

In Vitro Activities of Amphotericin B, Terbinafine, and Azole Drugs against Clinical and Environmental Isolates of *Aspergillus terreus* *Sensu Stricto*

Mariana S. Fernández,^{a,*} Florencia D. Rojas,^a María E. Cattana,^a María de los Ángeles Sosa,^a Cristina A. Iovannitti,^b Cornelia Lass-Flörl,^c Gustavo E. Giusiano^a

Departamento de Micología, Instituto de Medicina Regional, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Resistencia, Argentina^a; Centro de Micología, IMPAM, CONICET-UBA, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina^b; Department of Hygiene, Microbiology and Social Medicine, Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria^c

The antifungal susceptibilities of 40 clinical and environmental isolates of *A. terreus sensu stricto* to amphotericin B, terbinafine, itraconazole, and voriconazole were determined in accordance with CLSI document M38-A2. All isolates had itraconazole and voriconazole MICs lower than epidemiologic cutoff values, and 5% of the isolates had amphotericin B MICs higher than epidemiologic cutoff values. Terbinafine showed the lowest MICs. No significant differences were found when MICs of clinical and environmental isolates were compared.

In recent decades, fungal infections due to *Aspergillus* species have become a major cause of morbidity and mortality among immunocompromised patients (1–3). *Aspergillus fumigatus* is the most frequently isolated species, although there has been an increase in the incidence of other species, including *Aspergillus flavus*, *A. niger*, and *A. terreus* (1, 4, 5).

A. terreus is considered an emerging opportunistic fungus which can produce superficial to serious invasive infections (4–8). Invasive infections are often treated empirically with amphotericin B, a widely used broad-spectrum drug. However, most *A. terreus* isolates are resistant *in vivo* and *in vitro* to this drug (9–13).

Voriconazole has proved to be most effective, *in vivo* and *in vitro*, against this species (14–16), although some publications (9, 17–19) have already reported clinical isolates of *A. terreus* with higher MICs than the established epidemiologic cutoff values (ECVs) for itraconazole and voriconazole (20).

The aim of this study was to determine the antifungal susceptibility profile of clinical and environmental isolates of *A. terreus* for amphotericin, terbinafine, and triazole derivatives and monitor the possible emergence of strains with reduced antifungal triazole activity.

A total of 40 isolates of *A. terreus* complex—19 clinical and 21 environmental—were studied. Environmental isolates were obtained from indoor and outdoor hospital environments and from soils and trees in Resistencia (27°27'05"S, 58°59'12"W) and Corrientes (27°30'00"S, 58°48'00"W) (cities located in northeastern Argentina). Clinical isolates were obtained from skin and soft tissues samples, bronchoalveolar lavage samples, fingernails, and toenails.

All of isolates were identified as *A. terreus* complex according to general taxonomical keys (21–24).

For molecular identification, DNA extraction was performed according to the method described by Bosco Borgeat et al. (25). The partial sequence of the calmodulin (CalM) gene was amplified under conditions described by Peterson (26), using primers CF1 F (5'GCCGACTCTTTGACYGARGAR) and CF4 R (5'TTTYTGCA TCATRAGYTGGAC). PCR products were purified using Pure-Link quick PCR purification kit (Invitrogen, Germany) following the supplier's protocol. PCR products were sent for sequencing to

the Department of Ecology, Genetics and Evolution Sequencing and Genotyping Service, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and to the Division of Hygiene and Medical Microbiology Medical University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria. Bidirectional sequencing was performed for all isolates. Sequencing errors were detected and corrected with BioEdit sequence alignment editor software, version 7.2.5 (<http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html>). All of the isolates were identified as *A. terreus sensu stricto*.

MICs were determined by broth microdilution method in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) document M38-A2 (27).

Antifungal activities were determined for voriconazole (Pfizer, USA), itraconazole (Sigma-Aldrich, Argentina), terbinafine (Sigma-Aldrich, Argentina), and amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, Argentina). Solutions were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (Sigma-Aldrich, Argentina) and stored at –70°C until they were used. The final concentrations of all drugs were 0.03 to 16 µg/ml.

The quality control strains *Candida parapsilosis* ATCC 22019, *Candida krusei* ATCC 6258, and *A. flavus* ATCC 204304 were included in each testing assay (27).

