Indirect tephra volume estimations using theorical models for some Chilean historical volcanic eruptions with sustained columns

J.E. Romero^{*1,2}, J.G. Viramonte³ & R. A. Scasso⁴

(1) Universidad de Atacama, Departamento de Geología. Copayapu 485, Copiapó.

(2) Proyecto Archivo Nacional de Volcanes, www.archivonacionaldevolcanes.cl

(3) INENCO – GEONORTE Universidad Nacional de Salta-CONICET, Av. Bolivia 5150–4400, Salta, Argentina

(4)IGeBA-CONICET, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Departamento de Ciencias Geológicas, Int. Guiraldes 2160, C1428EHA, Ciudad Universitaria, Pab. II, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* Presenting Author's email: jorge.romerom@alumnos.uda.cl

Introduction

During explosive volcanic eruptions, diverse pyroclastic products are discharged from an eruptive column. Those columns are divided into three zones; 1) a basal gas thrust one, 2) medial convective and 3) a top umbrella region (Sparks, 1986) as is indicated in figure 1a. In this last one, where the column is dispersed laterally and radially forming a dispersion cloud or volcanic plume, the column reaches an H_t region due its momentum (Fig.1a). There has been defined two types of eruptive columns in relation with the time between single explosions. If the time between explosions exceeds the time of ascension of a column until its H_t height, the column is thermal, and in the opposite case, if the ascension time is smaller than the separation between explosions, this column is sustained (Sparks, 1986; Carey and Bursik, 2000). A good agreement has been found in the prediction of column heights and field observations for the case of columns which extends higher than the tropopause (Settle, 1978; Wilson et al, 1978; Sparks and Wilson, 1982; Sparks, 1986). Several recent and historical eruptions in Chile have shown those kind of eruptive columns, with VEI values greater than 3 (VEI; Newhall & Self, 1989) producing wide tephra deposits (Fig. 1b) and causing important disruptions, mainly in Argentinean, but also in Chilean communities.



Fig. 1; a) Model of eruptive column. **b)** Isopach maps of some historical eruptions in Chile, affecting Argentina. Modified from Viramonte et al. (1994) Hildreth and Drake (1992), Romero et al. (*in prep.*), Alfano et al. (2011) and Scasso et al., (1994).

Actually, the estimation of the volumes of fallout deposits gave us the possibility to determine a series of eruptive parameters, which are also accepted to classify the magnitude and intensity of those eruptions. If most of volumes are determined by field methods, other indirect (theorical) calculations on tephra fall deposit are based in

complex physical characteristics of the eruptive column and plume dynamics and could help us to determine those characteristics. In the present investigation we obtained two new tephra volumes for recent and historical eruptions in Chile and we also compare some of them with well studied cases.

Some historical cases of moderate-to-large eruptions in Chile studied at present

The second and third largest historical eruptions recorded in the Andes where both Plinian, and took place in Chile (Tilling, 2009), from Quizapu (1932) and Hudson (1991) volcanoes, respectively, and where only surpassed by the 1600 Huaynaputina (Peru) event. Quizapu 1932 eruption lasted 18 hours and its plinian column reached 30km and emitted a 4,05km³ DRE volume (Hildreth and Drake, 1991). In the case of the Hudson 1991 eruption, after four eruptive stages, with columns varying from 13 to 25km height and a variable duration of each phases, the total DRE volume was calculated in 2,74km³ (Scasso et al., 1994; others) Also, other smaller (but moderate, VEI \geq 3) eruptions have occurred in Chile, as example of Caulle (1921-22; 1960), Calbuco (1961), Lascar (1993), Chaitén (2008) and Caulle (2011) which generated wide ash fallout deposits. About the 1921 eruption of Cordón Caulle volcano, there is a very poor register of the event, and is not possible to reconstruct its chronology, and also the Calbuco 1961 and Lascar 1993 events doesn't have a calculated DRE volume of ejecta. Considering those (VEI \geq 3) eruptions occurred between 1921 and 2011, the cumulative bulk volume tephra is near to ~21,5km³ and represents 8,3 km³ DRE.

Sustained eruptive columns models

One way to determine the volume discharge rate and mass eruptive rate from sustained eruptive columns is to record the column height of an eruption with sustained plume from a single vent. This relation was widely studied by Wilson et al (1978) and also by Sparks et al (1997). The maximum height, Ht, depends of the thermal flux at the vent (which is the most important factor and is related to the mass discharge rate of magma and its thermal content), the stratification and moisture content of the atmosphere, and the volatile content of the magma (Carey and Bursik, 2000). Expressions are in Table 1.

