

Central European Journal of Mathematics

Weighted inequalities for some integral operators with rough kernels

Research Article

María Silvina Riveros^{1*}, Marta Urciuolo¹

1 FaMAF, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, CIEM (CONICET), Medina Allende y Haya de la Torre, s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, Argentina

Received 26 October 2012; accepted 10 June 2013

Abstract: In this paper we study integral operators with kernels

$$K\{x, y\} = k_1\{x - A_1 y\} \cdots k_m\{x - A_m y\},$$

 $k_i\langle x\rangle = \Omega_i\langle x\rangle/|x|^{n/q_i}$ where $\Omega_i\colon \mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ are homogeneous functions of degree zero, satisfying a size and a Dini condition, A_i are certain invertible matrices, and $n/q_1+\dots+n/q_m=n-\alpha, 0\leq \alpha< n$. We obtain the appropriate weighted L^p - L^q estimate, the weighted BMO and weak type estimates for certain weights in A(p,q). We also give a Coifman type estimate for these operators.

MSC: 42B20, 42B25

Keywords: Fractional operators • Calderón-Zygmund operators • BMO • Muckenhoupt weights

© Versita Sp. z o.o.

1. Introduction

Let $0 \le \alpha < n$, $1 < m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $1 \le i \le m$, let $1 < q_i < \infty$ be such that $n/q_1 + \cdots + n/q_m = n - \alpha$. We denote by $\Sigma = \Sigma_{n-1}$ the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Let $\Omega_i \in L^1(\Sigma)$. If $x \ne 0$, we write x' = x/|x|. We extend this function to $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ as $\Omega_i(x) = \Omega_i(x')$. Let

$$k_i(x) = \frac{\Omega_i(x)}{|x|^{n/q_i}}.$$
 (1)

^{*} E-mail: sriveros@famaf.unc.edu.ar

[†] E-mail: urciuolo@famaf.unc.edu.ar

In this paper we study the integral operator

$$T_{\alpha}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x, y)f(y) dy, \tag{2}$$

with $K(x,y) = k_1(x-A_1y)\cdots k_m(x-A_my)$, where A_i , are certain invertible matrices and $f \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the case $A_i = a_i I$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, Godoy and Urciuolo in [6] obtain the $L^\rho(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) - L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ boundedness of this operator for $0 \le \alpha < n$, $1 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. In the case that Ω_i are smooth functions, in [12], Rocha and Urciuolo consider the operator T_α for matrices A_1, \ldots, A_m satisfying the following hypothesis:

$$A_i$$
 is invertible and $A_i - A_j$ is invertible for $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. (H)

They obtain that T_{α} is a bounded operator from $H^{\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, for $0 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. For $0 \le \alpha < n$ and $1 \le s < \infty$ we define

$$M_{\alpha,s}f(x) = \sup_{\mathcal{B}} |\mathcal{B}|^{\alpha l n} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x)|^{s} dx \right)^{1/s},$$

where the supremum is taken along all balls B such that x belongs to B. We observe that $M = M_{0,1}$, where M is the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, also for $0 < \alpha < n$, $M_{\alpha} = M_{\alpha,1}$ is the classical fractional maximal operator. It is well known [9] that if w is a weight (i.e. w is a non negative function and $w \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$) then M_{α} is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w^p)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, w^q)$, for $1 and <math>1/q = /p - \alpha/n$, if and only if

$$\sup_{\mathcal{B}} \left[\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} w^q \right)^{1/q} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} w^{-\rho'} \right)^{1/\rho'} \right] < \infty, \tag{3}$$

where 1/p + 1/p' = 1. The class of weights that satisfy (3) is called A(p,q).

Throughout this paper we understand that for $p=\infty$, $\left(\int_{\mathcal{E}}|f|^{\rho}\right)^{1/\rho}$ stands for $\|f\chi_{\mathcal{E}}\|_{\infty}$, for any measurable set E. With this in mind we define the class A(p,q) still by (3) for all $1\leq p\leq\infty$ and $1\leq q\leq\infty$. If A_{ρ} , $p\geq1$, denotes the classical Muckenhoupt class of weights, we note that $w\in A(p,q)$ if and only if $w^{q}\in A_{1+q/p'}$, and as a particular case $w\in A(p,p)$ is equivalent to $w^{\rho}\in A_{\rho}$. We recall that $A_{\infty}=\bigcup_{\rho>1}A_{\rho}$. Also, the statement $w\in A(\infty,\infty)$ is equivalent to $w^{-1}\in A_{1}$.

In [10, 11] we consider $\Omega_i \equiv 1$ and weights satisfying the following condition: There exists c > 0 such that

$$w(A_i x) \le c w(x), \tag{4}$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \le i \le m$.

We note that if w is a power weight then w satisfies (4). Observe that there are other weights that satisfy this condition. For example, consider

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} -\ln|x| & \text{if } |x| \le e^{-1}, \\ 1 & \text{if } |x| > e^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

In [7], it is shown that $w \in A_1$ and it is easy to check that for any $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists C_o such that $w(ax) \leq C_o w(x)$, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In [11] we obtain weighted estimates for this kind of operator and certain weights satisfying (4), precisely as for the classical fractional integral operator I_a with $0 < \alpha < n$, or the singular integral operator with $\alpha = 0$, we prove the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w^p) - L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, w^q)$ boundedness of T_a for weights $w \in A(p, q)$, $1 , <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and $0 \leq \alpha < n$.

