IJPSR (2015), Vol. 6, Issue 9



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL



Received on 11 February, 2015; received in revised form, 14 April, 2015; accepted, 05 June, 2015; published 01 September, 2015

SALIVA AS A NONINVASIVE BIOLOGICAL SAMPLE TO COMPARE BIOAVAILABILITY OF PHENYTOIN FORMULATIONS BY LC-MS/MS

M. N. Baldo^{1, 2}, G. A. Hunzicker¹, J. C. Altamirano^{3, 4}, M. C. Murguía⁵ and G. J. Hein^{*1, 2}

Dominguez Lab¹, Martín de Moussy 41, (3100) Paraná, Entre Ríos, Argentina.

Instituto de Ciencias Veterinarias del Litoral² (ICiVet Litoral) -Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL) -

CONICET, R.P. Kreder 2805, (3000) Esperanza, Santa Fe, Argentina

Laboratorio de Química Ambiental³, Instituto Argentino de Nivología, Glaciología y Ciencias Ambientales (IANIGLA)- CONICET-Mendoza, P.O. Box 330 (5500) Mendoza, Argentina

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales⁴, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Argentina

Laboratorio de Química Aplicada⁵, Facultad de Bioquímica y Ciencias Biológicas-UNL - Cdad. Univ. Pje. El Pozo. (3000) Santa Fe. Argentina

Keywords:

Phenytoin; Saliva; Bioequivalence; LC-MS/MS; TDM; Pharmacokinetics

Correspondence to Author: Gustavo J. Hein

Instituto de Ciencias Veterinarias del Litoral (ICiVet Litoral) -Universidad Nacional del Litoral (UNL)-CONICET, R.P. Kreder 2805, (3000) Esperanza, Santa Fe, Argentina.

E-mail: ghein@santafe-conicet.gov.ar

ABSTRACT: The use of noninvasive biological samples such as saliva, it is of great interest for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), including the anticonvulsants Phenytoin (PHT). A simple analytical methodology by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry was developed and validated to carry out a relative comparative bioavailability (RBA) study of two PHT formulations in human plasma and saliva and the subsequent correlation between both matrixes. A single-dose, randomized-sequence, open-label, two-way crossover study, was conducted in 24 healthy Latin American male volunteers. The bioequivalence of 200 mg PHT tablet was evaluated using plasma and saliva to determine $C_{max},\ T_{max},\ AUC_{0\text{-}t}$ and $AUC_{0\text{-}\infty}.$ Figures of merit of the proposed methodology were as follows: linear ranges of 40-5055 ng/mL and 5-1340 ng/mL for plasma and saliva, respectively, with a correlation coefficient of (r)>0.999. The LOD and LOQ were 15 ng/mL -40ng/mL for plasma and 1.5 ng/mL - 5.0 ng/mL for saliva. Accuracy, precision (as %CV) and recovery were accepted according the bioequivalence criteria. Stability showed %bias<15%. The PHT saliva/PHT plasma ratios for all parameters were: 5.4, 2.2, 1.4 for C_{max} and 1.7, 1.2, 1.1 for AUC. Plasma and saliva results were correlated (R=0.9889 and R=0.9947 for Reference and Test, respectively). Saliva not only is a suitable matrix for RBA studies and TDM, but also a more convenient tool since it provides analogous information with mayor analytical, ethical, and biosafety advantages. However, an additional pre-study of product dissolution in oral cavity is suggested before carrying out complete RBA studies for prompt-release drugs.

INTRODUCTION: Epilepsy is one of the most serious disorders of the central nervous system. It affects over 50 million people worldwide and it may cause persistent deformity and a decrease in quality of life 1 .

QUICK RESPONSE CODE				
	DOI: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.6(9).3752-60			
部建	Article can be accessed online on: www.ijpsr.com			
DOI link: http://dx.doi.org/10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.6(9).3752-60				

The drug 5,5-diphenyl-imidazoline-2,4-dione, commonly known as phenytoin (PHT), is one of the drugs most widely used as anticonvulsant to treat many of the epileptic seizure types and it is usually given in daily oral doses of 200 to 600 mg. PHT is highly bound to serum albumin protein and is excreted almost completely in bile as inactive metabolites, which is then reabsorbed from the intestinal tract and excreted in the urine^{1, 2}.

