



Influence of Peruvian flat-subduction dynamics on the evolution of western Amazonia



Caroline M. Eakin^{a,*}, Carolina Lithgow-Bertelloni^b, Federico M. Dávila^{b,c}

^a Department of Geology and Geophysics, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA

^b Department of Earth Sciences, University College London, London, UK

^c CICTERRA-CONICET and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 27 February 2014

Received in revised form 17 July 2014

Accepted 20 July 2014

Available online xxxx

Editor: Y. Ricard

Keywords:

dynamic topography

flat-slab subduction

Amazonia

Solimões Formation

ABSTRACT

Convection in the Earth's mantle is mainly driven by cold, dense subducting slabs, but relatively little is known about how 3D variations in slab morphology and buoyancy affect mantle flow or how the surface above deforms in response (i.e. dynamic topography). We investigate this problem by studying the dynamics of an active region of flat-slab subduction located in Peru in South America. Here the slab geometry is well known, based on the regional seismicity, and we have observations from the local geological record to validate our models. Of particular interest is the widespread subsidence and deposition of the Solimões Formation across western Amazonia that coincided with the development of the Peruvian flat-slab during the Mid-Late Miocene. This formation covers an extensive area from the foredeep to the Purus Arch located ~2000 km away from the trench. Close to the Andes the preservation of several kilometers of sedimentary thicknesses can be easily accounted for by flexure. Based on an estimate of the Andean loading we predict 2.8 to 3.6 km of accommodation space that spans 100 km. The spatial and temporal history of the Solimões Formation however, particularly the thick distal foreland accumulations up to 1.2 km deep, can only be matched with the addition of a longer-wavelength dynamic source of topography. Following the transition from normal to flat subduction, we predict over 1 km of dynamic subsidence (~1500 km wide) that propagates over 1000 km away from the trench, tracking the subduction leading edge. This is followed by a pulse of dynamic uplift over the flat segment behind it. We therefore propose that a combination of uplift, flexure and dynamic topography during slab flattening in Peru is responsible for the sedimentation history and landscape evolution of western Amazonia that eventually led to the configuration of the Amazon Drainage Basin we know today.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Subducting slabs represent the primary buoyancy force in Earth's mantle and a critical source of surface deformation via their coupling to the overriding plate. Yet the impact of variations in slab morphology and buoyancy (e.g. slab tears or the subduction of oceanic plateaus) on the dynamics of the mantle and how the upper plate deforms in response are poorly understood. The importance of such along strike variability in subduction models is however becoming increasingly apparent (e.g. Guillaume et al., 2009; Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013; Capitanio and Faccenda, 2012; Jadamec et al., 2013). Of particular concern are episodes of “flat” or “shallow” subduction that represent 10% of subduction zones worldwide today (Gutscher et al., 2000b), and often invoked

to account for widespread deformation in continental interiors, such as for the western US during the Laramide orogeny (Dickinson and Snyder, 1978; Bird, 1988).

1.1. Tectonic and geological setting

The Peruvian subduction zone presents itself as an excellent modern-day natural laboratory to study the interaction between this flat-subduction and surface deformation. Extending over 1500 km along strike, the Peruvian flat-slab segment (3°S to 15°S) is by far the most extensive region of flat subduction in the world (Fig. 1). The slab subducts at a normal dip (~40°) to a depth of around 100 km, most likely directly beneath the overriding continental lithosphere, and travels horizontally for several hundred kilometers before steepening again to the east (Cahill and Isacks, 1992; Phillips and Clayton, 2014). The region is characterized by a distinct lack of contemporary arc volcanism and low heat

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 5107178942.

E-mail address: caroline.eakin@yale.edu (C.M. Eakin).




Fig. 1. Tectonic setting of Peruvian flat-slab subduction and western Amazonia. The inset map highlights the study location and political boundaries for context. The total area thought to be covered by the Solimões Formation is outlined by the thick purple line, based on the maps of Hoorn (1994). This includes many different individual basins such as the Acre and the Solimões, as well as other foreland basins represented by the letters (P: Putumayo, N: Napo, M: Maraón, U: Ucayali, MD: Madre de Dios). Isopachs of the Solimões Formation thickness in the Acre and Solimões Basins are available and shown in purple after Latrubesse et al. (2010). Volcano locations (red triangles) are from Siebert and Simkin (2002). The gray arrow represents the absolute plate motion of the Nazca plate from HS3-NUVEL1A (Gripp and Gordon, 2002). The dotted black lines represent locations of cross-sections in Fig. 3(a–a') and location of the flexural calculation in Fig. 4(b–b'). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

flow ($\sim 20 \text{ mW/m}^2$) (Henry and Pollack, 1988), in contrast to the normally dipping ($\sim 30^\circ$) regions to the north and south.

Subduction has been operating along the western coast of Peru since at least the Jurassic (Martinod et al., 2010), with the present plate configuration stable since 23 Ma, following the formation of the Nazca and Cocos plates (Lonsdale, 2005). The presence of the Peruvian flat-slab is however relatively recent in comparison. Although the precise date is unclear and is still a matter of debate, slab flattening is likely to have started around the Mid to Late Miocene (Bissig et al., 2008; Ramos and Folguera, 2009) around the time when the Nazca Ridge first reached the trench ($\sim 11\text{--}15 \text{ Ma}$: Hampel, 2002; Rosenbaum et al., 2005) and the pattern of arc volcanism began to change (Aleman, 2006; Bissig et al., 2008). Full flat-slab development is likely a long process that can last from several to 10 Myr (Arrial and Billen, 2013; Espurt et al., 2008; Martinod et al., 2010; van Hunen et al., 2002a, 2002b). The various stages of progression include broadening of the volcanic arc and crustal deformation, adakitic magmatism, thinning and cooling of the asthenospheric wedge resulting in a decline and eventual cessation of volcanic activity (Gutscher et al., 2000a). Over central Peru there is evidence that the arc broadened around 11–12 Ma (Aleman, 2006; Bissig et al., 2008; Ramos and Folguera, 2009), that adakites formed 3–6 Ma (Gutscher et al., 2000a) and that magmatic activity ended by 3–4 Ma (Soler and Bonhomme, 1990; Rosenbaum et al., 2005; Pilger, 1981; Espurt et al., 2008; Martinod et al., 2010).

Whilst shallow subduction was beginning to take hold beneath Peru, the surface above was also going through its own transformation. Eventually this transformation would lead to the development of the largest drainage basin in the world, the Amazon River system, and the most diverse habitat on the planet, the Amazon Rainforest. Initially, during the Early-Mid Miocene, it has been suggested that regional drainage of Amazonia es-

caped towards the north into the Caribbean Sea (e.g. Hoorn et al., 1995), or exited towards the west through the Guayaquil gap into the Pacific Ocean (e.g. Potter, 1997), as the Andes had not yet grown into a full orogenic barrier. During the Late Miocene however, the northern Andes rose rapidly, shutting off drainage pathways to the north and west, and deepening and broadening the foreland basins to the east (Jordan and Gardeweg, 1989; Kroonenberg et al., 1990; Hoorn et al., 1995, 2010a, 2010b; Nobret et al., 1996; Garziane et al., 2006). At the same time, a wide but relatively shallow subsiding system developed in western Amazonia, from eastern Peru to the Purus Arch (Fig. 1), represented by the Solimões/Pebas Formation (Hoorn, 1993, 1994; Campbell et al., 2006; Wesselingh et al., 2006b; Gross et al., 2011; Latrubesse et al., 2010; Rodrigues Nogueira et al., 2013). Deposition of this Solimões Formation ceased in the Mio-Pliocene, as the accumulation rate of terrigenous material on the offshore Amazon fan increased dramatically (Dobson et al., 2001; Latrubesse et al., 2010). This indicated a switch in the destination of the bulk of Andean derived sediment, from deposition in the western Amazonian basins, to large-scale transportation across the continent via a fully fledged Amazon River to the Atlantic margin (Campbell et al., 2006; Figueiredo et al., 2009; Latrubesse et al., 2010).

