Src Kinase Is the Connecting Player between Protein Kinase A (PKA) Activation and Hyperpolarization through SLO3 Potassium Channel Regulation in Mouse Sperm*
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Background: Membrane potential ($E_{m}$) hyperpolarization during sperm capacitation is necessary for acrosome reaction.

Results: cSrc is activated downstream of PKA, regulating the SLO3 K$^+$ channel and promoting membrane hyperpolarization.

Conclusion: Acrosomal responsiveness of mouse sperm depends on cSrc activation of SLO3.

Significance: This represents the first evidence of K$^+$ channel regulation in mouse sperm by a tyrosine kinase, affecting $E_{m}$.

Plasma membrane hyperpolarization is crucial for mammalian sperm to acquire acrosomal responsiveness during capacitation. Among the signaling events leading to mammalian sperm capacitation, the immediate activation of protein kinase A plays a pivotal role, promoting the subsequent stimulation of protein tyrosine phosphorylation that associates with fertilizing capacity. We have shown previously that mice deficient in the tyrosine kinase cSrc are infertile and exhibit improper cauda epididymis development. It is therefore not clear whether lack of sperm functionality is due to problems in epididymal maturation or to the absence of cSrc in sperm. To further address this problem, we investigated the kinetics of cSrc activation using anti-Tyr(P)-416-cSrc antibodies that only recognize active cSrc. Our results provide evidence that cSrc is activated downstream of PKA and that inhibition of its activity blocks the capacitation-induced hyperpolarization of the sperm plasma membrane without blocking the increase in tyrosine phosphorylation that accompanies capacitation. In addition, we show that cSrc inhibition also blocks the agonist-induced acrosome reaction and that this inhibition is overcome by pharmacological hyperpolarization. Considering that capacitation-induced hyperpolarization is mediated by SLO3, we evaluated the action of cSrc inhibitors on the heterologously expressed SLO3 channel. Our results indicate that, similar to SLO1 K$^+$ channels, cSrc blockers significantly decreased SLO3-mediated currents. Together, these results are consistent with findings showing that hyperpolarization of the sperm plasma membrane is necessary and sufficient to prepare the sperm for the acrosome reaction and suggest that changes in sperm membrane potential are mediated by cSrc activation.

Mammalian sperm are unable to fertilize the egg immediately after ejaculation. They need to undergo a series of physiological modifications inside the female reproductive tract, collectively known as capacitation, to gain fertilization competence. This capacity to fertilize the egg is the hallmark of capacitation and associates with the onset of a vigorous motility pattern (i.e. hyperactivation) and acrosomal responsiveness (i.e. the capacity to undergo the acrosome reaction upon stimulation) (1). In mammals, the capacitation process can be mimicked in vitro by sperm incubation in standard culture medium containing Ca$^{2+}$, HCO$_3^-$, energy sources, and a cholesterol acceptor that is usually BSA. Upon sperm exposure to these conditions, one of the first signaling events observed is a fast increase of intracellular cAMP concentration with the consequent PKA activation (2, 3). In this regard, cAMP participates either directly or indirectly in many molecular processes, such as membrane lipid remodeling (4), sperm plasma membrane potential ($E_{m}$)$^2$ hyperpolarization (5–7), alkalinization of the intracellular milieu (8), and the promotion of protein-tyrosine phosphorylation (9). Interestingly, even though intracellular cAMP increases almost instantaneously after sperm exposure to capacitating conditions, the promotion of tyrosine phosphorylation associated with sperm capacitation and, more importantly, the capacity to fertilize the egg are acquired ~45 min later in mouse sperm (10). Despite major advances achieved in the field of sperm capacitation, the molecular basis of this delay has not yet been resolved.
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Considering the relevance of the capacitation-induced increase in tyrosine phosphorylation, it is surprising that very little is known about the tyrosine kinases mediating this process. Work from several laboratories has shown the presence of members of the Src family of kinases (SFK), in mouse sperm: cSrc (3, 11, 12) Fyn (13), and immunocytochemistry-based evidence on Lyn and Hck (11). However, recent work from our group using a combination of pharmacological and genetic approaches has shown that, although SFK inhibitors affect phosphorylation by up-regulation of Ser/Thr phosphatases, SFKs are not directly involved in the increase in tyrosine phosphorylation observed during sperm capacitation that follows PKA activation. In particular, our studies using cSrc KO mouse models have demonstrated that lack of cSrc did not block the onset of tyrosine phosphorylation (3). Despite the normal increase in tyrosine phosphorylation, sperm from these mice are infertile because of a combined reproductive phenotype. First, the cauda epididymis region in these mice does not develop properly, and second, in the absence of cSrc, sperm motility is reduced significantly. Because of the problems observed in the epididymis, the sperm phenotype is difficult to pinpoint. Is the problem in sperm motility the result of a direct role of cSrc in sperm or is it due to problems of these mice in epididymal maturation? Therefore, in this work, to directly address the role of cSrc in sperm, we followed cSrc activation using anti-phospho cSrc (Tyr(P)-416-Src), which only recognized the active form of the SFK. Using this approach, we show that cSrc is activated 10–15 min downstream of PKA activation and that cSrc activation is both necessary and precedes the capacitation-induced E$_m$ hyperpolarization. Consequently, considering the need and sufficiency of hyperpolarization for a functional acrosomal reaction, we have also shown that cSrc inhibition blocks the acrosomal exocytosis. Finally, we present evidence showing that SLO3 channels expressed in a heterologous system are blocked by cSrc inhibitors.

