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#### Abstract

In association with a finite dimensional algebra $A$ of global dimension two, we consider the endomorphism algebra of $A$, viewed as an object in the triangulated hull of the orbit category of the bounded derived category, in the sense of Amiot. We characterize the algebras A of global dimension two such that its endomorphism algebra is isomorphic to a cluster-tilted algebra with a cyclically oriented quiver. Furthermore, in the case that the cluster tilted algebra with a cyclically oriented quiver is of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type then A is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra of the same type.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field $k$. We denote by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A)$ the bounded derived category of the category of finite-dimensional (left) $A$-modules. We denote by $\tau$ the Auslander-Reiten translation and by $S$ the suspension of $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A)$.
Amiot showed in [1] that if the global dimension of $A$ is less than or equal to two then the orbit category $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A) / \tau^{-1} S$ can be embedded fully faithfully in a triangulated category $\mathcal{C}_{A}$, called the cluster category of $A$. This embedding is an equivalence if $A$ is a hereditary algebra. In any case, $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(A)$ is isomorphic to the tensor algebra $\mathrm{C}(A):=\mathrm{T}_{A}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathrm{D} A, A)\right)$. We say that the algebra $A$ is derived equivalent to the algebra $B$, if $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A)$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(B)$ are triangle equivalent categories. If $A$ is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra $H=k Q$ then the algebra $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is called cluster-tilted algebra of type $Q$.

We say that a quiver $Q$ is cyclically oriented, if each chordless cycle is cyclically oriented, see [8] and Section 3. We say that two paths $\gamma$ and $\delta$ in a quiver are parallel (resp. antiparallel) if the have the same start $s(\gamma)=s(\delta)$ and end vertex $e(\gamma)=e(\delta)$ (resp. if $e(\gamma)=s(\delta)$ and $s(\gamma)=e(\delta)$ ). It follows from [8] and [16] that cluster-tilted algebras of Dynkin type $Q$ are characterized by the fact that all the quivers in the mutation class of the quiver $Q$, in the sense of [20], are cyclically oriented.

In this article we consider the problem of characterizing the algebras $A$ of global dimension two, having $\mathrm{C}(A)$ isomorphic to a cluster-tilted algebra $C$. We solve the problem when the the quiver $Q_{C}$ of $C$ is cyclically oriented. For solving this

[^0]problem, it was necessary to give an explicit description of the defining relations of the class of cluster-tilted algebras with a cyclically oriented quiver. This description generalizes the result proved in [15] in the case that $C$ is a cluster-tilted of finite representation type. We recall that a relation $\rho$ is called minimal if whenever $\rho=\sum_{i} \beta_{i} \rho_{i} \gamma_{i}$ where $\rho_{i}$ is a relation for every $i$, then $\beta_{i}$ and $\gamma_{i}$ are scalars for some index $i$, (see [15]).
Proposition 1.1. If $C$ is a cluster-tilted algebra of any type whose quiver $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented, then to each arrow $\alpha$, belonging to an oriented cycle, the sum $\rho_{\alpha}$ of all paths which are antiparallel to $\alpha$ is a for $C$. Moreover these are all minimal relations for $C$.

We obtain this result as consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.6.
Given a quiver $Q$, a subset $\Sigma$ of the set of arrows is called an admissible cut if $\Sigma$ contains exactly one arrow of each chordless cycle in $Q$ which is oriented. The notion of admissible cuts was firstly introduced in [18] and [19] as cutting sets. The quotient obtained by deleting these arrows is called quotient by an admissible cut, see section 2 for a precise definition.
In this work we show that if $C$ is a cluster-tilted algebra whose quiver $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented, then $C$ admits an admissible cut. Even more, each arrow of $Q_{C}$, contained in an oriented cycle, is also contained in an admissible cut, see 3.8. We provide a necessary and sufficient condition over $A$ such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is isomorphic to a cluster tilted algebra with a cyclically oriented quiver. We are in a position to state now our main result.

Theorem 1.2. Let $A$ be an algebra with gldim $A \leq 2$, such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is a finite dimensional algebra, and let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra of any type with a cyclically oriented quiver. Then, $\mathrm{C}(A) \simeq C$ if and only if $A$ is the quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut.

This result is shown in Section 4.
We consider the following question for a given quiver $Q$ and $T$ a cluster-tilting object in $\mathcal{C}_{k Q}$ : is it true for an algebra $A$ with gldim $A \leq 2$ that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(A) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{k Q}}(T)$ implies that $A$ is derived equivalent to $k Q$ ? This question has a negative answer as shows the following example.
Example 1.3. Let $B=k Q_{B} / I_{B}$ (resp. $C=k Q_{C} / I_{C}$ ) be the quotient of the path algebra of the quiver $Q_{B}$ (resp. $Q_{C}$ ) as shown in the following picture on the left (resp. right) and $I_{B}=\langle\gamma \varphi\rangle$ (resp. $I_{C}=\langle\varphi \eta\rangle$ ).


Then $\mathcal{C}_{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{B} \simeq \mathcal{C}_{k \tilde{A}_{3,1}}$ but $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(B) \not 千 \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(C)$.
In the following, we want to show that there are interesting classes of hereditary algebras $H=k Q$ for which the answer is always affirmative. In the case that $C$
is a cluster-tilted algebra of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$ with a cyclically oriented quiver, we show that if the algebra $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is isomorphic to $C$ then $A$ must necessarily be derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra of type $\Delta$. More than that, we have the following statement which provides a necessary and sufficient condition.

Theorem 1.4. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra with gldim $A \leq 2$, such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ has a cyclically oriented quiver. Then, $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is cluster-tilted of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$ if and only if $A$ is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra $H$ of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$.

A closely related problem was considered by C. Amiot and S. Oppermann in [4, 5]. They studied when two algebras of global dimension 2 give rise to the same cluster category, and under which assumptions they become derived equivalent algebras. The authors give an answer to this question in terms of Galois coverings.

## 2. Preliminary results

For $A$ an algebra, let $\mathrm{R}(A)=A \ltimes \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2}(\mathrm{D} A, A)$ be the relation extension of $A$, see also [2].

