

## KNOWLEDGE AND USE OF EDIBLE AND MEDICINAL PLANTS IN TWO POPULATIONS FROM THE CHACO FOREST, CÓRDOBA PROVINCE, ARGENTINA

B. ARIAS TOLEDO,<sup>a</sup> S. COLANTONIO, and L. GALETTO

*Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba*

<sup>a</sup> {barbaraarias@gmail.com}

**ABSTRACT.**—We analyze and compare plant knowledge and uses of medicinal and edible plants of two rural communities located in different phytogeographic regions. We hypothesize that there are differences in the number of edible and medicinal plants that people know and use between these communities because of environmental constraints. In addition, because of cultural erosion, we expect to find (a) a higher number of plants that people know as useful in comparison with the number of plants they actually use, and (b) a decrease in the number of useful plants cited when comparing male/female and younger/older categories. Results show a higher range of knowledge of medicinal plants than edible plants, and medicinal use included mainly those used to treat digestive problems. Based on statistical analyses, we present comparisons between the communities, age groups, and genders, and discuss how differences in these regions (e.g., geographic, ecological, cultural, etc.) may explain of the variation in the knowledge on wild useful plants between the communities.

**Key words:** Chaco Serrano, ethnobotany, plant knowledge, diversity index.

**RESUMEN.**—Se analiza y compara el conocimiento y uso de plantas medicinales y alimenticias en dos comunidades rurales localizadas en diferentes regiones fotogeográficas. Se hipotetiza que existe diferencia en el número de plantas conocidas y usadas entre estas comunidades, debido a las diferencias ambientales. Asimismo, debido a la erosión cultural, se espera que (a) un alto número de plantas conocidas como útiles en comparación con las actualmente utilizadas, y (b) una disminución en el número de plantas útiles citadas al comparar hombres/mujeres y jóvenes/adultos mayores. Los datos se obtuvieron mediante encuestas (una persona por hogar) y se analizaron mediante MANOVA anidado. Existe un mayor número de plantas medicinales conocidas y usadas respecto a las alimenticias. El uso de plantas medicinales se halla muy difundido en ambas poblaciones, principalmente para tratar trastornos digestivos. Las plantas alimenticias son apreciadas en Chancaní, principalmente los algarrobos ((*Prosopis* spp.), no así en San Clemente. Aunque sin diferencias estadísticamente significativas, las mujeres y las personas de mayor edad nombraron un mayor número de plantas. Particularidades regionales (p.e. geográficas, ecológicas, culturales, etc) se mostraron relevantes para explicar las principales diferencias en el conocimiento de plantas silvestres útiles entre los pobladores de San Clemente y Chancaní.

**RÉSUMÉ.**—Cette étude analyse et compare le savoir botanique ainsi que l'emploi de plantes médicinales et comestibles de deux communautés rurales situées dans

différentes régions phytogéographiques. Notre hypothèse stipule qu'il existe des différences dans le nombre de plantes comestibles et médicinales que les gens connaissent et utilisent au sein des différentes communautés, et que ces différences sont le reflet de contraintes environnementales. Aussi, étant donné l'érosion culturelle, nous nous attendons à ce que (a) il y a un plus grand nombre de plantes connues pour être utiles que de plantes actuellement utilisées et (b) il y a une diminution du nombre de plantes utiles mentionnées lorsque l'on compare les catégories hommes/femmes et jeunes/âgés. Nos résultats indiquent que les plantes médicinales sont mieux connues que les plantes comestibles. Également, les plantes médicinales possèdent principalement des propriétés pouvant aider les personnes atteintes de problèmes digestifs. À l'aide d'analyses statistiques, nous avons comparé les communautés, les groupes d'âge, les genres (homme/femme) et nous avons discuté de la façon dont les différences dans ces régions (écologiques, géographiques, culturelles) peuvent expliquer la variabilité entre les communautés quant au savoir touchant les plantes utiles à caractère indigène.

## INTRODUCTION

The perception and relative importance of useful plants are related to cultural factors such as human behavior, social and economics constraints, and several other factors (Pelto et al. 1989). In addition, patterns of plant use by human communities may depend on environmental constraints. For example, some patterns of plant use can be related to local species richness, or to the regional abundance of some useful plants. Studies conducted throughout the world (e.g., Arango Caro 2004; Benz et al. 2000; Hanazaki et al. 2000; Pfeiffer and Butz 2005), and in southern regions of Argentina (e.g., Ladio 2001; Ladio and Lozada 2003, 2004), have shown both that the distribution of the knowledge of useful plants is not uniform within a population, and that the patterns can be better understood when cultural, historical, and environmental factors are considered.

Many ecological diversity indices (e.g., Begossi 1996) have been used to evaluate and compare plant knowledge and actual plant use within or between communities (Benz et al. 2000; Figueiredo et al. 1993; Hanazaki et al. 2000; Ladio and Lozada 2004; Rosatto et al. 1999). Such comparisons between communities through an index allow a better comprehension of human-environment interactions, particularly when those communities are located in different regions of the world. In addition, these measures help us to evaluate intra-community variations, as well as to compare the diversity of plant use with the local or regional biodiversity.