**Experimental Procedures**

**Materials**—Chemicals were obtained from the following sources. BSA (fatty acid-free), dibutyryl-cyclic AMP, and isobutylmethylxanthine were purchased from Sigma. SU6656 was obtained from Calbiochem, and SKI606 (bosutinib) and H-89 were purchased from Cayman Chemicals (Ann Arbor, MI). Anti-Tyr(P) monoclonal antibody (clone 4G10) and anti-Src (clone GD11) were obtained from Upstate Biotechnology (Lake Placid, NY). Rabbit monoclonal anti-phospho-PKA substrates (clone 100G7E), anti-Src and anti-Tyr(P)-416-Src monoclonal antibodies (clones 32G6 and D49G4, respectively), anti-Lck (D88) monoclonal antibodies (clone D88), anti-Lyn monoclonal antibodies (clone C13F9), anti-Yes polyclonal antibodies (catalog no. 32019), and anti-Fyn polyclonal antibodies (catalog no. 4023) were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA). Anti-Hck monoclonal antibodies (clone 18/Hck) were obtained from BD Biosciences. Anti-Blk (C-20) and anti-Fgr (D-6) polyclonal antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Anti-β-tubulin monoclonal antibody (clone E7) was obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank developed under the auspices of NICHD/National Institutes of Health and maintained by the University of Iowa Department of Biological Sciences, (Iowa City, IA). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG were purchased from Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories (West Grove, PA) and Amersham Biosciences (GE Healthcare), respectively.

**Mouse Sperm Preparation**—Cauda epididymal mouse sperm were collected from BL6 young adult male mice (8–13 weeks old) and sacrificed under supervision of the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Facultad de Ciencias Bioquímicas y Farmacéuticas de Rosario (UNR). Each minced cauda epididymis was placed in 500 µl of a modified Krebs-Ringer medium (Whitten’s HEPES-buffered medium containing 5 mg/ml BSA, Ref. 14) containing 100 mM NaCl, 4.4 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, 1.2 mM MgSO$_4$, 5.4 mM glucose, 0.8 mM pyruvic acid, 4.8 mM lactic acid; 2.4 mM Ca$^{2+}$, and $20$ mM HEPES (pH 7.4). After 10 min, epididymides were removed, and the suspension was suspended with non-capacitating medium to a final concentration of 1–2 × 10$^7$ cells/ml. For capacitation, 15 mM NaHCO$_3$ was added, and sperm was incubated at 37 °C for at least 1 h at 2 × 10$^6$ cells/ml. To test the effect of the different inhibitors of capacitation, sperm were preincubated with inhibitors in non-capacitating medium for 15 min prior to the beginning of the capacitating period.