In the last 30 years, the use of saliva for therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM), including anticonvulsants such as PHT, has gained considerable interest^{2, 3, 4,}

^{5, 6, 7}. This oral fluid can be easily obtained without patient discomfort, and in most used anticonvulsant drugs it has shown relationships between saliva and blood concentrations ². Saliva contains only unbound drug which is able to interact with the intracellular receptor sites and to exert effect ^{2, 8, 9}.

Thus, a correlation between PHT data in saliva and plasma would be very useful for comparative bioavailability (RBA) studies^{10, 11}. Moreover, TDM of anticonvulsant received early attention due to its pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics properties^{8, 12} and several recent developments have provided renewed impetus for the use of this biological fluid in the TDM ^{2,13, 14}.

Different analytical methods to determine drug concentrations in biological samples include reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) with ultraviolet (UV) detection or tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS)^{1,14,15, 16}.

Therefore, the aims of the present study were: i) to develop and validate a simple method by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) for PHT determination in human plasma and saliva, ii) to determine correlations between plasma and saliva PHT concentrations in the same health volunteers and its potential application in RBA studies and TDM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Chemicals and Reagents:

TABLE 1. LC-MS/MS CONDITIONS

PHT and the internal standard (IS) clonazepam (CZP) were supplied by a pharmaceutical company and stored in a vacuum desiccator. Acetonitrile (ACN) HPLC grade and ammonium acetate for analysis (ACS, Reag. Ph. Eur.) were from Carlo Erba Reagents and Merck, respectively. Ultrapure water HPLC grade was from Tedia (Fairfield,

USA). Blank human saliva was obtained from healthy, drug-free volunteers. The test and reference formulations contained 100mg of PHT were supplied by the pharmaceutical company.

LC-MS/MS conditions:

The detection was performed by an Applied Biosystems Sciex (API 3200) triple quadrupole MS/MS with an electrospray (ESI) interface operating in negative and positive mode (switch system), and using the multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode (**Table 1**). Desolvatation temperature (°C), nebullizer gas (psi), desolvatation gas (psi) and entrance potential (V), were set at 500, 50, 40 and -10 (PHT) 10.5 (CZP), respectively. Data were acquired and processed using ANALYST (version 1.5.1; ABSciex, Toronto, Canada).

The high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system was a Shimadzu LC-20AD consisting of a binary pump equipped with autosampler SIL-HTc. Chromatographic separation was performed with a Hypersil Gold C₁₈ column (50 mm x 2.1 mm i.d, 1.9 μ m particle size for plasma and 100 mm x 2.1 mm i.d, 3.0 particle size for saliva) maintained at 40 °C.

The two mobile phase components were as follows. Mobile Phase (A):ACN and Mobile Phase (B): 3 mM ammonium acetate. A linear gradient was programmed as 50% mobile phase A, it was held isocratically for 0.40 min increasing to 68% mobile phase A at 0.90 min, then it was maintained isocratically to 1.70 min and returning at 1.71 min to 50% mobile phase A to the end of the run. The injection volume, run time and flow rate for plasma analysis were: 20 μ L, 4 min and 0.25 mL/min; while for saliva analysis were 50 μ L, 3 min and 0.21 mL/min. The autosampler was kept at room temperature.

Compound	Precursor Ion (m/z)	Product Ions (m/z)*	Dwell Time (msec)	Collision Energy (V)	Cone Voltage (mV)	Ion Source	Ionization Method	Scan Mode
рит	251.1	102.0 (Q)	300.0	-30.00	4500	FSI	Negative	MPM
1111	231.1	208.0 (C)	300.0	-24.00	-4500	LSI	Regative	
CZP (IS)	316.0	269.9	300.0	29.00	5500	ESI	Positive	MRM

*m/z: mass-charge ratio; Q: quantification transition; C: confirmation transition

Preparations of standards and quality control samples:

