamera. Karyotypes were arranged and chromosomes were classified following the methods of Levan et al. (1964). We followed common nomenclatural conventions for describing the chromosomes of pleurodont iguanian lizards (Gorman et al., 1967; Paull et al., 1976; Bickham, 1984), where 2n and 3n were used to designate diploid and triploid karyotypes, respectively, and chromosomes were characterized as macro- (M) or microchromosomes (m) based on their size and morphology.

Phylogenetic analyses.-Sequences used for the molecular analyses were obtained from GenBank and new samples using previously published protocols for genomic DNA extraction, amplification, and sequencing reactions (Schulte et al., 2000). Briefly, DNA was extracted from liver or thigh muscle and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) was obtained for 34 taxa of Liolaemus, focusing on taxa that have been shown to be closely related to the new species in previous analyses (reviewed in Abdala, 2007; and see Results). For phylogenetic analysis, molecular data representing the coding regions ND1, tRNAIle, tRNAGln, tRNAMet, ND2, tRNATrp, tRNAAla, tRNAAsn, Ol, tRNACys, tRNATyr, and COI were aligned as described by Schulte et al. (2000), resulting in 1776 characters.

Trees were reconstructed from aligned sequences using Bayesian inference and maximum parsimony. For the Bayesian analyses, we followed the methods described by Schulte (2013), which are summarized below. We used jModelTest v. 2.1.6 (Posada, 2008) and Akaike information criterion (AIC) to simultaneously select the best-fit nucleotide substitution model and partitioning scheme. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using MrBayes v. 3.2.0 (Ronquist et al., 2011) and consisted of two independent runs of 20 million generations each, starting with random trees and ten Markov chains (one cold), which were sampled every 1000 generations. To ensure that Bayesian analyses reached stationarity, the first 5000 trees were discarded as "burn-in." We assessed stationarity by plotting the  $-\ln L$  per generation in Tracer v. 1.5 (Rambaut and Drummond, 2007) and from the mean standard deviation of split frequencies from MrBayes. If the two runs converged on the same tree, the mean standard deviation of split frequencies would be expected to approach zero. After we determined that each run appeared to reach stationarity, the 30,000 trees (15,000 per run after burn-in) were used to calculate Bayesian credibility values (BC) for each node in a 50% majority-rule consensus tree. Clades with  $BC \ge 95\%$  were considered strongly supported, although we acknowledge that BC may overestimate nodal confidence (Alfaro et al., 2003; Douady et al., 2003; Lewis et al., 2005).

Maximum parsimony, which reconstructs the shortest tree(s) with the least homoplasy, was implemented in TNT v. 1.1 (Goloboff et al., 2003). A heuristic search with tree bisection reconnection (TBR) was applied to the character matrix with equal weighting of characters and nonadditive steps. The consistency index (CI; Kluge and Farris, 1969) and retention index (RI) were calculated for each tree(s). Branch support was estimated by bootstrapping and jacknifing (deletion probability  $=$  36 with 500 replicates) using absolute frequencies.

#### Liolaemus parthenos, new species

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:53CC2C59-2E4D-41AA-8804- 462B90ABAECC

Figures 1–5; Table 1

- Liolaemus boulengeri Cei (1973:464, in part).
- Liolaemus boulengeri Cei and Roig (1976:71, 87, Map 5, in part).
- Liolaemus boulengeri Cei and Castro (1978:9, 21, Map 16, in part).

Liolaemus boulengeri Cei (1986:220–221, in part).

- Liolaemus boulengeri Schulte et al. (2000:79, 87; table 1; figs.  $4-6$ ).
- Liolaemus sp. nov. Morando et al. (2004:845; table 1–3; figs. 2, 8).

Liolaemus sp. Abdala and Díaz Gómez (2006:29; fig. 3).

Liolaemus sp. 3. Abdala (2007:51, 55, 57, 60, 77; figs. 32–36).

Liolaemus cf. darwinii Pincheira-Donoso et al. (2007:32; fig. 3). Liolaemus cf. darwinii Schulte (2013:5; fig. 1).

Liolaemus sp. 3 Olave et al. (2014:329, 331; figs. 4, 6).

Holotype.-FML 16221, adult female, Argentina, Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, collected on the dunes next to El Nihuil Dam on Provincial Route 180, 35°2′19.77″S, 68°40'12.60"W, 1305 m, C. Abdala, J. Abdala, and E. Malovini, January 2001 (Fig. 1).

Paratypes.—FML 16222-24, same data as holotype; IBAUNC 9772–73, 9775–77, Argentina, Mendoza Province, San Rafael



Fig. 1. Dorsal (A) and lateral (B) views of the holotype (FML 16221) of Liolaemus parthenos, new species, from the type locality in San Rafael Department, Mendoza Province, Argentina.

Department, 10 to 60 km S of El Nihuil, 35°7′0.71″S, 68°41′4.28″W to 35°33′49.47″S, 68°41′15.14″W, 1400–1600 m, 25 November 1973; IBAUNC 11431–35, Argentina, Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, Pampa del Diamante, 34°54′44.39″S, 68°51′38.58″W, 1400 m, 17 February 1975; MHNSR 78–82, Argentina, Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, Club de Pescadores, El Nihuil, 35°2′10.54″S, 68°42′33.84″W, 1325 m, 1 January 1975.

