Possible environment influence in spine segmentation anomalies

Manuel D. D'Angelo del Campo^{1, 2, 3}, Sara Pastor³, Laura Medialdea³, Mónica Caballero Grijalba³, Pamela García Laborde², Mónica Salemme⁴, Manuel Campo Martín³, Armando González Martín³, Verónica Seldes⁵, Ricardo Anibal Guichón^{1, 2}

¹ Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Centro Científico Tecnológico-Tandil (CONICET, CCT Tandil). Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina

² Universidad Nacional del Centro de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (UNCPBA), Facultad de Ciencias Sociales (FACSO), Laboratorio de Ecología Evolutiva Humana (LEEH), Unidad de Enseñanza Universitaria Quequén (UEUQ). Provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina ³ Laboratorio de Poblaciones de Pasado (LAPP), Departamento de Biología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (UAM), Madrid, España

⁴ Centro Austral de Investigaciones Científicas (CADIC). Ushuaia, Argentina

⁵ Instituto Ciencias Antropológicas (ICA), Sección Antropología Biológica, Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA). Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

SUMMARY

Segmentation anomalies of the spine transformations are relatively common in humans, mainly in adjacent regions. Its aetiology is multifactorial, a combination of genetic, environmental, and epigenetic interaction. A sample of 50 adult individuals of both sexes from two different sites and chronologies of the current Argentine territory was examined. This work proposes a new approach to analyse segmentation anomalies, considering the taphonomic characteristics of the spine, together with the most common occasional contour shifts of such anomalies. Likewise, a bibliographic review was conducted to compile the knowledge achieved to date on this topic. The results showed different patterns of expression of segmentation anomalies among the analysed samples, with the lumbosacral transformations

Corresponding author:

being the most prevalent. The similarities and disparities observed between Southern Patagonian samples and Inuit populations suggest that cold, as an environmental factor, could play an important role in the phenotypic plasticity of human populations. Similarly, hypoxia could influence the sample from Pukará de Tilcara. Due to the scarce existing methodological standardization for addressing segmentation anomalies, a systematization of the methods used to analyse segmentation anomalies is recommended; our approach is a proposal for this purpose.

Key words: Argentina – Boundary regions – Sacralization – Cold – Hypoxia – Holocene

https://doi.org/10.52083/RRXI5320

Manuel Domingo D'Angelo del Campo. CONICET, CCT Tandil, provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina; UNCPB, FACSO, LEEH, UEUQ, provincia de Buenos Aires, Argentina; LAPP, Dept. Biología, Fac. Ciencias, UAM, Madrid, España. Phone: 0034 6993381891. E-mail: manueldomingodangelo@gmail.com

Submitted: November 2, 2022. Accepted: December 14, 2022

INTRODUCTION

The alteration of the spine may occur in terms of its number of vertebrae and morphology, which are often related but not necessarily (ten Broek et al., 2012). When these variations occur between two regions of the spine, they are considered segmentation anomalies (SAs), relatively common among humans (White and Folkens, 2005; ten Broek et al., 2012; Singh et al., 2015). Along with genetic factors, the development of the spine can be affected by other effects such as those caused by nutritional, hormonal, or mechanical agents. Variations and spine malformations have a multifactorial origin, resulting from congenital anomalies that arise due to intrinsic (genetic and hormonal) and extrinsic (environmental) factors, or a combination of both (epigenetic interaction). Therefore, it is difficult to determine the aetiology of such pathologies due to the complexity of determining the underlying cause (Barnes, 1994; Sarfo, 2014; Tancock, 2014; Karapetian and Makarov, 2019).

It is important to note that archaeological human bones are often affected by taphonomic processes. Good preservation conditions depend on various extrinsic and intrinsic factors. Vertebral elements are among the skeletal elements most vulnerable to taphonomic process (Holland et al., 1996; Manifold, 2012). Therefore, these processes are a limiting factor in paleopathological analysis, but even more so in paleopathological examination of the spine.

The aim of this study was to explore SAs in two Argentine populations from different periods: a) hunter-gatherers from Southern Patagonia (SP), and b) prehispanic farmers from the Argentinean prepuna. Considering that the first population lived in a cold environment at the sea level and the second in high altitude ecosystems with a large temperature range, the hypothesis of this work is that differences among these two populations are expected for the prevalence of different SAs due to the different microevolutionary processes of adaptation to the environment adopted by each of these populations.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two samples of adults from different parts of Argentina were analysed (Fig. 1): 1) a sample of hunter-gatherers from SP; 2) skeletal remains from the Argentinean prepuna, from the *Pukará de Tilcara* site (PT). In the present study, a total of 126 individuals (98 SP and 28 PT) were initially analysed; 50 of them met the desired standards of completeness and preservation: at least the last four thoracic vertebrae, the entire lumbar region and the sacrum, which were used to carry out a more in-depth analysis. Therefore, the final sample for analysis comprised 37 from SP and 13 PT individuals.



Fig. 1.- Map of South America with the two samples located in Argentina. *Patagonia Austral* (SP) shows the localisation of the hunter-gatherers from SP. Tilcara shows the localization of *Pukará de Tilcara* site (PT).

SP sample (13 women, 22 men and 2 with sex not available -NA), dated from Holocene, between 5000 years B.P. and the 20th century, from different archaeological sites (Table 1) excavated in SP, area behind the 50th parallel South, including the last foothills of the American continent. The bioanthropological information on these individuals comes from *Base de Información Bioantropológica de* Patagonia Austral -B.I.B.P.A.- (D'Angelo del Campo et al., 2020). These populations were maritime or terrestrial hunter-gatherers, and presented a high degree of isolation from other American populations as shown by previous genetic (Lalueza, 1997a; Pérez et al., 2009; de la Fuente et al., 2015, 2018; Crespo et al., 2018), morphological (Cocilovo and Guichón, 1986, 1999-2000; Guichón, 1993; Varela et al., 1993-94; Lalueza et al., 1996; González-José et al., 2002; Pérez et al., 2007, 2009) and archaeological studies (Borrero, 2001). However, there is an internal homogeneity between them reported both genetically (Lalueza, 1997a; García-Bour et al., 2004; Crespo et al., 2017) and morphometrically (Hernández et al., 1997; González-Jose, 2003; Bernal et al., 2010). With the arrival of allochthonous people at the end of the 19th century, the lifestyle changed drastically, and a great demographic decline took place (García-Moro et al., 1997).

Therefore, the individual SP were classified according to the period and the specific region to which they belonged. The periods were divided according to the arrival of the colonizers, differentiating between 1) precontact, before 1.520 A.D., when the Magellan expedition crossed the Strait of Magellan; 2) post-contact, after that date; and 3) pericontact: because dating methodologies inevitably have precision errors, individuals dated around 1,520 A.D. cannot be classified as pre- or post- contact (Table 1). In addition, we divided the period of post-contact into: (i) individuals who lived at Salesian the Mission "Nuestra Señora de La Candelaria" - SMLC - (lat. -53,72°, long. -67,79°) near to Río Grande city (North of Tierra del Fuego, Argentina); and (ii) individuals who lived out of SMLC. To analyse the regional factor, the classification by Borrero et al. (2001) was used. According to it, the SP region is divided the region into six areas: Beagle Channel (BC), San Gregorio-Brunswick (SGB), North of Isla Grande de Tierra del Fuego (NIG), Mitre Peninsula (MP), Continent (C) and Última Esperanza Mountain (UEM) (see Table 1). However, due to the low number of individuals present in some of these areas, we had to modify this classification and regroup the 6 areas into 3 as follows (Fig. 2): (1) Beagle Channel (BC); (2) Isla Grande (IG), made up by the union of North of Isla Grande de Tierra del Fuego (NIG) and Mitre Peninsula (MP); and (3) Continent (C), made up by the union of Continent (C), Ultima Esperanza Mountain (UEM) and San Gregorio-Brunswick (SGB).

PT sample (6 female, 5 male and 2 NA; Table 2) from the *Pukará de Tilcara*, site from Argentinian prepuna, located in the central sector of the *Quebrada de Humahuaca*, a valley sited in the province of Jujuy connecting the Eastern plains to the Puna and the Southern Mountain hills area. This



Fig. 2.- Map of SP divided in three regions: Continent (C), Beagle Chanel (BC) and Isla Grande (IG). Modified from Borrero et al. (2001).

 Table 1. Skeletal remains from Southern Patagonia (SP) analysed in this work.

	Indi	vidual	Institution ^a	IC ^b	Chronology (years BP)	Region	Sex ^d	Age	C vert.	T vert.	L vert.	S vert.	Co vert.	Total vert.	References
1	La A	rcillosa 2	CADIC	-	5205 ± 58	NIG	F	24-28	7	12	5	6	0	29	Saleme et al., 2007a
2	Bahí	a Felipe 2	IP	50103	1608 ± 45	NIG	М	35-50	7	12	5	5	0	29	Suby, 2014
3	Shar	nakush 6	MFM	SH-6	1536 ± 46	СВ	М	35-45	7	12	5	5	0	29	Suby et al., 2011
4	Pais	nauaia I	MFM	857	1504 ± 46	СВ	F	35-45	7	12	5	5	0	29	Suby et al., 2011
5	Punt	a Daniel	IP	33949	1090 ± 30	SGB	М	30-40	7	12	5	5	0	29	Suby, 2014
6	Cabo	Nose	IP	89014	980 ± 40	NIG	М	20-35	3	11	5	5	1	25	Alfonso-Durruty et al., 2011
7	Cale 8 (1)	ta Falsa, site	MFM	S8-1	820 ± 40	РМ	М	18-23	6	11	5	5	0	27	Guichón & Suby, 2011
8	Send) Lauta 2	IP	288	Pre-contact	СВ	F	35-40	7	12	5	6	0	30	Guichón, 1994
9	Isla I (125	Hoste 90)	ME	12590	Pre-contact	СВ	М	36	6	12	5	5	0	28	Guichón, 1994
10	Isla l (125	Hoste 89)	ME	12589	Pre-contact	СВ	F	А	3	12	5	7	0	27	Guichón, 1994
11	Alep	h 3	ME	-	450 ± 60 (Pe- ri-contact)	РМ	М	30-39	0	9	5	6	0	20	Lanata, 1995
12	Braz Cerr	o Norte, o Johny	IP	6784	390 ± 60/480 ± 70 (Peri-contact)	SGB	М	30-50	7	12	5	7	0	31	Guichón, 1994
13	Pues	to Pescador	CADIC	-	335 ± 35	NIG	М	22-28	7	12	5	6	0	31	Suby et al., 2008
14	Leng	gua de Vaca	IP	6780	251 ± 41	NIG	F	30-40	7	12	5	5	1	30	Suby, 2014
15	Las l las 1	4andíbu-	LEEH	QQN002	1770-1950 A.D.	NIG	М	20-34	7	12	5	5	0	29	Guichón et al., 2000
16	Cave to Na	rna 3, Puer- atales	IP	50109	Post-contact	UEM	М	20-25	2	11	5	5	0	23	Prieto 1993-94
17	Cem Habe	enterio erton	MFM	CH 95	Post-contact	СВ	М	25-35	5	11	5	5	0	26	Piana et al., 2006
18	Akat	husún	MFM	-	Post-contact	СВ	F	30-40	4	12	5	5	1	27	Piana et al., 2006
19		C11 (1)	LEEH	QQN0058	Post-contact	NIG	М	20-30		12	5	6	0	23	García Laborde, 2016
20		D-C 9-10	LEEH	QQN0053	Post-contact	NIG	М	25-40	7	12	5	7	0	31	García Laborde, 2016
21		C 13	LEEH	QQN0026	Post-contact	NIG	F	25-35		8	5	5	0	18	García Laborde, 2016
22	ion	E 10-11 (1)	LEEH	QQN0049	Post-contact	NIG	М	25-30	7	12	5	6	0	30	García Laborde, 2016
23	Miss	E 10-11 (2)	LEEH	QQN0055	Post-contact	NIG	F	18-25	7	12	5	5	0	29	García Laborde, 2016
24	ssian	E 12-13	LEEH	QQN0023	Post-contact	NIG	М	35-45	7	12	5	5	0	29	García Laborde, 2016
25	Sale	C 14 (2)	LEEH	QQN0033	Post-contact	NIG	F	19-20	7	12	5	5	0	29	García Laborde, 2016
26		D 16 (bis)	LEEH	QQN0039	Post-contact	NIG	F	21-53	7	12	5	6	0	31	García Laborde, 2016
27		E 15-16 2 (bis)	LEEH	QQN0045	Post-contact	NIG	М	30-40	3	12	5	5	1	26	García Laborde, 2016
28		D 15-16	LEEH	QQN0030	Post-contact	NIG	F	35-49	2	10	5	6	0	23	García Laborde, 2016
29	Ushı	ıaia	ME	13276	-	СВ	-	А	2	*9	5	5	0	21	Guichón, 1994
30	Zona Ushu	a Industrial, 1aia	MFM	2670	-	СВ	М	А	7	12	4	6	0	29	This work
31	Parq Patri	ue Nac. La a	MFM	2403 (2)	-	СВ	М	35-49		12	5	5	0	22	This work
32	Clos	e to SMLC	ME	25884	-	NIG	М	30-35	6	12	5	5	0	28	Schindler, 2001
33	Esta: Behe	ncia María ety	MFM	2667	-	NIG	М	35-49	7	12	5	5	0	29	This work
34	Bahí	a Chilota	IP	73722	-	NIG	F	А	7	12	5	5	1	30	Alfonso-Durruty et al., 2015
35	Bahí	a Santiago 4	IP	50112	-	SGB	-	А	7	12	5	5	1	30	This work
36	2671		MFM	2671	-	-	F	20-34	2	*11	5	5	1	19	This work
37	2405	5	MFM	2405	-	-	М	50		12	5	5	0	22	This work

^a CADIC: Centro Austral de Investigaciones Científicas, Ushuaia; Argentina. IP: Instituto de la Patagonia, Punta Arenas, Chile. MFM: Museo del Fin del Mundo, Ushuaia, Argentina. ME: Museo Etnográfico Juan B. Ambrosetti, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, LEEH: Laboratorio de Ecología Evolutiva Humana, Quequén, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
 ^b IC: Institution code.

