# Glucosidase II $\beta$ Subunit Modulates N-Glycan Trimming in Fission Yeasts and Mammals

Ivan D. Stigliano,\* Julio J. Caramelo,<sup>†‡</sup> Carlos A. Labriola,\* Armando J. Parodi,\* and Cecilia D'Alessio<sup>‡‡</sup>

\*Laboratories of Glycobiology and †Structural Cell Biology, Fundación Instituto Leloir, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, C1405BWE, Buenos Aires, Argentina; and †School of Sciences, University of Buenos Aires, C1428EHA Buenos Aires, Argentina

Submitted April 20, 2009; Accepted July 7, 2009 Monitoring Editor: Patrick J. Brennwald

Glucosidase II (GII) plays a key role in glycoprotein biogenesis in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). It is responsible for the sequential removal of the two innermost glucose residues from the glycan ( $Glc_3Man_9GlcNAc_2$ ) transferred to Asn residues in proteins. GII participates in the calnexin/calreticulin cycle; it removes the single glucose unit added to folding intermediates and misfolded glycoproteins by the UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. GII is a heterodimer whose  $\alpha$  subunit ( $GII\alpha$ ) bears the glycosyl hydrolase active site, whereas its  $\beta$  subunit ( $GII\beta$ ) role is controversial and has been reported to be involved in  $GII\alpha$  ER retention and folding. Here, we report that in the absence of  $GII\beta$ , the catalytic subunit  $GII\alpha$  of the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* (an organism displaying a glycoprotein folding quality control mechanism similar to that occurring in mammalian cells) folds to an active conformation able to hydrolyze p-nitrophenyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside. However, the heterodimer is required to efficiently deglucosylate the physiological substrates  $Glc_2Man_9GlcNAc_2$  (G2M9) and  $Glc_1Man_9GlcNAc_2$  (G1M9). The interaction of the mannose 6-phosphate receptor homologous domain present in  $GII\beta$  and mannoses in the B and/or C arms of the glycans mediates glycan hydrolysis enhancement. We present evidence that also in mammalian cells  $GII\beta$  modulates G2M9 and G1M9 trimming.

# INTRODUCTION

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) of eukaryotic cells discriminates between native and nonnative protein conformations, selectively transporting properly folded proteins to their final destinations through the secretory pathway, or alternatively, retrotranslocating misfolded proteins to the cytosol to be degraded by proteasomes. Protein glycosylation itself and processing of the glycan transferred play a key role in the folding and conformation discrimination of glycoproteins within the ER. The glycan (Glc<sub>3</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub>) transferred to Asn residues is first deglucosylated by glucosidase I, a type II membrane protein with a lumenal hydrolytic domain, which removes the outermost Glc of the glycan (see Figure 1 for a depiction of all glycan mentioned in text). The Glc<sub>2</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (G2M9) thus produced is then deglucosylated by glucosidase II (GII) that successively generates Glc<sub>1</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (G1M9) and Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> (M9) upon cleavage of Glcα1,3Glc (cleavage 1) and Glcα1,3Man (cleav-

This article was published online ahead of print in *MBC in Press* (http://www.molbiolcell.org/cgi/doi/10.1091/mbc.E09-04-0316) on July 15, 2009.


Address correspondence to: Cecilia D'Alessio (cdalessio@leloir.org.ar).

Abbreviations used: CHX, cycloheximide; CNX, calnexin; CRT, calreticulin; DTT, dithiothreitol; Endo H, endo- $\beta$ -N-acetylglucosaminidase H; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; GII, glucosidase II; G1M4-5, Glc<sub>1</sub>Man<sub>4</sub>- $_5$ GlcNAc; G2M4-5, Glc<sub>2</sub>Man<sub>4</sub>- $_5$ GlcNAc; G1M9, Glc<sub>1</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc; G2M9, Glc<sub>2</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc; GT, UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase; M9, Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc; MRH, mannose 6-phosphate receptor homologous; NMDNJ, N-methyl 1-deoxynojirimycin; PMSF, phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride; pNPG, p-nitrophenyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside; YFP, yellow fluorescent protein.

age 2) bonds. Both GII-mediated cleavages play a determining role in the quality control of glycoprotein folding in the ER. Monoglucosylated glycan-bearing glycoproteins may interact with calnexin (CNX) and/or calreticulin (CRT), two ER-resident lectin chaperones that enhance folding efficiency by preventing aggregation and facilitating correct disulfide bond formation through their interaction with ERp57, a protein disulfide isomerase. Furthermore, the interaction of folding intermediates and misfolded glycoproteins and the lectin-chaperones prevent their exit from the ER to the Golgi. The second GII-mediated cleavage that generates M9 abolishes the glycoprotein-lectin-chaperone interaction, thus allowing glycoproteins to pursue their transit through the secretory pathway. However, if not yet properly folded, glycoproteins may be reglucosylated by the uridine 5'-diphosphate (UDP)-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase (GT), an enzyme that specifically glucosylates nonnative conformers and regenerates monoglucosylated glycans. These, in turn, interact again with the lectin chaperones. Cycles of reglucosylation and deglucosylation catalyzed by the opposing activity of GT and GII continue until species acquire their proper tertiary structure (for reviews, see Parodi, 2000; Trombetta and Parodi, 2003).

GII is a soluble ER-resident heterodimer composed of two tightly but noncovalently bound  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  chains (GII $\alpha$  and GII $\beta$ ) (Trombetta *et al.*, 1996, 2001). GII $\alpha$  is a 95-110 kDa protein that contains the consensus sequence (G/F)(L/I/V/M)WXDMNE) of the active site of family 31 glycosyl hydrolases and lacks the ER retention signal –XDEL at its C-terminus (Trombetta *et al.*, 1996; D'Alessio *et al.*, 1999). This subunit has a single active site but it has been proposed to have different kinetics for the first and second cleavages (Alonso *et al.*, 1993), although recent work suggests that the differential trimming rates of both Glc units is not operative

Figure 1. Glycan structures. (A) The structure depicted is that of the glycan transferred to Asn residues in N-glycosylation. Lettering (a, b, c ...) follows the order of addition of monosaccharides in the synthesis of the dolichol-P-P derivatives. Glucosidase I removes residue n and GII, residues l and m. GT re-adds residue l. ER mannosidase cleaves residue i. G2M9, G1M9, and M9 comprise residues a-m, a-l, and a-k, respectively, except when the glycans had been previously treated with Endo H. Because this enzyme cleaves the bond between residues a and b, those glycans are devoid of residue a. Jack bean  $\alpha$ -mannosidase removes residues h-k and partially also residue e from the glucosylated glycans. Therefore, G2M4-5 comprise residues b-d, f, g, l, and m and partially also residue e. G1M4-5 is similar to G2M4-5 but lacks residue m. Arm A comprises residues d, f, g, and l-n; arm B comprises residues h and I; and arm C comprises residues j and k. (B) Structure of pNPG.



at the high protein concentrations occurring within the ER lumen (Totani et al., 2008).

The roles of GII $\beta$  are controversial and have been object of growing interest in the last years, as autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease may develop in individuals carrying mutations in GIIB gene (Drenth et al., 2003, 2005; Davila et al., 2004) and GIIB is induced in differentiating neuritic rat progenitor cells and in response to the glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor (Hoffrogge et al., 2007). GIIB is a 50- to 60-kDa subunit that has been suggested to be responsible for GII $\alpha$  maturation to an active conformation in mammals (Pelletier et al., 2000; Treml et al., 2000) as well as for its presence in the ER as GIIB displays an ER retention/retrieval consensus sequence at its C-terminus (Trombetta et al., 1996; D'Alessio et al., 1999). In contrast, the GII $\beta$  subunit of the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae does not display a consensus ER retention/retrieval sequence. Furthermore, GII $\alpha$  was also retained in the ER of GII $\beta$  null mutants and G1M9 was the N-glycan formed in these last cells, indicating that GII $\beta$  is required for the second but not for the first cleavage (Wilkinson et al., 2006). It should be mentioned that this microorganism lacks a classical CNX/CRT cycle because it does not express an active GT (Fernández et al., 1994).

