











June 6 – 8 2013 Palacký University in Olomouc, Czech Republic http://olinco.upol.cz

# **Book of Abstracts**

Contents:

### Keynote speakers (p. 8-10)

Joseph E. Emonds

The Derived Nominal Analysis for Indo-European Adjectival Agreement Liliane Haegeman

The Syntax of Adverbial Clauses

#### **Geoffrey Leech**

The English Noun Phrase: Aspects of its recent history

Thus, Construction 2 has a wider sphere of usage. Hence, according to the Kruszewski - Kuryłowicz rule, the meaning of Construction 2 is simpler.

The comparative analysis of Construction 1 and *načať+INF* has established that the meaning of the former contains two semantic elements, namely 'perfectivity' and 'process' (we ignore irrelevant details). Therefore, the meaning of Construction 2 can only include one semantic element. We can show that treating the element as 'perfectivity' encounters insurmountable difficulties (e.g., it cannot explain the fact that imperfectives are only able to occupy the INF position in the constructions at issue). So we conclude that the meaning of Construction 2 only consists of the seme 'process'. Thus, the Kruszewski - Kuryłowicz rule enables us to qualify Construction 2 as imperfective, in contrast to Construction 1.

**2.2.2.** The Kruszewski - Kuryłowicz also allows us to deduce regularities in the **usage frequency** (external usage, according to Je. Kuryłowicz) of the homonyms under scrutiny.

#### References

Kuryłowicz, Je. (1962). Lingvistika i teorija znaka. In: Kurilovič, Je. Očerki po lingvistike. Moscow. P. 9-20.

## Viviana Soler<sup>a</sup> and Patricia Vallejos<sup>b</sup>

Universidad Nacional del Sur (UNS), Argentina <sup>a</sup>insoler@criba.edu.ar; <sup>b</sup>vallejos@bvconline.com.ar

# Reflections on the challenging issues posed by highly-advanced scientific title nominal groups

That English is the language of science and that nominal groups are a recurrent structure in highly-advanced scientific discourse are both a general truth. In line with this, previous studies have confirmed that title nominal groups are also the most recurrent structure in research papers and review papers written in English and in Spanish in the fields of Biology, Biochemistry and Medicine and in the fields of Anthropology, Linguistics and Psychology (Soler, 2007, 2009, 2011a,b). Through their publications, scientists, whether native English speakers or non-native English speakers, demonstrate to have acquired proficiency in different scientific discourse genres which, in turn, involves an awareness of which grammatical structures are the

most appropriate to the communicative context and purpose of their research studies. Of these two groups, the one composed of non-native English speakers is particularly exposed to a series of linguistic difficulties to be able to have their papers published in high-impact factor journals. In many cases, translators are needed to cope with these difficulties. The purpose of this paper is therefore to present a series of reflections on the interesting issues and challenging difficulties derived from optimal writing and/or translating (from Spanish into English or vice-versa) title nominal groups in the light of a hallidayan approach (Halliday, 1994). These reflections are based on a reading of related literature (Halliday & Matthiessen, 2004; Martin, Matthiessen & Pinter, 1997; among others) and, more importantly, on the authors' own title database composed of 1140 research and review paper titles published during 1996-2002 in two fields: biological sciences and social sciences. Reasons for choosing scientific titles in particular lie in the need to continue with our studies on this particular section of papers as part of the research project "Textual aspects of scientific knowledge" which is being currently carried out at the Universidad Nacional del Sur, Argentina. This work represents an attempt to bring these diverse reflections together to further provide a framework which will be of value to scientists and teachers and learners of English and Spanish for Scientific and Academic Purposes.

#### References

- Halliday, M.A.K. (1994). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. London, E. Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Matthiessen, C. (2004). An Introduction to Functional Grammar. London. E. Arnold.
- Martin, J.R., Matthiessen, C. & Pinter, C. (1997). Working with Functional Grammar. London, E. Arnold.
- Soler, V. (2007). Writing titles in science: An exploratory study. *English for Specific Purposes 26,* 90-102.
- ------ (2009). Títulos científicos en lengua española: Estudio exploratorio. Lebende Sprachen (Leipzig Univ., Germany) (2), 50-58.
- ------ (2011a). Comparative and contrastive observations on scientific titles written in English and Spanish. *English for Specific Purposes 30*, 124-137.
- ------ (2011b). Designing ESP material for Spanish speaking scientists: the case of specialized scientific titles under the nominal-group construction in English and in Spanish. ESP Across Cultures 8, 125-138.