Diagnosis.—Liolaemus parthenos is the only known triploid unisexual iguanian lizard with the karyotype  $3n = 49$  (19M  $+$ 30m). The new species is a member of the *L. boulengeri* group (Etheridge, 1995; Abdala, 2007), with which it shares a femoral patch of enlarged scales on the posterior thigh. The L. boulengeri group includes the following subclades (Abdala, 2007): L. anomalus, L. darwinii, L. wiegmannii, and L. melanops  $(= L.$  telsen group  $+ L.$  goetschi group), each of which includes several species as described below. Within the L. boulengeri group, L. parthenos is a member of the L. darwinii group (Abdala, 2007), with which it shares the following eight synapomorphies: (1) 14–18 dorsal head scales; (2) 24–28 gulars; (3) tail length/SVL ratio: 1.40–1.65; (4) femur length/ SVL ratio: 0.16–0.20; (5) 1–3 differentiated scales along the lower half of the anterior border of the external auditory meatus; (6) weakly developed longitudinal fold; (7) dark line passing vertically through superciliaries, eye, and subocular; and (8) small prescapular spots. Liolaemus parthenos can be distinguished from species in the L. anomalus group (L. acostai, L. anomalus, L. ditadai, L. lentus, L. millcayac, L. pipanaco, and L. pseudoanomalus) because the new species has a tail that is longer than its body, a head that is longer than wide, and lacks both a palpebral ''comb'' and pterygoid teeth. Liolaemus parthenos can be distinguished from species in the L. wiegmannii group (L. arambarensis, L. azarai, L. cuyumhue, L. lutzae, L. multimaculatus, L. occipitalis, L. rabinoi, L. riojanus, L. salinicola, L. scapularis, and L. wiegmannii) because the new species has a single row of lorilabials between the subocular and the supralabials  $(2-3$  rows in members of the  $L$ . wiegmannii group) and four scales around the mental (6 scales in the L. wiegmannii group species). The new species, can be distinguished from species of the L. goetschi group (L. camarones, L. canqueli, L. casamiquelai, L. chehuachekenk, L. cuyanus, L. dumerili, L. fitzingerii, L. goetschi, L. mapuche, L. melanops, L. morenoi, L. puelche, L. rothi, L. sagei, L. shehuen, L. tromen, and L. xanthoviridis) based on its shorter SVL (maximum SVL 63.4 mm vs. 77–106 mm for the aforementioned taxa) and considerably less ventral melanism (except L. rothi and L. sagei). The new species also lacks the cephalic melanism typical of *L. canqueli* and *L. melanops*. With respect to L. casamiquelai, L. chehuachekenk, L. fitzingerii, L. morenoi, L. tromen, and L. xanthoviridis, the new species lacks the gular and antihumeral melanic collar that is typical of the aforementioned species. Liolaemus parthenos is distinct from L. cuyanus, L. goetschi, L. josei, L. mapuche, and L. puelche because the new species has four scales in contact with the mental, whereas L. cuyanus has six scales and L. goetschi, L. josei, L. mapuche, and L. puelche have from 4-6 scales in contact with the mental. The new species differs from L. donosobarrosi because the former has fewer scales around the midbody (mean  $= 57.1$ ; range  $= 53-61$  vs. mean  $= 85.4$ ; range  $=$  79–95, respectively). Also, the new species has a distinct dorsal pattern of quadrangular paravertebral markings, which distinguishes it from L. rothi, which has irregular markings that are not quadrangular. The new species also has pre- and postscapular spots that are not present in L. rothi.



Fig. 2. Map showing known localities of Liolaemus parthenos, new species (black circles), in San Rafael Department, Mendoza Province, Argentina. Arrow indicates the type locality.

Liolaemus parthenos can be distinguished from species in the L. telsen group (L. boulengeri, L. hermannunezi, L. inacayali, L. loboi, L. martorii, L. purul, L. senguer, L. sitesi, and L. tehuelche) by its lack of gular melanism and dorsolateral bands that are more well defined. The new species differs from L. telsen because the former has less gular melanism, paravertebral markings are more well defined, well-defined dorsolateral bands, and fewer middorsal scales on the trunk (counted from occiput to anterior thigh: mean  $= 65$ ; range  $= 61-68$  vs. mean  $= 88.8$ ; range  $= 83-96$ , respectively). The new species also differs from L. boulengeri, L. hermannunezi, L. loboi, L. martorii, L. purul, and L. tehuelche because the former has less conspicuous scapular spots. Within the L. darwinii group, L. parthenos is the only species with strongly cuspidate coronas and distally expanded cheek teeth, as well as a unique color pattern for the group. The new species can be further distinguished from members of the L. darwinii group (L. abaucan, L. albiceps, L. calchaqui, L. chacoensis, L. cinereus, L. crepuscularis, L. darwinii, L. diaguita, L. espinozai, L. grosseorum, L. irregularis, L. koslowskyi, L. laurenti, L. lavillai, L. montanezi, L. olongasta, L. ornatus, L. pacha, L. quilmes, and L. uspallatensis) because L. parthenos has a unique pattern of large dark brown or black quadrangular or subquadrangular paravertebral and lateral markings, white dorsolateral stripes, and a white abdomen with small dark spots. Liolaemus parthenos can be further distinguished from L. albiceps, L. calchaqui, L. crepuscularis, L. irregularis, L. lavillai, and L. ornatus because females of the latter three species possess precloacal pores and adult L. albiceps and L. irregularis are considerably larger than L. parthenos (L. parthenos: maximum SVL 63.4 mm vs. 82.5–86.1 mm, respectively). Finally, L. parthenos can be differentiated from L. darwinii because the new species lacks an antehumeral arch and abdominal and femoral melanism.

Description of holotype.—Adult female (Fig. 1). SVL: 59.8 mm. Tail complete, not regenerated: 92.7 mm. Rostral surrounded by 6 scales. Nasal dorsolateral in orientation. Supraoculars: 8. Interparietal smaller than parietals. Lorilabials: 7. Supralabials: 8. Mental surrounded by 4 scales. Infralabials: 5. Temporals: 8, not keeled. Posterior teeth with expanded cusps. Anterior border of auditory meatus with one differentiated scale. Snout blunt in lateral view. Midbody scales: 58. Dorsal scales: 68 from occiput to anterior thigh, laminar, imbricate, and keeled. Lateral neck folds well developed. Gulars: 27. Ventrals: in 21 rows, imbricate, same size as dorsals. Infratarsals and infracarpals imbricate and trifid. Femoral patch well developed. No precloacal pores. Head light brown with two rows of quadrangular dark brown paravertebral marks with white posterior margins. Back-



Fig. 3. Karyotype (left) and pair carrier of nucleolus organizer regions (right) for Liolaemus parthenos, new species.



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic hypotheses for 31 species of Liolaemus emphasizing species in the L. boulengeri group (stars) based on 1776 aligned base pairs of mtDNA sequence data. (A) Phylogeny based on Bayesian inference showing branch lengths proportional to evolutionary change and branch support represented as Bayesian credibility values below each node. (B) Cladogram based on a maximum parsimony analysis showing bootstrap and jackknife values above and below each clade, respectively. Retention index (RI): 0.796, consistency index (CI): 0.490. Photos show species of Liolaemus inferred by both analyses to be closely related to L. parthenos, new species.

ground of vertebral region dark brown with four lateral markings of same color. Anterior and posterior limbs same color as dorsum. Ventrally, throat variegated with dark brown or black spots; spot density increases posteriorly toward chest, abdomen, limbs, and tail.