^c Norte de Isla Grande de Tierra del Fuego (NIG); San Gregorio-Brunswick (SGB); Mitre Peninsula (PM); Beagle Channel (CB); Última Esperanza Mountain (UEM). ^d F: Female; M: male. Vert.: vertebrae.

settlement is placed at a plateau with a maximum height of 2525 m in the middle of the valley in a complex topography, with ladders and fertile valleys. PT can be considered a high-altitude place, with the consequent effects of altitude produce in human physiology. As Frisancho (1993) noted, the results of altitude are perceived at 2000 m during physical activity and 2500 m during rest. The first settlements in this area happened before the 1st millennium A.D., and it was a densely populated area during late pre-Hispanic times (11th to 16th centuries A.D.), reaching its largest size and becoming the main hierarchical center of the region during the Inca period (Debenedetti, 1930; Otero, 2015). Thus, those settlements are pre-contact. Moreover, the sample is composed of individuals whose lifestyle was majorly a combination of farming and shepherding. The collection is located at Museo Etnografico "J. B. Ambrosetti", Facultad de Filosofía y Letras at Universidad de Buenos Aires (UBA). The analysed individuals have been classified according to their sex as male (M) or female (F), based on the study of the cranium and the hip bones, according to the method proposed by Buikstra and Ubelaker (1994). Age was classified as over and under 30 years at death, estimated by the study of pubic symphyses (Todd 1921a, b; Brooks and Suchey, 1990), auricular surface

(Lovejoy et al., 1985) epiphyseal fusion (Buikstra and Ubelaker, 1994) and the metamorphosis of the fourth sternal rib end (Isçan et al., 1984).

SAs changes in transitional vertebrae, column units that are in the boundary regions of the spine, comprise cervicothoracic, thoracolumbar, lumbosacral and sacrococcygeal. The definitions that we have used in this study are the following: Jankauskas (2001); Sarfo (2014); ten Broek et al. (2017) and Karapetian et al. (2019). They all describe: 1) Cranial shift: the vertebra acquires the typical characteristics of the immediately inferior section; 2) Caudal shift: the vertebra acquires the typical characteristics of the immediately superior section of the spine. Caudal and cranial shift diversity are illustrated in Fig. 3, based on previous works (Jankauskas, 2001; Sarfo, 2014; ten Broek et al. (2017; Karapetian and Makarov, 2019). In order to analyse the SAs, a macroscopical study was carried out in all spines to identify each vertebral region, observing the presence or absence of each vertebral element (Fig. 3). Vertebrae were examined attending to the diverse characteristics of each segment (White and Folkens, 2005): foramen, body, arch, pedicle, lamina, spinous process, transverse process, articular facets (superior and inferior) and costal fovea. In the case of the sacrum, the promon-

Ind	ividual	Institutiona	Sex ^b	Age	C vert.	T vert.	L vert.	S vert.	Co vert.	Total vert.
1	17823 (box 132)	ME	F	18-22	7	12	5	5	0	29
2	17846 (box 171)	ME	М	28-45	7	12	5	5	0	29
3	17825 (box 24)	ME	М	35-55	6	12	5	5	0	28
4	17828 (box 26)	ME	Ι	-	7	12	5	5	1	30
5	17954 (box 50)	ME	F	20-38	6	12	5	6	0	29
6	17952 (box 49)	ME	М	24-55	7	12	4	6	0	29
7	17955 (box 51)	ME	Ι	18-25	7	12	5	5	0	29
8	17949 (box 47)	ME	F	22-48	7	12	5	6	0	30
9	17951 (box 48)	ME	F	А	6	11	5	6	0	28
10	17852 (box 40)	ME	F	>40	6	12	5	5	0	28
11	17853 (box 41)	ME	М	25-35		12	5	5	0	22
12	17855 (box 43)	ME	F	20-40	6	12	5	5	0	29
13	17833 (box 31)	ME	М	20-27	5	12	5	5	0	29

Table 2. Skeletal remains from *Pukará de Tilkara* (PT) analysed in this work.

^a **ME:** Museo Etnográfico Juan B. Ambrosetti, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Buenos Aires, Argentina. ^b F: Female; M: male; I: indeterminate.

Vert .: vertebrae.

tory, alae, sacroiliac joint, anterior sacral foramina, transverse lines, superior articular facets, dorsal wall, and median crest were observed. Finally, in the *coccyx*, the articular and transverse processes superiorly and the cornua were identified. To identify each vertebra into specific vertebral region, a good preservation of vertebral elements is important. However, preservation of all the vertebral elements is complex because the spine is especially sensitive to taphonomic processes (Manifold, 2012), fundamentally the coccygeal region (Stodder, 2019). Another factor to consider in the study of SAs is where these alterations occur, usually in boundary regions, being the lumbosacral region the most affected (Konin and Walz, 2010) and the cervicothoracic region the least affected (Jankauskas, 2001). Based on these two factors, and since there is still no methodological standardization to study SAs, this work proposes a new approach to study these anomalies by analysing specific regions of the spine instead of the entire structure. In addition, considering the current limitations of preservation of archaeological samples and the high prevalence of SAs existing in lumbosacaral and boundary regions (Fig. 3), this new approach requires a minimal preservation condition of the

spine to have the last four thoracic vertebrae, the entire lumbar region and the sacrum. Therefore, in this work, those individuals who did not present the minimum preservation requirements were excluded from the study.

Descriptive analyses were carried out in the SA analysed with the new approach proposed constructing contingency tables for caudal thoracicallization, lumbarization and cranial sacralization, considering sex, grouped age, region (CB, IG and C) and period (precontact, peri-contact, post-contact – out of SMLC and post-contact – in SMLC). Number of Sacral Vertebrae (NSV) frequencies were also studied considering the same factors. Results were in contingency tables. Hypothesis testing for the different levels of the factors contained in the contingency tables was performed with two-sided Fisher's exact test with a confidence level of 95% ($\alpha < 0.05$). This statistical test is recommended for small samples such as our case.

In order to contextualize the results of SP and PT, this paper reviews the literature on SAs in ancient populations, including information from different studies carried out on all continents since the beginning of the 20th century (Table 3).



Fig. 3.- Schema of the spine, both normal (left) and with SAs (middle and right): **Caudal cervicalization**: T1 transformation to cervical morphology without ribs. **Cranial dorsalization**: presence of rib facets on the vertebral body of C7. **Caudal dorsalization**: ribs at L1 and/or superior articular process of dorsal type. **Cranial lumbarization**: T12 without rib facets and/or inferior articular process of lumbar type. **Caudal lumbarization**: S1 acquires lumbar form and remains separate from the sacrum. **Cranial sacralization**: L5 is assimilated by the *sacrum* or modify its shape assuming S1 form. **Caudal sacralization**: C01 acquires sacral vertebrae shape and usually is assimilated by the *sacrum*.

		Observations																		
		References	Willis, 1923	Lanier, 1939				Merbs, 1974	Lester & Shapiro, 1968				Tague, 2009				Weiss, 2009			Kimmerle, 2010
remain clear.		Methodology					(2004)	Kunne (1936)												L1 thoracization
vided		udal %			0	0	0	0												5,8
variable is pro	Dorsalization	ethodology n			1	1	24	11e (1936)												m
out the		۳ پار						2		-										
on abo		Cranis n %			0	0	0													
ormati		a			: 33	: 37	otal: 70	otal: 70												
ells where no inf		Methodology			.0	01	T (1000)	Kuhne (1936) T									Barnes (1994)			S1 lumbariza- tion (total or partial)
hose c		udal %													ø	0	4,4			8°°
ork. T	zation	n Ca			14	10	24	23							4	0	4			
om current w	Lumbari	Methodolog					2000	. Kuhne (1936												T12 lumbarizatio
lata fr		ranial %					8,6													1,9
represent (р С ц			්:33 1	Q:37 1	Total: 70 2	♂: 33 ♀: 37 Total: 70							ੇ: 50	♀: 41	Total: 91			Total: 52 1
olored in grey		Methodology						Kühne (1936)												Sacralization of coccygeal vertebra
tows co		udal %			0	0	0													9,6
orld. R		n Ca			22	13	35	26												c)
s around the w	Sacralization	Methodology		Sacralization of L5 (total or partial				Kühne (1936)				нім	Assimilation				Barnes (1994)			Cranial sacralization
sample		nial %		0,14					3.7	7.3	9	4.6	8.3	6.7						7.7
keletal		Cra		28	-	2	n	n	11	36	30	20	45	131						4
different s		a		Total: 201	්: 33	Q: 37	Total: 70	Total: 70	Total: 295	White: 487	Black: 495	White: 433	Black: 540	Total: 1955	්: 50	Q: 41	Total: 91			Total: 52
s variation in		Total individuals	Total: 748	Total: 201	ð: 33	Q: 37	Total: 70	Total: 70	Total: 295		ं: 1048		4: 1038	Total: 2086	ð: 66	9 : 66	Total: 146	ਹੈ: 39	; : 33	Total: 172
ion on SA:		Lifestyle	Urban	Urban		UH	50	QN	QZ				Urban				ЭH			Horticul- tural (Agricul- ture)
phic informat		Period	20 th century	20 th century		Several hundred	years - 20 th century	Before 19 th century	1 th century A.D				19 th - 20 th centuries				2180 - 250 BP			11th-18th centuries a.C.
able 3. Bibliogra	ent Collection or	conection of sample Country)	Hamann-Tod Osteological Collection, Cleveland (USA). Documented collection	Departmental collections of Washington University and Western Reserve University	Sadlemiurt,	Southampton island. Museum	of Man, Ottawa (Canada)	Northwest Coast Indian (Haida, Kwak- iutl, Nootka). Field Museum	of Natural His- lory, Chicago (USA) Eskimo skele- fons from the American Mu- American Mu- Mistory, New York (USA)	A Hamann-Tod	Osteological Collection.	Cleveland (USA)	Terry Anatom-	Collection, Missouri (USA), Documented collections	Skeletal sample	from the Ryan Mound site.	south-eastern side of the San Francisco Bay, San José (USA)	Skeletal re-	mains from the Sully site	(395L4), Arika- ra natives. Na- tional Museum of Natural His- tory (NMNH), Smithsonian Institution, Washington D.C. (USA)