Stock solutions of PHT and IS were prepared in ACN-water (50:50, v/v) at concentrations of 1 mg/mL. An aliquot of PHT stock solution was diluted with ACN to obtain the working solutions (WS) and it were prepared fresh daily. Calibration curves of PHT were prepared by spiking blank human plasma and saliva in a concentration range of 40-5050 ng/mL and 5-1340 ng/mL, respectively. Blank human plasma and saliva were obtained from healthy. drug-free volunteers. Each concentration was analyzed in triplicate. Plasma quality control (QC) samples at 101.6, 1016.1 and 4549.7 ng/mL were prepared using WS to blank human plasma. Saliva QC samples at 13.4, 446.7 and 893.3 ng/mL were prepared using WS to blank human saliva. Five QC samples for each level (low QC (LQC), medium QC (MQC) and high QC (HQC)) were analyzed on each validation day (n =3).

The spiked plasma and saliva samples (calibration points and QC) were extracted in each analytical batch along with the unknown samples.

Sample Preparation:

Samples and QC were thawed at room temperature on the day of analysis. Plasma: Aliquots of 300 µL of sample or QC were mixed with 100 µL 2.61 µg/mL WS-IS (final concentration of 870ng/mL in human plasma) into a 2 mL centrifuge tube. A 500µLACN aliquot was added in order to induce protein precipitation. Samples were homogenized by vortex agitation and further centrifuged at 3000 x g for 5 min. A 500 µL aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to an auto sampler vial, diluted with 500 µL of HPLC water and injected into a LC-MS/MS system for analysis as described above. Saliva: Aliquots of 200 µL of sample or QC were mixed with 50 µL 440 ng/mL WS-IS (final concentration of 110 ng/mL in human saliva) into a 2 mL centrifuge tube.

A 150 μ L ACN aliquot was added in order to induce protein precipitation. Samples were homogenized by vortex agitation and further centrifuged at 3000 x g for 5 min. Then a volume of 100 μ L of supernatant was transferred to an auto sampler vial, diluted with 100 μ L of HPLC water, and injected into a LC-MS/MS system for analysis as described above.

Analytical method validation:

The method was validated by determining the following parameters: specificity, linearity, working range, recovery, accuracy, precision, limit of quantification (LOQ) and stability studies, according to the regulations for validation of bioanalytical methods^{17, 18, 19, 20}.

Volunteers:

Twenty four healthy Latin American male volunteers participated in this study, which was conducted at the Biopharmaceutical Research Center Dominguez Lab. The volunteers were between 18 - 40 years old (25.3 ± 6.9 years), their body weights were between 65 - 90 kg (78.1 ± 7.4 kg) and their heights were between 161 - 186 cm $(176.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ cm})$. All volunteers were selected after being screened by physical examination and clinical laboratory tests, including renal and liver functions and routine blood. Volunteers with a history of drug allergies, renal or hepatic impairment, or drug or alcohol abuse were excluded. The consumption of alcohol or beverages and food containing xanthines was not permitted for the volunteers 48 h prior to the study and after drug administration, until the last blood sample was collected in the respective study phase. Volunteers were instructed to abstain from taking any medication for at least 2 weeks prior to and during the study period.

The informed consent was approved by the Ethic Committee and was obtained from the subjects after explaining the nature and purpose of the study which was performed according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was previously approved by the Public Health Institute of the country for which the study was conducted.

Study Design:

Drug administration:

A relative bioavailability (RBA) study of two PHT Sodium 200 mg tablets (2 x 100 mg tablets) was performed under fasting conditions in a randomized, open-label, two-period crossover design with a 20-day washout interval between doses. The volunteers were confined to the center 20 h before drug administration and for 24 h after administration. The volunteers were continuously monitored and under medical supervision throughout the confinement period of the study.

Sample collection:

During the first day of each period of the study, fasted volunteers received a single oral 200 mg dose of PHT (test or reference product, according to the group assigned) at 08:00 in the morning, with 240 mL of water. Meals were standardized and programmed to be taken at 12:00-16:00-20:00 clock h during the first day of the study and at 08:00 clock h the next day. Blood and saliva samples were obtained at the following intervals: 0 (pre-dose), 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12, 16, 24, 46, 72 and 96 h post-dosing. Blood samples were collected in to heparin zed polypropylene 8 mL tubes (NAHEP PLH 13X75 4.0 PLBL GN, BD Vacutainer[®], Broken Bow NE 68822, 20daywashout US). Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 3000 x g for 10 minutes at room temperature (20°C).