In this study we analyze and compare plant knowledge and use by two rural communities located on different phytogeographic regions, one located on the eastern slope of the Sierras (San Clemente, approximately 70 km from Córdoba) and the other on the base of the western slope (Chancaní, approximately 200 km from Córdoba). We wanted to evaluate if the pattern of plant knowledge and present-day use is common or not for these communities, utilizing some indices derived from ecological theory. We hypothesize that there are differences in the number of edible and medicinal plants that people know and use between these

communities because of environmental constraints. In addition and independently of the community, as a result of cultural erosion we expect to find (a) a higher number of plants that people know as useful in comparison with the number of plants they actually use, and (b) a decrease in the number of useful plants cited when comparing male/female and younger/older categories.

#### STUDY AREA

*The Chaco Serrano.*—The province of Córdoba has a varied geography, with different ecosystems. The highest heterogeneity of environments is found to the west, in the Sierras Pampeanas, between 29° 30' S and 33° 30' S. With an altitude ranging from 400 to almost 3000 m a.s.l., the Sierras are located within the Chaco phytogeographic region. The Chaco Serrano forest, a particular region of this phytogeographic province, extends along the three main mountain ranges of the Sierras (Chicas, Grandes, and Pocho-Guasapampa), from 400 to 1300 m a.s.l. (Cabrera 1976). The eastern slope of the Sierras is more humid with higher plant diversity than the western slope, and is presently a mosaic of generally small patches of forest communities intermingled with shrubs and grasslands. The original vegetation has been drastically reduced due to deforestation and fire (Cabido et al. 1991; Cabido and Funes 1995), and has been replaced by large-scale agriculture fields or secondary forests of native and introduced species.

The vegetation of the western slope of the Sierras is comparatively less modified by human activities and is composed of a sparse tree layer and a continuous shrub layer (Cabido et al. 1991). The forests located at the eastern slope are within the Chaco Serrano phytogeographical region, while the forests located at the base of the western slope of the Sierras are within the arid Chaco. As a consequence, these communities are influenced by regional differences. In addition, the communities located on the eastern slope of the Sierras are near the city of Córdoba (population: 1.5 million) and other cities, while those located on the western slope are comparatively more isolated.

People have used natural resources of these ecosystems (e.g., edible and medicinal plants) for more than a century (Río and Achaval 1905), and they live grouped in small rural communities. In general, the ethnic origin of the people of the studied communities is dominated by European people who arrived to this region in 1573.

*San Clemente.*—The community of San Clemente (Departamento Santa María, Córdoba Province, Argentina) is composed by 230 inhabitants when nearby rural areas are considered (National Census 1991). The main sources of employment for the people are related to rural and government activities, tourism (maintenance, etc.), or small stores. Because a substantial percentage of employment is related to tourism, they show a marked seasonality; many people do not have year-round employment and 30.8% of the dwellings showed unsatisfied basic needs (INDEC 1991). There is only one elementary school, and the nearest high school is about 30 km away. The only health center is a dispensary, usually attended by a nurse and by a doctor, who comes twice a week.




FIGURE 1.—Map of the study area showing the location of San Clemente and Chancaní.

San Clemente is located on the southeastern slopes of the Sierras (Figure 1), within the Chaco Serrano phytogeographic region (Cabrera 1976). The mean annual precipitation of 800 mm is concentrated from October to April. Original vegetation is composed by forests dominated by *orco-quebracho* (*Schinopsis marginata* Engler), *molle de beber* (*Lithraea molleoides* (Vell.) Engler), *coco* (*Zanthoxylum coco* Gill.), and *tala* (*Celtis tala* Gill. ex Planch.) (Bono et al. 2004). Presently, this community is surrounded by secondary forests of native species, shrub vegetation, or by exotic pine forestations.

*Chancaní.*—The community of Chancaní (Departamento Pocho, Córdoba Province, Argentina) is composed by 196 inhabitants, most of them living in rural areas (National Census 1991). The main sources of employment for the people are related to the government or with rural activities, cattle or goat breeding, land management, or work as woodcutters for timber or charcoal (Karlin et al. 1994). More than half of the homes showed unsatisfied basic needs (UBN, National Census 1991). Although Chancaní is near the main city (70 km from Villa Dolores) of the region, it is isolated because the bad condition of the roads. There is only one educational institution with elementary and high school.

Chancaní is placed on a plain located at the western slopes of the Sierras de Pocho (Figure 1), within the arid Chaco phytogeographic region (Cabrera 1976). The arid Chaco is characterized by xerophilous forests dominated by *Aspidosperma quebracho-blanco* Schltld. The annual precipitation of 300–500 mm is concentrated mainly during the summer.

## METHODS

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews (one person per family). In San Clemente, 25 interviews were conducted (100% of the

population), and in Chancaní we conducted 33 interviews (80% of the population). Each family made the decision of who was to be interviewed. In both communities, residents also participated in the collection and identification of plants for voucher specimens. In general, plants were collected near their residences.