At the time of deposition, the Solimões Formation recorded a wide area of subsidence that resulted in simultaneous partial infilling of many different pre-existing sub-basins in the western Amazonian region (labeled in Fig. 1), both foreland (e.g. Madre de Dios) and intra-cratonic (e.g. Solimões) (Hoorn, 1994). The E–W trending series of Acre, Solimões, and Amazonas basins overlie the Amazonian Craton subdividing the craton's exposure at the surface into the northern Guyana and southern Brazilian Shields (Fig. 1), the result of an extensional event during the Mid-Proterozoic (Wanderley-Filho et al., 2010). Following on during the Late-Proterozoic some of the original extensional grabens were positively inverted to form basement arches which now separate the individual sub-basins, for example the Purus Arch which partitions the Solimões basin from the Amazonas basin and is the eastern limit of the Solimões Formation, was an inversion of the Cachimbo Graben (Wanderley-Filho and Costa, 1991). These Precambrian structural highs have therefore played an important role in sub-division and configuration of sedimentary deposits across western Amazonia ever since.

1.2. Sources of topography and their effect upon the landscape

The evolving landscape and drainage of lowland Amazonia has typically been attributed to interaction of climate, sea level and the developing Andean mountain belt. In particular the intense period of uplift during the Miocene is thought to have increased erosional rates, sediment fluxes, and the deformation of inland Amazonia via flexural processes (Hoorn et al., 1995, 2010a, 2010b; Figueiredo et al., 2009; Mora et al., 2010; Latrubesse et al., 2010). Overall most of the hypotheses for how Andean loading and flexural subsidence initiated widespread deposition of the Solimões Formation are generally qualitative in nature, and, as far as we are aware, no detailed flexural calculations have yet been made to demonstrate such extensive subsidence. Given that the Solimões Formation extends considerably far inland (as far east as the Purus Arch, $\sim 2000 \text{ km}$ from the trench), affecting the pericratonic foreland, such as the Solimões Basin (Fig. 1), additional explanations for such a broad episode of subsidence are warranted. The concurrent relationship between basin development and drainage reorganization with the arrival of the Peruvian flat-slab has been noted previously (e.g. Latrubesse et al., 2010), but only isostatic or flexural influences associated with plate interactions during flat-subduction were considered (i.e. rapid uplift of the Eastern Cordillera and

more extensive deformation in the Subandean foreland basins). This isostatic contribution to western Amazonian topography is estimated and discussed further in Appendix A. The dynamic implications of the flat-slab arrival however and the resulting expression at the surface (i.e. the dynamic topography) is another potential source of topography that has yet to be considered.

Dynamic topography describes deflections of the Earth's surface that are supported dynamically by flow of the mantle below. In particular, convection of the mantle generates normal stresses that act at the base of the lithosphere, which deflects the lithosphere generating dynamic topography. For example a buoyant plume will rise, pushing up on the surface, producing dynamic uplift (e.g., Olson and Nam, 1986; Lithgow-Bertelloni and Silver, 1998). Likewise a dense slab will sink, pulling down on the surface, creating dynamic subsidence (e.g., Hager, 1984; Mitrovica et al., 1989). Such dynamic topography is not in isostatic equilibrium and has relatively low amplitude (~1 km) and long wavelength (typically 100's–1000's km) (Braun, 2010).

It has long been known that such deflections of the Earth's surface and its internal boundaries provide vital contributions to the long wavelength geoid (e.g. Hager et al., 1985). In the last decade or so the importance of dynamic topography at shorter wavelengths has also taken on increasing prominence, for example in the development of continental basins (Burgess et al., 1997; Heine et al., 2008), in Australia (Gurnis et al., 1998; Heine et al., 2010), in North America (Spasojevic et al., 2009; Liu and Nummedal, 2004; Liu et al., 2011; Jadamec et al., 2013) and in Africa (Pysklyvec and Mitrovica, 1999). For South America there have been recent efforts to study dynamic topography of the continent as a whole (Shephard et al., 2010; Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013) as well as focused investigation of the Argentine Pampas region (Dávila et al., 2010), and subduction of the Chile ridge beneath Patagonia (Guillaume et al., 2009). Shephard et al.'s (2010) study primarily focused on relating the drainage reorganization of Amazonia during the Miocene and the formation of the modern day Amazon River System, to the evolution of subduction driven dynamic topography. The geometry and density content of the subducted slabs beneath South America were derived from the global S-wave tomography of Grand (2002).

This type of approach however has two important caveats. Firstly, whilst first order changes in the Amazonian drainage are reproduced, the absolute amount of dynamic subsidence is too large. This is because the tomographic models provide a low-resolution image of a spatially large and high-density anomaly in the lower mantle. This expansive starting anomaly drives strong downwarping on the land above, predicting flooding over most of the South American continent. Evidence for flooding to this extent is not seen today nor in the geological record (Harrison et al., 1983; Hoorn, 2006; Lithgow-Bertelloni and Gurnis, 1997).

Secondly, variations in the subduction morphology and density content along strike are not reproduced. The western margin of South America is characterized by an alternating pattern of steep and flat slabs, but these are not easily observed by body-wave tomography, due to a lack of crossing ray-paths in the upper mantle. This is especially true for northern South America where station coverage is particularly poor. This is concerning because sensitivity kernels indicate that surface dynamic topography is particularly sensitive to upper mantle structure (whereas the geoid has a higher sensitivity to the lower mantle) (Hager and Richards, 1989). If we want to be able to match and understand the geological history of a region (i.e. western Amazonia), then the details of subduction in the upper mantle, such as along strike variations in slab dip and density structure, are critical, not just to the tectonic component but also to the dynamic signal of topography (Dávila et al., 2010; Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013).

Overall such broad view techniques tend to have difficulty replicating the local geology at the surface, which closely depends on a broad range of factors. Namely the interaction of both tectonic and dynamic controls on topography requires greater consideration, as well as the along strike variability in subduction style. For western Amazonia, a direct comparison of tectonics, flexural deformation, and dynamics of the Peruvian flat-slab, with the subsurface sedimentary record has yet to be made. A representation that takes such factors into account is therefore timely and required, so that we can obtain a more complete picture of all the topographic forces that helped mold the unique Amazon Basin.

2. Slab geometry and density structure

The dynamic topography of flat-slab regions is currently a subject of debate. Flat-slab episodes have often been equated with large shallow mass anomalies, resulting in prominent long-wavelength dynamic subsidence and the formation of sedimentary basins directly above them (e.g. Liu et al., 2011). Dávila et al. (2010) however demonstrated at the modern Chilean/Argentine flat-slab segment that dynamic subsidence does not occur directly over the flat slab but is instead offset to the east, where subduction steepens again at the slab leading edge. Likewise for the Alaskan flat subduction zone, Jadamec et al. (2013) suggest that the position of slab steepening is controlling the location of the Cook Inlet Basin. Furthermore, Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni (2013) argue that flat-slab segments should have neutral buoyancy based on evidence for abnormally thick oceanic crust alongside a kinetically hindered basalt to eclogite reaction (e.g. Cembrano et al., 2007; Gans et al., 2011). Several modeling studies demonstrate flattening of a slab when over-thickened oceanic crust is subducted with partial to zero eclogitization (van Hunen et al., 2002a, 2002b; Arrial and Billen, 2013). Under this assumption flat-segments produce little or no dynamic topography, meanwhile a shift from normal to flat-slab subduction actually results in relative dynamic uplift over the transitioning region. This relationship between flat-slabs and dynamic topography can therefore be constrained further by studying present day, active systems such as in Peru and comparing the results with geological observations.

Predictions of dynamic topography and their geological expression have been shown to be highly sensitive to slab morphology and density structure i.e. the slab model (Dávila et al., 2010; Jadamec et al., 2013). Previous predictions over the northern Andes and western Amazonia region have used simplified models of the subducting slab. For example, in global models, subduction zones are replicated by dropping slabs vertically at the trench (e.g. Lithgow-Bertelloni and Gurnis, 1997). In regional models, Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni (2013) took into account a more accurate flat-slab morphology by modeling a horizontal segment under Peru and then a 30° dip further to the east. Other models based on global S-wave tomography, such as Shephard et al., (2010, 2012), typically struggle to resolve subducting slabs above the transition zone, especially over South America where station coverage is lacking (Grand, 2002). Only recently have seismic deployments been operating in Peru (e.g. PULSE and CAUGHT: http://www.iris.edu/hq/science_highlights/pulse_and_caught_seismology_in_the_high_andes, and PeruSE: <http://www.gps.caltech.edu/~clay/PeruWeb/PeruSE.html>), mostly covering the southern portion of the flat-slab region. Tomographic resolution of the slab will therefore likely improve in the future as new data and published results become available. At the current time however, such a tomographic approach is unable to capture the flat-slab shape of the downgoing Nazca plate, both in the most comprehensive global studies (e.g. Li et al., 2008) and even from upcoming preliminary results of the regional seismic projects (e.g. Scire et al., 2013).