Using a genetic approach, we have demonstrated previously the heterodimeric nature of GII in the fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe and that microsomes from  $\Delta GII\alpha$ and  $\Delta GII\beta$  mutant cells are devoid of GII activity when using G1M9 as substrate in the assays. Nevertheless, whereas N-glycans formed in intact  $\Delta GII\alpha$  cells were identified as G2M9,  $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells formed, in addition, small amounts of G1M9 (D'Alessio et al., 1999). It was suggested then that this last compound was formed either by GII $\alpha$ transiently present in the ER in its way to secretion and/or by low amounts of GII $\alpha$  that folded successfully in the absence of GII $\beta$ . Moreover,  $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells presented the unfolding protein response as the BiP gene was induced in these mutant cells, thus showing that the subunit plays a key role in the efficient folding of glycoproteins. S. pombe constitutes an ideal organism to study the role of GIIβ because it has a glycoprotein folding quality control mechanism similar to that occurring in mammalian cells and it expresses an active GT (Fernández et al., 1994; D'Alessio et al., 1999). Furthermore, its GII $\beta$  presents a high homology to its mammalian counterpart, including the presence of a consensus ER retention/retrieval sequence at its C terminus.

An efficient N-glycan deglucosylation is not only highly relevant to a proper glycoprotein folding quality control but also to the numerous N-glycan processing reactions occurring as glycoproteins migrate through the Golgi and that determine the final glycan structures that in many cases play key roles in cellular processes. In the present work, we studied whether GII $\beta$  is solely involved in GII $\alpha$  retention and folding or if, additionally, it also participates in the regulation of N-glycan hydrolysis.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Yeast extract, bactopeptone, and yeast nitrogen base with or without ammonium sulfate were from Difco (Detroit, MI). p-Nitrophenyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranoside (pNPG), endo- $\beta$ -N-acetylglucosaminidase H (Endo H), Jack bean  $\alpha$ -manosidase, protease inhibitors, lysing enzyme, dithiothreitol (DTT), amino acids, and supplements for culture media were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Zymolyase 100T was from Seikagaku Kogyo (Tokyo, Japan), and [1<sup>4</sup>C]Glc (301 Ci/mol) was from PerkinElmer Life and Analytical Sciences (Boston, MA). N-Methyl-1-deoxynojirimycin (NMDNJ) was from Research Chemicals (North York, ON, Canada). Enzymes used for DNA procedures were from New England Biolabs (Ipswich, MA). Gateway LR clonase mix was purchased from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA).

## Strains and Media

Escherichia coli DH5 $\alpha$  and JA226 were used for cloning purposes, whereas recombinant protein expression was carried out using BL26 cells. Bacteria were grown at 37°C in Luria broth medium (0.5% NaCl, 1% tryptone, and 0.5% yeast extract), supplemented with 100 mg/l ampicillin or 35 mg/l kanamycin as needed. S. pombe cells were grown at 28°C in rich YES medium (0.5% yeast extract, 3% glucose, and 75 mg/l adenine) or Edinburgh minimal medium (EMM) (Moreno et al., 1991; Alfa et al., 1993), supplemented with adenine (75 mg/l), uracil (75 mg/l), and/or leucine (250 mg/l) for selective growth. S. cerevisiae strains were grown at 28°C in rich media (YPDA, 1% yeast extract, 2% bactopeptone, 2% glucose, and 20 mg/l adenine) or selective minimal media SD (0.67% yeast nitrogen base without amino acids and 2% glucose) plus appropriate supplements for selective growth. Geneticin was added to media at 200 mg/l for kanMX4 marker selection. When double selection for kanMX4 and auxotrophic markers was needed, yeast nitrogen base was replaced in SD by 1.7% yeast nitrogen base without ammonium sulfate, and 0.1% monosodium glutamate was added. S. cerevisiae mutant MK1-11B 9.16a and BY4741 $\Delta$ gls2 were kindly provided by A. Herscovics (McGill Cancer Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada) and A. Colman-Lerner (School of Sciences, University of Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina), respectively. The S. pombe and S. cerevisiae strains used are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study

Strains (nickname)	Genotype	Source
S. pombe		
Sp61 (WT)	$h^-$ , leu $1$ - $32$ , ade $6$ - $M210$ , ura $4$ - $D18$ , ade $1$	Our stock (D'Alessio et al., 1999)
Sp61II $\alpha$ ( $\Delta$ GII $\alpha$ )	$h^{-}$ , gls2 $\alpha$ ::ura4 $^{+}$ , leu1-32, ade6-M210, ura4-D18, ade1	Our stock (Soussilane et al., 2009)
$SpADII\beta$ ( $\Delta GII\beta$ )	$h^{-}$ , gls2 $\beta$ ::ura4 $^{+}$ , leu1-32, ade6-M210, ura4-D18	Our stock (D'Alessio et al., 1999)
$SpADII\alpha\beta$ ( $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$ )	$h^-$ , gls2 $\alpha$ ::ura4 $^+$ , gls2 $\beta$ ::ura4 $^+$ , leu1-32, ade6-M216, ura4-D18	Our stock (Soussilane et al., 2009)
S. cerevisiae		
MK1-11B 9.16a	MATα, his4-619, mns1::URA3, ams1::LEU2	Puccia et al. (1993)
BY4741∆gls2	MATa, ura $3\Delta0$ , leu $2$ , his $3\Delta1$ , met $15\Delta0$ , $\Delta g$ ls $2\alpha$ ::KanMX $4$	Winzeler et al. (1999)
GIIM-36	$MAT\alpha$ , $\Delta gls2\alpha$ ::Kan $MX4$ , mns1::UR $A3$ , leu $2\Delta0$	This study

# Genetic and DNA Procedures

DNA procedures were as described previously (Sambrook and Russell, 2001). Yeast DNA extraction was done as described previously (Hoffman and Winston, 1987). Yeast transformations were performed by electroporation, by using the following conditions: S. pombe electrocompetent cells were prepared by extensively washing them when exponentially growing, first twice with water and then twice with 1 M sorbitol and finally resuspending cells at a  $100\times$ initial concentration.  $0.5~\mu g$  of plasmidic DNA was electroporated at 1.5~kV, 200 ohm, 25  $\mu$ F with a Gene Pulser II (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Cells were recovered in 0.5 M sorbitol in YES for 1 h at 28°C. For S. cerevisiae, sorbitol was replaced by 10% glycerol, and the electroporator was set at 2.5 kV and 200 ng of DNA was used. The S. cerevisiae mutant for N-glycan production was obtained using standard techniques of mating, sporulation, dissection, and tetrad analysis as described previously (D'Alessio et al., 2005). Strain GIIM-36 was obtained by crossing strain MK1-11B 9.16a with BY4741Δgls2, respectively. Relevant genotypes were determined by auxotophic growth in the appropriate media and also by colony polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the following primers: for Δgls2::KanlMX4 insertion gls2s 5'-AATAA-CATCCTTTCACACACTCACA-3' and kanB 5'-CTGCAGCGAGGAGCCG-TAAT-3' were used, yielding a 615-base pair PCR product; and for mms1::URA3 disruption MNS1s 5'-ATGAAGAACTCTGTCGGTATTTC-3' and MNS1a 5'-GTTTGGATTGTGCTAATAAATGC-3' were used, yielding a 1940-base pair fragment.

# Cloning and Expression of GIIa

The GII $\alpha$  gene was amplified by PCR using genomic *S. pombe* DNA as a template, with the following primers: GII $\alpha$  forward 5'-AAACCGCTCGAGATGAGATATCATGGCATATG-3' and GII $\alpha$  reverse 5'-CGCGGATCCTTAAACCAAAAAAGTTGTGG-3' or GII $\alpha$ VDEL reverse 5'-CGCGGATCCTTAAACCAACAAAAACTTGTGG-3' to obtain wild-type or GII $\alpha$  with the C-terminal VDEL ER retention signal, respectively. PCR products were subsequently cloned into *S. pombe* nmt promoter-driven expression vector pREP3x, kindly provided by Dr. Susan Forsburg (Department of Biological Sciences at the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA), to obtain pREP3x-GII $\alpha$  and pREP3x-GII $\alpha$ VDEL. The constructs were electroporated into *S. pombe* and expression levels were regulated with thiamine added to the media.