To generate the interviews, ethnographic methods were employed (Capparelli and Raffino 1997) combined with research techniques in social sciences (Bernard 1995; Padua 1994). The interviews had a semi-standardized (Padua and Ahman 1994) or semi-structured section (Bernard 1995) for the socioeconomic characterization relative to economic, geographic and knowledge access to plant resources, their use, preparation, value, as well as the transference of the knowledge on their use. Interviews also had a structured section (Bernard 1995) designed to gather data on knowledge and effective use of the wild plant resources applying the free list method.

The data obtained from the interviews were analyzed mainly through quantitative methodology, which allows the comparison between different communities. Diversity measurements and quantitative analysis were applied separately for edible and medicinal plants. Simpson and Shannon-Wiener diversity indices were selected to perform comparisons, as follows:

$$\text{Simpson : } D = \sum p_i^2$$

$$\text{Shannon - Wiener : } H' = - \sum p_i \log p_i$$

where  $p_i$  is the proportion of the individuals found in the  $i$ th species (i.e., the "proportional abundance"). An increase in the value of the Simpson Index represents a decrease in diversity. Thus, this index usually is presented as  $1/\lambda = 1/p_i^2$ , which expresses a measure of dominance. Both indices allow a quantitative characterization of the knowledge and use of plants and simplify comparisons between populations. We have estimated the "proportional abundance" of each species as the frequency of times a plant was mentioned (i.e., number of citations) out of the total number of interviews. This proportion is assumed as a measure of the relative importance of each plant within the community.

In order to analyze if the known and used species are similar between the communities, we utilized the Jaccard similarity index. This index was calculated considering the presence or the absence of the plants in these communities, and relating the number of species in common respect to the total number of species:

$$\text{Jaccard JSI} = (c/a + b + c) 100$$

where  $c$  is the number of species in common,  $a$  is the number of unique species for the community A, and  $b$  is the number of unique species for the community B. We ran a nested MANOVA to evaluate differences between and within populations. The inter-population analysis compares the number of edible and medicinal plants cited as known and/or used, whereas the intra-population analysis evaluates such variables with respect to gender (male/female) and age groups (15–45 vs.  $\geq 46$ ). All the assumptions were checked and met.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*Knowledge and Use of Edible Plants.*—Only a few number of edible species were frequently mentioned in San Clemente: watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.) cited by 50% of the population, and two mushrooms species (*hongos del pino* or brown-yellow boletus, *Boletus luteus*; and *hongos del coco*, *Phlebopus bruchii*), both cited by 25% of the people. Although the mushrooms are not plants, we decided to report these species because they play a similar role in people's diets. These results have shown that these wild edible resources are scarcely used, and some of the interviewed persons pointed out that they do not utilize wild plants as a food source.

In addition, results from San Clemente suggest an important erosion process on the knowledge of edible plants, because Río and Achaval (1905) had reported the use of many wild edible plants for this phytogeographic region. This reduction in the number of edible plants used can be due to ecological and cultural factors. For example, this region has been profoundly modified by human activities (fires, pine forestations, etc.) during the last century (Gravier and Bucher 2004). Thus, many wild edible resources have disappeared or their populations have been severely reduced. On the other hand, young people leave the region due to economic or education reasons, and rarely return. This concurrence of human behavior and ecological constraints may determine a consequent erosion in the knowledge on wild resources.

The opposite trend was observed in Chancaní. Although only five edible species were mentioned, these were known and frequently used by a significant percentage of the population. Eighty-two percent of the interviewees stated that they know and use the fruits of *algarrobo* (*Prosopis* spp.); the fruits of *mistol* (*Ziziphus mistol* Griseb) (78%); the fruits of *chañar* (*Geoffroea decorticans* Gillies ex Hood & Arn.) (36%); and the fruits of *piquillín* (*Condalia microphylla* Cav.) and *tunas* (Prickly Pear - *Opuntia* spp.) (30%). It is interesting to note that people only consume fruits, but not leaves or roots that are available within the native vegetation.

Among the most common tree species occurring in the Dry Chaco, the *algarrobo* has an outstanding importance for the local people. This particular consideration among the different trees of the forest is pointed out when they name *Prosopis* species as "the Tree" or "Father," a sign of the magnitude of its value. Local people eagerly wait for the month of January, when the ripened fruits of the *algarrobo* are ready to be collected and consumed. They said that *algarrobo* fruits are "the best vitamin" [*sic*], particularly for children, elderly and sick people, because they believe these fruits are excellent to use for human nutritional requirements. In addition, they mentioned "a handful of these fruits is enough to perform daily rural tasks." *Algarrobo* fruits can be consumed fresh or they can be manufactured in different ways, such as *arope* (a jelly made with the juice of boiled fruits), *patay* (a "bread" made with dried and pulverized fruits; i.e., "*algarroba* flour"), *añapa* (a fresh juice obtained when *algarroba* flour is soaked with water), and tablets (made with *algarroba* flour and dried orange peel). During droughts, when almost no other fruits in the forest are available, animals (i.e., cattle, goats, horses, etc.) are fed with *algarrobo* "so that they can survive to

TABLE 1.—Edible plant species used in San Clemente and Chancaní.

