Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202402700



# Tuning Electron-Transfer Driving Force in Photosynthetic Special Pair Models

Ivana Ramírez-Wierzbicki,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Luciano Sanchez Merlinsky,<sup>[a, b]</sup> German E. Pieslinger,<sup>[a, c, d]</sup> Sofía Domínguez,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Leonardo D. Slep,<sup>[a, b]</sup> Luis M. Baraldo,<sup>[a, b]</sup> and Alejandro Cadranel\*<sup>[a, b, e, f]</sup>

Visible-light excitation of a family of bimetallic ruthenium polypyridines with the formula  $[Ru^{II}(tpy)(bpy)(\mu-CN)Ru^{II}(py)_4L]^{n+}$  (**RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup>), where L=Cl<sup>-</sup>, NCS<sup>-</sup>, DMAP and ACN, was used to prepare photoinduced mixed-valence (PI-MV) MLCT states as models of the photosynthetic reaction center. Ultrafast transient absorption spectroscopy allowed to monitor photoinduced IVCT bands between 6000 and 11000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Mulliken spin densities resulting from DFT and (TD)DFT computations

### Introduction

In natural photosynthesis, primary charge separation affords a photoinduced mixed valence (PI-MV) system, formed by two redox-active chlorophylls from the special pair that share a single positive charge,  $[Chl_{1A} \cdot Chl_{1B}]^{\bullet+}$  (Figure 1a).<sup>[1,2]</sup> PI-MV systems are those where the mixed-valence core is created in the excited state as a result of a charge transfer process triggered by photoexcitation.<sup>[3-12]</sup> Like their ground-state mixed

[a] I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, L. Sanchez Merlinsky, G. E. Pieslinger, S. Domínguez, L. D. Slep, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Analítica y Química Física, Pabellón 2, Ciudad Universitaria, C1428EHA, Buenos Aires, Argentina E-mail: acadranel@qi.fcen.uba.ar

[b] I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, L. Sanchez Merlinsky, S. Domínguez, L. D. Slep, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel CONICET – Universidad de Buenos Aires. Instituto de Química Física de Materiales, Medio Ambiente y Energía (INQUIMAE), Pabellón 2, Ciudad Universitaria, C1428EHA, Buenos Aires, Argentina

- [c] G. E. Pieslinger CONICET – Universidad de Buenos Aires, Instituto de Química y Fisicoquímica Biológicas (IQUIFIB), Junín 956, C1113AAD, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- [d] G. E. Pieslinger Donostia International Physics Center, Paseo Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018, Donostia, Spain
- [e] A. Cadranel

Department Chemie und Pharmazie, Physikalische Chemie Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Egerlandstraße 3, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

- [f] A. Cadranel Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg (FAU), Interdisciplinary Center for Molecular Materials, Egerlandstr. 3, 91058, Erlangen, Germany
- Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.202402700
- © 2024 The Author(s). Chemistry A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

revealed the modulation of the charge density distribution depending on the ligand substitution pattern. Results are consistent with PI-MV systems ranging from non-degenerate Class II to degenerate Class III or II/III, with electronic couplings between 1000 and 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. These findings guide the control electron localization-delocalization in charge-transfer/charge-separated excited states, like those involved in the photosynthetic reaction center.

valence (GS-MV) analogs, PI-MV systems serve as models for electron transfer reactivity, but in the excited state.

In degenerate mixed-valence systems, where the diabatic potential energy surfaces (PES) minima are isoenergetic or redox-symmetric (Figure 1d), electronic coupling plays the major role in defining charge density distribution, which can be finely tuned utilizing different bridges.<sup>[13,14]</sup> In non-degenerate systems, where the diabatic PES well depths are non-equivalent (Figure 1e), the free-energy difference or redox asymmetry is crucial.<sup>[15-17]</sup> An additional key feature of PI-MV systems, which is absent in GS-MV systems, is the charge-transfer counterpart (CTC), which evolves from the same charge transfer event that creates the PI-MV core. This is decisive, since CTC can alter the free-energy difference between the diabatic PES, biasing charge density distribution, and, with this, the reactivity of the PI-MV system.<sup>[18]</sup> For example, in the photosynthetic reaction center, CTC is the separated negative charge initially located on Chl<sub>2A</sub><sup>--</sup> (Figure 1a), an auxiliary chlorophyll from the A branch that is closer to Chl<sub>1A</sub> than to Chl<sub>1B</sub>.<sup>[1]</sup> This results in the stabilization of an asymmetric distribution of the positive charge density within the PI-MV core, with the oxidizing redox equivalent mostly located on Chl<sub>1A</sub> and oriented towards a tyrosine moiety, which favors hole transfer in Photosystem II.<sup>[19]</sup> Thus, in the context of solar-to-chemical transformations, it is imperative to study and control charge density distribution within PI-MV systems as a means to achieve a desired reactivity.

Photoinduced IVCT (PI-IVCT) absorptions, monitored by means of transient absorption spectroscopy (TAS) techniques, provide valuable information about electronic coupling elements and charge density distribution in the excited state. Like for GS-MV systems, the generalized Mulliken-Hush (GMH) model<sup>[20-22]</sup> can be applied to PI-MV systems, and equations (1) and (2) can be used to calculate the electronic coupling matrix element  $H_{DA}$  for degenerate (Figure 1d) and non-degenerate (Figure 1e) systems upon integration of the PI-IVCT band.

Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202402700



**Figure 1.** Top: a) PI-MV system in the photosynthetic reaction center, including the oxidized special pair  $[Ch_{1,A}Ch_{1B}]^{+}$  with an asymmetric charge distribution and the  $Ch_{2A}^{--}$  counterpart. b) Sketches of the bimetallic complexes reported previously. c) Sketches of the bimetallic **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup> complexes studied herein. Highlighted similarities are the redox fragments (in orange) and the charge-transfer counterpart (in blue). Bottom: Degenerate (d) and non-degenerate (e) strongly-coupled Class III photoinduced mixed valence systems are simple models of MLCT states in bimetallic **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup>.

$$H_{DA} = \frac{|\mu_{DA}|}{er_{DA}} \nu_{max} \tag{1}$$

$$\mu_{DA} = \sqrt{\frac{3hc\varepsilon_0/n10}{2000\pi^2 N}} \frac{9n}{(n^2+2)^2} \int \frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{v}} d\tilde{v}$$
(2)

There,  $\mu_{DA}$  is the diabatic transition dipole moment of the PI-IVCT transition,  $v_{max}$  is the energy of the PI-IVCT band, e is the elementary charge,  $r_{DA}$  is the donor-acceptor distance, h is Planck's constant, c is the speed of light in vacuum,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the electrical permittivity of vacuum, N is Avogadro's number, n is the refractive index of the solvent and  $\varepsilon$  is the molar absorption coefficient. Considering that electronic coupling and wavefunction delocalization frequently lead to shorter charge transfer distances than the physical  $r_{DA}$ , the value of  $H_{DA}$  calculated from eq. (1) is usually an underestimation. For strongly coupled Class III systems, (1) results in simpler expressions (3) and (4) for degenerate (Figure 1d) and non-degenerate systems (Figure 1e), respectively,<sup>[23]</sup> which become useful when experimental limitations hinder the detection of the whole band envelope or extinction coefficients are difficult to determine precisely.

$$H_{DA} = \frac{\nu_{max}}{2} \tag{3}$$

$$H_{DA} < \frac{\nu_{max}}{2} \tag{4}$$

Charge transfer excited states in cyanide-bridged {Rul- $(tpy)(bpy)-M^{II}(L)_{5}$  compounds (Figure 1b), where  $\{M(L)_{5}\}$  is a non-chromophoric fragment, are excellent models of the photosynthetic PI-MV system. In these bimetallic complexes, visible light absorption populates MLCT states involving simultaneous i) one-electron oxidation of the  $\{Ru^{II}-M^{II}\}$  fragment, creating a mixed valence core that mimics the special pair of chlorophylls, and ii) one-electron reduction of the tpy ligand, creating a tpy. CTC directly attached to the Ru ion, which mimics the auxiliary chlorophyll in the photosynthetic reaction center. For example, in photoexcited  $[Ru^{I\!I}(tpy)(bpy)(\mu\text{-NC})M^{I\!I}(CN)_5]^{2-}$  with  $M\!=\!Fe^{2+},$  $Ru^{2+}$ ,  $Os^{2+}$ , the presence of the excited electron in the transiently reduced tpy<sup>•–</sup> selectively destabilized the  $d_{\pi}$  orbitals of the Ru ion directly attached to it. This resulted in an inversion of the electron-donor and acceptor roles relative to the corresponding GS-MV systems, evident from the energy shift of PI-IVCT bands upon changing the redox-asymmetry.<sup>[24-27]</sup>

In this contribution, we explore the charge density distribution within the PI-MV systems generated upon visible-light photoexcitation of  $[Ru^{II}(tpy)(bpy)(\mu-CN)Ru^{II}(py)_4L]^{n+}$  (**RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup>), where L = CI<sup>-</sup>, NCS<sup>-</sup>, DMAP and ACN (Figure 1c), using TAS and DFT/(TD)DFT calculations. The choice of L with different electron-donor or acceptor strengths allowed us to tune charge density distribution. Resulting PI-MV systems spanned from non-degenerate with mostly localized electronic configurations (Figure 1e), where the excited-state CTC imbalances the otherwise degenerate ground-state PES, to degenerate with mostly delocalized electronic configurations (Figure 1d), where the impact of CTC compensates the ground-state redox asymmetry. Research Article doi.org/10.1002/chem.202402700



Table 1. Photophysical and electrochemical data for RuRuL <sup>n+</sup> in acetonitrile at room temperature.							
L		Absorption		Emission ( $\lambda_{ex}$ = 450 nm)			
	E <sub>1/2</sub> 7 V	$d_{\pi} (Ru_{py}) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(py)  v_{max}/10^{3} cm^{-1}$	$d_{\pi}(Ru_{tb}) \rightarrow \pi^*(tpy/bpy) v_{max}/10^3 cm^{-1}$	$v_{\rm max} / 10^3  {\rm cm}^{-1}$	$\Phi_{\rm em} {\times} 10^{-3}$	$\tau_1/ns$	$\tau_2/ns$
CI-	1.60	26.6	22.8 (sh)	14.4	0.2	2.7	6.9
NCS <sup>-</sup>	1.51	27.4	22.9 (sh)	13.1	0.3	2.9	7.1
DMAP	1.60	28.3	22.2 (sh)	14.3	0.3	3.1	9.4
ACN	1.68	28.8	22.2, 23.6 (sh)	14.7	0.3	1.0	6.5
[a] Extracte	d from Ref. [28].						

The findings reported herein provide guidelines to control charge density distribution in strongly-coupled PI-MV systems, or, in other words, electron localization-delocalization in charge-transfer/charge-separated excited states. This is the first step towards a fine control of excited-state electron-transfer reactivity in multi-component artificial photosynthesis schemes.