Fig. 2. Comparison of dynamic topography predicted by two different slab models for the Peruvian subduction zone, shown in 3D (top row) and 2D (bottom row). The left hand side (a and c) represents the present day 3D flat-slab morphology determined from local seismicity (small black circles give individual earthquake locations), whilst the right hand side (b and d) reflects the uniform 30° dip slab model akin to ‘normal’ subduction before the onset of slab flattening. (a–b) Dynamic topography (blue mesh surfaces) has been vertically exaggerated for illustrative purposes and plotted up to spherical harmonic degree 40. The slab surfaces are color-coded according to the density anomaly assigned. (c–d) Same as figures (a–b) but now in map view, comparing the dynamic topography against the slab contours (white dashed lines). Contours of seafloor age (on which the density anomalies are based) are from Müller et al. (2008). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Given the importance of the details of the slab model to the dynamic topography predictions and therefore to connect with the geology, we aim to map out a realistic and improved geometry of the Nazca slab. Based on the current available resources, this is best achieved for the Peruvian flat-slab region by utilizing the seismicity (i.e. the Wadati–Benioff Zone) to delineate the slab shape (Fig. 2a). Over 300 earthquakes ($M > 3.0$) from the NEIC catalog were used (<http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eqarchives/epic/>). This catalog contains events from 1970 to present and is the most complete and up-to-date compilation available for the region. Events with depths shallower than 70 km were removed to eliminate events that may have originated in the continental lithosphere. Blockmean and surface gridding algorithms from GMT (Wessel and Smith, 1991) were then used to interpolate the points/events and recreate the inferred shape of the slab down

to 700 km. An extensive flat-slab segment is clearly reproduced (Figs. 2a and 2c) by an abundance of seismicity in the 70–200 km depth plane, that extends ~ 500 km away from the trench. The slab model then rapidly drops off towards a cluster of deep seismicity (500–700 km depth) further inland. Although there is a paucity of intermediate seismicity, the leading edge of subduction in our model is well defined by the sharp transition from shallow to deep events. It also matches well with preliminary tomographic images of the Nazca slab in the upper mantle (with resolution below 200 km) using teleseismic body-waves (Scire et al., 2013).

Density anomalies (relative to background mantle, up to 50 kg/m^3) were also assigned to the slab model based on the age of the subducting oceanic lithosphere at the trench (Müller et al., 2008) (Fig. 2). For the segment where the slab is horizontal, the density anomaly was set to zero ($\delta\rho = 0 \text{ kg/m}^3$) (analogous to

the Pampean flat slab of Dávila et al., 2010), to replicate neutral buoyancy. This assumption can be additionally justified by initial surface-wave tomography results from the region (Knezevic Antonijevic et al., 2013), based on data from the recent seismic deployments. These new images show that the Peruvian flat-slab is predominantly low-velocity, indicating the presence of lower density material relative to ‘normal’ subducted oceanic lithosphere (i.e. dense sinking slabs), which typically display a high-velocity seismic signature. This would suggest that the transition from basalt to higher density eclogite within the oceanic crust is indeed undergoing a delay in the region of flat subduction.

In addition to a more realistic flat slab, we also made a slab model for normal subduction, i.e. for a slab with uniform 30° dip angle (Figs. 2b and 2d), based on the subduction angle to the north and south of the flat-slab region (Cahill and Isacks, 1992; Phillips and Clayton, 2014). This is representative of how subduction may have looked prior to the arrival of the flat slab. By comparing the two different slab models we are able to visualize the temporal change in dynamic topography felt by the landscape since the onset of slab flattening.

3. Predicted dynamic topography and flexure

3.1. Dynamic topography computation and results

We calculate the dynamic topography resulting from the instantaneous viscous flow induced by a prescribed density field (i.e. the slab), by solving the equations for the conservation of mass and momentum via propagator matrices in a spherical shell (Hager, 1984; Hager and O’Connell, 1979, 1981). Density and flow fields are computed to spherical harmonic degree and order 50 (plotted to degree 40). The mantle is assumed to have a Newtonian rheology and viscosity varies only with radius. The dynamic topography we calculate at any given time is independent of the absolute viscosity but the amplitude does depend on the relative viscosity contrast between each layer (the location of peak dynamic subsidence or uplift is unaffected). The rate of change of dynamic topography would however depend on the absolute viscosity. We present results for a viscosity structure of 10 for the lithosphere (which is uniformly 120 km thick) and 50 for the lower mantle as normalized to the upper mantle, based on the best correlation with the geoid (Ricard et al., 1993; Lithgow-Bertelloni and Richards, 1998) and consistent with previous studies (Lithgow-Bertelloni and Gurnis, 1997; Dávila et al., 2010). Using this mantle viscosity structure the dynamic topographies predicted by each of the slab models are shown in Fig. 2.

For the uniform 30° dip slab model, representing ‘normal’ subduction, dynamic subsidence is predicted underneath the Peru–Chile trench (thick black line in Fig. 2b). Taking into account a more realistic flat-slab model (Figs. 2a and 2c), dynamic subsidence is considerably offset from trench, by well over 1000 km inland. This depression is located to the east of the flat-slab, directly over the region where the slab begins to steepen again and sink back down into the mantle. In contrast, directly over the flat segment, dynamic topography values are smaller (~500 m) and positive in value. For both slab models the dynamic subsidence predicted is long-wavelength (~1500 km) and comparatively high in amplitude (>1 km).

If we assume that our ‘normal’ slab model (uniform 30° dip) is representative of subduction in the region prior to the arrival of the flat-slab (see also Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013), then a transition from normal to flat subduction would predict transient relative dynamic uplift across the Andes but relative dynamic subsidence within the distal pericratonic foreland and western Amazonia (Fig. 3). Over time therefore we would expect a wave of dynamic subsidence moving out from the trench, propagating




Fig. 3. Profiles illustrating the different contributions on the total topography across the Amazonian foreland. The thick profiles depict the impact of relative dynamic topography given a transition from ‘normal’ subduction (thick red line) to flat-slab subduction (thick blue line) (see location of the profile a–a’ in Fig. 1). This results in relative dynamic uplift beneath the Peruvian Andes (High Cordillera) but relative dynamic subsidence beneath the western Amazon Basin (distal foreland). The Sub-Acre/Solimões basin (gray dotted region) is outside the influence of flexure driven by the mountain loading (thin green lines), but does coincide with relative dynamic subsidence from the arrival of the flat-slab. Depth of the basin (dotted purple line) determined from an isopach map (Latrubesse et al., 2010). Present-day topography (dot-dash black line) taken from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

eastwards as the flat-slab develops, followed by a pulse of dynamic uplift behind it.

3.2. Suitability of the dynamic topography modeling approach

The dynamics of subduction systems are controlled by a competition between the buoyancy forces (i.e. the slab model input), which drives the flow, and the rheology (i.e. the viscosity profile), which acts to resist flow. When attempting to model these dynamics there is usually a trade-off between capturing the complexity of the rheology versus the buoyancy. To incorporate both realistic slabs (e.g. 3D geometries derived from seismicity and lateral variations in slab buoyancy) as well as viscosity variations that can cover many orders of magnitude (e.g. temperature and strain-rate dependence) requires intensive computational power and time, on the order of several hundred processors for days at a time for each model run (Jadamec et al., 2012, 2013), which can be rather restrictive. For a comprehensive review of modeling mantle flow in subduction zones see Billen (2008) and the references therein. In the case of our flow model, the rheology is relatively simplified, including only radial variations in viscosity. By contrast, we can easily include a high degree of detail in our slab morphology and buoyancy (on the order of $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$), whilst keeping the computational intensity low and accessible, which allows one to sweep through many different morphological scenarios. Previous similar studies have indicated that a high-resolution image of the slab, with density structure varying over short length scales, is critical for correlating the dynamics with the regional geology (Dávila et al., 2010; Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013; Jadamec et al., 2013). Additionally recent observations of seismic anisotropy below the Peruvian flat-slab strongly suggest that mantle flow in the region is closely tied to the local slab morphology (Eakin and Long, 2013; Eakin et al., 2013). We therefore argue that as the driver of flow, the buoyancy force is the main controlling process in subduction driven dynamic topography.