Collection or						Ś	acralization						Lumbarizati	noi				Dorsalizatio	e			
sample (Country)	Period	Lifestyle	Total individuals	c	Crani	la	Methodology	Caud	lal Me	ethodology	u C	ranial	Methodology	Caudal	Methodology	q	Cranial	Methodology	Caudal	Methodology	References	Observations
(f)					u	%	8	u	%	3	u	%	ŝ	% u	3	u	%	3	% u	3		
Hamann-Tod Osteological			ੈ: 1617	ð:1617				4	13,4													
Collection, Cleveland (USA)			Q: 737	Q: 737					37													
and Robert J. Terry Anatom- ical Skeletal Collection, Missouri (USA). Documented	19 th - 20 th centuries	Urban	Total: 2354	Total: 2354				703 273 2 976	41,5 St	acralization f coccygeal vertebra											fague, 2011	
Hamann-Tod			ੈ: 569	්: 569	59 0.	,104																This work
Collection,			¢: 392	₀: 392	46 0	,117																presents a different
creverating (2037) and Robert J. Terry Anatom- ical Skeletal Collection. Missouri (USA). Documented collections	19 th - 20 th centuries	Urban	Total: 961	Total: 961	105	0'01 0	High Assimilation of the Sacrum														lague, 2011b	percentage compared to Tague (2009), because only in- dividuals between 20 and 49 years were considered
European an- cestry north american: Robert T. Terry Antextonical Skeletal Collec- tion, Missouri			Total: 232	Total: 232		 					`otal:	1				Total:			ت د			
(USA) and Grant Collection, To- ronto (Canada). Documented Collections	20 th century	Urban					Cranial shift L5-S1				232		Caudal shift L5-S1	5	Presence of ribs at L1 level	232			5	Reduction of 12th pair of ribs	ƙarapetian & Makarov, 2019	
African ances- try north amer- ican: Robert J. Terry Anatom- ical Skeletal collection. Missouri (USA).			Total: 70	Total: 70	0	4. ت				Tc	tal: 70	o		0		Total: 70			n			
Collection Tilcara's			o : 2	₀: 2	1	50		0	0		o ⁴ : 2 0	0		0 0					0 0			
Pukara necropolis. Tilcara	Pre-contact	Agriculture		:: 5	1	20		2	40	:	0 :5 0	0		0 0					0 0			
(Argentina)			Total: 13	Total: 13	2	5,4 8	Sacralization of L5	en -	23 Sa	f coccygeal vertehra	tal: 13 0	0	T12 umbariaztion	0 0	S1 lumbarization				0 0	L1 thoracization . (total or nartial)	This work	
Southern Patagonia	5.200 B.P.	HG	4:222:13	o: 22 ₽: 13	n -	1,3 (II 7.9	otal or partial)	4 0	18,2 (tot. 23,1	al or partial)	5:22 U 2:13 1	7.7	otal or partial)		(total or partial)					Î I I		
(Argenuna / Chile)	20" centuries.		Total: 37	Total: 37	4	0,8		7	18,9	Tc	tal: 37 1	2,7		0 0					0 0			
North-east- ern Scottish.			ਹੈ:19					13 6	8,42													
Department of Anatomy of	20^{th}		₽:15					10 6	56,6													
of Aberdeen (Scotland). Documented	centuries	Urban	Total: 34						Sa	cralization											Saluja, 1988	
Crypt of St.			ਹੈ: 54					22 4	40,7	vertebra												
Fleet Street, London	18th - 19th		Q: 40					9	22,5													
(England). Documented collection	centuries		Total: 94																			

Difference Oliverations	Audal References Observations	Brasili, 1997	Henneberg & Only sacral bones	Henneberg, has been exam- 1999 ined for this study	Garlowska, 2001				Manufactor 0	Masnicova & Benus, 2003	Masnicova & Benus, 2003	Maniforoa & Benus, 2003	Maniford & Benus, 2003	Masnicova & Benus, 2003	Beurbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010	Maniford & Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Benubon, 2010	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010	Bourbon, 2010	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Zemitline <i>et al.</i> , 2012	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 <i>et al.</i> , 2012 kolova, 2013	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 <i>et al.</i> , 2012 <i>t al.</i> , 2013 Zemirline <i>et al.</i> , 2013	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Grova <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Zemirline <i>et al.</i> , 2012 Zemirline <i>et al.</i> , 2013 Daniez, 2016 Ibañez, 2016	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 2012 2012 2013 Denire <i>et al.</i> , 2013 Denire <i>et al.</i> , 2015 Denire <i>et al.</i>	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Groza <i>et al.</i> , 2012 2012 Conva <i>et al.</i> , 2013 Daihex, 2016 Daihex, 2016	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2013 Circoa et al., Circoa et al., 2012 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2013 Circoa et al., Circoa et al., Coneva & Ni- Reduction Kerpetian Kerbetian	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Bourbon, 2010 Circza et al., Circza et al., 2.7 Zemitline et al., Benus, 2013 Ibaitez, 2016 Branca, 2013 Bourbon, 2010 Branca, Benus, 2016 Bourbon, 2010 Branca, 2013 Bourbon, 2010 Branca, 2013 Branca, 2013	Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 Caroa <i>et al.</i> , 2012 2012 2012 2013 2013 Benus, 2010 Benus, 2010 Benus, 2003 Benus, 2003 B
Methodology n %																												33 3 3 3 3 33 3 3 3 3 3 33 3 3 3 3 3 33 3 3 3 3 3	$\begin{array}{ $	33 33 33 33 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
n anterior of a transfer of a								S1	nbarization al or partial)											5	S1 s1 or partial)	s1 s1 s1 s1 s1 partial) s1 partial barization	S1 bharization al or partial) bharization bharization	S1 Barization al or partial) barization bharization	S1 s1 abarization al or partial) 31 partial nbarization	S1 abarization al or partial) al partial abarization	S1 S1 al or partial) al or partial bbarization bbarization	S1 s1 nbarization al or partial) barization barization cesence of 232	S1 s1 s1 s1 s1 s1 partial nbarization barization s232 rbsat	S1 s1 al or partial) al or partial) al partial abarization abarization bratiation cotal: resence of rise at L1 level
Introdology Meth						1 0,46	1 0,53	2 2,24	0 0 (total	0 0	0 0								1.5 1.5	2) T	1 1.5 1.1.5 1.1.6 (total	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 (total 1 3.3 hunt	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 1 3.3	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 1 3.3	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 1 3.3 1 3.3	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 1 3.3 1 3.3	1 1.5 1 1.5 1 1.1 1 3.3 1 3.3	1 1.5 1.5 1 1.5 1.1.5 1 1.1 1.3 1.1.3 1 3.3 humit 1.1.3 1.3 3.3 humit 1.1.3	1 1.1.5 1 1.1.5 1 1.1.1 1 3.3 humb 1 3.3 humb 1 1.3 3.3 1.3 3.3 humb 1.3 3.3 humb 1.3 3.3 humb 1.3 1.3 1.3	1 1.5 1.15 1 1.1 1.00all 1 1.1 (0all 1 1.3 10ml 1 3.3 10ml 1.3 1.3 10ml
n <u>Utalitat</u> Met						ੋ: 46	Q: 53	Total: 112	ð: 16	Q: 13		Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29	Total: 29 රු 66	Total: 29 රි: 66 ද: 25	Total: 29 (Total: 29 δ: 66 \$2: 25 [0: 25] Total: 91	Total: 29 δ ⁴ : 66 2: 25 2: 25 Total: 91	Total: 29 δ ⁴ : 66 9: 25 20: 25 Total: 91	Total: 29 	Total: 29 	Total: 29 	Total: 29 Total: 29	Total: 29 승·66 우·25 우·25 구·25 10tal: 91 10tal: 91 232 232 232	Total: 29 0: 66 0: 25 0: 25 170tal: 91 232 232 Cat
Methodology %			11 Sacralization	2 OI COCCYGEAL	71				7,4																					
Methodology	:	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	Sacralization 8	or Lo (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)			Sacralization	of L5 (total or partial)						Sacralization of L5	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) Sacralization of L5:	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization Sacralization with an extra foramen	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) sacralization of L5; sacralization foramen foramen sacralization (total or partial)	Sacralization of 15 action (total or partial) Sacralization L1.5; sacral bone with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or L5 (total or L5) (total or L5) (total or L5) (total or L5)	Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization (1.5; sacral bone with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial)	Sacralization Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization of L5: sacral bone with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial)	Sacralization Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization (1.5: sacral bone with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial)	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization (1.5; sacral bone with an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial)	Sacralization Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) sacralization of L5: sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Craneel shift L5-S1	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial) (total or partial) Sacralization Sacralization (th an extra foramen Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) Sacralization (total or partial) (total or partial) (total or partial) Craneel shift Craneel shift
CIAILIAI	%	2.5	23	10	13,11	15	2	œ	9		8	8 1	8 7 7 1,9	8 7 1.9	8 7 1,9 1	8 7 1.9 1 3.6	8 7 1,9 1,9 5,6	8 7 1.9 5.6 2 2	8 7 7 11,9 5,6 3,6 3 3 3	8 11.9 2 3 3 0 0	8 1.9 5.6 3.6 3.6 2 3 3 3 3 2,2 2,2	8 1.9 5.6 2 3.33 3.33	8 1,9 1,9 5,6 3,33 3,33 3,33 2,2 2,2 2,8 3,333	8 1,9 1,9 5,6 2,2 3,33 3,33 2,25 2,2 2,25 2,25	8 1.9 5.6 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.3 3.33 2.8 2.8 2.25 2.25 2.25 2.25	8 1.9 5.6 5.6 2 3.33 3.33 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2	8 1.9 5.6 2 3.33 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.25 2.2 2.25 2.25	8 1,9 1,9 1,9 2,2 3,33 3,33 2,2 2,2 2,2 2,2 2	8 11.9 5.6 5.6 2 3.33 3.33 2.2 2.8 2.25 2.25 2.25 2.25 2.25 2.25 2	8 1.9 5.6 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8 2.8
u		්:50 1 ♀:35 1 Total:89 2	ổ:70 16	2:54 /	α 1 1	ð:46 7	⊋: 53 1	fotal: 112 9		ੇ:16 1	0 ³ :16 1 ♀:13 1	∛:16 1 ♀:13 1 Total:29 2	 ∂:16 2:13 1 Total: 29 2 1 	 ∂:16 2:13 1 Total: 29 2 1 	්:16 1 ද:13 1 Total:29 2 1	ở:16 1 ♀:13 1 Total:29 2 1 1	⊘:16 1 ♀:13 1 Total:29 2 1 1 1 1	0:16 1 0:13 1 0:13 1 Total:29 2 1 1 1 1 1	0 ³ :16 1 2:13 1 Total:29 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2	 3:16 1 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 3:66 2 4:25 0 	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 3 6:66 2 2:25 0 2:25 1 Totat: 91 2 	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 3:66 2 4:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:25 2:21 2:10(al: 30) 1 	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 3:66 2 2:25 2 2:25 2 2:25 1 <li1< li=""> 1 1 1 1</li1<>	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 3:66 2 2:25 0 2:25 1 1<!--</td--><td>0:16 1 2:13 1 Totai:29 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2:25 0 0; 66 2 2 2;25 0 0; 25 0 2;255 0 2 2;255 0 1 70tai:91 2 1 70tai:30 1 2 70tai:30 3 2 70tai:20 3 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7</td><td> 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1</td><td> 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2:55 2 2:255 2 2:255 1 2 3 4 5 4 </td><td> 3:16 2:13 2:13 1 70tal:29 2 2:5 4:56 2 2 4:66 2:103 2 4:66 2:103 2 4:66 2:14 2 10tal:80 </td><td> 3:16 2:13 2:13 1 2:13 1 1</td><td> 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 10tal: 30 1 10tal: 3 1 1</td>	0:16 1 2:13 1 Totai:29 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2:25 0 0; 66 2 2 2;25 0 0; 25 0 2;255 0 2 2;255 0 1 70tai:91 2 1 70tai:30 1 2 70tai:30 3 2 70tai:20 3 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2:55 2 2:255 2 2:255 1 2 3 4 5 4 	 3:16 2:13 2:13 1 70tal:29 2 2:5 4:56 2 2 4:66 2:103 2 4:66 2:103 2 4:66 2:14 2 10tal:80 	 3:16 2:13 2:13 1 2:13 1 1	 3:16 2:13 1 2:13 1 2 2 2 2 2 3 10tal: 30 1 10tal: 3 1 1
individuals		Total: 95	⊘: 70	Totol: 104	Total: 92		Total: 217	Ē			Total: 112	Total: 112	Total: 112 3.52	Total: 112 ∂: 52 \$: 21	Total: 112 T δ: 52 φ: 21 φ: 21 Total: 100	Total: 112 T 3: 52 3: 52 9: 21 100 Total: 100 3: 18	Total: 112 T 1 1 0: 52 2: 21 2: 21 100 0: 18 2: 11	Total: 112 T 1 1 1 2: 21 2: 21 2: 21 2: 21 2: 21 2: 21 2: 18 2: 11 2: 11 2: 11 Total: 49 2: 11	Total: 112 T 7 3 5 5 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 18 3 5 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 <t< td=""><td>Total: 112 Δ 7 7 6⁵ 52 2:21 7 7 6⁵ 10 6:10 6⁵ 11 70 770 6:10 2:26 2:26</td><td>Total: 112 Total: 112 0:52 0:52 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:22 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20</td><td>Total: 112 T 7 7 5: 52 2: 21 7: 100 5: 18 5: 11 7 6: 10 5: 18 2: 11 7 7: 20 2: 24 2: 26 2: 26 2: 26 2: 26 2: 10 7 Total: 111 7</td><td>Total: 112 Total: 112 <\$: \$2</td> \$ <\$: \$2</t<>	Total: 112 Δ 7 7 6 ⁵ 52 2:21 7 7 6 ⁵ 10 6:10 6 ⁵ 11 70 770 6:10 2:26 2:26	Total: 112 Total: 112 0:52 0:52 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:18 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:21 0:22 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20 0:20	Total: 112 T 7 7 5: 52 2: 21 7: 100 5: 18 5: 11 7 6: 10 5: 18 2: 11 7 7: 20 2: 24 2: 26 2: 26 2: 26 2: 26 2: 10 7 Total: 111 7	Total: 112 Total: 112 <\$: \$2	Total: 112 T	Total: 112 T τ σ ² : 21 σ ² : 21 p σ ² : 18 p σ ² : 18 p σ ² : 10 p σ ² : 11 Total: 100 σ ² : 11 Total: 49 σ ² : 70 p g ² : 26 p p g ² : 26 f Total: 111 Total: 111 1 Total: 114 1 Total: 147 1 Total: 147 1	Total: 112 Total: 112 $\vec{\sigma}$: 52 $\vec{\gamma}$: 52 $\vec{\varphi}$: 10 $\vec{\sigma}$: 18 $\vec{\sigma}$: 18 $\vec{\varphi}$: 11 Total: 100 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 $\vec{\varphi}$: 26 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 $\vec{\varphi}$: 26 $\vec{\sigma}$: 70 <	Total: 112 T 3: 52 2: 21 \$: 52 2: 11 \$: 60 3: 18 \$: 70 \$: 10 \$: 70 \$: 26 \$: 256 1 Total: 111 1 Total: 111 1 Total: 111 1 \$: 66 3: 66 \$: 14 \$: 14	Total: 112 T 7 7 5: 52 2: 21 7: 100 3: 18 9: 11	Total: 112 T σ: s2 2: 21 σ: s2 2: 11 Tatal: 100 σ: 18 φ: 18 2: 11 Total: 100 σ: 18 φ: 10 σ: 2 σ: 70 2: 2 φ: 70 2: 2 1 7 7 <td>Total: 112 Total: 112 σ⁵ · 52 2: 21 σ⁵ · 18 σ²: 11 σ² · 18 σ²: 11 Total: 100 σ⁵ · 18 σ² · 10 σ²: 11 Total: 10 σ²: 11 Total: 11 1 Total: 111 1 Total: 117 1 σ²: 66 2 σ²: 66 2 σ²: 146 2 σ²: 65 2 σ²: 78 2</td>	Total: 112 Total: 112 σ ⁵ · 52 2: 21 σ ⁵ · 18 σ ² : 11 σ ² · 18 σ ² : 11 Total: 100 σ ⁵ · 18 σ ² · 10 σ ² : 11 Total: 10 σ ² : 11 Total: 11 1 Total: 111 1 Total: 117 1 σ ² : 66 2 σ ² : 66 2 σ ² : 146 2 σ ² : 65 2 σ ² : 78 2
	00 2200	(Neolitic) HG		A.D Urban	00-4000 Agriculture B.C.		1th-12th Dittribut	2011	QN		9th entury	9th entury	9 th entury	9 ^h entury 5 ^h -11 th miuries	9n antury 3 ⁿ -11 ⁿ Anturies Rural	9 th entury th -11 th nturies Rural	9 th Entury th -11 th Inturies Rural	9 th entury th -11 th nturies Rural - nturies	9 th entury th -11 th nturies Rural nturies	9 th Entury th -11 th Inturies Rural Inturies	9 th antury t th -11 th nturies thuries nturies 17 th thuries nturies	9 ⁰ intury p ^a -11 ^a nturies nturies nturies nturies nturies nturies nturies nturies	9 th rutury rutury nturries Rural nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries Rural nturries Rural	9 th rutury nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries nturries	9 ^h -11 ^h inturies nturies Rural 17 ^h nturies 17 ^h nturies 0.13 ^h nturies mturies mturies 17 ^h nturies nt	9 ⁶ antury antury martines nuturies 17 th Urban nutries ^{a,} 11 th Rural nutries mutries ^{a,} 11 th Rural nutries ^{a,} 15 th Rural nutries nutrie	9 ⁿ -11 ⁿ Inturies I	 ^{9h}-11^h ^{ph}-11^h ^{ph}-11^h	9 ⁶ ^{ph-11th nturies turies nturies nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{b, 15} m nturies ^{a, 11th nturies ^{b, 15} m nturies ^{b, 15} m nturies}}}}}}}	9 th -11 th Rural
(Country)		Skeletal re- mains from 610 Monte Bibele, AC (f Bologna (Italy)	Skeletal sample	from Pompen, // Naples (Italy)	Skeletal series from Oslon- ki site, near Wloclawek in Kujawy region	Skeletal re- mains from the	Great Moravia 11	Devín-Hrad (clorabia)	Chalatal ra-	Diverticutation	Great Moravia cementeries.	Devin-Za costo- locat Moravia cementeries. Devin-Za costo- lom (Slovakia)	mains from the Great Moravia Great Moravia cementeries. Devin-Za costo- lom (Slovakia) Byzantne	mains from the mains from the cireat Moravia cementeries. Devin Za costo- lom (Slovakia) Byzantine Byzantine Ryuliations and centeriations and centeriations	or the second se	Definition of the second secon	mains from te- mains from te- creat Moravia careat Moravia careat Moravia by Caracta by Martine Byzantine na Creete island (greece) Byzantine pop- Byzantine	mains from the creat Moravia creat Moravia creat Moravia cementeries. Devin:2xa ossto- lom (Stovakia) Byzantine byzantine (Greece) a dations from s kindl. Crete s kindl. C	mains from the creat Morevia creat Morevia creat Morevia cementeries bevin-Za costo- lom (Stovakia) by Carling and by Carling and from Eleuther populations from Eleuther ina Create island (Greece) g kefali. Create s kefali. Create s kefali. Create s island (Greece) d Homan skele- create island create island (Greece)	mains from the creat Morevia creat Morevia creat Morevia cementeries beyin-Za costo- lom (Stovakia) byzantine populations from Elenther ina Creet island (Greece) Byzantine pop- Byzantine	American terminalisa from the terminalisation (Greece) and the fastern part terminalisation terminali	where a service and a service and a service of the	and the second s	where a service and a service and a service of the	where the second	a service and	emerator te mains from te creat Moravia centro instanta lon (Slovakia) byzantine populations from Eleutier from Eleutier en Crete island (freece) giand (freece) island (freece) island (freece) en crete island difficult crete island (freece) di hum skele- tous from the necropolis sain-Urnel of the Princely court. Curtea (Romania) Sain-Urnel from Eleutier di the Princely court. Curtea (Romania) sain-Urnel from Eleutier di the Princely court. Curtea (Romania) sain-Urnel from Drustar (Romania) sain-Crete from Court. Court. Curtea (Romania) from Drustar (Romania) from Drustar Perenerey from Drustar Perenerey from Drustar from Court.	where the second	where the second	 Anstructure Anstructure Create Moravia Create Deviol. 28 costolo Doyndhaffons Byzantine Bone material Byine theoremetry Bone material Byine theoremetry Bone material Bone Materia B