Lemma 2.1. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra with $\operatorname{gldim} A \leq 2$ and denote $\mathrm{C}(A)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(A)$. Then, there is a sequence of algebra homomorphisms

$$
A \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathrm{C}(A) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{R}(A) \xrightarrow{\mu} A
$$

whose composition is the identity. If $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is a finite-dimensional algebra, then $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is a split extension of $A$.

Proof. Observe first that $A \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A)}(A)$ is naturally embedded in $\operatorname{End}_{\Phi}(A)$, where $\Phi=\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(A) / F$ is the orbit category. Since the embedding of $\Phi$ in $\mathcal{C}_{A}$ is fully faithful, we have $\operatorname{End}_{\Phi}(A) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(A)$. This defines the homomorphism $\iota$. Since $C=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}_{A}}(A)$ is naturally isomorphic to the tensor algebra $\mathrm{T}_{A}\left(\operatorname{Ext}^{2}(\mathrm{D} A, A)\right)$, we have that $\mathrm{R}(A)$ is a natural quotient of $C$. And hence so is $A$ of $\mathrm{R}(A)$.

We recall the following definition from [9].
Definition 2.2 (Quotient by an admissible cut). Let $C=k Q_{C} / I$ be an algebra given by a quiver $Q_{C}$ and an admissible ideal $I$. A quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut (or an admissible cut of $C$ ) is an algebra of the form $k Q_{C} /\langle I \cup \Delta\rangle$ where $\Delta$ is an admissible cut of $Q_{C}$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra with $\operatorname{gldim} A \leq 2$ and such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is finite-dimensional. Then $A$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{R}(A)$ by an admissible cut if and only if $A$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{C}(A)$ by an admissible cut.

Proof. The proof is done similarly as in [9, Remark 4.15]. The proof of both implications is done simultaneously. Suppose that $A$ is an admissible cut of $B=$ $\mathrm{R}(A)$ (resp. of $B=\mathrm{C}(A))$. Then by Lemma 2.1 the algebras $\mathrm{R}(A)$ and $\mathrm{C}(A)$ are both split extensions of $A$ and by [1, Proposition 4.16] they have the same quiver. Hence the quiver $Q_{A}$ is obtained from the quiver $Q_{B}$ by some admissible cut $\Delta$.

Let $J$ be the ideal of $k Q_{B}$ such that $A=\left(k Q_{B}\right) / J$. Clearly, we have $I_{B} \cup \Delta \subseteq J$ and hence $\left\langle I_{B} \cup \Delta\right\rangle \subseteq J$. We now prove that also the converse contention holds. Let $\rho$ be a relation of $k Q_{B}$ which does belong to $J$. Write $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_{i} \rho_{i}$ for some non-zero scalars $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}$ and some parallel paths $\rho_{i}=\rho_{i, N_{i}} \cdots \rho_{i, 1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. If $\rho_{i, j}$ belongs to $\Delta$ we have that $\rho^{\prime}=\rho-\lambda_{i} \rho_{i}$ belongs to $J$ and hence inductively over the number of summands $t$ we obtain that $\rho^{\prime}$ (and hence also $\rho$ ) belongs to $\left\langle I_{B} \cup \Delta\right\rangle$. So it remains to consider the case where no path $\rho_{i}$ contains an arrow of $\Delta$.
Then $\rho$ can be considered as an element $k Q_{A}$. Let $\pi$ and $\mu$ be the canonical maps as mentioned in Lemma 2.1. Then, in case we supposed that $A$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{C}(A)$ by an admissible cut we argue as follows: we have $\bar{\rho}=\pi \bar{\rho}$, where $\bar{\rho}$ denotes both the class of $\rho$ in the quotient $k Q_{\mathrm{C}(A)} / I_{\mathrm{C}(A)}$ and $k Q_{A} / I_{A}$. Then $0=\mu(\bar{\rho})=\mu \pi(\bar{\rho})=\bar{\rho}$ shows that indeed $\rho$ belongs to $I_{\mathrm{C}(A)}$. This shows that $A$ is an admissible cut of $\mathrm{C}(A)$.
In case we supposed that $A$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{R}(A)$ by an admissible cut then $\mu(\rho)=0$ shows that $\rho=\mu \pi \iota(\rho)=0$ showing that $\rho \in I_{A} \subseteq I_{\mathrm{C}(A)}$. Again, we have that $A$ is the quotient of $\mathrm{C}(A)$.

## 3. Cyclically oriented quivers

3.1. Shortest paths and chordless cycles. We recall from [8] the following definitions.
Definition 3.1. A walk of length $p$ in a quiver $Q$ is a $(2 p+1)$-tuple

$$
w=\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}, x_{p-1}, \alpha_{p-1}, \ldots, x_{1}, \alpha_{1}, x_{0}\right)
$$

such that for all $i$ we have $x_{i} \in Q_{0}, \alpha \in Q_{1}$ and $\left\{s\left(\alpha_{i}\right), e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right\}=\left\{x_{p}, x_{p-1}\right\}$. The walk $w$ is oriented if either $s\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=x_{p-1}$ and $e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=x_{p}$ for all $i$ or $s\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=x_{p}$ and $e\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=x_{p-1}$ for all $i$. Furthermore, $w$ is called a cycle if $x_{0}=x_{p}$. A cycle of length 1 is called a loop. We often omit the vertices and abbreviate $w$ by $\alpha_{p} \cdots \alpha_{1}$. An oriented walk is also called path.
A cycle $c=\left(x_{p}, \alpha_{p}, \ldots, x_{1}, \alpha_{1}, x_{p}\right)$ is called non-intersecting if its vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$ are pairwise distinct. A non-intersecting cycle of length 2 is called 2-cycle. If $c$ is a non-intersecting cycle then any arrow $\beta \in Q \backslash\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{p}\right\}$ with $\{s(\beta), e(\beta)\} \subseteq$ $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}$ is called a chord of $c$. A cycle $c$ is called chordless if it is nonintersecting and there is no chord of $c$.
A quiver $Q$ without loop and 2-cycle is call cyclically oriented if each chordless cycle is oriented. Note that this implies that there are no multiple arrows in $Q$. A quiver without oriented cycle is called acyclic and an algebra whose quiver is acyclic is called triangular.
Remark 3.2. The easiest cyclically oriented quiver is clearly a single oriented cycle. We denote by $C_{n}$ the cyclically oriented cycle with $n$ vertices. Observe that for each $n$ there exists a cluster-tilted algebra $A$ having a quiver isomorphic to $C_{n}$, namely the algebra $k C_{n} / \operatorname{rad}^{n-1}$ where $\operatorname{rad}^{n-1}$ is the ideal generated by all paths of length $n-1$. By [15], there exists, up to isomorphism only one cluster-tilted algebra with predefined quiver and hence we always must have all compositions of $n-1$ arrows to be the minimal relations of $A$ whenever $A$ is cluster-tilted and $Q_{A}=C_{n}$.

