Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. **57** (2), 2014 pp. 357–363 http://dx.doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2013-013-2 © Canadian Mathematical Society 2013



Representation Equivalent Bieberbach Groups and Strongly Isospectral Flat Manifolds

Emilio A. Lauret

Abstract. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be Bieberbach groups contained in the full isometry group G of \mathbb{R}^n . We prove that if the compact flat manifolds $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are strongly isospectral, then the Bieberbach groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are representation equivalent; that is, the right regular representations $L^2(\Gamma_1 \setminus G)$ and $L^2(\Gamma_2 \setminus G)$ are unitarily equivalent.

1 Introduction

Let X = G/K be a homogeneous Riemannian manifold, where G = Iso(X) is the full isometry group of X and where $K \subset G$ is a compact subgroup. Let \widehat{G} denote the unitary dual group of G. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be discrete cocompact subgroups of G acting on X without fixed points. The right regular representation R_{Γ_i} on $L^2(\Gamma_i \setminus G)$ splits as a direct sum

(1.1)
$$L^{2}(\Gamma_{i} \backslash G) = \sum_{(\pi, H_{\pi}) \in \widehat{G}} n_{\Gamma_{i}}(\pi) H_{\pi}$$

where all the multiplicities $n_{\Gamma_i}(\pi)$ of π in $L^2(\Gamma_i \setminus G)$ are finite and only countably many are not zero. The groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are called *representation equivalent* if the representations R_{Γ_1} and R_{Γ_2} are equivalent, that is, $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$.

A generalized version of Sunada's theorem (see [Go09, §3] and the references therein) says that if the groups Γ_1 and Γ_2 are representation equivalent, then the compact manifolds $\Gamma_1 \setminus X$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus X$ are *strongly isospectral*, that is, for any natural bundle *E* of *X* and for any strongly elliptic natural operator *D* acting on sections of *E*, the associated operators D_{Γ_1} and D_{Γ_2} acting on sections of the bundles $\Gamma_1 \setminus E$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus E$ have the same spectrum.

One may ask whether the converse holds. Actually, little is known about this problem. In [Pe95], H. Pesce proved that the converse is true for spherical space forms $(X = S^n)$ and for compact hyperbolic manifolds $(X = H^n)$. Our goal is to complete the picture within the class of spaces of constant curvature by extending Pesce's result to the flat case $(X = \mathbb{R}^n)$.

In his proof, Pesce only used the isospectrality with respect to certain natural operators. More precisely, for $(\tau, V_{\tau}) \in \widehat{K}$, one associates the vector bundle $E_{\tau} = G \times_{\tau} V_{\tau}$

Received by the editors October 1, 2012; revised April 8, 2013.

Published electronically May 26, 2013.

Supported by CONICET and Secyt-UNC

AMS subject classification: 58J53, 22D10.

Keywords: representation equivalent, strongly isospectrality, compact flat manifolds.

(see §3). Thus, the Laplace operator acting on sections of E_{τ} induces the operator Δ_{τ,Γ_1} and Δ_{τ,Γ_2} acting on sections of $\Gamma_1 \setminus E_{\tau}$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus E_{\tau}$ respectively. We will say that the manifolds $\Gamma_1 \setminus X$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus X$ are τ -isospectral if these operators have the same spectrum.

In our case, the isometry group of $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ is $G = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$, and a discrete cocompact subgroup of *G* acting without fixed points is usually called a *Bieberbach* group. We state the theorem in a way analogous to [Pe95].

Theorem 1.1 Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be Bieberbach groups contained in the full isometry group G of \mathbb{R}^n . The following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) Γ_1 and Γ_2 are representation equivalent;
- (ii) $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are strongly isospectral;
- (iii) $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are τ -isospectral for every $\tau \in \widehat{K}$.

As we mentioned above, (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is well known and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) holds trivially. It suffices to show (iii) \Rightarrow (i) (see page 362). The techniques used here are similar to those in [LMR12], where the *p*-spectrum of any constant curvature space form is determined in terms of the multiplicities in (1.1).

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Irreducible Representations of Orthogonal Groups

In this subsection we describe the unitary dual group of the orthogonal group O(n). Furthermore, we recall the branching laws to O(n - 1).