Туре	Sparks et al. (1997)	Mastin et al. (2009)	Solved
Volume	$H_{t}=1,67Q^{0,259}(1)$	$H_t = 2Q^{0,241}(2)$	$Q = H_t / 1.67^{-1/0.259} (3)$ $Q = H_t / 2^{1/0.241} (4)$
Mass	$H = 0.220 \mathrm{M}^{0.259}(5)$	$H = 0.304 \mathrm{M}^{0.241}$ (6)	$M = H /0,220 {}^{1/0,259} (7)$ $M = H/0,304 {}^{1/0,241} (8)$

Table 1: Expressions used to obtain the indirect volumes.

where Ht and H are the maximum plume height in km, above the vent, and Q is the DRE volume discharge rate of magma in m^3/s . The constants are related to the stratification of the atmosphere. If we solve this equation for volumetric discharge rate, we can obtain the expressions 3 and 4, in the respective cases. Also, two other expressions have been used for Mass Discharge Rates (5,6) where M is the mass discharge rate in kg/s. The equations 7 and 8 are solved for mass eruption rates.

Results and Discussion

With the application of the last formulas and using the data exposed by various authors about the chronology and characteristics of eruptive events, we have simulated the Volume Discharge Rates (VDR) and Mass Eruption Rates (MER) for seven historical eruptions of VEI≥3 (Table 2). In the case of estimated VER values, we have assigned chronological durations of sustained columns of specific heights, which have been used for a DRE volume deposit prediction, also compared with real estimations reported by

field methods. The accuracy of results is between 92,3 and 97,7% in comparison with field measurements, with exception of Chaitén volcano eruption (2008) which is 70% of error and has not been considered as a acceptable result. This could be reflecting a deficiency in the chronology recording of the activity and also, a high-gas poor-solid proportion in the eruptive column. Those "variable" eruption durations are related with discrete explosive pulses of plinian or subplinian type, and we considered a summation of hours of all of those pulses. In the simulation, it becomes really important to determine the real column height for accuracy of results, but also the duration of sustained columns could modify severely the final estimation, highlighting the importance of visual observation in the testing of this models, in addition to other remote sensing tools as satellite observations.

Eruptive event		Source parameters		Eruption parameters (Predicted)		Deposit (Predicted)	Deposit (observed)	Error	Deposit Refer- ence
Volcano	Date	Column height [km]	Eruption Duration [h]	VDR [m³/s]	MER [kg/s]	DRE Volume [km³]	DRE Volume [km³]	%	
Quizapú*	10-04-1932	29	18	6,10E+04	1,50E+08	3,96	4,05	2,273	1
Caulle●	24-05-1960	9	240	5,00E+02	8,00E+05	0,27	0,25	7,407	2
Calbuco	10-03-1961	12	11,5	1,6-2E+03	2-5E+06	0,07			7
Hudson*	12/15-08-1991	13-25	Variable	0,4-4E04	0,06- 1E+08	2,9	2,74	5,517	3,6
Láscar*	19-04-1993	20	12	0,3-1E+03	1-3E+06	0,09			
Chaitén●	08-05-2008	15-22	Variable	2,00E+04	6,00E+07	1	0,3	70	4
Caulle●	04-06-2011	13,5	27	2,70E+03	4,30E+06	0,27	0,28	3,704	5

Table 2: Results obtained from indirect calculations.*Obtained from Sparks et al. (1997) expression. • Obtained from Mastin et al. (2009) method. **1.**- Hildreth & Drake, 1991; **2.**- Lara et al., 2006; **3.**- Scasso et al, 1994; **4.**- Major & Lara (2013); **5.**- Romero et al, *in prep.* **6.**-Kratzmann et al. (2010) 7.- Petit-Breuilh (1999).

From the tested eruptions, 3 of them show more accurate calculations using the Sparks et al., (1997) expression, showing higher eruptive columns (\geq 20km), and the last 4 eruptions (columns <20km) show better results with Mastin et al., (2009) method. Finally, a new expression could be obtained from the tested eruptions and the real measurements, as follows:

 $H_t=1,986Q^{0,240}(9); H=0,474M^{0,286}(10)$

Where the column height is given by the Volume Discharge Rate (DRE) as Q, and the Mass Eruption Rate (MER) as M. If those formulas are more accurate to our data, this last one is reduced. By this reason, is probable that better results in wide samplings could be obtained from the Sparks et al. (1997) and Mastin et al. (2009) expressions. Finally, this short investigation has contributed to determine two new DRE tephra volumes for the Calbuco (1961) and Lascar (1993) eruptions, with 0,07 and 0,09 km³ discharged respectively.