Collection or							Sacralization					Lumbarizati	uo				Dorsalization				
sample (Country)	Period	Lifestyle	Total individuals	E	Cra	mial %	Methodology	Caudal %	Methodology	=	Cranial N	1 ethodology	Caudal %	Methodology	n Crai	nial Met	hodology C	audal M	ethodology	References	Observations
Guanche sam- ple, Canary islands (Spain)	15 th century	ĐH			ى ي	2	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)	ლი 1			2		а 1		1	2		2		Rodríguez, 1995	
Bantu natives skeletons. Anthropologi- cal Museum of			ත්: 63 ඉ: 17																		
Anatomy De- partment of the University of Witwatersrand (South Africa)	Q	QN	Total: 82	Total: 81		1,2							3 3,7							Shore, 1930	
Exhumed skele tal of Boksburg Municipality, University of the Witwa- tersrand (South Africa)	20 th centuries	Urban	Total: 36		400%	12	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)													Meyer, 2013	
Vertebral col-			ď: 179																		
umns from the Anthropologica			Q:27				Sacralization														
Collection in the Departmen of Anatomy, Marekere Col- lege (Uganda)	ND	Ŋ	Total: 206	Total: 200	22	11	of L5 (total or partial)													Allbrook, 1955	
Human re-	C			¢:						ð: 41			1 2,4								
mains exca- vated in the	Greco- Roman	ND		1:÷	1	2,8				• 0+		1								Hussien <i>et al.</i> ,	
Bahriyah Oasis (Egypt)	period		Total: 77	Total: 36	7	2,9				Total: 41			1 2,4								
Skeletons exca-			ð: 147		₫:1	0,74	:						ð:1 0,7								
and belong to	¹ 2686 a.C 2181 a. C	Elithe and Rural town	Q: 125		÷:3	2,3	of L5			Total: 135			Q: 1 0,7	Lumbarization total or						Sarry el din, 2006	
the Old King- dom (Egypt)			Total: 272	135	Total: 4	2,96	(total or partial)					L	^{otal:} 1,5	partial of S1							
Kelis II Cemen-	332 BC-		්: 82 ⊙- 110	ð:82 0-110	C 01	8,5														1 000 - P	
dety, Daknien Oasis (Egyp)	4 th century	Urban	Total: 201	Total: 201	17	0,4 8,5														5ario, 2014	
Thai skeletons from the Bone			ð: 114	ŝ	4	6,1															
Collection Unit, Department			Q: 92	öŧ	2	2,2															
of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Khor Kaen University (Thailand). Documented Collection	QN C A	Ŋ	Total: 206	Total:	6	4,4	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)													Chaija- roonkhanarak <i>et al.</i> , 2006	Only cranial sacralization had been analyzed
Human re- mains from the archaeological site of Jiang- jaliang (China)	7000-3000 BF	0.	Total: 66	Total: 66	4	6,1															
Human re- mains from the archaeological site of Minhe X, Minhe M and LGS (China)	3000 BP	Elithe and Rural town	Total: 96	Total: 96	7	2,1	Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)													Hernández, 2009	
Human remain: from the archae ological site of MXY (China)	3000 BP		Total: 40	Total: 40	m	7,5															

	ences Observations	_		,2009			2011			2011			et al.,			012	Only cranial nurthy sacralization ti, 2016 had been analyzed			2016 2016	
	Refer			Wu et al.,			Mahato, :			Sharma,			Dharati (2012			Nagar, 2(Krishnar & Adibati			Suman & Mahato, :	
	Methodology																				
-	Caudal n %																				
Dorsalizatio	Methodology																				
	ranial %																				
	5 e	_																			
	Methodology			Lumbarisation S1					Lumbarisation	S1			Lumbarisation S1							Lumbarization total or parcial S1	
-	Caudal %	5 4,6	4	4,4				6 2,9	3 1,4	al: 4,3			1,3							1,4	
arizatio	ogy (0,				*0	Ół	P											
Lumb	Methodol																				
	Cranial n %	-									-										
	ч																				
	Methodology								Sacralisation	of coccygeal vertebra					Sacralization	of coccygeal vertebra					
	udal %							4,4	3,4	7,8				22,6	25,7	23,8					
-	n Cs	_		(le			4)	6	4	4) 16			- (le		26	45				(4)	
Sacralizatio	Methodolog			Sacralization of L5 (total or parti			Castelvi (198			Castelvi (198		Correlization	of L5 of L5 (total or parti							Castelvi (198	
	ranial %	9,3	17,6	12,3	53,3	12,1	31,7	9,8	4,3	14,1	12.2	9,4	11,1				ø	17,1	13,8	15,7	
	- C	12	13	3 25	16	4	20	20	6	29	14	-	9 21			6	m	2	4	11	
	e	්: 129	Q: 74	Total: 20:	ð: 30	Q: 33	Total 63	÷0	öŧ	Total:	Å: 115	Q: 74	Total: 189	ð: 115	Q: 74	Total: 18					
Totol	individuals			Total: 208			Total: 256	ੱ: 124	우: 82	Total: 206	Å: 115	Q: 74	Total: 189	ð: 115	Q: 74	Total: 189	Total: 50	ð: 41	Q: 29	Total: 70	
	Lifestyle			Urban			Urban			Urban			Urban			Urban	Urban			Urban	
	Period			20 th century			20 th century		2.0th	century			20 th century		20th	century	20 th century			21 th century	
nent Collection or	Contry)	Chinese sa-	Department of Anatomy,	School of Basic Medical Scienc- es, Southern Medical Uni- versity (China). Documented collection	Dried human sacra collected	from reposito-	res of medical institutions across the cen- tral and west- ern provinces of the Indian union (India). Documented collections	Department of Anatomy	and Forensic Medicine and	Regional Medi- colegal Institute of Bhopal and	Kaıpur (India). Human sacra	trom Depart- ment of Anat- oww Medicol	ASIA college Vadoda- ment Medical ment Medical college Surat in	Department	or Anatomy, Medical college Vadodara and	Government Medical college Surat in Gujarat (India)	Sacral bones from the De- partment of Anatomy, ESIC Medical College & PGIMSR, Chennai (India).	Sacra human	bone from the Department of Anstonic and	Department of Department of Forensic Medi- cine, Velammal Medical College Hospital and Research Insti- tute, Madurai (India)	(mmmm)