### **Results and Discussion**

### Photophysics and Excited-State Dynamics

The UV-vis absorption of **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup> in acetonitrile was dominated by MLCT transitions,<sup>[28]</sup> and the data was summarized in Table 1. The energy of the d<sub>π</sub>(Ru<sub>L</sub>) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (py) MLCT increased in the order Cl<sup>-</sup> < NCS<sup>-</sup> < DMAP < ACN, tracking the electron-donor or acceptor character of L. The d<sub>π</sub>(Ru<sub>tb</sub>) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (tpy/bpy) MLCT transitions appeared as shoulders around 22000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, with the exception of **RuRuACN**<sup>3+</sup>, for which this transition was resolved and displayed a maximum at 22200 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The influence of L on the d<sub>π</sub>(Ru<sub>tb</sub>) $\rightarrow \pi^*$ (tpy/bpy) MLCT energy was therefore not clear from these experiments.

All compounds studied here were emissive in acetonitrile at room temperature (Figure 2). Excitation/emission maps are shown in Figures S1-S4, as well as the normalized emission spectra under 22200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (450 nm) excitation. No significant dependence of the emission maxima upon photoexcitation wavelength was observed. A correlation was found between the emission maxima and the reduction potential of the Ru couple of the {Ru(tpy)(bpy)} moiety (Table 1), consistent with a <sup>3</sup>MLCT origin mainly located on this fragment. Emission quantum yields under 22200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (450 nm) excitation were between 2×10<sup>-4</sup> and 3×10<sup>-4</sup>, similar to related compounds.<sup>[8,11]</sup> Photoluminescence lifetimes were evaluated via TCSPC measurements under the same conditions. Best fits were obtained with biexponential functions involving, in all cases, one lifetime close to 1 ns and another one close to 10 ns (Table 1 and Figures S5–S8). This reveals the presence of two emissive <sup>3</sup>MLCT states, <sup>3</sup>MLCTz and <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy, consistent with previous reports on RuRuCl<sup>2+</sup> in DMSO.<sup>[30]</sup> Both states include a tpy<sup>•-</sup> but differ in the configuration of the excited hole (vide infra).

In an attempt to observe steady-state emission from both <sup>3</sup>MLCT states, explorations at 80 K in a butyronitrile frozen glass matrix were undertaken. Franck–Condon analysis of the



Figure 2. Normalized absorption (black solid lines), excitation (dashed black lines) and emission (red solid line) spectra of **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup> in acetonitrile at room temperature.

observed emission bands was performed,<sup>[31]</sup> and good fits were obtained considering a single emitting state (Table S1 and Figures S9-S12). The resulting trend for the extracted  $E_{00}$ followed our observations at room temperature. The Huang-Rhys factor S is a measurement of the nuclear displacement between the equilibrium geometries of the ground and excited states, and small values indicate similar geometries. Therefore, its decrease along the series  $ACN > DMAP > NCS^- > CI^-$  revealed a progressive nesting of the states involved in the corresponding radiative transitions. This was a consequence of increasing hole delocalization, and was consistent with the strong delocalized character of the excited hole previously reported for  $\textbf{RuRuCl}^{2+}$  in DMSO.  $^{[30]}$  Values for  $\hbar \omega$  between 1200 and 1300 cm<sup>-1</sup>, typical for C–C and C–N stretching acceptor modes in ruthenium polypyridines,[32-34] were obtained, except for RuRuCl<sup>2+</sup> where it is slightly larger. A similar situation was observed for the resulting  $\triangle v_{1/2}$ , around 1200–1400 cm<sup>-1</sup> except for  $L = Cl^{-}$  with over 1800 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This might have resulted from



noticeable contributions from the minoritarian emissive state for  $\mbox{RuRuCl}^{2+}.$ 

To explore the nature of the emitting excited states, we performed nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy (nsTAS) measurements with vis-NIR detection and 22200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (450 nm) excitation in acetonitrile at room temperature. Figure S13 shows differential absorption spectra at selected time delays for **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup>. In all cases, three absorption features were observed. First, a negative signal around 20000 cm<sup>-1</sup> that indicated ground-state population loss. Second, a broad photoinduced absorption between 18000 and 10000 cm<sup>-1</sup> ascribed to the transiently reduced terpyridine ligand tpy^-.  $^{\scriptscriptstyle [35-37]}$  For L= NCS<sup>-</sup> and DMAP, this band overlapped with a photoinduced  $L \rightarrow Ru^{III}$  LMCT transition, also detected in the ground-state absorption spectroscopy of the one-electron oxidized forms of RuRuNCS<sup>2+</sup> and RuRuDMAP<sup>3+,[28]</sup> Third, an intense photoinduced absorption was observed in the NIR between 11000 and 6000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, that corresponded to a PI-IVCT transition.<sup>[38]</sup> Multiwavelength global analysis of the data was performed, and two exponential processes were required to adequately fit



**Figure 3.** Upper left: differential absorption 3D map obtained from nsTAS experiments on **RuRuDMAP**<sup>3+</sup> under 450 nm excitation in acetonitrile at room temperature. Upper right: time absorption profiles (open circles) and corresponding fittings from target analysis (solid lines) using a parallel two-state model. Bottom left: species-associated differential spectra of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz (red line) and <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy (blue line). Bottom right: relative concentration evolution over time.



