The late and dual origin of cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons in the mouse spinal cord
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INTRODUCTION

Multipotent neural progenitor cells are responsible for the generation of the vast array of neuronal cell types found in the vertebrate nervous system, as well as astrocytes, oligodendrocytes and ependymal cells (Temple, 2001; Spassky et al., 2005; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010). Studies over the last two decades have revealed that positional identity is the main organizing principle initiating neuronal diversification. In the ventral neural tube, graded sonic hedgehog signaling activates transcriptional regulatory networks responsible for the interpretation of inductive signals and the subdivision of the neuroepithelium into five neural progenitor domains (designated pMN and p0-p3) (Briscione et al., 2000; Balaskas et al., 2012; Lek et al., 2010). These dorsoventrally restricted progenitors produce distinct corresponding early-born classes of postmitotic neurons (motoneurons and V0-V3 interneurons) identified by the expression of specific sets of transcription factors (Jessell, 2000; Briscione and Novitch, 2008; Goulding, 2009; Francis et al., 2013). As an example of further neuronal diversification, p2 progenitors generate several types of V2 interneurons, including excitatory V2a and V2d neurons, inhibitory V2b interneurons, which express the transcription factors Gata2 and Gata3, and V2c cells (Karunaratne et al., 2002; Li et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2007; Panayi et al., 2010; Panayiotou et al., 2013; Dougherty et al., 2013). Specification of V2 neuronal subtypes is determined by a mechanism involving Notch/Delta signaling and the transcription factors Foxn4, Tal1 and Lmo4 (Li et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2007; Del Barrio et al., 2007; Rocha et al., 2009; Batista et al., 2008; Kimura et al., 2008; Joshi et al., 2009).

The extent of neuronal subtype complexity found in the mature spinal cord suggests that, in addition to the spatial organization of progenitor domains, the timing of neurogenesis also plays determinant roles in neuronal diversification. Evidence of temporal developmental programs within restricted progenitor territories in the spinal cord includes dorsal Lbx1+ interneurons that are produced in consecutive neurogenic waves (Gross et al., 2000), and Renshaw cells, which constitute the earliest-born subset of V1 neurons (Stam et al., 2012; Sapir et al., 2004).

Despite our relatively deep knowledge about neuronal cell specification in the early developing neural tube, less attention has been paid to the existence of neurogenic events in the advanced embryonic spinal cord, in part because developmental stages beginning at embryonic day (E) 13.5 in the mouse have largely been considered exclusively gliogenic. During this period, after the decline in neurogenesis, neural tube progenitors are massively engaged in astrocytic and oligodendrocytic differentiation, in a regionally restricted manner (Zhou and Anderson, 2002; Lu et al., 2002; Hochstim et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 2012; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010).

In this study, we identify the genesis of a neuronal subtype during a time window previously assumed to be non-neurogenic. A novel subtype of Gata2/3-expressing neurons is generated in the mouse ventral spinal cord during unexpectedly advanced developmental stages. We recognized these late-born Gata2/3+ cells as cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons (CSF-cNs) (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971; Vigh et al., 1977). CSF-cNs are an intriguing cell type located around the spinal central canal. CSF-cNs exhibit a peculiar morphology with a prominent dendritic extension through the ependymal layer terminating in a wide end bulb in the central canal (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971, 1998; Vigh et al., 1983). More recently, CSF-cNs have been shown to...
RESULTS

Spinal cord CSF-cNs are a subset of Gata2/3-expressing cells

Cell birthdate in the developing mouse neural tube was analyzed by pulse-chase 5-bromo-2′-deoxyuridine (BrdU) labeling. Timed pregnant mice were injected with BrdU once between E10.5 and E15.5 and the distribution of labeled cells was mapped in the postnatal day (P) 0 spinal cord (Fig. 1A, A′). Administration of BrdU between E10.5 and E12.5 marked neurons in the ventral and dorsal horns (Fig. 1A), whereas later BrdU applications labeled glial cells laterally migrating or in the white matter (Fig. 1A′). In addition, a group of cells in the vicinity of the central canal proliferated between E13.5 and E15.5 (Fig. 1A′, cc).

In the spinal cord, the ependymal area surrounding the central canal comprises ependymocytes and several other cell types (Bruni, 1998; Meletis et al., 2008; Sabourin et al., 2009; Marichal et al., 2012). Cells located by the central canal, show a robust expression of the transcription factor Nkx6-1 (Fig. 1B), reflecting their ventral origin (Fu et al., 2003). We assessed the contribution of spinal V0-V3 populations to this region (Fig. 1C). V0 cells were identified at P0 by β-galactosidase in Dbx1lacZ mice (Fig. 1D) (Pierani et al., 2001). V1, V2b and V3 neurons were traced using GFP conditional reporters in combination with En1Cre, Gata3Cre and Sim1Cre alleles (Fig. 1E,G,H) (Sapir et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2014, 2008), whereas V2a interneurons were detected by Chx10 (Vsx2 – Mouse Genome Informatics) staining (Fig. 1F). V0, V1, V2a and V3 cells were excluded from the ependymal area (Fig. 1D-H). By contrast, a subset of Gata3-derived cells was found around the central canal, when fate mapped with Gata3Cre (Pkd2l1+/−) and GFP or tdTomato conditional reporters (Fig. 1G, arrow; Fig. S1G). Long-term genetic tracing in P14 Gata3Cre;ZnG mice recognized at least two groups of GFP+ cells in the spinal cord: interneurons located laterally in lamina VII (Fig. 1I, arrowhead) (Zhang et al., 2014; Lundfald et al., 2007), and a second group in the vicinity of the central canal (Fig. 1I, arrow).