We write n = 2m if n is even or n = 2m + 1 if n is odd. We fix the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$ as

$$\mathfrak{h} = \left\{ H = \sum_{j=1}^{m} i h_j (E_{2j-1,2j} - E_{2j,2j-1}) : h_j \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

For $H \in \mathfrak{h}$, set $\varepsilon_j(H) = h_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. The highest weight theorem gives a one-to-one correspondence between the irreducible representations of SO(*n*) and the elements in $\mathcal{P}(SO(n))$, that is, the dominant analytically integral linear functionals on \mathfrak{h} . The correspondence being that Λ is the highest weight of the representation. We have

$$\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{SO}(2m+1)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \varepsilon_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\forall i, \ a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_m \ge 0 \right\},$$
$$\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{SO}(2m)) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \varepsilon_i : a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \,\forall i, \ a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_{m-1} \ge |a_m| \right\}.$$

For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$, let $(\tau_{\Lambda}, V_{\Lambda})$ denote the irreducible representation of SO(*n*) with highest weight Λ .

358

We now describe the irreducible representations of the full orthogonal group O(n) in terms of the irreducible representations of SO(n). We let

$$g_0 = \begin{cases} -\mathrm{Id}_n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Id}_{n-1} \\ & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad \text{thus } \mathrm{O}(n) = \mathrm{SO}(n) \cup g_0 \operatorname{SO}(n)$$

It suffices to define the representations of O(n) on each connected component.

We first consider *n* odd. For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(SO(2m + 1))$ and $\delta = \pm 1$ we define a representation $(\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}, V_{\Lambda})$ of O(2m + 1) by setting

$$\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}(g)(\nu) = \begin{cases} \tau_{\Lambda}(g)(\nu) & \text{if } g \in \mathrm{SO}(2m+1), \\ \delta \tau_{\Lambda}(g_0g)(\nu) & \text{if } g \in g_0 \operatorname{SO}(2m+1). \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_{SO(2m+1)} \cong \tau_{\Lambda}$. These representations are irreducible, and every irreducible representation can be constructed in this way, thus

$$O(2m+1) = \left\{ \tau_{\Lambda,\delta} : \Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\operatorname{SO}(2m+1)), \ \delta \in \{\pm 1\} \right\}.$$

The even case is more complicated (see [LMR12, Subsection 2.2] for more details). Set $\overline{\Lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_i \varepsilon_i - a_m \varepsilon_m$ if $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}(SO(2m))$. For $\Lambda \in \mathcal{P}(SO(2m))$ satisfying $\Lambda = \overline{\Lambda}$ (*i.e.*, $a_m = 0$) and $\delta \in \{\pm 1\}$, one associates $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta} \in O(2m)$ on the vector space V_{Λ} . Again we have that $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_{SO(2m)} \cong \tau_{\Lambda}$. The parameter δ depends on a certain intertwining operator T_{Λ} (see [Pe95, p. 372] and [LMR12, (2.7)]). In the case $\Lambda \neq \overline{\Lambda}$ (i.e. $a_m \neq 0$), there is a single representation $\tau_{\Lambda,0} \in O(2m)$ defined on the vector space $V_{\Lambda} \oplus V_{\overline{\Lambda}}$, which satisfies $\pi_{\Lambda,0}|_{SO(2m)} \cong \pi_{\Lambda} \oplus \pi_{\overline{\Lambda}}$. Hence,

$$\widehat{O(2m)} = \left\{ \tau_{\Lambda,\delta} : \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \, \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}\big(\operatorname{SO}(2m)\big), \ a_m = 0, \ \delta \in \{\pm 1\} \right\}$$
$$\bigcup \quad \left\{ \tau_{\Lambda,0} : \Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \, \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}\big(\operatorname{SO}(2m)\big), \ a_m > 0 \right\}.$$

We shall use the notation $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}$ in both cases, with the understanding that either $\delta = \pm 1$ or $\delta = 0$ according to $a_m = 0$ or $a_m \neq 0$ respectively.

One can check that $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta} \simeq \tau_{\Lambda,-\delta} \otimes \det \simeq \tau^*_{\Lambda,\delta}$ for any $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta} \in \widehat{O(n)}$.

We conclude this subsection by stating the branching laws from O(n) to O(n-1).

Theorem 2.1 Let $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta} \in \widehat{O(2m)}$ with $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\delta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. If $a_m > 0$ (resp. $a_m = 0$), then $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_{O(2m-1)} = \sum \sigma_{\mu,\kappa}$, where the sum is over all $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i \varepsilon_i$ such that

 $a_1 \geq b_1 \geq a_2 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_{m-1} \geq b_{m-1} \geq a_m$

and any $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$ (resp. a single value of $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$).