Figure 4. Kinetic target models employed for  $RuRuL^{n+}$  (top) and orbital schemes of the excited hole for <sup>3</sup>MLCTz, <sup>3</sup>MLCTzy and <sup>3</sup>MLCTr (bottom).

the data. In each case, two nanosecond lifetimes were determined, which matched those obtained from TCSPC measurements. Next, target analysis with parallelly decaying states was conducted to extract species-associated differential spectra (Figures 3 and S14-S16). The kinetic models employed, which considered that both states decay directly to the ground state as revealed by biexponential emission decays, are displayed in Figure 4. For all four **RuRuL<sup>n+</sup>**, the speciesassociated differential spectrum of the shorter-lived excited state showed, along with the ground state bleach and the photoinduced absorptions between 18000 and 10000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, a PI-IVCT band in the NIR. The presence of the latter is a hallmark of excited-state metal-metal electronic coupling, indicating a significantly delocalized electronic configuration for the excited hole. This was a consequence of a favorable symmetry of the  $d_{\pi}$ orbitals involved along the intermetallic z axis, so these shorterlived states are labeled <sup>3</sup>MLCTz. The longest-lived excited state, on the other hand, was NIR-silent. The lack of intense PI-IVCT signatures revealed poor electronic coupling between the Ru ions, stemming from unfavorable symmetry of the  $d_{\pi}$  orbitals involved. The excited hole was therefore localized, and the longest-lived state labeled as <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy. This behavior was completely analogous to that previously reported for RuRuCl<sup>2+</sup> in DMSO.[30]

Next, to shed light onto the kinetic mechanisms that populate the emissive states, we performed femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy (fsTAS) experiments in acetonitrile at room temperature, with vis-NIR detection and under 22200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (450 nm) excitation (Figures S15–S18). Considering a 100 fs intersystem crossing,<sup>[39,40]</sup> our observation time window involving an approximate resolution of 150 fs started already with triplet populations. For RuRuCl<sup>2+</sup> and RuRuDMAP<sup>3+</sup>, it was necessary to consider three exponential processes in the global analysis of the data. Target analysis was undertaken using a model inspired in that previously utilized for RuRuCl<sup>2+</sup> in DMSO (Figure 4).<sup>[30]</sup> It consisted of three parallelly-decaying states, which are populated from the Franck-Condon states in a timescale faster than our time resolution. Two of these states decay in the nanosecond timescale. Their differential absorption profiles and lifetimes matched those obtained from nsTAS for <sup>3</sup>MLCTz and <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy. The third excited state, which decayed in hundreds of picoseconds, featured a differential absorption spectrum showing weak positive signals around 20000 cm<sup>-1</sup>, instead of a groundstate bleach (Figure S15). Additionally, the photoinduced absorption band in the NIR was absent. This revealed a rather localized configuration for the excited hole, sitting in a  $d_{\pi}$ orbital that was not involved in the  $d_{\pi}(Ru_{tb}) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(tpy/bpy)$ transition and was therefore centered at the {Ru(py)<sub>4</sub>L} fragment. This excited state with a remote electronic configuration was labeled as <sup>3</sup>MLCTr. For **RuRuNCS**<sup>2+</sup>, global analysis of the data also required three exponential processes, but target analysis (Figure S16) was performed using a different kinetic model, depicted on Figure 4. There, our observation window started with <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy and a vibrationally excited hot-<sup>3</sup>MLCTz. hot-<sup>3</sup>MLCTz underwent vibrational cooling in 8 ps, feeding a thermally relaxed <sup>3</sup>MLCTz state which decayed in 2.3 ns to the

**Research Article** doi.org/10.1002/chem.202402700



 $H_{DA}/cm^{-1}$ 

3530

< 3200

< 3240

1320<sup>[d]</sup>

Ru

0.50

0.36

0.22

0.04

ratio

0.90

1.58

3.35

22.25

Table 2. Difference between the redox potentials of the Ru<sup>III/II</sup> couples, GS-IVCT and PI-IVCT absorption data, calculated MSD and  $Ru_{th}/Ru_1$  ratios, and ground-state and excited-state electronic couplings for RuRuL<sup>n+</sup> in acetonitrile at room temperature.  $\triangle E/V^{[a]}$ PI-IVCT L GS-IVCT  $v_{max}/10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1[a]}$  $\mathsf{MSD}^{[a,b]}$ MSD<sup>[b]</sup>  $v_{max}/10^3 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  $H_{DA}/cm^{-1}$  $(\epsilon/10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1})^{[a]}$ (ɛ/10<sup>3</sup> M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) Ru<sub>tb</sub> Ru ratio Ru<sub>tb</sub> Cl 0.87 10.4 (3.9) 0.11 0.81 0.14 1590 7.0 (5.7) 0.45 NCS-0.75 9.7 (4.3) 0.10 0.70 0.14 1610 6.4 (5.2) 0.57 DMAP 0.61 8.9 (7.5) 0.11 0.73 0.15 2010 6.5 (5.2) 0.74 ACN 0.44 6.8 (9.5) 0.46 0.96 1850, 3400 7.9 (3.8),<sup>[c]</sup> 11.2 (2.7)<sup>[c]</sup> 0.89 0.48 [a] Extracted from Ref. [28]. [b] MSD exclusively on the Ru ions, without contributions from additional atoms. [c] Extracted from Gaussian deconvolution (Figure S29). [d] Calculated as the average of the H<sub>DA</sub> values obtained applying Eq. (2) to the deconvoluted Gaussian functions.

ground state. <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy decayed to the ground state in 7.1 ns. For RuRuACN<sup>3+</sup>, a simpler two-state parallel model was sufficient to fit the data (Figures 4). These states corresponded to <sup>3</sup>MLCTz and <sup>3</sup>MLCTxy, and their lifetimes and differential absorption spectra (Figure S18) agreed with those determined from nsTAS.