MIC endpoints for amphotericin B, azoles, and terbinafine were considered the lowest concentrations that produced a complete inhibition of visible growth at 48 h.

The significance of the differences in MICs between clinical

Received 7 January 2015 Returned for modification 18 February 2015

Accepted 19 March 2015

Accepted manuscript posted online 30 March 2015

Citation Fernández MS, Rojas FD, Cattana ME, Sosa MDLA, Iovannitti CA, Lass-Flörl C, Giusiano GE. 2015. *In vitro* activities of amphotericin B, terbinafine, and azole drugs against clinical and environmental isolates of *Aspergillus terreus sensu stricto*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 59:3619–3622. doi:10.1128/AAC.00045-15.

Address correspondence to Mariana S. Fernández, mariana_f19@hotmail.com.

* Present address: Mariana S. Fernández, Av. Las Heras 727, Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina.

Copyright © 2015, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

doi:10.1128/AAC.00045-15

TABLE 1 MICs of amphotericin B, terbinafine, and azole drugs obtained by broth microdilution for 40 *Aspergillus terreus sensu stricto* isolates

Isolate type (no. tested)	Drug ^a	MIC (µg/ml)			MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	% ≤ECV ^b
		Range	GM ^c	Mode			
Clinical (19)	AMB	1–8	2.17	2	2	4	94.74
	VRC	0.125–0.5	0.30	0.25	0.25	0.5	100
	ITC	≤0.03–0.5	0.26	0.5	0.125	0.5	100
	TER	≤0.03–0.25	0.14	0.25	0.125	0.25	ND ^b
Environmental (21)	AMB	1–8	2.24	2	2	4	95.24
	VRC	0.125–0.5	0.41	0.5	0.5	0.5	100
	ITC	≤0.03–0.5	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.5	100
	TER	≤0.03–0.25	0.09	0.125	0.06	0.125	ND ^b

^a AMB, amphotericin B; VRC, voriconazole; ITC, itraconazole; TER, terbinafine.

^b Percentage of MICs less than or equal to than the ECV (ECV = 1 µg/ml for itraconazole and voriconazole and 4 µg/ml for amphotericin B). ND, not determined (no ECVs were available for TER).

^c GM, geometric mean.

and environmental isolates was determined by the Student *t* test (unpaired, unequal variance). A *P* value of <0.05 was considered significant.

The MIC ranges, geometric means, modes, MIC₅₀s, and MIC₉₀s obtained are summarized in Table 1.

A. terreus is a cosmopolitan fungus frequently isolated from indoor and outdoor environments in northeast Argentina (28). In addition, it is one of the more frequently opportunistic agents of onychomycosis isolated in these regions (22).

Clinical breakpoints have not been established for mold testing. However, epidemiologic cutoff values (ECVs) of and amphotericin B, itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole are available for five *Aspergillus* spp. (among them *A. terreus*). The ECV of amphotericin B for *A. terreus* was defined as 4 µg/ml, encompassing 97.5% of the modeled wild-type population (29), and the ECVs of itraconazole and voriconazole for *A. terreus* were defined as 1 µg/ml (20).

The use of voriconazole for the treatment of invasive aspergillosis caused by *A. terreus* improved clinical response of patients (15, 16, 29, 39). These *in vivo* results correlate with our *in vitro* data; all voriconazole MICs were lower than the ECV (20) for both clinical and environmental isolates. The same situation was observed for itraconazole; some strains even showed MICs lower than that for voriconazole. Similar results were reported by other authors, showing a high *in vitro* activity of these antifungals (30–33). In contrast, some reports from European countries and the United States describe strains of *A. terreus* with MICs higher than the ECV for voriconazole and itraconazole (9, 17, 18, 20, 31).

Reports on susceptibility testing of terbinafine have increased since this antifungal has shown a high activity *in vitro* against a broad spectrum of pathogenic fungi (34). This drug showed potent *in vitro* activity against all isolates of *A. terreus* tested, with MICs lower than triazole derivatives. These data are consistent with values published by Moore and Walls, who reported a MIC₉₀ of 0.25 µg/ml with a range of 0.125 to 1 µg/ml (11). Garcia-Effron et al. reported higher values (MIC₉₀, 1 µg/ml; range, 0.03 to 4 µg/ml) (34), although these differences may be due to the different methods used.