Definition 3.3. A path $\gamma$ which is antiparallel to an arrow $\eta$ in a quiver $Q$ is a shortest path if the full subquiver generated by the induced oriented cycle $\eta \gamma$ is chordless. A path $\gamma=\left(x_{0} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}} x_{1} \xrightarrow{x}{ }_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{L}\right)$ is called shortest directed path if there exists no arrow $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}$ in $Q$ with $1 \leq i+1<j \leq L$. A walk $\gamma=\left(x_{0}-x_{1}-x_{2}-\cdots-x_{L}\right)$ is called a shortest walk if there is no edge joining $x_{i}$ with $x_{j}$ with $1 \leq i+1<j \leq L$ and $(i, j) \neq(0, L)$ (we write a horizontal line to indicate an arrow oriented in one of the two possible ways).

Lemma 3.4. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver. Suppose that $\gamma$ is a shortest directed path in a quiver $Q$ which is antiparallel to some arrow $\eta$. Then $\eta \gamma$ is a chordless cycle. Conversely if $\eta \gamma$ is an oriented chordless cycle, then $\gamma$ is a shortest directed path.

Proof. Let

$$
\gamma=\left(x_{0} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}} x_{1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{2}} x_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{L-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{L}} x_{L}\right)
$$

and denote by $Q^{\prime}$ the full subquiver of $Q$ given by the vertices of $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{L}$. Suppose now that there exists a chord in the cycle $\eta \gamma$. Let $r$ be maximal with $1<r \leq L$ such that there exists a chord $x_{r} \rightarrow x_{s}$ in $Q^{\prime}$ with $s<r$. Choose such a chord such that $s$ is minimal. Then look at the full subquiver $S^{(1)}$ given by the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}, x_{r} \ldots, x_{L}$. Now there is no arrow connecting a vertex $v_{i}$ with $i \geq r$ with a vertex $v_{j}$ with $j \neq i \pm 1$. Thus if there exists an arrow $x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}$ in $S^{(1)}$ for some $j<i$ then $i \leq s$. Take $i$ maximal and then $j$ minimal and look at the subquiver $S^{(2)}$ given by the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, x_{i}, \ldots, x_{s}, x_{r} \ldots, x_{L}$. Inductively we obtain a non-oriented walk $\delta$ which forms a non-oriented chordless cycle with $\eta$, in contradiction to the hypothesis on $Q$.
The converse statement follows immediately from the definitions.
Proposition 3.5. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver. Then for any arrow $\eta$, which belongs to an oriented cycle, each two distinct shortest paths antiparallel to $\eta$ share only the starting vertex and the end vertex. Hence the diagram of all cycles containing $\eta$ looks as follows.


Proof. Let $\eta: y \rightarrow x$ and $\delta_{1}=\alpha_{m} \cdots \alpha_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}=\beta_{n} \cdots \beta_{1}$ be two shortest paths antiparallel to $\eta$. Let $\varepsilon_{1}=\alpha_{j} \alpha_{j-1} \cdots \alpha_{i}$ be a subpath of $\delta_{1}$ which is parallel to a subpath $\varepsilon_{2}=\beta_{\ell} \cdots \beta_{k}$ of $\delta_{2}$.
Suppose that $\varepsilon_{1}$ is a proper subpath of $\delta_{1}$, that is, $i>1$ or $j<m$. Then also $\varepsilon_{2}$ is a proper subpath of $\delta_{2}$. Then

$$
z_{i} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{i}} z_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow z_{j} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{j}} z_{j+1}=z_{\ell+1}^{\prime} \stackrel{\beta_{\ell}}{\longleftarrow} z_{\ell} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow z_{k+1}^{\prime} \stackrel{\beta_{k}}{\longleftarrow} z_{k}^{\prime}=z_{i}
$$

is a non-oriented cycle and hence by hypothesis not chordless. If no chord would end in $z_{i}=z_{k}^{\prime}$ then there would exist a non-oriented chordless cycle containing the arrows $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{k}$, in contradiction to the hypothesis. Hence there must exist a
chord ending in $z_{i}=z_{k}^{\prime}$, say $\varphi: z_{h} \rightarrow z_{i}$ for some $i+1<h \leq j+1$, in contradiction to Lemma 3.4.

Proposition 3.6. Let $Q$ be a connected, cyclically oriented quiver and $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ be the paths which are antiparallel to an arrow $\eta: y \rightarrow x$. Further let $Q^{\prime}$ be the full subquiver of $Q$ given by the vertices $Q_{0} \backslash\{x, y\}$ and for each $i=1, \ldots, t$ let $\Gamma_{i}$ be the connected component of $Q^{\prime}$ containing the vertices of $\delta_{i}$ distinct from $x$ and $y$.


Then $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{t}$ are pairwise disjoint subquivers of $Q^{\prime}$.

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists in $Q^{\prime}$ a non-oriented walk between $\delta_{i} \backslash\{x, y\}$ and $\delta_{j} \backslash\{x, y\}$ for some $i \neq j$. To fix notation, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{i} & =\left(x=a_{1} \longrightarrow a_{2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow a_{m}=y\right) \\
\delta_{j} & =\left(x=b_{1} \longrightarrow b_{2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow b_{n}=y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
\sigma=\left(a_{m^{\prime}}=c_{1}-c_{2}-\cdots-c_{p}=b_{n^{\prime}}\right)
$$

be a walk of shortest length $p$. Then

$$
x=a_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow a_{m^{\prime}}=c_{1}-c_{2} \longleftarrow \cdots-c_{p}=b_{n^{\prime}} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow b_{1}=x
$$

is a non-oriented cycle and hence not chordless. However by Proposition 3.5 and the minimality of the length of $\sigma$, no chord can exist between two points of $\left\{a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m^{\prime}}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p-1}, b_{n^{\prime}}, \ldots, b_{2}\right\}$. Therefore all chords join $x$ with a vertex $c_{p^{\prime}}$ with $1<p^{\prime}<p$ and we may assume $p^{\prime}$ to be minimal with that property. Consequently

$$
x=a_{1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow a_{m^{\prime}}=c_{1}-c_{2}-\cdots-c_{p^{\prime}}-x
$$

is a chordless cycle and therefore oriented. This shows that the edge $a_{m^{\prime}}-c_{2}$ is oriented towards $c_{2}$, that is, $a_{m^{\prime}} \longrightarrow c_{2}$. Now,

$$
y=a_{m} \longleftarrow a_{m-1} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow a_{m^{\prime}} \longrightarrow c_{2} \longleftarrow \cdots-c_{p}=b_{n^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow b_{n}=y
$$

is a non-oriented cycle for which no chord can end in $a_{m^{\prime}}$. Hence $Q$ contains a non-oriented chordless cycle, a contradiction.