Given a function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, we define the sharp maximal function by

$$M^{\#}f(x) = \sup_{\mathcal{B}\ni x} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int \left| f(y) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f| \right| dy,$$

and the space

$$\mathsf{BMO} = \left\{ f \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) : M^{\#} f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx) \right\},\,$$

the norm in this space is $||f||_{\kappa} = ||M^{\#}f||_{\infty}$. There is also a weighted version of BMO, denoted by BMO(w), that is described by the semi norm

$$|||f||_{w} = \sup_{\mathcal{B}} ||w\chi_{\mathcal{B}}||_{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x) - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} f \, dx \right).$$

It is easy to check that $|||f||| \simeq ||wM^\# f||_{\infty}$. In [11] we also obtain the weighted weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha))$ estimate for $w \in A(1, n/(n-\alpha))$ and w satisfying (4). We also prove that if $w \in A(n/\alpha, \infty)$ and w satisfies (4) then

$$\||\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}f||_{w} \le C \left(\int (|f|w)^{\alpha/\alpha} \right)^{\alpha/\alpha}. \tag{5}$$

The key argument to obtain the above stated results was the Coifman type estimate (see [11, Theorem 2.1])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^{\rho} w(x) dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\alpha}f(x)|^{\rho} w(x) dx,$$

 $f \in L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, p > 0 and $w \in A_{\infty}$ satisfying (4).

For integral operators with rough kernels of the form

$$T_{\Omega,\alpha}f(x) = \int \frac{\Omega(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\alpha-\alpha}} f(y) \, dy,$$

in [3, 8, 13] the authors obtain weighted estimates for $T_{\Omega,0}$ for certain functions Ω homogeneous of degree zero and $\Omega \in L^p(S^{n-1})$ for some p>1. In [2] the authors prove the corresponding weighted results for $\alpha>0$. Also in [1] the authors obtain a Coifman type inequality for general fractional integrals operators with kernels satisfying a Hörmander condition given by a Young function. In Section 2 we describe this condition.

In this paper we consider the operator T_a defined in (2) where, for $1 \le i \le m$, k_i is given by (1) and the matrices A_i satisfy the hypothesis (H). For $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $\Omega_i \in L^1(\Sigma)$, we define the L^p -modulus of continuity as

$$\omega_{i,\rho}(t) = \sup_{|y| \le t} \|\Omega_i(\cdot + y) - \Omega_i(\cdot)\|_{\rho,\Sigma}.$$

We will make the following hypotheses about the functions Ω_i , $1 \le i \le m$:

there exists
$$p_i > q_i$$
 such that $\Omega_i \in L^{\rho_i}(\Sigma)$, (H₁)

$$\int_0^1 \omega_{i,\rho_i}(t) \frac{dt}{t} < \infty. \tag{H_2}$$

In Section 2 we obtain a pointwise estimate that relates $(M^{\#}|T_{\alpha}f|^{\delta}(x))^{1/\delta}$, for $0 < \delta < 1$, with a fractional maximal function of an appropriate power of f. This estimate is the fundamental key to obtain weighted inequalities for the operator T_{α} . These inequalities are developed in Section 3. We give first a Coifman type estimate for these operators that allows us to get the adequate weighted $L^{\rho}-L^{q}$ estimate for certain weights in $A(\rho,q)$. The results that we obtain in Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 are the analogs of [2, Theorems 1 and 2]. We also get the corresponding weighted BMO and weak type estimates.

Throughout this paper c and C will denote positive constants, not the same at each occurrence.

2. Pointwise estimate

We denote by $|x| \sim R$ the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : R < |x| \le 2R\}$ and for $1 \le r \le \infty$,

$$||f||_{r,|\mathbf{x}|\sim R} = \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}(0,2R)|} \int_{\mathcal{B}(0,2R)} |f|^r \chi_{|\mathbf{x}|\sim R}\right)^{1/r}.$$

In [1] the authors introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.1.

Given $0 \le \alpha < n$ and $1 \le r \le \infty$, we say that $k \in H_{r,\alpha}$ if there exist $c \ge 1$ and C > 0 such that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and R > c|y|,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (2^m R)^{n-\alpha} \| k(\cdot - y) - k(\cdot) \|_{c,|x| \sim 2^m R} \le C.$$

In Proposition 4.2 of the mentioned paper they prove that that if k_i is as in (1) and Ω_i satisfies (H₂) then $k_i \in H_{alg'_i,g_i}$.

Theorem 2.2.

Let $0 \le \alpha < n$ and let T_α be the integral operator defined by (2). We suppose that for $1 \le i \le m$, the matrices A_i and the functions Ω_i satisfy hypotheses (H), (H₁) and (H₂). If $s \ge 1$ is defined by $1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m + 1/s = 1$, then there exists C > 0 such that for $0 < \delta \le 1$ and $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$,

$$\left(M^{\#}|T_{a}f|^{\delta}(x)\right)^{1/\delta} \leq C\sum_{i=1}^{m}M_{a,s}f(A_{i}^{-1}x).$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, $f \geq 0$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$. As in [6] it can be proved that T_a is a bounded operator from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$, for $1 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$, so $T_a(f) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ and $M^\#_\delta(T_a f)(x)$ is well defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $B = B(x_B, R)$ be a ball that contains x, centered at x_B with radius R, and $T_a f(x_B) < \infty$. We write $\widetilde{B} = B(x_B, 4R)$, and for $1 \leq i \leq m$ we also set $\widetilde{B}_i = A_i^{-1}\widetilde{B}$. Let $f_1 = f_{X(f_1 \in r_B, \widetilde{B})}$ and let $f_2 = f - f_1$.