# Yeast Total Protein Extract and Microsomal Fraction Preparations

Yeast whole cell extracts were prepared from 20 ml of exponentially growing cultures ( $A_{600}=2$ ). Cells were disrupted by 10 repetitive cycles of 1-min vortexing 1-min on ice with glass beads in 1% Triton X-100, 0.1 M HEPES, pH 7.2, and 5 mM EDTA with protease inhibitors (100  $\mu$ M phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 10  $\mu$ M L-1-tosylamido-2-phenylethyl chloromethyl ketone, 10  $\mu$ M N $\alpha$ -p-tosyl-L-lysine chloromethyl ketone, 10  $\mu$ M leupeptin, 10  $\mu$ M pepstatin, and 10  $\mu$ M E64) and cleared by centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 20 min. Microsomes were prepared from 250 ml of cultures at  $A_{600}=2$ . Cells were broken as described above but in 0.25 M sucrose, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.2, and 5 mM EDTA buffer with protease inhibitors and the microsomal fraction was obtained as described previously (D'Alessio et al., 1999). Protein concentrations were determined by Bio-Rad Protein Assay as described by the manufacturer.

#### Analysis of Glycans Synthesized In Vivo

For assessing ER N-glycan composition, S. pombe cells in the exponential growth phase were harvested, extensively washed with EMM without glucose and then resuspended in 2 volumes (vol/wt) of the same medium. Cells were then preincubated for 5 min in 5 mM DTT and pulsed for 15 min in 5 mM DTT and concentration was then raised to 10 mM, and cycloheximide (CHX) (50  $\mu \rm{g/ml}$ ) was added. Incubation was stopped after 30 min at 28°C. Further details on the labeling procedure and preparation of whole cell Endo

H-sensitive *N*-glycans have been described previously (Fernández *et al.*, 1994). Glycans were run on paper chromatography by using Whatman 1 papers (Whatman, Maidstone, United Kingdom) and 1-propanol/nitromethane/water (5:2:4) as solvent.

# Synthesis of labeled N-Glycans


Glucose- and mannose-labeled [14C]Glc<sub>2</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc was obtained by in vivo labeling and *N*-glycan purification of *S. cerevisiae* strain GIIM-36 ( $gls2\alpha$ , mns1, GII, and ER mannosidase minus) cells (Figure 1 and Table 1). The strain was obtained as described under Genetic and DNA Procedures, and Endo H-sensitive *N*-glycans were obtained as described previously (Fernández *et al.*, 1994). [14C-glucose]Glc<sub>1</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc was obtained by glucosylation of denatured bovine thyroglobulin in the presence of UDP-[14C]Glc and rat liver microsomes followed by glycan purification as described previously (Trombetta *et al.*, 1989) [14C]Glc<sub>2</sub>Man<sub>4-5</sub>GlcNAc and [14C]Glc<sub>1</sub>Man<sub>4-5</sub>GlcNAc were obtained by Jack bean  $\alpha$ -mannosidase treatment of the above-mentioned glycans as described previously (Engel and Parodi, 1985).

#### GII Activity Assays

GII activity was assayed in total cell S. pombe extracts, microsomal fractions, or rat purified GII preparations using pNPG or labeled N-glycans as substrates as described previously (Trombetta and Parodi, 2005), with the following modifications. For the former substrate, a 96-well plate assay was developed, in which the reactions were performed in a final volume of 50  $\mu$ l of 5 mM pNPG, 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 7.2, for 20 min at 37°C. An amount of 125 µg of protein from S. pombe total cell extracts or microsomal fractions, or  $0.5 \mu g$  of pure rat GII was used. Reactions were stopped by the addition of 20 μl of 10% SDS and 50 μl of 2 M Tris base. Hydrolysis was quantified by measuring absorbance at 405 nm in a multimode detector DTX 880 (Beckman Coulter, Fullerton, CA). We determined the  $K_{\rm m}$  value for the S. pombe GII for pNPG to be ~4 mM. GII activity in yeast microsomes as well as that of purified rat liver GII toward labeled glycans was measured by incubating the indicated concentrations of protein with substrates in 40 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2, for 10 or 15 min at 30°C. In this case, 125 μg of S. pombe microsomal fraction proteins, or 0.1  $\mu$ g of pure rat GII were used. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 50  $\mu$ l of methanol, incubated for 5 min at 60°C, centrifuged for 5 min at  $15,000 \times g$ , and the cleaved Glc contained in the supernatant was separated from the remaining substrate by ascending paper chromatography using 2-propanol:acetic acid:H2O (25:4:9) as solvent and small (2.5 × 12 cm) Whatman 1 paper strips. Activity represents the percentage of total glucose released. When only the Glc residue in glycans was labeled (G1M9 and G1M4-5), 100% radioactivity corresponds to total label. For glucose- and mannose-labeled glycans (G2M9 and G2M4-5), 100% radioactivity corresponds to total label in Glc units as determined by strong acid hydrolysis of glycans followed by paper chromatography in n-butanol: pyridine:water (10:3:3). Based on the specific radioactivity of UDP-[14C]Glc (300 Ci/mol) used for G1M9 synthesis it was calculated that the concentration of this glycan used in the assays was 0.06 µM. The concentration of G2M9 used in the assay was 10  $\mu M$  as determined by carbohydrate chemical assays. These values are below the K<sub>m</sub> values of GII for glycans ( $\sim$ 80  $\mu$ M for mammalian GII).

# Antibodies and Immunodetection

Microsomal S. pombe proteins (250  $\mu$ g) were electroblotted to Immobilon P membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA) after 9% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE), and incubated with mouse anti S. pombe GII $\alpha$  or rabbit anti-CNX antibodies. Immunodetection was carried out using enhanced chemiluminescence (West Pico SuperSignal Chemiluminescent Substrate; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated immunoglobulin Gs (IgGs) (Sigma-Aldrich). Mouse polyclonal serum raised against S. pombe GII $\alpha$  protein was obtained as follows: A 1030-base pair DNA of GII $\alpha$  DNA fragment was PCR amplified from genomic DNA by using primers GII $\alpha$ -Nde1



**Figure 2.** GII β is not necessary for GIIα activity toward pNPG. GII activity was measured in total cell extracts and in microsomal fractions. We incubated 125  $\mu$ g of either total *S. pombe* cell extract (A) or microsomal proteins (B) of wild-type,  $\Delta$ GIIα, or  $\Delta$ GIIβ *S. pombe* cells with 5 mM pNPG for 20 min at 37°C. The reactions were stopped with 20  $\mu$ l of 10% SDS and 50  $\mu$ l of 2 M Tris base. Absorbance was measured at 405 nm.

forward 5'-GAATTCCCCATATGCAAGCTCATAAACC-3' and GIIα-Xho1 reverse 5'-CGGCGGCTCGAGTTCAGCGTCAGGGTTGCC-3', cloned in pET22b+ and expressed as a C-terminal His<sub>6</sub> fusion protein in Escherichia coli BL26 cells. After induction for 4 h with 1 mM isopropyl  $\beta$ -D-thiogalactoside, the protein was purified using an immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography chelating Sepharose (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St. Giles, Buckinghamshire, United Kingdom). Then, 7.5  $\mu$ g of protein was injected intradermically to BALb/C mice, and two boosters of 5  $\mu g$  were given after 15 and 30 d. The sera of 10 mice were analyzed for specificity, pooled, and used at a 1:500 dilution as a primary antibody for S. pombe GII $\alpha$  immunodetection. For CNX rabbit polyclonal antibody production a soluble S. pombe CNX protein (without signal peptide and transmembrane fragment) was cloned, expressed, and purified as described above using primers CNXsNdeI 5'-GGAATTCCATATGCCTGAATCCGAA-CAAGAACC-3' and CNXaXhoI 5'-TCCGCTCGAGCTCGATGATGGTTTCAA-ACTAG-3'. A rabbit immunization was done with 100 µg of recombinant Cnx1p, and three boosts of 50  $\mu$ g each were performed after 2, 4, and 6 wk as described previously (Jannatipour et al., 1998). Total rabbit serum was obtained and used at a 1:100,000 dilution.