Most investigations show that *A. terreus* has intrinsic resistance to amphotericin B, with elevated MICs (4, 9, 14, 32, 34, 35). In our study, 95% (38/40) of all isolates exhibited amphotericin B MICs of ≤4 µg/ml. Only two isolates (one clinical and one environmen-

tal) showed amphotericin B MICs of 8 µg/ml, above the proposed ECV (29). On the other hand, some studies have reported strains with low MICs (<1 µg/ml) for this drug (18, 30, 31, 36). Only 4/19 clinical isolates and 8/21 environmental isolates showed amphotericin B MICs of 1 µg/ml in our study. These findings suggest that there may be *A. terreus sensu stricto* strains that are susceptible to amphotericin B, but more research is needed to know if these isolates represent variants with susceptibility to amphotericin B.

No statistically significant differences between the susceptibility data obtained for clinical and environmental isolates were observed, as reported by other authors (30, 37), although Araujo et al. (38) found environmental isolates with significantly higher MICs than clinical isolates for amphotericin B.

Antifungal susceptibility testing is essential in patient management and surveillance of resistance. Little is known about the susceptibility profile of *A. terreus* worldwide. The present study is a contribution to the knowledge of the susceptibility of this opportunistic fungus and shows that *A. terreus sensu stricto* isolates obtained in this region have low MICs for itraconazole, voriconazole, and terbinafine and exhibit high amphotericin B MICs.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Sequences of the CalM genes of the 40 *A. terreus* isolates have been submitted to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) and assigned the accession numbers LN734824 to LN734863 (<http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/LN734824-LN734863>).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Mariana Climent for checking the English of the manuscript.

We have no conflict of interest to declare.

REFERENCES

- Garnacho-Montero J, Olaechea P, Alvarez-Lerma, Alvarez-Rocha FL, Galván B, Rodríguez A, Zaragoza R, Aguado J-M. 2013. Epidemiology, diagnosis and treatment of fungal respiratory infections in the critically ill patient. *Rev Esp Quimioter* 26:173–188.
- Chamilos G, Luna M, Lewis R. 2006. Invasive fungal infections in patients with hematologic malignancies in a tertiary care cancer center: an autopsy study over a 15-year period (1989–2003). *Haematologica* 91:986–989.
- Enoch DA, Ludlam HA, Brown NM. 2006. Invasive fungal infections: a review of epidemiology and management options. *J Med Microbiol* 55: 809–818. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.46548-0>.
- Baddley JW, Pappas PG, Smith AC, Moser SA. 2003. Epidemiology of