If we were able to include rheological complexities in our flow models, then lateral variations in viscosity would be the next step, most likely in the form of temperature dependent

viscosity. For example, cold subducting slabs are expected to have a higher viscosity than the ambient mantle (King and Hager, 1994; Moresi and Gurnis, 1996; Zhong and Davies, 1999), and as the viscosity of a slab increases, the dynamic subsidence of the surface above also strengthens, deepening the dynamic basin produced (Billen et al., 2003; Zhong and Gurnis, 1992, 1994; Moresi and Gurnis, 1996). If this applied to the Peruvian subduction zone, then our estimate of dynamic subsidence beneath western Amazonia, would represent a minimum (i.e. the true dynamic signal could potentially be greater). The actual strength profile of subducting slabs is however relatively uncertain and potentially rather complex (e.g. Hines and Billen, 2012). This uncertainty increases even more for flat-slab regions such as Peru, where the slab geometry and the material being subducted are atypical (i.e. the flat shape and subduction of the Nazca Ridge), so we might not expect the same rheological properties to apply.

Even if we had a good idea of what the strength profile should be, the effect of short wavelength viscosity variations on dynamic topography (and therefore the geoid) is poorly understood (Billen et al., 2003) and difficult to constrain (Moucha et al., 2007). In order to significantly impact our results, a large contrast in viscosity would most likely be required. The most plausible way for this to occur would be via the presence of melt in the mantle wedge to drastically lower the wedge viscosity. The wedge viscosity could also be lowered by several orders of magnitude by the dislocation creep deformation mechanism for olivine and the presence of high strain-rates i.e. such as expected in the wedge (Jadamec and Billen, 2010, 2012; Stadler et al., 2010), though the presence of melt would likely nullify this mechanism. Such a low viscosity wedge (LVW) would act to decouple the slab from the surface, weaken the dynamic topography and reduce the overall depth of the dynamic basin (Billen and Gurnis, 2001; Billen et al., 2003). However this effect is limited, as dynamic topography is the result of normal stresses, which will still be able to transmit through the asthenosphere to the surface even when the asthenosphere is relatively weak (shear stresses however would be adversely impacted). Additionally the potential impact of a LVW is restricted above the Peruvian flat-slab where there is very little space available for mantle material between the flat-slab and the overlying continental crust (Cahill and Isacks, 1992; Phillips and Clayton, 2014), but a LVW could exist to the east where the slab steepens and a thicker mantle wedge is present. However the entire region is void of contemporary arc-volcanism and so the fate of slab volatiles that would be required to initiate melt production and lower the wedge viscosity is very much unclear.

3.3. Estimation of flexure

Dynamic topography is typically much broader and flatter than tectonically driven flexure. To demonstrate this, we also calculated flexure profiles for the region (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), based on a balanced cross-section from Gotberg et al. (2010), which was the best and most detailed section available. This cross-section covers only the eastern part of the cordillera but models show that it is the loads which are the closest, up to 200 km from the basin, that have the most influence on the flexural subsidence (García-Castellanos et al., 2002). We therefore use the cross-section from Gotberg et al. (2010) to estimate the extent of crustal shortening and thus geometry of the Andean load that is adjacent to the foredeep. The flexure generated by this load depends on the lithosphere rigidity i.e. effective elastic thickness (T_e) of the plate, in addition to other rheological parameters (e.g. Watts, 2001). We used two different T_e estimates, 25 km and 40 km (cf. Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2007; Tassara et al., 2007). These predict 2.8 to 3.6 km of sedimentary

accommodation space next to the load but the flexural basin diminishes within about 100 km (gray shaded area in Fig. 4).

We show in Appendix B that even if we consider a simpler cross-section across the full orogen (Fig. B.1), and vary the load distribution (Fig. B.2), the wavelength of the flexural basin remains on the order of 100 km (minimum: 69 km, maximum: 115 km). Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni (2013) also show a similar result of <100 km width based on cross-sections from Mégard (1987) and Hermoza et al. (2005) that are less detailed than what we use here. We expect therefore that the flexural subsidence should be an order of magnitude narrower than the dynamic subsidence.

4. Impact on western Amazonia

4.1. Comparison with the geological record

To constrain and interpret dynamic topography, we need to compare with available geological proxies that are sensitive to topographic changes. Given that sediment dynamics respond to gradient changes, not only in thicknesses but also in facies, the stratigraphic record is a good proxy with which to compare our dynamic topography predictions. We analyze the Solimões Formation that covers a large area of western Amazonia (Fig. 1), extending from the most proximal foreland depocenters (foredeep), to pericratonic settings (e.g. the Solimões Basin), located more than 500 km away from the easternmost relief.

Given the inaccessibility and vastness of the Amazonia region, sampling of the formation is difficult and sporadic, with most information sourced from riverbank exposures and road cuts (Hoorn, 1993, 1994; Räsänen et al., 1995; Latrubesse et al., 1997, 2007, 2010; Cozzuol, 2006; Wesselingh et al., 2006a; Gross et al., 2011; Rodrigues Nogueira et al., 2013) or from wells drilled by hydrocarbon exploration in the 1970s (Maia et al., 1977; Del'Arco et al., 1977; Hoorn, 1993; Wesselingh et al., 2006b; Silva-Caminha et al., 2010; Wanderley-Filho et al., 2010). Based on these various geological surveys an isopach map of the Solimões Formation is available (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 5c) (Latrubesse et al., 2010), that illustrates the thicknesses of the sedimentary beds within the Solimões and Acre basins (>1 km in the Acre Basin). It should be noted that the Solimões Formation is present over a much wider area (thick purple line in Fig. 1 and Fig. 5c) than that covered by the availability of the isopachs, and that these isopachs represent only the thickness of the Solimões Formation within the basins not the overall basin depth (i.e. the Solimões Formation overlies older but less spatially extensive Cretaceous and Paleozoic deposits that are not included).

As well as producing the isopach map the geological field studies indicate that the Solimões unit consists mostly of fine-grained inter-bedded claystones, siltstones and sandstones (Latrubesse et al., 2010; Rodrigues Nogueira et al., 2013). Interpretations of the paleo-environmental history of this sedimentary record are widely varying and extensively debated, ranging from marine influenced (Hoorn, 1993, 1994; Räsänen et al., 1995; Roddaz et al., 2005), to mega-wetland or lacustrine dominated (Wesselingh et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2006; Hoorn et al., 2010a, 2010b), to fluvial dominated (Latrubesse et al., 2007, 2010; Silva-Caminha et al., 2010; Gross et al., 2011; Rodrigues Nogueira et al., 2013).

Given its large extent and widespread coverage the Solimões Formation is therefore a good observational proxy with which to understand the subsidence history of the region, and thus to compare with our dynamic topography and flexural models (Fig. 3). It is important to notice that this sedimentary accumulation extends beyond the zone of flexural subsidence expected from the cordilleran loading (<300 km) and cannot therefore be easily explained by purely tectonic processes. The basin geometry, specifically the location of the depocenter and maximum thickness




Fig. 4. Diagrams illustrating the sequence of steps taken to estimate the flexural component of topography in the region. Firstly, in figures (a) through to (c), the extent of crustal shortening is estimated, and the geometry of the orogenic load reconstructed, based on a balanced cross-section from [Gotberg et al. \(2010\)](#). Then in figure (d) the flexural curves (two green lines) are calculated based on this loading for two different effective elastic thicknesses (T_e). The sedimentary accommodation space generated next to the load (i.e. the predicted foredeep), and spanning around 100 km across, is highlighted by the gray shaded triangle. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

(~1 km), does however correlate remarkably well to the dynamic subsidence driven by the flat-slab morphology ([Fig. 3](#) and [Fig. 5c](#)).

