V407 Lup, an intermediate polar nova

M. Orio [,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7004-9956) $1,2\star$ M. Melicherčík, 3 S. Ciroi, 4 V. Canton, 4 E. Aydi , 5 D. A. H. Buckley , $6,7,8$ A. Dobrotka, 3 G. J. M. Luna^{9 \star} and J. Ness¹⁰

¹*INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, vicolo Osservatorio, 5, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

²*Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin, 475 N. Charter Str., Madison, WI 53706, USA*

³Advanced Technologies Research Institute, Faculty of Materials Science and Technology in Trnava, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava, Bottova 25, *917 24 Trnava, Slovakia*

⁴*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Universita` di Padova, vicolo dell'Osservatorio 3, I-35122 Padova, Italy*

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, Center for Data Intensive and Time Domain Astronomy, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

⁶*South African Astronomical Observatory, PO Box 9, Observatory, 7935 Cape Town, South Africa*

⁷*Department of Astronomy, University of Cape Town, Private Bag X3, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa*

⁸*Department of Physics, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfonein 9300, South Africa*

⁹*CONICET-Universidad Nacional de Hurlingham, Av. Gdor. Vergara 2222, Villa Tesei B1688, Buenos Aires, Argentina*

¹⁰XMM-Newton Science Operations Centre, European Space Astronomy Centre, Camino Bajo del Castillo s/n, Urb. Villafranca del Castillo, Villanueva de la *Canada, ˜ E-28692 Madrid, Spain*

Accepted 2024 July 29. Received 2024 June 29; in original form 2024 March 27

ABSTRACT

We present X-ray and optical observations of nova V407 Lup (Nova Lup 2016), previously well monitored in outburst, as it returned to quiescent accretion. The X-ray light curve in 2020 February revealed a clear flux modulation with a stable period of 564.64 ± 0.64 s, corresponding to the period measured in outburst and attributed to the spin of a magnetized white dwarf in an intermediate polar (IP) system. This detection in quiescence is consistent with the IP classification proposed after the nova eruption. The *XMM–Newton* EPIC X-ray flux is \simeq 1.3 × 10⁻¹² erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ at a distance, most likely, larger than 5 kpc, emitted in the whole 0.2–12 keV range without a significant cut-off energy. The X-ray spectra are complex; they can be fitted including a power-law component with a relatively flat slope (a power-law index \simeq 1), although, alternatively, a hard thermal component at *kT*≥ 19 keV also yields a good fit. The SALT optical spectra obtained in 2019 March and 2022 May are quite typical of IPs, with strong emission lines, including some due to a high ionization potential, like He II at 4685.7 Å. Nebular lines of O [III] were prominent in 2019 March, but their intensity and equivalent width appeared to be decreasing during that month, and they were no longer detectable in 2022, indicating that the nova ejecta dispersed. Complex profiles of the He II lines of V407 Lup are also characteristic of IPs, giving further evidence for this classification.

Key words: stars: magnetic field – novae, cataclysmic variables – X-rays: individual (V407 Lup).

1 INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we present observations, done in quiescence a few years after a nova outburst, of V407 Lup, a nova whose outburst is thought to have occurred a highly magnetized white dwarf (WD; Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0).

V407 Lup has been classified as an *intermediate polar* (IP), a subclass of cataclysmic variables (CVs), interacting binary systems in which a WD accretes matter from a non-degenerate stellar companion (see Ferrario, de Martino & Gänsicke 2015). The separation of the two stars is usually less than a few solar radii, so the interaction between the components occurs via Roche lobe overflow. CV systems with strongly magnetic WDs are classified as either polars and intermediate polars (IPs). Polars contain WDs with a magnetic field

marina.orio@oapd.inaf.it (MO); [gjmluna1976@gmail.com](mailto: gjmluna1976@gmail.com) (GJML)

strong enough ($> 10^6$ G) to completely prevent the formation of an accretion disc, and to cause synchronization of the spin period of the WD (P_{spin}) with the orbital period of the binary (P_{orb}).

In IPs, the magnetic field is less strong (10^5-10^6 G) , allowing the formation of a disc (or in some cases, rather a 'ring') that is disrupted near the Alfvèn radius. The flow in IPs, close to the WD, consists of two magnetically confined accretion 'curtains' fed by the disc or ring (Ferrario, Wickramasinghe & King [1993;](#page-8-0) Hellier [1995\)](#page-8-0). The IPs do not have a synchronized P_{spin} and P_{orb} (for a review see Ferrario et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0) and the two periods in IPs have typical values that range from 1.6 to 13 h for the orbital and from 0.5 to 70 min for the rotational one (Warner [1995\)](#page-8-0).

Where the accretion stream impacts the WD surface a strong shock is formed, and since the matter is practically in free fall and its velocity depends only on the gravitational well of the WD, *the temperature of the plasma is directly proportional to the WD mass*. The temperature is such that thermal emission ensues,

© 2024 The Author(s).