We choose $\alpha = T_{\alpha}f_2(x_{\beta})$. By Jensen's inequality and from the inequality

$$|t^{\delta}-s^{\delta}|^{1/\delta}\leq |t-s|,$$

which holds for any positive t, s, we get

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}f)^{\delta}(y)-a^{\delta}\right|dy\right)^{1/\delta} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}f(y)-a\right|dy\right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}f_{1}(y)\right|dy\right) + \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}f_{2}(y)-a\right|dy\right) = 1+11. \end{split}$$

We consider first the case $0 < \alpha < n$.

$$1 = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |T_{\alpha} f_1(y)| \, dy \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_i} |K(y,z)| f(z) \, dz \, dy = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_i} f(z) \int_{\mathcal{B}} |K(y,z)| \, dy \, dz.$$

If $z \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$ let

$$\mathfrak{C}^l = \left\{ y \in \mathcal{B} : |y - A_l z| \leq |y - A_r z|, \, 1 \leq r \leq m \right\},$$

then

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} |K(y,z)| \, dy \le \int_{\mathcal{C}^1} |K(y,z)| \, dy + \dots + \int_{\mathcal{C}^m} |K(y,z)| \, dy.$$

For $1 \le l \le m$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{C}_i^l = \{ y \in \mathcal{B} : |y - A_l z| \le |y - A_r z|, \ 1 \le r \le m, \ |y - A_l z| \sim 2^{-j-1} R \}.$$

We observe that if $y \in B$ then $|y - A_t z| \le 5R < 8R$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}^{t}} |K(y,z)| \, dy \leq \sum_{j=-3}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{C}^{t}_{j}} |K(y,z)| \, dy \leq C \sum_{j=-3}^{\infty} \left[\|k_{1}(\cdot - A_{1}z)\chi_{\mathcal{C}^{t}_{j}}\|_{\rho_{1}} \cdots \|k_{m}(\cdot - A_{m}z)\chi_{\mathcal{C}^{t}_{j}}\|_{\rho_{m}} (2^{-j}R)^{a/s} \right]. \tag{6}$$

If $p_l < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{l}(\cdot - A_{l}z)\chi_{\mathfrak{S}_{l}^{l}}\|_{\rho_{l}} &= \left(\int_{2^{-j-1}R \leq |u| \leq 2^{-j}R} \left(\frac{|\Omega_{l}(u)|}{|u|^{n/q_{l}}} du\right)^{\rho_{l}}\right)^{1/\rho_{l}} \\ &\leq C2^{jn/q_{l}}R^{-n/q_{l}} \left(\int_{2^{-j-1}R \leq |u| \leq 2^{-j}R} |\Omega_{l}(u)|^{\rho_{l}} du\right)^{1/\rho_{l}} \leq C2^{jn/q_{l}}R^{-n/q_{l}}2^{-jn/\rho_{l}}R^{n/\rho_{l}}\|\Omega_{l}\|_{\rho_{l}}, \end{aligned}$$
(7)

where the last inequality follows since Ω_l is homogeneous of degree zero. We observe that if $p_l=\infty$ we also have

$$\left\|k_l(\cdot - A_l z)\chi_{\mathfrak{C}_l^l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{jnlq_l} R^{-nlq_l} \|\Omega_l\|_{\infty}.$$

For $1 \le r \le m$, $r \ne l$, we observe that if $y \in \mathcal{C}_i^l$ then $|y - A_r z| \ge |y - A_l z| > 2^{-j-1}R$. So if $p_r < \infty$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{r}(\cdot - A_{r}z)\chi_{\mathcal{Q}_{j}^{r}}\|_{\rho_{r}} &\leq \left(\sum_{k\geq 0} \int_{\{2-j+k-1}R\leq |u|\leq 2-j+kR\}} \left(\frac{|\Omega_{r}(u)|}{|u|^{\sigma |q_{r}}}\right)^{\rho_{r}}\right)^{1/\rho_{r}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{(j-k)\sigma |q_{r}}R^{-\sigma |q_{r}|} 2^{(-j+k)\sigma |\rho_{r}|}R^{\sigma |\rho_{r}|} \|\Omega_{r}\|_{\rho_{r}} \\ &\leq C 2^{j\sigma |q_{1}r}R^{-\sigma |q_{r}|} 2^{-j\sigma |\rho_{r}|}R^{\sigma |\rho_{r}|} \|\Omega_{r}\|_{\rho_{r}} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{k(\sigma |\rho_{r}|-\sigma |q_{r}|)} \\ &\leq C 2^{j\sigma |q_{1}R}R^{-\sigma |q_{r}|} 2^{-j\sigma |\rho_{r}|}R^{\sigma |\rho_{r}|} \|\Omega_{r}\|_{\rho_{r}}, \end{aligned}$$
(8)

the last inequality follows since $p_r > q_r$. Again, if $p_r = \infty$ we get

$$\left\|k_r(\cdot-A_rz)\chi_{\mathfrak{S}_i^t}\right\|_{\infty}\leq C2^{jnlq_r}R^{-nlq_r}\|\Omega_r\|_{\infty}.$$