Measurements of holotype.—Head 1.2 times longer (11.9 mm) than wide (9.6 mm). Head height: 8.2 mm. Eye diameter: 2.9 mm. Subocular: 3.7 mm. Auditory meatus height: 2.2 mm; width: 1.8 mm. Torso length: 26.8 mm; width: 12.9 mm. Thigh length: 9.9 mm. Upper arm length: 8.2 mm; width: 2.1 mm. Forearm length: 8.3 mm. Hand length: 9.2 mm. Tail length: 92.7 mm.

Variation.—Data from 70 females, unless otherwise noted. Mental in contact with four scales. Infralabials: 5–6. Lorilabials: 6–7, in one row. Interparietal smaller than parietal. Temporals: 8–12. Auditory meatus with 1–3 scales on anterior edge and 0–2 on posterior edge. Neck folds well developed. Gulars: 23–28. Head narrow, longer (mean  $= 12.1$ ) mm) than wide (mean  $= 9.4$  mm). Neck narrower than head and trunk. Body slender, SVL 36.1–63.4 mm (mean  $= 56.7$ mm). Scales around midbody:  $53-61$  (mean =  $57.1$ ). Dorsal scales between occiput and anterior thigh:  $61-68$  (mean = 65.0). Dorsal scales laminar, keeled, and imbricate. Infratarsals and infracarpals with imbricate, trifid scales. Femoral

patch with 23–30 cone-shaped, mucronate scales. Ventrals:  $91-105$  (mean  $= 97.6$ ), larger than dorsals. Precloacal pores absent. Complete tail: 64.3–92.7 mm long ( $n = 48$ ; mean = 83.3 mm); 1.4–1.6 times longer than SVL.

Color variation in life.-Dorsal head light brown or gray with small black, irregularly distributed scales. Background dorsal color of body usually light brown, but light gray specimens not uncommon. Paravertebral markings square or rectangular, arranged longitudinally to long axis of body and dark brown or dark gray with white posterior margins. Lateral markings same color and form as paravertebral markings, but smaller than paravertebrals in some specimens. Vertebral region dark gray or light brown. Prescapular or postscapular spots less well defined, generally darker than lateral markings, but sometimes indistinguishable from them. Throat, abdomen, and cloaca region white with small irregularly shaped black spots. Ventral spots usually most dense along sides of abdomen. Mental and shield scales usually dark brown, but occasionally dark green. Longitudinal line extending along lateral tail (25–50% total tail length) splits into small black or dark brown rectangular bars. Color becomes less vibrant, but otherwise does not change appreciably after  $>6$  mo in preservative (70% ethanol).



Fig. 5. Macrochromosome idiograms for Liolaemus parthenos, new species, and its hypothetical parental species.

**Etymology.**—The specific epithet *parthenos* is a Greek noun meaning "virgin" or "maiden," in reference to the presumed reproductive strategy of this all-female species.

Distribution.—Liolaemus parthenos is known only from the outskirts of El Nihuil, Salinas del Diamante, and from south of El Nihuil on Provincial Route 180 near Sierra El Nevado, San Rafael Department, Mendoza Province, Argentina (1305– 1600 m; Fig. 2).

Natural history.-Liolaemus parthenos is apparently an allfemale parthenogenetic species. Despite 24 collecting expeditions since 1995 for a total of approximately 650 combined person hours of observing and collecting this species in nature, and after examining more than 300 specimens (note only 65 specimens were collected and preserved because of restrictions imposed by collecting permits), no males were encountered. Thus, the probability of not encountering a male, if this was a bisexual species with an equal population sex ratio, would be  $0.5^{65}$  or  $2.7^{-20}$ , an infinitesimally small likelihood.

Field observations of active L. parthenos were made from October through February, and again in April. During summer months (December to February), lizards were active only in the morning and afternoon. The shift to bimodal activity apparently helps them avoid the extreme heat of midday. In April (fall), only juveniles were active at midday, indicating seasonal or ontogenetic variation in diel activity. Body temperatures ( $T<sub>b</sub>$ s) from four adult L. parthenos (SVL =

 $51.8\pm3.4$  mm) collected in the late afternoon of 11 February 1995 along the sandy shore of El Nihuil reservoir averaged 34.6 $\pm$ 3.4°C, which is similar to the field  $T<sub>b</sub>$ s reported for other low-elevation Liolaemus lizards (Espinoza et al., 2004).

Liolaemus parthenos is oviparous and reproduces in summer. Reproductively active females (well-developed eggs in oviducts) were observed in January ( $n = 5$ ), and nonreproductive females were found in December and February  $(n = 13)$ . However, in a different year, we found females with oviducts full of developing eggs in December ( $n = 11$ ). These observations suggest that the reproductive period begins in November and lasts until late January.

Liolaemus parthenos is sympatric with the lizards Aurivela longicauda (Teiidae), Diplolaemus sexcinctus and Leiosaurus bellii (Leiosauridae), and two congeneric species: L. gracilis

Table 1. Percentage of uncorrected pairwise distances between partial sequences of the mitochondrial ND1 gene of Liolaemus parthenos, new species, and the other species belonging to the L. grosseorum clade of the more inclusive L. boulengeri group. GenBank accession numbers appear in parentheses.

1 L. laurenti (AF099273.1)				
2 L. grosseorum (AF099272.1)	4.91			
3 L. darwinii (AF099274.1)	1.04	5.16		
4 L. darwinii (DQ002490.1)	1 2 1	4.97	0.86	
5 L. parthenos (AF099275.1)		1.39 4.97 1.27		

and L. grosseorum. Several species of snakes, which are potential predators of the new species, have also been observed in the area: the dipsadine colubrid snakes Oxyrhopus rhombifer, Philodryas trilineata, and Xenodon semicinctus, as well as the viperid Bothrops ammodytoides.