It is also important to emphasize that the development of the Peruvian flat slab and deposition of the Solimões Formation are contemporaneous, both having occurred from the Mid to Late Miocene and onwards ([Hampel, 2002](#); [Bissig et al., 2008](#); [Ramos and Folguera, 2009](#); [Hoorn, 1993](#); [Wesselingh et al., 2006b](#); [Latrubesse et al., 2010](#); [Gross et al., 2011](#)). In agreement with the flat subduction dynamics in Peru, there are also indications that the Solimões Formation gets younger towards the east. For example the formation is solely Upper Miocene in the Acre Basin to the west of the Iquitos Arch ([Gross et al., 2011](#)), but extends into the Pliocene in the Solimões Basin to the east of this arch ([Silva-Caminha et al., 2010](#); [Rodrigues Nogueira et al., 2013](#)) ([Fig. 5](#)). Additionally it has been more precisely dated by [Latrubesse et al. \(2010\)](#) that the Solimões Formation only propagated east of the Iquitos Arch after 9–6 Ma. This eastward younging trend is consistent with our prediction that a wave of dynamic subsidence propagated out east from the Andes as slab flattening advanced in both space and time ([Fig. 3](#)). The difference in the age of the deposits across the Iquitos Arch, from older in the west to younger in the east, can therefore be easily explained by the transient dynamic signal from the formation of the flat-slab, with

dynamic subsidence propagating eastward over time tracking the migration of the subduction leading edge.

4.2. Implications for the evolution of western Amazonia

Based on the above observations, we therefore propose that long wavelength dynamic topography associated with the transition from normal to flat-slab subduction beneath Peru, as well as flexural subsidence in the proximal foreland basins, were both important factors in the widespread subsidence and deposition of the Solimões Formation across western Amazonia. Considering the interaction of both tectonic and dynamic controls on topography, and with observations from the geological record, we hypothesize the following model for the evolution of the Amazonian landscape, as illustrated in [Fig. 5](#).

Initially during the Early Miocene ([Fig. 5a–b](#)), before the Nazca Ridge arrived, subduction was steeper beneath Peru compared to the present day. The Andes were beginning to grow and the continent was deforming via crustal shortening and flexural processes. At longer wavelengths there was also dynamic subsidence beneath the Andes from mantle flow induced by the dipping slab. The flexural and dynamic basin would have slowly filled with sediment, forming the first and oldest deposits of the Solimões




Fig. 5. Schematic illustrations demonstrating how a change in subduction style could have driven the topographic and sedimentary evolution of western Amazonia since the Miocene, eventually shaping the present day landscape. Figures (a) and (c) represent maps of the predicted topography from our flexural and dynamic calculations both before and with the arrival of the flat slab. The scale bar for both maps is plotted below figure (a). Turquoise arrows represent drainage directions. The thin dotted line on figures (a) and (c) represents the approximate location of cartoon cross-sections figures (b) and (d) respectively. Gray dotted regions indicate sedimentary infill, with region 1 indicating the oldest deposits and 3 the youngest. The letters I and P on the cross-sections mark the estimated locations of the Iquitos and Purús Arches. Figure (e) is a similar cross-section extending from the trench to the Atlantic Ocean, showing the present day configuration of drainage and the location of the sedimentary deposits that preserve the record of landscape evolution hypothesized in figures (b) and (d).

Formation (gray shaded region in Fig. 5b, and labeled as 1 in Fig. 5d). At this stage, the Northern Cordillera was not fully established and drainage portals allowed access to the Pacific Ocean and/or the Caribbean Sea (turquoise arrows in Fig. 5a).

Later as flat-slab subduction took hold during the Late Miocene (Fig. 5c–d), plate coupling and tectonic deformation intensified along the northern Andes. Consequently the northern Cordillera underwent a period of rapid growth, increasing the relief, orogenic

loading, erosional rates and sediment run-off, as well as triggering a further deepening and broadening of the flexural basins, especially across the foredeep (Jordan and Gardeweg, 1989; Kroonenberg et al., 1990; Hoorn et al., 1995, 2010a, 2010b; Nobret et al., 1996; Garzzone et al., 2006; Roddaz et al., 2010). Additional accommodation space for the Solimões Formation was created from both this flexural subsidence driven by orogenic deformation, as well as the propagation of longer wavelength dynamic subsidence into the distal foreland. Whilst the tectonic signal has the primary control on topography dominating where thick sedimentary sequences are preserved close to the Andean Cordillera (i.e. several 1000's of kilometres thick within the foredeep) (Wanderley-Filho et al., 2010), only the dynamic signal can explain the broad spatial distribution of the Solimões Formation (Fig. 5c).

It has been proposed that as uplift progressed, an orogenic barrier was formed, closing off the drainage pathways to the Pacific Ocean or Caribbean Sea (Hoorn et al., 1995, 2010b; Hungerbühler et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2006) and driving an eastward sediment flux. Drainage pathways to the east however were partially blocked by the Purus Arch (e.g. Figueiredo et al., 2009). Sediment eroded and transported from the growing Andes was therefore carried to the western Amazonian lowlands and trapped in continental basins that had been formed by flexural and dynamic subsidence (e.g. the Acre and Solimões Basins) (Fig. 5c–d). These basins would have rapidly filled with the large influx of sediment, transitioning from a possibly (mega-) wetland or lacustrine low-energy low-circulation environment (similar to water filling up a bathtub), to more fluvial domains as the basin became full, allowing for sediment to be transported by rivers across the region rather than being trapped in the depression. Such a paleoenvironment evolution is well represented by the depositional sequence of the Solimões Formation and change in sedimentary facies from muddy (low energy conditions interpreted as wetlands) to sandy (indicative of higher energy conditions, potentially fluvial) over time (Hoorn et al., 2010b; Figueiredo, 2012).

Once the basin became overfilled, drainage would have undergone reorganization and it is possible that at this stage the eastern rim of the Solimões Basin was breached (e.g. Campbell et al., 2006) connecting western Amazonia and the Andes to the Atlantic Ocean, and thus establishing the transcontinental Amazon River like we see today (Fig. 5e). Large-scale deposition in western Amazonia would have ceased once sediment was carried in vast quantities to the Atlantic Ocean. This is corroborated by an order of magnitude increase in the mass accumulation rate of terrigenous material on the Amazon offshore fan beginning ~5 Ma (Dobson et al., 2001), at the same time that deposition of the Solimões Formation in western Amazonia ceased (e.g. Latrubesse et al., 2010), indicating that deposition of the bulk of Andean derived sediment switched from the Amazonian lowlands to the Atlantic Ocean at this time.

5. Conclusion

Considering the Peruvian flat-slab morphology in detail predicts broad dynamic subsidence that is significantly offset from the trench, by well over 1000 km inland. This is in agreement with the geological record of deep sedimentary accumulations (up to 1 km thick) across western Amazonia, which lie too far from the orogenic front to be explained by flexure alone. This has direct relevance for ancient episodes of flat-slab subduction, such as the Cretaceous–Early Tertiary Laramide Orogeny, when dynamic topography has been called upon to explain sedimentary basins and marine transgressions within the western US interior (Liu et al., 2008; Mitrovica et al., 1989; Liu and Nummedal, 2004). Modeling and observations across the Peruvian foreland reinforce the notion (Dávila and Lithgow-Bertelloni, 2013; Jadamec et al., 2013) that slab flattening produces subsidence far inland at the subduction leading

edge, and that the transition from normal to flat subduction induces uplift over the flat-slab region itself.

Characterizing patterns of dynamic topography accurately is important if we want to understand how and why basins, as well as the overall landscape, have evolved over time. Our results and their agreement with the local geology imply that the influence of the 3D details of subduction on the dynamics, such as along strike variations in morphology, matter greatly to this goal. In the future, our ability to correctly predict dynamic topography will therefore improve with better knowledge of subduction zone structure. In particular better constraints on slab shape and density, potentially from seismic and gravitational studies, as well as more information on rheological properties, such as lateral variations in viscosity between the slab and the wedge, have the potential to refine the expression of flat-slab subduction on the Earth's surface both in the present and in the past.