Then from (6), (7) and (8) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} |K(y,z)| \, dy \leq C \sum_{j=-3}^{\infty} 2^{j\alpha l q_{1}} R^{-\alpha l q_{1}} 2^{-j\alpha l \rho_{1}} R^{\alpha l \rho_{1}} \|\Omega_{1}\|_{\rho_{1}} \cdots 2^{j\alpha l q_{m}} R^{-\alpha l q_{m}} 2^{-j\alpha l \rho_{m}} R^{\alpha l \rho_{m}} \|\Omega_{m}\|_{\rho_{m}} (2^{-j}R)^{\alpha l s}$$

$$\leq C R^{\alpha} \|\Omega_{1}\|_{\rho_{1}} \cdots \|\Omega_{m}\|_{\rho_{m}}.$$

So,

$$1 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{R^{\alpha}}{|B|} \int_{\widetilde{B}_{i}} f(z) dz \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{\alpha} f(A_{i}^{-1}x) \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{\alpha,s} f(A_{i}^{-1}x).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |I| &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left| T_{\alpha} f_{2} y - T_{\alpha} f_{2} x_{\mathcal{B}} \right| dy \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i}\right)^{c}} \left| K(y, z) - K(x_{\mathcal{B}}, z) \right| f(z) dz dy \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \int_{\mathcal{I}_{l}} \left| K(y, z) - K(x_{\mathcal{B}}, z) \right| f(z) dz dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}^{l} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{i}\right)^{c} \cap \left\{z: |x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{l}z| \leq |x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{r}z|, \ 1 \leq r \leq m\right\}.$$

We estimate now $|K(y,z)-K(x_B,z)|$ for $y\in B$ and $z\in \mathbb{Z}^l$. It is easy to check that

$$|K(y,z) - K(x_{\mathcal{B}},z)| \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\prod_{r=1}^{i} |k_{r-1}(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{r-1}z)| |k_{i}(y - A_{i}z) - k_{i}(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{i}z)| \prod_{r=i}^{m} |k_{r+1}(y - A_{r+1}z)| \right], \tag{9}$$

where we define $k_0 = k_{m+1} \equiv 1$.

For simplicity we estimate the first summand of (9), the other summands follow in analogous way. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{D}_i^l = \{z \in \mathcal{Z}^l : |x_B - A_l z| \sim 2^{j+1}R\}$. We use Hölder's inequality to get

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{l}} \left| k_{1}(y - A_{1}z) - k_{1}(x_{\beta} - A_{1}z) \right| \prod_{r=2}^{m} \left| k_{r}(y - A_{r}z) \right| f(z) dz \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{l}} \left| k_{1}(y - A_{1}z) - k_{1}(x_{\beta} - A_{1}z) \right| \prod_{r=2}^{m} \left| k_{r}(y - A_{r}z) \right| f(z) dz \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(k_{1}(y - A_{1} \cdot) - k_{1}(x_{\beta} - A_{1} \cdot) \right) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{l}} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \prod_{r=2}^{m} \left\| k_{r}(y - A_{r} \cdot) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{l}} \right\|_{\rho_{r}} \left\| f \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{l}} \right\|_{s}. \end{split}$$

Now, if $p_l < \infty$,

$$||k_{l}(y - A_{l} \cdot) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\ell}}||_{\rho_{l}} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\ell}} \frac{|\Omega_{l}(y - A_{l}z)|^{\rho_{l}}}{|y - A_{l}z|^{\sigma\rho_{l}lq_{l}}} dz \right)^{1/\rho_{l}}$$

$$\leq C(R2^{j})^{-\sigma lq_{l}} \left(\int_{\{2^{j}R < |y - A_{l}z| \le 2^{j+3}R\}} |\Omega_{l}(y - A_{l}z)|^{\rho_{l}} dz \right)^{1/\rho_{l}}$$

$$\leq C(2^{j}R)^{-\sigma lq_{l}+\sigma l\rho_{l}} \left(\int_{\{1 < |u| \le 8\}} |\Omega_{l}(u)|^{\rho_{l}} du \right)^{1/\rho_{l}}$$

$$\leq C(2^{j}R)^{-\sigma lq_{l}+\sigma l\rho_{l}} ||\Omega_{l}||_{\rho_{l}},$$

$$(10)$$

where the first inequality follows since $|x_B - A_l z|/2 \le |y - A_l z| \le 2|x_B - A_l z|$. If $p_l = \infty$ we also get

$$\left\|k_l(y-A_l\cdot)\chi_{\mathcal{D}_j^l}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C(2^jR)^{-\sigma lq_l}\|\Omega_l\|_{\infty}.$$

For $r \neq l$, we observe that if $z \in \mathcal{D}_l^j$ then $|x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_r z| \geq |x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_l z| \geq 2^{j+1} R$, so we decompose $\mathcal{D}_j^l = \bigcup_{k \geq j} \left(\mathcal{D}_j^l\right)_{k,r}$ where

$$\left(\mathcal{D}_{j}^{l}\right)_{k,r} = \left\{z \in \mathcal{D}_{j}^{l} : \left|x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_{r}z\right| \sim 2^{k+1}R\right\}.$$

If $p_r < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_{r}(y - A_{r} \cdot) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\ell}}\|_{\rho_{r}} &= \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{\ell}\}_{k,r}} |k_{r}(y - A_{r}z)|^{\rho_{r}} dz \right)^{1/\rho_{r}} \\ &\leq C \|\Omega_{r}\|_{\rho_{r}} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} (2^{k}R)^{-\alpha/q_{r} + \alpha/\rho_{r}} \leq C \|\Omega_{r}\|_{\rho_{r}} (2^{j}R)^{-\alpha/q_{r} + \alpha/\rho_{r}}, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where the geometric sums converge since $p_r > q_r$. If $p_r = \infty$,

$$\left\|k_r(y-A_r\cdot)\chi_{\mathcal{D}_j^t}\right\|_{\infty} = \sum_{k-j+1}^{\infty} \left\|k_r(y-A_r\cdot)\chi_{\{\mathcal{D}_j^t\}_{k,r}}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C \left\|\Omega_r\right\|_{\infty}(2^jR)^{-\sigma lq_r}.$$