Cytogenetic analysis.—Liolaemus parthenos has a triploid karyotype with  $3n = 49$  chromosomes (19 macrochromosomes  $+30$  microchromosomes): 17 of the macrochromosomes are biarmed metacentric or submetacentric and two are acrocentric. All the microchromosomes appear to be acroor telocentric (Fig. 3). Because of their size and morphology, the macrochromosomes clustered into four groups: (1) the two largest pairs almost equal in size, but differing in centromere position (the first metacentric and the second submetacentric); (2) pairs 3 and 4 almost equal in size and morphology (with a centric fission in the haploid homologue of pair 3); (3) pair 5 (submetacentric in two homologues and metacentric in the third); and (4) pair 6, the smallest of the macrochromosomes with metacentric morphology. Only two of the homologous regions of chromosome pair 2 show secondary constrictions and areas with NORs in the telomere of their long arms.

Phylogenetic analysis.—The trees based on Bayesian inference and maximum parsimony were topologically very similar, with sources of conflict occurring solely at nodes that were weakly supported in one or both analyses (Fig. 4). With respect to the new species, both trees strongly supported the placement of L. parthenos in the L. grosseorum clade of the L. boulengeri group: L. boulengeri group (L. chacoensis group (L. laurenti group (L. darwinii group (L. grosseorum clade)))) (Fig. 4). The L. grosseorum clade is also supported by nine morphological synapomorphies (Abdala, 2007). Within the L. grosseorum clade, L. parthenos is in a well-supported yet unresolved clade with L. darwinii (Río Negro Province) and L. laurenti (Fig. 4A) or nested within a weakly supported clade containing populations of L. darwinii from the more southern provinces of Mendoza and Río Negro and those occurring farther north in La Rioja Province, with L. laurenti strongly supported as sister to these four lineages (Fig. 4B).

#### **DISCUSSION**

Based on previous studies (Schulte et al., 2000; Morando et al., 2004; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2007; Schulte, 2013) and the phylogenetic hypotheses presented here (Fig. 4), L. parthenos is closely related to L. darwinii and L. laurenti, species that are morphologically typical of the L. darwinii group (Etheridge, 1993; Abdala, 2007). The closest known locality for *L. laurenti* is approximately 300 km to the north of the type locality of L. parthenos, whereas L. darwinii has a much wider distribution (Abdala, 2007) including a small population that is sympatric with L. parthenos at the type locality of the latter species. It is noteworthy that a similarly proximate distributional association has been reported for a parthenogenetic species of Leiolepis and its matrilineal ancestor (Grismer and Grismer, 2010; Grismer et al., 2014). A close phylogenetic association between L. parthenos and L. darwinii has been reported in previous phylogenetic analyses based on mtDNA. Schulte et al. (2000) recovered L. parthenos (as ''L. boulengeri'') in the clade: L. grosseorum (L. darwinii (L. parthenos (L. laurenti))). A phylogeographic analysis of the L. darwinii group conducted by Morando et al. (2004) recovered L. parthenos (as "L. sp. nov.") as the sister taxon to L. laurenti + L. parthenos (as L. cf. darwinii; SDSU 3469, AF099275) as the sister taxon to  $L$ , *darwinii* in the clade  $(L)$ , *grosseorum*  $(L)$ . darwinii (L. parthenos  $+L$ . darwinii from Chubut))). Although L. parthenos is superficially similar to L. boulengeri, these three molecular studies each strongly support the relationships among L. parthenos, L. darwinii, L. laurenti, and L. grosseorum.

The most parsimonious interpretation of the triploid karyotype of L. parthenos (Fig. 5) is that the species arose via hybridization. The karyotype of L. parthenos (Fig. 4) has a clear diploid component  $(12M + 20m)$  contributed by one parental species and an additional haploid set  $(7M + 10m)$ provided by the other parental genome (Fig. 5). In the haploid component the two acrocentric macrochromosomes are interpreted as homologs of the two arms (3p and 3q) of pair 3 (3a) in the 2n set. The 30 microchromosomes of L. parthenos are best interpreted as ten pairs from the diploid genome ( $2n = 20$ ), and 10m from the haploid genome.

The low levels of genetic distance between L. parthenos and L. darwinii and L. laurenti (Table 1; Schulte et al., 2000; Morando et al., 2004; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2007) suggest that one or both of these two species, or one or more closely related undescribed or extinct taxa, are the maternal ancestor(s). This conclusion is further supported if we assume a hybrid origin and that the number and morphology of the chromosomes are the same as the inferred parental species, L. darwinii (Fig. 5), which has the chromosomal complement  $2n = 32-34$  (12M + 20–22m; Aiassa et al., 2005). Unfortunately, no cytogenetic data are currently available for L. laurenti. Although analyses based on mtDNA (Schulte et al., 2000; Morando et al., 2004; Pincheira-Donoso et al., 2007; this study) have provided clues of the identity of the maternal ancestor of *L. parthenos*, studies including nuclear gene sequences are needed to identify the paternal ancestor, which is likely L. *grosseorum* or L. *laurenti* based on genetic and morphological similarity and geographic proximity.

We detected structural heteromorphisms in the chromosomes of *L. parthenos*, which supports the hypothesis that this species is the product of a hybrid origin with two or three parental species, as is the case for most other triploid unisexual vertebrates (Vrijenhoek et al., 1989; Kraus, 1995; Schmidt, 1996; Avise, 2008; Lamborot, 2008; Neaves and Baumann, 2011). The species of Liolaemus examined to date have gonochoristic reproduction, and the 79 species studied cytogenetically have diploid karyotypes with 28–44 chromosomes (Aiassa et al., 2005; Lamborot, 2008). The variation in chromosome number among species of Liolaemus results from chromosomal fusions and fissions. Natural triploids have been reported in Chilean populations of L. chiliensis and L. gravenhorstii, each of which has  $3n = 48$  chromosomes (Lamborot and Vásquez, 1998; Lamborot et al., 2006), and although Lamborot (2008) suspected that some populations of these species might be or eventually evolve unisexuality, to date, no such populations have been reported.