Local name	Latin binomial	Family	Part used
<i>cardo</i>	<i>Carduus</i> spp.	Asteraceae	all
<i>diente de león</i>	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Weber ex F.H. Wigg.	Asteraceae	leaf
<i>hongos pino</i>	<i>Boletus luteus</i> L. or <i>Suillus luteus</i> (L. ex Fr.) S. F. Gray	Boletaceae	all
<i>berro</i>	<i>Nasturtium officinale</i> R. Br.	Brassicaceae	leaf
<i>tuna</i>	<i>Opuntia ficus-indica</i> (L.) Mill.	Cactaceae	fruit
<i>tala</i>	<i>Celtis ehrenbergiana</i> (Klotzsch) Liebm.	Celtidaceae	fruit
<i>algarrobo</i>	<i>Prosopis</i> spp.	Fabaceae	fruit
<i>chañar</i>	<i>Geoffoaea decorticans</i> (Gillies ex Hook. & Arn.) Burkart	Fabaceae	fruit
<i>hongos coco</i>	<i>Phlebopus bruchii</i> (Speg.) Heinem. & Rammeloo	Gyrodontaceae	all
<i>albarillo</i>	<i>Ximena americana</i> L.	Olacaceae	fruit
<i>granadilla</i>	<i>Passiflora caerulea</i> L.	Passifloraceae	fruit
<i>mistol</i>	<i>Ziziphus mistol</i> Griseb.	Rhamnaceae	fruit
<i>piquillín</i>	<i>Condalia microphylla</i> Cav.	Rhamnaceae	fruit
<i>zorzamora</i>	<i>Smilax campestris</i> Griseb.	Smilacaceae	fruit
<i>woita del campo</i>	<i>Salpichroa organifolia</i> (Lam.) Baill.	Solanaceae	fruit

the next year.” This means that people have to be deprived of this food plant to fed animals. Considering that meat is the main item of people diet during the whole year, *algarrobo* fruits constitute an important economic resource for the community of Chancaní because these fruits allow the survival of their animals during dry years.

The fruits of the other four plant species mentioned above are also consumed as *arroke*. Many persons said that wild fruits are preferred to any other fruits usually offered in the market, because of both their pleasant taste and their claimed nutritional properties. Table 1 provides a summary of the data.

Despite the depicted value of these edible plants, moderate cultural erosion can also be suggested for the community of Chancaní considering that a third of the population stated that they have consumed these fruits abundantly in their childhood but not at present. Furthermore, people of Chancaní stated that in the past the fruits of these five species were frequently used. However, most of the interviewed persons, educators, and children pointed out their intention to re-value these wild edible plants as important resources for their subsistence as well as for the conservation of the Chaco dry forest.

People know and use a low number of edible species in the Chaco from central Argentina. On the other hand, a comparatively high richness of edible plants was reported for southern (e.g., Ladio 2001; Ladio and Lozada 2001, 2004) and northern regions of Argentina (Hilgert 1999).

*Knowledge and Use of Medicinal Plants.*—The situation was quite different regarding medicinal plants, since an important number of species was known and used, and their properties appreciated in both communities. A total of 70 medicinal plants species was recorded for San Clemente and Chancaní, with 31 species in common. Table 2 provides a complete list of species, including their

scientific and vernacular names, botanical family, and disease or disorder treated.

Medicinal plants are mainly used to treat digestive disorders and secondary as diuretics, febrifuge, and antitussive. In both populations few species are occasionally used as disinfectant, analgesic, abortive, contraceptive, anti-inflammatory, depurative, sedative, and cardiotoxic, among other applications. Although people reported "all plants can be used for something," some of the interviewed persons of San Clemente have a wider knowledge on different uses for medicinal plants than those from Chancaní. In Chancaní, approximately 60% of the population knew plants only with digestive properties, whereas in San Clemente 83% of the population knew at least three disorders that can be treated with medicinal plants. People appreciate medicinal plants and their uses co-exist with Western medicine. Idoyaga Molina (2000) pointed out that this circumstance can be possible because cultural representations of rural people about diseases (diagnosis, therapy, etc.) are closer to traditional than to scientific medicine. Although traditional therapies are not always successful in the studied communities, they are preferred to initiate the treatment of many diseases. This pattern can be related to both the ease access to medicinal plants and the simplicity of their use and preparation.

In general, the most common preparation with plants used as digestive are infusions or leaves of medicinal plants preventively added to the *mate* (traditional infusion prepared with *Ilex paraguariensis* leaves). The remaining medicinal plants are also prepared as infusions, as "*agua pasta*" (prepared as infusions, or as a macerate of leaves in water, but consumed cold), or as a topically applied ointment.

*Quantitative Comparison by Population, Age and Gender.*—The quantitative analysis of the knowledge and use of plants in both populations also showed a differential pattern of use between populations. A nested MANOVA was performed with known species because most plants are used (with the exception of edible plants of San Clemente). In the comparison of the number of known edible and medicinal plants between San Clemente and Chancaní, the analysis showed significant differences between both populations (Table 3). These differences are due to the higher number of medicinal plants known per inhabitant in the locality of San Clemente, as well as to the higher use of edible plants by the inhabitants of Chancaní (Table 4).