Now for l = 1,

$$\| \left(k_1(y - A_1 \cdot) - k_1(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_1 \cdot) \right) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{\ell}^{1}} \|_{\rho_{1}} \le C \| \left(k_1(y - x_{\mathcal{B}} + \cdot) - k_1(\cdot) \right) \chi_{|x| \sim 2^{j+1} \mathcal{B}} \|_{\rho_{1}}. \tag{12}$$

Since $n/p_2 + \cdots + n/p_m - (n/q_2 + \cdots + n/q_m) = \alpha - n/s - n/p_1 + n/q_1$, then (10), (11) and (12) imply

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{Z}^{1}} \left| k_{1}(y - A_{1}z) - k_{1}(x_{\beta} - A_{1}z) \right| \prod_{r=2}^{\infty} \left| k_{r}(y - A_{r}z) \right| f(z) dz \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{j}R)^{a_{1}q_{1}-a_{1}p_{1}} \left\| \left(k_{1}(y - x_{\beta} + \cdot) - k_{1}(\cdot) \right) \chi_{|x| \sim 2^{j+1}R} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} (2^{j}R)^{a} \left(\frac{1}{(2^{j}R)^{a}} \int_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{+}} f^{s}(z) dz \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq C M_{a,s} f(A_{1}^{-1}x) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (2^{j}R)^{a_{1}q_{1}-a_{1}p_{1}} \left\| \left(k_{1}(y - x_{\beta} + \cdot) - k_{1}(\cdot) \right) \chi_{|x| \sim 2^{j+1}R} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \leq C M_{a,s} f(A_{1}^{-1}x), \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows since $k_1\in H_{\sigma lq_1',\rho_1}.$ For $l\neq 1$ we observe that

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \left(k_1(y - A_1 \cdot) - k_1(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_1 \cdot) \right) \chi_{\mathcal{D}_{j}^{k}} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \leq \sum_{k-j+1}^{\infty} \left\| \left(k_1(y - A_1 \cdot) - k_1(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_1 \cdot) \right) \chi_{(\mathcal{D}_{j}^{k})_{k,1}} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \\ & \leq C \sum_{k-j+1}^{\infty} (2^{k}R)^{\alpha l \rho_{1} - \alpha l \rho_{1}} \left\| \left(k_1(y - x_{\mathcal{B}} + \cdot) - k_1(\cdot) \right) \chi_{|x| \sim 2^{k+1}R} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \leq C (2^{j}R)^{\alpha l \rho_{1} - \alpha l \rho_{1}}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows since $p_1 > q_1$ and since $k_1 \in H_{alq_1',p_1,.}$ So as in the case l = 1 we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}^{\ell}} |k_1(y - A_1 z) - k_1(x_{\mathcal{B}} - A_1 z)| \prod_{i=1}^{m} |k_i(y - A_i z)| f(z) dz \le C M_{\alpha, s} f(A_{\ell}^{-1} x).$$

Then

$$11 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} M_{\alpha,s} f(A_i^{-1} x).$$

Now we start with the case $\alpha = 0$.

If $p_i = \infty$ for all $1 \le i \le m$, we decompose

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|(T_0f)^\delta(y)-a^\delta\right|\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}\leq \left(\frac{C}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}(T_0f_1)^\delta(y)\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}+ \left(\frac{C}{|\mathcal{B}|}\int_{\mathcal{B}}\left|(T_0f_2)^\delta(y)-a^\delta\right|\,dy\right)^{1/\delta}=1+11.$$

To estimate I we observe that

$$|T_0 f(x)| \le C \int |x - A_1 y|^{-\alpha l q_1} \cdots |x - A_m y|^{-\alpha l q_m} f(y) \, dy = CT f(x).$$
 (13)

In [11] we obtain that the operator T is of weak-type (1,1) with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Thus taking $0 < \delta < 1$ and using Kolmogorov's inequality (see [7, Exercise 2.1.5, p.91]) we get

$$1 \leq \frac{C}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1(y) \, dy \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{C}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_j} f(y) \, dy \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m Mf(A_j^{-1}x).$$

To estimate II, we first use Jensen's inequality and then proceed just as in the case $0 < \alpha < n$ to get

$$|| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m} Mf(A_j^{-1}x),$$

and so the theorem follows in this case.

If $p_i < \infty$ for some $1 \le i \le m$, by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{split} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left| (T_0 f)^{\delta}(y) - a^{\delta} \right| \, dy \right)^{1/\delta} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left| T_0 f(y) - a \right| \, dy \right) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left| T_0 f_1(y) \right| \, dy \right) + \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left| T_0 f_2(y) - a \right| \, dy \right) = 1 + 11. \end{split}$$

As in [6] it can be proved that T_0 is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ for 1 . So, by Hölder's inequality,

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |T_0 f_1(y)|^{\rho} \, dy\right)^{1/\rho} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1(y)|^{\rho} \, dy\right)^{1/\rho} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m M_{0,\rho} f(A_j^{-1}x).$$

As before, to estimate II we proceed as in the case $0 < \alpha < n$ to get

$$|| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m} M_{0,s} f(A_j^{-1} x).$$

If we chose p = s the theorem follows in this case.