Early ecological studies of parthenogenetic whiptail lizards (Aspidoscelis) from southwestern North America described their niches as ''weed habitats,'' which were considered intermediate to those occupied by their parental species (Wright and Lowe, 1968). The adaptive explanation for this shift in habitat was, although sympatric (at least initially), interspecific competition would be reduced by a lack of syntopy between the parthenogen and its ancestors. Darevsky et al. (1985) extended this idea, asserting that the habitats occupied by parthenogenetic species should exceed that of their individual parental species because parthenogens

possess the genotypes of two or more parental species. In the case of L. parthenos, there appears to be little habitat segregation between the new species and its potential parental species. For example, L. parthenos occurs syntopically with L. darwinii and L. grosseorum. In fact, most lowelevation members of the L. darwinii group have the same microhabitat preferences and several have overlapping distributions (Cei, 1986; Etheridge, 1993; Abdala, 2007). Thus, L. parthenos may not conform to the expected niche segregation with its parental species because of historical contingency.

We provide strong evidence for the first unisexual species belonging to the large lizard clade Pleurodonta, extending the occurrence of this rare cytogenetic and reproductive anomaly among vertebrates generally, and for squamate reptiles specifically. Although the mechanism of unisexual reproduction in this species remains to be determined, all known unisexual reptiles species are apparently parthenogenetic (meiotically unreduced ova developed in the absence of sperm) with no records of gynogenesis (sperm-dependent unisexuality) or hybridogenesis (Beukeboom and Vrijenhoek, 1998). Examination of additional molecular markers, detailed field studies, high-resolution cytogenetic techniques, and studies of congeneric species will allow us to establish the type of unisexuality, mechanistic origin, and evolutionary history of unisexuality in this unique lizard species.

#### MATERIAL EXAMINED

We examined more than 1560 specimens (276 in list below  $+$ 1284 in Abdala, 2007) of Liolaemus focusing primarily on 60 of the currently recognized species in the L. boulengeri group. Specimens examined previously and listed in Abdala (2007) are not included here. The list below includes members of the L. boulengeri group described after the publication of Abdala (2007), plus specimens of other species examined since 2007. Specimens are from Argentina unless otherwise noted. Institutional abbreviations follow Sabaj Pérez (2014), with the following additions: Colección del Consejo Regional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas de Mendoza (CRYCIT) and Museo Patagónico de Ciencias Naturales, General Roca, Río Negro (MPCN). Some FML lots include more than one individual.

Liolaemus acostai ( $n = 20$ ): FML 690, San Juan Province, Caucete Department, 20 km from Caucete; FML 3734, San Juan Province, Jachal Department, Posta el Balde, km 241 on Ruta Nacional 40; FML 16336–37, 18398, 24118–26, San Juan Province, Albardón Department, La Laja; FML 22437-43, San Juan Province, Albardón Department, La Laja, Baños de Salado, 20 km N of San Juan capital; FML 24127, San Juan Province, Zonda Department, bed of Río San Juan; FML 24128, San Juan Province, Zonda Department, Barreal de Matagusano.

Liolaemus camarones ( $n = 10$ ): FML 13052, Chubut Province, Florentino Ameghino Department, Bahía Camarones, Lola Beach; FML 13965, Chubut Province, Florentino Ameghino Department, 18 km S of Camarones; FML 23301–08, Chubut Province, Florentino Ameghino Department, Bahía Camarones, 3 km S of Camarones.

Liolaemus chehuachekenk ( $n = 2$ ): MLP.S 2535 (holotype), 2536 (paratype), Chubut Province, Cushamen Department, SW slope of Calcatapul Mountains, 8 km N of El Molle on Provincial Route 13, 900 m.

Liolaemus cuyumhue ( $n = 7$ ): MACN 38981 (holotype), Neuquén Province, Añelo Basin, 28.7 km NW Añelo, 38°11′S, 69°01′W, 259 m; FML 17592–94, MACN 38982–84 (paratypes), Neuquén Province, southern edge of Ruta Provincial 7, 38°13′S, 68°57′W, 260 m.

Liolaemus diaguita ( $n = 16$ ): MCNI 3247 (holotype), Salta Province, Guachipas Department; FML 23821–25 (paratypes), MCNI 3145–50, 3243, 3248–50 (paratypes), Salta Province, Guachipas Department, Quebrada de las Conchas, 61 km N of Cafayate, 400 m S of Río Las Conchas, 25°47′07.1″S, 65°41′26.1″W, 1328 m.

Liolaemus dumerili ( $n = 20$ ): FML 24169 (holotype), FML 24170–88 (paratypes), Río Negro Province, 9 de Julio Department, Sierra Colorada, km marker 23 of Provincial Route 212 on route to Los Menucos, 40°32′54.5″S,  $67^{\circ}37'10.4''W$ .

Liolaemus goetschi (n = 20): FML 21501-07, 21511, MPCN 57-65, Río Negro Province, General Roca Department, Laguna Playa, ca. 20 km N of General Roca, 30°52′59″S, 67°34′08″W; FML 21508, Río Negro Province, General Roca Department, 20 km S of Catriel on National Route 151; FML 21509–10, Río Negro Province, General Roca Department, 50 km S of Catriel, on National Route 151.

Liolaemus lutzae ( $n = 7$ ): FML 1287, Brazil, Rio de Janeiro Province, Cabo Frio.

Liolaemus mapuche ( $n = 10$ ): FML 17005, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, 13 km S of Paso de Los Indios; FML 17150–58, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, 4 km before Ramón Castro.

Liolaemus millcayac ( $n = 16$ ): FML 28600 (holotype), 28601– 03 (paratypes), Mendoza Province, Lavalle Department, N of Mendoza 1 km from National Route 40 on detour to Lagunas del Rosario; FML 22434–36, San Juan Province, 25 de Mayo Department, National Route 141, 46 km NW of El Encón; FML 24129–32, La Rioja Province, Rosario Vera Peñaloza Department, S of Macasin; MLP 86–87, San Luis Province, Belgrano Department, Sierra del Gigante; MLP 88–90, San Luis Province, Belgrano Department, Alto Pencoso.

Liolaemus morenoi ( $n = 14$ ): FML 17020–28, Neuquén Province, Collon Curá Department, 2 km N of Cerrito Piñon; FML 22206-10, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, 20 km SW to Zapala on National Route 40.

Liolaemus occipitalis ( $n = 9$ ): FML 1288-89, 2618-20, Brazil, Rio Grande do Sul Province, Tramandai.