Proposition 3.7. Let $Q$ be a connected cyclically oriented quiver and let $Q^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{t}$ be as in Proposition 3.6. Furthermore, let $Q^{\prime \prime}$ be the subquiver of $Q$ obtained by deleting $\Gamma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Gamma_{t}$ and also the arrow $\eta$ (but not $x$ and $y$ ). Then define $\bar{\Gamma}_{x}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{\Gamma}_{y}\right)$ to be the connected component of $Q^{\prime \prime}$ which contains $x$ (resp. $y)$. Furthermore, for $i=1, \ldots, t$, let $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}$ be the subquiver of $Q$ obtained from the full subquiver of $Q$ on the vertices $\Gamma_{i} \cup\{x, y\}$ by removing the arrow $\eta$.


Then each chordless cycle of $Q$ different from $\eta \delta_{1}, \ldots, \eta \delta_{t}$ is contained in one of the subquivers $\bar{\Gamma}_{x}, \bar{\Gamma}_{y}, \bar{\Gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\Gamma}_{t}$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{x}=\bar{\Gamma}_{x} \backslash\{x\}$ and $\Gamma_{y}=\bar{\Gamma}_{y} \backslash\{y\}$ as full subquivers of $Q^{\prime}$. Then $\Gamma_{x}$ and $\Gamma_{y}$ are disjoint in $Q^{\prime}$. Otherwise there would exist a connection

$$
\sigma=\left(x=c_{1}-c_{2}-\cdots-c_{p}=y\right)
$$

in $Q^{\prime \prime}$ with $c_{2}, \ldots, c_{p-1} \in \Gamma_{x} \cup \Gamma_{y}$. A minimal such connection together with $\eta$ would be a chordless cycle, hence oriented and therefore equal to one of the cycles $\eta \delta_{1}, \ldots, \eta \delta_{t}$, a contradiction to the fact that $\Gamma_{x}, \Gamma_{y}$ are disjoint by definition from $\Gamma_{i}$ for any $i=1, \ldots, t$.
Therefore $\Gamma_{x}, \Gamma_{y}, \Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{t}$ are pairwise disconnected in $Q^{\prime}$. This shows that a chordless cycle which does not contain $x$ or $y$ lies in one of these components of $Q^{\prime}$.

Let $\gamma$ be a chordless cycle in $Q$ which contains the vertex $x$. If $\gamma$ contains also $y$ then it must contain $\eta$ and therefore it must be one of the cycles $\eta \delta_{1}, \ldots, \eta \delta_{t}$.
Now suppose that $y$ is not a vertex of $\gamma$. Then we first suppose that $\gamma$ contains one or more arrows of $\delta_{i}$. Thus, let

$$
\gamma=\left(x \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} x_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{k} \xrightarrow{\beta_{k}} y_{k+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow y_{m} \xrightarrow{\beta_{m}} y_{m+1}=x\right)
$$

where $x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ are vertices of $\delta_{i}$ but $y_{k}$ is not. Then, by definition $y_{k}$ belongs to $\Gamma_{i}$. Inductively, we see that $y_{h}$ also belongs to $\Gamma_{i}$ or $y_{h}=x$ (since $y_{h}=y$ is impossible, by hypothesis), showing that $\gamma$ is contained in $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}$.
It remains to consider the case where $x$ is the only vertex of (1) belonging to $\gamma$. In that case we have

$$
\gamma=\left(x \xrightarrow{\beta_{1}} y_{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow y_{m} \xrightarrow{\beta_{m}} y_{m+1}=x\right)
$$

and very similar argument works depending whether $y_{1}$ belongs to $\Gamma_{i}$ for some $i$ or to $\Gamma_{x}$. In any case, it follows inductively that all other vertices must also belong to the same component, showing that $\gamma$ is contained in $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}$ or $\bar{\Gamma}_{x}$.

The case where $y$ belongs to $\gamma$ but $x$ is not is handled completely similar.

### 3.2. Existence of admissible cuts.

Proposition 3.8. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver. Then for each arrow $\alpha$ which belongs to an oriented cycle, there exists an admissible cut $\Sigma$ which contains $\alpha$.

Proof. Let $\gamma=\alpha_{m} \cdots \alpha_{2} \alpha_{1}$ be an oriented chordless cycle with $\alpha_{1}=\alpha$ and set $\eta=\alpha_{m}$. Then let $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ be the shortest paths which are antiparallel to $\eta$. We assume without loss of generality that $\delta_{1}==\alpha_{m-1} \cdots \alpha_{1}$. To fix notation let $\delta_{i}=\beta_{i, n_{i}} \cdots \beta_{i, 1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, t$. Then define $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha, \beta_{2,1}, \ldots, \beta_{t, 1}\right\}$.

Let $\eta: y \rightarrow x$ and define $Q^{\prime}=Q \backslash\{x, y\}$ and $\Gamma_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ as in Proposition 3.6 and $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}$ as in Proposition 3.7. By induction on the number of arrows, there exists an admissible cut $\Sigma_{i}$ in $\bar{\Gamma}_{i}$ with $\beta_{i, 1} \in \Sigma_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$ (where $\beta_{1,1}=\alpha$ ). Furthermore, again by induction hypothesis there exist admissible cuts $\Sigma_{x}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_{x}$ and $\Sigma_{y}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}_{y}$. By Proposition 3.7, the set $\Sigma_{x} \cup \Sigma_{y} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_{t}$ is an admissible cut of $Q$.