	Observations										
	References		Dabernat <i>et al.</i> , 2013			-	Karapetian & Makarov, 2019	Pretty & Kricun, 1989		Dondas, 1997	0
	Methodology					Reduction of 12th pair of ribs					
	audal %				0	0	0				
Dorsalization	Methodology C				0	Presence of ribs at C7 level					
	nial %				0	0	0				
	n Cra	-			0	0	0				
	=				ੋ: 30	Q: 29	Total: 61				
	Methodology					Presence of ribs at L1 level				Lumbarization total or	partial of S1
	audal %								0	0	0
zation	n C				5	-	m		0	0	0
Lumbari	Methodolog					Caudal shift L5-S1					
	Cranial n %				-	01					
	ц ц				ੈ: 24 ∠	Q: 23	Total: 61		ð: 9	0+ 4	Total: 13
	Methodology										
	udal %			1,3							
Sacralization	Methodology Ca			m		Craneal shift L5-S1		Sacralization of L5 (total or partial)		Sacralization of L5	(total or partial)
	anial %			1,3	0	0	0	1,1	0	0	0
	5 F			m	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Ę	े: 61 २: 31 २: 31 Total: 213	ර්: 59 p: 38 Total: 101	ර්: 120 ද: 99 Total: 224	ð: 23	Q: 25	Total: 48		ð: 11	Q: 7	Total: 18
	Total individuals	Total: 327	Total: 182	Total: 509	ð: 30	Q: 29	Total: 59	Total: 92		Total: 152	
	Lifestyle		Rural/ urban				ЭH	ВH		ĐH	
	Period		17 th -20 th centuries			Old Bering	Sea / Okvik culture	4000-220 BP		$10^{\mathrm{th}}\text{-}16^{\mathrm{th}}$	centuries a.C.
fine Collocation Collocation	conection of sample (Country)	Skeleton remains recovered from the Pokrovskiy necropolise. Krasnoyarsk State Medical University (Russia)	Skeleton Fernatins recovered from the Voskressens- ky necropolise. Krasnoyarsk Krasnoyarsk Uniwerity Uniwesity Uniwesity	A Skeleton Fernatus Feronered Pokrovsky and Voskrosky and Voskrosky and Voskrosky PorPreo- brzzhensky Riesnoparsk State Medical University (Russin)	Ekven Eskimo	collection, Re- search Institute	and Museum of the Lomonosov Moscow State University, Mos- cow (Russia)	Australian Aborigenes from Trench A in Roonka II Flat Dune (Australia)	CE Archaeological	Tamuning	Municipality, Agana, Guam (USA)

RESULTS

From the initial 126 individuals, those without spine (42 SP and 7 PT) were discarded, remaining 56 and 21 individuals, respectively (Table 4). Afterwards, individuals presenting all vertebrae elements and meeting the minimum preservation requirements proposed by the present work were analysed. Thus, 37 SP (37,7%) and 13 PT (46,4%) individuals were finally examined for SAs. 60,3% of the individuals were discarded due to preservation and taphonomical challenges. 37.8% of SP and 30.8% of PT populations presented *coccyx*; 57.1% (8/14) and 75% (3/4), respectively had it fused to the *sacrum* (Table 5). The rest of the analyses were performed with this last sample.

Table 4. Number of individuals according to vertebrae preservation. Results of Fisher Exact Test (FET) in SP and PT samples for sex, age, period, and region variables.

Samples	Initial sample	Individuals with spine	Methodology proposed	Complete column
РТ	28	21 (75)	13 (46,4)	6 (21,4)
SP	98	56 (57,1)	37 (37,7)	20 (20,4)

Number of cases and prevalence: n (%).

Table 5. Coccix preserved.

Sample	Coccix	Coccix fused
РТ	4/13 (30,8)	3/4 (75)
SP	14/37 (37,8)	8/14 (57,1)

Number of cases and prevalence: n (%).

Results in SP sample showed a prevalence of cranial sacralization (Fig. 4) of 10.8% (4/37), from which 7.9% were women (1/13) and 13.6% men (3/22), more frequent in individuals over 30 years old (15.8%, 3/9) with respect to those under 30 vears old (7.9%, 1/13). Regarding chronological differences, 10% (1/10) of pre-contact individuals and 33.3% (3/9) with unknown values for such variable presented the variation, while no positive results were found for other periods. According to regional differences, 4.7% of individuals in IG (1/21), 25% in C (1/4) and 5.4% in NA (2/37) showed this type of sacralisation. Caudal sacralisation was observed in 18.9% (7/37) of the sample, 23.1% (3/13) women and 18.2% (4/22) men, with higher prevalence in older individuals (21%, 4/22) than in younger (7.9%, 1/13), together with

two more cases (5.4%) of unknown age. In terms of chronology, 10% (1/10) of pre-contact individual, 50% (1/2) of peri-contact individuals, 25% (4/16) of post-contact individuals (post - out of SMLC: 16.7% -1/6- and post - in SMLC: 30% -3/10-) and 11.1% (1/9) NA presented caudal sacralisation. One case of cranial lumbarization was found in the sample (2.7%, 1/37) – individual C13, a young woman in SMLC from IG region – showing a typical last thoracic arc, as well as a superior articular process reflecting a lumbar conformation with no rib facets (Tables 6 and 7).

Table 6. Sacralization in PT and SP samples according to sexand age.

Sac	craliza-		Sex		Ag	e (years	s)	
tio	n	F	М	ND	≤ 30	>30	ND	Σ
	n	6	5	2	6	4	2	13
РТ	Cranial	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Caudal	3 (60)	-	-	1 (16.7)	1 (25)	1 (50)	3 (23)
	n	13	22	2	13	19	5	37
SP	Cranial	1 (7,9)	3 (13,6)	-	1 (7,9)	3 (15,8)	-	4 (10,8)
	Caudal	3 (23,1)	4 (18,2)	-	1 (7,9)	4 (21)	2 (40)	7 (18,9)
NSV	1	F	М	ND	≤ 30	>30	ND	Σ
	n	6	5	2	6	5	2	13
PT	5	3 (50)	5 (100)	2 (100)	5 (83.3)	4 (80)	1 (50)	10 (77)
	6	3 (50)	-	-	1 (16.7)	1 (20)	1 (50)	3 (23)
	n	13	19	5	13	22	2	37
	5	9 (69,2)	13 (68,4)	3 (60)	8 (61,5)	15 (68,2)	2 (100)	25 (67,6)
SP	6	4 (30,8)	4 (21,1)	1 (20)	4 (30,8)	5 (22,7)	-	9 (24,3)
	7	-	2 (10,5)	1 (20)	1 (7,7)	2 (9,1)	-	3 (8,1)

Number of cases and prevalence: n (%).

ND: No Data.

Within the numerical variation of the sacrum, 67.6% (25/37) of the total sample showed 5 sacral vertebrae. The 24.3% (9/37) resulted in 6 sacral vertebrae and 8.1% (3/37) in 7 sacral vertebrae. Regarding the period, 70% of peri-contact individuals (7/10) have presented 5 sacral vertebrae, 20% (2/10) 6 sacral vertebrae and 10% (1/7) 7

			Period					Reg	ion		
			Р	ost-cont	act				a (aap		Σ
Sacralization	Pre-contact	Peri-contact	Out of SMLC	SMLC	Total	ND	СВ	PM)	+ CUE)	ND	-
n	10	2	6	10	16	9	10	21	4	2	37
Cranial	1 (10)	-	-	-	-	3 (33,3)	-	1 (4,7)	1 (25)	2 (100)	4 (10,8)
Caudal	1 (10)	1 (50)	1 (16,7)	3 (30)	4 (25)	1 (11,1)	2 (20)	4 (19)	1 (25)	-	7 (18,9)
			P	ost-cont	act						
NSV	Pre-contact	Peri-contact	Out of SMLC	SMLC	Total	ND	СВ	IG (NIG + PM)	C (SGB + CUE)	ND	Σ
n	10	2	6	10	16	9	10	21	4	2	37
5	7 (70)	-	5 (83,3)	5 (50)	10 (62,5)	8 (88,9)	7 (70)	13 (61,9)	3 (75)	2 (100)	25 (67,6)
6	2 (20)	1 (50)	1 (16,7)	4 (40)	5 (31,2)	1 (11,1)	2 (20)	7 (33,3)	-	-	9 (24,3)
7	1 (10)	1 (50)	-	1 (10)	1 (6,2)	-	1 (10)	1 (4,8)	1 (25)	-	3 (8,1)

Table 🛛	7. SAs in	SP samp	le accord	ling to	chronolo	gy and	region
		- · · ·		- 0 -		0,	- 0 -

Number of cases and prevalence: n (%). ND: No Data.



Fig. 4.- Two cases of cranial sacralization: 1) Complete, individual 10.475/17952 from PT sample. 2 a-b) Partial, individual 33.949 (*Punta Daniel*) from SP sample.

sacral vertebrae. In the peri-contact period, one case of two (50%) showed 6 sacral vertebrae and the other 7 sacral vertebrae. In post-contact remains, 83.3% (5/6) of out of SMLC exhibited 5 sacral vertebrae and 16.7% 6 sacral vertebrae, while 50% (5/10), 40% (4/10) and 10% (1/10) of post -in SMLC presented 5, 6 and 7 sacral vertebrae respectively. From the 9 individuals identified as NA for chronology, 88.9% (8/9) had 5 sacral vertebrae and 11.1% (1/9) 7 sacral vertebrae. Regarding the regional location, 70% of individuals from the Beagle Channel region (7/10) presented 5 sacral vertebrae, 20% (2/10) 6 sacral vertebrae and 10% (1/10) 7 sacral vertebrae. The IG region registered 61.9% (13/21) individuals with 5 sacral vertebrae, 33.3% (7/21) with 6 sacral vertebrae and 4.8% (1/21) with 7 sacral vertebrae. In the Continent region, 75% (3/4) had 5 sacral vertebrae and 25% (1/4) 7 sacral vertebrae. Two NA individuals were described for such factor, showing 5 sacral vertebrae each (Table 4). No significant differences were found between the levels of the different factors analysed when using Fisher's statistical test (Table 8).

Table 8. Results of Fisher Exact Test (FET) in SP and PT sam-
ples for sex, age, period, and region variables.

		p-value (FET)						
Sacralization								
Sex	SP	1						
	РТ	1						
Age	SP	1						
	РТ	1						
Period		1						
Region		0,4286						
NSV*								
Sex	SP	0,5023						
	PT	0,1818						
Age	SP	0,8605						
	PT	1						
Period		0,24						
Region		0,4553						

*Number of Sacral Vertebrae

Results in the PT sample showed an incidence of caudal sacralisation of 23% of the sample (3/13), from which 50% were women (3/6) and no cases

were observed in men, less frequent in subjects under 30 years old (16,7%, 1/6) than those over 30 years (25%, 1/4). No cases of cranial sacralization, thoracicalization or lumbarization were observed (Table 3). Regarding the variations in the number of sacral vertebrae, 77% of the total sample (10/13) presented 5 sacral vertebrae and 23% (3/13) showed 6 sacral vertebrae. No cases of sacrum with 4 or 7 vertebrae were observed in the PT sample (Table 3). However, in the sample presenting spine a high prevalence of individuals with 4 sacral vertebrae (9,5%, 2/21) was observed.