Liolaemus parthenos ( $n = 70$ ): FML 16221 (holotype), FML 16222–24 (paratypes), Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, dunes next to El Nihuil Dam on Provincial Route 180, 35°2′19.77″S, 68°40′12.60″W; FML 23485–88, Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, 37 km S of El Nihuil on Provincial Route 180, near Cerro Trintrica, 35°21′35.29″S, 68°42′26.38″W; FML 24480–84, LGE 9376–77 (seven karyotyped specimens), LGE 9378–79, Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, near El Nihuil Dam; IBAUNC 9772–73, 9775–77 (paratypes), Mendoza Province, San Rafael Depart-

ment, 10 to 60 km S of El Nihuil, 35°7′0.71″S, 68°41′4.28″W to 35°33′49.47″S, 68°41′15.14″W, 1400–1600 m; IBAUNC 11431–35 (paratypes), Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, Pampa del Diamante, 34°54′44.39″S, 68°51′38.58″W, 1400 m; LGE 9380–82 (3 karyotyped specimens), Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, Salinas del Diamante, Provincial Route 144 at km marker 728, 34°54′40.23″S, 68°50′9.39″W; MHNSR 78–82 (paratypes), Mendoza Province, San Rafael Department, Club de Pescadores, El Nihuil, 35°2′10.54″S, 68°42′33.84″W.

Liolaemus pipanaco ( $n = 7$ ): FML 1480, 1501–02, Catamarca Province, Andalgalá Department, Salar de Pipanaco; FML 18396, Catamarca Province, Andalgalá Department, pass through Río Blanco, W of entrance to Salar de Pipanaco, 27°49′23.9″S, 65°14′38.2″W; FML 19225, Catamarca Province, Andalgala´ Department, Salar de Pipanaco, entrance to Río Blanco, 36 km S of Andalgalá, 737 m.

Liolaemus purul ( $n = 25$ ): FML 24153 (holotype), FML 24154– 63 (paratypes), Neuquén Province, La Rinconada Department, 6 km N of San Ignacio on National Route 40 on way to Zapala, 39°50′54.4″S, 70°39′52.6″W, 1071 m; FML 21473–74, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, Parque Nacional Laguna Blanca, near park ranger station, 39°02′38.5″S, 70°19′36.4″W, 1272 m; FML 21482, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, Parque Nacional Laguna Blanca; FML 21499, 21534–35, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, Parque Nacional Laguna Blanca entrance gate on Provincial Route 46, 39°02′55.8″S, 70°16′36.2″W, 1306 m; FML 22187– 88, Neuquén Province, Collón Curá Department, 35 km S of Collón-Curá; FML 22211, Neuquén Province, Collón Curá Department, 2 km S of Collón Curá bridge; FML 24164–65, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, on Provincial Route 13 on way to Primeros Pinos,  $38^{\circ}52'16.1''$ S,  $70^{\circ}19'17.9''$ W, 1275 m; FML 24166-68, Neuquén Province, Zapala Department, 1 km S of Provincial Route 13 and 41 km W of Zapala, 38°51′14.4″S, 70°30′22.3″W, 1288 m.

Liolaemus shehuen  $(n = 8)$ : FML 22191–95, Chubut Province, Telsen Department, 60 km W of Telsen; FML 22217–19, Chubut Province, Telsen Department, 80 km W of Telsen.

Liolaemus tromen  $(n = 8)$ : FML 17735 (holotype), FML 17731-34, 17736 (paratypes), Neuquén Province, El Cholar Department, km marker 140 on Provincial Route 21, 7 km N of El Huecú; FML 22386-87, Neuquén Province, Chos Malal Department, near Tromen Volcano, 37°04′56.8″S, 70°06′15.5″W, 2189 m.

Liolaemus xanthoviridis  $(n = 7)$ : FML 21259-65, Chubut Province, Florentino Ameghino Department, 22 km NE of Dos Pozos on Provincial Route 75.

GenBank accession numbers.—Only individuals not included in previous studies (and previously accessioned in GenBank) are listed here. Museum acronyms follow those listed above. Liolaemus boulengeri (FML 15733): KP190030

Liolaemus calchaqui (FML 17019): KP190031 Liolaemus canqueli (MCN 1294): KP190032 Liolaemus darwinii (FML 17104): KP190033 Liolaemus espinozai (MCN 213): KP190034 Liolaemus inacayali (FML 13233): KP190035 Liolaemus lavillai (MCN 1730): KP190036 Liolaemus loboi (FML 13254): KP190037

Liolaemus mapuche (FML 11462): KP190038 Liolaemus senguer (FML 23679): KP190039 Liolaemus tehuelche (FML 13218): KP190040 Liolaemus xanthoviridis (FML 17033): KP190041

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to E. Abdala, J. Abdala, J. L. Acosta, I. Aguer, F. Arias, A. Brunetti, C. Carrizo, H. Carrizo, R. Etheridge, M. Halloy, M. Juarez, J. P. Juliá, A. Laspiur, F. Lobo, E. Malovini, M. Maronna, F. Martínez, J. Nori, F. Parisi, M. Paz, S. Quinteros, C. Robles, M. J. Salica, J. Schulte, G. Scrocchi, J. Semhan, R. Semhan, J. C. Stazzonelli, S. Torres, and J. Wiens for assistance. We thank the Departamento de Fauna, Mendoza, Museo del Historia Natural, San Rafael, Escuela de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Tucumán, and Instituto de Herpetología, Fundación Miguel Lillo (FML), Tucumán for support. Specimens were collected under permits 090/02, 034/06, 1397/07, 821/10, 296/10, 1259/10, 1296/10 issued by the Departamento de Fauna, Mendoza and were deposited in the herpetological collections of the FML, MHNSR, and MLP. Chromosome samples were deposited in the Laboratorio de Genética Evolutiva, Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Argentina.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Abdala, C. S. 2003. Cuatro nuevas especies del género Liolaemus (Iguania: Liolaemidae), pertenecientes al grupo boulengeri, de la Patagonia, Argentina. Cuadernos de Herpetología 17:3–32.
- Abdala, C. S. 2005. Dos nuevas especies del género Liolaemus (Iguania: Liolaemidae) y redescripción de Liolaemus boulengeri (Koslowsky, 1898). Cuadernos de Herpetología 19:3-33.
- Abdala, C. S. 2007. Phylogeny of the boulengeri group (Iguania: Liolaemidae, Liolaemus) based on morphological and molecular characters. Zootaxa 1538:1–84.
- Abdala, C. S., and J. M. Díaz Gómez. 2006. A new species of the Liolaemus darwinii group (Iguania: Liolaemidae) from Catamarca Province, Argentina. Zootaxa 1317:21–33.
- Abdala, C. S., and S. Quinteros. 2014. Los últimos 30 años de estudios de la familia de lagartijas más diversa de Argentina. Actualización taxonómica y sistemática de Liolaemidae. Cuadernos de Herpetología 28:55–82.
- Adams, M., R. Foster, M. N. Hutchinson, R. G. Hutchinson, and S. C. Donnellan. 2003. The Australian scincid lizard Menetia greyii: a new instance of widespread vertebrate parthenogenesis. Evolution 57:2619–2627.
- Aiassa, D., R. Martori, and N. Gorla. 2005. Citogenética de los lagartos del género Liolaemus (Iguania: Liolaemidae) de América del sur. Cuadernos de Herpetología 18:23-36.
- Alfaro, M. E., S. Zoller, and F. Lutzoni. 2003. Bayes or bootstrap? A simulation study comparing the performance of Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and bootstrapping in assessing phylogenetic confidence. Molecular Biology and Evolution 20:255–266.
- Avise, J. C. 2008. Clonality: The Genetics, Ecology, and Evolution of Sexual Abstinence in Vertebrate Animals. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Beukeboom, L. W., and R. C. Vrijenhoek. 1998. Evolutionary genetics and ecology of sperm-dependent parthenogenesis. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 11:755–782.
- Bickham, J. W. 1984. Patterns and modes of chromosomal evolution in reptiles, p. 213–240. In: Chromosomes in