In the postnatal ependymal area, Gata3-derived cells comprise 28±5% (mean±s.d.) of Nkx6-1+ cells (Fig. S1H,G) and display morphological heterogeneity (Fig. S1J-N). To characterize these cells further, we assessed the presence of Gata2, which is usually co-expressed with Gata3. Using the Gata2GFP line (Fig. S11,O-T), we found that 60.5±6.3% of tdTomato+ central canal cells in Gata3Cre; tdTomato mice express Gata2 (Fig. S1G,H). Strikingly, at both P0 and P14, Gata2 distinguished a group of central canal cells with homogeneous morphology (Fig. 1J-M; Fig. S1J-N). Whole-cell GFP labeling identified these cells as the cerebrospinal fluid-contacting neurons of the central canal (CSF-cNs) (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971, 1998; Vigh et al., 1977; Stoeckel et al., 2003; Marichal et al., 2009) (Fig. 1J-M). These cells exhibit a unique morphology, with a process into the central canal contacting the cerebrospinal fluid (Fig. 1K,K′,M, arrows). In addition, CSF-cNs exclusively express Pkd2l1, which, together with Gata2, unequivocally identifies this cell type in the ependymal region (Fig. 1J-M, Fig. S1N).

Pkd2l1+Gata2+ cells are present both in the later (Fig. 1K) and ventral (Fig. 1K′) regions of the central canal. Those settled in the CSF (K,K′,M, arrows). In addition, CSF-cNs definitively express Pkd2l1, which, together with Gata2, unequivocally identifies this cell type in the ependymal region (Fig. 1J-M, Fig. S1N).
the lateral wall account for 73±6% of CSF-cNs at P0 (Fig. 1J,K; 5.3±1.6 cells/section, 27±6% of CSF-cNs). Although the somas of CSF-cNs in the ventral quadrant are further from the central canal, they also contain a process contacting the CSF (Fig. 1K, arrow).

**Gata2**+ CSF-cNs are immature neurons

Although spinal CSF-cNs have been described in a wide number of vertebrate species (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971; Vigh et al., 1977, 1983; Stoeckel et al., 2003; Marichal et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2006; Djenoune et al., 2014), their characteristics remain to be fully clarified. We evaluated the expression of distinct cellular markers and found that CSF-cNs express high levels of Nkx6-1 and Sox2 (Fig. 2A,B), which are usually downregulated upon neuronal differentiation (Bylund et al., 2003). Pkd2l1-expressing cells are positive for neuronal β-III-tubulin (Fig. 2C) and doublecortin (Dcx; Fig. 2C′) but do not express NeuN (Rbfox3 – Mouse Genome Informatics) (Fig. 2D), suggesting that Pkd2l1+ cells comprise an immature neuronal population. This expression pattern is maintained postnatally, as shown at P14 (Fig. S2A-D). Accordingly, there is no colocalization between Pkd2l1 and the astrocytic marker Gfap or between Pkd2l1 and vimentin, which characterizes ependymocytes (Fig. 2E,F).

In common with CSF-cNs in lamprey, fish, amphibian and other mammals (Barber et al., 1982; Dale et al., 1987b; Stoeckel et al., 2003; Jalalvand et al., 2014; Djenoune et al., 2014), CSF-cNs are mainly GABAergic, with ~80% of Pkd2l1+ cells at P0 labeled by GFP in Gad1GFP mice (Fig. 2G). In addition, in situ hybridizations for the genes encoding the vesicular GABA transporter vGAT (Slc32a1 – Mouse Genome Informatics), Gad1 and Gad2, but not for glutamatergic and cholinergic markers, detected CSF-cNs at the P14 central canal (Fig. S2E-I). Contrasting with CSF-cNs in lamprey (Jalalvand et al., 2014), mouse CSF-cNs do not produce somatostatin, as assessed in SstCre;td-tomato mice (Fig. S2J).

In several cellular systems, Pkd2 channel activity depends on physical interactions with members of the polycystin-1 family to form receptor-channel complexes (Semmo et al., 2014; Ishimaru et al., 2006). Searching for potential partners of Pkd2l1 in CSF-cNs, we discovered that Pkd1l2 is expressed with a pattern similar to Pkd2l1 (Fig. 2H). Notably, by combined in situ hybridization and antibody staining, we demonstrated the co-expression of Pkd1l2 and Pkd2l1 (Fig. 2H, inset), suggesting that they are assembling partners in CSF-cNs.