Theorem 2.2 Let $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta} \in O(\widehat{2m+1})$, where $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\delta \in \{\pm 1\}$. Then $\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_{O(2m)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sigma_{\mu,\kappa}$, where the sum is over all $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i \varepsilon_i$ such that

$$a_1 \ge b_1 \ge a_2 \ge b_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_{m-1} \ge b_{m-1} \ge a_m \ge b_m \ge 0$$

and, a single value of $\kappa \in \{\pm 1\}$ if $b_m = 0$ or $\kappa = 0$ if $b_m > 0$.

Note that in both cases the branching is multiplicity free, that is, the multiplicity $[\sigma_{\mu,\kappa}:\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}] := \dim_{\mathcal{O}(n-1)}(W_{\sigma}, V_{\tau})$ is always equal to 0 or 1.

2.2 Unitary Dual of $Iso(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Here we describe the unitary irreducible representations of $O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n \simeq Iso(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [LMR12, §4] for more details). We write any element $g \in O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ as $g = BL_b$, where $B \in O(n)$ is called the rotational part, and L_b denotes translation by $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. From now on, we fix the following notation:

(2.1)
$$G = O(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{n},$$
$$K = O(n),$$
$$M = \left\{ \left({B \atop det(B)} \right) : B \in O(n-1) \right\}$$

An element $(\tau, W_{\tau}) \in \widehat{K}$ induces a representation $\widetilde{\tau}$ of G on W_{τ} given by

$$\widetilde{\tau}(BL_b)(w) = \tau(B)(w).$$

In other words, $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \otimes Id_{W_{\tau}}$. Clearly, $\tilde{\tau}$ is finite dimensional, unitary, and irreducible.

We identify $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}^n$ with \mathbb{R}^n via the correspondence $\alpha \mapsto \xi_{\alpha}(\cdot) = e^{2\pi i \langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Under the notation given by (2.1), given r > 0 and $(\sigma, V_{\sigma}) \in \widehat{M}$, we consider the induced representation of *G* given by

$$(\pi_{\sigma,r}, H_{\sigma,r}) := \operatorname{Ind}_{M \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n}^{K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n} (\sigma \otimes \xi_{re_n}).$$

It is well known that $\pi_{\sigma,r}$ is unitary and irreducible.

Finally, a full set of representatives of \widehat{G} is given by

$$\widehat{G} = \{ \widetilde{\tau} : \tau \in \widehat{K} \} \cup \{ \pi_{\sigma,r} : \sigma \in \widehat{M}, \ r > 0 \}.$$

3 Main Theorem

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. We still use the notation in (2.1) for the groups G, K, and M, and $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ denotes the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

We recall some notions on homogeneous vector bundles on \mathbb{R}^n and compact flat manifolds (see [Wa73, §5.2] or [LMR12, Subsection 2.1]). Let (τ, V_{τ}) be a unitary representation of *K* of finite dimension. The homogeneous vector bundle E_{τ} =

360

 $G \times_{\tau} V_{\tau}$ of *X* is constructed as $G \times V_{\tau}/\sim$, where $(x, v) \sim (xk, \tau(k^{-1})v)$ for every $k \in K$. The group *G* acts on E_{τ} by $g \cdot [x, v] = [gx, v]$, where [x, v] denotes the class of equivalence of (x, v). The space of smooth sections $\Gamma^{\infty}(E_{\tau})$ of E_{τ} is in correspondence with the set $C^{\infty}(G;\tau)$, the smooth functions $f: G \to V_{\tau}$ such that $f(xk) = \tau(k^{-1})f(x)$ for every $k \in K$ and $x \in G$. The element

$$C := e_1^2 + \dots + e_n^2 \in U(\mathfrak{g})$$

defines a differential operator Δ_{τ} on $\Gamma^{\infty}(E_{\tau})$. For example, if $\tau = 1$, the trivial representation of *K*, then

$$\Delta_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

is just the Laplace operator on \mathbb{R}^n . Furthermore, the element *C* commutes with every irreducible representation of *G* contained in $C^{\infty}(G; \tau)$, thus by Schur's lemma, *C* acts by an scalar $\lambda(\pi, C)$ on V_{π} for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ such that $V_{\pi} \subset C^{\infty}(G; \tau)$.