### PI-IVCT Bands and Charge Density Distribution of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz States

Before focusing on the mixed valence properties of **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup> in the excited state, we considered ground-state mixed valence analogs. It was shown that the one-electron oxidized forms of **RuRuL**<sup>n+</sup> show intense GS-IVCT bands (Table 2 and Figure 5).<sup>[28]</sup> The energy of those transitions was directly related to the redox difference between the metal ions, following the expected trend. DFT and (TD)DFT calculations had an excellent match with the experiment,<sup>[28]</sup> providing access to charge density distributions via Mulliken Spin Densities (MSD) (Table 2). Particularly useful was the ratio between the MSD for both Ru ions, which is a better descriptor of charge delocalization than  $H_{DA}$  or  $\alpha^2$  and correlates with the energy of the GS- and PI-IVCT bands for cyanide-bridged compounds.<sup>[41]</sup> In the ground state, the compound with L=ACN was a Class III or II/III GS-MV system, where the positive charge was equally distributed between the redox fragments despite the structural asymmetry.<sup>[28,42]</sup> This implied virtually degenerate diabatic PES minima within this GS-MV system (Figure 1d),<sup>[23]</sup> since any significant energy difference would have resulted in an unequal charge distribution despite a strong electronic coupling or Class III or II/III behavior (Figure 1e).<sup>[43,44]</sup> The electronic coupling  $H_{DA}$  was calculated using eq. (3), and a value of 3400 cm<sup>-1</sup> was obtained from the most intense GS-IVCT transition. For L=Cl<sup>-</sup>, NCS<sup>-</sup> and DMAP, the MSD ratio clearly pointed to an unequal charge distribution, where Ru<sub>L</sub> dominated and was therefore the acceptor fragment in the related GS-IVCT transitions. This was consistent with non-degenerate PES in the ground state (Figure 1e). In these cases, the broad shape of the GS-IVCT bands pointed to a Class II behavior.  $H_{DA}$  was calculated employing the GMH expressions (1) and (2), considering



Figure 5. GS-IVCT (top) and PI-IVCT (bottom) absorption bands of RuRuL<sup>n+</sup> in acetonitrile

crystallographic donor-acceptor distances of 5.1 Å.[45] Values of 1590, 1610 and 2010 cm<sup>-1</sup> were obtained for L=Cl<sup>-</sup>, NCS<sup>-</sup> and DMAP, respectively, similar to those reported for related cyanide-bridged Class II systems.<sup>[26,27,46-52]</sup>

In the MLCT excited state the picture was different. The presence of the tpy<sup>--</sup> CTC provokes an inversion of donoracceptor roles, as observed in related PI-MV systems including the {Ru(tpy)(bpy)} moiety.<sup>[24,25]</sup> In this context, PI-IVCT energies were expected to follow the trend  $L=CI^- < NCS^- < DMAP <$ ACN, in an opposite correlation with the redox difference



between the metal ions  $\triangle$ E relative to that for GS-IVCT energies. However, TAS experiments revealed a different behavior, with PI-IVCT energies following the order L=NCS<sup>-</sup>  $\approx$ DMAP < CI<sup>-</sup> < ACN. DFT and (TD)DFT calculations of the lowest triplet states were performed (Tables S2, S4, S6 and S8) to help in the interpretation of the experimental observations. Calculated transitions matched the differential absorption spectroscopy of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz states including PI-IVCT bands (Tables S3, S5, S7 and S9 and Figures S19–S26). Therefore, calculated electronic structures were a good description of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz in these compounds. MSD ratios were obtained and a preliminary analysis indicated, as expected, an opposite trend relative to the ground state (Figure 6 and Table 2).

Considering all the aforementioned facts, we interpreted the PI-IVCT energies according to the following rationale. For L=NCS<sup>-</sup>, DMAP and ACN, calculated MSD for Ruth was larger than that for  $Ru_L$ , suggesting that in those cases  $Ru_{tb}$  was the acceptor in the PI-IVCT transitions. RuRuACN<sup>3+</sup> showed the most localized configuration for <sup>3</sup>MLCTz, with the positive charge density mainly located on  $Ru_{tb}$ , and the highest PI-IVCT energy (Figure 6 and Table 2). This PI-MV system clearly belonged to Class II as revealed by a broad PI-IVCT. RuRuDMAP<sup>3+</sup> also showed a predominantly unbalanced charge distribution, but with larger contributions from Ru, than in RuRuACN<sup>3+</sup>. As expected for a larger degree of delocalization, the energy of the PI-IVCT was lower than that for L = ACN. Unfortunately, our experimental spectral window precluded the observation of the low-energy flank of the PI-IVCT band for L = DMAP. However, the PI-IVCT band shape was significantly narrower than that for **RuRuACN**<sup>3+</sup>, pointing to a Class III or II/III behavior. For L = NCS<sup>-</sup>, also belonging to Class III or II/III, charge distribution was still unbalanced but only slightly, and the MSD ratio approached 1. Stronger delocalization in RuRuNCS<sup>2+</sup> shifted the PI-IVCT energy to the red, but, simultaneously, energy matching between the PES minima within the PI-MV system afforded and additional boost of  $H_{\text{DA}}\text{,}$  and, with it, a blue-shift of the PI-IVCT band. As a result, this transition appeared at approximately the same energy than that of **RuRuDMAP**<sup>3+</sup>. For  $L = CI^{-}$ , very similar MSD were obtained for both Ru ions, pointing to a quasi-symmetric distribution of charge density. Implicit was a compensation of the groundstate redox asymmetry by the tpy<sup>•-</sup> counterpart, and a virtual equalization of PES minima. As previously determined in DMSO, <sup>3</sup>MLCTz in this compound also belongs to Class III or II/III. Here the significant blue shift provoked by an excellent energy matching between the PES minima prevailed, and the PI-IVCT transition occurred at an energy slightly higher than that for L =NCS<sup>-</sup> and DMAP. For this classification, it should be noted that the solvent inertial response, i.e. the primary contribution to solvent reorganization, occurs faster than a picosecond, [53,54] and therefore those PI-MV systems reported here live sufficiently long for solvent molecules to reorient and shape, after vibrational relaxation, a Marcus-Hush scenario like their GS-MV analogues. In this context, inversion of donor-acceptor roles was verified for  $L = NCS^-$ , DMAP and ACN, while in  $L = CI^-$  those roles vanished and PI-MV interactions had only marginal charge-transfer character and result in charge resonance transitions.[55-57]