Evolution of Eukaryotic Groups, Volume II. A. K. Sharma and A. Sharma (eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.

- Booth, W., D. H. Johnson, S. Moore, C. Schal, and E. Vargo. 2011. Evidence for viable, non-clonal but fatherless Boa Constrictors. Biology Letters 7:253–256.
- Cei, J. M. 1973. Herpetología patagónica VII. Notas ecológicas y morfólogicas sobre Liolaemus bibroni [sic] y L. boulengeri (Sauria, Iguanidae). Physis 32:459–469.
- Cei, J. M. 1986. Reptiles del Centro, Centro-oeste y Sur de la Argentina. Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino: Monografie 4:1–527.
- Cei, J. M., and L. P. Castro. 1978. Atlas de los vertebrados inferiores de la región de Cuyo. Publicaciones Ocasionales del Instituto de Biología Animal, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Serie Científica 2:1-38.
- Cei, J. M., and V. G. Roig. 1976. Fauna y ecosistemas del oeste a´rido Argentino. I. Reptiles de la Provincia de Mendoza. Deserta 4:69–91.
- Chiszar, D., T. Gingery, B. Gingery, and H. M. Smith. 1999. Phymaturus patagonicus (Argentine chuckwalla). Facultative parthenogensis. Herpetological Review 30:98.
- Darevsky, I. S., L. A. Kupriyanova, and T. Uzzell. 1985. Parthenogenesis in reptiles, p. 411–526. In: Biology of the Reptilia, Volume 15. C. Gans and F. Billett (eds.). J. Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Dawley, R. M. 1989. An introduction to unisexual vertebrates, p. 1–18. In: Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates. R. M. Dawley and J. P. Bogart (eds.). New York State Museum Bulletin 466, New York.
- Douady, C. J., F. Delsuc, Y. Boucher, W. F. Doolittle, and E. J. P. Douzery. 2003. Comparison of Bayesian and maximum likelihood bootstrap measures of phylogenetic reliability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 20:248–254.
- Espinoza, R. E., J. J. Wiens, and C. R. Tracy. 2004. Recurrent evolution of herbivory in small, cold-climate lizards: breaking the ecophysiological rules of reptilian herbivory. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101:16819–16824.
- Etheridge, R. 1993. Lizards of the Liolaemus darwinii complex (Squamata: Iguania: Tropiduridae) in northern Argentina. Bollettino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino 11:137–199.
- Etheridge, R. 1995. Redescription of Ctenoblepharys adspersa Tschudi, 1845, and the taxonomy of Liolaeminae (Reptilia: Squamata: Tropiduridae). American Museum Novitates 3142:1–34.
- Frost, D. R. 1992. Phylogenetic analysis and taxonomy of the Tropidurus group of lizards (Iguania: Tropiduridae). American Museum Novitates 3033:1–68.
- Goloboff, P., J. Farris, and K. Nixon. 2003. TNT: Tree Analysis Using New Technology, v. 1.0. Available at: www. zmuc.dk/public/phylogeny/TNT/
- Gorman, G. C., L. Atkins, and T. Holzinger. 1967. New karyotypic data on 15 genera of lizards in the family Iguanidae, with a discussion of taxonomic and cytological implications. Cytogenetics 6:286–299.
- Grismer, J. L., A. M. Bauer, L. L. Grismer, K. Thirakhupt, A. Aowphol, J. R. Oaks, P. L. Wood, Jr., C. K. Onn, N. Thy, M. Cota, and T. Jackman. 2014. Multiple origins of parthenogenesis, and a revised species phylogeny for the Southeast Asian butterfly lizards, Leiolepis. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 113:1080–1093.
- Grismer, J. L., and L. L. Grismer. 2010. Who's your mommy? Identifying maternal ancestors of asexual species of Leiolepis Cuvier, 1829 and the description of a new

endemic species of asexual Leiolepis Cuvier, 1829 from southern Vietnam. Zootaxa 2433:47–61.