Lemma 3.9. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver and $\Sigma$ an admissible cut of $Q$. Then the quiver $Q^{\prime}$, obtained from $Q$ by removing the arrows $\Sigma$, has no bypass, that is, an arrow parallel to a path.

Proof. Denote by $Q^{\prime}=Q \backslash \Sigma$ the quiver obtained from $Q$ by deleting the arrows $\Sigma$. We first show that no arrow $\eta$ in $Q^{\prime}$ is parallel to a shortest directed path $\gamma$ in $Q^{\prime} \backslash\{\eta\}$. Assume otherwise. Then $\gamma$ is not an arrow since $Q$ has no multiple arrows. To fix the notation, let

$$
\gamma=\left(x_{1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}} x_{2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m-1}} x_{m}\right)
$$

Since $\eta \gamma$ is non-oriented there must exist a chord. In fact, there must exist a chord in $Q \backslash Q^{\prime}$ ending in $x_{1}$, since otherwise we would have a non-oriented chordless cycle in $Q$ containing the arrows $\eta$ and $\gamma_{1}$. Let $s_{1}$ be maximal with $2<s_{1}<m$ such that there exists a chord $\beta_{1}: x_{s_{1}} \rightarrow x_{1}$. Now, the cycle

$$
x_{1} \stackrel{\beta_{1}}{\longleftarrow} x_{s_{1}} \xrightarrow[\gamma_{s_{1}}]{\longrightarrow} x_{s_{1}+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_{m-1} \longrightarrow x_{m} \stackrel{\eta}{\leftarrow} x_{1}
$$

is non-oriented. Inductively we get a sequence of arrows which together with $\eta$ form an oriented cycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=x_{s_{0}} \stackrel{\beta_{1}}{\longleftarrow} x_{s_{1}} \stackrel{\beta_{2}}{\longleftarrow} x_{s_{2}} \longleftarrow \cdots \longleftarrow x_{s_{t}} \beta_{t}^{\beta_{t}} x_{s_{t+1}}=x_{m} \stackrel{\eta}{\leftarrow} x_{1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This cycle is chordless: by the maximality the indices $s_{j}$ there exists no chord $x_{s_{i}} \longleftarrow x_{s_{j}}$ for $i<j+1$ and since $\gamma$ is a shortest directed path in $Q \backslash\{\eta\}$ there exists no chord in the opposite direction either. This contradicts the fact that $\Sigma$ is an admissible cut since $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{t} \in Q_{1} \backslash Q_{1}^{\prime}=\Sigma$ belong to the cycle (2) and $t>1$ since $Q$ does not contain 2-cycles.
Now, if there is an oriented path $\gamma$ parallel to $\eta$ which is not a shortest directed path. Then there exists a shortest directed path $\gamma^{\prime}$ parallel to $\eta$ and we are done by the previous argument.

Proposition 3.10. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver and $\Sigma$ an admissible cut of $Q$. Then the quiver $Q^{\prime}$ obtained from $Q$ by removing the arrows $\Sigma$, is directed, that is, each cycle in $Q$ is non-oriented.

Proof. We first show that there doesn't exist an oriented cycle

$$
\gamma=\left(x_{1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}} x_{2} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow x_{m-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m-1}} x_{m} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m}} x_{1}\right)
$$

in $Q^{\prime}$ which is chordless. Assume otherwise. Then this cycle can not be chordless in $Q$ since $\Sigma$ is an admissible cut. Let $\delta_{1}: x_{i} \rightarrow x_{j}$ be a chord of $\gamma$. After possibly renumbering the vertices of $\gamma$, we may assume without loss of generality, that $i>j=1$ and that $i$ is maximal. We then set $n_{1}=i$ and observe that

$$
x_{1} \stackrel{\delta_{1}}{\longleftrightarrow} x_{n_{1}} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{n_{1}}} x_{n_{1}+1} \rightarrow \cdot \rightarrow x_{x} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{m}} x_{1}
$$

is an non-oriented cycle in $Q$ and therefore can not be chordless. Since $x_{n_{1}}$ is a source of this cycle there must exist a chord $\delta_{2}: x_{n_{2}} \rightarrow x_{n_{1}}$ ending in $x_{n_{1}}$. Again we assume that $\delta_{2}$ is chosen such that $n_{2}$ is maximal. Proceeding this way we find an oriented cycle

$$
\delta=\left(x_{1} \stackrel{\delta_{1}}{\leftarrow} x_{n_{1}} \stackrel{\delta_{2}}{\leftarrow} x_{n_{2}} \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow x_{n_{t}} \stackrel{\delta_{t}}{\leftarrow} x_{1}\right)
$$

whose arrows all belong to $\Sigma=Q_{1} \backslash Q_{1}^{\prime}$. Now, if the cycle $\delta$ is not chordless then there exists a chord $\varepsilon: x_{n_{1}} \rightarrow x_{n_{j}}$ dividing $\delta$ into two cycles of smaller length, one of them oriented the other non-oriented. Proceeding with the former we get inductively an oriented chordless cycle in $Q$ which belongs to $\Sigma$ in contradiction to $\Sigma$ being an admissible cut.

## 4. Cluster-tilted algebras whose quiver is cyclically oriented

In this section we are going to construct the minimal relations of a cluster tilted algebra using only its ordinary quiver. By the other hand, it follows by [13] Corollary 6.8 , that the relations in a cluster tilted algebra come from a potential, but in order to construct this potential, we would need the minimal relations of the tilted algebra which give rise to the cluster tilted algebra. In our case we derive the relations directly from the ordinary quiver of the cluster tilted algebra.
4.1. Killing of idempotents. Let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra with quiver $Q$ and $e$ some idempotent of $C$. By [14], the quotient $C / C e C$ is again cluster-tilted. We shall use this result frequently when $e=\sum_{x \in I} e_{x}$ is the sum of trivial paths of some vertices $I \subset Q_{0}$ and call the quotient $C / C e C$ to be the algebra obtained by killing the vertices of $I$.
4.2. The homotopy relation. Given an arrow $\alpha$, we denote by $\alpha^{-1}$ its formal inverse. A walk in $Q$ from $x$ to $y$ is a formal composition $\alpha_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}} \alpha_{2}^{\epsilon_{2}} \cdots \alpha_{t}^{\epsilon_{t}}$ from $x$ to $y$, where $\alpha_{i} \in Q$ and $\epsilon_{i} \in\{1,-1\}$ for all i. The homotopy relation is the smallest equivalence relation in the set of walks in $Q$ such that:
a) For all $\alpha: x \rightarrow y$ we have $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \sim e_{x}$ y $\alpha^{-1} \alpha \sim e_{y}$.
b) For each minimal relation $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} w_{i}$, we have $w_{i} \sim w_{j}, \forall i, j$.
c) If $u \sim v$, then $w u w^{\prime} \sim w v w^{\prime}$, wherever this products are defined.