3. Weighted estimates

Our next aim is to obtain weighted $L^{\rho}-L^{q}$ estimates for the operator T_{α} and certain classes of weights. The fundamental tool to get these results is the following theorem about a Coifman type inequality.

Theorem 3.1.

Let assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_a , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Let $0 and <math>w \in A_\infty$ satisfy (4). Then there exists C > 0 such that for $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^{\rho} w(x) dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |M_{\alpha,s}f(x)|^{\rho} w(x) dx,$$

always holds if the left hand side is finite.

Proof. Let $w \in A_{\infty}$, then there exists r > 1 such that $w \in A_r$. For $0 we take <math>0 < \delta < 1$, such that $1 < r < p/\delta$, thus $w \in A_{\rho/\delta}$. If $\|T_{\alpha}f\|_{\rho,w} < \infty$ then also $\|(T_{\alpha}f)^{\delta}\|_{\rho/\delta,w} < \infty$. Under these conditions we can apply [5, Theorem 2.20, p. 410], and from Theorem 2.2 we get

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^{\rho} w(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} (\mathcal{M}(T_{\alpha}f)^{\delta}(x))^{\rho/\delta} w(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} (\mathcal{M}_{\delta}^{\#}(T_{\alpha}f)(x))^{\rho} w(x) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,s} f(A_{i}^{-1}x) \right)^{\rho} w(x) dx \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,s}f)^{\rho}(x) w(A_{i}x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{o}} (\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,s}f(x))^{\rho} w(x) dx, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows since w satisfies (4).

Lemma 3.2.

Let assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_{α} , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Suppose $w^s \in A(p/s,q/s)$ with $1 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. If $f \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n,dx)$ then $T_\alpha(f) \in L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n,w^q)$.

Proof. The proof follows similar lines as the proof of [11, Lemma 2.2]. Since $w^s \in A(p/s, q/s)$ then $w^q \in A_r$ with $r = 1 + q/s \cdot 1/(p/s)' = q/n \cdot (n/s - \alpha)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}_j = \max\{|A_j| : |y| = 1\}$ and let $\mathcal{M} = \max_{1 \le j \le m} \{\mathcal{M}_j\}$. Suppose supp $f \subseteq \mathcal{B}(0,R)$. If $|x| > 2\mathcal{M}R$ and $y \in \text{supp}\, f$, then for $1 \le i \le m$,

$$|x - A_i y| \ge |x| - |A_i y| = |x| - |y| \left| A_i \frac{y}{|y|} \right| \ge |x| - R \mathfrak{M} \ge \frac{|x|}{2}$$

so by Hölder's inequality,

$$|T_{\alpha}f(x)| = \left| \int k_{1}(x - A_{1}y) \cdots k_{m}(x - A_{m}y)f(y) dy \right| \leq \left\| k_{1}(x - A_{1} \cdot) \chi_{\{|x - A_{1} \cdot| \geq |x|/2\}} \right\|_{\rho_{1}} \cdots \left\| k_{m}(x - A_{m} \cdot) \chi_{\{|x - A_{m} \cdot| \geq |x|/2\}} \right\|_{\rho_{m}} \|f\|_{s}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{split} \big\| k_i(x - A_i \cdot) \chi_{\{|x - A_i \cdot | \ge |x|/2\}} \big\|_{\rho_i} &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \big\| k_i(x - A_i \cdot) \chi_{\{|x - A_i \cdot | \ge 2^{k-2}|x|\}} \big\|_{\rho_i} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^k |x|^{-\sigma l q_i} \, \big\| \Omega_i \chi_{\{|\cdot| \sim 2^{k-2}|x|\}} \big\|_{\rho_i} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^k |x|^{-\sigma l q_i + \sigma l \rho_i} \|\Omega_i\|_{\rho_i} = C |x|^{-\sigma l q_i + \sigma l \rho_i} \|\Omega_i\|_{\rho_i}. \end{split}$$

So,

$$|T_{\alpha}f(x)| \leq C|x|^{\sum_{i=1}^{m} -\alpha/q_i + \alpha/p_i} \|\Omega_1\|_{q_1} \cdots \|\Omega_m\|_{p_m} \|f\|_s = C|x|^{\alpha -\alpha/s} \|f\|_s.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{|x|>2\mathcal{M}R} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^q \, w^q(x) \, dx &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \int\limits_{|x|\sim 2^k \mathcal{M}R} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^q \, w^q(x) \, dx \\ &\leq C \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \int\limits_{|x|\sim 2^k \mathcal{M}R} |x|^{(\alpha-\alpha ts)q} \, w^q(x) \, dx \leq C \sum_{k\in\mathbb{N}} (2^k \mathcal{M}R)^{(\alpha-\alpha ts)q} \, w^q \left(B\left(0,2^{k+1} \mathcal{M}R\right)\right). \end{split}$$

Since $w^q \in A_r$, there exists $\widetilde{r} < r = q/n \cdot (n/s - \alpha)$ such that $w^q \in A_{\widetilde{r}}$ so $w^q \left(B \left(0, 2^{k+1} \mathfrak{M} R \right) \right) \le C 2^{k \alpha \widetilde{r}}$ (see [5, Lemma 2.2]) so the last sum is finite. To study

$$\int_{|x|<2D \in R} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^q w^q(x) dx,$$

we recall that in [6] the authors obtain the boundedness of T_a from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$ for $1 and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$, and so it is left to continue the proof as in [11].