# Confocal Microscopy

Images were obtained using an LSM510 Meta confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) with a plan Apochromat  $63\times/1.4$  objective. Images were acquired with LSM software (Carl Zeiss).

# GIIB Mutagenesis

The gateway pDONR201 plasmid containing clone 26/D11 (S. pombe GII $\beta$  subunit, SPCC825.02) was obtained from RIKEN DNA Bank (Matsuyama et al., 2006). GIIβ DNA mutants containing a single amino acid substitution (Y463F, E457Q, R438K, and Q408E) were generated by PCR using the above-mentioned plasmid as a template. The amplified sequence was phosphorylated, religated, and transferred into JA226 cells. Primers were as follows (mutagenic codons are underlined): Y463F forward mutagenic primer 5'-GAGTTTTTAATCAAAAT-GAAGAGC-3', reverse primer 5'-ACATTTCTGAGCCTCCAGTAC-3'; E457Q forward mutagenic primer 5'-CAGGCTCAGAAATGTGAG-3', reverse primer 5'-CAGTACACTAACAATCTC-3'; R438K forward mutagenic primer 5'-AAA-TCTGCCATTGTTACTG-3', reverse primer 5'-ATGAGGACCATTCCAGCAAC-3'; and Q408E forward mutagenic primer 5'-GAAGATTCCATTCTATTAGG-3', reverse primer 5'-AAAAACATTTTCGTAAAACACC-3'. A double mutant was also constructed using the following primers: Y463F/E457Q forward mutagenic primer 5'-GTGTACTGCAGGCTCAGAAATGTGAGTTTTTAATCAAAATG-3' and Y463F/E457Q reverse primer 5'-CATTTTGATTAAAAACTCACATTTCT-GAGCCTGCAGTACAC-3'. Wild-type and mutated GII $\beta$  DNA clones were transferred to the pREP1-ccdb2 and pDUAL-YFH1c-ccdb2 Gateway-compatible S. pombe destination expression vectors (RIKEN) by the LR recombination reaction (Invitrogen). It should be noted that 10 amino acids beyond the VDEL sequence were present at the C terminus of GII $\beta$  in the above-named constructions. S. pombe-competent  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells were electroporated with either 3  $\mu l$  of the pREP1-GII $\beta$  episomal constructs or with 10  $\mu$ l of dialyzed Notl-digested pDUAL-GIIβ-YFH1c integrating clones as described previously (Matsuyama et al., 2006). Correct plasmid integration was confirmed by PCR.

## Rat Liver GII Purification and Proteolysis of GIIB Subunit

GII purification was performed as described previously (Trombetta et~al.,~1996). Purified rat GII $\beta$  was proteolyzed as described previously (Trombetta et~al.,~2001), with minor modifications. In brief,  $10~\mu g$  of GII holoenzyme protein was incubated for 5 min at  $25^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$  with chymotrypsin at a protease:GII ratio of 1:500 in 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The reaction was stopped by the

addition of 1 mM PMSF. As controls, no protease or PMSF alone was added. Proteolysis was monitored by 9% SDS-PAGE.

### **RESULTS**

# GIIB Is Not Required for GIIa Activity toward pNPG

As reported previously, S. pombe GII is composed of two subunits GIIα (geneID SPAC1002.03c) and GIIβ (geneID SPCC825.02) (D'Alessio et al., 1999). Disruption of the former  $(\Delta GII\alpha \text{ cells})$  resulted in a total loss of activity as assayed both in vivo and in vitro, whereas formation of small amounts of G1M9 was detected in vivo in cells carrying a disrupted GII $\beta$ -encoding gene ( $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells). It was assumed then that  $GII\alpha$  in transit through the ER was responsible for the low activity detected. However, only partial misfolding of the catalytic subunit could not be discarded. Mammalian  $GII\alpha$  could not be expressed in an active and soluble conformation in COS cells or in a baculovirus system without simultaneously coexpressing GIIB (Pelletier et al., 2000; Treml et al., 2000). In contrast, Feng et al. (2004) succeeded in expressing an active GII $\alpha$  alone, but coexpression of GII $\beta$ resulted in an increase of GII activity. It was later assumed that GII $\beta$  participated in heterodimer maturation but not in GII activity as GIIB could be specifically proteolyzed without affecting the enzymatic activity (Trombetta et al., 2001).

To study whether S. pombe GII $\beta$  was involved in GII $\alpha$ folding, we assayed GII activity in microsomes and in total cell extracts from wild-type,  $\Delta GII\alpha$ , and  $\Delta GII\beta$  knockout mutants. As depicted in Figure 2, A and B,  $\Delta GII\alpha$  cells showed a nearly undetectable activity both in cell extracts and in microsomes, whereas cells lacking GII $\beta$  displayed a high activity in the first case and a very low activity in the second case. Experimental conditions used ensured that the activity detected was essentially that of GII because 1) the substrate used (pNPG) is not hydrolyzed by GI; 2) the activity was totally abolished upon addition of 5 mM NMDNJ, a GII activity inhibitor; 3) similar results were obtained when assays were conducted under conditions in which vacuolar (lysosomal) glycosidases are inactive and/or unstable (pH 8.0) (Trombetta and Parodi, 2005); and finally, 4) almost no activity was detected in total extracts or microsomes of  $\Delta GII\alpha$  cells. It may be concluded, therefore, that GII $\beta$  is not required for GII $\alpha$  folding or maturation to an active conformation. However, GII activity is significantly reduced in the microsomal fraction of  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells (Figure 2B), suggesting that GII $\beta$  is involved in ER localization of GII $\alpha$ . A rather unexpected result was the increase in GII $\alpha$ activity observed in total extracts of  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells. We have no explanation for this result and are currently studying it.

Vol. 20, September 1, 2009 3977

#### GIIB VDEL Retention Signal Participates in GII ER Retention

To gain further insight on the role of GII $\beta$  in GII $\alpha$  ER localization, we expressed GII $\alpha$  with (GII $\alpha$ VDEL) or without (GII $\alpha$ ) the GII $\beta$  ER retention/retrieval sequence (VDEL) at their C termini in  $\Delta$ GII $\alpha$  and in GII $\alpha$ /GII $\beta$  minus double knockout mutants ( $\Delta$ GII $\alpha$  $\beta$ ). We used the expression vector pREP3x that drives the expression under the control of the nmt promoter, which is repressed in the presence of thiamine. Because total absence of thiamine resulted in a very high expression level that resulted toxic for cell growth, we determined that a 0.5  $\mu$ M thiamine concentration was optimal for expression levels compatible with normal cell growth. This concentration resulted also in similar expression levels for all transforming plasmids used (data not shown).

Similar activity levels were observed in microsomes of  $\Delta GII\alpha$  cells (with endogenous  $GII\beta$ ) expressing exogenous GII $\alpha$  or GII $\alpha$ VDEL (Figure 3A). Alternatively, although lower activity levels were observed in  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$  cells expressing both GII $\alpha$  forms, a higher activity was detected in the case of GIIαVDEL (Figure 3, A and C) reaching levels similar to those observed in wild type cells. In all cases GII activity was measured using pNPG as substrate. No activity was detected when measured in the presence of NMDNJ (data not shown). GII activity observed on transformation with the different constructions roughly correlated with the GII $\alpha$ protein levels present in microsomes as shown by Western blot analysis (Figure 3B). The reaction kinetics of GII toward pNPG of both GII $\alpha$  and GII $\alpha$ -VDEL expressed in  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$ cells is displayed in Figure 3C, the reaction being faster for the latter. It may be concluded that GII $\beta$  subunit participates in the ER subcellular localization of GII by means of its VDEL retention motif as its addition to GII $\alpha$  improves the ER retention of the catalytic subunit.