Acknowledgements

The 7th Framework Program, Marie Curie Actions (ERC), supports our ANDyN project 251954 (Andes–Nazca Experiment) in the Andes. We also appreciated funds and support by SECyT-UNC, CONICET, and FONCyT from Argentina, MAPS faculty funds at University College London, and NERC grant NE/J024813/1. We thank two anonymous reviewers for suggestions that greatly improved the manuscript.

Appendix A. Supplementary material

Supplementary material related to this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2014.07.027>.

References

- Aleman, A.M., 2006. The Peruvian flat-slab. In: Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs, Speciality Meeting, No. 2, p. 21.
- Amante, C., Eakins, B.W., 2009. ETOPO1 1 arc-minute global relief model: procedures, data sources and analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24, 19 pp.
- Arrial, P.A., Billen, M.I., 2013. Influence of geometry and eclogitization on oceanic plateau subduction. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 363, 34–43.
- Billen, M.I., 2008. Modeling the dynamics of subducting slabs. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* 36, 325–356.
- Billen, M.I., Gurnis, M., 2001. A low viscosity wedge in subduction zones. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 193, 227–236.
- Billen, M.I., Gurnis, M., Simons, M., 2003. Multiscale dynamic models of the Tonga–Kermadec subduction zone. *Geophys. J. Int.* 153, 359–388.
- Bird, P., 1988. Formation of the Rocky mountains, western United States – a continuum computer model. *Science* 239 (4847), 1501–1507.
- Bissig, T., Ullrich, T.D., Tosdal, R.M., Friedman, R., Ebert, S., 2008. The time–space distribution of Eocene to Miocene magmatism in the central Peruvian polymetallic province and its metallogenetic implications. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 26, 16–35.
- Braun, J., 2010. The many surface expressions of mantle dynamics. *Nat. Geosci.* 3 (12), 825–833.
- Burgess, P.M., Gurnis, M., Moresi, L., 1997. Formation of sequences in the cratonic interior of North America by interaction between mantle, eustatic, and stratigraphic processes. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 109 (12), 1515–1535.
- Cahill, T., Isacks, B.L., 1992. Seismicity and shape of the subducted Nazca Plate. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97 (B12), 17503–17529.
- Campbell Jr., K.E., Frailey, C.D., Romero Pitman, L., 2006. The Pan-Amazonian Ucayali peneplain, Late Neogene sedimentation in Amazonia, and the birth of the modern Amazon River system. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 239, 166–219.
- Capitanio, F.A., Faccenda, M., 2012. Complex mantle flow around heterogeneous subducting oceanic plates. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 353, 29–37.
- Cembrano, J., Lavenu, A., Yañez, G., Riquelme, R., García, M., González, G., Herail, G., 2007. Neotectonics. In: Moreno, T., Gibbons, W. (Eds.), *The Geology of Chile*. The Geological Society, London, pp. 147–178.
- Cozzuol, M., 2006. The Acre vertebrate fauna: diversity, geography and time. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 21, 185–203.
- Dávila, F.M., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., 2013. Dynamic topography in South America. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 43, 127–144.