We are now ready to prove the weighted boundedness result.

Theorem 3.3.

Let assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_{α} , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Suppose w satisfies (4) and $w^s \in A(p/s, q/s)$ with $s and <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$. Then there exits C > 0 such that for $f \in L^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, dx)$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_{\alpha}f(x)|^q w^q(x) \ dx \right)^{1/q} \le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w^p(x) \ dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. Since $w^s \in A(p/s, q/s)$ for $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ then $w^q \in A_r \subset A_\infty$, with $r = q/n \cdot (n/s - \alpha)$. By Lemma 3.2 we have that $T_a f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n, w^q)$. Moreover we recall that $w^s \in A(p/s, q/s)$ implies that M_{as} is bounded from $L^{p/s}(\mathbb{R}^n, w^{p/s})$ into $L^{q/s}(\mathbb{R}^n, w^{q/s})$, so we apply Theorem 3.1 to obtain

$$\begin{split} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |T_{a}f(x)|^{q} \, w^{q}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/q} &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (M_{a,s}f(x))^{q} \, w^{q}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &= C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (M_{as}|f(x)|^{s})^{q/s} w^{q}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |f(x)|^{\rho} \, w^{\rho}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/\rho}. \end{split}$$

By a standard duality argument we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4.

Let assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_a , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Suppose w satisfies $w^{-1}(A_i^{-1}x) \leq Cw^{-1}(x)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $w^{-s} \in A(q'/s, p'/s)$ with $1 , <math>1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and q < s'. Then there exits C > 0 such that for $f \in L^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_a f(x)|^q w^q(x) dx\right)^{1/q} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p w^p(x) dx\right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. We observe that the adjoint T_a^* of the operator T_a is the integral operator with kernel

$$\widetilde{K}(x,y) = \widetilde{k_1}(x - A_1^{-1}y) \cdots \widetilde{k_m}(x - A_m^{-1}y),$$

where for $1 \le i \le m$

$$\widetilde{k_i}(x) = \frac{\widetilde{\Omega}_i(x)}{|A_i x|^{\sigma/qi}} = \frac{\overline{\Omega}_i(-A_i x)}{|A_i x|^{\sigma/qi}}.$$

It is easy to check that $\widetilde{\Omega}_i$ satisfies (H₁) and (H₂) and also that $\widetilde{k_i} \in H_{a/q_i',\rho_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. We take g with $\|g\|_{\varrho',w^{-\varrho'}} \leq 1$, thus

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_{\alpha} f(x) g(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_{\alpha}^* g(x) dx.$$

Hence

$$\|T_{\alpha}f\|_{q,w^{0}} = \sup_{g} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) T_{\alpha}^{k} g(x) dx \right| \leq \|f\|_{\rho,w^{0}} \sup_{g} \|T_{\alpha}^{k} g\|_{\rho',w^{-\rho'}}.$$

Since $1/q = 1/p - \alpha/n$ and $1 then <math>1/p' = 1/q' - \alpha/n$ and $s < q' < n/\alpha$, so as in Theorem 3.3 we obtain

$$\|T_a^*g\|_{\rho',w^{-\rho'}} \le C\|g\|_{\rho',w^{-\rho'}} \le C, \quad \text{and so} \quad \|T_af\|_{\rho,w^{\rho}} \le C\|f\|_{\rho,w^{\rho}}.$$

We now obtain an estimate of the type (5) for the operator T_a and for certain weights in the class $A(n/\alpha,\infty)$.

Theorem 3.5.

Let assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_a , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Suppose $w^s \in A(n/\alpha s, \infty)$ and satisfies (4), then there exits C > 0 such that for $f \in L^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$,

$$\|\|T_\alpha f\|\|_w \le C \left(\int \left(|f(x)|\, w(x)\right)^{n/\alpha}\, dx\right)^{\alpha/\alpha}.$$

Proof. We observe that if

$$w^s \in A\left(\frac{n}{\alpha s}, \infty\right)$$
 then $\|wM_{a,s}f\|_{\infty} \le C\|fw\|_{n/a}$. (14)

Indeed, by Hölder's inequality we get

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|^{1-\alpha s / n}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x)|^s \, dx \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|^{1-\alpha s / n}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x)|^{n / \alpha} w^{n / \alpha}(x) \, dx \right)^{\alpha s / n} \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} w^{-s(n / \alpha s)'}(x) \, dx \right)^{1 / (n / \alpha s)'}.$$

Then, for $x \in B$, since $w^s \in A(n/(\alpha s), \infty)$ we get

$$\begin{split} w(x) \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|^{1-\alpha s/\alpha}} \int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x)|^s \, dx \right)^{1/s} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}} |f(x)|^{\alpha l\alpha} w^{\alpha l\alpha}(x) \, dx \right)^{\alpha l\alpha} \|w^s \chi_{\mathcal{B}}\|_{\infty}^{1/s} \left(\frac{1}{|\mathcal{B}|} \int_{\mathcal{B}} w^{-s(\alpha l\alpha s)'}(x) \, dx \right)^{1/(\alpha l\alpha s)'s} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{\alpha l\alpha} w^{\alpha l\alpha}(x) \, dx \right)^{\alpha l\alpha}, \end{split}$$

thus $w(x)M_{a,s}f(x) \leq C\|fw\|_{a/a}$, and (14) follows. Now, using Theorem 2.2 and (14), we get

$$\|\|T_{\alpha}f\|\|_{w} \simeq \|wM^{\#}T_{\alpha}f\|_{\infty} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|wM_{\alpha,s}f(A_{i}^{-1}\cdot)\|_{\infty} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int |f(A_{i}^{-1}x)w(x)|^{n/\alpha} dx\right)^{n/\alpha} dx$$

$$\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\int |f(x)w(A_{i}x)|^{n/\alpha} dx\right)^{n/\alpha} \leq C \left(\int |f(x)w(x)|^{n/\alpha} dx\right)^{n/\alpha} dx$$

where the last inequality follows since w satisfies hypothesis (4).

