

REPRESENTATION DIMENSION OF CLUSTER-CONCEALED ALGEBRAS

ALFREDO GONZÁLEZ CHAIO AND SONIA TREPODE

ABSTRACT. We are going to show that the representation dimension of a cluster-concealed algebra B is 3. We compute its representation dimension by showing an explicit Auslander generator for the cluster-tilted algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

Auslander [3] introduced the concept of representation dimension for artin algebras, motivated by the connection of arbitrary artin algebras with representation finite artin algebras. He expected this notion to give a reasonable way of measuring how far an artin algebra is from being of representation finite type. The representation dimension is a Morita-invariant of artin algebras and characterizes the artin algebras of finite representation type. It was shown by Auslander in [3] that an algebra A is representation-finite if and only if $\text{rep.dim} A \leq 2$. Later, Iyama proved in [14] that the representation dimension of an artin algebra is always finite, using a relationship with quasihereditary algebras. The interest in representation dimension revived when Igusa and Todorov showed that the representation dimension is related to the finitistic dimension conjecture. They proved that if an artin algebra has representation dimension at most three, then its finitistic dimension is finite [13]. Recently, Rouquier showed in [18] an exterior algebra with representation dimension 4. In fact, he has constructed examples of algebras with arbitrarily large representation dimensions.

On the other hand, Cluster algebras were introduced by Fomin-Zelevinsky [19]. Later, Marsh-Reineke-Zelevinsky [15] found that there is a deep connection between cluster algebras and quiver representations. Buan-Marsh-Reineke-Reiten-Todorov [6] defined the cluster category and developed a tilting theory using a especial class of objects, namely the cluster tilting objects. In [8], Buan-Marsh-Reiten introduced the cluster-tilted algebras as the endomorphism algebras $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(T)^{op}$ of a cluster-tilting object T in a cluster category \mathcal{C} . These algebras are connected to tilted algebras, which are the algebras of the form $\text{End}_H(T)^{op}$ for a tilting module T over a hereditary algebra H . This motivates us to investigate the relationship between the module theory of cluster-tilted algebras and the module theory of hereditary algebras.

Key words and phrases. Auslander generator, Cluster-concealed, Cluster-tilted algebra, Representation dimension.

A cluster concealed algebra is given by $B = \text{End}_c(\tilde{T})^{op}$ where T is a cluster tilting object induced by a postprojective tilting H -module. The objective of this paper is to compute the representation dimension of cluster-concealed algebras by showing an explicit Auslander generator. In order to do this, tilting and torsion theory of hereditary algebras became very useful tools. Also the concept of slices and local slices, the last ones defined in [1], became a key tool to find Auslander generators for cluster-concealed algebras. The notions of covariantly and contravariantly finite categories [5] are very useful for the proof, together with their relationship with torsion pairs in tilted algebras [4].

In section 2, we give some notations and preliminary concepts needed for proving our main result. In section 3, we present our main theorem and the previous results required for proving it.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Through this paper we are going to use the following notation. A denotes a finite dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field and $\text{mod } A$ represents the category of all finitely generated right A -modules. H denotes a finite dimensional hereditary algebra and we denote the bounded derived category of H by $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$ and by $[]$ the shift functor. We will often identify the objects concentrated in degree zero with the corresponding H -module.

2.1. Tilting theory. We start this section by giving the definition of tilting module. For more details on tilting modules see [12]. Let A be an algebra and T an A -module. T is said to be a tilting module in $\text{mod } A$ if it satisfies the following conditions

- (a) $pdT \leq 1$.
- (b) $\text{Ext}_A^1(T, T) = 0$.
- (c) $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow 0$ with T_0 and T_1 in $\text{add } T$.

If a module satisfies condition (b), we say that the module is exceptional, or equivalently, we say that T is a tilting module if $pdT \leq 1$, is rigid and has n non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands, where n is the number of non-isomorphic simple modules.

Remark 2.1. *We recall that for a hereditary algebra H , an H -module T is said to be a tilting module if T is rigid and has n non-isomorphic indecomposable direct summands.*

A tilting module is said to be basic if all of its direct summands are non-isomorphic. The endomorphism ring of a tilting module over a hereditary algebra is said to be a **tilted algebra**. In particular, hereditary algebras are tilted algebras.

We recall that a **path** from X to Y is a sequence of indecomposable modules and non-zero morphisms $X = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_t = Y$. Given $X, Y \in \text{ind } A$, we say that X is a **predecessor** of Y or that Y is a **successor** of X , provided that there exists a path from X to Y . A tilting module T is **convex** if, for a given pair of indecomposable summands of T , $X, Y \in \text{add } T$, any path from

X to Y contains only indecomposable modules in $\text{add } T$. Following [2], we say that a set Σ_T in $\text{mod } A$ is a **complete slice** if $T = \bigoplus_{M \in \Sigma_T} M$ is a convex tilting module with $\text{End}_A T$ hereditary. For the original definition of complete slices, we refer to [16], [17]. Tilted algebras are characterized by the existence of a complete slice in its module category.