We next determined the electrophysiological properties of CSF-cNs by whole-cell patch-clamp recordings in P1-P2 Gata2GFP acute spinal cord slices (Fig. 1J-K; Fig. 2I). Membrane excitability of central canal GFP+ cells was revealed by voltage-dependent fast
inward and delayed outward currents, measured under voltage-clamp configuration (Fig. 2J). GFP+ cells generate action potentials in response to depolarizing steps in current-clamp (Fig. 2K; n=26/26); 66% of them elicit repetitive spiking with limited adaptation, and the remaining 34% display single spikes (Fig. 2K,L). Furthermore, CSF-cNs have a high input resistance (R_i=-5.5±0.5 GΩ; n=25), a feature of neuronal immaturity (Ziskind-Conhaim, 1988; Zhang, 2004; Espósito et al., 2005). Altogether, the molecular and physiological characterization demonstrates that Gata2+ central canal cells are excitable immature neurons.

**CSF-cNs are late-born spinal cells**

Because CSF-cNs express Gata2 and Gata3, which have been considered to reflect V2b neuronal identity (Karunaratne et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2007; Lundfald et al., 2007; Li et al., 2005), we investigated whether CSF-cNs belong to the V2b population or comprise a different group sharing the expression of these transcription factors. Previous studies have shown that V2b interneurons differentiate between E10 and E12 in the mouse neural tube (Karunaratne et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2007; Li et al., 2005; Zhou et al., 2000; Francius et al., 2013) (Fig. S3G-K). To establish when CSF-cNs develop, we examined the time course of Pkd2l1 expression (Fig. 3A-D). The first Pkd2l1+ cells were found at E14.5 and as development proceeds the number increases, then remains constant after E16.5 (Fig. 3A-D; ~20 cells/section). Immunohistochemistry against Gata2 (Fig. 3E,F) or GFP in Gata2GFP mice (Fig. 3G,H) revealed that the appearance of Pkd2l1 is coincident with the onset of Gata2 in these cells and that Pkd2l1 is always co-expressed with Gata2 (Fig. 3E-H; 99%). The timing of Pkd2l1 expression was assessed also in the chick spinal cord. We found that, similarly to the mouse, Pkd2l1 appears at advanced embryonic stages in the chick (Fig. S3A-F, >stage32).

The late onset of Pkd2l1 and Gata2 expression might reflect a delayed differentiation step of postmitotic cells generated earlier, or the birth of CSF-cNs at advanced stages (as suggested by Fig. 1A). Aiming to discriminate between these possibilities, BrdU was administered to pregnant females to cover different time windows, and the number of BrdU+Pkd2l1+ cells was assessed at E15.5 (Fig. S3M-O). Consistent with CSF-cNs being postmitotic, no Pkd2l1+ cells incorporated BrdU when it was applied 12 h before analysis and only a small proportion was labeled when BrdU was administered 2 h prior to the analysis (E14.5-E15 pulse; Fig. S3N, O). The administration of BrdU at E13-E13.5 or E13.5-E14 rendered 39-44% of Pkd2l1-expressing cells BrdU+ (Fig. S3M-O), interestingly indicating that CSF-cNs progenitors still divide at ~E13. To confirm CSF-cNs birthdate, pregnant females were pulsed with BrdU for 24 h beginning at E13 or E16, and the spinal cords of P0 offspring were analyzed (Fig. 3I-K). The majority of CSF-cNs incorporated BrdU between E13 and E14 (~70% of Pkd2l1+ cells; Fig. 3I,J,K), but only a minor proportion was positive when BrdU was applied at E16-E17 (Fig. 3J,J').

This ‘late’ developmental stage has been assumed to be non-neurogenic in the ventral spinal cord, but exclusively gliogenic (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010; Altman and Bayer, 1984; Rowitch, 2004). Accordingly, we found that the first cohort of Gata2+ Pkd2l1+ neurons at E14.5 appears together with astrocyte and oligodendrocyte differentiation, as revealed by cells expressing Sox9, Nfia or Olig2 migrating outside the spinal cord ventricular zone (Fig. 3L-N).

In summary, these results show that CSF-cNs progenitors divide after E13, and demonstrate that birthdate distinguishes two different groups of spinal Gata2/3-expressing neurons: (1) lamina VII V2b neurons, produced between E10 and E12 (Fig. S3G-I), and (2) CSF-cNs generated at ~E14, a surprisingly late developmental stage for neurogenesis.

**Foxn4 controls early-born Gata2+ V2b neurons but is dispensable for Gata2+ CSF-cN development**

Our findings demonstrate that V2b and CSF-cNs are early- and late-born Gata2/3+ spinal cord populations, respectively. To determine whether CSF-cNs belong to the V2b lineage, we analyzed whether specification of both groups of Gata2/3-expressing neurons are controlled by common mechanisms.

Previous studies have demonstrated that the transcription factor Foxn4, acting in p2 precursors, plays a pivotal role in cell fate decisions that regulate the production of inhibitory V2b neurons (Li et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2007; Del Barrio et al., 2007; Panayi et al., 2010). In E13.5 Foxn4-deficient spinal cords, the number of Chx10+ V2a interneurons is expanded at the expense of V2b
neurons (Fig. 4A-C) identified by high levels of GFP in Gata2\textsuperscript{GFP} (Fig. S1O-T).