The bibliographic review accounted for 40 works reporting evidence on 53 samples, describing a total of 91 SAs distributes among the different types analysed in the present work (Table 3). Most of the studies were performed on American (28.3%), Asian (30.2%) and European (24.5%) samples, being Africa (13.2%) and Oceania (3.8%) the least studied. Cranial sacralization received most of the attention in these works (90%), followed by caudal lumbarization (45%), caudal sacralization (25%), cranial lumbarization (12.5%), caudal thoracicalization (12.5%), and cranial thoracicalization (2.5%), see Table 3. The prevalence of cranial sacralization, under 40% in all works examined, was analysed by means of continent and lifestyle (Figs. 5 and 6). Asian samples presented the highest prevalence, also showing the wider range of frequencies for this SAs, followed by the European, American, African, and Oceanian samples, whose prevalence was the lowest, close to zero. Twenty-one methodologies were described in those studies to describe the five SAs addressed in this work. Seven different methodologies have been used up to date to study cranial sacralization, four for caudal lumbarization, three for cranial lumbarization, three for caudal thoracicalization description, two for cranial lumbarization and two for caudal sacralization research (Table 9).

DISCUSSION

The present work registered information on SAs, considering cranial and caudal lumbarization and sacralization together with caudal thoracicalization. Spine elements are among the most damaged by taphonomic processes, explaining the severely

Table 9. SAs Bibliographic r	eview. considering the type of SAs	s, methodologies used and the cour	ntry from where the study was
done.			

		Continent				Lifestyle							
		Africa	America	Asia	Europe	Oceania	AG	HG	MIX	NA	RU	UR	Methodologies (n)
Sacralization	Cranial	7	10	13	10	2	3	5	5	9	5	15	7
	Caudal	0	7	3	2	0	2	1	1	2	1	5	2
Lumbarization	Cranial	0	6	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	3
	Caudal	3	7	5	4	1	2	3	1	5	2	7	4
Dorsalization	Cranial	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2
	Caudal	0	6	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	3

n = number of studies. Lifestyle: AG (agriculture), HG (hunter-gatherer), MIX (urban and rural), NA (not available), RU (rural) and UR (urban).



Fig. 5.- Sacralization prevalence worldwide (left and above), considering inter-continental variations (right above and below) for America (Am), Africa (Af), Asia (As) Europe (Eu) and Oceania (Oc); after conducting a bibliographic review (grey columns), including our sample (black columns). Check Table 3 for details about individuals (columns).

limited column regions showed in this work (Holland et al., 1996; Manifold, 2012). In addition, it should be considered that cervical vertebrae and coccyx are usually worse preserved than those located in other regions (Stodder, 2019; Vilas-Boas et al., 2019). Regarding to the coccyx, the results here reported agree with the data of low preservation found in other archaeological sites, as this segment of the column is also difficult to find well preserved in these contexts (Stodder, 2019). The fact that most of the coccyx described by the present study were fused to the sacrum suggests that this kind of fusion is better preserved than the coccyx alone, presumably due to its connection with another bigger bone. Besides, the most common border shifts are in the lumbosacral region, with a presence of 4%-36% in the global population (Barnes, 1994; Bulut et al., 2013; Delport et al., 2006; French et al., 2014; Jankauskas, 2001; Kimmerle, 2010; Konin and Walz, 2010; Nardo et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2014). Furthermore, cervicothoracic shifts show the lowest prevalence, under 3%, reaching 0% in some populations (Aly et al., 2016; Anap et al., 2013; Bost et al., 2011; Galis et al., 2006; Jankauskas, 2001). Such pattern could be related to high frequencies of cranial thoracicalization shifts – characterized by cervical ribs – found in stillbirths (Bots et al., 2011; ten Broek et al., 2012). All these factors make the approach proposed in this study adequate to address this challenge. The present study shows all the stages conducted to achieve the sample selection, allowing to estimate the loss of specimens due to the taphonomic and preservation effects. However, it is difficult to compare our results in this subject with other existing studies, since they do not usually register this variable. In future works, it would be highly advisable to start registering this topic, since it would allow addressing the current taphonomical and preservation bias when analysing the complete spine.

SAs performed in this work show that thoracicalization prevalence is null. Absence or low presence of these alterations coincides with data showed by other authors (Aly et al., 2016; Anap et al., 2013; Chengetanai et al., 2017) that presented values near 1% at the thoracolumbar border. However, higher prevalence closer to 6% has been reported (Kimmerle, 2010). Although slight, an incidence of cranial lumbarization has been described in the current study, between the values of 1.9% and 5% indicated in the analysis of other samples (Jankauskas, 2001; Kimmerle, 2010). No cases of caudal lumbarization are described, which is not surprising, since previous studies have reported values between 0% and 11.4% (Shore, 1930; Masniková and Benus, 2003; Weiss, 2009; Kimmerle, 2010; Karapetian and Makarov, 2019). Sacralizations are the main studied SAs, especially cranial cases (Kimmerle, 2010), with prevalence that varies from 0% to 40% (Jankauskas, 2001; Delport et al., 2006; Kimmerle, 2010; Konin and Walz, 2010; Sharma et al., 2011; Nagar et al., 2012; Nardo et al. 2012; Bulut et al., 2013; Dabernat et al., 2013; French et al., 2014; Tang et al., 2014). The results of the Argentine samples studied oscillate between 3.3% and 18.8%, staying within the range set in previous works. The prevalence of cranial sacralization presented in our samples ranged from 0% to 23%, according to previous works.

SP sample has the particularity that it is possible to study differences between periods and regions as indicated above. Pre-contact individuals present lower values of vertebral alterations than post-contact, and especially those found in SMLC. It is known that these individuals suffered great changes in their lifestyle and were exposed to diseases and situations never lived before (García-Moro et al., 1997; Casali, 2011). These changes are in line with the increase in pathologies, both in number of cases and in different typologies, observed in previous studies (Guichón et al., 2006; García Laborde et al., 2010; Moreno Estefanell et al. 2018; D'Angelo del Campo et al., 2017, 2021). Regarding the region, it seems that this factor has less influence in SAs than chronology.

Numerical variability of the sacrum observed in the present work is comprised within the global ranges of variation previously reported (Table 10, Fig. 6). Thus, the most common number of vertebrae is 5 (60%-86% of the cases), then 6 (4%-28%) and, finally, the least common (0%-4%) is the sacrum with 4 and 7 vertebrae (Shore, 1930; Tulsi, 1972; Jankauskas, 2001; Tancock 2014; Singh, 2015). Infranumerary variations are less common than supranumerary variations (Barnes, 1994), as has been observed in the results of this work. However, there are also studies in which higher percentages of 4SV have been found, close to 7% (Singh, 2015). No cases of infranumerary variations have been found in the initial sample analysed.

The bibliographic review here presented describes different patterns of prevalence for cranial sacralization. Regarding the origin of the studied samples, it would be especially useful to deepen in the study of African and Oceanian populations in order to provide more data to be compared with other populations. That would enormously contribute to the knowledge of spine SAs patterns among populations. Besides, the number of different methodologies applied to determine the different type of variations varies depending on the variation addressed. Thus, cranial sacralization is the topic with more methodologies proposed. On the one hand, it is logic that the most studied variation has more variety of methods for assessing it. On the other hand, it is surprising that almost for every five works there is a new methodology proposed. This fact complicates the discussion of the results obtained by the different works. The high number of methodologies used to study the rest of

a					D (
Continent	Collection	Period	n	S 4	S 5	S6	S7	kelerence	
Africa	Bantu population. Housed at Raymond A. Dart. Collection,	ND	81	0	01 (70)	17 (21.0)	0	Shore, 1930	
	Witwatersrand University (South Africa).	ND			61 (79)				
	Yukon River, Alaska (USA)	ND	179	2 (1.1)	126 (70.4)	50 (27.9)	1 (0.1)	Stewart, 1932	
			₽:6	0	3(50)	3(50)	0	This work	
	Dulant de mileane	1000 - 1520 A.D.	∄:5	0	5 (100)	0	0		
America	Pukara de Tilcara		ND: 2	0	2 (100)	0	0		
			Total: 13	0	10 (77)	3 (23)	0		
		5200 BP - 20 th cent.	₽:15	0	10 (66.7)	4 (26.7)	1 (6.7)		
	Southern Patagonia		∄:29	0	20 (69)	7 (24.1)	1 (4)		
			Total: 48	0	34 (70.8)	11 (22.9)	3 (6.3)		
Europe	Archaeological remains from Lituania (Lituania)	1000-2000 A.D.	633	7 (1.1)	378 (59.8)	225 (35.5)	23 (3.7)	Jankauskas, 2001	
	Populations from Rural and Urban Northeast England (England)	18 th -19 th cent.	130	2 (1.5)	122 (93.8)	6 (4.6)	0	Tancock, 2014	
Oceanía	Australian Aborigens. South Australian Museum and	ND	ୁ: 48	0	46 (95.8)	2 (4.2)	0		
	Department of Anatomy, Uni- versity of Adelaide (Australia)		ి: 63	1 (1.6)	50 (79)	12 (19)	0	Tulsi, 1972	
			Total: 125	1 (0.9)	96 (86.5)	14(12.6)	0]	

 Table 10. Numerical variability of the sacrum in different samples around the world.

Number of cases and prevalence: n (%). ND: No Data.

variations described in the present work face the same challenge. Final considerations regarding previous studies on SAs: First, most authors focus their attention on the study of cranial sacralization, which has been reported to present a prevalence under 40% (Table 3). This may be because many of the studies are done exclusively with the sacrum (Henneberg and Henneberg, 1999; Sharma et al., 2011; French et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2015). Besides, unlike the rest of the alterations, there are specific methodologies for its study and comparison (Castellvi et al., 1984). These facts



Fig. 6.- Numerical variability of the *sacrum*. 1) 4 SV, individual 12589 from PT sample. 2) 5 SV, individual 17844 from PT sample. 3) 6 SV, individual 796 from SP sample. 4) 7 SV, individual E 10-11 (1) from SP sample.

may cause the authors to prefer to focus their studies on the sacrum.

Extrinsic factor, environment

Environment influence on development becomes of greater importance, instead of the consideration of genetics by itself. For example, it has been observed that when pregnant women are exposed to several environmental conditions in their early stages of gestation, the proper development of the spine of the embryo may result challenging (Sparrow et al., 2012). It has been also shown that some environmental factors like cold or high-altitude environments can cause lasting changes over generations at the physiological and genetic level (Bigham, 2016; Leonard, 2018).

Results of SP sample showed the highest values in the percentage of 7 sacral vertebrae (8.1%) when comparing to other works (Table 5). With respect to sacral numerical variation, similar prevalence was observed between Inuit and SP populations. Existing similarities between both populations have been previously described regarding skull (Lahr et al., 1995; Hernández et al., 1997; Lalueza et al., 1997b), nasal cavity (Noback et al., 2011) long bones (Pearson and Millones, 2005) and rib morphology (García-Martínez et al., 2018), spine pathologies prevalence like spondylolysis (D'Angelo del Campo et al., 2017), sacrum pathologies (D'Angelo del Campo et al., 2021), oral pathologies (Pérez-Pérez and Lalueza Fox, 1992), metabolism, height, stature, body proportions and robustness (Hernández et al., 1997; Pearson and Millones, 2005; Pérez and Monteiro, 2009; Leonard, 2018). However, these populations showed clear differences in DNA analysis (Chiaroni et al., 2009; de Saint-Pierre et al., 2012; Marangoni et al., 2014; de la Fuente et al., 2015). For these reasons, some authors propose that the environment, especially when influenced by cold, could play an important role in the morphological and physiological variation observed, thus resulting in phenotypical plasticity or environmental and climate changes (Hernández et al., 1997; Lalueza et al., 1997b; Pérez et al., 2007, 2011; Pérez and Monteiro, 2009). Regarding SAs occurring in Inuit and SP populations, it is important to note that, while Inuit show high values of caudal lumbarization and low values of cranial sacralization (Karapetian and Makarov, 2019), SP do not show cases of caudal lumbarization but present high prevalence of cranial sacralization. Thus, both samples show high variation in lumbosacral region, however depicting a different shift pattern: cranial-directed in SP and caudal-directed in Inuit. Such observed differences could be also related to a "possible impact of environmental factors on the pattern of cranio-caudal shifts" among human populations, as has been already stated by Karapetian and Makarov (2019: 195).