The analyses by age and gender were performed considering medicinal and edible plants separately. Although there are differences in the mean number of plants obtained for each variable between these communities (Table 4), no significant differences were detected (Table 3). In general, women knew a high number of medicinal plants (Table 4). This tendency was reported for other South American communities (Arango Caro 2004; Figueiredo et al. 1993; see also Rosatto et al. 1999). It is possible that the higher knowledge of medicinal plants by women can be related to their social role in health attention of family members, as was previously suggested by Benvenuto and Sánchez (2002).

Regarding edible resources, women have mentioned a higher number of plants per person than men in San Clemente, and a lower number in Chancaní



TABLE 2.—Medicinal plant species used in San Clemente and Chancani.

Family	Latin binomial	Local name	Recorded use
<b>Amaranthaceae</b>	<i>Alternanthera pungens</i> Kunth	<i>yerba del pollo</i>	treatment of backaches, diuretic
<b>Anacardiaceae</b>	<i>Schinus molle</i> L.	<i>aguaribay</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Lithraea molleoides</i> (Vell.) Engl.	<i>molle</i>	digestive aid
<b>Apiaceae</b>	<i>Petroselinum crispum</i> (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill	<i>perejil</i>	abortive, contraceptive
<b>Apocynaceae</b>	<i>Aspidosperma quebracho-blanco</i> Schlttdl.	<i>quebracho blanco</i>	anti-inflammatory, treatment of liver problems
<b>Asclepiadaceae</b>	<i>Morrenia odorata</i> (Hook. & Arn.) Lindl.	<i>tasi</i>	used to remove skin boils
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Artemisia annua</i> L.	<i>ajerjo</i>	digestive aid, treatment of liver problems
	<i>Schulbria pinnata</i> (Lam.) Kuntze	<i>canchalagua</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Baccharis crispa</i> Spreng.	<i>carqueja</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Baccharis articulata</i> (Lam.) Spreng.	<i>carquejilla</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Xanthium spinosum</i> L.	<i>cepa caballo</i>	n/a
	<i>Trixis divaricata</i> (Kunth) Spreng.	<i>contrayerba</i>	treatment of backaches
	<i>Matricaria recutita</i> L.	<i>manzanilla</i>	digestive aid, anti-inflammatory, sedative
	<i>Artemisia douglasiana</i> Bess.	<i>matico</i>	treatment of heartburn, digestive aid
	<i>Cyclotepis gemistoides</i> D. Don	<i>palo azul</i>	treatment of backaches
	<i>Tagetes minuta</i> L.	<i>suco</i>	antitussive
	<i>Achyrocline saturoides</i> (Lam) De Candolle	<i>vira-vira</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Jungia polita</i> Griseb.	<i>zarzaparrilla</i>	depurative agent
<b>Asteraceae</b>	<i>Conyza</i> sp. Buddlejia cordobensis Griseb.	<i>pulmonaria</i>	treatment of respiratory problems
<b>Buddlejaceae</b>			
<b>Brassicaceae</b>	<i>Coronopus didymus</i> (L.) Sm.	<i>quinpe</i>	depurative agent, antitussive
<b>Capparaceae</b>	<i>Capparis atamisquea</i> Kuntze	<i>atamisqui</i>	treatment of tooth pain, sore throat, and pasmus
<b>Celtidaceae</b>	<i>Celtis ehrenbergiana</i> (Klotzsch) Liebm.	<i>tala</i>	digestive aid
<b>Chenopodiaceae</b>	<i>Chenopodium ambrosioides</i> L.	<i>paico</i>	digestive aid
<b>Ephedraceae</b>	<i>Ephedra triandra</i> Tul. emend. J.H. Hunz	<i>tramontana</i>	treatment of circulation problems
<b>Equisetaceae</b>	<i>Equisetum giganteum</i> L.	<i>cola de caballo</i>	treatment of backaches; diuretic
<b>Euphorbiaceae</b>	<i>Euphorbia serpens</i> Kunth	<i>yerba meona</i>	treatment of backaches, diuretic

TABLE 2.—Continued.