It was then transferred into 2 mL polypropylene tubes and frozen at -20° C until assayed. Unstimulated saliva samples were collected in glass jars and immediately transferred into labeled centrifuge tubes and frozen at -20 °C until assayed. After a 20-day washout period, the study was repeated under the same conditions to complete the cross-over design. No adverse reactions were reported by any volunteer during the study.

Pharmacokinetics and statistical analysis:

The following pharmacokinetic (PK) parameters were calculated using a validated PK software, Win Nonlin version 6.02 (Pharsight Corp. Mountain View, CA): area under the curve from time zero to the last measurable PHT concentration in plasma or saliva (AUC_{0-t}), using the linear trapezoidal rule;(AUC_{0- ∞}), calculated as the sum of AUC_{0-t} plus C_{last}/k_e (where C_{last} is the last measurable plasma or saliva concentration, and k_e is the terminal rate constant of elimination); maximum measured concentration of PHT in plasma and saliva (C_{max}); time to maximum plasma or saliva concentration (T_{max}); and terminal elimination half-life (T_{1/2}). After logarithmic transformation, AUC_{0- ∞}, AUC_{0-t} and C_{max} values were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA). The bioequivalence between the two formulations was evaluated based on the 90% CI transformed back for the geometric mean ratios of $AUC_{0-\infty}$, AUC_{0-t} and C_{max} , which were within acceptance range of 80-125% according to the local and international guidelines ^{21, 22, 23, 24}.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

Analytical performance and method validation:

The proposed analytical methods were evaluated in terms of linearity, recovery, accuracy and precision, specificity and study stability. The unweighted calibration curves were linear in the ranges of 40-5055 ng/mL and 5-1340 ng/mL for plasma and saliva, respectively; with a correlation coefficient values (r) > 0.999 for both type of samples. The retention times in plasma for PHT and IS were 1.72 min and 1.90 min, respectively, whereas in saliva the retention times were 2.27 min and 2.54 min, respectively. Specificity was assessed in six different batches of plasma and saliva samples by analyzing blanks and spiked levels. samples at LOQ No significant chromatographic signals of endogenous PHT were observed neither in plasma nor saliva batches at the target analytes retention times (PHT and IS). The limits of detection (LOD) for the analytical methodology were 15 ng/mL and 1.5 ng/mL for plasma and saliva, respectively. The LOQ were 40 ng/mL and 5 ng/mL for plasma and saliva, respectively and was defined as the lowest concentration in the calibration curve that can be measured with acceptable accuracy and precision^{17,} 18, 19

The criteria to evaluate accuracy and precision was as follows: mean concentration value should be within 15% of the spiked value, excepting LOQ, where it should not deviate by more than 20%^{17, 18, 19}. Table 2 shows accuracy and precision intra-day and inter-days values. They were obtained by analyzing three batches (LQC, MQC and HQC).

The recovery test was carried out by comparison of analytical response of samples spiked before starting sample preparation, against analytical response of samples spiked just before instrumental analysis. The recovery values achieved at different spiked PHT levels were within the range of 70-80% and 95-105% for plasma and saliva, respectively. **Table 3** shows the stability of PHT in plasma and saliva. It was carried out at three QC levels (LQC, MQC and HQC) under the following conditions: auto sampler stability (samples in the injector at room temperature); freeze-thaw stability (after 3 cycles of freezing and thawing the enriched samples); and long term stability (aliquots of each

QC level (LQC, MQC and HQC) frozen at -20 °C for 60 and 540 days for plasma and saliva, respectively, under the same conditions).The analytical methods to determine PHT concentrations in human plasma and saliva herein described were in agreement with the international guideline^{17, 18, 24}.