For a given tilting module in $\text{mod } H$ there exist two full disjoint subcategories of $\text{mod } H$, namely

$$\mathcal{F}(T) = \{X \in \text{mod } H \text{ such that } \text{Hom}_H(T, X) = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{T}(T) = \{X \in \text{mod } H \text{ such that } \text{Ext}_H^1(T, X) = 0\}$$

The free torsion class and torsion class respectively.

Furthermore, if T is a convex tilting, then $\text{mod } H = \mathcal{F}(T) \cup \mathcal{T}(T)$. We have that, in this case, $\mathcal{F}(T)$ is closed under predecessors and $\mathcal{T}(T)$ is closed under successors.

2.2. Cluster categories and cluster tilted Algebras. For the convenience of the reader, we start this section recalling some definitions and results of cluster categories from [6]. Let \mathcal{C} be the **cluster category** associated to H and given by $\mathcal{D}^b(H)/F$, where F is the composition functor $\tau_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}[1]$. We represent by \tilde{X} the class of an object X of $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$ in the cluster category. We recall that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, F^i Y)$. We recall that $S = \text{ind } H \cup H[1]$ is the fundamental domain of \mathcal{C} . If X and Y are objects in the fundamental domain, then we have that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, F^i Y) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0, 1$. Moreover, any object in \mathcal{C} is of the form \tilde{X} with $X \in S$.

For \mathcal{C} , we say that \tilde{T} in \mathcal{C} is a **tilting object** if $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}}^1(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T}) = 0$ and \tilde{T} has a maximal number of non-isomorphic direct summands. A tilting object in \mathcal{C} has finite summands.

There exists the following nice correspondence between tilting modules and basic tilting objects.

Theorem [6, Theorem 3.3.]

- (a) *Let T be a basic tilting object in $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^b(H)/F$, where H is a hereditary algebra with n simple modules.*
 - (i) *T is induced by a basic tilting module over a hereditary algebra H' , derived equivalent to H .*
 - (ii) *T has n indecomposable direct summands.*
- (b) *Any basic tilting module over a hereditary algebra H induces a basic tilting object for $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^b(H)/F$.*

In [8], Buan, Marsh and Reiten introduced the cluster-tilted algebra. Let \tilde{T} be a tilting object over the cluster category \mathcal{C} , we recall that B is the **cluster tilted algebra** if $B = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T})$. It is also shown in [8] that, if \tilde{T} is a tilting object in \mathcal{C} , the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, _)$ induces an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{C}/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ and $\text{mod } B$.

Thus using the equivalence above, we can compute the $\text{Hom}_B(X', Y')$ in terms of the cluster category \mathcal{C} .

$$\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{Y})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) / \text{add}(\tau\tilde{T}),$$

where $X' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$ and $Y' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{Y})$ are B -modules.

Remark 2.2. *Note that, if $X' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$ and $Y' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{Y})$, then we have that $\text{Hom}_B(X', Y') = 0$ if for every $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$, f factors through $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ in \mathcal{C} .*

2.3. Covariantly and contravariantly categories. In this section, we recall some facts on approximation morphisms and covariantly and contravariantly finite categories from [5], also see [4].

First we begin by recalling the notion of approximations. Let \mathcal{X} be a full subcategory of $\text{mod}A$ closed under direct summands and isomorphisms. We say that an A -module Y is contravariantly finite over \mathcal{X} if there exists a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, such that $\text{Hom}_A(X', f) : \text{Hom}_A(X', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X', Y)$ is surjective for all $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. We say that Y is covariantly finite over \mathcal{X} if there exists a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X$, with $X \in \mathcal{X}$, such that $\text{Hom}_A(g, X') : \text{Hom}_A(X, X') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(Y, X')$ is surjective for all $X' \in \mathcal{X}$. Furthermore, we say that the morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of Y and that the morphism $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of Y . A morphism $f : B \rightarrow C$ is right minimal if $g : B \rightarrow B$ an endomorphism, and $f = fg$ then g is an automorphism. A right \mathcal{X} -approximation $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of Y is minimal if f is right minimal. Two right minimal \mathcal{X} -approximation are isomorphic.

Moreover, by [5, Proposition 3.9], an A -module Y is contravariantly finite over \mathcal{X} if and only if there exists a right \mathcal{X} -approximation of Y and an A -module Y is covariantly finite over \mathcal{X} if and only if there exists a left \mathcal{X} -approximation of Y .

The category \mathcal{X} is called covariantly (contravariantly) finite in $\text{mod}A$ if every A -module Y has a left (right) minimal \mathcal{X} -approximation.