Family	Latin binomial	Local name	Recorded use
<b>Fabaceae</b>	<i>Geoffroea decorticans</i> (Gill ex Hook et Arn.) Burkart	<i>chañar</i>	antitussive
<b>Gentianaceae</b>	<i>Acacia caven</i> (Mol.) Mol.	<i>espinillo</i>	disinfectant
<b>Hypericaceae</b>	<i>Bauhinia forficata</i> Link	<i>pezuña de vaca</i>	antidiabetic
<b>Lamiaceae</b>	<i>Senna</i> spp.	<i>sen</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Gentianella</i> spp	<i>genciana</i>	tonic
	<i>Hypericum connatum</i> Lam.	<i>cabo torilo</i>	treatment of heart conditions
	<i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L.	<i>albahaca</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Mentha</i> spp.	<i>menta</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Origanum vulgare</i> L.	<i>orégano</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Minthostachys mollis</i> (Kunth.) Griseb.	<i>peperina</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Rosmarinus officinalis</i> L.	<i>romero</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Salvia officinalis</i> L.	<i>salvia</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> L.	<i>tomillo</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Melissa officinalis</i> L.	<i>toronjil-melisa</i>	treatment of heart conditions, digestive aid
	<i>Mentha</i> spp.	<i>veramota</i>	n/a
<b>Lamoriopsidaceae</b>	<i>Marrubium vulgare</i> L.	<i>yerba del sapo</i>	digestive aid
<b>Liliaceae</b>	<i>Mentha</i> spp.	<i>yerbabuena</i>	depurative agent
<b>Lycopodiaceae</b>	<i>Elaeophoglossum gayanum</i> (Fée) T. Moore	<i>calaguala</i>	treatment of skin problems
<b>Malvaceae</b>	<i>Aloe</i> spp.	<i>aloe vera</i>	aphrodisiac
<b>Myrtaceae</b>	<i>Huperzia saururus</i> (Lam.) Trevis.	<i>cola de quirquincho</i>	anti-inflammatory
<b>Passifloraceae</b>	<i>Sphaeralcea cordobensis</i> Krapov.	<i>malva</i>	treatment of colds
<b>Plantaginaceae</b>	<i>Eucalyptus</i> spp.	<i>eucaliptus</i>	sedative
<b>Poaceae</b>	<i>Passiflora caerulea</i> L.	<i>pasionaria</i>	disinfectant
	<i>Plantago major</i> L.	<i>llantén</i>	treatment of backaches
<b>Polygonaceae</b>	<i>Tritucum</i> spp., <i>Paspalum</i> spp.	<i>gramilla</i>	depurative agent
<b>Rosaceae</b>	<i>Polygonum</i> spp.	<i>sanguinaria</i>	digestive aid
	<i>Prunus persica</i> (L.) Batsch	<i>durazno (hoja)</i>	antitussive
	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl.	<i>nispero</i>	

TABLE 2.—Continued.

Family	Latin binomial	Local name	Recorded use
<b>Rutaceae</b>	<i>Citrus limon</i> (L.) Burm.	limón	digestive aid
	<i>Citrus sinensis</i> (L.) Osbeck	naranja ( <i>larves</i> )	sedative
	<i>Ruta chalepensis</i> L.	ruda	digestive aid; also used in magic
<b>Scizaceae</b>	<i>Aneimia tomentosa</i> (Savigny) Sw.	doradilla	used as an antitussive
<b>Tiliaceae</b>	<i>Tilia</i> spp.	tilo	sedative
<b>Urticaceae</b>	<i>Urtica urens</i> L.	ortiga	depurative agent
<b>Usneaceae</b>	<i>Usnea hieronymi</i> Kremp.	barba de piedra	treatment sore throat
<b>Verbenaceae</b>	<i>Aloysia citriodora</i> Palau	cedrón	treatment of heart conditions
	<i>Lippia integrifolia</i> (Griseb.) Hieron.	incayuyo	digestive aid
	<i>Aloysia gratissima</i> (Gill. et Hook.) Troncoso	palo amarillo	digestive aid
	<i>Aloysia polystachya</i> (Griseb.) Mold.	poleo de burro	digestive aid
	<i>Lippia turbinata</i> Griseb.	poleo del campo	digestive aid
<b>Zygophyllaceae</b>	<i>Larrea divaricata</i> Cav.	jarilla	used as a febrifuge
n/a		cambalacho	digestive aid
n/a		chofítol	digestive aid
n/a		mimosa	digestive aid
n/a		yerba del castillo	n/a

TABLE 3.—Nested MANOVA results for useful plants of San Clemente and Chancaní. The inter-population analysis compares the number of edible and medicinal plants cited as known and/or used, whereas the intra-population analysis evaluates such variables with respect to gender (male/female) and age groups (15-45 vs.  $\geq 46$ ).

Factors	Variables	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>
Community	edible	6.908	0.011
	medicinal	4.638	0.036
Sex (community)	edible	2.620	0.820
	medicinal	0.713	0.494
Age (community)	edible	0.162	0.861
	medicinal	0.432	0.652

(Table 4). Although the scarce knowledge of edible plants preclude us to both report patterns and interpret clearly these results, we propose an explanation for the higher mean number of edible plants mentioned by men in Chancaní. Most rural tasks performed by men are within the forest, thus they frequently consume and collect wild edible fruits. Pfeiffer and Butz (2005) have reported many cases about the differential use plants between men and women due to both the resource access and their social role, among other factors.

The comparison between age groups did not show significant differences (Table 3). In general, older people know a high mean number of useful plants, but the differences were slight. In both communities, the most frequently used medicinal plants were mentioned by all the interviewed persons, independent of their sex or age. However, plants used for not usual treatments are mainly known by women and older people. A deeper knowledge and greater use of wild plants by older people were mentioned for other regions (e.g., Hanazaki et al. 2000, Phillips and Gentry 1993), and also for other communities of the Chaco Serrano (Martinez 2002).

*Ecological Indices.*—Simpson (5.8 and 4.5 for edible plants and 27.7 and 20 for medicinal plants, in San Clemente and Chancaní, respectively) and Shannon-Wiener diversity indices (0.88 and 0.72 for edible plants and 1.54 and 1.46 for medicinal plants, in San Clemente and Chancaní, respectively) were higher for medicinal plants in both populations. These results can be related with the natural plant diversity of these regions considering that most of the medicinal

TABLE 4.—Mean number of edible and medicinal plants known by an interviewed person by community, sex, and age.