**SDS-PAGE and Immunoblotting**—After treatment, sperm were collected by centrifugation, washed in 1 ml of TBS, resuspended in Laemmli sample buffer (15) without β-mercaptoethanol, and boiled for 3 min. After centrifugation, 5% β-mercaptoethanol was added to the supernatants and boiled again for 5 min. Protein extracts equivalent to 1–2 × 10$^7$ sperm/lane were subjected to SDS-PAGE and electrotransferred to PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad) at 250 mA for 60 min on ice. Membranes were blocked with 5% fat-free milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (T-TBS). For anti-Tyr(P) and anti-pPKA immunodetections, membranes were blocked with 3% BSA (Sigma) in T-TBS. Antibodies were diluted in T-TBS as follows: 1/10,000 for anti-Tyr(P) (clone 4G10), 1/5000 for anti-pPKA (clone 100G7E), 1/1000 for anti-Fyn, anti-Src, and anti-pSrc antibodies (clones GD11, 32G6, and D49G4, respectively), and 1/10,000 for anti-tubulin (clone E7) and anti-actin. Secondary antibodies were diluted 1/10,000 in T-TBS and developed using an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (ECL Plus, Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. When necessary, PVDF membranes were stripped at 60 °C for 15 min in 2% SDS, 0.74% β-mercaptoethanol, and 62.5 mM Tris (pH 6.5) and washed six times for 5 min each time in T-TBS. In all experiments, molecular masses were expressed in kilodaltons.

**cSrc and Fyn Immunoprecipitation**—After sperm incubation under the appropriate conditions, samples were centrifuged at 1700 × g for 1 min. The resulting pellet was resuspended in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.2), 50 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and protease inhibitors), incubated on ice for 30 min, and centrifuged at 4 °C for 5 min at 2500 × g. Supernatants were incubated with anti-Src (clone 32G6) or anti-Fyn (Cell Signaling Technology, catalog no. 4023, 1/200 antibody for 1 × 10$^7$ cells in a final volume of 500 µl) and anti-tubulin antibodies (clone E7, 1/200) as a negative control.
for 2 h at room temperature with constant rocking. After adding 20 μl of protein G-Sepharose (GE Healthcare), the reactions were rocked further for 1 h at 4 °C. The immune complex was recovered by centrifugation, washed four times in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer, and subjected to SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis. To avoid immunoreactive signals from denatured IgGs used for immunoprecipitation, a monoclonal secondary HRP mouse anti-native rabbit IgG was used (clone RabT-50, Sigma).

Acrosomal Status Assays—Sperm were incubated under capacitating conditions for 60 min in the presence or absence of different SFK inhibitor concentrations. Progesterone (20 μM) was then added and incubated for another 30 min. The evaluation of the acrosomal status was performed by two alternative mechanisms. In one of them, sperm from transgenic male mice (BDF1-Tg (CAG-mtDsRed2, Acr-EGFP) RBGS0202sb) displaying acrosomal vesicles expressing green EGFP fluorescence and midpieces (mitochondria) expressing red Ds-Red2 fluorescence were used (16). In this case, sperm were analyzed on a flow cytometer (FACSCanto II flow cytometer, BD Biosciences) after adding propidium iodide to the sperm suspension to discriminate dead cells (6). A 515- to 545-nm band path filter and 650-nm-long path filters were used for GFP and propidium iodide, respectively. For the second mechanism, WT mouse sperm were used. After progesterone stimulation, these cells were seeded on 8-well glass slides. After air-drying, sperm were fixed with 3.7% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 15 min at room temperature, washed with PBS (for times for 5 min each time), and permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 5 min. Sperm were then treated with 10% BSA in PBS for 1 h at room temperature and incubated with PBS containing 1% BSA and Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated peanut agglutinin (1:500) for 1 h at room temperature. Before mounting with Slow-Fade Light reagents (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), samples were washed with PBS (four times for 5 min each time). Epi-fluorescence microscopy was performed using a BH2 Olympus microscope. Differential interference contrast images were taken in parallel and served as the control for sperm morphology.