However, at E15.5, we identified Gata2\textsuperscript{+} cells by the ventricular surface in Foxn4 mutants (Fig. 4D,E, arrowheads; Gata2\textsuperscript{GFP}), which co-express Pkd2l1 and are negative for Chx10 (Fig. 4D-G). This observation indicates that Gata2\textsuperscript{+} central canal neurons differentiate normally in the Foxn4 mutants, contrasting with the complete absence of early-born Gata2\textsuperscript{+} neurons (B). (J) Number of Gata2\textsuperscript{+}Pkd2l1\textsuperscript{+} cells per section. Bars are mean±s.d.; **P<0.001; ns, non-significant (Mann–Whitney test). Scale bars: 100 μm in A,B; 30 μm in D-G; 50 μm in H,I. Gray line indicates the ventricle.

CSF-cNs derive from late ventral spinal cord progenitors.

To determine the dorsoventral origin of CSF-cNs, newly born Pkd2l1\textsuperscript{+} cells were mapped along the E14.5 neural tube in relation to the territories marked by Pax3, Nkx6-1, Pax6, Nkx2-2 and Olig2 (Fig. 5A-I) (Briscoe et al., 2000; Jessell, 2000; Briscoe and Novitch, 2008). As at E14.5 CSF-cNs have just become postmitotic and they do not lose their apical contact with the ventricular surface, this analysis reliably reflects their dorsoventral origin.

Pkd2l1\textsuperscript{+} cells were excluded from the Pax3\textsuperscript{+} dorsal zone (Fig. 5D,H). Most CSF-cNs (~90% at E14.5) arise from ventricular domains expressing Nkx6-1 and Pax6 (Fig. 5A-C,E,H,I). This pattern indicates that the major source of CSF-cNs are progenitors from the late p2 and pOL domains (Fig. 5H,I). Accordingly, a deeper inspection showed that 70% of these CSF-cNs are located dorsal to Olig2\textsuperscript{+} ventricular cells, whereas 30% of them are embedded in the dorsal half of the Olig2\textsuperscript{+} pOL domain (Fig. 5G,I). Thus, 90% of all
CSF-cNs descend from progenitors in the p2 and dorsal half of the pOL domains.

Interestingly, our positional analysis revealed that a subgroup of CSF-cNs (∼10% at E14.5) originate at the ventral pole of the neural tube, in the boundary between the Nkx2-2+ neuroepithelium and the floor plate (Fig. 5F, arrowhead; Fig. 5H,I). Positional mappings at E15.5 yielded a similar distribution (Fig. S4).

Further evidence that CSF-cNs do not belong to V0, V1, V2a and Sim1+ V3 populations, comes from animals lacking these cell types (Fig. 5J-N). The number of Pkd2l1-expressing cells was found to be unaltered in
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Agduhr cells (Park et al., 2004). When inspecting these two subsets, we found that although the most dorsal subgroup of Pkd2l1+ cells (CSF-cN′) locate within the Pax6-expressing ventricular zone (Fig. 6A,B; Fig. S5A), this transcription factor is sharply downregulated during CSF-cN neurogenesis (Fig. 6A,B). Accordingly, in cells that have not yet reached high levels of Pkd2l1, low levels of Pax6 can still be detected (Fig. 6B, upper arrowhead), indicating that CSF-cN′ cells are descendants of Pax6 precursors of the p2 and dorsal part of the pOL domain.

To define whether Pkd2l1+ cells by the floor plate (CSF-cN′) come from a different progenitor pool, we analyzed the presence of the p3 marker Nkx2-2 and of Foxa2, which is expressed in the floor plate and the ventral-third of p3 cells (Fig. 6C,D). We found that CSF-cN′ cells are positive for Nkx2-2 and Foxa2 (Fig. 6D; 90 and 92%, respectively), but do not express Lmx1b, a marker of the non-neurogenic floor plate (Fig. 6E), or Pax6 (Fig. S5B). These results indicate that ventral Pkd2l1+ neurons derive from Nkx2-2+ precursors in the proximity of the floor plate.

To understand CSF-cN′ development better, we evaluated whether Pax6 is required for their specification, and if its absence preferentially affects any of their subsets. First, we analyzed CSF-cNs in E15.5 Pax6+/− embryos and found that the number of Pkd2l1+ expressing cells is drastically diminished [Fig. 6G,F; wild type (WT):6.9±1.7; Pax6−/−:1.6±0.8 cells/section; P<0.001]. Remarkably, in Pax6 mutant spinal cord, Pkd2l1+ cells at the boundary between the p3 ventricular zone and the floor plate (CSF-cN′) remain unaltered (Fig. 6G,H), whereas those that originate more dorsally (CSF-cN″) are missing. In Pax6 mutants, the CSF-cN′ subset represents ~85% of the remaining Pkd2l1+ cells (Fig. 6H), whereas in their wild-type littermates it accounts for 15-20% at E15.5 (Fig. 6H; Fig. S4H).