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

with peak in the X-ray range; the spectrum can be fitted with either photoionization, or assuming a shocked plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium. In some cases high resolution X-ray spectra are best fitted by modelling a stationary cooling flow that assumes a steady state isobaric radiative cooling (see Mukai et al. [2003;](#page-8-0) Ferrario et al. [2015\)](#page-8-0). *The X-ray luminosity is proportional to the mass accretion rate*, which is a second reason that makes X-ray observations a powerful diagnostic of the system's parameters and physics. Because the WDs of IPs are generally inclined with respect to their rotation axes, the asynchronous primary is an oblique rotator. When the accretion curtain is along the line of sight, it causes additional absorption of the X-ray flux emitted near the pole at a given phase as the WD rotates. The amplitude and characteristics of the modulation depend on the shock height and angle subtended by the 'curtains'. The X-ray flux modulation with the WD spin period is one of the main observational properties of IPs, and it is the best indirect evidence of the IP nature when direct measurements of circular polarization are not possible because the system is at large distance and not sufficiently luminous.

Until about 20 yr ago, only a handful of magnetic CVs where observed in a nova outburst, but more novae, in addition to V407 Lup, have been identified as belonging to this class in recent years: V2487 Oph Hernanz & Sala [\(2002\)](#page-8-0), V2491 Cyg (Zemko, Mukai & Orio [2015\)](#page-8-0), V4743 Sgr (Zemko et al. [2016,](#page-8-0) [2018\)](#page-8-0), and V1674 Her (Drake et al. [2021\)](#page-8-0).

The root cause of a nova outburst is a thermonuclear runaway (TNR). As the accreted envelope accumulates on the WD surface, temperature and density rise at the base of the envelope, leading to nuclear burning in a shell. Once the accreted layer reaches a critical mass, depending on the WD mass and accretion rate, nuclear burning in most cases becomes unstable and a TNR follows, leading to a nova explosion (Yaron et al. [2005;](#page-8-0) Starrfield et al. [2012;](#page-8-0) Wolf et al. [2013\)](#page-8-0). The fact that the mass accretion rate and WD mass are fundamental parameters determining the outcome of the outburst and the system evolution makes X-ray observations of the systems, as they return to quiescence, particularly interesting, especially for IPs. After the TNR, an external shell of gas on the WD surface is expelled in a very fast wind caused by radiation pressure and/or filling of both Roche lobes in a common envelope (see Wolf et al. [2013;](#page-8-0) Shen & Quataert [2022\)](#page-8-0), expanding into the interstellar medium (ISM) at thousands kilometre per seconds (see, among others, for both theory and observations Yaron et al. [2005;](#page-8-0) Aydi et al. [2020\)](#page-8-0).

The nova outburst of V407 Lup was discovered in 2016 September by the All-Sky Automated Survey for Supernovae (ASAS-SN) on HJD 2457655.5 at $V = 9.1$ (Stanek et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). The nova was classified as very fast, with a decline time by 2 magnitudes $t_2 \lesssim 2.9$ d (Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0). V407 Lup reached the optical peak after 1.4 d from the time of discovery and went through the three main phases, namely early He/N, Fe II and late He/N, during the firsts three weeks (in the context of the spectroscopic evolution presented in Aydi et al. [2024\)](#page-8-0). By day 19, strong forbidden lines of O and N were detected, indicating that the nova entered the nebular phase (Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0). Timing analysis of the X-rays in the supersoft X-ray phase showed two periodicities of 3.57 h and 565 s, which were attributed respectively the P_{orb} and the P_{spin} of the WD, suggesting that the system is an IP (Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0), even if the X-ray modulation was not simply due to accretion (we will discuss this point more in detail below).

The distance to the nova has not been determined with precision. The *GAIA* parallax measured for the only possible progenitor candidate within 1 arcsec from the nova position is consistent with a geometric distance of $4.7^{+6.5}_{-2.5}$ kpc and a photogeometric distance of

 $8.6^{+4.4}_{-2.6}$ kpc (Bailer-Jones et al. [2021\)](#page-8-0). Assuming a distance of 10 kpc, in outburst the peak supersoft X-ray luminosity was consistent with the Eddington luminosity, close to 10^{38} erg s⁻¹ for a 1 M_☉ star (Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0).