Membrane Potential Assay in Cell Populations—Mature sperm, at a concentration of 4 × 10⁶ sperm in 1.7 ml, from the caudal epididymis were capacitated as described above. After incubation for the indicated period, the potential-sensitive dye DiSC₃-(5), which has been successfully used in mammalian sperm, was added to a final concentration of 1 μM, and sperm were incubated for 2 min. No mitochondrial uncouplers were used because their contribution to the resting potential has been determined to be insignificant (17). The sperm were transferred to a gently stirred cuvette at 37 °C, and the fluorescence was monitored with a Varian Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer at 620/670 nm excitation/emission wavelength. Recordings were initiated when steady-state fluorescence was reached (approximately 1 min). Calibration was performed by adding 1 μM valinomycin and sequential additions of KCl as described previously (17). The final sperm membrane potential was obtained by linearly interpolating the theoretical Eₘ values against arbitrary fluorescence units of each trace. This internal calibration for each determination compensates for variables that influence the absolute fluorescence values.

Whole Cell Currents from Xenopus Oocytes—Oocytes were harvested from adult female Xenopus laevis as described previously (18). Defolliculated oocytes were injected with 14–20 ng of mouse SLO3 (mSLO3) cRNA using a Nanoject II Drummond Scientific nanojector (Broomall, PA). Injected oocytes were incubated at 18 °C in ND96 complete medium (ND96 medium plus 2.5 mM sodium pyruvate and penicillin-streptomycin (100 units/ml to 100 μg/ml). The ND96 medium consisted of 96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.8 mM CaCl₂, 5 mM MgCl₂, and 5 mM HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.5 with NaOH. Whole cell currents from mock control and injected oocytes were recorded 3–5 days after injection using the two-microelectrode voltage clamp with an Oocyte Clamp OC-725C amplifier (Warner Instrument Corp.). Whole cell currents were recorded in ND96 solution. Recordings were obtained by digitizing at 10 kHz and low-pass filtering at 1 kHz. Electrodes were made with borosilicate glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments), pulled with a Sutter Instrument Co. P-87 pipette puller, and filled with 3 M KCl. Data were analyzed using pClamp 9 (Molecular Devices) and Origin 6.1 (Microcal Software).

Results

Inhibition of cSrc Does Not Affect Phosphorylation Cascades Associated with Sperm Capacitation—Members of the SFK family require phosphorylation in residue Tyr-416 (known as Tyr-416 for chicken cSrc and corresponding to Tyr-424 in mouse cSrc or its analogue residue in other members of the SFK) to undergo activation and to allow the substrate to gain access to an open-state catalytic site of the active kinase (19, 20). Therefore, antibodies against the phosphorylated state of Tyr-416-SFK (hereafter named Tyr-416-Src) can be used to follow activation of members of the SFK family. As expected, the presence of the cSrc inhibitors SU6656 and SKI606 in the capacitating medium successfully blocked phosphorylation of Tyr-416-Src with an IC₅₀ of ~100–300 nM and maximum effects of 300 nM and 1 μM for SKI606 and SU6656, respectively (Figs. 1, A and B, first and second panels). Although the SFK detected was clearly inhibited, and consistent with our previously published results (3), these low SKI606 and SU6656 concentrations did not have any effect on either tyrosine phosphorylation of sperm proteins associated with capacitation (Fig. 1, A and B, third panels) or PKA substrate phosphorylation (Fig. 1, A and B, fourth panels).

The requirement of Tyr-416 phosphorylation (or the respective conserved site) is common to all members of the SFK family. Therefore, the antibody used to detect Tyr(P)-416-Src might recognize analogue sites in other SFK members. To validate the use of anti Tyr(P)-416-Src for detection of cSrc in its Tyr-416-phosphorylated form in whole sperm cell extracts, immunoprecipitations were carried out using a previously validated monoclonal antibody (clone 32G6) (3). As shown in Fig. 2A, anti Tyr(P)-416-Src antibodies detected an immunoprecipitated protein from capacitated sperm at the expected molecular weight of cSrc. The immunoprecipitation of cSrc...
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FIGURE 1. SFK inhibitors abrogate the phosphorylation of Tyr-416-Src without affecting the phosphorylation pathways associated with sperm capacitation. Mouse sperm were incubated in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of SU6656 (A) or SKI606 (B) for 60 min in capacitating (Cap, with HCO3\textsuperscript{−}) or non-capacitating medium (NC, without HCO3\textsuperscript{−}). Western blot analyses were performed with anti-Tyr(P)-416-Src antibodies (clone D49G4). Membranes were then stripped and reprobed sequentially with anti-Tyr(P) (clone 4G10), anti-pPKAs (clone 100G7E), and anti-tubulin antibodies. All Western blot analyses are representative of experiments repeated at least three times. α-tub, α-tubulin.