Recall from [4] that $\text{Gen}(T) = \mathcal{T}(T)$ is covariantly finite and $\mathcal{F}(T) = \text{Cogen}(\tau T)$ is contravariantly finite.

2.4. Representation dimension. We recall that an A -module M is a generator for $\text{mod}A$ if for each $X \in \text{mod}A$, there exists an epimorphism $M' \rightarrow X$ with $M' \in \text{add}(M)$. Observe that A is a generator for $\text{mod}A$. Dually, we say that an A -module M is a cogenerator if for each $Y \in \text{mod}A$ there exists a monomorphism $Y \rightarrow M'$ with $M' \in \text{add}(M)$. Note that DA is a cogenerator for $\text{mod}A$. In particular, any module M containing every indecomposable projective and every indecomposable injective module as a summand is a generator-cogenerator module for $\text{mod}A$.

The original definition of representation dimension (we will note it by rep.dim) of an artin algebra A is due to Auslander. For more facts on this topic, we refer the reader to [3]. The following is a nice characterization of representation dimension, in the case that A is a non semisimple algebra, also due to Auslander.

This characterization is given as follows

$$\text{rep.dim}A = \inf \{ \text{gl.dim End}_A(M) \mid M \text{ is a generator-cogenerator for } \text{mod}A \}$$

A module M that reaches the minimum is called an **Auslander generator** and $\text{gl.dim End}_A(M) = \text{rep.dim}A$ if M is an Auslander generator.

The representation dimension can also be defined in a functorial way, which will result us more convenient. The next definition (see [2],[11],[18]) will be very useful for the rest of this work.

Definition 2.3. *The representation dimension $\text{rep.dim}A$ is the smallest integer $i \geq 2$ such that there is a module $M \in \text{mod}A$ with the property that, given any A -module X*

(a) , *there is an exact sequence of*

$$0 \rightarrow M^{-i+2} \rightarrow M^{-i+3} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^0 \xrightarrow{f} X \rightarrow 0$$

with $M^j \in \text{add}(M)$ such that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M^{-i+2}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, M^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, X) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(b) *there is a exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{g} M'_0 \rightarrow M'_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M'_{i-2} \rightarrow 0$$

with $M'_j \in \text{add}(M)$ such that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M'_{-i+2}, M) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M'_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(X, M) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

following [11], we say the module M has the i -resolution property and that the sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{-i+2} \rightarrow M^{-i+3} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ is an $\text{add}M$ -approximation of L of length i . Note, that $f : M_0 \rightarrow X$ is a right $\text{add}(M)$ -approximation of X and $g : X \rightarrow M'_0$ is a left $\text{add}(M)$ -approximation of X .

Remark 2.4. *Note that either condition (a) or (b) implies that $\text{gl.dim End}_A(M) \leq i + 1$. Then, if $M \in \text{mod}A$ and $i \geq 2$, the following statements are equivalent*

- *M satisfies (a) and (b) of the definition.*
- *M satisfies (a) and M contains an injective cogenerator as a direct summand.*
- *M satisfies (b) and M contains a projective generator as a direct summand.*

We have $\text{rep.dim}A = \text{rep.dim}A^{\text{op}}$.

3. REPRESENTATION DIMENSION FOR CLUSTER CONCEALED ALGEBRAS

In this section we present our main result. Let \tilde{T} be a tilting object in a cluster category \mathcal{C} and let $B = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T})$, the associated cluster-tilted algebra. To simplify some proofs we choose without loss of generality T and τT without projective summands. As we are interested in compute the representation dimension of cluster concealed algebras, through this section T will be a postprojective tilting module on $\text{mod}H$ and \tilde{T} denotes the class of T on the cluster category \mathcal{C} . Recall that \tilde{T} is a tilting object in \mathcal{C} . We consider H , and thus B , of infinite representation type.

We consider a complete slice Σ on the postprojective component of the hereditary algebra H , such that $\Sigma \in \mathcal{T}(T)$. Note that this condition implies Σ does not have projective summands. We can construct a convex tilting module of the form $U = \bigoplus_{E \in \Sigma} E$, and we denote $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ to the category $\mathcal{T}(U)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ to $\mathcal{F}(U)$. Since $\Sigma \in \mathcal{T}(T)$ also $U \in \mathcal{T}(T)$ and thus we have $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{T}(T)$. Then we have $\Sigma' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\Sigma})$, the image of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ by the BMR-equivalence [8], which is a local slice in $\text{mod}B$ [1].

Using the BMR-equivalence, we can describe the indecomposable modules in $\text{mod}B$ as follows. Let Y be an indecomposable in $\text{mod}B$, then we have one of the following cases

- (1) $Y \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, Q)$ with $Q = \widetilde{P[1]}$ with P projective indecomposable in $\text{mod}H$.
- (2) $Y \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{G})$ with $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma) \subset \text{mod}H$.
- (3) $Y \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$ with $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \subset \text{mod}H$.