2 X -RAY OB SE RVAT IO N S

V407 Lup was observed with the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC) of the *XMM–Newton* on 2020 February 26. We focus especially on the data of the EPIC camera, which has a pn CCD array (Strüder et al. [2001\)](#page-8-0) and two Metal Oxide Semi-conductor CCD arrays (MOS1 and MOS2; Turner et al. [2001\)](#page-8-0). The instruments were operated in full window and imaging mode. Observations started on 2020-02-26 at UT 02:57 and the exposure time was 48 ks. The *XMM– Newton* data were reduced and analyzed using the Science Analysis System (SAS) software. As we describe in Subsection [2.2,](#page-3-0) we also examined the high resolution spectrum with the Reflection Grating Spectrometers (RGS) in the 5–35 Å (0.35–2.48 keV). Although the signal-to-noise in the RGS was very poor, a few prominent emission lines were detected, completing the picture offered by the EPIC instruments. A count rate of 0.151 ± 0.030 cts s⁻¹ was measured with the EPIC pn in the 0.2–12 keV range. The MOS1 and MOS2 count rates in the 0.3–12 keV range were 0.0394 \pm 0.0013 cts s⁻¹ and 0.0476 ± 0.0014 cts s⁻¹, respectively. The count rate measured with the RGS coadding the RGS1 and RGS2 exposures was 0.0080 \pm 0.0008 cts s⁻¹ in the RGS range.

2.1 Spectral Analysis: EPIC

Spectroscopic analysis of the three *XMM–Newton* EPIC spectra (pn, MOS1 and MOS2 cameras) was performed using the XSPEC v12.12.1 fitting engine. We did not find a good fit with a cooling flow model and we could not measure spectra lines that would prove photoionization. However, we obtained reasonable fits with three different composite models, all involving a thermal plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium, and both a very soft and a very hard component. In all models we assumed N(H)≥1.4 × 10²¹ cm⁻², consistent with the value indicated by the HEASOFT tool nH (https:// [heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3nh/w3nh.pl\),](https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/Tools/w3nh/w3nh.pl) although there can be a small deviation from the average value in the direction of the nova, and/or some intrinsic absorption in the system. Fig. [1](#page-2-0) presents the background-subtracted spectra of V407Lup, extracted in the 0.3– 10 keV range of the two MOS and in the 0.2–10 keV range of the pn, fitted using our models Model 2 and Model 3. It is evident that there is very little difference between the two fits.

Model 1 is TBABS x (blackbody $+$ PCFABS \times APEC), where APEC is a model of thermal plasma in collisional ionization equilibrium with solar abundances (Smith et al. [2001\)](#page-8-0), following examples of other novae that are also IPs (Zemko et al. [2015,](#page-8-0) [2016;](#page-8-0) Sun et al. [2020\)](#page-8-0). TBABS is the Tübingen-Boulder ISM absorption model in XSPEC (Wilms, Allen & McCray [2000\)](#page-8-0). Since we could not obtain a good fit with only the TBABS model, we added in the XSPEC fit the partially covering absorber PCFABS (https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ [xanadu/xspec/manual/node258.html\)](https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/xanadu/xspec/manual/node258.html) which has been often used to describe well the absorption due to the accretion column near the poles, assuming the IP nature of the WD (see review by Mukai [2017\)](#page-8-0). The best-fitting value return of 2.5×10^{21} cm⁻², possibly implies some intrinsic absorption in the whole system. The PCFABS column density turned out to be very high, namely $N(H) = 11.45 \times$ 10²² cm−2, covering 44 per cent of the X-ray emitting source, and this value is larger than found in other IPs. The other parameters are

Figure 1. The spectra measured with EPIC pn (in black), EPIC-MOS1 (in red), and EPIC-MOS2 (in blue). On the left, a fit with a power law with index \simeq 1 and a VAPEC thermal component at 0.19 keV temperature (Model 2) and on the right, a fit with a thermal component (VAPEC, or collisional ionization in equilibrium) at $0.15 \,\text{keV}$, and a second hard thermal component at $\simeq 68 \,\text{keV}$ (Model 3).

Parameter	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
$N(H)^{a} \times 10^{22}$ cm ⁻²	0.25 ± 0.1	$0.14^{+0.08}_{-0.14}$	$3.57^{+0.78}_{0.69}$
$N(H)_{pc} \times 10^{22}$ cm ⁻²	$11.45_{-4.63}^{+9.36}$		
CvrFract	$0.45^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$		
PhoIndex(α) ^b		$0.99^{+0.07}$ -0.05	
Norm _{pow} $\times 10^{-5}$		$8.32^{+0.88}_{-0.45}$	
$T_{\rm bb} (\rm eV)$	90^{+11}_{-8}		
Norm _{bb}	$7.21^{+11.4}_{-5.3}\times10^{-6}$		
$T_{\text{apec}}(\text{keV})$	$19.62_{-7.19}^{+21.36}$		
Norm _{apec} $\times 10^{-4}$	$8.8^{+1.31}_{-0.9}\,$		
$T_{\rm vapec1}({\rm keV})$		$0.19^{+0.08}_{-0.04}$	0.15 ± 0.01
Norm _{vapec1} \times 10 ⁻⁵		$4.12^{+5.09}_{-1.33}$	
$T_{\text{vapec2}}(\text{keV})$			$68.5 - 19.5$
Norm _{vapec2} \times 10 ⁻⁴			$4.63_{-2.87}^{+13.06}$
$\mathbf C$		$8.23_{-8.23}^{+25.27}$	10.0 (u.l.)
N		$1.15^{+7.48}_{-1.16}$	0.1
Ne		$0.52^{+2.10}_{-0.51}$	$0.96 \substack{+1.18 \\ -0.96}$
Ar			10.0
Ca			10.0
Fe		$1.053^{+16.41}_{-1.052}$	10.0
Flux _{total, abs.} \times 10 ⁻¹² erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.21	1.25	1.36
Flux _{total, unabs} $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	2.33	1.45	3.67
Flux _{pow} $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹		1.22	
Flux _{pow, unabs} $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	$\overline{}$	1.32	
Flux _{bb} \times 10 ⁻¹² erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.03		
$Flux_{bb,unabs} \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	0.47		
Flux _{apec} \times 10 ⁻¹² erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.54		
Flux _{apec, unabs} $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹	1.86		
Flux _{vapec1} \times 10 ⁻¹² erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹		0.03	4.67
Flux _{vapec1} , unabs $\times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹		0.13	$\qquad \qquad -$
Flux _{vapec2} \times 10 ⁻¹² erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹			1.31
$Flux_{vapec2, unabs} \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm ⁻² s ⁻¹			1.53
χ^2 /d.o.f.	1.48	1.51	1.46