TABLE 2: INTRA-DAY	AND INTER-DAY	ACCURACY	AND PRECISION*
---------------------------	---------------	----------	----------------

Level	Intra-Day					Inter-Day		
	Day	y 1	Day	y 2	Day	y 3		
	% Acc	curacy	% Acc	uracy	% Acc	uracy	% Acc	uracy
	(CV	%)	(CV	%)	(CV	%)	(CV	%)
	Plasma	Saliva	Plasma	Saliva	Plasma	Saliva	Plasma	Saliva
Low	119.6	93.3	104.9	117.8	106.8	103.5	110.4	106.4
	(4.5)	(14.7)	(8.1)	(3.0)	(13.5)	(9.6)	(10.5)	(14.5)
Medium	114.3	106.6	102.1	101.7	97.4	101.3	103.0	103.0
	(2.9)	(3.8)	(8.3)	(4.6)	(5.6)	(2.3)	(7.4)	(3.6)
High	111.9	107.1	104.9	112.1	96.6	105.2	112.8	108.2
	(6.2)	(3.2)	(8.1)	(1.5)	(10.5)	(2.1)	(13.8)	(3.1)

*CV%, precision expressed as coefficient of variation (S.D./mean result)1 x 100.

Conditions	PLASMA			SALIVA			
_	Nominal	BIAS (%)	CV%	Nominal	BIAS (%)	CV%	
	Concentration	n=3	n=3	Concentration	n=3	n=3	
	(ng/mL)			(ng/mL)			
Auto Sampler	101.6	-0.3	15.8	13.4	-1.5	9.3	
- 12 h plasma	N/A	N/A	N/A	446.6	-6.0	4.4	
- 8 h saliva	4549.7	-7.8	5.5	893.3	-6.3	1.7	
Three	101.6	-13.7	3.3	13.4	-2.5	13.0	
freeze/thaw	N/A	N/A	N/A	446.6	-6.3	2.6	
cycles	4549.7	-9.6	3.4	893.3	-0.3	0.8	
Long Term	101.6	7.5	11.8	13.4	2.4	9.1	
- 60 days plasma	1016.1	-11.0	6.7	446.6	-4.8	4.2	
-540 days saliva	4549.7	-13.8	6.7	893.3	-9.3	4.2	

*CV%, precision expressed as coefficient of variation (S.D./mean result) 1 x 100; BIAS, measured as a percentage deviation from the nominal values; N/A = Not available.

Pharmacokinetics and statistical analysis

Fig.1 and Table 4 show the mean concentrationtime profile for PHT and the main pharmacokinetics parameters for both formulations (test and reference products) in plasma and saliva. In saliva, it is clearly seen that there is an overestimation at the beginning of the study (for the tested product mainly), probably due to the high concentrations found in the test times, which are not compatible with the mass of drug taken by the patients. So, if these time points are removed from saliva curve (Fig. 2), both products reach a pharmacokinetic curve comparable to the pharmacokinetic curve of plasma (Fig 1A). Mean saliva/plasma total phenytoin concentration ratios ranged 0.10-0.13. Indeed, saliva and plasma

phenytoin concentrations were significantly correlated (Fig 3A-B). On the other hand, an in vivo dissolution test was performed in oral cavity to demonstrate that concentration discrepancies of saliva (at earlier stages of the study) were coming from residues of active ingredients in the mouth and not from the bloodstream ²⁵. This test was conducted like the bioequivalence study, with the exception that the volunteers (n = 3) took the medication and kept the pill in the mouth for 1-3 s, and then they spat it. The results showed extremely high concentration levels for 4 h with the test product comparing with the reference product (data not shown). Cawello et al.²⁶ have recently reported the same problem with syrups formulations. Moreover, according to the prospectus the test

product contains an excipient sodium starch glycolate, which is used as a disintegrant in prompt-release drug ^{27, 28}, and probably this difference could explain the high values reached in saliva samples at the beginning of the study. In this sense, the test product could be disintegrated in the mouth faster than the reference and it could also be adhered to the mouth, thus preventing its entry to the blood stream. After several mouthwashes, the discordant values disappeared. Although the amount of drug that did not enter the body had no therapeutic consequences, this fact had a great analytical impact.

Therefore, the use of saliva as noninvasive alternative for bioequivalence studies should be carried out with some previous considerations for prompt release drugs. Our study also highlights the importance of the protocol design (mainly in the first sampling points) to ensure a valid result in the study of comparative bioavailability. Results from the RBA studies in plasma and saliva, showed that both products are not interchangeable (Table 5). Dominguez Lab adopted a normal-release medication for the study as reference product, while the test product was a prompt release drug,

according to the legislation of the Public Health Institute.