Our results show that CSF-cNs originate from two different regions of the ventricular zone and that Pax6 is exclusively required for the production of CSF-cNs from progenitors in the p2-pOL domain. We tested next the hypothesis that the postnatal organization of CSF-cNs either lateral or ventral to the central canal (see above; Fig. 1I-K′) reflects these ontogenetic differences. By examining the Pax6−/− spinal cord at E18.5, we found a marked reduction in the number of Pkd2l1+ cells (Fig. 6I,L). CSF-cNs remaining in Pax6 mutants were exclusively located ventral to the central canal, whereas CSF-cNs settling laterally were absent (Fig. 6J,L). This finding was further confirmed by assessing Gata2 expression by in situ hybridization (Fig. S5F,G). The correlation found in E15.5 and E18.5 Pax6 mutants indicates that CSF-cN′ and CSF-cN′′ populations contribute to anatomically distinct subsets of CSF-cNs in the postnatal spinal cord.

Although Nkx2-2 is expressed in CSF-cNs at the p3-floor plate boundary, we found that it is dispensable for the production of CSF-cN′ cells, as no differences were found in their number in Nkx2-2 mutants (Fig. 6K,L). Nonetheless, it is interesting that E18.5 Nkx2-2+/− spinal cord, in which the lumen fails to properly close ventrally, shows a broader separation between CSF-cN′ and CSF-cN′′ cells (Fig. 6K, arrowhead), supporting the idea that they constitute two different CSF-cN subgroups.

To understand further the differences between these two CSF-cN subpopulations, we evaluated the electrophysiological properties of CSF-cN′ and CSF-cN′′ cells, and compared them with CSF-cNs in Pax6-null spinal cords (Fig. 6M-O). In Gata2GFP animals, repetitive spiking was observed in 80% of GFP+ CSF-cNs′ (Fig. 6M; n=8/10) but in none of the CSF-cN′′ cells, which fired only once (Fig. 6N; n=0/6). When this analysis was performed in Pax6 mutants, most CSF-cNs produced a single spike (Fig. 6O; n=6/7), resembling the CSF-cN′′ subset in wild-type embryos. These experiments show that CSF-cN′ and CSF-cN′′ cells exhibit different electrophysiological properties, and that neurons developing in Pax6-null spinal cord display functional properties of CSF-cN′ cells. These results fully confirm that CSF-cN′ cells, which originate from the most ventral precursors, are those Pkd2l1+ neurons ventral to the central canal in the postnatal spinal cord.

In summary, differences found in ontogeny, location and physiological properties indicate the existence of two distinct groups of CSF-cNs: those settled within the lateral wall of the central canal, born from late p2/pOL cells (CSF-cN′), and those positioned in the ventral pole of the central canal that originate from precursors bordering the floor plate (CSF-cN′′).

**DISCUSSION**

This study demonstrates that CSF-cNs are produced in the mouse ventral spinal cord during advanced embryonic stages, previously thought to generate only glial cells and ependymocytes. We show that CSF-cNs comprise a late-born population of Gata2/3-expressing cells different from early-born V2b interneurons. Gata2/3+ neurons of the central canal are identified by unique morphological features and the selective expression of Pkd2l1 and Pkd1ll2. Furthermore, we show that mouse CSF-cNs can be subdivided into two distinct subgroups that develop from separate progenitor domains and are located at different positions around the postnatal central canal.

**CSF-cNs are late-born Gata2/3+ neurons**

Ependymal cells lining the central canal of the spinal cord are derived from ventral neuroepithelial progenitors (Fu et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2013). The ependyma is composed of a layer of ciliated mural ependymocytes interspersed with tanyocytes and CSF-contacting neurons (Bruni, 1998; Meletis et al., 2008; Sabourin et al., 2009; Marichal et al., 2012). Our genetic-tracing experiments with Gata3Cre and Gata2GFP selectively identified spinal CSF-cNs, which exhibit a unique morphology with a prominent dendritic process through the ependymal layer (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971, 1998; Vigh et al., 1983) and express Pkd2l1 (Huang et al., 2006; Orts-Del’Immagine et al., 2014, 2016; Djenoune et al., 2014).

The transcription factors Gata2 and Gata3 have been considered to be selective indicators of spinal V2b identity (Karunaratne et al., 2002; Peng et al., 2007; Lundfeld et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2014). However, we provide compelling evidence that CSF-cNs do not belong to the V2b neuronal lineage, although both populations share the expression of Gata2/3 proteins. Contrasting with the mandatory activity of Foxn4 for V2b neuron specification (Li et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2007; Del Barrio et al., 2007), we found that CSF-cNs develop normally in the Foxn4 mutant spinal cord. Furthermore, our expression analysis of Gata2 and Pkd2l1, in conjunction with birthdating results, reveals strict timing differences in the generation of Gata2/3+ spinal populations. Therefore, CSF-cNs are a novel population of late-born Gata2/3+ neurons differentiation of which is controlled by genetic mechanisms distinct from those that specify early-born Gata2/3+ V2b interneurons (Fig. 7).

In addition, our results exclude the possibility that CSF-cNs are related to other V2 cell types described so far. Pkd2l1+ cells do not express the V2a marker Chx10, nor persist after V2a ablation in Chx10−/− mice; they do not maintain Pax6 expression, characteristic of a subset of V2b cells (Panayioutou et al., 2013); and, unlike V2b and V2c, CSF-cN development is not dependent on Foxn4 (Li et al., 2005; Peng et al., 2007; Del Barrio et al., 2007;
Panayi et al., 2010). Furthermore, we show that CSF-cNs differentiate several days after V2a-d neurons have developed.