Aiming to investigate the biological effect of cSrc blockade on sperm physiology, motility and acrosome reaction were evaluated. Although no effect was observed on hyperactivation, a low concentrations of both SU6656 and SKI606, which effectively blocked activation of cSrc without disturbing tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 4E), measured using peanut agglutinin-FITC staining of intact acrosomes. Similar results were obtained by flow cytometry (Fig. 4C) using sperm from Acr-GFP transgenic mice (Fig. 4D), which display green fluorescent acrosomes when intact without staining requirements (16).

We have shown previously that capacitation-induced $E_{m}$ hyperpolarization is necessary and sufficient to promote acrosomal responsiveness (5). Therefore, we evaluated whether the effect of cSrc inhibitors on acrosomal responsiveness was a result of blocking hyperpolarization. To test this possibility, sperm $E_{m}$ was analyzed in a population assay using the carboxyfluorescein dye DISC(3)5. Both SKI606 and SU6656 effectively blocked capacitation-induced hyperpolarization at concentrations that have no effect on tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 4A).
Consistent with a role of cSrc in this event, both cSrc activation and hyperpolarization present similar kinetics (between 15 and 30 min after incubation under capacitating conditions) (Fig. 5, C and D). These results suggest that the role of cSrc in acrosomal responsiveness is mediated by changes in sperm membrane $E_m$. Supporting this hypothesis, when in the presence of cSrc inhibitors, hyperpolarization is pharmacologically induced with the K$^+$ ionophore valinomycin, and then the acrosomal responsiveness toward progesterone is restored (Fig. 6). As a control, treatment of non-capacitated sperm with valinomycin did not promote phosphorylation of Tyr-416-Src (Fig. 6B), further substantiating that cSrc activation is upstream of $E_m$ hyperpolarization. Conversely, clamping of sperm $E_m$ to different potentials during capacitation, as done before (5), did not impair normal activation of cSrc (Fig. 6C). Together, these data indicate that cSrc activation is upstream of $E_m$ hyperpolarization observed during sperm capacitation and that this signaling pathway is required to prepare the sperm for an agonist-induced acrosome reaction.

Mouse SLO3 Currents Are Blocked by cSrc Inhibitors in a Heterologous System—Experiments using SLO3 knockout mouse models indicate that this K$^+$ channel plays an essential role in the regulation of sperm hyperpolarization and, consequently, on the sperm preparation for the acrosome reaction. Interestingly, SLO3 is closely related to the SLO1 channel, which is known to be activated by cSrc. The site of regulation appears to be a tyrosine located at the COOH terminus of the channel (24), within a highly conserved region compared with SLO3. Aiming to determine whether SLO3 channel activity could be modulated by tyrosine phosphorylation, we tested the effect of the Src inhibitors SU6656 and SKI606 on heterologously expressed SLO3 channels. Our results showed that whole cell SLO3 K$^+$ currents expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes were inhibited significantly by 1 $\mu$M SU6656 at all voltages tested (Fig. 7, A and B) and by low concentrations of SKI606 (Fig. 7, C and D). The inhibitory effect was established in 2 min and was partially reversible. Because fast inhibition was observed, and to discard a direct block of the channel from the extracellular side, a third inhibitor was tested. The following reasons supported the use of genistein on this experiment. It is a tyrosine kinase broad-spectrum inhibitor (25), it has been shown to act rapidly (within 1–5 min) on both ion channels and receptors through tyrosine phosphorylation-dependent mechanisms (26, 27), and it has an inactive analogue. Although genistein and SU6656 or SKI606 are structurally different and present different mechanisms of action from each other, we found that SLO3 currents were also inhibited significantly by 100 $\mu$M genistein at all voltages tested (Fig. 7E). In this case, the maximum effect was achieved in 1 min and was partially reversible. On the other hand, the genistein inactive analogue daidzein had no effect on mSLO3 currents even at 100 $\mu$M concentration (Fig. 7, G and H).
Discussion