It could be an explanation for the nonequivalence between the two products in plasma and in saliva. A similar outcome was observed for PHT in plasma during a bioavailability study with a prompt-release and an extended-release preparation²⁹. Based on these results, the prompt-release drug (test product) was adopted as an innovator product by the Public Health Institute of the country. In contrast, Ruiz et al.³⁰ reported bioequivalence between PHT products in saliva. The differences in findings could be due to their different and less sensitive detection technology used for the comparison between prompt-release products (test and reference).

In addition, the PHT concentrations in saliva showed a good correlation with the PHT concentrations in plasma (approximately 10% of plasmatic values, consistent with the literature) and the PHT fraction in saliva corresponded with the unbound-protein fraction^{3, 9}. This point emphasizes the usefulness of the analytical methodology herein presented as a tool for MTD in narrow therapeutic range drugs.



FIG.1: COMPARATIVE CURVES OF THE REFERENCE AND TEST PRODUCTS, PLOTTED WITH THE MEAN VALUES (NOT LOG TRANSFORMED) vs. TIME AFTER A SINGLE 200 mg ORAL DOSE ADMINISTRATION TO 24 HEALTHY VOLUNTEERS. A) TIME PROFILE OF PHT IN PLASMA. B) TIME PROFILE OF PHT IN SALIVA.

International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research



FIG.2: COMPARATIVE CURVES OF THE REFERENCE (R) AND TEST (T) PRODUCTS, PLOTTED WITH THE MEAN VALUES (NOT LOG TRANSFORMED) vs. TIME (EXCLUDING THE TIMES BEFORE 4 H) AFTER A SINGLE 200 mg ORAL DOSE ADMINISTRATION OF PHT TO 24 HEALTHY VOLUNTEERS IN SALIVA.



FIG.3: PHT CORRELATION CURVES BETWEEN SALIVA AND PLASMA MATRIX (ng/mL). A) REFERENCE AND B) TEST. NOTE: DATA WERE OBTAINED FROM A COMPARATIVE BIOAVAILABILITY STUDY.

TABLE 4: PHARMACOKINETIC PARAMETERS FOR PHT OF REFERENCE AND TEST PRODUCTS IN PLASMA AND SALIVA (EXCLUDING THE TIMES BEFORE 4 H), AFTER SINGLE ORAL ADMINISTRATION OF A 200 mg TABLET (MEAN \pm S.D.; N = 24 VOLUNTEERS).

Parameter	PLAS	MA	SALIVA		
	Reference	Test	Reference	Test	
AUC _{0-t} (ng h/mL)	79429 ± 23506	79430 ± 22999	7899 ± 2793	8612 ± 2513	
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (ng h/mL)	76391 ± 24110	81182 ± 24963	8242 ± 3049	8822 ± 2682	
C_{max} (ng/mL)	1997 ± 523	2600 ± 638	263 ± 100	377 ± 205	
$T_{max}(h)$	12.09 ± 7.74	5.91 ± 4.94	11.38 ± 6.75	7.37 ± 3.34	
$k_{e}(1/h)$	0.05 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	0.05 ± 0.02	
$T_{1/2}$ (h)	14.03 ± 4.60	13.84 ± 5.12	15.00 ± 5.53	14.40 ± 4.69	

	Parameter	Ratio % Ref	90% CI
PLASMA PHT	C _{max}	130.9	122.0-141.0
SALIVA PHT (all times)		710.2	439.0-1149.1
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 2 h)		284.2	167.9-294.5
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 4 h)		178.9	120.0-155.3
PLASMA PHT	AUC_{0-t}	106.3	101.0-112.0
SALIVA PHT (all times)		179.0	153.2-209.1
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 2 h)		129.5	109.9-130.5
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 4 h)		113.7	101.9-120.2
PLASMA PHT	$\mathrm{AUC}_{0-\infty}$	106.2	101.0-112.0
SALIVA PHT (all times)		175.5	150.4-204.8
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 2 h)		127.1	108.5-127.7
SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 4 h)		111.8	100.3-118.4
SALIVA PH1 (excluding times before 4 h) PLASMA PHT SALIVA PHT (all times) SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 2 h) SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 4 h) PLASMA PHT SALIVA PHT (all times) SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 2 h) SALIVA PHT (excluding times before 4 h)	AUC_{0-t}	178.9 106.3 179.0 129.5 113.7 106.2 175.5 127.1 111.8	120.0-155.3 101.0-112.0 153.2-209.1 109.9-130.5 101.9-120.2 101.0-112.0 150.4-204.8 108.5-127.7 100.3-118.4