- Aspergillus terreus* at a university hospital. *J Clin Microbiol* 41:5525–5529. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.12.5525-5529.2003>.
5. Blum G, Perkhofer S, Grif K, Mayr A, Kropshofer G, Nachbaur D, Kafka-Ritsch R, Dierich MP, Lass-Flörl C. 2008. A 1-year *Aspergillus terreus* surveillance study at the University Hospital of Innsbruck: molecular typing of environmental and clinical isolates. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 14:1146–1151. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02099.x>.
 6. Ozer B, Kalaci A, Duran N, Dogramaci Y, Yanat AN. 2009. Cutaneous infection caused by *Aspergillus terreus*. *J Med Microbiol* 58:968–970. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.007799-0>.
 7. Lass-Flörl C, Rath P, Niederwieser D, Kofler G, Würzner R, Krezy A, Dierich MP. 2000. *Aspergillus terreus* infections in haematological malignancies: molecular epidemiology suggests association with in-hospital plants. *J Hosp Infect* 46:31–35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/jhin.2000.0799>.
 8. Fernández MS, Rojas FD, Cattana ME, Sosa MDLÁ, Mangiaterra ML, Giusiano GE. 2013. *Aspergillus terreus* complex: an emergent opportunistic agent of onychomycosis. *Mycoses* 56:477–481. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/myc.12061>.
 9. Meletiadiis J, Antachopoulos C, Stergiopoulou T, Pournaras S, Roilides E, Walsh TJ. 2007. Differential fungicidal activities of amphotericin B and voriconazole against *Aspergillus* species determined by microbroth methodology. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 51:3329–3337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00345-07>.
 10. Blum G, Perkhofer S, Haas H, Schrettl M, Würzner R, Dierich MP, Lass-Flörl C. 2008. Potential basis for amphotericin B resistance in *Aspergillus terreus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 52:1553–1555. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01280-07>.
 11. Moore CB, Walls CM. 2001. *In vitro* activities of terbinafine against *Aspergillus* species in comparison with those of itraconazole and amphotericin B. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 45:1882–1885.
 12. Lass-Flörl C, Alastruey-Izquierdo A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Perkhofer S, Rodriguez-Tudela JL. 2009. *In vitro* activities of various antifungal drugs against *Aspergillus terreus*: global assessment using the methodology of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 53:794–795. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00335-08>.
 13. Walsh TJ, Petraitis V, Petraitiene R, Field-Ridley A, Sutton D, Ghannoum M, Sein T, Schaafle R, Peter J, Bacher J, Casler H, Armstrong D, Espinel-Ingroff A, Rinaldi MG, Lyman CA. 2003. Experimental pulmonary aspergillosis due to *Aspergillus terreus*: pathogenesis and treatment of an emerging fungal pathogen resistant to amphotericin B. *J Infect Dis* 188:305–319. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/377210>.
 14. Lass-Flörl C, Grif K, Mayr A, Petzer A, Gastl G, Bonatti H, Freund M, Kropshofer G, Dierich MP, Nachbaur D. 2005. Epidemiology and outcome of infections due to *Aspergillus terreus*: 10-year single centre experience. *Br J Haematol* 131:201–207. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05763.x>.
 15. Herbrecht R, Denning D, Patterson T, Bennett J, Greene R, Oestmann J-W, Kern W, Marr K, Ribaud P, Lortholary O, Sylvester R, Rubin R, Wingard J, Stark P, Durand C, Caillot D, Thiel E, Chandrasekar P, Hodges M, Schlamm H, Troke P, De Pauw B. 2002. Voriconazole versus amphotericin B for primary therapy of invasive aspergillosis. *N Engl J Med* 347:408–415. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa020191>.
 16. Walsh TJ, Pappas P, Winston DJ, Lazarus HM, Petersen F, Raffalli J, Yanovich S, Stiff P, Greenberg R, Donowitz G, Lee J. 2002. Voriconazole compared with liposomal amphotericin B for empirical antifungal therapy in patients with neutropenia and persistent fever. *N Engl J Med* 346:225–234. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJM20020124360403>.
 17. Pfaller MA, Duncanson F, Messer SA, Moet GJ, Jones RN, Castanheira M. 2011. *In vitro* activity of a novel broad-spectrum antifungal, E1210, tested against *Aspergillus* spp. determined by CLSI and EUCAST broth microdilution methods. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55:5155–5158. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00570-11>.
 18. Gomez-Lopez A, Garcia-Effron G, Mellado E, Monzon A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. 2003. *In vitro* activities of three licensed antifungal agents against Spanish clinical isolates of *Aspergillus* spp. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 47:3085–3088. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.10.3085-3088.2003>.
 19. Mortensen KL, Jensen RH, Johansen HK, Skov M, Pressler T, Howard SJ, Leatherbarrow H, Mellado E, Arendrup MC. 2011. *Aspergillus* species and other molds in respiratory samples from patients with cystic fibrosis: a laboratory-based study with focus on *Aspergillus fumigatus* azole resistance. *J Clin Microbiol* 49:2243–2251. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00213-11>.