Observe that if Y is indecomposable also Q, X or G is indecomposable. Since we choose Σ in the postprojective component of $\text{mod}H$, we have that $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ has only a finite number of non isomorphic indecomposable H -modules, then we only have a finite number of isomorphism classes of indecomposable B -modules Y satisfying the second case. As well as in the first case, because we only have a finite number of indecomposable projective H -modules. This implies we can consider the following modules, $Q' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \widetilde{H[1]})$ and $\mathcal{G} = \bigoplus_G \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, G)$ with G indecomposable in $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$, in $\text{mod}B$.

We want to determine an Auslander generator for $\text{mod}B$, this is a module M with the properties of Definition 2.3, so we want a module which provides $\text{add}(M)$ -approximations for every one of the modules in the previous cases. Since by the Remark 2.4, a module M satisfies Definition 2.3 if satisfies condition (a) and contains a cogenerator as a direct summand, we will focus on find modules that satisfies condition (a). If we let M' to be the module $\mathcal{G} \oplus Q'$, clearly, M' approximates trivially the modules of the first and second case.

Hence we will concentrate from now on, in the modules of the third case. For a given complete slice Γ we consider the set \mathcal{D}_{Γ} defined as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\Gamma} = \{Y \in \text{mod}B \text{ such that } Y = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) \text{ with } X \in \mathcal{T}(\Gamma)\},$$

that is the modules in \mathcal{D}_{Σ} are the ones of the third case. Our objective now is to approximate the modules of \mathcal{D}_{Σ} by Σ' .

Let $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\Sigma$, then we have $Y = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$ with $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \subset \text{mod}H$.
 Now, $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) = \text{Gen}(\Sigma)$ then there exist an epimorphism f

$$f : E \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

a right $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ -approximation of X . Recall that a complete slice on a tilted algebra induces a convex tilting module, then since H is hereditary and $X \in \text{Gen}(\Sigma)$ by [2, Proposition :)], we have that $K = \text{Ker}f \in \text{add}(\Sigma)$ and hence that the exact short sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$$

is an $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ -resolution of length two for X . We want to construct a similar exact sequence in $\text{mod}B$ for Y . The idea is to prove that the image of this sequence in \mathcal{C} induces such a sequence in $\text{mod}B$.

This short exact sequence induces a triangle in $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$

$$K \rightarrow E \rightarrow X \rightarrow K[1]$$

which induces a triangle in the cluster category \mathcal{C} by taking the respective quotient classes

$$\tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tau\tilde{K} \quad (*_1)$$

Our objective is to study the image of this triangle in $\text{mod}B$ by the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \cdot)$

Lemma 3.1. *Let E be a module in $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tau\tilde{E}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, E[1])$.*

Proof. Recall that Σ do not have projective summands, hence, since E is in $\text{add}(\Sigma)$, E is not projective and thus τE is in $\text{mod}H$.

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tau\tilde{E}) = \bigoplus_{i \in Z} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, F^i \tau E).$$

since X and τE are H -modules, thus \tilde{X} and $\tau\tilde{E}$ are in the fundamental domain of \mathcal{C} therefore

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tau\tilde{E}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, \tau E) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X, E[1])$$

where all the others summands are zero.

We are going to see that also $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, \tau E)$ is zero.

Now $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, \tau E) = \text{Hom}_H(X, \tau E)$, since X and τE are H modules. Moreover, since $E \in \text{add}(\Sigma)$ we have that $\tau E \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$.

Hence $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, \tau E) = \text{Hom}_H(X, \tau E) = 0$ because $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T})$ is a torsion pair. \square

we are in conditions to show that the modules in \mathcal{D}_Σ are generated by modules in $\text{add}(\Sigma')$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let T be a postprojective tilting module over $\text{mod}H$. Let Σ be a complete slice on the postprojective component of $\text{mod}H$ such that Σ is contained on $\mathcal{T}(T)$. Then*

- (i) $\mathcal{D}_\Sigma \subset \text{Gen}(\Sigma')$ in $\text{mod}B$.
- (ii) Moreover, consider $\tau^{-1}\Sigma$, which is also a complete slice, there exist a short exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow K' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 0$$

with $E', K' \in \text{add}(\Sigma')$ for every $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\tau^{-1}\Sigma}$.

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\Sigma$, recall we have a triangle $\tilde{K} \rightarrow \tilde{E} \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tau\tilde{K}$ in \mathcal{C} and recall the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, _)$ induces an equivalence between $\mathcal{C}/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ and $\text{mod}B$.

Now, applying this functor to the last triangle, we obtain a long exact sequence in $\text{mod}B$

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \widetilde{X[-1]}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{K}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

We want to construct an epimorphism from $\text{add}(\Sigma')$ to $Y \in \mathcal{D}_\Sigma$, we know that $\Sigma' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{\Sigma})$ and E is an $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ -approximation. Consider $E' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E})$ and $f^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{f})$. We are going to prove that $f^* : E' \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism. In order to do this, it suffices to see that $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau\tilde{K})) = 0$.