	Community	Sex	Age
Edible	SC = 1.83 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.63)	M = 1.2 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.14)	$\leq 45$ = 1.75 ( <i>SD</i> = 2.19)
		W = 2.3 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.82)	$\geq 46$ = 1.88 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.36)
	CH = 2.97 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.53)	M = 3.5 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.51)	$\leq 45$ = 2.84 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.89)
		W = 2.65 ( <i>SD</i> = 1.50)	$\geq 46$ = 3.17 ( <i>SD</i> = 0.83)
Medicinal	SC = 9.75 ( <i>SD</i> = 5.05)	M = 8.7 ( <i>SD</i> = 5.48)	$\leq 45$ = 8.63 ( <i>SD</i> = 3.34)
		W = 10.5 ( <i>SD</i> = 4.78)	$\geq 46$ = 10.31 ( <i>SD</i> = 5.74)
	CH = 6.75 ( <i>SD</i> = 4.10)	M = 6.0 ( <i>SD</i> = 4.39)	$\leq 45$ = 7.0 ( <i>SD</i> = 4.14)
		W = 7.2 ( <i>SD</i> = 3.97)	$\geq 46$ = 6.5 ( <i>SD</i> = 4.36)

SC: San Clemente; CH: Chancaní; M: Men; W: Women.

plants are herbaceous, while the edible fruits are obtained from trees, which are under increased human pressure.

Begossi (1996) pointed out that diversity indices allow us to evaluate the homogeneity/heterogeneity aspects on the use of plants "inside" a population. Less intra-homogeneity indicates a high diversity of plant uses, while a high intra-homogeneity indicates that only the most important plants are mentioned by the people. Thus, the lower value of the index for edible plants from Chancaní may be reflecting a high intra-homogeneity in the knowledge within this community. In this community, the low value of the diversity index can be explained because people know and use only five species, with a high preference on *algarrobos*. Also, a low diversity on the use of plants may represent some loss of local knowledge.

The values of the Shannon-Wiener diversity index for medicinal plants obtained in this study are close to those reported by Begossi (1996) for many communities throughout the world. This indicates that a significant portion of the knowledge on medicinal plants by these rural communities is currently available. Factors such as community geographic isolation; a close relationship between people and natural resources; a scarce access to the Western medicine because of economic and geographic reasons; or a high esteem of some plant species, are some of the reasons that could be favoring the maintenance of traditional knowledge on plant uses within these communities. On the other hand, the knowledge on edible plants would be more vulnerable to cultural erosion because the comparative lower consideration within the community (i.e., in San Clemente).

Finally, similarity indices showed that the main differences are between communities ( $J = 0.51$ ; index value for sex and age were  $J = 0.64$  and  $J = 0.66$ , respectively). These results are in accordance with that of the nested MANOVA. Thus, regional particularities (e.g., geographic, ecological, cultural, etc.) seem to be relevant to explain the main differences in the knowledge on wild useful plants between the people of San Clemente and Chancaní. Nevertheless, "community" as a factor is very complex to be disentangled because it includes the culture (e.g., people origin and identity), geography (e.g., isolation), economy (e.g., income), environment (e.g., local plant diversity), among other aspects.

## CONCLUSIONS

The knowledge and use of wild plants reflect the coexistence of cultural guidelines and environmental factors modulating the social decisions. Thus, habitual use of medicinal plants indicates the existence of a traditional medical system, coincident with representations of health by people. In addition, the tendency to a greater knowledge of medicinal plants by women in both populations, and of edible plants by men in Chancaní, is a sign of prevalence of differential social role by gender. The lower use of edible plants, and the preference and cultural value of the *algarrobos* could be indications of the existence of underlying cultural guidelines to the wild food use. Also, this lower use is related with the smaller environmental availability. Finally, it is important to emphasize the utility of the use of ecological and quantitative methods in

ethnobiological studies, since they allow the determination of the existence of factors affecting the relation between people and environment. This information is not only useful for the social characterization, but also for the development of re-valuation programs and environmental management plans.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We want to express our gratitude to the people from San Clemente and Chancaní for their kind hospitality and collaboration. Also, we extend our thanks to Diana Abal Solís for her collaboration with the design of the map. We acknowledge two anonymous reviewers for their ideas and useful suggestions on early versions of our manuscript, and Secretaría de Ciencia y Técnica de la Universidad Nacional de Córdoba for the financial support. BAT has a Doctoral fellowship from the CONICET and SC and LG are researchers from the same institution.