 20. Espinel-Ingroff A, Diekema DJ, Fothergill A, Johnson E, Pelaez T, Pfaller MA, Rinaldi MG, Canton E, Turnidge J. 2010. Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiological cutoff values for the triazoles and six *Aspergillus* spp. for the CLSI broth microdilution method (M38-A2 document). *J Clin Microbiol* 48:3251–3257. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00536-10>.
 21. Klich Maren A, Pitt JI. 1988. A laboratory guide to the common *Aspergillus* species and their teleomorphs. Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Division of Food Processing, North Ryde, New South Wales, Australia.
 22. Hoog G, Guarro J, Gené JFM. 2000. Atlas of clinical fungi, 2nd ed. Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
 23. Samson R, Houbraken J, Thrane U, Frisvad J, Andersen B. 2010. Food and indoor fungi. CBS laboratory manual series; no. 2. CBS-KNAW Fungal Biodiversity Centre, Utrecht, The Netherlands.
 24. Raper K, Fennell DI. 1965. The genus *Aspergillus*. The Williams & Wilkins Co., Baltimore, MD.
 25. Bosco Borgeat M, Mazza M, Refojo N, Taverna M. 2011. Tipificación molecular de especies del género *Cryptococcus*, p 164–166. In Merino L, Giusiano G (ed), Manual de métodos moleculares para estudios microbiológicos. Asociación Argentina de Microbiología, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
 26. Peterson SW. 2008. Phylogenetic analysis of *Aspergillus* species using DNA sequences from four loci. *Mycologia* 100:205–226. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3852/mycologia.100.2.205>.
 27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2008. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of filamentous fungi. Approved standard, 2nd ed. CLSI document M38-A2. CLSI, Wayne, PA.
 28. Fernández M, Cattana M, Rojas F, Sosa MDLÁ, Aguirre C, Vergara M, Giusiano G. 2014. Especies de *Aspergillus* en ambientes hospitalarios con pacientes pediátricos en estado crítico. *Rev Iberoam Micol* 31:176–181. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.riam.2013.09.007>.
 29. Espinel-Ingroff A, Cuenca-Estrella M, Fothergill A, Fuller J, Ghannoum M, Johnson E, Pelaez T, Pfaller MA, Turnidge J. 2011. Wild-type MIC distributions and epidemiological cutoff values for amphotericin B and *Aspergillus* spp. for the CLSI broth microdilution method (M38-A2 document). *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 55:5150–5154. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00686-11>.
 30. Sutton D, Sanche S, Revankar S, Fothergill A, Rinaldi M. 1999. *In vitro* amphotericin B resistance in clinical isolates of *Aspergillus terreus*, with a head-to-head comparison to voriconazole. *J Clin Microbiol* 37:2343–2345.
 31. Misra R, Malik A, Singhal S. 2011. Comparison of the activities of amphotericin B, itraconazole, and voriconazole against clinical and environmental isolates of *Aspergillus* species. *Indian J Pathol Microbiol* 54:112–116. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4103/0377-4929.77352>.
 32. Espinel-Ingroff A. 2001. *In vitro* fungicidal activities of voriconazole, itraconazole, and amphotericin B against opportunistic moniliales and dematiaceous fungi. *J Clin Microbiol* 39:954–958. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.3.954-958.2001>.
 33. Diekema DJ, Messer SA, Hollis RJ, Jones RN, Pfaller MA. 2003. Activities of caspofungin, itraconazole, posaconazole, ravuconazole, voriconazole, and amphotericin B against 448 recent clinical isolates of filamentous fungi. *J Clin Microbiol* 41:3623–3626. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.8.3623-3626.2003>.
 34. Garcia-Effron G, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E, Monzon A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. 2004. *In vitro* activity of terbinafine against medically important non-dermatophyte species of filamentous fungi. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 53:1086–1089. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh228>.
 35. Baddley JW, Marr KA, Andes DR, Walsh TJ, Kauffman CA, Kontoyannis DP, Ito JI, Balajee SA, Pappas PG, Moser SA. 2009. Patterns of susceptibility of *Aspergillus* isolates recovered from patients enrolled in the transplant-associated infection surveillance network. *J Clin Microbiol* 47:3271–3275. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00854-09>.
 36. Blum G, Hörtnagl C, Jukic E, Erbeznik T, Pümpel T, Dietrich H, Nagl M, Speth C, Rambach G, Lass-Flörl C. 2013. New insight into amphotericin B resistance in *Aspergillus terreus*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 57:1583–1588. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01283-12>.

37. Tortorano A, Prigitano A, Dho G, Biraghi E, Stevens D, Ghannoum M, Nolard N, Viviani M. 2008. *In vitro* activity of amphotericin B against *Aspergillus terreus* isolates from different countries and regions. *J Chemother* 20:756–757. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/joc.2008.20.6.756>.
38. Araujo R, Pina-Vaz C, Rodrigues AG. 2007. Susceptibility of environmental versus clinical strains of pathogenic *Aspergillus*. *Int J Anti-microb Agents* 29:108–111. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.09.019>.
39. Steinbach WJ, Benjamin DK, Kontoyiannis DP, Perfect JR, Lutsar I, Marr KA, Lionakis MS, Torres HA, Jafri H, Walsh TJ. 2004. Infections due to *Aspergillus terreus*: a multicenter retrospective analysis of 83 cases. *Clin Infect Dis* 39:192–198. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/421950>.