The quotient $\Gamma \setminus E_{\tau}$ is a homogeneous vector bundle over the compact flat manifold $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$, and again, the element *C* defines a differential operator $\Delta_{\tau,\Gamma}$ acting on the sections of $\Gamma \setminus E_{\tau}$. Given Γ_1 and Γ_2 , two Bieberbach groups in *G*, the spaces $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are said to be τ -isospectral if Δ_{τ,Γ_1} and Δ_{τ,Γ_2} have the same spectrum.

We now determine the τ -spectrum for any $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ as in [LMR12]. Recall that $n_{\Gamma}(\pi)$ ($\pi \in \widehat{G}$) denotes the multiplicity of π in $L^2(\Gamma \setminus G)$ as we stated in (1.1). We shall use the following notation:

$$\widehat{G}(0) = \{ \widetilde{\tau} : \tau \in \widehat{K} \}, \widehat{G}(\sigma) = \{ \pi_{\sigma,r} : r > 0 \} \text{for } \sigma \in \widehat{M},$$

thus

$$\widehat{G} = \widehat{G}(0) \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \widehat{G}(\sigma).$$

Theorem 3.1 Let $\tau \in \widehat{K}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The multiplicity $d_{\lambda}(\tau, \Gamma)$ of λ in the spectrum of $\Delta_{\tau,\Gamma}$ is given by

(3.1)
$$d_{\lambda}(\tau, \Gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0, \\ n_{\Gamma}(\widetilde{\tau}) & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}: \, [\sigma:\tau|_{M}] > 0} n_{\Gamma}(\pi_{\sigma,\sqrt{\lambda}/2\pi}) & \text{if } \lambda > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof For any locally symmetric space we have that (see [LMR12, Prop. 2.4])

$$d_\lambda(au,\Gamma) = \sum_{\substack{\pi\in\widehat{G}\\lambda(C,\pi)=\lambda}} n_\Gamma(\pi) \, [au^*\!:\!\pi|_K].$$

Note that the sum is already over the elements in

$$\widehat{G}_{ au^*} = \widehat{G}_{ au} := \left\{ \pi \in \widehat{G} : [au : \pi|_K] > 0
ight\}$$

since $\tau^* \simeq \tau$. Since $\tilde{\tau}_0|_K = \tau_0$ for any $\tau_0 \in \widehat{K}$, it follows that $\widehat{G}_{\tau} \cap \widehat{G}(0) = \{\widetilde{\tau}\}$. On the other hand, $[\tau:\pi_{\sigma,r}|_K] = [\tau: \operatorname{Ind}_M^K(\sigma)] = [\sigma:\tau|_M]$ by Frobenius reciprocity. Then

$$\widehat{G}_{\tau} = \{\widetilde{\tau}\} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ [\sigma:\tau]_M] > 0}} \widehat{G}(\sigma).$$

The branching rules given in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 give a complete description of \widehat{G}_{τ} in terms of highest weights. Moreover, they also ensure that $[\tau:\pi|_K] = 1$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\tau}$.

Finally, by Schur's lemma, the element *C* acts by a scalar $\lambda(C, \pi)$ on each H_{π} . We have (see [LMR12, Lem. 4.2])

$$\lambda(C,\pi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } \pi \in \widehat{G}(0), \\ -4\pi^2 r^2 & \text{for } \pi = \pi_{\sigma,r} \in \widehat{G}(\sigma), \end{cases}$$

which concludes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 We have to prove that

(3.2)
$$n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$$

for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, by assuming that $d_{\lambda}(\tau, \Gamma_1) = d_{\lambda}(\tau, \Gamma_2)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and every $\tau \in \widehat{K}$. From (3.1) for the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$, it follows that (3.2) holds for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(0)$.

It remains to prove that, for any $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$, (3.2) holds for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma)$. We shall do this by the repeated application of the following lemmas. We write n = 2m if n is even and n = 2m+1 if n is odd. For $\mu_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \varepsilon_i$ and $\mu_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \varepsilon_i$ in $\mathcal{P}(SO(n))$ with $b_m, c_m \ge 0$, we write $\mu_1 < \mu_2$ if $c_1 - b_1 \ge c_2 - b_2 \ge \cdots \ge c_m - b_m \ge 0$, and set $\ell(\mu) = p$ if $b_p \ne 0$ and $b_i = 0$ for all i > p.

Lemma 3.2 Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be Bieberbach groups in G and let $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n-1))$. If $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are $\tau_{\mu_0,\delta}$ -isospectral and $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \bigcup_{\mu < \mu_0} \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu,\kappa})$, then $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu_0,\kappa})$.