After ejaculation, mammalian sperm are morphologically mature, but they are not able to fertilize. More than 50 years ago, Chang (28) and Austin (29) reported independently that mammalian sperm should reside in the female tract for an obligatory period of time to acquire “fertilizing capacity” in a process called capacitation. At the molecular level, capacitation is initiated as soon as sperm are ejaculated in the female tract. One of the first signaling pathways observed are an HCO₃⁻/H₁¹₀₀₂ induced activation of Adcy10, increased cAMP intracellular concentrations, and the consequent activation of PKA. This fast increase in cAMP occurs immediately, and maximum levels of PKA activation are observed in 1 min (3, 22). It has been shown that PKA activation is needed for many other signaling events associated with capacitation. Among these events, and particularly important for the findings in this manuscript, is the PKA requirement for both the hyperpolarization of the sperm plasma membrane (6, 30) and the increase in tyrosine phosphorylation (31). However, the molecules that mediate the connection between PKA and other pathways have not been identified.
Noteworthy is that the activation kinetics of PKA (~1 min), Refs. 3, 22), hyperpolarization (30 min, Ref. 17 and this work), and tyrosine phosphorylation (~45 min) suggest that the role of PKA in the regulation of these other pathways is indirect. Regarding tyrosine phosphorylation, considering that PKA is a Ser/Thr kinase, the activation of a tyrosine kinase downstream in the pathway is predicted. The identity of this tyrosine kinase has remained elusive. Recent data demonstrating that the FAK (focal adhesion kinase) family inhibitor PF-431396 blocks tyrosine phosphorylation in horse and human sperm without blocking PKA activation (32, 33). cSrc has also been proposed as the kinase involved in tyrosine phosphorylation. However, cSrc KO mice present normal levels of tyrosine phosphorylation (34). Although these data can be explained by compensation with other SFKs, we showed that, although high concentrations of SFK inhibitors (SKI-606 and SU6656 50 μM) block tyrosine phosphorylation, these concentrations also blocked PKA activation (3). Interestingly, this inhibition is completely overcome with very low concentrations of the Ser/Thr phosphatase inhibitors okadaic acid and calyculin (3). Together, these data suggest that a kinase inhibited by high concentration of Src inhibitors is involved in the down-regulation of Ser/Thr phosphatases (most likely PP2A) and that its involvement in the regulation of phosphorylation pathways is indirect. The fact that cSrc KO undergoes tyrosine phosphorylation added to the high SKI-606 and SU6656 concentrations required to inhibit this event indicate that cSrc is not directly involved in the regulation of this signaling pathway during sperm capacitation.

Despite these conclusions, sperm from cSrc KO mice are infertile, and their motility is compromised (34). In addition to the sperm phenotype, these mice have deficiencies in cauda epididymis development because of the lower number and size of clear cells. Clear cells in the cauda epididymis are responsible for H+ secretion through the V-ATPase pump. This function is essential for epididymal fluid acidification. Therefore, the mechanisms by which lack of cSrc affects sperm function are not clear. Whether cSrc has an essential function is sperm or cSrc affects epididymal fluid and, consequently, alters sperm
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FIGURE 7. SFK control mammalian SLO3 currents expressed in Xenopus oocytes. SLO3 current traces obtained from a holding potential (Vh) of −70 mV, applying 10-mV steps from −90 mV to +150 mV and repolarizing to 0 mV. A, under control conditions (ND96 solution in the bath) and after the application of 1 μM SU6656, B, mean current-voltage relationships under control conditions (black squares) and in the presence of 1 μM SU6656 (gray dots) (n = 5 oocytes). C, mean current-voltage relationships under control conditions (black squares) and in the presence of 0.3 μM SKI606 (gray dots) (n = 9 oocytes). D, mean current-voltage relationships under control conditions (black squares) and in the presence of 3 μM SKI606 (gray dots) (n = 3 oocytes). E, mSLO3 current traces obtained from a Vh of −70 mV, applying 20-mV steps from −90 to +190 mV and repolarizing to −40 mV. F, time course of genistein inhibition. Pulses to +30 mV were applied every 3 s. The current was maximally inhibited in ~60 s. G, mean I-V plots under control conditions and during the application of genistein (100 μM) (n = 5 oocytes). H, current-voltage plots obtained under control conditions and after application of daidzein (100 μM) (an inactive analogue of genistein) (n = 4 oocytes). The currents in C and D were obtained with the same voltage protocol used in A.