The set of the equivalence classes of the walks ending or starting in a fix point $x_{0}$ is a group, called the el fundamental group of $(Q, I)$, we denote by $\pi_{1}(Q, I)$.
A triangular algebra A is called simply connected if, for any presentation $\left(Q_{A}, I\right)$ of A, the group $\pi_{1}\left(Q_{A}, I\right)$ is trivial, [12]. A full subquiver $Q^{\prime}$ of $Q$ is called convex if for any two paths $\gamma, \delta$ with $e(\gamma)=s(\delta)$ and $s(\gamma), e(\delta) \in Q_{0}^{\prime}$ then $e(\gamma) \in Q_{0}^{\prime}$. If $Q^{\prime}$ is a full subquiver of $Q$ we denote $e_{Q^{\prime}}=\sum_{i \in Q_{0}^{\prime}} e_{i}$ and $A_{Q^{\prime}}=A e_{Q^{\prime}} A$. An algebra $A$ with quiver $Q$ is called strongly simply connected if for every full and convex subquiver $Q^{\prime}$ of $Q$ the algebra $A_{Q^{\prime}}$ is simply connected.

### 4.3. Relations which are antiparallel to arrows.

Proposition 4.1. Assume that $C$ is a cluster-tilted algebra whose quiver contains an arrow $\eta$ which is antiparallel to $t$ paths $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ which share only the starting
point and the end point as vertices, that is, the quiver of $C$ looks as shown in the following picture.


Then there exists a unique zero relation $\rho$ antiparallel to $\eta$ and $\rho=\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_{i} \delta_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq t$.

Proof. We proceed in steps.
(i) Assume that $x_{0}=x \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1}} x_{1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{2}} x_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow x_{L-1} \xrightarrow{\gamma_{L}} x_{L}=y$ is a shortest path in $Q_{C}$ and that there exists a minimal relation, $\rho=\sum_{k=1}^{t} \lambda_{k} \delta_{k}$, with $\delta_{1}=\gamma_{j} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}$ for some $0 \leq i<j \leq L$ then $i=0$ and $j=L$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the quotient obtained from $C$ by killing all vertices except those along the given path. A minimal relation in $C$ which has as non-zero summand the path $\gamma_{j} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}$ implies that $\gamma_{j} \cdots \gamma_{i+1}=0$ in $C^{\prime}$. Observe that the quiver $Q^{\prime}$ of $C^{\prime}$ is an oriented cycle. By [14] the algebra $C^{\prime}$ is again cluster-tilted and hence by Remark 3.2 there is a minimal zero relation $\gamma_{L} \cdots \gamma_{1}$ in $C^{\prime}$. Hence $i=0$ and $j=L$.
(ii) The shortest paths which are antiparallel to $\eta$ form one homotopy class in $C$.

Assume otherwise and choose two non-homotopic paths $\delta=\delta_{m} \cdots \delta_{1}$ and $\varepsilon=$ $\varepsilon_{n} \cdots \varepsilon_{1}$. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the quotient obtained from $C$ by killing all vertices which are not contained in these two paths. The quiver of $C^{\prime}$ looks then as follows.


The two arms are of length $m$ and $n$ respectively and we shall call this quiver $G(m, n)$. Since these two paths are non-homotopic, we must have two minimal zero relations $\delta=0$ and $\varepsilon=0$. The mutation of the quiver $Q_{C^{\prime}}$ in the vertex $t\left(\delta_{1}\right)$ and then killing this vertex gives the quiver $G(m-1, n)$. Observe that we still must have that both paths of length $n-1$ and $m$ respectively, are minimal zero relations since the algebra is obtained as quotient of $C$. Proceeding this way we get $G(2,2)$ which is occurs as quiver of a cluster-tilted algebra of type $D_{4}$, where the two paths of length 2 are non-zero but their sum forms a minimal zero relation. Hence we got a contradiction and all paths from $x$ to $y$ must be homotopic.
(iii) There exists precisely one minimal relation antiparallel to $\eta$.

Otherwise choose some minimal relation $\rho_{1}$ involving the paths $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{b}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{b} \lambda_{i} \delta_{i} \quad \text { with } \lambda_{i} \neq 0 \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq b \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all paths are homotopic there exists a second relation $\rho_{2}$ involving some of these paths and possibly more. We can assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{2}=\sum_{i=a}^{c} \mu_{i} \delta_{i} \quad \text { with } \mu_{i} \neq 0 \text { for } a \leq i \leq c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $1 \leq a \leq b \leq c$. If $a=1$ then we can replace $\rho_{2}$ by $\rho_{2}-\frac{\mu_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} \rho_{1}$. Similarly if $b=c$, we replace $\rho_{1}$ by $\rho_{1}-\frac{\lambda_{b}}{\mu_{b}} \rho_{2}$. After these replacements we get two relations (3) and (4) with $1<a \leq b<c$. Now kill all the idempotents involved in the paths except those in $\delta_{1}, \delta_{c}$. As a quotient we get an algebra $C$, which is cluster-tilted by [14] and whose quiver is $G(m, n)$ for some $m$ and $n$ with two zero relations, which is impossible by (ii).