Proof Write $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{SO}(n-1))$, with the convention that $b_m = 0$ if n is even, since n-1 = 2m-1. Let $\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i \varepsilon_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{SO}(n))$. Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 ensure that $[\sigma_{\mu,\kappa} : \tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_M] > 0$ if and only if $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \varepsilon_i$ satisfies

$$b_1 \ge c_1 \ge b_2 \ge c_2 \ge \cdots \ge b_m \ge c_m \ge 0,$$

and for a single value of $\kappa \in \{0, \pm 1\}$. Now, by (3.1) we have that

$$d_{4\pi^2 r^2}(\tau_{\Lambda,\pm\delta},\Gamma_i) = n_{\Gamma_i}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu_0,\pm\kappa_0},r}) + \sum_{\substack{[\sigma_{\mu,\kappa}:\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}]>0\\\mu\neq\mu_0}} n_{\Gamma_i}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu,\pm\kappa_\mu},r})$$

362

for every r > 0. It is clear that if $[\sigma_{\mu,\kappa}:\tau_{\Lambda,\delta}|_M] > 0$, then $\mu = \mu_0$ or $\mu < \mu_0$. Hence $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu_0,\pm\kappa_0,r}}) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu_0,\pm\kappa_0,r}})$ for every r > 0, since we are assuming that $d_{4\pi^2r^2}(\tau_{\Lambda,\pm\delta},\Gamma_1) = d_{4\pi^2r^2}(\tau_{\Lambda,\pm\delta},\Gamma_2)$ ($\tau_{\mu_0,\kappa}$ -isospectrality) and

$$n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu,\pm\kappa_{\mu}},r})=n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi_{\sigma_{\mu,\pm\kappa_{\mu}},r})$$

for every $\mu < \mu_0$ and every r > 0.

19,

For example, by applying Lemma 3.2 to $\mu_0 = 0$, we obtain that (3.2) holds for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{0,\kappa})$, which is the same result as [Pe96, Prop. 3.2 (c)].

Lemma 3.3 Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be Bieberbach groups in G and let $1 \leq p < m$. If $\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Gamma_2 \setminus \mathbb{R}^n$ are $\tau_{\mu,\delta}$ -isospectral for every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$ such that $\ell(\mu) = p + 1$ and $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu,\kappa})$ such that $\ell(\mu) \leq p$, then $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu,\kappa})$ such that $\ell(\mu) = p + 1$.

Proof We begin by considering the first case $\mu_0 = \varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_{p+1} \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$. Every $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$ such that $\mu < \mu_0$ satisfies $\ell(\mu) \le p$, thus $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \bigcup_{\mu < \mu_0} \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu,\kappa})$. Hence $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu_0,\kappa})$ by Lemma 3.2.

We continue in this fashion obtaining that $n_{\Gamma_1}(\pi) = n_{\Gamma_2}(\pi)$ for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu_0,\kappa})$ for any $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^p b_i \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_{p+1} \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$, since the ordering < is complete. We now proceed by induction on b_{p+1} , and the proof is complete.

By proceeding by induction on p with repeated applications of Lemma 3.3, we have that (3.2) holds for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}(\sigma_{\mu,\kappa})$ for any $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(SO(n))$. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgments The author is greatly indebted to Juan Pablo Rossetti for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations, and also to Roberto Miatello for his active interest in the publication of this paper. The author also wishes to express his thanks to the referee for several useful comments and corrections.

References

C. Gordon, Sunada's isospectrality technique: two decades later. In: Spectral analysis in
geometry and number theory, Contemp. Math., 484, American Mathematical Society,
Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 45–58.
E. Lauret, R. Miatello, and J. P. Rossetti, Representation equivalence and p-spectrum of
constant curvature space forms. J. Geom. Anal., to appear; arxiv:1209.4916[math.SP].
H. Pesce, Variétés hyperboliques et elliptiques fortement isospectrales. J. Funct. Anal.
133(1995), no. 2, 363–391. http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1150
, Représentations relativement équivalentes et variétés riemanniennes isospectrales.
Comment. Math. Helv. 71(1996), no. 2, 243-268. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02566419
N. R. Wallach, Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.

Facultad de Matemática Astronomía y Física (FaMAF), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, X5000HUA, Córdoba, Argentina. e-mail: elauret@famaf.unc.edu.ar