Acknowledgements

To the Universidad de Atacama by supporting the presentation of this project in this current congress. Also, Drs. Steve Sparks, Robert Hildreth, Sebastian Watt and Felipe Aguilera have cooperated us with bibliography.

References

Carey S., Bursik M. (2000). Volcanic Plumes. Encyclopedia of Volcanoes. *Geochem Geophy Geosyst* 8(3), Q0301

Ewert, J.W., Neri, A., Rose, W.I., Schneider, D., Siebert, L., Stunder, B., Swanson, G., Tupper, A., Volentik, A., and Waythomas, C.F. (2009), A multidisciplinary effort to assign realistic source parameters to models of volcanic ash-cloud transport and dispersion during eruptions, *J Volcanol Geoth Res* 186(1-2), 10–21

Hildreth, W., Drake, R. (1992) Volcán Quizapu, Chilean Andes. *Bull of Volcanol* 54, 93-125.

Kratzmann D.J., Carey S.N, Fero J., Scasso, R.A., Naranjo J.A. (2010) Simulations of tephra dispersal from the 1991explosive eruptions of Hudson volcano, Chile *J Volcanol Geoth Res 190: 337-352*.

Lara, L.E., Moreno, H., Naranjo, J. A., Matthews, S., Pérez de Arce, C. (2006) Magmatic evolution of the Puyehue-Cordón Caulle Volcanic Complex (40° S), Southern Andean Volcanic Zone: From shield to unusual rhyodacitic fissure volcanism. *J Volcanol Geoth Res* 157: 343-366

Major, J.J. and Lara, L.E. (2013) Overview of Chaiten volcano, Chile, and its 2008-2009 eruption, *Andean Geology* 40 (2), 196-215.

Mastin, L.G., M. Guffanti, R. Servranckx, P. Webley, S. Barsotti, K. Dean, A. Durant,

Newhall, C., Self, S. (1982) The volcanic explosivity index (VEI): An estimate of explosive magnitude for historical volcanism. *J Geophys Res*, 87: 1231-1238.

Petit-Breuilh S., M.E. (1999) Cronología eruptiva histórica de los volcanes Osorno y Calbuco, Andes del Sur 41°-41°30' S. *Sernageomin* 46 p.

Scasso, R.A., H. Corbella and P. Tiberi, 1994. Sedimentological analysis of the tephra from the 12-15 August 1991 eruption of Hudson volcano. *Bull of Volcanol* 56:121-132. Settle, M. (1978) Volcanic eruption clouds and thermal power output of explosive

eruptions. *J Volcanol Geotherm Res* 3 : 309-324 Sparks R. S. J. (1986). The dimensions and dynamics of volcanic eruption columns, *Bull Volcanol* 48, pp. 3-15

Sparks RSJ, Wilson L (1982) Explosive Volcanic Eruptions - V. Observations of plume dynamics during the 1979 Soufriere eruption, St Vincent. *Geophys JR Astr Soc* 69:551-570.

Sparks, R. S. J., Bursik, M. I., Carey, S. N., Gilbert, J. S., Glaze, L. S., Sigurdsson, H., and Woods, A. W., 1997, "Volcanic Plumes", *John Wiley and Sons*, New York, 574 pp. Tilling, R.I. (2009) Volcanism and associated hazards: The Andean perspective. *Adv.*

Geosci., 22, 125-137, 2009.

Viramonte, J.G., Seggiaro, R., Becchio, R. y Petrinovic, I.A. (1994) Erupción del volcán Lascar-Chile-Andes Centrales (Abril de 1993). *IV Reunión Internacional del volcán de Colima. 149-151. Colima. México.*

Wilson L (1976) Explosive Volcanic Eruptions III. Plinian eruption columns. *Geophys JR Astr Soc* 45:543-556.

Wilson, L., R.S.J. Sparks, T.C. Huang, and N.D. Watkins (1978), The control of volcaniccolumn heights by eruption energetics and dynamics, J Geophys Res 83(B4), 1829–1836