epididymal maturation, or even a combination of both problems, remained unknown. To directly investigate the role of cSrc in sperm, in this work, we followed cSrc activation using antibodies against phosphorylated Tyr-416. Phosphorylation of this site is required for cSrc activity, and Western blots using these antibodies are routinely used to follow cSrc activation (35, 36). Using this approach, we showed that cSrc is activated during capacitation in about 15 min and that this activation is blocked with much lower SKI606 and SU6656 (cSrc inhibitors) concentrations than those required for the inhibition of capacitation-induced phosphorylation pathways. Taking into consideration that the anti-Tyr(P)-416 antibody could recognize other SFKs, we investigated the presence of other members of this family in mouse sperm and found only cSrc and Fyn present in sperm of the eight SFK family members investigated. Moreover, when cSrc and Fyn were immunoprecipitated, the anti-Tyr(P)-416 recognized cSrc but not Fyn in capacitated sperm. Considering the organizing role of PKA in most capacitation-associated processes, we then investigated whether PKA was required for cSrc activation, and we found a positive correlation. First, cSrc is not activated when the sperm are incubated in the absence of HCO3−. Second, under the same conditions (absence of HCO3−), cAMP agonists induced cSrc activation. Finally, PKA inhibition with either H-89 or PKI obliterated cSrc activation.

The previous experiments indicate that cSrc is activated downstream of PKA activation, similar to other capacitation-dependent processes. However, these experiments were silent regarding the role of cSrc in other capacitation-dependent functions. Because of the cSrc KO phenotype, we first investigated the extent by which cSrc was involved in the regulation of sperm motility. To our surprise, low concentrations of the cSrc inhibitors SKI606 and SU6656, which were effective in the inhibition of cSrc, did not have an effect on motility parameters. These experiments suggest that the motility phenotype observed in cSrc KO sperm could be due to problems in epididymal maturation. This hypothesis is consistent with the role of epididymal maturation on the ability of the sperm to move (37). On the other hand, cSrc inhibition completely blocked the progesterone-induced acrosome reaction. We have shown that agonist-induced acrosome reaction is downstream of the hyperpolarization changes of the sperm plasma membrane observed during capacitation (5). Therefore, we investigated whether the role of cSrc in the acrosome reaction was connected with the changes in sperm plasma membrane potential. First, we found that the kinetics of both processes, cSrc activation and hyperpolarization, were similar. Second, low SKI606 and SU6656 concentrations blocked the capacitation-induced hyperpolarization. Third, the inhibition of the progesterone-induced acrosome reaction observed when cSrc is blocked was overcome when hyperpolarization was induced with the K+ ionophore valinomycin.

At what level does cSrc participate in the regulation of sperm membrane potential? Recent evidence is consistent with the hypothesis that the capacitation-induced hyperpolarization depends on the activation of the sperm-specific K+ channel SLO3 (17, 38, 39). Sperm from SLO3 KO mice are infertile, do not undergo hyperpolarization, and present serious deficien-
cies in their ability to undergo the acrosome reaction (38). Interestingly, the closest SLO3 homologue is SLO1, which is known to be regulated by cSrc activation in other cell types through phosphorylation of a tyrosine located at the highly conserved carboxyl terminus of the channel (24). Likewise, the majority of voltage-gated, ligand-gated, and second messenger-gated channels are regulated to some degree by tyrosine phosphorylation. Non-receptor tyrosine kinases, and cSrc in particular, have also been shown to regulate a variety of membrane ion channels, including ligand-gated ion channels, voltage-gated calcium channels, and potassium channels (for a review, see Ref. 40). Whether there is a direct effect of cSrc over SLO3 is currently unknown. However, our electrophysiological recording of currents derived from Xenopus oocytes expressing mammalian SLO3 suggests that inhibition of SLO3 currents is related to altered tyrosine phosphorylation. SFK family members have been identified in Xenopus oocytes (41, 42), making the regulation of the channel by these kinases possible when SLO3 channels are heterologously expressed. The inhibition of SLO3 currents observed, although consistent with the in vivo inhibition of $E_m$ by hyperpolarization in mouse sperm, awaits further work to elucidate the mechanism operating under cSrc control.
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