#### REFERENCES CITED

- Arango Caro, S. 2004. Estudios etnobotánicos en los Andes centrales (Colombia): Distribución del conocimiento del uso de plantas según características de los informantes. *Lyonia* 7(2). Available at: <http://www.lyonia.org/viewArticle.php?articleID=315> (verified 1 August 2007).
- Begossi, A. 1996. Use of ecological methods in ethnobotany: Diversity indices. *Economic Botany* 50(3):280–289.
- Benvenuto, A. and D. Sánchez. 2002. Madres que curan: El uso de plantas medicinales y otras practicas populares de curación entre las madres de los barrios Vista Alegre, Villa Nocito, Maldonado y 1 de Mayo de Bahía Blanca. 3er. Congreso Virtual de Antropología y Arqueología. Available at: [http://www.naya.org.ar/congreso2002/ponencias/adriana\\_benvenuto.htm](http://www.naya.org.ar/congreso2002/ponencias/adriana_benvenuto.htm) (verified 1 August 2007).
- Benz, B., F. Santana, J. Rosales, and S. Graf. 2000. Losing knowledge about plant use in the Sierra de Manatlan Biosphere Reserve, México. *Economic Botany* 54(2):183–191.
- Bernard, R. 1995. *Research methods in anthropology*. Altamira Press, Lanham, MD.
- Bono, J., G. Parmuchi, M. Strada, C. Montenegro, E. Manghi, and I. Gasparri. 2004. *Mapa forestal de la provincia de Córdoba*. Ministerio de Salud y Ambiente, Argentina.
- Cabido, M. and G. Funes. 1995. Variabilidad local y regional de la vegetación rupícola de las Sierras Grandes de Córdoba, Argentina. *Kurtziana* 24:173–188.
- Cabido, M., M.L. Carranza, A. Acosta, and S. Páez. 1991. Contribución al conocimiento fitosociológico del Bosque Chaqueño Serrano en la provincia de Córdoba, Argentina. *Phytocoenologia* 19:547–566.
- Cabrera, A. 1976. Regiones fitogeográficas Argentinas. In *Enciclopedia Argentina Agricultura y Jardinería*, ed. L.R. Parodi, pp. 1–85. ACME, Buenos Aires.
- Capparelli, A. and R. Raffino. 1997. La etnobotánica de “El Shincal” (Cataramarca) y su importancia para la arqueología. I: Recursos combustibles y madereros. *Parodiana* 10:165–180.
- Figueiredo, G.M., H. Leitão-Filho, and A. Begossi. 1993. Ethnobotany of Atlantic Forest coastal communities: Diversity of plant uses in Gamboa (Itacuruça island, Brazil). *Human Ecology* 21(4): 419–430.
- Gravier, G. and E. Bucher. 2004. *Deforestación de las Sierras chicas de Córdoba (Argentina) en el período 1970-1997*. Academia Nacional de Ciencias, miscelánea No. 101, Córdoba, Argentina.
- Hanazaki, N., J. Tamashiro, H. Leitão-Filho, and A. Begossi. 2000. Diversity of plant uses in two Caiçara communities from the Atlantic Forest coast, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 9:597–615.
- Hilgert, N. 1999. Las plantas comestibles en un sector de las Yungas meridio-

- nales (Argentina *Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid* 57:117–138.
- Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censos de la Republica Argentina (INDEC). 1991. Available at: <http://www.indec.gov.ar> (verified 1 August 2007).
- Idoyaga Molina, A. 2000. La calidad de las prestaciones de salud y el punto de vista del usuario en un contexto de medicinas múltiples. *Scripta Ethnológica* 22:21–85.
- Karlin, U., L. Catalán, and R. Coirini. 1994. *La naturaleza y el hombre en el Chaco seco*. Proyecto GTZ – Desarrollo agroforestal en comunidades rurales del noroeste argentino, Salta, Argentina.
- Ladio, A.H. 2001. The maintenance of wild edible plant gathering in a Mapuche community of Patagonia. *Economic Botany* 55(2):243–254.
- Ladio, A.H. and M. Lozada. 2003. Comparison of wild edible plant diversity and foraging strategies in two aboriginal communities of northwestern Patagonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 12(5):937–951.
- . 2004. Patterns of use and knowledge of wild edible plants in distinct ecological environments: A case study of a Mapuche community from northwestern Patagonia. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 13(6):1153–1173.
- Martínez, G. 2002. Conocimiento de la flora de interés etnobotánico entre estudiantes del Valle de Paravachasca, Córdoba (Argentina). *Parodiana* 12:35–62.
- Padua, J. 1994. *Técnicas de investigación aplicadas a las ciencias sociales*. Sección de Obras de Sociología, Fondo de Cultura Económica Chile S.A., primera reimpression en Chile.
- Padua, J. and I. Ahman. 1994. La organización de un “survey.” In *Técnicas de investigación aplicadas a las ciencias sociales*, ed. J. Papua, pp. 11–27. Sección de Obras de Sociología, Fondo de Cultura Económica Chile S.A.
- Pelto, G., P. Pelto, and E. Messer. 1989. *Research methods in nutritional anthropology*. United Nations University Press, Tokyo, Japan.
- Pfeiffer, J. and R. Butz. 2005. Assessing cultural and ecological variation in ethnobotanical research: The importance of gender. *Journal of Ethnobiology* 25(2):240–287.
- Phillips, O. and A. Gentry. 1993. The useful plants of Tambopata, Perú: I. Statistical hypotheses test with a new quantitative technique. *Economic Botany* 47(1):15–32.
- Río, M. and L. Achaval, eds. 1905. *Geografía de la Provincia de Córdoba*. Compañía sud-americana de Billetes de Banco, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Rosatto, S., H. Leitão-Filho, A. and Begossi. 1999. Ethnobotany of caícaras of the Atlantic Forest coast (Brazil). *Economic Botany* 53(3):377–385.