Finally, electronic coupling matrix elements  $H_{DA}$  were calculated for the GS-MV and PI-MV systems based on  ${\rm RuRuL}^{n+}$ 



Figure 6. Computed spin density maps (isovalue 0.004) for the lowest-energy doublet GS-MV states (top) and triplet PI-MV states (bottom) in acetonitrile, and calculated Mulliken Spin Densities for each Ru ion.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb C}$  2024 The Author(s). Chemistry - A European Journal published by Wiley-VCH GmbH

European Chemical Societies Publishing

(Table 2). Molar absorption coefficients of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz states were derived from their differential absorption spectra, using eq. (5) and  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  as an actinometer (Figure 5 and Table 2).<sup>[58]</sup>

$$\frac{\ln\left(1 - \frac{\Delta A_{wl}^{ref}}{[ref]_0 \Delta e_{wl}^{ref}]}\right)}{\ln\left(1 - \frac{\Delta A_{wl}^{se}}{[5]_0 \Delta e_{x,l}^{c}]}\right)} = \frac{\eta^{ref} \sigma_{ex}^{ref}}{\eta^5 \sigma_{ex}^5}$$
(5)

There,  $\Delta A_{wl}$  are the experimental differential absorptions of reference and sample at a specific wavelength wl,  $[ref]_0$  and  $[S]_0$ are reference and sample concentrations, respectively,  $\Delta \varepsilon$  are the differential molar absorption coefficients of the reference and sample (the last one unknown) at a specific wavelength wl, *l* is the optical pathlength,  $\eta$  are the quantum efficiency for the population of the corresponding excited state of the reference and sample, and  $\sigma$  are the absorption cross section of reference and sample at the excitation wavelength. According to this procedure,  $\eta$  for the population of <sup>3</sup>MLCTz was a key parameter. Since  $\eta$  was related to the branching ratio of the excited-state populations, which were only semi-quantitatively determined in our target analysis, the extinction coefficients obtained were only approximate. Thus, for all Class III or Class II/III compounds, equations (3) and (4) were utilized. For Class II systems, the GMH expressions (1) and (2) were applied.

The results obtained were consistent with our interpretation of PI-IVCT energies. **RuRuACN**<sup>4+</sup> in the ground state and **RuRuCl**<sup>3+</sup> in the <sup>3</sup>MLCTz excited state were degenerate Class III or II/III systems with symmetric charge distributions within the mixed-valence cores, despite asymmetric chemical structures. In this scenario, H<sub>DA</sub> was around 3500 cm<sup>-1</sup>. In the other corner, **RuRuACN**<sup>3+</sup> in the <sup>3</sup>MLCTz excited state and **RuRuCl**<sup>4+</sup> in the ground state were typical non-degenerate Class II systems with considerable H<sub>DA</sub> between 1320 and 2000 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

This work translates a strategy widely used for the modulation of charge density distribution in the ground state<sup>[59,60]</sup> to PI-MV excited states. Interestingly, the effect that the CTC has on the degenerate ground-state Class III or II/III **RuRuACN**<sup>4+</sup> is similar to what has been observed for the ligand-based mixed valence system [Ni(pdt<sup>2-</sup>)(pdt<sup>•-</sup>)]<sup>-</sup> (pdt=2,3-pyrazinedithiol) upon protonation of one of the redox-active moieties, which breaks PES degeneracy and decreases electronic coupling.<sup>[61]</sup>

### Conclusions

Modulation of charge density distribution within PI-MV states, which is of utmost importance because it determines reactivity of charge-transfer/charge-separated excited states, was demonstrated exploiting ligand substitution in cyanide-bridged bimetallic complexes. The asymmetric influence of the charge-transfer counterpart, like in the photosynthetic special pair, biases the free-energy difference between the diabatic PI-MV states. This bias can be modulated and even compensated using ligands with the necessary electron-donor or acceptor character, resulting in a range of PI-MV systems from non-degenerate Class II to degenerate Class III or II/III. Further studies exploring the limits of our approach are underway in our labs.