Proposition 4.2. Let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra whose quiver is cyclically oriented. Then the following holds.
(R1) The arrows of $Q_{C}$, which occur in some oriented chordless cycle correspond bijectively to the minimal relations in any presentation of $C$.
(R2) Let $\eta$ be some arrow of $Q_{C}$ which occurs in some oriented chordless cycle and let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ be the shortest paths which are antiparallel to $\eta$. Then the minimal relation corresponding to $\eta$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_{i} \delta_{i}$ with $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i$. Moreover the quiver restricted to the vertices involved in all the paths $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ looks as shown in Proposition 4.1, in particular, the paths $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ share only the endpoints.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.5 and 4.1 that each arrow $\eta: y \rightarrow x$ corresponds to a unique minimal relation $\rho_{\eta}$ antiparallel to $\eta$. Conversely assume now that $\rho$ is a minimal relation. By [16, Lemma 7.2] there exists at least one arrow $\eta$ which is antiparallel to $\rho$ and since $C$ has no double arrows there is no other. This shows (R1).
(R2) follows from Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 4.1.
Example 4.3. The following example shows that the hypothesis that $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented is important. Let $C=\mu_{2}(k Q)$, the mutation in the vertex 2 of the path-algebra $k Q$, where the quiver $Q$ is as shown in the following picture.


All four paths $\alpha_{i}^{*} \beta_{j}^{*}$ for $i, j=1,2$ are zero. Hence there are four relations from 3 to 1 but five arrows antiparallel to them.
4.4. Algebras satisfying (R1) and (R2). In the following we want to show that the non-zero coefficients $\lambda_{\eta, i}^{C}$ appearing in Proposition 4.2 do not change the isomorphism class of the algebra. Therefore it will be useful to have some short notation.

Definition 4.4. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver. Let $Q_{1}^{\text {cyc }}$ be the set of all arrows of $Q$ which belong to a chordless cycle, and for each arrow $\alpha$ let $\rho_{\alpha}$ be the
sum of all paths antiparallel to $\alpha$ (with coefficients equal to 1 ). We then denote by $S(Q)$ the algebra $k Q /\langle R\rangle$ with $R=\left\{\rho_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in Q_{1}^{\text {cyc }}\right\}$.
Proposition 4.5. Let $Q$ be a cyclically oriented quiver. Then each algebra with quiver $Q$ whose relations satisfy (R1) and (R2) is isomorphic to the cyclically normalized algebra $S(Q)$.

Proof. Let $B$ be an algebra with quiver $Q$ satisfying (R1) and (R2). We shall denote the coefficients appearing in the relation (R2) as follows: the relation $\rho_{\eta}$ antiparallel to an arrow $\eta$ is $\rho_{\eta}=\sum_{i=1}^{t_{\eta}} \lambda_{\eta, i}^{B} \delta_{\eta, i}$, where $\delta_{\eta, 1}, \ldots, \delta_{\eta, t_{\eta}}$ are the paths antiparallel to $\eta$ and $\lambda_{\eta, i}^{B}$ are the non-zero coefficients. If not all coefficients $\lambda_{\eta, i}^{B}$ are equal to 1 then we construct explicitly an algebra $B^{\prime}$ with the same quiver and which also satisfies (R1) and (R2) but which has more coefficients equal to 1. By induction we hence get the result.
Let $\xi \in Q_{1}^{\text {cyc }}$ be an arrow such that the relation $\rho_{\xi}=\sum_{\delta} \lambda_{\xi, \delta}^{B} \delta$ of $B$ has some coefficient $\lambda_{\xi, \varphi}^{B} \neq 1$. Let $\alpha$ be an arrow of $\varphi$ and construct an admissible cut

$$
\Sigma=\Sigma_{x} \cup \Sigma_{y} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \Sigma_{t}
$$

containing $\alpha$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.8. Recall that by construction $\alpha$ belongs to $\Sigma_{1}$.
Let $B^{\prime}=k Q / I^{\prime}$ be the algebra, which is defined precisely by the same relations than $B$ with the unique exception that $\lambda_{\xi, \gamma}^{B^{\prime}}=1$ in $B^{\prime}$, that is $\lambda_{\eta, \delta}^{B^{\prime}}=\lambda_{\eta, \delta}^{B}$ whenever $(\eta, \delta) \neq(\xi, \gamma)$. Define the isomorphism $f: k Q \rightarrow k Q$ by $f\left(e_{z}\right)=e_{z}$ for each vertex $z$ and $f(\beta)=f_{\beta} \beta$ for each arrow $\beta$ where the coefficients $f_{\beta}$ are defined as follows: $f_{\beta}=\lambda_{\xi, \varphi}^{B}$ for each $\beta \in \Sigma_{1}$ (that is, $\beta$ lies in the same component as $\alpha$ ) and $f_{\beta}=1$ otherwise.
Then $f\left(\sum_{\xi} \lambda_{\xi, \delta}^{B^{\prime}} \delta\right)=\sum_{\xi} \lambda_{\xi, \delta}^{B} \delta$ and $f\left(\rho_{\eta}^{B^{\prime}}\right)=\rho_{\eta}^{B}$ for each $\eta \neq \xi$ since parallel relations in $Q$ which are not antiparallel to $\xi$ lie in the same component of $\bar{\Gamma}_{x}, \bar{\Gamma}_{y}, \bar{\Gamma}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{\Gamma}_{t}$ by Proposition 3.7.

Corollary 4.6. If $C$ is a cluster-tilted algebra whose quiver $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented then $C$ is isomorphic to the algebra $S\left(Q_{C}\right)$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.5.
4.5. Admissible cuts. An algebra $A$ with connected quiver $Q$ with no oriented cycles is called simply connected if for each presentation $(Q, I)$ of $A$ the fundamental group $\pi(Q, I)$ is trivial, for precise definitions we refer to [12] and [23].

Proposition 4.7. Let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra such that $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented. Then each quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut is strongly simply connected.

Proof. We know from Proposition 3.10 that the quiver $Q_{A}$ of the cut $A$ of $C$ is directed. Now, by Proposition 4.2, we know that for each full and convex subalgebra $B^{\prime}$ of $B$ and any two vertices $x$ and $y$ of $Q_{B^{\prime}}$ the paths from $x$ to $y$ in $Q_{B^{\prime}}$ form a unique homotopy class. Therefore $B^{\prime}$ is simply connected. This shows that $B$ is strongly simply connected.

Theorem 4.8. Let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra whose quiver is cyclically oriented and let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra with $\operatorname{gldim} A \leq 2$, such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is a finite dimensional algebra. Then $\mathrm{C}(A) \simeq C$ if and only if $A$ is the quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut.