Since $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau\tilde{K})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{X}, \tau\tilde{K})/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$, then consider $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tau\tilde{K}$ in \mathcal{C} , then by remark 2.2, if h factorize by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$, we have that $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau\tilde{K})) = 0$.

By lemma 3.1, since $K \in \text{add}(\Sigma)$ we can assume that $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, K[1])$. Since we need to prove that h factorizes by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ we are going to consider $F\tau T = T[1]$, then is enough to show that h factorizes by $T[1]$ in $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$.

We can assume without lose of generality that there exist H' hereditary, derived equivalent to H such that $X, T[1]$ and $K[1]$ are H' modules, in fact, we can choose $H' = \text{End}_H(\tau^{-1}\Sigma)$.

Then $T[1]$ results a tilting module over H' and we may consider the category $\mathcal{T}(T[1])$ which is a full subcategory of $\text{mod}H'$.

Under the hypothesis taken, we know that $K \in \text{add}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \subset \mathcal{T}(T)$. Then we have $K[1] \in \mathcal{T}(T[1])$ and since X is in $\text{mod}H$ we also have $0 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(T[1], X) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H')} (T[1], X) = \text{Hom}_{H'}(T[1], X)$ because $T[1]$ and X are H' -modules. Thus we have shown that $X \in \mathcal{F}(T[1])$.

Therefore if $h : X \rightarrow K[1]$ we observe that, since $\mathcal{T}(T[1])$ is covariantly finite [4] and $\mathcal{T}(T[1]) = \text{GEN}(T[1])$, there exist a left minimal $\mathcal{T}(T[1])$ -approximation

of $X \xrightarrow{g} T'$ with $T' \in \text{add}(T[1])$ such that $\text{Hom}_{H'}(g, K[1]) : \text{Hom}_{H'}(T', K[1]) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{H'}(X, K[1])$ is surjective. Hence there exist $k : T' \rightarrow K[1]$ such that $kg = h$.

We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{h} & K[1] \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \\ & & T' \end{array}$$

it is, h should factorizes by $\text{add}(T[1])$, thus $h : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tau\tilde{K}$ factorizes by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$.

Therefore we have that the long exact sequence in (*) factors through zero

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau\tilde{K}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\ & & & \searrow \nearrow & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \end{array}$$

and so $f^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$ is an epimorphisms with $E' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) \in \text{add}(\Sigma')$.

This finishes the proof of (i).

Only remains to see that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \widetilde{X[-1]}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{K})$ is zero also. Then, as we did before, we want to see that

$\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \widetilde{X[-1]}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{K})) = 0$, then we are going to prove that any $h \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{X[-1]}, \tilde{K})$ factors through $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$. Observe that $\widetilde{X[-1]} = \tau^{-1}\tilde{X}$ then we have that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^{-1}\tilde{X}, \tilde{K}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(\tau^{-1}X, K) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(\tau^{-1}X, \tau^{-1}K[1])$$

where $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(\tau^{-1}X, K) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, \tau K) = \text{Hom}_H(X, \tau K) = 0$ because $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $\tau K \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$.

Therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^{-1}\tilde{X}, \tilde{K}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(\tau^{-1}X, \tau^{-1}K[1]) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(X, K[1])$, then we know by (i) that any h in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau^{-1}\tilde{X}, \tilde{K})$ will factor through $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ in \mathcal{C} and we have

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{K}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow 0$$

□

Therefore, if we let M be the B -module $M' \oplus \Sigma'$ our last proposition shows that we have M generates all modules in $\text{mod}B$ because $\text{add}(\Sigma')$ is contained in $\text{add}(M)$. More over, our next lemma will prove that the epimorphism constructed before is an $\text{add}(\Sigma')$ -approximation of the modules in \mathcal{D}_{Σ} .

Lemma 3.3. *Let $E' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E})$. Then, if $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$ the epimorphism $f^* : E' \rightarrow Y$, constructed before, is an $\text{add}(\Sigma')$ -approximation of Y .*

Proof. Let U' be an indecomposable module in $\text{add}(\Sigma')$. We want to show that, $\text{Hom}_B(U', E') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(U', Y) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, that is, if $0 \neq h \in \text{Hom}_B(U', Y)$ there exists $k \in \text{Hom}_B(U', E')$ such that $f^*k = h$.