### **Supporting Information**

The authors have cited additional references within the Supporting Information.  $^{\left[ 31,62-72\right] }$ 

### Acknowledgements

We thank Dirk M. Guldi for providing access to experimental setups. Funding from CONICET (PIP 11220200102757CO and PIBAA 28720210100877CO) and ANPCyT (PICT 2018-00924 and 2019-02410) is acknowledged. I. R. W. and L. S. M. acknowledge doctoral scholarships from CONICET. GEP thanks Prof. Dr. Adrián Roitberg for selflessly sharing his knowledge. GEP, LDS, LMB and AC are members of the research staff of CONICET. Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

### **Conflict of Interests**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

**Keywords:** electron transfer · redox asymmetry · transient absorption · solar energy conversion · photosynthesis

- [1] P. D. Harvey, Can. J. Chem. 2014, 92, 355-368.
- [2] J. R. Reimers, N. S. Hush, in Artif. Photosynth., Wiley, 2005, pp. 109-126.
- [3] M. H. Chisholm, B. J. Lear, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 5254–5265.
- [4] M. H. Chisholm, S. E. Brown-Xu, T. F. Spilker, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 877–885.
- [5] M. H. Chisholm, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2015, 282–283, 60–65.
- [6] J. F. Endicott, Y. J. Chen, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 328-350.
- [7] J. M. Herrera, S. J. A. Pope, A. J. H. M. Meijer, T. L. Easun, H. Adams, W. Z. Alsindi, X. Z. Sun, M. W. George, S. Faulkner, M. D. Ward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, *129*, 11491–11504.
- [8] M. G. Fraser, C. a Clark, R. Horvath, S. J. Lind, A. G. Blackman, X. Sun, M. W. George, K. C. Gordon, *Inorg. Chem.* 2011, *50*, 6093–6106.
- [9] J. V. Lockard, J. I. Zink, A. E. Konradsson, M. N. Weaver, S. F. Nelsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13471–13480.
- [10] J. V. Lockard, J. I. Zink, Y. Luo, M. N. Weaver, A. E. Konradsson, J. W. Fowble, S. F. Nelsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 16524–16531.
- [11] C. N. Fleming, D. M. Dattelbaum, D. W. Thompson, A. Y. Ershov, T. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 9622–9630.
- [12] I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, A. Cotic, A. Cadranel, Encycl. Inorg. Bioinorg. Chem. 2024.
- [13] S. S. Isied, A. Vassilian, J. F. Wishart, C. Creutz, H. A. Schwarz, N. Sutin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 635–637.
- [14] W. Kaim, A. Klein, M. Glockle, Acc. Chem. Res. 2000, 33, 755-763.
- [15] F. Salaymeh, S. Berhane, R. Yusof, R. de la Rosa, E. Y. Fung, R. Matamoros, K. W. Lau, Q. Zheng, E. M. Kober, J. C. Curtis, *Inorg. Chem.* **1993**, *32*, 3895–3908.
- [16] R. De la Rosa, P. J. Chang, F. Salaymeh, J. C. Curtis, *Inorg. Chem.* 1985, 24, 4229–4231.

- [17] J. R. Reimers, N. S. Hush, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4132-4144.
- [18] P. S. Oviedo, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2021, 118, e2018521118.
- [19] L. Hammarström, S. Styring, Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 2379–2388.
- [20] R. J. Cave, M. D. Newton, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 249, 15-19.
- [21] R. J. Cave, M. D. Newton, J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 106, 9213-9226.
- [22] B. S. Brunschwig, C. Creutz, N. Sutin, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2002, 31, 168-184.
- [23] A. Heckmann, C. Lambert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5515-5527.
- [24] B. M. Aramburu-Trošelj, P. S. Oviedo, I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 7659-7662.
- [25] B. M. Aramburu-Trošelj, P. S. Oviedo, G. E. Pieslinger, J. H. Hodak, L. M. Baraldo, D. M. Guldi, A. Cadranel, Inorg. Chem. 2019, 58, 10898-10904.
- [26] A. Cadranel, P. Alborés, S. Yamazaki, V. D. Kleiman, L. M. Baraldo, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 5343-5350.
- A. Cadranel, B. M. Aramburu Trošelj, S. Yamazaki, P. Alborés, V. D. [27] Kleiman, L. M. Baraldo, Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 16723-16732.
- [28] S. E. Domínguez, G. E. Pieslinger, L. Sanchez-Merlinsky, L. M. Baraldo, Dalton Trans. 2020, 49, 4125-4135.
- [29] A. Juris, V. Balzani, F. Barigelletti, S. Campagna, P. Belser, A. Zelewsky, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1988, 84, 85-277.
- [30] P. S. Oviedo, G. E. Pieslinger, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel, D. M. Guldi, J. Phys. Chem. C 2019, 123, 3285-3291.
- [31] W. R. Roberts, T. N. Rohrabaugh, R. M. O'Donnell, J. Visualization 2021, 1 - 13
- [32] P. G. Bradley, N. Kress, B. A. Hornberger, R. F. Dallinger, W. H. Woodruff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7441-7446.
- [33] J. V. Caspar, T. D. Westmoreland, G. H. Allen, T. J. Meyer, P. G. Bradley, W. H. Woodruff, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 3492-3500.
- [34] G. D. Danzer, J. R. Kincaid, J. Phys. Chem. 1990, 94, 3976-3980.
- [35] K. Nakamura, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1972, 45, 1943–1943.
- [36] R. M. Berger, D. R. McMillin, Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 4245-4249.
- [37] N. Queyriaux, C. Esmieu, A.K. Gupta, L. Vendier, S. Ott, M. Orio, L. Hammarström, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2021, 2021, 1263-1270.
- [38] I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, A. Cotic, A. Cadranel, ChemPhysChem 2022, 23, 287-288.
- [39] M. Chergui, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 2012, 42, 1772.
- [40] N. H. Damrauer, G. Cerullo, A. Yeh, T. R. Boussie, C. V. Shank, J. K. McCusker, Chemtracts 1998, 11, 621-625.
- [41] G. E. Pieslinger, A. Cadranel, L. M. Baraldo, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2020, 31, 2360-2370.
- [42] X. L. Liu, Y. Li, Q. D. Xu, Z. Q. Wei, X. T. Wu, T. L. Sheng, New J. Chem. 2022, 46, 7922-7927.
- P. S. Oviedo, G. E. Pieslinger, A. Cadranel, L. M. Baraldo, Dalton Trans. [43] 2017, 46, 15757-15768.
- S. Mallick, T. Cheng, L. Chen, M. Meng, Y.Y. Zhang, C.Y. Liu, Dalton [44] Trans. 2017, 46, 5711-5723.
- [45] A. Cadranel, J. E. Tate, P. S. Oviedo, S. Yamazaki, J. H. Hodak, L. M. Baraldo, V. D. Kleiman, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2017, 19, 2882-2893.