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{C}(A) \simeq C$. We know from [1] that $Q_{\mathrm{C}(A)}=Q_{\mathrm{R}(A)}$. Let $\pi$ be the canonical projection $\pi: \mathrm{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}(A)$. Since $\pi$ is an epimorphism of algebras we infer that if $\rho$ is a minimal relation for $\mathrm{C}(A)$ then $\pi(\rho)$ is a minimal relation for $\mathrm{R}(A)$.
By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that $A$ the quotient of $\mathrm{R}(A)$ by an admissible cut. Take any presentation of $A$ and extend it to a presentation of $\mathrm{R}(A)$. Since $Q_{\mathrm{C}(A)}=Q_{\mathrm{R}(A)}$ and there are no multiple arrows in $\mathrm{R}(A)$, no arrow can be parallel to a minimal relation in $A$.
Now, let $\delta=\delta_{t} \delta_{t-1} \cdots \delta_{1}$ be a chordless oriented circle in $Q_{C}$ with $\delta_{1} \notin \Phi$, where $\Phi$ is the set of arrows of $Q_{C}$ that are not arrows of $A$.
By Proposition 4.2, there exists a minimal relation $\rho$ antiparallel to $\delta_{1}$, which has the path $\gamma=\delta_{t} \cdots \delta_{2}$ as summand. By the above $\mu(\rho)$ cannot be a minimal relation for $A$, and therefore at least one of the arrows $\delta_{2}, \ldots, \delta_{t}$ belongs to $\Phi$.
Reorder the indices of the cycle such that $\delta_{t} \in \Phi$. Then there exists a minimal relation $\rho$ for $A$ antiparallel to $\delta_{t}$. Since $\mathrm{R}(A)$ is a relation-extension of $A$, the relation $\rho$ is also a minimal relation for $\mathrm{R}(A)$. But for $\mathrm{R}(A)$ there exists a unique relation antiparallel to $\delta_{t}$, and this unique relation contains $\gamma=\delta_{t-1} \cdots \delta_{1}$ as summand. This shows that none of the arrows $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{t-1}$ belongs to $\Phi$. Altogether, we have proved that of each oriented chordless cycle precisely one arrow belongs to $\Phi$.
For the reverse implication suppose that $A$ is the quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut, and let $\Phi$ be the set of arrows of $Q_{C}$ which do not belong to $Q_{A}$. By definition of admissible cut, each arrow $\gamma \in \Phi$ belongs to an oriented cycle and therefore corresponds to a relation $\rho_{\gamma}$ which is antiparallel to $\gamma$ by Proposition 4.2. Therefore, the quiver $Q_{\mathrm{R}(A)}$ of the relation extension of $A$ is isomorphic to the quiver $Q_{C}$ and also to the quiver $Q_{\mathrm{C}(A)}$ of $\mathrm{C}(A)$. Then by [13], Corollary 2.4, we have that $\mathrm{C}(A) \simeq C$.
4.6. Dynkin and extended Dynkin case. We now focus on two cases which are of particular interest, namely when $C$ is a cluster-tilted algebra of Dynkin type $\mathbb{A}$, $\mathbb{D}$ or $\mathbb{E}$ or when extended Dynkin type $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ or $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$. The example in the introduction shows that the following theorem can not be extended to type $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$. Note that if $C$ is a cluster tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ its ordinary quiver is not cyclically oriented, since $\underset{\sim}{C}$ by [2], is the relation extension of representation infinite tilted algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$, which is a branch enlargement of a tame concealed algebra of type $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$.
Proposition 4.9. Let $C$ be a cluster-tilted algebra of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$ such that $Q_{C}$ is cyclically oriented. Let $A$ be a quotient by an admissible cut of $C$ of gldim $A \leq 2$. Then $A$ is derived equivalent to $k \Delta$.

Proof. If $\mathrm{C}(A) \simeq C$ then $A$ is the quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut by Proposition 4.8(a).

So suppose now that $A$ is a quotient by an admissible cut of $C$.
We know from Proposition 4.7 that $A$ is strongly simply connected. If $\Delta$ is a Dynkin diagram then by [8] there exists a quasi-Cartan companion $M$ which is positive definite. If $\Delta$ is an extended Dynkin diagram then by [22], there exists a quasi-Cartan companion $M$ which is positive semi-definite of corank one.
The proof of [9, Prop. 4.19] can be repeated literally to show that the Euler form of $A$ is equivalent to $M$. In the case that $\Delta$ is of Dynkin type, we get that the quadratic form of $A$ is positive definite and $A$ is strongly simply connected by Propostition 4.7. Hence it follows by [6] that $A$ is derived equivalent to $k \Delta$. In the case where $\Delta$ is of extended Dynkin type, we get that the quadratic form of $A$ is positive semi-definite and $A$ is strongly simply connected by Proposition 4.7. Hence it follows from [10] that $A$ is derived equivalent to $k \Delta$.

Theorem 1.4. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional algebra with $\operatorname{gldim} A \leq 2$, such that $\mathrm{C}(A)$ has a cyclically oriented quiver. Then $\mathrm{C}(A)$ is cluster tilted of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$ if and only if $A$ is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra $H$ of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $\Delta$.

Proof. Assume $A$ is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra $H$ of type $k \Delta$. Hence there exists a tilting complex $T$ such that $A$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{End}_{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(H)}(T)$. By $[9$, Thm. 1.1], the algebra $\mathrm{C}(A)=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}(H)}(T)$ is a cluster-tilted algebra of type $k \Delta$.
Conversely, consider $\mathrm{C}(A)$ a cluster tilted algebra of Dynkin or extended Dynkin type $k \Delta$, whose quiver is cyclically oriented. It follows by Theorem 4.8 that $A$ is a quotient by an admissible cut of $\mathrm{C}(A)$. Applying Proposition 4.9, it follows that $A$ is derived equivalent to a hereditary algebra $H$ of type $\Delta$.
Remark 4.10. Let $C$ be of minimal infinite type, that is, each quotient by a nonzero idempotent is of finite type. Then each quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut is either of finite type or tame concealed. Indeed, if $A$ is the quotient of $C$ by an admissible cut and $A$ is not finite type then each quotient $A^{\prime}=A / A e A$ by a non-zero idempotent then $A^{\prime}$ is an admissible cut of $C^{\prime}=C / C e C$. Since $C^{\prime}$ is of finite type, also $A^{\prime}$ is of finite type. Now, since $A$ is strongly simply connected, it admits a preprojective component, see $[17]$ and therefore by $[11,21] A$ is tame concealed.
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