Finally we prove that T_a satisfies a weighted weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha))$ estimate for certain weights in $A(1, n/(n-\alpha))$.

Theorem 3.6.

Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 on α , T_{α} , A_i , Ω_i and s hold. Suppose $w^s \in A(1, n/(n-\alpha s))$ and satisfies (4), then there exists C > 0 such that for $f \in L^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^{n}, dx)$,

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(w^{sol(n-as)} \{x: |T_{\alpha}f(x)| > \lambda \} \right)^{(n-as)lsn} \le C \left(\int |f(x)|^s w^s(x) \, dx \right)^{1/s}.$$

Proof. Given $w \in A_{\infty}$, there exists $\beta > 0$ and C > 0 such that

$$w\{x: Mf(x) > 2\lambda, M^{\#}f(x) \le y\lambda\} \le Cy^{\beta}w\{x: Mf(x) > \lambda\},$$

for any y > 0 (see [4, p.146]). For $q \ge 1$, as in [11, Theorem 3.2], we obtain that

$$\sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q w\{x : \mathcal{M}f(x) > \lambda\} \le C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda^q w\{x : \mathcal{M}^\# f(x) > y\lambda\},$$

for some $\gamma > 0$. We consider first the case s > 1. If $w^s \in A(1, n/(n-\alpha s))$ then $w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \in A_{\infty}$. So for $q = sn/(n-\alpha s)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : |T_\alpha f|(x) > \lambda \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)l(sn)} &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : MT_\alpha f(x) > \lambda \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : M^\# T_\alpha f(x) > \gamma \lambda \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \left\{ x : \sum_{i=1}^m M_{\alpha,s} f(A_i^{-1}x) > C \gamma \lambda \right\} \right)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \left(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \left\{ x : \sum_{i=1}^m M_{\alpha,s} f(A_i^{-1}x) > C \gamma \lambda \right\} \right)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 2.2, with $\delta = 1$. Since w satisfies (4), it is easy to check that

$$w^{sol(n-\alpha s)}\big\{x: M_{\alpha,s}f(A_i^{-1}x)>\lambda\big\} \ \leq \ C_i w^{sol(n-\alpha s)}\big\{x: M_{\alpha,s}f(x)>\lambda\big\},$$

S0

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : |T_\alpha f|(x) > \lambda \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : M_{\alpha,s} f(x) > \lambda \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \\ &\leq C \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \Big(w^{sol(n-\alpha s)} \big\{ x : M_{\alpha s} |f|^s(x) > \lambda^s \big\} \Big)^{(n-\alpha s)lsn} \leq C \left(\int |f(x)|^s w^s(x) \, dx \right)^{1/s}, \end{split}$$

where the last inequality follows since $w^s \in A(1, n/(n-\alpha s))$, and since $M_{\alpha s}$ is of weak type $(1, n/(n-\alpha s))$. If s=1, T_{α} is bounded by the operator T defined in (13) so we proceed as in the proof of [11, Theorem 3.2].

Acknowledgements

The authors are partially supported by CONICET and SECYT-UNC.

References

- [1] Bernardis A.L., Lorente M., Riveros M.S., Weighted inequalities for fractional integral operators with kernel satisfying Hörmander type conditions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 2011, 14(4), 881–895
- [2] Ding Y., Lu S., Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integral operators with rough kernel, Canad. J. Math., 1998, 50(1), 29–39
- [3] Duoandikoetxea J., Weighted norm inequalities for homogeneous singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1993, 336(2), 869–880
- [4] Duoandikoetxea J., Fourier Analysis, Grad. Stud. Math., 29, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2001
- [5] García-Cuerva J., Rubio de Francia J.L., Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland Math. Stud., 104, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985
- [6] Godoy T., Urcivolo M., On certain integral operators of fractional type, Acta Math. Hungar., 1999, 82(1-2), 99-105
- [7] Grafakos L., Classical Fourier Analysis, 2nd ed., Grad. Texts in Math., 249, Springer, New York, 2008
- [8] Kurtz D.S., Wheeden R.L., Results on weighted norm inequalities for multipliers, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 255, 343–362
- [9] Muckenhoupt B., Wheeden R., Weighted norm inequalities for fractional integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, 192, 261–274
- [10] Riveros M.S., Urciuolo M., Weighted inequalities for integral operators with some homogeneous kernels, Czechoslo-vak Math. J., 2005, 55(130)(2), 423–432
- [11] Riveros M.S., Urciuolo M., Weighted inequalities for fractional type operators with some homogeneous kernels, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 2013, 29(3), 449–460
- [12] Rocha P., Urciuolo M., On the H^p-L^q boundedness of some fractional integral operators, Czechoslovak Math. J., 2012, 62(137)(3), 625–635
- [13] Watson D.K., Weighted estimates for singular integrals via Fourier transform estimates, Duke Math. J., 1990, 60(2), 389–399