- [46] F. W. Vance, R. V. Slone, C. L. Stern, J. T. Hupp, Chem. Phys. 2000, 253, 313-322.
- [47] L. T. Zhang, X. Q. Zhu, S. M. Hu, Y. X. Zhang, S. D. Su, Y. Y. Yang, X. T. Wu, T. L. Sheng, Dalton Trans. 2019, 48, 7809-7816.
- [48] G. E. Pieslinger, P. Albores, L. D. Slep, B. J. Coe, C. J. Timpson, L. M. Baraldo, Inorg. Chem. 2013, 52, 2906-2917.
- K. Barthelmes, M. Jäger, J. Kübel, C. Friebe, A. Winter, M. Wächtler, B. [49] Dietzek, U. S. Schubert, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 5152-5167.
- [50] F. Roncaroli, L. M. Baraldo, L. D. Slep, J. A. Olabe, Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 1930-1939.
- [51] A. Burewicz, A. Haim, Inorg. Chem. 1988, 27, 1611–1614.
- [52] C. Díaz, A. Arancibia, Inorg. Chim. Acta 1998, 269, 246-252.
- [53] S. A. Passino, Y. Nagasawa, T. Joo, G. R. Fleming, J. Phys. Chem. A 1997, 101.725-731.
- [54] A. T. Yeh, C. V. Shank, J. K. McCusker, Science 2000, 289, 935–938.
- [55] Y. N. Tan, T. Cheng, M. Meng, Y. Y. Zhang, C. Y. Liu, M. F. Sun, Y. Zheng, P. J. Low, J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 121, 27860-27873.
- [56] M. D. Newton, Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 767-792.
- [57] K. D. Demadis, C. M. Hartshorn, T. J. Meyer, Chem. Rev. 2001, 101, 2655-2686
- [58] P. Müller, K. Brettel, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci. 2012, 11, 632-636.
- [59] T. Cheng, M. Meng, H. Lei, C. Y. Liu, Inorg. Chem. 2014, 53, 9213-9221. [60] Y. Y. Yang, X. Q. Zhu, J. P. Launay, C. Bin Hong, S. D. Su, Y. H. Wen, X. T.
- Wu, T. L. Sheng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 4804-4814. [61] S. R. Kennedy, P. Goyal, M. N. Kozar, H. P. Yennawar, S. Hammes-Schiffer, B. J. Lear, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 1433-1445.
- [62] A. Cadranel, P. S. Oviedo, G. E. Pieslinger, S. Yamazaki, V. D. Kleiman, L. M. Baraldo, D. M. Guldi, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 7434-7442.
- [63] L. Petit, P. Maldivi, C. Adamo, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2005, 1, 953–962.
- [64] R. E. Stratmann, G. E. Scuseria, M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys. 1998, 109, 8218-8224.
- [65] H. Ishida, S. Tobita, Y. Hasegawa, R. Katoh, K. Nozaki, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2010, 254, 2449-2458.
- [66] I. H. M. Van Stokkum, D. S. Larsen, R. Van Grondelle, Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg. 2004, 1657, 82-104.
- [67] J. J. Snellenburg, S. Laptenok, R. Seger, K. M. Mullen, I. H. M. van Stok-
- kum, J. Stat. Softw. 2012, 49, 1-22. [68] K. M. Mullen, I. H. M. Van Stokkum, J. Stat. Softw. 2007, 18, 1–46.
- [69] A. Szabo, N. L. Ostlund, 1996.
- [70] G. Scalmani, M. J. Frisch, J. Chem. Phys. 2010, 132, 114110.
- [71] J. Tomasi, B. Mennucci, R. Cammi, Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 2999-3094. [72] H. B. Schlegel, J. Comput. Chem. 1982, 3, 214-218.

Manuscript received: July 16, 2024

Accepted manuscript online: November 6, 2024 Version of record online:

# 15213755, 0, Downloaded from https://chenistry-europe.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/chem.20202700 by CochraneArgentina, Wiley Online Library on [22/11/2024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

## **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

In bimetallic {(tpy)Ru-Ru(L)}, MLCT excited states prepared upon visible light absorption are photoinduced mixed valence systems. This makes them excellent models of the photosynthetic reaction center. In these models, the balance of positive charge density is determined by the tpy radical anion and can be finely controlled by ligand L substitution. Impacts on electronic spectroscopy of photoinduced IVCT bands are discussed with the help of TD-DFT.



I. Ramírez-Wierzbicki, L. Sanchez Merlinsky, G. E. Pieslinger, S. Domínguez, L. D. Slep, L. M. Baraldo, A. Cadranel\*

1 – 9

Tuning Electron-Transfer Driving Force in Photosynthetic Special Pair Models