Importantly, our experiments demonstrate that CSF-cNs are produced at unusually late stages, when spinal cord neurogenesis is essentially extinguished and ventricular precursors are broadly committed towards glial and ependymal fates (Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010; Altman and Bayer, 1984; Rowitch, 2004). BrdU labeling shows that CSF-cN progenitors still divide at ∼E13.5-E14.5, 24-48 h before the initiation of Gata2 and Pkd211 expression upon their postmitotic conversion. These results are consistent with our analysis of Pkd211 in the embryonic chick spinal cord and recent observations of CSF-cNs in developing rodents (Kútna et al., 2014; Djenoune et al., 2014). We propose that late birthdate is a characteristic of central canal neurons in amniotes, in contrast to CSF-cNs in fish and amphibians (see below). Additionally, the developmental origin of CSF-cNs indicates that they are born intermingled with oligodendrocytes and vA2 and vA3 astrocytes (Hochstim et al., 2008; Tsai et al., 2012; Rowitch and Kriegstein, 2010; Zhou and Anderson, 2002; Lu et al., 2002). In the future, it will be important to determine the mechanism that suppresses glial and ependymal fate in late progenitors of the ventral spinal cord to instruct the production of central canal neurons.

These results highlight the importance of the timing of neurogenesis in neuronal diversification. Although other cases have been reported in which the temporal component contributes to neuronal diversity in the spinal cord (Gross et al., 2002; Stam et al., 2012), we provide robust evidence of neuronal differentiation occurring in what has been classically termed the gliogenic phase, proving that neurogenic potential is retained in the late ventricular zone progenitors.

The double developmental origin of CSF-cNs

In the mouse spinal cord, the majority of CSF-cNs, here termed the CSF-cN′ population, are produced from progenitors belonging to the late p2 and the dorsal half of pOL domains. A smaller proportion of Pkd211′ neurons (CSF-cN″) are born from p3 cells bordering the floor plate (Fig. 7B).

We show that, in the mouse, CSF-cN′ cells derive from Nkx6-1′Pax6′ progenitors and that Pax6 selectively controls their development. In our work, three lines of evidence suggest that the lack of CSF-cN″ cells in Pax6 mutants is not attributed to the early actions of this transcription factor in dorsoventral cell identity (Ericson et al., 1997; Briscoe et al., 1999). First, the extent of Nkx6-1 is not modified in Pax6−/−/− spinal cord. Second, the differentiation of V2a/b neurons, which precedes CSF-cN′ development, is not affected by Pax6 absence (Fig. S5C-E; Zhang et al., 2014). Third, Pax6;Nkx2-2 double mutation did not rescue CSF-cN′ development (not shown). This argues that, similar to V1 specification, the expansion of Nkx2-2, normally repressed by Pax6 (Ericson et al., 1997; Briscoe et al., 1999), is not responsible for the lack of the CSF-cN′ population in Pax6 mutants. Altogether, these results suggest that Pax6 controls CSF-cN′ development by acting in late progenitors that give rise to CSF-cN′.

In common with CSF-cNs in the mouse, Kolmer–Aguirre (KA) cells in the zebrafish neural tube have a dual progenitor source and are composed of two subsets, KA′ and KA″ (Park et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007; Schäfer et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2011). Mouse CSF-cN′ cells display similarities with zebrafish KA′ cells, as both are produced at rather similar dorsoventral spinal cord locations. Mapping experiments using transgenic olig2:EGFP zebrafish have shown that all KA′ cells derive from the pMN domain together with motoneurons (Park et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Djenoune et al., 2014). Mouse CSF-cN′ cells display similarities with zebrafish KA′ cells, as both are produced at rather similar dorsoventral spinal cord locations. Mapping experiments using transgenic olig2:EGFP zebrafish have shown that all KA′ cells derive from the pMN domain together with motoneurons (Park et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2012; Djenoune et al., 2014). By contrast, in the mouse, we found that CSF-cN′ cells are mostly produced from progenitors with p2 identity (∼70%) with only ∼30% originated from the Olig2 domain. It is possible that this difference between mouse CSF-cN′ and zebrafish KA′ cells is a consequence of their timing of neurogenesis (see below). In the mouse, Olig2 is transiently present in early p2 progenitors, dorsally to its normal expression domain (Chen et al., 2011; Dessaud et al., 2010), but CSF-cNs only begin to appear several days later. It remains to be determined whether Olig2 plays any role in the development of CSF-cN′ cells in the mouse.

Our experiments have also determined that progenitor cells at the frontier with the floor plate are a second source of CSF-cNs. The expression of Nkx2-2 and Foxa2, but not Lmx1b, indicate that CSF-cN″ cells derive from the ventral-most p3 domain bordering the floor plate. We propose that CSF-cN″ cells are homologous to KA′.
cells in zebrafish spinal cord, which similarly express nks2.2 genes and are born from neuroepithelial cells lateral to the floor plate (Schäfer et al., 2007; Strähle et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2010). Although CSF-cN cells are Nkx2-2 positive, they develop normally in Nkx2-2-deficient embryos. Given that Nkx2-9 transiently overlaps with Nktx2-2 in the most ventral p3 cells of the mouse neural tube (Briscoe et al., 1999; Holz et al., 2010) and zebrafish KA are only completely lost after nks2.2a/b, nks2.9 knockdown (Yang et al., 2010), it is likely that in the absence of Nkx2-2, CSF-cN cells rely on Nkx2-9 for their specification. The analysis of the CSF-cN population in Nkx2-2;Nkx2-9 mutants will elucidate the role of Nkx2 transcription factors in its ontogeny.