# GIIB Is Required for an Efficient In Vitro Glucose Trimming from G2M9 and G1M9

It was reported that in *S. cerevisiae* GIIβ is required for G1M9 trimming but not for that of G2M9 (Wilkinson et al., 2006). Our next aim was to determine the role of GII $\beta$  on the trimming of physiological substrates by S. pombe  $GII\alpha$ . Microsomes from the same cells used in experiments shown in Figure 3A were now assayed with labeled G2M9 and G1M9 as substrates (Figure 4A). Although pNPG was efficiently cleaved when microsomes derived from  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$  cells expressing GIIαVDEL were used as enzyme source, no activity toward G2M9 and G1M9 was detected (compare Figures 3A and 4A). These results clearly demonstrate that  $GII\beta$  is required in vitro for the N-glycan first and second Glc cleavages but that it is not necessary to hydrolyze the small analogue pNPG. To further confirm that GII $\beta$  assists GII $\alpha$  in glucose trimming from N-glycans, we incubated G1M9 with microsomes from  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$  cells transformed with the GII $\alpha$ VDEL construction, with microsomes from  $\Delta$ GII $\alpha$  cells that contain endogenous GII $\beta$  subunit or with a mixture of both preincubated in the presence of 1% Triton X-100. As depicted in Figure 4B, a substantially higher G1M9 hydrolysis was observed with the microsomal mixture, showing that GII $\beta$  corrects GII $\alpha$  inability to efficiently trim *N*-glycans.

# GIIB Is Necessary for In Vivo Processing of Both Middle and Innermost Glucoses

To check whether also in vivo GII $\beta$  was required for an efficient conversion of G2M9 to G1M9 and from the latter to M9 we incubated live cells with [14C]Glc for 15 min in the presence of DTT. As reported previously, within the time




Figure 3. GII β VDEL retention signal participates in GII ER localization. (A and C) GII activity was measured by incubating pNPG for 20 min at 37°C with 125  $\mu g$  of microsomal proteins of wild-type,  $\Delta GII \alpha$ ,  $\Delta GII \beta$ , or  $\Delta GII \alpha \beta S$ . pombe mutant cells transformed with vector pREP3X alone or with cloned wild-type GIIα or with the same but having a C-terminal-fused VDEL (GIIαVDEL). (B) Immunodetection of GIIα in microsomal fractions. We loaded 250  $\mu g$  of microsomal proteins in each lane. The membrane was blotted using mouse polyclonal anti GIIα subunit (1:500) and rabbit polyclonal anti CNX (1:100000) primary antibodies obtained as described under Materials and Methods. Goat horseradish peroxidase anti-mouse or rabbit IgG (1:5000 and 1:30,000, respectively) were used as secondary antibodies. Reactions were detected by chemiluminescence.

periods of the experiment, the drug impedes disulfide bond formation, thereby preventing exit of glycoproteins from the ER to the Golgi (Fernández et al., 1998). The 15-min sample from wild-type cells revealed the presence of M9 only, showing that total deglucosylation is extremely rapid in S. pombe (Figure 5A). On the contrary, the 15-min samples produced by either  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells expressing endogenous  $GII\alpha$ or  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$  double mutants expressing  $GII\alpha VDEL$  showed a limited conversion of G2M9 to G1M9 (Figure 5, B and D). We then added CHX to inhibit protein synthesis and therefore further N-glycosylation and incubated cells for additional 30 min. The chase samples from both cell types showed the conversion of G1M9 to M9 (Figure 5, C and E). These patterns reveal that  $GII\alpha$  is indeed able to degrade G2M9 and G1M9, in apparent contradiction with results obtained in vitro. The extremely low rates of deglucosylation observed cannot be ascribed to a lower GII $\alpha$  content




Figure 4. GIIβ is required for an efficient in vitro G2M9 and G1M9 trimming. (A) We incubated 125 μg of microsomal fraction proteins from indicated cells with 6000 cpm of G2M9 or 2000 cpm of G1M9 (see *Materials and Methods* for percentages of labeled Glc in each substrate) for 15 min at 30°C in 40 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.2. Reactions were stopped and cleaved glucoses were separated on paper chromatography. (B) Complementation between GIIα and GIIβ. We incubated 125 μg of proteins from microsomes containing only GIIβ (ΔGIIα mutants) or 125 μg of proteins from microsomes containing only GIIα (ΔGIIαβ cells transformed with pGIIαVDEL) or the mixture of both (Mix:  $\Delta$ GIIα mutants +  $\Delta$ GIIαβ cells transformed with pGIIαVDEL) for 30 min at 4°C in 0.1 M HEPES buffer, pH 7.2, 5 mM EDTA, and 1% Triton X-100, and then assayed for GII activity by using 2000 cpm of [14C-glucose]G1M9 as described in Figure 4A.

because experiments shown in Figure 3A revealed that the rate of pNPG degradation was similar in microsomes derived from wild-type and GII $\alpha$ VDEL-expressing cells. Furthermore, patterns depicted in Figure 5, C and E, indicate that G2M9 and G1M9 were deglucosylated by GII $\alpha$  at roughly the same rates: a preferential G2M9 deglucosylation would have yielded a G1M9 proportion much higher than that of M9, whereas a preferential second cleavage would have resulted in the opposite proportion of glycans. The roughly similar deglucosylation rates of both substrates agrees with previous results obtained in cell free assays containing protein concentrations resembling those occurring within the ER lumen (Totani *et al.*, 2008). Evidence that GII $\beta$  was responsible for accelerating of both deglucosylation reactions was provided by the fact that expression of

exogenous  $GII\beta$  in  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells restored the rapid deglucosylation rates of both substrates (Figure 5, F and G).

# The Mannose 6-Phosphate (man 6-P) Receptor Homologous (MRH) Domain in GIIB Is Involved in Glycan Hydrolysis Rate Enhancement


The GIIB C-terminal region has a domain highly homologous to the MRH lectin domain of the mammalian man 6-P receptor, involved in the transport of glycoprotein enzymes from the Golgi to lysosomes (Munro, 2001). All amino acids responsible for interaction with the mannose residues are also present in GII $\beta$ , with the notable exception of those interacting with the phosphate (Figure 6A). The presence of the MRH domain strongly suggests that this domain might be involved in the GIIβ-mediated enhancement of G2M9 and G1M9 hydrolysis. Reported work on the bovine man 6-P receptor showed that the following four amino acids were essential for binding the sugar moiety: Q66, R111, E133, and Y143 (Olson et al., 1999; Sun et al., 2005). In the alignment shown in Figure 6A, S. pombe GIIβ residues R438, E457, and Y463 but not the Q align perfectly with identical residues in the four proteins shown. We mutated Y463F, E457Q, and R438K and also Q408E, because it was the only Q present in the upstream vicinity of the other three residues. Wild-type GIIβ and subunits bearing individual or a double (Y463F-E457Q) mutation were expressed in a  $\Delta GII\beta$  strain. Expressed wild-type but not GIIβ mutant subunits corrected the defect of the ΔGIIβ strain in G2M9 and G1M9 deglucosylation (Figure 6B). However, all GII mutant heterodimers were capable of hydrolyzing pNPG at an optimal rate. Wild-type GIIβ and the R438K mutant both fused to yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) showed an ER subcellular localization by fluorescent confocal microscopy when expressed in  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells (Figure 6C). These results demonstrate first that the GII $\beta$  MRH domain is responsible for GII $\alpha$ efficient trimming of its physiological substrates but not of the substrate analogue pNPG; and finally, that the GII $\beta$ MRH domain is neither responsible of the  $GII\alpha$ – $GII\beta$  interaction nor of GII ER localization.