- Dávila, F.M., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Giménez, M., 2010. Tectonic and dynamic controls on the topography and subsidence of the Argentine Pampas: the role of the flat slab. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 295 (1), 187–194.
- Del'Arco, J.O., Santos, R.O.B., Rivetti, M., Olivera Alves, E.D., Fernandes, C.A.C., Silva, L.L., 1977. Folha SB 19 Juruá. *leGeologia. Projeto Radambrasil. Levantamento de Recursos Naturais* 15, 19–88.
- Dickinson, W., Snyder, W., 1978. Plate tectonics of the Laramide orogeny. In: *Geol. Soc. Am. Mem.*, vol. 151, pp. 355–366.
- Dobson, D.M., Dickens, G.R., Rea, D.K., 2001. Terrigenous sediment on Ceara Rise: a Cenozoic record of South American orogeny and erosion. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 165, 215–229.
- Eakin, C.M., Long, M.D., 2013. Complex anisotropy beneath the Peruvian flat slab from frequency-dependent, multiple-phase shear wave splitting analysis. *J. Geophys. Res.* Solid Earth 118. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrb50349>.
- Eakin, C.M., Long, M.D., Beck, S.L., Wagner, L.S., Tavera, H., 2013. Characterizing seismic anisotropy across the Peruvian flat-slab subduction zone: shear wave splitting from PULSE. In: *AGU Fall Meeting 2013*. San Francisco, CA.
- Espurt, N., Funicello, F., Martinod, J., Guillaume, B., Regard, V., Faccenna, C., Brusset, S., 2008. Flat subduction dynamics and deformation of the South American plate: insights from analog modeling. *Tectonics* 27, TC3011. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2007TC002175>.
- Figueiredo, J.J.P., 2012. Comment by JP Figueiredo, and Hoorn, C. on 'Late Miocene sedimentary environments in south-western Amazonia (Solimões Formation; Brazil)' by Martin Gross, Werner E. Piller, Maria Ines Ramos, Jackson Douglas da Silva Paz. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 35, 74–75.
- Figueiredo, J., Hoorn, C., van der Ven, P., Soares, E., 2009. Late Miocene onset of the Amazon River and the Amazon deep-sea fan: evidence from the Foz do Amazonas Basin. *Geology* 37 (7), 619–622.
- Gans, C., Beck, S., Zandt, G., Gilbert, H., Alvarado, P., 2011. Continental and oceanic crustal structure of the Pampean at slab region, western Argentina, using receiver function analysis: new high-resolution results. *Geophys. J. Int.* 186, 45–58.
- García-Castellanos, D., Fernández, M., Torné, M., 2002. Modeling the evolution of the Guadalquivir foreland basin (southern Spain). *Tectonics* 21 (3). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001TC001339>.
- Garzone, C.M., Molnar, P., Libarkin, J.C., Mc Fadden, B.J., 2006. Rapid late Miocene rise of the Bolivian Altiplano: evidence for removal of mantle lithosphere. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 241, 543–556.
- Gotberg, N., McQuarrie, N., Carlotto Caillaux, V., 2010. Comparison of crustal thickening budget and shortening estimates in southern Peru (12–14°S): implications for mass balance and rotations in the "Bolivian orocline". *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 122 (5–6), 727–742. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1130/B264771>.
- Grand, S.P., 2002. Mantle shear wave tomography and the fate of subducted slabs. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A* 360, 2475–2491.
- Gripp, A.E., Gordon, R.G., 2002. Young tracks of hotspots and current plate velocities. *Geophys. J. Int.* 150 (2), 321–361.
- Gross, M., Piller, W.E., Ramos, M.I., da Silva Paz, J.D., 2011. Late Miocene sedimentary environments in south-western Amazonia (Solimões Formation; Brazil). *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 32, 169–181.
- Guillaume, B., Martinod, J., Husson, L., Roddaz, M., Riquelme, R., 2009. Neogene uplift of central eastern Patagonia: dynamic response to active spreading ridge subduction? *Tectonics* 28, TC2009. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2008TC002324>.
- Gurnis, M., Müller, R.D., Moresi, L., 1998. Cretaceous vertical motion of Australia and the Australian Antarctic discordance. *Science* 279, 1499–1504.
- Gutscher, M.A., Maury, R., Eissen, J.P., Bourdon, E., 2000a. Can slab melting be caused by flat subduction? *Geology* 28, 535–538.
- Gutscher, M.A., Spakman, W., Bijwaard, H., Engdahl, E.R., 2000b. Geodynamics of flat subduction: seismicity and tomographic constraints from the Andean margin. *Tectonics* 19 (5), 814–833.
- Hager, B.H., 1984. Subducted slabs and the geoid: constraints on mantle rheology and flow. *J. Geophys. Res.* 89 (B7), 6003–6015.
- Hager, B.H., O'Connell, R.J., 1979. Kinematic models of large-scale flow in the Earth's mantle. *J. Geophys. Res.* Solid Earth 84 (B3), 1031–1048.
- Hager, B.H., O'Connell, R.J., 1981. A simple global model of plate dynamics and mantle convection. *J. Geophys. Res.* Solid Earth 8 (B6), 4843–4867.
- Hager, B.H., Richards, M.A., 1989. Long-wavelength variations in Earth's geoid: physical models and dynamical implications. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci.* 328 (1599), 309–327.
- Hager, B.H., Clayton, R.W., Richards, M.A., Comer, R.P., Dziewonski, A.M., 1985. Lower mantle heterogeneity, dynamic topography and the geoid. *Nature* 313, 541–545.
- Hampel, A., 2002. The migration history of the Nazca Ridge along the Peruvian active margin: a re-evaluation. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 203, 665–679.
- Harrison, C.G.A., Miskell, K.J., Brass, G.W., Saltzman, E.S., Sloan, J.L., 1983. Continental hypsography. *Tectonics* 2 (4), 357–377.
- Heine, C., Müller, R., Steinberger, B., Torsvik, T., 2008. Subsidence in intracontinental basins due to dynamic topography. *Phys. Earth Planet. Inter.* 171, 252–264.
- Heine, C., Müller, R.D., Steinberger, B., DiCaprio, L., 2010. Integrating deep Earth dynamics in paleogeographic reconstructions of Australia. *Tectonophysics* 483 (1), 135–150.
- Henry, S.G., Pollack, H.N., 1988. Terrestrial heat flow above the Andean subduction zone in Bolivia and Peru. *J. Geophys. Res.* 93 (B12), 15153–15162.
- Hermoza, W., Brusset, S., Baby, P., Gil, W., Roddaz, M., Guerrero, N., Bolaños, R., 2005. The Huallaga foreland basin evolution: thrust propagation in a deltaic environment, northern Peruvian Andes. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 19 (1), 21–34.
- Hines, J.M., Billen, M.I., 2012. Sensitivity of the short-to intermediate-wavelength geoid to rheologic structure in subduction zones. *J. Geophys. Res.* Solid Earth 117, B05410. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008978>.
- Hoorn, C., 1993. Marine incursions and the influence of Andean tectonics on the Miocene depositional history of northwestern Amazonia: results of a palynostratigraphic study. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 105, 267–309.
- Hoorn, C., 1994. An environmental reconstruction of the palaeo-Amazon River system (Middle-Late Miocene, NW Amazonia). *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 112 (3), 187–238.
- Hoorn, C., 2006. The birth of the mighty Amazon. *Sci. Am.* 294 (5), 52–59.
- Hoorn, C., Guerrero, J., Sarmiento, G.A., Lorente, M.A., 1995. Andean tectonics as a cause for changing drainage patterns in Miocene northern South America. *Geology* 23 (3), 237–240.
- Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P., Ter Steege, H., Bermudez, M.A., Mora, A., Sevink, J., Antonelli, A., 2010a. Amazonia through time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution, and biodiversity. *Science* 330 (6006), 927–931.
- Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P., Hovikoski, J., Guerrero, J., 2010b. The development of the Amazonian mega-wetland (Miocene; Brazil, Colombia, Peru, Bolivia). In: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), *Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp. 123–142.
- Hungerbühler, D., Steinmann, J., Winkler, W., Seward, D., Egüez, A., Peterson, D.E., Helg, U., Hammer, C., 2002. Neogene stratigraphy and Andean geodynamics of southern Ecuador. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 57, 75–124.
- Jadamec, M.A., Billen, M.I., 2010. Reconciling surface plate motions with rapid three-dimensional mantle flow around a slab edge. *Nature* 465 (7296), 338–341.
- Jadamec, M.A., Billen, M.I., 2012. The role of rheology and slab shape on rapid mantle flow: three-dimensional numerical models of the Alaska slab edge. *J. Geophys. Res.* Solid Earth 117, B02304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JB008563>.
- Jadamec, M.A., Billen, M.I., Kreylos, O., 2012. Three-dimensional simulations of geometrically complex subduction with large viscosity variations. In: *ACM Proceedings of the 2012 Conference on eXtreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment*.
- Jadamec, M.A., Billen, M.I., Roeske, S.M., 2013. Three-dimensional numerical models of flat slab subduction and the Denali fault driving deformation in south-central Alaska. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 376, 29–42.
- Jordan, T.E., Gardeweg, P.M., 1989. Tectonic evolution of the late Cenozoic central Andes (20°–33°S). In: Ben-Avraham, Z. (Ed.), *The Evolution of the Pacific Ocean Margins*. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 193–207.
- King, S.D., Hager, B.H., 1994. Subducted slabs and the geoid: 1. Numerical experiments with temperature-dependent viscosity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99 (B10), 19843–19852.
- Knezevic Antonijevic, S., Wagner, L.S., Beck, S.L., Zandt, G., Long, M.D., 2013. Exposing the Peruvian flat slab from shear wave velocity structure. In: *AGU Fall Meeting 2013*. San Francisco, CA.
- Kroonenberg, S.B., Bakker, J.G.M., van der Weil, A.M., 1990. Late Cenozoic uplift and paleogeography of the Colombian Andes: constraints in the development of high-Andean biota. *Geol. Mijnb.* 69, 279–290.
- Latrubesse, E.M., Bocquentin, J., Santos, C.R., Ramonell, C.G., 1997. Paleoenvironmental model for the late Cenozoic southwestern Amazonia paleontology and geology. *Acta Amazonica* 27, 103–118.
- Latrubesse, E., Silva, S.F., Cozzuol, M., Absy, M.L., 2007. Late Miocene continental sedimentation in the southwestern Amazonia and its regional significance: biotic and geological evidence. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 23, 61–80.
- Latrubesse, E.M., Cozzuol, M., da Silva-Caminha, S.A.F., Rigsby, C.A., Absy, M.L., Jaramillo, C., 2010. The Late Miocene paleogeography of the Amazon Basin and the evolution of the Amazon River system. *Earth-Sci. Rev.* 99 (3), 99–124.
- Li, C., van der Hilst, R.D., Engdahl, E.R., Burdick, S., 2008. A new global model for P wave speed variations in Earth's mantle. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9 (5).
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Gurnis, M., 1997. Cenozoic subsidence and uplift of continents from time-varying dynamic topography. *Geology* 25 (8), 735–738.
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Richards, M.A., 1998. The dynamics of Cenozoic and Mesozoic plate motions. *Rev. Geophys.* 36 (1), 27–78.
- Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., Silver, P.G., 1998. Dynamic topography, plate driving forces and the African superswell. *Nature* 395, 269–272.
- Liu, S., Nummedal, D., 2004. Late Cretaceous subsidence in Wyoming: quantifying the dynamic component. *Geology* 32 (5), 397–400.
- Liu, L., Spasojević, S., Gurnis, M., 2008. Reconstructing Farallon plate subduction beneath North America back to the Late Cretaceous. *Science* 322 (5903), 934–938.
- Liu, S., Nummedal, D., Liu, L., 2011. Migration of dynamic subsidence across the Late Cretaceous United States Western Interior Basin in response to Farallon plate subduction. *Geology* 39 (6), 555–558.
- Lonsdale, P., 2005. Creation of the Cocos and Nazca plates by fission of the Farallon plate. *Tectonophysics* 404 (3–4), 237–264.
- Maia, R.G., Godoy, H.K., Yamaguti, H.S., Moura, P.D., Costa, F.D., Holanda, M.D., Costa, J., 1977. *Costa Projeto de Carvão no Alto Solimões. Relatório Final. Companhia de Pesquisa de Recursos Minerais—Departamento Nacional da Produção Mineral, Manaus*, p. 137.