Using the [BMR]-equivalence we can assume there exists $X \in \mathcal{T}(T)$, $U \in \text{add}(\Sigma)$ such that $U' = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{U})$ and $Y = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})$. Hence we can write, $h \in \text{Hom}_B(U', Y) = \text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{U}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X})/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$, then there exist $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X})$ such that $h = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, h')$. Since $h \neq 0$ we can assume that h' don't factorize by the $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ in \mathcal{C} . Let's compute $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X})$

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, X) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, FX)$$

Since U and X are taken in the fundamental domain, even more U is postprojective (U is not contained in any oriented cycle), we know that at most one of the last terms is different from zero, [8].

Observe that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, FX) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, \tau^{-1}X[1]) = \text{Ext}_H^1(U, \tau^{-1}X) = \mathcal{D}\text{Hom}_H(\tau^{-1}X, \tau U)$, and $\text{Hom}_H(\tau^{-1}X, \tau U) = 0$ because $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $U \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$.

Then we have only one case, $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, X)$.

Suppose $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(U, X)$ then $h' \in \text{Hom}_H(U, X)$ because U and X are H -modules, and then, since $f : E \rightarrow X$ is an $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ -approximation of $X \in \text{mod}(H)$, there exist $k' : U \rightarrow E$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & U \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ E & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

it is, $fk' = h'$. This commutative diagram induces a commutative diagram on $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$, which induces the following commutative diagram in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \tilde{U} \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \tilde{E} & \rightarrow & \tilde{X} \end{array}$$

Again, applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, _)$ we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{U}) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) \end{array}$$

Taking $k = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, k')$ we have that $f^*k = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{f}k') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, h') = h$. Then, $h : U' \rightarrow Y$ factors through E' ; observe that $k \neq 0$, otherwise h' would factorize by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$. \square

We are going to see now that this approximation is in fact, an $\text{add}(M)$ -approximation of the modules in \mathcal{D}_{Σ} ; To achieve this objective we are going to prove that the morphism $\text{Hom}_B(M', E') \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}_B(M', f^*)} \text{Hom}_B(M', E')$ is an epimorphism. Recall $M' = \mathcal{G} \oplus Q'$. Before we establish our next result, we are going to state the next technical lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *For any indecomposable G in $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ and any indecomposable X in $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}) = \text{Hom}_H(G, X).$$

Proof. We know that G and X are indecomposable H -modules. We can assume that \tilde{G} and \tilde{X} are in the fundamental domain of \mathcal{C} . Therefore we can compute $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, X) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, F(X))$, because since X and G are in the fundamental domain, we know by [6], that only this summands can be different from zero.

We want to see that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, F(X)) = 0$.

If X is injective then $\tau^{-1}X = P[1]$ with P a projective indecomposable module in $\text{mod}H$, so $F(X) = \tau^{-1}X[1] = P[2]$ and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, F(X)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, P[2]) = \text{Ext}_H^2(G, P) = 0$, because H is hereditary.

If X is not injective, then we have that $\tau^{-1}X \in \text{mod}H$ and then

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, \tau^{-1}X[1]) = \text{Ext}_H^1(G, \tau^{-1}X) \simeq D\text{Hom}_H(\tau^{-1}X, \tau G)$$

where the last isomorphism is given by the Auslander-Reiten formula, but we have, if G is not projective, $\tau G \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ and $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ because $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is closed under successors and $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ is closed under predecessors, so $\text{Hom}_H(\tau^{-1}X, \tau G) = 0$ and therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, \tau^{-1}X[1]) = 0$.

if G is projective we have $\text{Ext}_H^1(G, \tau^{-1}X) \simeq D\text{Hom}_H(\tau^{-2}X, G) = 0$, assuming $\tau^{-2}X$ not injective, otherwise $\tau^{-2}X = P[1]$ and $\text{Ext}_H^2(G, P) = 0$.

Then we have proof that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, F(X)) = 0$, and so $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(G, X) = \text{Hom}_H(G, X)$ because G and X are H -modules. \square

We are now in conditions, of proving that $f^* : E' \rightarrow Y$ is an $\text{add}(\Sigma \oplus \mathcal{G})$ -approximation of Y .

Lemma 3.5. *Let f^* be the morphism constructed before. If C_G is in $\text{add}(\mathcal{G})$, then $\text{Hom}_B(C_G, f^*) : \text{Hom}_B(C_G, E') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(C_G, Y)$ is an epimorphism.*

Proof. Let $C_G = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{G})$ with $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ indecomposable. Suppose that there is a map $0 \neq h : C_G \rightarrow Y$, we want to show that the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & C_G \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ E' & \rightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

commutes, it is, there exists a $k : C_G \rightarrow E'$ in $\text{mod}(B)$ such that $fk = h$. We can write, as we did before, using the [BMR]-equivalence $h \in \text{Hom}_B(C_G, Y) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$, then there exist $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})$ such that $h = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, h')$. We can assume that h' don't factorize by the $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$ in \mathcal{C} , otherwise h will be zero in $\text{mod}B$.

So, we have that, $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{G}), \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X})) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X})/\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$. By lemma 3.4 since $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ and $X \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{X}) = \text{Hom}_H(G, X)$ and $h' : G \rightarrow X$.