Sacral variation numbers from the PT sample were within the global range. However, if the number of sacral vertebrae in the individuals in the sample presenting spine is considered, the prevalence of individuals exhibiting a sacrum with 4 vertebrae (9.5%) is relevant, since it is the highest observed up to date. The PT sample is considered to come from a high-altitude settlement, highlands regions which are characterized by numerous environmental challenges as cold climate, powerful solar radiation, limited nutritional availability and low oxygen conditions, i.e., hypoxia. The latter is one of the many stressors for human populations, because the partial pressure of oxygen in the atmosphere decreases proportionally with increasing altitude, thereby limiting the passage of gas molecules from the atmosphere to the tissues and the respiratory, cardiovascular, and haematological systems (Beall, 2007; Weinstein, 2007; Frisancho, 2013). Native highlanders are characterized by slow growth and small adult body size, delayed sexual and physical maturation, expanded chest dimensions and functional adaptation to hypoxia conditions - increased lung volume and lung diffusion capacity, along with more efficient transport and diffusion of oxygen to the tissues, among others (Frisancho, 1993, 2013; Beall, 2007; Leonard, 2018). Besides, chest expansion implies clear modifications in thoracic skeletal morphology, sternal and clavicular proportions and rib areas and curvatures (Weinstein, 2007). Furthermore, chronic hypoxia has a critical role on embryonic development orchestrating cellular differentiation and organogenesis (Moore et al., 2011). On one hand, experiments with mice have shown that gestational hypoxia can induce congenital malformations of the spine, an experience that has turned out to be like clinical situations in humans (Ingalls and Curley, 1975; Hou et al., 2018). On the other hand, hypoxia would trigger the alteration of the expression of certain genes involved in somatogenesis, resulting in an example of a congenital defect mediated by the interaction of genetic and environmental factors. (Giampietro et al., 2012; Sparrow et al., 2012). Therefore, it is plausible to think that under hypoxic conditions any type of SA could be expressed at the level of the spine. However, knowledge on this topic is still scarce. The variations observed in this work at sacral level could be a first hint on this matter.

It is difficult to know to what extent environmental, genetic, and epigenetic factors influence the human vertebral alterations in this work due to their multi-etiological nature (Sarfo, 2014). However, the SAs examined in prepuna and SP suggest that the environmental factor plays an important role in their expression. This phenotypic variability observed in response to cold and high-altitude conditions can be explained by microevolutionary responses, since it is possible that genotypic plasticity has limitations when it comes to explaining these phenotypes adapted to extreme conditions. Nevertheless, further studies are recommended to better understand the environmental effect on the expression of phenotypic plasticity among humans.

CONCLUSION

This work describes the variability in the prevalence of five segmentation anomalies located in the spine between two Argentine archaeological populations, Southern Patagonia and Pukará de Tilcara. The most frequent SA was cranial sacralization while no caudal thoracicalization or cranial lumbarization cases were reported. The SP sample presented the highest prevalence for all identified SAs, being the only one to outline caudal lumbarization. Furthermore, this sample showed the highest prevalence of cranial sacralization among different American samples.

Similarities between the SP sample and Inuit populations have been previously reported, pro-

posing environmental factors as modulators of phenotypic expression in humans. In this work, we describe similar patterns in the prevalence of lumbosacral SAs between both populations but with different directions. Although environmental factors such as cold climate could be involved in the expression of similar morphological and physiological characteristics, the different directionality of the shift could be triggered by the effect of other factors, not yet unaddressed, which encourage us to continue with this approach. In PT another environmental factor, hypoxia, could be involved in the variations observed in sacral bones.

Due to a scarce methodological standardization in this field, it is challenging to make any comparison or generation of knowledge about it. In addition, the optimal preservation conditions to carry out this type of study, which include the complete spine, are scarce in past population samples. That is why this paper proposes a new methodology to analyse SAs in archaeological samples. It is easy to replicate and build on previous studies, as well as on insights from the review conducted here, with the aim of establishing a starting point to increase our knowledge about SAs and their aetiology.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to express our gratitude to museums and research institutions of Argentina (Museo del Fin del Mundo, Ushuaia; CADIC, Ushuaia; Museo Etnográfico Juan B. Ambrosetti, Facultad de Filosofía y Letras, Universidad de Buenos Aires) and Chile (Instituto de la Patagonia, Punta Arenas) for the permission to study the human remains housed at those places. We thank Patricia I. Palacio for her help with the design of the figures. This research was supported by FONCyT-PICT 0575, PIP 112 201201 00359 CO of Argentina and a CONICET postdoctoral fellowship awarded to one of the authors (MDDdC). The research group Laboratorio de Poblaciones del Pasado (LAPP) has been supported by Projects HAR2016-78036-P, HAR2016-74846-P, HAR2017-82755-P, HAR2017-83004-P (Spanish Government) and a grant (ref. 38360) from The Leakey Foundation.

REFERENCES

ALY I, CHAPMAN JR, OSKOUIAN RJ, LOUKAS M, TUBBS RS (2016) Lumbar ribs: A comprehensive review. *Childs Nerv Syst*, 32: 781-785.

ANAP D, KACHEWAR S, PRABHAKAR A, DIWATE A, GANVIR SD (2013) Lumbar rib: An uncommon causation of a common manifestation. *Rev Rom Kinetoterapie*, 19(31): 19-22.

BARNES E (1994) Developmental defects of the axial skeleton in paleopathology. University Press of Colorado, Colorado, USA.

BEALL C (2007) Two routes to functional adaptation: Tibetan and Andean high-altitude natives. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, 104(1): 8655-8660.

BERNAL V, PÉREZ SI, GONZÁLEZ PN, SARDI ML, PUCCIARELLI HM (2010) Spatial patterns and evolutionary processes in southern South America: A study of dental morphometric variation. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 142(1): 95-104.

BIGHAM AW (2016) Genetics of human origin and evolution: highaltitude adaptations. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*, 41: 8-13.

BORRERO LA (2001) El poblamiento de Patagonia. Toldos, milodones y volcanes. Emecé Editores, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

BORRERO LA, GUICHÓN RA, TYKOT R, KELLY J, PRIETO A, CARDENAS P (2001) Dieta a partir de isótopos estables en restos óseos humanos de Patagonia Austral. Estado actual y perspectivas. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 29: 119-127.

BOTS J, WIJNAENDTS LCD, DELEN S, VAN DOGEN S, HEIKINHEIMO K, GALIS F (2011) Analysis of cervical ribs in a series of human fetuses. *J Anat*, 219: 403-409.

BROOKS ST, SUCHEY (1990) Skeletal age determination based on the os pubis: a comparison of the Acsádi-Nemeskéri and Suchey-Brooks methods. *Hum Evol*, 5: 227-238.

BUIKSTRA JE, UBELAKER DH (1994) *Standards for Data Collection from Human Skeletal Remains*. Arkansas Archaeological Survey Research Series No.44, Arkansas, USA.

BULUT M, UÇAR BY, UÇAR D, AZBOY I, DEMIRTAS A, ALEMDAR C, GEM M, ÖZKUL E (2013) Is sacralization really a cause of low back pain? *ISRN Orthop*, 839013: 1-4.

CASALI R (2011) Contacto Interétnico en el norte de Tierra del Fuego. La Misión Salesiana de La Candelaria y la salud de la población Selk ' nam. (1895-1931). PhD, Universidad Nacional de Mar del Plata (UNMdP), Mar del Plata, Argentina.

CASTELLVI AE, GOLDSTEIN LA, CHAN DP (1984) Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae and their relationship with lumbar extradural defects. *Spine*, 9(5): 493-495.

CHENGETANAI S, NCHABELENG EK, BACCI N, BILLINGS BK, MAZENGENYA P (2017) Supernumerary lumbar ribs: a rare occurrence on an adult African male skeleton. *Anat Cell Biol*, 50(2): 155-158.

CHIARONI J, UNDERHILL PA, CAVALLI-SFORZA L (2009) Y chromosome diversity, human expansion and cultural evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, 106(48): 20174-20179.

COCILOVO J, GUICHÓN RA (1986) Propuesta para el estudio de las poblaciones aborígenes del extremo austral de Patagonia. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 6: 111-123.

COCILOVO J, GUICHÓN RA (1999-2000) La variación geográfica y el proceso de microdiferenciación de las poblaciones aborígenes de Patagonia Austral y de Tierra del Fuego. *Rev Chil de Antrop*, 15: 9-28.

CRESPO CM, RUSSO MG, HAJDUK A, LANATA JL, DEJEAN CB (2017) Variabilidad mitocondrial en muestras pre-colombinas de la Patagonia Argentina: hacia una visión de su poblamiento desde el ADN antiguo. *Rev Argent Antropol Biol*, 19(1): 1-21.

CRESPOCM, LANATA JL, CARDOZO DG, AVENA SA, DEJEAN CB (2018) Ancient maternal lineages in hunter-gatherer groups of Argentinean Patagonia. Settlement, population continuity and divergence. *JArchaeol Sci Rep*, 18: 689-695. DABERNAT H, REIS T, TARASOV T, ARTYUKHOV L, NIKOLAEV V, MEDVEDEVA A, GAVRILYUK O, NIKOLAEV M, CRUBÉZY E (2013) Paleopathology of the population of Krasnoyarsk, central Siberia. *Archaeol Ethnol Anthrop Eurasia*, 41(13): 140-150.

D'ANGELO DEL CAMPO MD, SUBY JA, GARCÍA-LABORDE P, GUICHÓN RA (2017) Spondylolysis in the past: A case study of hunter-gatherers from Southern Patagonia. *Int J Paleopathol*, 19: 1-17.

D'ANGELO DEL CAMPO MD, CURTI H, GERVASIO LÓPEZ M, GARCÍA-LABORDE P, VALENZUELA LO, MOTTI JMB, MARTUCCI M, PALACIO PI, GONZÁLEZ MARTÍN A, GUICHÓN RA (2020) Base de información bioantropológica de Patagonia Austral (B.I.B.P.A.). *Rev Argent Antropol Biol*, 22(2): 1-13.

D'ANGELO DEL CAMPO MD, MEDIALDEA L, GARCÍA-LABORDE P, SALEMME M, SANTIAGO F, CAMPO MARTÍN M, GONZÁLEZ MARTÍN A, GUICHÓN RA (2021) Sacrum paleopathology in hunter-gatherers' from Southern Patagonia. *Chungará*, 53(4):647-663.

DE LA FUENTE C, GALIMANY J, KEMP BM, JUDD K, REYES O, MORAGA M (2015) Ancient marine hunter-gatherers from Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego: diversity and differentiation using uniparentally inherited genetic markers. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 158(4): 719-729.

DE LA FUENTE C, ÁVILA-ARCOS MC, GALIMANY J, CARPENTER ML, HOMBURGUER JR, BLANCO A, CONTRERAS P, CRUZ DÁVALOS D, REYES O, SAN ROMÁN M, MORENO-ESTRADA A, CAMPOS PF, ENG C, HUNTSMAN S, BURCHARD EG, MALASPINAS A-S, BUSTAMANTE CD, WILLERSLEV E, LLOP E, VERDUGO RA, MORAGA M (2018) Genomic insigSAs into the origin and diversification of late maritime hunter-gatherers from Chilean Patagonia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, 115(17): e4006-e4012.

DE SAINT PIERRE M, BRAVI CM, MOTTI JMB, FUKU N, TANAKA M, LLOP E, BONATTO SL, MORAGA M (2012) An alternative model for the early peopling of Southern South America revealed by analyses of three mithocondrial DNA Haplogroups. *PLoS One*, 7(9): e43486.

DEBENEDETTI S (1930) Las ruinas del Pukará, Tilcara, Quebrada de Humahuaca (Provincia de Jujuy). *Arch Museo Etnogr*, 11.

DELPORT EG, CUCUZZELLA TR, KIM N, MARLEY J, PRUITT C, DELPORT AG (2006) Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae: Incidence in a consecutive patient series. *Pain Physician*, 9: 53-56.

FRENCH HD, SOMASUNDARAM AJ, SCHAEFER NR, LAHERTY RW (2014) Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae and its prevalence in the Australian population. *Global Spine J*, 4: 229-232.

FRISANCHO AR (1993) *Human adaptation and accommodation*. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, USA.

FRISANCHO AR (2013) Developmental functional adaptation to high altitude: Review. *Am J Hum Biol*, 25(2): 151-168.