Table 1. Best-fitting parameters of Model 2 and Model 3 for the three EPIC instruments together.

Figure 2. Contours plots of the TBabs x (power law + vapec) model parameters [N(H), kT , and normalization constants of the two models], assuming that $N(H) \ge 1.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² (see text). The solid lines contours correspond respectively to the 68 per cent, 90 per cent, and 99 per cent confidence levels.

shown in the first column of Table [1.](#page-2-0) In this model, almost all the unabsorbed flux is due to the APEC component, which converges only with the highest temperature calculated in the model, 64 keV. The fit yields a value of χ^2 /(degrees of freedom) \simeq 1.2, but there is some unexplained soft excess in the softest pn portion of the spectrum. We experimented by fixing the temperature to a lower value, and obtained χ^2 /(degrees of freedom) > 1.48 with $kT = 19.62$ keV. Thus we concluded that the APEC temperature is not really constrained by our data. We note that the blackbody flux resulting from the fit is small, and for any distance between \simeq 2.5 and \simeq 10 kpc it is consistent with an origin in a small region (presumably at the polar caps), not from the entire WD surface.

Model 2. Given the presence of a hard component, we also fitted the spectrum with a flat power law and a VAPEC thermal component (APEC with varying abundances) for the soft portion of the spectrum, TBABS \times (power law + VAPEC). As we discuss more in detail below, a power-law component is necessary in fitting some X-ray spectra of IPs, and it may indicate a non-thermal component. This composite model does not require a partially covering absorber. The best-fitting parameters are shown in Fig. [1](#page-2-0) and in Table [1.](#page-2-0) We derived a photon index $\alpha \simeq 1$, and the flux is mainly due to this powerlaw component. We varied the C, N, Ne, Mg, and Fe abundances (which may be non-solar in novae) between 1/10th and 10 times the solar abundances. For the thermal component, we obtained $kT =$ 0*.*19 keV in the fit to all instruments, both fitted separately and together. Fig. 2 shows a 'corner plot', namely the 1, 2, and 3 *σ* confidence contours of the acceptable values obtained by varying only two at once among the following parameters: N(H), photon index α , normalization of both components and VAPEC temperature with the *steppar*XSPEC command. The Figure indicates that these four parameters are quite well constrained in a narrow range. Allowing the abundances of several elements to be free parameters improves the fit. We obtained enhanced carbon, about solar nitrogen and carbon and largely depleted other elements, but the abundance determination has large errors, because the EPIC cameras do not resolve individual lines, so these parameters are not shown in the corner plot.

Model 3. Finally, we experimented with two VAPEC components to fit both the hard and soft portion of the spectrum. We did not need PCFABS for the fit, but initially we used variable abundances as free parameters. The best fit was still obtained with $kT \simeq 69$ keV (maximum temperature in the XSPEC VAPEC model) for one of the components, and with $kT = 0.16$ keV for the soft component. We allowed all the abundance to vary again between 1/10th and 10 times solar, and the abundances of several elements resulted depleted in the best fit, except for solar oxygen and enhanced carbon, calcium, argon, and iron. However, again the errors on the abundances are very large; the abundances thus are not well constrained by the available data. The best fit was obtained with a blue shift velocity as high as 6000 km s−1, although the value of *χ*2/d.o.f. increases only slightly assuming that the velocity is even equal to 0.