- Howell, W. M., and D. A. Black. 1980. Controlled silverstaining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a 1-step method. Experientia 36:1014– 1015.
- Kearney, M., M. K. Fujita, and J. Ridenour. 2009. Lost sex in the reptiles: constraints and correlations, p. 447–474. In: Lost Sex: The Evolutionary Biology of Parthenogenesis. I. Schön, K. Martens, and P. van Dijk (eds.). Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- Kluge, A. G., and J. S. Farris. 1969. Quantitative phyletics and the evolution of anurans. Systematic Zoology 18:1–32.
- Kraus, F. 1995. The conservation of unisexual vertebrate populations. Conservation Biology 9:956–959.
- Lamborot, M. 2008. Evolución cromosómica en reptiles, p. 161-193. In: Herpetología de Chile. M. A. Vidal Maldonado and A. Labra Lillo (eds.). Science Verlag, Santiago, Chile.
- Lamborot, M., M. E. Manzur, and E. Alvarez-Sarret. 2006. Triploidy and mosaicism in Liolaemus chiliensis (Sauria: Tropiduridae). Genome 49:445–453.
- Lamborot, M., and M. Vásquez. 1998. A triploid lizard (Liolaemus gravenhorsti) [sic] from Chile. Journal of Herpetology 32:617–620.
- Lampert, K. P. 2008. Facultative parthenogenesis in vertebrates: reproductive error or chance? Sexual Development 2:290–301.
- Levan, A., K. Fredga, and A. A. Sandberg. 1964. Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52:201–220.
- Lewis, P. O., M. T. Holder, and K. E. Holsinger. 2005. Polytomies and Bayesian phylogenetic inference. Systematic Biology 54:241–253.
- Lobo, F., and R. E. Espinoza. 1999. Two new cryptic species of Liolaemus (Iguania: Tropiduridae) from northwestern Argentina: resolution of the purported reproductive biomodality of Liolaemus alticolor. Copeia 1999:122–140.
- Lobo, F., R. E. Espinoza, and S. Quinteros. 2010. A critical review and systematic discussion of recent classification proposals for liolaemid lizards. Zootaxa 2549:1–30.
- Malysheva, D. N., I. S. Darevsky, O. N. Tokarskaya, V. G. Petrosyan, I. A. Martirosyan, and A. P. Ryskov. 2006. Analysis of genetic variation in unisexual and bisexual lizard species of the genus Leiolepis from Southeast Asia. Russian Journal of Genetics 42:463–467.
- Morando, M., L. J. Avila, J. Baker, and J. W. Sites, Jr. 2004. Phylogeny and phylogeography of the Liolaemus darwinii complex (Squamata: Liolaemidae): evidence for introgression and incomplete lineage sorting. Evolution 58:842– 861.
- Neaves, W. B., and P. Baumann. 2011. Unisexual reproduction in vertebrates. Trends in Genetics 27:81–88.
- Olave, M., L. J. Avila, J. W. Sites, Jr., and M. Morando. 2014. Multilocus phylogeny of the widely distributed South American lizard clade Eulaemus (Liolaemini, Liolaemus). Zoologica Scripta 43:323–337.
- Paull, D., E. E. Williams, and W. P. Hall. 1976. Lizard karyotypes from the Galapagos Islands: chromosomes in phylogeny and evolution. Breviora 441:1–31.
- Pincheira-Donoso, D., J. A. Scolaro, and J. A. Schulte, II. 2007. The limits of polymorphism in Liolaemus rothi: molecular and phenotypic evidence for a new species of the Liolaemus boulengeri clade (Iguanidae, Liolaemini) from boreal Patagonia of Chile. Zootaxa 1452:25–42.
- Posada, D. 2008. jModelTest: phylogenetic model averaging. Molecular Biology and Evolution 25:1253–1256.
- Pyron, R. A., F. T. Burbrink, and J. J. Wiens. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. BMC Evolutionary Biology 13:93.
- Rambaut, A., and A. J. Drummond. 2007. Tracer, v. 1.5. MCMC trace analysis package. Available at: http://tree.bio. ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/
- Reeder, T. W., T. M. Townsend, D. G. Mulcahy, B. P. Noonan, P. L. Wood, Jr., J. W. Sites, Jr., and J. J. Wiens. 2015. Integrated analyses resolve conflicts over squamate reptile phylogeny and reveal unexpected placements for fossil taxa. PLOS ONE 10:e0118199.
- Ronquist, F., M. Teslenko, P. van der Mark, D. L. Ayres, A. Darling, S. Hohna, B. Larget, L. Liu, M. A. Suchard, and ¨ J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2011. MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. Systematic Biology 61:1–4.
- Sabaj Pérez, M. H. (Ed.). 2014. Standard symbolic codes for institutional resource collections in herpetology and ichthyology: an online reference. Version 5.0 (22 September 2014). Electronically accessible at http://www.asih.org/, American Society of Ichthyologists and Herpetologists, Washington, D.C.
- Schmid, M., J. P. Bogart, and S. B. Hedges (Eds.). 2010. The Chromosomes of Terraranan Frogs: Insights into Vertebrate Cytogenetics. Karger, Basel, Switzerland.
- Schmidt, B. R. 1996. Sexual and asexual reproduction in vertebrates. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 11:253–254.
- Schulte, J. A., II. 2013. Undersampling taxa will underestimate molecular divergence dates: an example from the South American lizard clade Liolaemini. International Journal of Evolutionary Biology 2013:1–12.
- Schulte, J. A., II, J. R. Macey, R. E. Espinoza, and A. Larson. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships in the iguanid lizard genus Liolaemus: multiple origins of viviparous reproduction and evidence for recurring Andean vicariance and dispersal. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 69:75– 102.
- Smith, H. M. 1946. Handbook of Lizards: Lizards of the United States and of Canada. Comstock Publishing, Ithaca, New York.
- Townsend, T. M., D. G. Mulcahy, B. P. Noonan, J. W. Sites, Jr., C. A. Kuczynski, J. J. Wiens, and T. W. Reeder. 2011. Phylogeny of iguanian lizards inferred from 29 nuclear loci, and a comparison of concatenated and species-tree approaches for an ancient, rapid radiation. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 61:363–380.
- Uetz, P., and J. Hošek (Eds.). 2015. The Reptile Database. Available at: http://www.reptile-database.org/. Accessed 18 August 2015.
- Vrijenhoek, R. C., R. M. Dawley, C. J. Cole, and J. P. Bogart. 1989. A list of the known unisexual vertebrates, p. 19–23. In: Evolution and Ecology of Unisexual Vertebrates. R. M. Dawley and J. P. Bogart (eds.). New York State Museum Bulletin 466, New York.
- Wiens, J. J., C. R. Hutter, D. G. Mulcahy, B. P. Noonan, T. M. Townsend, J. W. Sites, and T. W. Reeder. 2012. Resolving the phylogeny of lizards and snakes (Squamata) with extensive sampling of genes and species. Biology Letters 8:1043–1046.
- Wright, J. W., and C. H. Lowe. 1968. Weeds, polyploids, parthenogenesis, and geographical and ecological distribution of all-female species of Cnemidophorus. Copeia 1968: 128–138.