The dual developmental origin of CSF-cNs is reminiscent of the multiple sources of oligodendrocytes in the spinal cord and Cajal–Retzius cells in the telencephalon (Vallstedt et al., 2005; Bielle et al., 2005). Similarly, it is likely that, although being distinct subpopulations, CSF-cN and CSF-cN partially share the specification program that determines their phenotypic characteristics, downstream of different initial determinants.

**Heterochronic development of CSF-cNs in vertebrates**

CSF-cNs in mouse and KA cells in zebrafish display similarities in their dorsoventral positioning, as well as in their marker expression, but they exhibit striking differences in development timing. In this study, we demonstrate that in the mouse and chick spinal cord, CSF-cNs are produced during advanced embryonic stages, after the neurogenic period. By contrast, KA cells in zebrafish and amphibians are born exclusively during early phases of spinal cord development (Dale et al., 1987a; Shin et al., 2007).

This heterochrony can be established by comparing the timing of CSF-cN or KA differentiation with the birth of motoneurons, interneurons and oligodendrocytes. In zebrafish, KA’ cells are born along with primary motoneurons between 10 and 15 h post-fertilization (hpf) (Park et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007), whereas KA’ cells arise from early ventral precursors in their last division at ~10 hpf (Yeo and Chitnis, 2007; Huang et al., 2012; Shin et al., 2007). In the mouse, the production of motoneurons and ventral interneurons precedes CSF-cN differentiation by more than 2 days. Conversely, zebrafish VεLd and CiD interneurons, the homologs of mouse V2b and V2a neurons, appear at about 17 hpf, when KA” neurons of the spinal cord, the differences found in their physiological properties and the strict correspondence with their location in the postnatal central canal, is tempting to speculate that each CSF-cN subgroup might have specific and dissimilar physiological functions.

**On the physiological properties and the role of CSF-cNs**

CSF-cNs have been found in every vertebrate species examined so far, from lamprey to primates (Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1971; Vigh et al., 1977, 1983; Barber et al., 1982; Dale et al., 1982; Stocek et al., 2003; Jalalvand et al., 2014; Djenoune et al., 2014; Reali et al., 2011). However, despite this evolutionary conservation, their function has not yet been fully elucidated. Gata2’ neurons of the central canal in newborn and young mice exhibit molecular and physiological characteristics of immature neurons, in agreement with previous observations (Stocek et al., 2003; Marichal et al., 2009; Sabourin et al., 2009; Orts-De’Immagine et al., 2014, 2012; Kütü et al., 2014).

The strategic location of CSF-cNs in the interface between the CSF and the spinal cord parenchyma has generated much speculation about their functions (Vigh et al., 2004; Vigh and Vigh-Teichmann, 1998). It has been suggested that CSF-cNs might act as mechan- or chemosensory neurons intrinsic to the central nervous system that detect either CSF movement within the central canal or variations in its composition, most notably its pH (Orts De’Immagine et al., 2016, 2012; Huang et al., 2006; Wyart et al., 2009). A recent study has shown the importance of the Pkd211 channel in CSF-cNs; Pkd211 is able to generate depolarizations large enough to trigger action potentials and thus act as a spike generator (Orts De’Immagine et al., 2016). Our identification that Pkd112 is selectively co-expressed with Pkd211 suggests that Pkd112 might regulate Pkd211 activity and/or its targeting to the membrane to avoid an overexcitation of CSF-cNs (Orts De’Immagine et al., 2016; Ishimaru et al., 2006; Semmo et al., 2014; DeCaen et al., 2013).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Experiments were conducted according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Instituto Leloir. Genotyping of Gata3cre (Zhang et al., 2014), Gata2GFP (Suzuki et al., 2006) and Gata3loxP (van Doorninck et al., 1999) (obtained from Doug Engel, University of Michigan, MI, USA), Foxn4loxP (kindly provided by Mening Xiang, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, NJ, USA) (Li et al., 2004), Pax6–Small eye, Nkx2-2 (Briscoe et al., 1999), Sim1Cre (Zhang et al., 2008), En1Cre (Sapir et al., 2004), Dbx1loxP (Pierani et al., 2001), Nestin:Cre, Chx10Oxt (Crone et al., 2008), Pdk112 (Zhang et al., 2008) and Pdk112 (Pierani et al., 2001), Nestin:Cre, Chx10Oxt (Crone et al., 2008) (embryos kindly provided by Kamal Sharma, University of Illinois-Chicago, IL, USA), R26GFP (Brockschmidt et al., 2004), Gli1GFP (Tamamaki et al., 2003), Sdcre (Taniguchi et al., 2011), and the conditional reporters R26Rcre (Mao et al., 2001), Zng (Zhang et al., 2008) and Ai14 td-Tomato (Madisen et al., 2010) mice was performed by PCR.