2.2 The RGS high resolution spectrum

The coadded spectrum of both RGS1 and RGS2 spectrometers is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-4-0) An approximate fit with two components modelled with BVAPEC (a model in which we added line broadening to VAPEC) is also shown in the Figure, with temperatures of 0.25 and 1.3 keV. The RGS are not sensitive to the 'hard' portion of the spectrum, but they do show that, if the emission lines are attributed to collisional ionization equilibrium thermal components, they originate in regions with more than one temperature even in the lower energy range. Thus, there may be 3 or more different

Figure 3. The coadded RGS1 and RGS2 spectra, and a fit with a model with a BVAPEC component at $T = 1.27$ kev and one at $T = 0.22$ keV.

regions with different temperature to explain the spectrum across the whole 0.2–13 keV range. BVAPEC includes only solar abundance of sodium, so the Na line could not be fitted. The other elements were allowed to vary; the fit converged with silicon, sulphur, aluminium, argon, and calcium enhanced by a factor of 10; carbon and neon by a factor \simeq 1.5, and carbon, nitrogen, and iron depleted by 60 per cent– 80 per cent. However, the signal-to-noise is too poor to obtain a rigorous fit, and even an attempt to fit the RGS together with the EPIC cameras did not produce a statistically significant fit, due to the low signal-to-noise in the RGS spectrum.

2.3 Timing analysis

For the timing analysis, the pn, MOS1, and MOS2 light curves were extracted from a circular region with a radius of 15 " centred on the source, while the background was extracted from an offset region with the same radius. We binned the light curves with bins of 50 s for the MOS and 60 s for the pn, and excluded the last 4.5 ks from the timing analysis for the three instruments, because of elevated background. For this analysis, we used the Lomb–Scargle algorithm¹ (Scargle [1982\)](#page-8-0). The three periodograms we obtained are shown in Fig. 4. The confidence of the peak in pn periodogram is far above 99.9 per cent, proving very significant variability with periodicity of 565.64 s. The MOS1 periodogram has a much lower confidence of 34 per cent, while the MOS2 periodogram has again a high confidence, slightly above 93 per cent. In order to obtain an estimate of the statistical error on the 565.64 s period obtained with the pn, we fitted a Gauss function to the pn peak. The sigma parameter as the error estimate is 3.10 s. Since this error depends on the periodogram resolution, we performed another estimate using simulations. We fitted a sine function to the pn light curve with periodicity fixed to 565.64 s and simulated ten thousands light curves with added Poisson noise. For every simulated light curve, we calculated a periodogram and we searched the peak periodicity. We fitted the resulting histogram of periodicities measured from the simulated light curves with a Gauss function, and obtained a value for the statistical uncertainty $\sigma = 0.33$ (namely, the period with a 1 σ error is 565.68 \pm 0.33 s). The same exercise yielded these results: 566.18 \pm

¹We used the python's package ASTROPY (Astropy Collaboration [2013,](#page-8-0) [2018,](#page-8-0) [2022\)](#page-8-0).

Figure 4. Periodograms calculated from all three X-ray light curves from *XMM*. The power of a peak at the 90 per cent, 99 per cent, and 99.9 per cent confidence levels is indicated by the fotted-dashed, dashed and dotted lines, respectively.

0.81 s for the MOS1 and 564.36 ± 0.92 s for the MOS2. Thus, despite the low confidence level obtained with the MOS1 data, the three periods are consistent with each other. Given the very significant pn result, with its high confidence level, we concluded that the periodic modulation is definitely present in the X-ray light curve.

3 THE OPTICAL SPECTRA

Optical spectra in the 3156–6318 Å range were obtained with the Robert Scobie Spectrograph (RSS) and the PG 900 grating (spectral resolution $R \approx 800$) at the SALT 10m telescope. Fig. [5](#page-5-0) shows the result of 4 exposures between 2019 February 22 and 2019 March 27, without significant changes. However, Fig. [6](#page-5-0) shows the spectrum obtained with the same telescope and instrumental setup on 2022 May 10. All the spectra are characterized by strong emission lines, not only of the Balmer series, but also lines with high ionization potential, especially He II at 4685.7 Å, which is due to a transition with a ionization potential of 54.4 eV. This line was detected with about the same flux above the continuum both in 2019 February/March and 2022 May. Also the He II lines at 4541 Å and 5411 Å are measured at both epochs, as it is often the case of IP spectra in which the first line is detected (Masetti, Nucita & Parisi [2012;](#page-8-0) Zemko et al. [2016\)](#page-8-0). However, the nebular lines of [O III] around 5000 Å were no longer detected in 2022, showing that there was nebular emission for at least 2.5 yr after the nova outburst, but not any more after 5.5 yr. The disappearance of [O III] and of the other nebular lines of [N II] (see Table 2) is the remarkable difference that occurred in the space of \approx 3 yr that elapsed between the observations.

Table [2](#page-6-0) (available on line for all the measurable lines, and here included for H α , He II and [O III]) shows the flux in the emission lines, measured above the chosen continuum, and the equivalent width. It is important to notice that, since we have no absolute flux

Figure 5. The (non-dereddened) optical spectra of V407 Lup taken with the SALT 10m telescope and the RRS in its low resolution mode between 2019-02-22 and 2019-03-27. The flux scale is arbitrary and the spectra have been shifted for clarity.