TABLE 5: GEOMETRIC MEAN OF THE INDIVIDUAL C_{max} , $AUC_{(0-t)}$, AND $AUC_{(0-x)}$ RATIOS (TEST/REFERENCE FORMULATION), THE RESPECTIVE 90% CONFIDENCE INTERVALS (CI) AND A COMPARATIVE SALIVA/PLASMA PHT RATIO (n = 24 VOLUNTEERS).

CONCLUSION: An efficient, simple and rapid analytical methodology based on LC-MS/MS analysis to determine PHT level in plasma and saliva was developed and validated according to the international guideline. Correlation between plasma and saliva PHT concentrations was demonstrated. Therefore, saliva not only is a suitable matrix for RBA studies and TDM, but also a more convenient tool since it provides analogous information with mayor analytical, ethical, and biosafety advantages. However, an additional prestudy of product dissolution in oral cavity is suggested before carrying out complete RBA studies for prompt-release drugs

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This work was supported by Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Universidad Nacional del Litoral, Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Técnica.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST: No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported

REFERENCES:

- 1. Dalmora SL, Nogueira DR, Londero LF. Determination of Phenytoin in Human Plasma by a Validated Liquid Chromatography Method and its Application to a Bioequivalence Study. Lat Am J Pharm. 2009;28(2):247– 253.
- Liu H, Delgado MR. Therapeutic drug concentration monitoring using saliva samples. Focus on anticonvulsants. Clin Pharmacokinet. 1999;36(6):453–70.
- 3. Patsalos PN, Berry DJ. Therapeutic drug monitoring of antiepileptic drugs by use of saliva. Ther Drug Monit. 2013;35(1):4–29.

- 4. Liamsuwan S, Jaiweerawattana U. Correlation between serum and salivary phenytoin concentrations in Thai epileptic children. J Med Assoc Thai. 2011;94 Suppl 3:S172–7.
- Shibata M, Hashi S, Nakanishi H, Masuda S, Katsura T, Yano I. Detection of 22 antiepileptic drugs by ultraperformance liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry applicable to routine therapeutic drug monitoring. Biomed Chromatogr. 2012;26(12):1519–28.
- 6. Wille SMR, Baumgartner MR, Fazio V Di, Samyn N, Kraemer T. Trends in drug testing in oral fluid and hair as alternative matrices. Bioanalysis. 2014;6:2193–209.
- Mali N, Karpe M, Kadam V. A review on biological matrices and analytical methods used for determination of drug of abuse. J Appl Pharm. 2011;1(06):58–65.
- Dasgupta A. Usefulness of monitoring free (unbound) concentrations of therapeutic drugs in patient management. Clin Chim Acta. 2007;377(1-2):1–13.
- Ibarra M, Vázquez M, Mutilva F, Canale A. Total, Unbound Plasma and Salivary Phenytoin Levels in Critically Ill Patients. 2010;16(2):69–73.
- Idkaidek N, Arafat T. Saliva versus plasma pharmacokinetics: theory and application of a salivary excretion classification system. Mol Pharm. 2012;9(8):2358–63.
- Mennickent C S, Vega H M, Godoy M CG, León H MD. Relación entre niveles de carbamazepina en saliva y plasma: Estudio piloto. Rev Med Chil. 2007;135(3):335– 340.
- 12. Kang J, Park Y-S, Kim S-H, Kim S-H, Jun M-Y. Modern methods for analysis of antiepileptic drugs in the biological fluids for pharmacokinetics, bioequivalence and therapeutic drug monitoring. Korean J Physiol Pharmacol. 2011;15(2):67–81.
- 13. Ruiz ME, Conforti P, Fagiolino P, Volonté MG. The use of saliva as a biological fluid in relative bioavailability studies: comparison and correlation with plasma results. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 2010;31(8-9):476–85.
- Theron A, Cromarty D, Rheeders M, Viljoen M. Determination of salivary efavirenz by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci. 2010;878(28):2886–90.
- 15. Bagade SB, Deshpande SS, Shah DA. Validation of RP-HPLC method for the determination of phenytoin sodium residues on the surface of manufacturing equipments and study of its recovery from pharmaceutical formulations. Der Pharma Chem. 2014;6(1):390–395.