For bromodeoxyuridine labeling, females were injected intraperitoneally with BrdU (35 or 50 mg/kg body weight) for multiple or single administrations, respectively. Tissue was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA), equilibrated in 20% sucrose prior to embedding (Cryoplasm, Biopack) and cryosectioned (Leica CM1850 cryostat; 30 µm).
**Immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization**

For immunohistochemistry, sections were treated with blocking solution (5% HI-serum, 0.1% TritonX-100 in PBS) for 1 h and primary antibodies in blocking were incubated overnight at 4°C (Carcagno et al., 2014). Antibodies used were: anti-Nkx2-2 [74.5A5, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank (DSHB), University of Iowa, USA; 1:20], anti-Nkx6-1 (F55A10, DSHB; 1:20), anti-Pax6 (cat. #pax6, DSHB; 1:20), anti-Pkd2l1 (from Charles Zuker, Columbia University, NY, USA; 1:5000), anti-GFP (GFP1020, Aves Labs; 1:1000), anti-Gal2 (from Kamal Sharma, University of Illinois at Chicago, IL, USA; 1:1000), anti-Chx10 (from Sam Pfaff, Salk Institute, CA, USA; 1:1000), anti-Sox2 (SC17320, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; 1:300), anti-NeuN (A60, Chemicon; 1:500), anti-b-III tubulin (MMS435P, Covance; 1:500), anti-Gfap (from Fred Gage, Salk Institute, CA, USA; 1:2500), anti-vimentin (AB5733, Chemicon; 1:1000), anti-b-gal (from Martyn Goulding, Salk Institute; 1:1000), anti-BrdU (MCA2060T, ImmunoDirect; 1:250), anti-dsRed (632496, Clontech; 1:300) and anti-Olig2 (AB9610, Chemicon; 1:1000). Rat anti-chick Pkd2l1 antibody was generated using *Brucella* lumazine synthase (BLS) as peptide carrier (Laplagne et al., 2004). For detection, Cy-labeled species-specific secondary antibodies (Jackson ImmunoResearch) were incubated for 3 h at room temperature. Sections were mounted with PVA-DABCO or dehydrated in ethanol/xylene series and mounted with DPX.

Non-radioactive in situ hybridizations were performed as described (Carcagno et al., 2014). Sections were fixed with 4% PFA and washed with PBS. Tissue was treated for 3 min with proteinase K (3 μg/ml), followed by 4% PFA for 10 min and PBS washes. Slides were incubated in triethanolamine-acetic anhydride, pH 8.0, for 10 min and permeabilized with 1% TritonX-100 for 30 min. Sections were incubated for 2 h with hybridization solution (50% formamide, 5×SSC, 5×Denhardt’s solution, 200 μg/ml yeast RNA). Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled probes used were Pkd1l2 (5663-6740 of mouse Pkd1l2 mRNA) and chick-Pkd2l1 (2749-2734 of chicken Pkd2l1 mRNA predicted sequence), Gata2 (Carcagno et al., 2014), Vgat (Sk32a1 and vGluT2 (Sk17a6) (Lanuzza et al., 2004), Gad1 and Gad2 (Cheng et al., 2004), vACHT (Sk18a3) (Carcagno et al., 2014). DIG-labeled probes were diluted in hybridization solution, denatured and incubated onto slides for 14 h at 68°C. Sections were washed three times, for 45 min at 68°C with 1×SSC, 50% formamide. For detection, slides were blocked in blocking solution with 10% HI-serum for 2 h and incubated overnight at 4°C with alkaline phosphatase-labeled anti-DIG antibody (11093274910, Roche; 1:2500). After washing, enzymatic activity was developed with BCIP and NBT in reaction solution (0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 9.5, 50 mM MgCl2, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20). For immuno-in situ double staining, sections were incubated with antibodies after developing the DIG *in situ* reaction. For BrdU staining, acidic antigen retrieval was performed prior to immunodetection. Images were captured using Zeiss Axioplan microscope, Zeiss LSM510-Pascal and LSM510-Meta confocal microscopes and assembled using Adobe Photoshop and Illustrator software.

**Acute spinal cord slice recordings**

Recordings were performed as described (Gosnach et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008). *Gata4*+GFP spinal cords were dissected in chilled low-calcium Ringer’s solution and cut using a vibrating microtome (Leica VT1200S). Slices were transferred to a recording chamber on Olympus BX61WI microscope at room temperature. Recordings were obtained using Axopatch 200B amplifiers, and digitized using pClamp10 software (Molecular Devices). Capacitance and input resistance were obtained from currents evoked by a 10-mV hyperpolarizing step. Voltage-dependent currents were recorded by applying conditioning steps of 10 mV from −60 mV holding potential. Spiking responses were recorded by applying depolarizing current steps (10 pA, 500 ms) under current clamp, keeping the cells at −60 mV.

**Quantification and statistical analysis**

Cell counts were performed on a minimum of ten sections from at least three embryos. Non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test, Kruskal–Wallis one-way analysis of variance with post hoc Dunn’s multiple comparison test or Fisher’s exact test were performed (GraphPad Software). Results are shown as mean±s.d. unless otherwise specified and considered statistically significant when *P*<0.05.
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