Figure 6. The (non-dereddened) optical spectrum of V407 Lup taken with the SALT 10m telescope and the RSS in its low resolution mode on 2022-05- 10.

calibration, only the level above the continuum and the equivalent width can be compared. There was already a significant decrease in equivalent width of the [O III] lines between 2019 March 16 and 2019 March 26, probably indicating that the intensity of those lines was already dropping at that epoch.

The equivalent width of He II, $H\alpha$, and other emission lines was not constant within the errors, and that there was no decline in intensity between 2019 and 2022. However, only analysing the equivalent width of the lines may not be sufficient for a physical picture, due to the complex structures and line profile evolution we see in Fig. [7](#page-7-0) for He II 4686 & 5411 Å, H β , and H α throughout the five SALT spectral I epochs. He II at 5411 Å shows potentially consist of multiple components, and there are remarkable changes in the line profiles. This is expected for magnetic CVs, where different emission line components originate in different regions of the system [e.g. accretion stream, the flow through the magnetosphere, truncated accretion disc; (Hellier et al. [1987;](#page-8-0) Rosen, Mason & Cordova [1987;](#page-8-0) Warner [1995;](#page-8-0) Schwope, Mantel & Horne [1997\)](#page-8-0)]. The Balmer line profiles, which are still mostly dominated by emission from the nova ejecta show minimal variability, particularly throughout the first four observations, all done within a short period in 2019. Similarly, the He II line at 4686 Å shows minor changes in 2019 due to the contribution of the nova ejecta to this line and the blending with nearby lines (the Bowen blend). We suggest that it will be worth, in a future project, to monitor the flux and radial velocity of these lines over the orbital period of 3.57 h detected by Aydi et al. [\(2018\)](#page-8-0).

4 DISCUSSION

With the X-ray observations done once quiescent accretion had resumed, we can confirm that the spectral and timing characteristics of V407 Lup are quite typical of an IP. The X-ray luminosity was higher than that of most CVs in quiescence. The absolute luminosity in the 0.2–12 keV range turns out to be $1.92 \times 10^{34} (d(\text{kpc})/10)^2$ erg s⁻¹ in the model with the power law and $2.4 \times 10^{34} (d(\text{kpc})/10)^2$ erg s⁻¹ in the model with the partially covering absorber.

An intriguing characteristics of two IP-novae previously observed in the years after the outburst was the supersoft portion of the spectrum, which indicated a very hot blackbody-type region present in novae V4743 Sgr and V2491 Cyg two years after the outburst (Zemko et al. [2015,](#page-8-0) [2016\)](#page-8-0), but not any more after 3.5 yr in V4743 Sgr (V2491 Cyg was not observed again). The supersoft component was present in V407 Lup 3.5 yr after the outburst; although the nova distance is not well defined, we can say that the supersoft flux was approximately an order of magnitude less luminous than in the other two novae. Moreover, this soft component can also be fitted with a thermal plasma with a temperature of 140–200 eV, instead of a blackbody at a temperature of \simeq 100 eV, so it may not be due to residual nuclear burning. Without measuring emission lines we could not experiment with a detailed photoionization model, but it cannot be ruled out that the soft emission is due to photoionized gas near the cooling WD, perhaps already after turn-off of the burning.

The spectral modelling leaves open possibilities, since we were able to fit the data with three different composite models. A fit can be obtained with two thermal components, of which one in the 150–200 eV range and the other peaking above the *XMM*–*Newton* range, with temperature of at least 19 keV. However, if we do not allow for a partially covering absorber with very high column density above 10^{23} cm⁻² and covering fraction of 40 per cent–50 per cent, the maximum temperature becomes very high, reaching the maximum calculated value of 68 keV in the XSPEC models. Moreover, the detection of several prominent lines in the low S/N RGS spectrum indicates that the soft portion of the spectrum also may be due to more than one component. The hotter thermal component is no longer necessary if we fit the spectrum with a flat power law that produces most of the X-ray flux. We suggest that this is actually an interesting aspect raised by the *XMM*–*Newton* observation, *of an intriguing possibility, namely that V407 Lup may be a WD-pulsar*, like AR Sco and the more recently discovered AR Sco and eRASSU J191213.9−441044 (Marsh et al. [2016;](#page-8-0) Pelisoli et al. [2024\)](#page-8-0), namely WDs with a strong magnetic field, in which particles from the atmosphere of the close main sequence companion can be accelerated. Although a non-thermal component is not unambiguously detected in WD-pulsars, they have been discovered in close binaries (unlike 'regular' neutron star pulsars that are often isolated) and the current interpretation of their pulsations is that it is due to a beam of particles at the poles. At the distance of V407 Lup, discovering such peculiar objectsis difficult, however a WD pulsarthat is also actively accreting would facilitate the detection of a peculiar non-thermal component thanks to additional X-ray flux due to accretion, and because of other phenomena (i.e. the nova outburst that has attracted attention). This

Figure 7. Line profile evolution of He II 4686 & 5411 Å, H β , and H α throughout the 5 spectral epochs of SALT observations. The dashed vertical lines represent $v_{rad} = 0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The number between brackets are days since the first spectral epoch (2019-02-22). A heliocentric correction is applied to the radial velocities.

is still highly speculative, but observations at higher energy, e.g. with *NuSTAR*, would clarify this interesting issue.