To check whether the GII $\beta$  MRH domain was also required for an efficient in vivo deglucosylation of G2M9 and G1M9 we expressed Y463F and E457Q GII $\beta$  mutants in  $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells and pulsed-chased them for 15 and 30 min as above. Results obtained with the first mutant (the other one gave almost identical results) are depicted in Figure 6, D and E. The 15-min pulse sample showed the presence of G2M9, G1M9, and a shoulder at the position of M9. This last compound was a major compound after the 30-min chase. Comparison of Figures 6, D and E, and 5A confirmed that a functional MRH domain in GII $\beta$  was required in vivo for an efficient total deglucosylation of G2M9.

To study the possibility that the MRH domain might be interacting with mannose units in the N-glycans we incubated microsomes derived from wild-type or  $\Delta \text{GII}\alpha\beta$  cells expressing  $\text{GII}\alpha\text{VDEL}$  with either G2M4-5 or G1M4-5, that is, with glycans in which an  $\alpha$ -mannosidase treatment had removed mannoses in B and C arms (see Figure 1 for identification of glycan arms). As shown in Figure 6F, removal of mannose units drastically decreased glycan trimming rates, the same as mutating the MRH domain. These results strongly suggest that  $\text{GII}\beta$  recognizes mannoses in those arms and not in arm A.

# Mammalian GIIβ Is Required for an Efficient Trimming of Both Internal Glucoses


To analyze whether also in mammalian cells the GII $\beta$  subunit assists GII $\alpha$  in *N*-glycan trimming, purified mammalian



**Figure 5.** GIIβ is required for an efficient in vivo G2M9 and G1M9 trimming. *S. pombe* wild type (A),  $\Delta$ GIIβ mutant cells expressing endogenous GIIα (B and C),  $\Delta$ GIIαβ mutants expressing  $\Delta$ GIIα/DEL (D and E), or  $\Delta$ GIIβ mutants expressing exogenous GIIβ (F) or YFP-fused GIIβ (G) were incubated for 15 min with [14C]Glc (A, B, D, F, and G), chased with CHX and further incubated for 30 min (D and E). Migration of standards is indicated.

GII heterodimer was subjected to regulated proteolysis with chymotrypsin. GII has a highly asymmetric structure: although GII $\alpha$  has a globular shape, GII $\beta$  is not globular and extended (Trombetta *et al.*, 2001). This makes GII $\beta$  much more sensitive to protease treatment than GII $\alpha$ . As communicated by Trombetta *et al.* (2001), controlled proteolysis of pure rat liver GII leads to a preferential degradation of GII $\beta$  that, at least in its first stages, does not affect glucose removal from pNPG. We repeated the experiment, confirmed

the partial degradation of GII $\beta$  but not of GII $\alpha$  by SDS-PAGE (Figure 7A, inset) and compared pNPG hydrolysis rate by native or proteolyzed preparations. As depicted in Figure 7A the activity toward pNPG was not modified by the treatment. In contrast, GII $\beta$  proteolysis resulted in a decrease of enzymatic activity when G2M9 and G1M9 were used as substrates (Figure 7B). Results presented show that, as in *S. pombe*, mammalian GII $\beta$  subunit also modulates G2M9 and G1M9 trimming.



Vol. 20, September 1, 2009 3981




Figure 7. Proteolysis of rat liver GII $\beta$  decreases G2M9 and G1M9 trimming rates. Ten micrograms of rat liver purified GII was proteolyzed with chymotrypsin at a 1/500 ratio for 5 min at 25°C. Reactions were stopped with 1 mM PMSF, and partial GII $\beta$  specific proteolysis was checked by 9% SDS-PAGE (inset). (A) pNPG hydrolysis by purified native and partially proteolyzed rat liver GII was measured at indicated times. (B) GII activity toward pNPG, G1M9 and G2M9 was measured with 0.5  $\mu$ g (pNPG) or 0.1  $\mu$ g (N-glycans) of protein from native or proteolyzed preparations. Activity in chymotrypsin treated samples (GII + Chym + PMSF) or PMSF control samples (GII + PMSF) are referred relative to the activity of untreated GII.

**Figure 6. (cont)** Involvement of the GIIβ MRH domain in *N*-glycan trimming. (A) Sequence alignment of MRH domains from  $GII\beta$ from S. pombe, S. cerevisiae (NP\_010507), rat (NP\_001100276), and from the bovine 46-kDa mannose 6-P receptor (NP\_786973). Identical amino acids are boxed in black. Dots indicate amino acids mutated in *S. pombe* GII $\beta$ . (B) Microsomes from *S. pombe*  $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells, transformed with pREP1 in which wild-type GII $\beta$  or the same subunit displaying indicated mutations had been cloned, were incubated with pNPG, G2M9, or G1M9. Glc release was determined as described in legends to Figures 3 and 4. (C) Fluorescence confocal microscopy of  $\Delta GII\beta$  S. pombe mutants complemented with wildtype and R438K GII $\beta$  mutant coupled to YFP. Bar, 10  $\mu$ m. (D and E) In vivo N-glycan processing in  $\Delta GII\beta$  cells transformed with the Y463F GIIβ mutant. Cells were incubated for 15 min with [14C]Glc (D) and chased for 30 min after CHX addition (E). Endo H-sensitive N-glycans were isolated and run on paper chromatography. (F) GII processing of truncated glycans. Assays were conducted as indicated in Figure 4A with microsomal proteins derived from wildtype or  $\Delta GII\alpha\beta$  mutants transformed with  $GII\alpha VDEL$  and G2M9, G2M4-5, G1M9, or G1M4-5 as substrates.

# **DISCUSSION**

When the dimeric structure of GII was first reported, it was assumed that the sole role of the  $\beta$  subunit was that of providing an element (the -XDEL C-terminal sequence) for the ER localization of GII $\alpha$  (Trombetta *et al.*, 1996; D'Alessio *et al.*, 1999). Further work ascribed additional roles to GII $\beta$ , as for example in mammalian cells it was described to be required for an efficient folding of the catalytic subunit (Pelletier *et al.*, 2000; Treml *et al.*, 2000). These two roles (ER localization and efficient folding) proved not to be absolute as *S. cerevisiae* GII $\alpha$  reached an active conformation and an ER localization in the absence of GII $\beta$ . Moreover, in this yeast GII $\beta$  lacked the ER retention/retrieval sequence. Rather surprisingly, GII $\beta$  in *S. cerevisiae* proved to be required for removal of the innermost glucose unit but not that of the middle unit (Wilkinson *et al.*, 2006).

Results reported here show that in *S. pombe* GII $\beta$  is not required for GII $\alpha$  proper folding because cells completely lacking GII $\beta$  displayed a total cell GII activity when measured with pNPG as substrate, which was even higher than that found in wild-type cells (Figure 2).

Here, we show that GII $\beta$  is indeed involved in GII ER localization, because disruption of its encoding gene decreased the ER GIIα content and furthermore, VDEL addition to GII $\alpha$  C-terminus expressed in  $\Delta$ GII $\beta$  cells significantly improved the ER retention of the catalytic subunit (Figure 3). However, although the ER retention/retrieval sequence proved to partially replace GII $\beta$  role in GII $\alpha$  ER retention, it is unknown for the moment whether this element is the main determinant in GII localization. Results depicted in Figure 5, F and G, indicated that a normal glycan processing rate occurred in cells in which the GIIβ VDEL sequence was occluded by either 10 amino acids or YFP (see Materials and Methods), suggesting the presence of additional retention mechanisms besides the above mentioned sequence. It has been reported previously that either removing or occluding ER retention/retrieval sequences have similar effects on protein localization (Munro and Pelham, 1987). It may be concluded, therefore, that GII $\beta$  and its ER retention/ retrieval sequence at its C terminus certainly play a role in GII ER localization, but not an absolute role because other retention mechanisms seem to be operative as well. Moreover, cells lacking GII $\beta$  had an ER GII $\alpha$  content that varied between 20 and 50% of that found in wild-type cells in different preparations (Figures 2, 3, and 6), suggesting that the GII $\alpha$  subunit itself may bear another yet unknown ER localization signal. Redundant subcellular localization mechanisms have been described for another soluble ER-resident protein as CRT (Sönnichsen et al., 1994). In that study, two independently operating retention/retrieval mechanisms were proposed to occur: one mechanism provided retention in the ER in a Ca<sup>2+</sup>-dependent manner, whereas the other mechanism was a KDEL-based retrieval system.