- Kadi AA, Kassem MG, Makeen HA, Alhazmi HA. Simultaneous determination of phenytoin and lamotrigine in human plasma using hidrophilic interaction liquid. 2013;8(3):1113–1122.
- 17. Guidance for Industry. Bioanalytical Method Validation.Validation BM.FDA. 2001;(May):1–22.
- Resolução ANVISA/DC Nº 27, de 17 de Maio de 2012. ANVISA. 2012;3:1–9.
- Reglamentarios D. ANMAT-MED-BPL-001-00. Minist Salud Secr Políticas, Regul Relac e Institutos ANMAT. 2012:1–50.
- Wharf C. Guideline Bioanalytical method validation -Doc. Ref: EMEA/CHMP/EWP/192217. EMEA. 2009;(November):1–17.
- Guidance for Industry. Bioavailability and Bioequivalence Studies for Orally Administered Drug Products — General Considerations. Revisión 1. 2003;(March):1–23.
- Agency EM. Guideline on theinvestigation of bioequivalence. Doc Ref CPMP/EWP/QWP/1401/98 Rev 1/ Corr. 2010;1(January):1–27.
- República de Chile MDS, Publica. RESOL.EXENTA N° 727/05. Publicada en el D Of 291105. 2005:1–53.
- Administración Nacional de Medicamentos, Alimentos y Tecnología Médica A. Efca bioequivalencia 2013. Protocolo. 2013:1–14.

O'Neal CL, Crouch DJ, Rollins DE, Fatah A, Cheever ML. Correlation of saliva codeine concentrations with plasma concentrations after oral codeine administration. J Anal Toxicol. 1999;23(6):452–9.

- 26. Cawello W, Bökens H, Nickel B, Andreas J-O, Halabi A. Tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and bioequivalence of the tablet and syrup formulations of lacosamide in plasma, saliva, and urine: saliva as a surrogate of pharmacokinetics in the central compartment. Epilepsia. 2013;54(1):81–88.
- 27. Patel N V, Chotai NP, Patel MP. Formulation design of oxcarbazepine fast-release tablets prepared by melt granulation technique. Asian J Pharm. 2008;2(1):22–25.
- Veerreddy K, P Teja Kumar P,Sandeep B, Dangeti SK, Comparative evaluation of modified starches in different tablet formulations as disintegrants. 2012;4(6):1680–1684.
- Rojanasthien N, Chaichana N, Teekachunhatean S, Kumsorn B, Sangdee C, Chankrachang S. Effect of doses on the bioavailability of phenytoin from a prompt-release and an extended-release preparation: single dose study. J Med Assoc Thai. 2007;90(9):1883–1893.
- 30. Ruiz ME, Fagiolino P, de Buschiazzo PM, Volonté MG. Is saliva suitable as a biological fluid in relative bioavailability studies? Analysis of its performance in a 4 x 2 replicate crossover design. Eur J Drug Metab Pharmacokinet. 2011;36(4):229–236.

How to cite this article:

Baldo MN, Hunzicker GA, Altamirano JC, Murguía MC and Hein GJ: Saliva as a Noninvasive Biological sample to Compare Bioavailability of Phenytoin Formulations by LC-MS/MS. Int J Pharm Sci Res 2015; 6(9): 3752-60.doi: 10.13040/IJPSR.0975-8232.6(9).3752-60.

All © 2013 are reserved by International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research. This Journal licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

This article can be downloaded to **ANDROID OS** based mobile. Scan QR Code using Code/Bar Scanner from your mobile. (Scanners are available on Google Playstore)