The optical spectra confirm that a very hot photoionizing source was still present 5.5 yr after the outburst. The equivalent width and the radial velocity of the H α , H β and He II line at 4686 Å can be compared to the measurements during the late outburst by Aydi et al. [\(2018\)](#page-8-0) The equivalent width of the He II line, between 4.7 ± 0.5 and 9.7 ± 0.8 Å, is consistent with the low end of the single-component fits in 2017, although it seemed to have quite increased in 2018 (Aydi et al. [2018\)](#page-8-0). The range of variation of the measured central wavelength corresponds to a velocity of about 50 km s⁻¹ for He II and about 100 km s^{-1} for H α , which may not be representative for the whole radial velocity range, but we note that it is quite smaller than the radial velocity measured in 2017/2018.

The disappearance of the [O III] lines demonstrates that the nebular was dispersed significantly between 2019 and 2022, and probably this process was already starting 2.5 yr after the outburst, at the time of the last observation in 2019. There are very few optical spectra of novae repeated in time in the years after the outburst and this result is indicative of the velocity at which the remnant of the outflow dissipates in the ISM, and of its density.

5 CONCLUSIONS

V407 Lup is one of a small, but growing group of IP-novae, so far comprising DQ Her (Kemp, Swedlund & Wolstencroft 1974), GK Per (Bianchini & Sabadin 1983), V4743 Sgr (Leibowitz et al. 2006; Zemko et al. 2016, 2018), V2491 Cyg (Zemko et al. 2015), and V1674 Her Drake et al. (2021). The last three novae had eruptions in the era of X-ray satellites: they also showed distinct modulations of the SSS flux over the likely rotation period of the WD.

The atmosphere of the WD that is burning hydrogen in shell in a nova outburst cannot much hotter on the polar caps only because of accretion; the luminosity and the amplitude of the flux modulation during outburst in V407 Lup, like in V491 Cyg (Ness et al. 2011) and V1674 Her (Orio et al. 2022) clearly is not *not* due to an accretion column impinging the poles, like we think it is the case for IPs in quiescence. It is possible that the burning itself occurs at a higher rate on the polar caps, or that the atmospheric layer is less thick, and much hotter, at the bottom of the accretion columns. Another intriguing common feature of these novae, described in the above papers, is that the supersoft component appeared to shrink before cooling, lasting for at least a few years with decreasing flux, but not with decreasing temperature. This is still another nova puzzle to solve, and it adds a layer of complexity to explore in the models: 'magnetic novae' may account for several differences in outburst properties among novae. We will propose new X-ray observations of V407 Lup and V2491 Cyg to find out whether the supersoft component faded completely like in nova V4743 Sgr, supporting the scenario of the shrinking, localized burning region. Perhaps V407 Lup was observed exactly of the time of the final turn-off, and this is why the supersoft component is about an order of magnitude less intense than in V4743 Sgr and V2491 Cyg 2 years after their nova outburst.

The optical spectra show emission lines due to high ionization potential, with complex profiles that are quite typical of IPs. A study of the radial velocity and line profile variations over the optical period was not possible with the SALT fixed altitude design, but it should be another interesting and feasible project with a different telescope.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MO'sstudy of the *XMM* data has been funded by the NASA-Goddard Space Flight center (award 80NSSC20K0827). GJML is member of the CIC-CONICET (Argentina) and acknowledges support from grant ANPCYT-PICT 0901/2017.

DATA AVA IL AB IL IT Y

The X-ray data that support the findings of this study are publicly available in the HEASARC and *XMM*–*Newton* web sites; the SALT data are available on request from the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

Astropy [Collaboration,](#page-4-0) 2013, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201322068) 558, A33 Astropy [Collaboration,](#page-4-0) 2018, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/aabc4f) 156, 123 Astropy [Collaboration,](#page-4-0) 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac7c74) 935, 167 [Aydi](#page-0-0) [E.](#page-0-0) et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty1759) 480, 572