- Martinod, J., Husson, L., Roperch, P., Guillaume, B., Espurt, N., 2010. Horizontal subduction zones, convergence velocity and the building of the Andes. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 299 (3), 299–309.
- Mégard, F., 1987. Cordilleran Andes and Marginal Andes: a review of Andean geology north of the Arica Elbow (18°S). In: Monger, J.W.H., Francheteau, J. (Eds.), *Circum-Pacific Orogenic Belts and Evolution of the Pacific Ocean Basin*. In: *Geodynamic Series*, vol. 18. American Geophysical Union, Geological Society of America, pp. 71–95.
- Mitrovica, J.X., Beaumont, C., Jarvis, G.T., 1989. Tilting of the continental interior by the dynamical effects of subduction. *Tectonics* 8 (5), 1079–1094.
- Mora, A., Baby, P., Roddaz, M., Parra, M., Brusset, S., Hermoza, W., Espurt, N., 2010. Tectonic history of the Andes and sub-Andean zones: implications for the development of the Amazon Drainage Basin. In: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), *Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp. 38–60.
- Moresi, L., Gurnis, M., 1996. Constraints on the lateral strength of slabs from three-dimensional dynamic flow models. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 138, 15–28.
- Moucha, R., Forte, A., Mitrovica, J., Daradich, A., 2007. Lateral variations in mantle rheology: implications for convection related surface observables and inferred viscosity models. *Geophys. J. Int.* 169, 113–135. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2006.03225.x>.
- Müller, R.D., Sdrolias, M., Gaina, C., Roest, W.R., 2008. Age, spreading rates, and spreading asymmetry of the world's ocean crust. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9 (4), 18–36.
- Nobret, V., Lavenu, A., Marocco, R., 1996. Concept of continuum as opposed to periodic tectonism in the Andes. *Tectonophysics* 255, 65–78.
- Olson, P., Nam, S., 1986. Formation of seafloor swells by mantle plumes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 91 (B7), 7181–7191.
- Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Lowry, A.R., Watts, A.B., 2007. Effective elastic thickness of South America and its implications for intracontinental deformation. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 8 (5), 1–22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001511>.
- Phillips, K., Clayton, R.W., 2014. Structure of the subduction transition region from seismic array data in southern Peru. *Geophys. J. Int.* 196, 1889–1905. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggt504>.
- Pilger, R.H., 1981. Plate reconstructions, aseismic ridges, and low-angle subduction beneath the Andes. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* 92, 338–456.
- Potter, P.E., 1997. The mesozoic and cenozoic drainage of South America: a natural history. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 10, 331–344.
- Pysklywec, R., Mitrovica, J., 1999. The role of subduction-induced subsidence in the evolution of the Karoo Basin. *J. Geol.* 107, 155–164.
- Ramos, V.A., Folguera, A., 2009. Andean flat-slab subduction through time. In: Murphy, J.B., Keppie, J.D., Hynes, A.J. (Eds.), *Ancient Orogens and Modern Analogues*. In: *Geological Society London Special Publication*, vol. 327, pp. 31–54.
- Räsänen, M., Linna, A.M., Santos, J.C.R., Negri, F.R., 1995. Late Miocene tidal deposits in the Amazonian foreland basin. *Science* 269, 386–389.
- Ricard, Y., Richards, M., Lithgow-Bertelloni, C., LeStunff, Y., 1993. A geodynamical model of mantle density heterogeneity. *J. Geophys. Res.* 98 (B12), 21895–21909.
- Roddaz, M., Viers, J., Brusset, S., Baby, P., Hérail, G., 2005. Sediment provenances and drainage evolution of the Neogene Amazonian foreland basin. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 239 (1), 57–78.
- Roddaz, M., Hermoza, W., Mora, A., Baby, P., Parra, M., Christophoul, F., Espurt, N., 2010. Cenozoic sedimentary evolution of the Amazonian foreland basin system. In: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), *Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp. 61–88.
- Rodrigues Nogueira, A.C., Silveira, R., Felix Guimarães, J.T., 2013. Neogene-Quaternary sedimentary and paleovegetation history of the eastern Solimões Basin, central Amazon region. *J. South Am. Earth Sci.* 46, 89–99.
- Rosenbaum, G., Giles, D., Saxon, M., Betts, P.G., Weinberg, R.F., Duboz, C., 2005. Subduction of the Nazca Ridge and the Inca Plateau: insights into the formation of ore deposits in Peru. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 239, 18–32.
- Scire, A.C., Biryol, C.B., Zandt, G., Beck, S.L., Wagner, L.S., Long, M.D., Minaya, E., Tavera, H., 2013. Tomographic imaging of the Nazca slab and surrounding mantle in the mantle transition zone beneath the Central Andes. In: *AGU Fall Meeting 2013*, San Francisco, CA.
- Shephard, G.E., Müller, R.D., Liu, L., Gurnis, M., 2010. Miocene drainage reversal of the Amazon River driven by plate–mantle interaction. *Nat. Geosci.* 3 (12), 870–875.
- Shephard, G.E., Liu, L., Müller, R.D., Gurnis, M., 2012. Dynamic topography and anomalously negative residual depth of the Argentine Basin. *Gondwana Res.* 22 (2), 658–663.
- Siebert, L., Simkin, T., 2002. *Volcanoes of the World: an illustrated catalog of holocene volcanoes and their eruptions*: Smithsonian institution, global volcanism program digital information series. GVP-3, <http://www.volcano.si.edu/world/>.
- Silva-Caminha, S.A.F., Jaramillo, C., Absy, M.L., 2010. Neogene palynology of the Solimões Basin, Brazilian Amazonia. *Palaeontographica* 283 (1–3), 1–67.
- Soler, P., Bonhomme, M., 1990. Relations of magmatic activity to plate dynamics in central Peru from late cretaceous to present. In: Kay, S., Rapela, C. (Eds.), *Plutonism from Antarctica to Alaska*. In: *Geol. Soc. Am. Mem.*, pp. 173–191.
- Spasojevic, S., Liu, L., Gurnis, M., 2009. Adjoint models of mantle convection with seismic, plate motion, and stratigraphic constraints: North America since the Late Cretaceous. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 10, Q05W02.
- Stadler, G., Gurnis, M., Burstedde, C., Wilcox, L.C., Alisc, L., Ghattas, O., 2010. The dynamics of plate tectonics and mantle flow: from local to global scales. *Science* 329 (5995), 1033–1038.
- Tassara, A., Swain, C., Hackney, R., Kirby, J., 2007. Elastic thickness structure of South America estimated using wavelets and satellite-derived gravity data. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 253 (1), 17–36.
- van Hunen, J., van den Berg, A.P., Vlaar, N.J., 2002a. On the role of subducting oceanic plateaus in the development of shallow flat subduction. *Tectonophysics* 352, 317–333. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S00401951\(02\)00263-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S00401951(02)00263-9).
- van Hunen, J., van den Berg, A.P., Vlaar, N.J., 2002b. The impact of the South American plate motion and the Nazca Ridge subduction on the flat subduction below South Peru. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 29 (14). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2001GL014004>.
- Wanderley-Filho, J.R., Costa, J.B.S., 1991. Contribuição à evolução estrutural de bacia do Amazonas e sua relação com o embasamento. In: *Simpósio de Geologia de Amazônia*, vol. 3. Sociedade Brasileira de Geologia, Anais. Belém, pp. 244–259.
- Wanderley-Filho, J.R., Eiras, J.F., Cunha, P.R.C., Van der Vem, P.H., 2010. The Paleozoic Solimões and Amazonas basins and the Acre foreland basin of Brazil. In: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), *Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution: A Look into the Past*. Wiley-Blackwell, Chichester, pp. 9–28.
- Watts, A.B., 2001. *Isostasy and Flexure of the Lithosphere*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Wessel, P., Smith, W.H.F., 1991. Free software helps map and display data. *Eos* 72 (41), 441–446.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Räsänen, M.E., Irion, G., Vonhof, H.B., Kaandorp, R., Renema, W., Romero Pittman, L., Gingras, M., 2002. Lake Pebas: a palaeoecological reconstruction of a Miocene, long-lived lake complex in western Amazonia. *Cainozoic Res.* 1, 35–81.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Ranzy, A., Rasanen, M., 2006a. Miocene freshwater Mollusca from western Brazilian Amazonia. *Scr. Geol.* 133, 419–437.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Guerrero, J., Räsänen, M., Romero Pittman, L., Vonhof, H., 2006b. Landscape evolution and depositional processes in the Miocene Amazonian Pebas lake/wetland system: evidence from exploratory boreholes in northeastern Peru. *Scr. Geol.* 133, 323–361.
- Zhong, S., Davies, G.F., 1999. Effects of plate and slab viscosities on the geoid. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 170, 487–496.
- Zhong, S., Gurnis, M., 1992. Viscous flow model of a subduction zone with a faulted lithosphere: long and short wavelength topography, gravity and geoid. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 19 (18), 1891–1894.
- Zhong, S., Gurnis, M., 1994. Controls on trench topography from dynamic models of subducted slabs. *J. Geophys. Res.* 99 (B8), 15683–15695.