The most significant finding reported here is that GII $\beta$  is an absolute requirement for efficient hydrolysis of the physiological substrates G2M9 and G1M9 but not of that of pNPG, a much smaller molecule. This proved to be valid both in vitro and in vivo (Figures 4 and 5). Results obtained when pulse-chasing intact cells showed that GII $\alpha$  alone was indeed able to cleave both glycans but at much reduced rates. This was not due to a lower GII $\alpha$  content because  $\Delta$ GII $\alpha$  $\beta$  cells expressing GII $\alpha$ VDEL, which displayed a GII $\alpha$  content similar to that of wild-type cells, also hydrolyzed substrates at reduced rates.

The old observation of Grinna and Robbins (1980) that the glycan deglucosylation rates by mammalian dimeric GII decreased upon demannosylation of G2M9 and G1M9

proved to be valid also for the *S. pombe* enzyme. That is, both removal of mannose units from the glycan B and C arms or disruption of the GIIβ-encoding gene reduced the rates of N-glycan hydrolysis. Because GIIB displays a sequence highly homologous to the bovine MRH domain in the man 6-P receptor involved in transporting glycoprotein enzymes from the Golgi to lysosomes, we tested the possibility that the efficient  $\check{\text{GII}}\alpha$  hydrolysis of glycans could  $\bar{\text{b}}\text{e}$  mediated by the interaction of the MRH domain and mannoses in arms B and/or C of the glycans. This happened to be the case because mutations in amino acids conserved in several MRH domaincontaining proteins and believed to be involved in the interaction of the man 6-P receptor with mannose units in lysosomal glycoprotein enzymes sharply decreased the GIIB enhancing capacity of G2M9 and G1M9 hydrolysis by the catalytic subunit (Figure 6). Moreover, that selective proteolysis of GIIB reduced the capacity of G2M9 and G1M9 hydrolysis but not that of pNPG by rat liver GII indicates that also in the mammalian enzyme the noncatalytic subunit is required for an efficient N-glycan processing (Figure 7).

At least two mechanisms may be envisaged for the MRH domain-mediated enhancement of N-glycan deglucosylation rate. In the first mechanism, upon binding mannose units in the B and/or C arms of the glycan, the MRH domain presents bonds to be cleaved to the catalytic site in  $GII\alpha$ (Figure 8A). This possibility is apparently at odds with the known three-dimensional structure of Glc<sub>3</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub>. As determined by NMR, the bond to be cleaved first (Glc $\alpha$ 1,3Glc) is exposed to the external face of the A arm (residues d, f, and g, Figure 1), whereas the second bond (Glc $\alpha$ 1,3Man) faces the internal side (i.e., it faces the B and C arms, residues e and h-k in Figure 1) (Petrescu et al., 1997). The need to reorient the substrate would make the mechanism suggested above highly improbable because both bonds to be cleaved lie far apart in space and cannot be conceivably be reached by the single  $GII\alpha$  catalytic site without such reorientation. However, this mechanism cannot be ruled out altogether as the reported flexibility of bonds joining mannoses c, d, f, and g (Figure 1) may allow the successive presentation of both bonds in the MRH domain-bound glycan to the catalytic site (Woods et al., 1998). It is probably the required reorientation that prevents the consecutive trimming of both residues, thereby allowing the glycoprotein to enter the CNX/CRT cycle when in the monoglucosylated form.

The second mechanism was proposed by A. Helenius and coworkers to explain the apparent mammalian GII requirement of two glycans in the same glycoprotein to efficiently perform the first cleavage (Deprez et al., 2005). According to this mechanism (Figure 8B and figure 7 in Deprez et al., 2005), binding of the GII $\beta$  MRH domain to a glycan would not result in the presentation of the glycan to the catalytic site but in a conformational change in the GIIβ subunit that in turn would modify  $GII\alpha$  structure thus activating the catalytic site. Due to the structural constraints of both bonds to be cleaved mentioned above, it was proposed that binding of the GIIβ MRH domain to a glycan would activate the first cleavage in a neighboring glycan. The second cleavage in this last glycan would be activated by the binding of its own mannoses in arms B and C to the MRH domain as the arms and the  $Glc\alpha 1,3Man$  bond would be on the same face. The necessary arm rotation in the first mechanism is replaced in the second one by the successive binding of two different glycans to the MRH domain. Nevertheless, there are exceptions and cases in which glycoproteins bearing a single N-glycan interact with CNX/CRT are known. According to the proposed second mechanism, these cases may be due to transactivation of the first cleavage by an N-glycan in dif-



**Figure 8.** Models proposed for GII $\beta$ -mediated enhancement of *N*-glycan deglucosylation. (A) On binding mannose units in the B and/or C arms of the glycan, the GII $\beta$  MRH domain presents bonds to be cleaved to the GII $\alpha$  catalytic site (star). Please note that in this model a rotation of A arm is required for both cleavages to proceed. (B) Binding of a glycan to the GII $\beta$  MRH domain would result in a conformational change in the GII $\beta$  subunit, which in turn would modify GII $\alpha$  structure thus activating the catalytic site and allowing hydrolysis of the middle Glc residue in another glycan. Cleavage of the inner Glc in this last glycan would be enhanced by the interaction of Man units in its own B and/or C arms with the MRH domain as the bond to be cleaved and the arms lie on the same face.

ferent glycoprotein molecules in the crowded ER environment or, for glycoproteins that have a rather long folding process, to a GII basal, GII  $\beta$ -independent activity. It is interesting to note that no experimental evidence for all assumptions in the proposed second mechanism were provided at that time, particularly the occurrence of two different GII activities, one of them independent (basal) and the other dependent on the presence of GII $\beta$  and the activation of N-glycan deglucosylation by the interaction of the MRH domain in GII $\beta$  and mannose units in the glycan B and/or C arms. Present work demonstrates that GII has indeed a basal activity that is revealed when pNPG is used as substrate in the absence of the GII $\beta$  subunit and that in S. pombe and mammalian cells the GII $\beta$  subunit sustains an efficient glycan processing by the interaction of its MRH domain and mannoses in the glycans.

Glycan structure in glycoproteins determines whether a glycoprotein is retained in the ER, sorted to its final normal destination or retrotranslocated to the cytosol to be degraded by proteasomes. It is, therefore, highly important to understand the underlying mechanisms that participate in glycan processing. GII $\beta$  malfunction may change ubiquitous processes within the eukaryotic cell, and this may explain why mutations in this subunit affect different cellular functions. The recent observation that GII $\beta$  is involved in neural development and RNA binding indicates that GII $\beta$  may not only be involved in quality control of glycoprotein folding but also in novel but still poorly defined cellular processes.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This article is dedicated to the memory of Annette Herscovics, an outstanding scientist and a wonderful friend. This study was supported by the Agencia Nacional de Promocion Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCYT), the University of Buenos Aires, the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, and National Institute of Health grant GM-44500. I.D.S. is a doctoral fellow of ANPCYT (Argentina); J.J.C., C.A.L., A.J.P., and C.D. are Career Investigators of the National Research Council (Argentina).