Hence, we have that $h = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, h')$ with $h' \in \text{Hom}_H(G, X)$. Then, since $f : E \rightarrow X$ is an $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ -approximation of $X \in \text{mod}H$ and Σ is a complete slice in $\text{mod}H$, any morphism from G to X , will factorize by E because $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$

is covariantly finite. This is, there exist $k' : G \rightarrow E$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & G \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ E & \rightarrow & X \end{array}$$

We may now proceed as in lemma 3.3, and show that this diagram induces a commutative diagram on $\text{mod}B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{G}) \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{E}) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{X}) \end{array}$$

We have proved that there exist $k = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{k}')$ such that $f^*k = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{f}\tilde{k}') = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tilde{h}') = h$. Then, $h : C_G \rightarrow Y$ factors through E' ; observe that $k \neq 0$ because k' don't factorize by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$, otherwise h' would factorize by $\text{add}(\tau\tilde{T})$. This finishes proof of the lemma. \square

It only rest to prove that, if we have a map from a module Q in $\text{add}(Q')$, in any module $Y \in \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma}$, this map also factors through E' . Our next lemma proves the desired result.

Lemma 3.6. *Let h be a map in $\text{Hom}_B(Q, Y)$, then there exist a morphism k such that $f^*k = h$ and h factors through E' .*

Proof. Assume we have $0 \neq h \in \text{Hom}_B(Q, Y)$ where $Q = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \widetilde{P[1]})$ with P an indecomposable projective module in H .

Let h be, $h = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, h')$ with $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{P[1]}, \tilde{X})$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{P[1]}, \tilde{X}) &= \bigoplus_{i \in Z} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P[1], F^i X) = \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P[1], X) \oplus \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P[1], \tau^{-1}X[1]) \end{aligned}$$

where, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P[1], X) = 0$ because $X \in \text{mod}H$ and $P[1] \in \text{mod}H[1]$ therefore there is no non zero map between X and $P[1]$ in $D^b(H)$.

Then the only possibility for $h' \neq 0$ is $h' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P[1], \tau^{-1}X[1])$ and so $h'[-1] \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P, \tau^{-1}X)$.

First observe that if X is injective then $\tau^{-1}X = P'[1]$ with P' projective indecomposable in $\text{mod}H$, therefore $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P, \tau^{-1}X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(H)}(P, P'[1]) = \text{Ext}_H^1(P, P') = 0$.

Let us assume then, that X is not injective, hence $\tau^{-1}X \in \text{mod}H$. Moreover, we have $h'[-1] \in \text{Hom}_H(P, \tau^{-1}X)$.

Since $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ is closed under successors we have, $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Moreover, we can consider $\tau^{-1}\Sigma$ as an complete slice, and so $\tau^{-1}X \in \mathcal{T}(\tau^{-1}\Sigma)$.

Recall $f : E \rightarrow X$ was constructed as an $\text{add}(\Sigma)$ - approximation of X , for $X \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Now we can take

$$\tau^{-1}f : \tau^{-1}E \rightarrow \tau^{-1}X \rightarrow 0.$$

We now can construct the following commutative diagram on $\text{mod}H$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P & \\ & \downarrow & \\ \tau^{-1}E & \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}f} & \tau^{-1}X \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since P is projective, then the morphism $h'[-1]$ factors through $\tau^{-1}E$, it is, there exists $k' : P \rightarrow \tau^{-1}E$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \longrightarrow & \tau^{-1}X \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & \tau^{-1}E & \end{array}$$

commutes.

Applying the shift functor $[]$ to this diagram, we obtain a new commutative diagram in $\mathcal{D}^b(H)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P[1] & \longrightarrow & \tau^{-1}X[1] = FX \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & \tau^{-1}E[1] = FE & \end{array}$$

This diagram, induces the following diagram on \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{P}[1] & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{X} \\ \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & \widetilde{E} & \end{array}$$

And, as we did before we apply the functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{T}, \)$ to obtain a commutative diagram on $\text{mod}B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{P}[1]) & \\ & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{E}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{X}) \end{array}$$

Then, if we choose $k \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{k}'[1])$, we get that $f^*k = h$, where the commutativity is given by the above diagram.

□

Proposition 3.7. *Let M be the B -module, $M = \Sigma' \oplus Q' \oplus \mathcal{G}$ where \mathcal{G} , Q' and Σ are considered as before. Then the map $\text{Hom}_B(N, f^*) : \text{Hom}_B(N, E') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(N, Y)$ is an epimorphism for every module $Y \in D_{\Sigma}$ and $N \in \text{add}(M)$ and E' the $\text{add}(\Sigma')$ -approximation constructed before.*

Proof. Let N be an indecomposable direct summand of M . Then we can consider the following cases:

- (a) $N \in \text{add}(\Sigma')$
- (b) $N \in \text{add}(Q')$
- (c) $N \in \text{add}(\mathcal{G})$

Hence we know the results holds for N in all the above cases. Case (a) follows from Lemma 3.3, case (b) from Lemma 3.5 and case (c) from Lemma 3.6 Then the proposition follows for M by the additivity of the functor $\text{Hom}_B(N, \)$.