- [Aydi](#page-1-0) [E.](#page-1-0) et al., 2020, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abc3bb) 905, 62
- [Aydi](#page-1-0) [E.](#page-1-0) et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3342) 527, 9303
- [Bailer-Jones](#page-1-0) C. [A.](#page-1-0) L., Rybizki J., Fouesneau M., Demleitner M., Andrae R., 2021, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/abd806) 161, 147
- Bianchini A., Sabadin F., 1983, A&A, 125, 112
- [Drake](#page-1-0) [J.](#page-1-0) J. et al., 2021, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac34fd) 922, L42
- [Ferrario](#page-0-0) [L.,](#page-0-0) Wickramasinghe D. T., King A. R., 1993, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/260.1.149) 260, 149
- [Ferrario](#page-0-0) [L.,](#page-0-0) de Martino D., Gänsicke B. T., 2015, [Space](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11214-015-0152-0) Sci. Rev., 191, 111
- [Hellier](#page-0-0) [C.,](#page-0-0) 1995, in Buckley D. A. H., Warner B., eds, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 85, Magnetic Cataclysmic Variables. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 185
- [Hellier](#page-5-0) [C.,](#page-5-0) Mason K. O., Rosen S. R., Cordova F. A., 1987, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/228.2.463) 228, 463
- [Hernanz](#page-1-0) [M.,](#page-1-0) Sala G., 2002, [Science,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.298.5592.393) 298, 393
- Kemp J. C., Swedlund J. B., Wolstencroft R. D., 1974, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/181621) 193, L15
- Leibowitz E., Orio M., Gonzalez-Riestra R., Lipkin Y., Ness J. U., Starrfield S., Still M., Tepedelenlioglu E., 2006, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10684.x) 371, 424
- [Marsh](#page-5-0) T. [R.](#page-5-0) et al., 2016, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature18620) 537, 374
- [Masetti](#page-4-0) [N.,](#page-4-0) Nucita A. A., Parisi P., 2012, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201219334) 544, A114
- [Mukai](#page-1-0) [K.,](#page-1-0) 2017, [PASP,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/aa6736) 129, 062001
- [Mukai](#page-1-0) [K.,](#page-1-0) Kinkhabwala A., Peterson J. R., Kahn S. M., Paerels F., 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374583) 586, L77
- Ness J. U. et al., 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/733/1/70) 733, 70
- Orio M. et al., 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac63be) 932, 45
- [Pelisoli](#page-5-0) [I.](#page-5-0) et al., 2024, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad3442) 527, 3826
- [Rosen](#page-5-0) S. [R.,](#page-5-0) Mason K. O., Cordova F. A., 1987, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/224.4.987) 224, 987
- [Scargle](#page-4-0) J. [D.,](#page-4-0) 1982, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/160554) 263, 835
- [Schwope](#page-5-0) A. [D.,](#page-5-0) Mantel K.-H., Horne K., 1997, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.astro-ph/9701094) 319, 894
- [Shen](#page-1-0) [K.](#page-1-0) J., Quataert E., 2022, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac9136) 938, 31
- [Smith](#page-1-0) R. [K.,](#page-1-0) Brickhouse N. S., Liedahl D. A., Raymond J. C., 2001, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/322992) 556, L91
- [Stanek](#page-1-0) [K.](#page-1-0) Z. et al., 2016, Astron. Telegram, 9538, 1
- [Starrfield](#page-1-0) [S.,](#page-1-0) Timmes F. X., Iliadis C., Hix W. R., Arnett W. D., Meakin C., Sparks W. M., 2012, Balt. [Astron.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/astro-2017-0361) 21, 76
- Strüder [L.](#page-1-0) et al., 2001, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20000066) 365, L18
- [Sun](#page-1-0) [B.,](#page-1-0) Orio M., Dobrotka A., Luna G. J. M., Shugarov S., Zemko P., 2020, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3012) 499, 3006
- [Turner](#page-1-0) [M.](#page-1-0) J. L. et al., 2001, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20000087) 365, L27
- [Warner](#page-0-0) [B.,](#page-0-0) 1995, Cataclysmic variable stars, Vol. 28, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 592
- [Wilms](#page-1-0) [J.,](#page-1-0) Allen A., McCray R., 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/317016) 542, 914
- [Wolf](#page-1-0) W. [M.,](#page-1-0) Bildsten L., Brooks J., Paxton B., 2013, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/777/2/136) 777, 136
- [Yaron](#page-1-0) [O.,](#page-1-0) Prialnik D., Shara M. M., Kovetz A., 2005, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/428435) 623, 398
- [Zemko](#page-1-0) [P.,](#page-1-0) Mukai K., Orio M., 2015, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/807/1/61) 807, 61
- [Zemko](#page-1-0) [P.,](#page-1-0) Orio M., Mukai K., Bianchini A., Ciroi S., Cracco V., 2016, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1199) 460, 2744
- [Zemko](#page-1-0) [P.](#page-1-0) et al., 2018, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2061) 480, 4489

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supplementary data are available at *[MNRAS](https://academic.oup.com/mnras/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/mnras/stae1922#supplementary-data)* online.

suppl data

Please note: Oxford University Press is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Royal Astronomical Society. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.