#### **REFERENCES**

- Alfa, C., Fantes, P., Hyams, J., McLeod, M., and Wabrik, E. (1993). Experiments with Fission Yeast: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Alonso, J. M., Santa-Cecilia, A., and Calvo, P. (1993). Effect of bromoconduritol on glucosidase II from rat liver. A new kinetic model for the binding and hydrolysis of the substrate. Eur. J. Biochem. 215, 37–42.
- D'Alessio, C., Caramelo, J. J., and Parodi, A. J. (2005). Absence of nucleoside diphosphatase activities in the yeast secretory pathway does not abolish nucleotide sugar-dependent protein glycosylation. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 40417–40427.
- D'Alessio, C., Fernández, F., Trombetta, E. S., and Parodi, A. J. (1999). Genetic evidence for the heterodimeric structure of glucosidase II. The effect of disrupting the subunit-encoding genes on glycoprotein folding. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 25899–25905.
- Davila, S., et al. (2004). Mutations in SEC63 cause autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease. Nat. Genet. 36, 575–577.
- Deprez, P., Gautschi, M., and Helenius, A. (2005). More than one glycan is needed for ER glucosidase  $\Pi$  to allow entry of glycoproteins into the calnexin/calreticulin cycle. Mol. Cell. 19, 183–195.
- Drenth, J. P., Martina, J. A., van de Kerkhof, R., Bonifacino, J. S., and Jansen, J. B. (2005). Polycystic liver disease is a disorder of cotranslational protein processing. Trends Mol. Med. 11, 37–42.
- Drenth, J. P., Morsche, R. H., Smink, R., Bonifacino, J. S., and Jansen, J. B. (2003). Germline mutations in PRKCSH are associated with autosomal dominant polycystic liver disease. Nat. Genet. 33, 345–347.
- Engel, J. C., and Parodi, A. J. (1985). Trypanosoma cruzi cells undergo an alteration in protein *N*-glycosylation upon differentiation. J. Biol. Chem. *260*, 10105–10110.
- Feng, J., Romaniouk, A. V., Samal, S. K., and Vijay, I. K. (2004). Processing enzyme glucosidase II: proposed catalytic residues and developmental regulation during the ontogeny of the mouse mammary gland. Glycobiology 10, 909–921
- Fernández, F., D'Alessio, C., Fanchiotti, S., and Parodi, A. J. (1998). A misfolded protein conformation is not a sufficient condition for *in vivo* glucosylation by the UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase. EMBO J. 17, 5877–5886.
- Fernández, F. S., Trombetta, S. E., Hellman, U., and Parodi, A. J. (1994). Purification to homogeneity of UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase from *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* and apparent absence of the enzyme from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 30701–30706.
- Grinna, L. S., and Robbins, P. W. (1980). Substrate specificities of rat liver microsomal glucosidases which process glycoproteins. J. Biol. Chem. 255, 2255–2258
- Hoffman, C. S., and Winston, F. (1987). A ten-minute DNA preparation from yeast efficiently releases autonomous plasmids for transformation of *Escherichia coli*. Gene. 57, 267–272.
- Hoffrogge, R., et al. (2007). 2-DE profiling of GDNF overexpression-related proteome changes in differentiating ST14A rat progenitor cells. Proteomics 7, 33–46.

- Jannatipour, M., Callejo, M., Parodi, A. J., Armstrong, J., and Rokeach, L. A. (1998). Calnexin and BiP interact with acid phosphatase independently of glucose trimming and reglucosylation in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Biochemistry 37, 17253–17261.
- Matsuyama, A., et al. (2006). ORFeome cloning and global analysis of protein localization in the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Nat. Biotechnol. 24, 841–847 [correction published in Nat. Biotechnol. (2006). 24, 1033].
- Moreno, S., Klar, A., and Nurse, P. (1991). Molecular genetic analysis of fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*. Methods Enzymol. 194, 795–823.
- Munro, S. (2001). The MRH domain suggests a shared ancestry for the mannose 6-phosphate receptors and other *N*-glycan-recognising proteins. Curr. Biol. *11*, 499–501.
- Munro, S., and Pelham, H. R. (1987). A C-terminal signal prevents secretion of luminal ER proteins. Cell 48, 899–907.
- Olson, L. J., Hancock, M. K., Dix, D., Kim, J. J., and Dahms, N. M. (1999). Mutational analysis of the binding site residues of the bovine cation-dependent mannose 6-phosphate receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 36905–36911.
- Parodi, A. J. (2000). Protein glucosylation and its role in protein folding. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 69, 69–95.
- Pelletier, M. F., Marcil, A., Sevigny, G., Jakob, C. A., Tessier, D. C., Chevet, E., Menard, R., Bergeron, J. J., and Thomas, D. Y. (2000). The heterodimeric structure of glucosidase II is required for its activity, solubility, and localization *in vivo*. Glycobiology *10*, 815–827.
- Petrescu, A. J., Butters, T. D., Reinkensmeier, G., Petrescu, S., Platt, F. M., Dwek, R. A., and Wormald, M. R. (1997). The solution NMR structure of glucosylated *N*-glycans involved in the early stages of glycoprotein biosynthesis and folding. EMBO J. *16*, 4302–4310.
- Puccia, R., Grondin, B., and Herscovics, A. (1993). Disruption of the processing alpha-mannosidase gene does not prevent outer chain synthesis in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Biochem. J. 290, 21–26.
- Sambrook, J. and Russell, D. W. (2001). Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Sönnichsen, B., Füllekrug, J., Nguyen Van, P., Diekmann, W., Robinson, D. G., and Mieskes, G. (1994). Retention and retrieval: both mechanisms cooperate to maintain calreticulin in the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Cell Sci. 107, 2705–2717.
- Soussilane, P., D'Alessio, C., Paccalet, T., Fitchette, A. C., Parodi, A. J., Williamson, R., Plasson, C., Faye, L., and Gomord, V. (2009). *N*-glycan trimming by glucosidase II is essential for *Arabidopsis* development. Glycoconj. J. 26, 597–607.
- Sun, G., Zhao, H., Kalyanaraman, B., and Dahms, N. M. (2005). Identification of residues essential for carbohydrate recognition and cation dependence of the 46-kDa mannose 6-phosphate receptor. Glycobiology *15*, 1136–1149.
- Totani, K., Ihara, Y., Matsuo, I., and Ito, Y. (2008). Effects of macromolecular crowding on glycoprotein processing enzymes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 2101–2107.
- Treml, K., Meimaroglou, D., Hentges, A., and Bause, E. (2000). The alpha- and beta-subunits are required for expression of catalytic activity in the hetero-dimeric glucosidase II complex from human liver. Glycobiology 10, 493–502.
- Trombetta, E. S., Bosch, M., and Parodi, A. J. (1989). Glucosylation of glycoproteins by mammalian, plant, fungal and trypanosomatid protozoa microsomal membranes. Biochemistry, 28, 8108–8116.
- Trombetta, E. S., Fleming, K. G., and Helenius, A. (2001). Quaternary and domain structure of glycoprotein processing glucosidase II. Biochemistry 40, 10717–10722.
- Trombetta, E. S., and Parodi, A. J. (2003). Quality control and protein folding in the secretory pathway. Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol. 19, 649–676.
- Trombetta, E. S., and Parodi, A. J. (2005). Glycoprotein reglucosylation. Methods 35, 328–337.
- Trombetta, E. S., Simons, J. F., and Helenius, A. (1996). Endoplasmic reticulum glucosidase II is composed of a catalytic subunit, conserved from yeast to mammals, and a tightly bound noncatalytic HDEL-containing subunit. J. Biol. Chem. 271, 27509–27516.
- Wilkinson, B. M., Purswani, J., and Stirling, C. J. (2006). Yeast GTB1 encodes a subunit of glucosidase II required for glycoprotein processing in the endoplasmic reticulum. J. Biol. Chem. 10, 6325–6333.
- Winzeler, E. A., et al. (1999). Functional characterization of the *S. cerevisiae* genome by gene deletion and parallel analysis. Science 285, 901–906.
- Woods, R. J., Pathiaseril, A., Wormald, M. R., Edge, C. J., and Dwek, R. A. (1998). The high degree of internal flexibility observed for an oligomannose oligosaccharide does not alter the overall topology of the molecule. Eur. J. Biochem. 258, 372–386.