□

This proves that there exist an $\text{add}(M)$ -resolution of length at most two, for every module in $\text{mod}B$. Note that this proposition proves that M satisfies the condition (a) of definition 2.3 for $i = 2$ and therefore that $\text{gl.dim End}_B(M) \leq 3$. We are going to proceed now to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.8. *Let B a cluster-concealed algebra of infinite representation type. Then $\text{rep.dim}B = 3$.*

Proof. Let M be the module in the above proposition. Observe that if I is injective in $\text{mod}B$, then $I = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\tilde{T}, \tau^2\tilde{T})$, but $\tau^2T \in \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$, recall τT does not have projective summands and $\mathcal{F}(T) \subset \mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$ due to the hypothesis taken on Σ on H , therefore any indecomposable injective module in $\text{mod}B$ is a direct summand of M . The same occurs for any indecomposable $P \in \text{mod}B$, then $P = \text{Hom}_B(\tilde{T}, \tilde{T})$ and again \tilde{T} lies in $\mathcal{F}(\Sigma)$, thus the $\text{add}(\mathcal{G})$ contains every indecomposable projective and every indecomposable injective module. Then \mathcal{G} is generator-cogenerator for $\text{mod}B$ and hence M is a generator-cogenerator for $\text{mod}B$. Moreover, proposition proves that $\text{gl.dim End}_B(M) \leq 3$ and thus $\text{rep.dim}B \leq 3$. \square

We are going to see some applications of our main theorem. Let A be basic connected finite dimensional algebra, A is said to be of minimal infinite type if it is of infinite representation type and for each vertex e in the quiver of A we have that A/AeA is of finite type. Recall that for any cluster-tilted algebra B with a vertex e in the quiver of B we have that B/BeB is again a cluster-tilted algebra(see [9] section 2).

So, as a consequence of the theorem, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.9. *Let B minimal cluster-tilted of infinite representation type. Then $\text{rep.dim}B = 3$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Assem, T.Brüstle, R.Schiffler, , Cluster-tilted algebras and slices, J. Algebra 319, 3464–3479,2008.
- [2] I. Assem, M. I. Platzeck, S. Trepode, On the representation dimension of tilted and lura algebras, J.Algebra 296, 426439, 2006.
- [3] M.Auslander, representation dimension of artin algebras, Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, London, 1971.
- [4] M.Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86, no. 1, 111-152, 1991.
- [5] M.Auslander, S.Smalø, Postprojective modules over artin algebras, COMPLETEAR
- [6] A. Buan, R. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, G. Todorov, Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics, Adv. Math. 204, no. 2, 572618, 2006.
- [7] A. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten, Cluster-tilted algebras of finite representation type. J. Algebra, 306(2):412431, 2006.
- [8] A. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten, Cluster-tilted algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 359(1):323332 (electronic), 2007.
- [9] A. Buan, R. Marsh, I. Reiten, Cluster mutation via quiver representations.????
- [10] A. Buan, I. reiten, A. Seven, Tame concealed algebras and cluster quivers of minimal infinite type, J. of pure and applied algebra, Vol. 211, N 1, 71-82, 2007.

- [11] F. Coelho, M.I. Platzeck, On the representation dimension of some classes of algebras, *J. Algebra* 275 615628, 2004.
- [12] D. Happel, C. Ringel. Tilted algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 274, no. 2, 399443, 1982.
- [13] K. Igusa, G. Todorov, On the finitistic global dimension conjecture for artin algebras, in "Representations of algebras and related topics",pp 201-204 *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2005.
- [14] O. Iyama, Finiteness of representation dimension, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 10111014, 2003.
- [15] R. Marsh, M. Reineke, A. Zelevinsky, intro
- [16] C. Ringel, Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1099, Springer- Verlag, 1984.
- [17] C. Ringel, The regular components of the AuslanderReiten quiver of a tilted algebra, *Chinese Ann. Math.* 98 (1) 118, 1988.
- [18] R. Rouquier, On the representation dimension of exterior lgebras. *Invent. math.* 165, 357-367, 2006.
- [19] S. Fomin, A.Zelevinsky, Cluster Algebras I: Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15, no. 2, , 497529, 2002.

DEPTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEYN, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE MAR DEL PLATA, 7600,
MAR DEL PLATA, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: agonzalezchaio@gmail.com

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES, FUNDACIONES 3350, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE MAR DEL PLATA, 7600 MAR DEL PLATA, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: strepode@mdp.edu.ar