GALIS F (1999) Why do almost all mammals have seven cervical vertebrae? Developmental constraints, Hox genes, and cancer. *J Exp Zool*, 285(1): 19-26.

GARCÍA-BOUR J, PÉREZ-PÉREZ A, ÁLVAREZ S, FERNÁNDEZ E, LÓPEZ-PARRA AM, ARROYO-PARDO E, TURBÓN D (2004) Early population differentiation in extinct aborigines from Tierra del Fuego-Patagonia: ancient mtDNA sequences and Y-chromosome STR characterization. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 123(4): 361-370.

GARCÍA-MARTÍNEZ D, NALLA S, FERREIRA MT, BASTIR M, D'ANGELO DEL CAMPO MD, GUICHÓN RA (2018) Eco-geographic adaptations in the human ribcage throughout a 3D geometric morphometric approach. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 166(2): 323-336.

GARCÍA LABORDE P, SUBY JA, GUICHÓN RA, CASALI R (2010) El antiguo cementerio de la misión de Río Grande, Tierra del Fuego. Primeros resultados sobre patologías nutricionales-metabólicas e infecciosas. *Rev Argent Antropol Biol*, 12(1): 57-69.

GARCÍA-MORO C, HERNÁNDEZ M, LALUEZA C (1997) Estimation of the optimum density of the Selk'nam from Tierra del Fuego: inferences about human dinamics in extreme environments. *Am J Hum Biol*, 9(6): 699-708.

GIAMPIETRO PF, RAGGIO CL, BLANK RD, MCCARTY C, BROECKEL U, PICKART MA (2013) Clinical, genetic and environmental factors associated with congenital vertebral malformations. *Mol Syndromol*, 4: 94-105.

GONZÁLEZ-JOSÉ R, GARCÍA MORO C, DAHIN S, HERNÁNDEZ M (2002) Origin of Fueguian-Patagonians: an approach to population history and structure using R matrix and matrix permutation methods. *Am J Hum Biol*, 14(3): 308-320.

GONZÁLEZ-JOSÉ R (2003) El Poblamiento de la Patagonia. Análisis de la Variación Craneofacial en el contexto del Poblamiento Americano. PhD, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

GUICHÓN RA (1993) Antropología Física de Tierra del Fuego. Caracterización Biológica de las Poblaciones Prehispánicas. PhD, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

GUICHÓN RA, SUBY JA, CASALI R, FUGASSA MH (2006) Health at the time of native-european contact in Southern Patagonia. First steps, results and prospects. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*, 101(Suppl. II): 97-105.

HENNEBERG R, HENNEBERG M (1999) Variation in the closure of the sacral canal in the skeletal sample from Pompeii, Italy, 79 ad. *Perspect Hum Biol*, 4(1): 177-188.

HERNÁNDEZ M, LALUEZA C, GARCÍA-MORO C (1997) Fueguian cranial morphology: the adaptation to a cold, harsh environment. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 103(1): 103-117.

HOLLAND TD, ANDERSON BE, MANN RW (1996) Human variables in the postmortem alteration of human bone: Examples from U.S. War casualties. In: Sorg MH, Haglund WD (eds). *Forensic Taphonomy, The Postmortem Fate of Human Remains*. CRC Press, Boca Ratón, Florida, USA, pp 263-273.

HORAN GSB, RAMÍREZ-SOLÍS R, FEATHERSTONE MS, WOLGEMUTH DJ, BRADLEY A, BEHRINGER RR (1995) Compound mutants for the paralogous hoxa-4, hoxb-4, and hoxd-4 genes show more complete segmentation anomalies and a dose-dependent increase in the number of vertebrae transformed. *Genes Dev*, 9: 1667-1677.

HOU D, KANG N, YIN P, HAI Y (2018) Abnormalities associated with congenital scoliosis in high-altitude geographic regions. *Int J Orthop*, 42: 575-581.

INGALLS TH, CURLEY FJ (1957) Principles governing the genesis of congenital malformations induced in mice by hypoxia. *N Engl J Med*, 257: 1121-1127.

ISÇAN MY, LOTH SR, WRIGHT RK (1984) Metamorphosis at the sternal rib end: a new method to estimate age at death in white males. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 65(2): 147-156.

JANKAUSKAS R (2001) Variations and anomalies of the vertebral column in Lithuanian palaeoosteological samples. *Anthropol Anz*, XXXIX(1): 33-37.

KARAPETIAN MK, MAKAROV SV (2019) Restudying variations of axial skeleton patterning in skimo groups with new data from ancient Chukotka (Ekven archaeological site). *Eur J Anat*, 23(3): 187-199.

KIMMERLE EH (2010) A comparative study of developmental defects and metabolic diseases among the Arikara and earlier Northern plains populations. *Plain Anthropol*, 55(215): 225-234.

KONIN GP, WALZ DM (2010) Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae: Classification, imaging findings, and clinical relevance. *Am J Neuroradiol*, 31(10): 1778-1786.

LAHR MM (1995) Patterns of modern human diversification: implications for Amerindian origins. *Yearb Phys Anthropol*, 38: 163-198.

LALUEZA C, HERNÁNDEZ M, GARCÍA-MORO C (1996) Craniometric analysis in groups from Tierra del Fuego/Patagonia and the peopling of the south extreme of the Americas. *Hum Evol*, 11: 217-224.

LALUEZA C, PÉREZ-PÉREZ A, PRATS E, CORNUDELLA L, TURBON D (1997a) Lack of founding Amerindian mitochondrial DNA lineages in extinct aborigines from Tierra de Fuego Patagonia. *Hum Mol Gen*, 6(1): 41-46. LALUEZA C, HERNÁNDEZ M, GARCÍA-MORO C (1997b) La morfología facial de las poblaciones fueguinas: ¿reflejo de una adaptación al frío? *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 25: 45-58.

LEONARD WR (2018) Centennial perspective on human adaptability. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 165: 813-833.

LOVEJOY CO, MEINDL RS, PRYZBECK TR, MENSFORTH RP (1985) Chronological metamorphosis of the auricular surface of the ilium: a new method for the determination of adult skeletal age at death. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 68(1): 15-28.

MALLO M, WELLIK DM, DESCHAMPS J (2010) Hox genes and regional patterning of the vertebrate body plan. *Dev Biol*, 344(1): 7-15.

MANIFOLD BM (2012) Intrinsic and extrinsic factors involved in the preservation of non-adult skeletal remains in archeology and forensic science. *Bull Int Assoc paleodont*, 6(2): 51-69.

MARANGONI A, CARAMELLI D, MANZI G (2014) Homo Sapiens in the Americas. Overview of the earliest human expansion in the New World. *J Anthropol Sci*, 92: 79-97.

MORENO ESTEFANELL L, D'ANGELO DEL CAMPO MD, CAMPO MARTÍN M, GARCÍA LABORDE P, CAMBRA-MOO O, GONZÁLEZ MARTÍN A, GUICHÓN RA (2018) Aproximación a la paleopatología de la columna vertebral en el cementerio de la Misión Salesiana "Nuestra Señora de La Candelaria" (s. XIX-XX, Río Grande, Argentina). *Rev Argent Antropol Biol*, 20(2): 1-18.

NAGAR S, KUBAVAT D, MALUKAR O, VALEKAR P, RATHOD H, CHAUHAN P (2012) Study of sacralisation of coccygeal vertebra in Gujarat. *Natl J Integr Res Med*, 3(3): 39-42.

NARDO L, ALIZAI H, VIRAYAVANICH W, LIU F, HERNÁNDEZ A, LYNCH JA, NEVITT MC, MCCULLOCH C, LANE NE, LINK TM (2012) Lumbosacral transitional vertebrae: Association with low back pain. *Radiol*, 265(2): 497-503.

NOBACK ML, HARVATI K, SPOOR F (2011) Climate-related variation of the human nasal cavity. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 145(4): 599-614.

OTERO C (2015) Distribución y consumo de cerámica inca en el Pukará de Tilcara (Quebrada de Humahuaca, Argentina). *Chungara*, 47(3): 401-414.

PEARSON OM, MILLONES M (2005) Rasgos esqueletales de adaptación al clima y la actividad entre los habitantes aborígenes de Tierra del Fuego. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 33(1): 37-50.

PELLETIER F, COLTMAN DW (2018) Will human influences on evolutionary dynamics in the wild pervade the Anthropocene? *BMC Bio*, 16: 7.

PÉREZ SI, BERNAL V, GONZÁLEZ P (2007) Morphological differentiation of aboriginal human populations from Tierra del Fuego (Patagonia): Implications for South American peopling. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 133(4): 1067-1079.

PÉREZ SI, BERNAL V, GONZÁLEZ P, SARDI M, POLITIS G, O'ROURKE D (2009) Discrepancy between cranial and DNA data of Early Americans: Implications for American Peopling. *PLoS One*, 4(5): e5746.

PÉREZ SI, MONTEIRO LR (2009) Nonrandom factor in modern human morphological diversification: a study of craniofacial variation in Southern South America populations. *Hum Evol*, 63(4): 978-993.

PÉREZ SI, LEMA V, DINIZ-FILHO JAF, BERNAL V, GONZÁLEZ P, GLOBBO D, PUCCIARELLI HM (2011) The role of diet and temperature in shaping cranial diversifications of South American human populations: an approach based on spatial regression and divergence rate tests. *J Biogeogr*, 38(1): 148-163.

PÉREZ-PÉREZ A, LALUEZA FOX C (1992) Indicadores de presión ambiental en aborígenes de Fuego-Patagonia. Un reflejo de la adaptación a un ambiente adverso. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 21:99-108.

RAWLS A, FISHER R (2018) Developmental and functional anatomy of the spine. In: Kusumi K, Dunwoodie S (eds). *The Genetics and Development* of Scoliosis. Springer, Strasbourg, France, pp 21-46. SARFO TA (2014) A statistical investigation of nonmetric vertebral traits with a skeletal population sample from the Dakhleh Oasis, Egypt. PhD, Occidental Ontario University, Canada.

SHARMA VA, SHARMA DK, SHUKLA CK (2011) Oesteogenic study of lumbosacral transitional vertebra in Central India region. *JAnat Soc Ind*, 60(2): 212-217.

SHORE LR (1930) Abnormalities of the vertebral column in a series of skeletons of Bantu natives of South Africa. *J Anat*, 64(2): 207-238.

SINGH ID, AJITA R, NARANBABU SINGH T (2015) Variation in the number of sacral pieces. *Hum Biol Rev*: 88-94.

SPARROW DB, CHAPMAN G, SMITH AJ, MATTAR MZ, MAJOR JA, O'REILLY VC, SAGA Y, ZACKAI EH, DORMANS JP, ALMAN BA, MCGREGOR L, KAGEYAMA R, KUSUMI K, DUNWOODIE SL (2012) A mechanism for gene-environment interaction in the etiology of congenital scoliosis. *Cell*, 149(2): 295-306.

STODDER A (2019) Taphonomy and the nature of archaeological assemblages. In: Katzenberg A, Grauer A (eds). *Biological anthropology of the human skeleton*. Wiley Blackwell, Oxford, England, pp 73-115.

TANCOCK D (2014) Congenital defects in 18th and 19th century populations from rural and urban Northeast England. PhD, Durham University, England.

TANG M, YANG X, YANG S, HAM P, MA Y, YU H, ZHU B (2014) Lumbosacral transitional vertebra in a population-based study of 5860 individuals: Prevalence and relationship to low back pain. *Eur J Radiol*, 83(9): 1679-1682.

TEN BROEK CMA, BAKKER AJ, VARELA-LASHERAS I, BUGIANI M, VAN DONGEN S, GALIS F (2012) Evo-Devo of the human vertebral column: on homeotic transformations, pathologies and prenatal selection. *Evol Biol*, 39: 456-471.

TODD TW (1921a) Age changes in the pubic bone I: the male white pubis. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 3: 285-334.

TODD TW (1921b) Age changes in the pubic bone III: the pubis of the white female. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 4: 1-70.

TULSI RS (1972) Vertebral column of the Australian aborigine: Selected morphological and metrical features. *Z Morp Anthrop*, 64(2): 117-144.

VARELA H, COCILOVO A, GUICHÓN RA (1993-1994) Evaluaciones de la información somatométrica por Gusinde sobre los aborígenes de Tierra del Fuego. *Magallania (Punta Arenas)*, 22: 193-205.

VILAS-BOAS D, WASTERLAIN SN, D'OLIVEIRA COELHO J, NAVEGA D, GONÇALVES D (2019) SPINNE: an app for human vertebral height estimation based on artificial neural networks. *Forensic Sci Int*, 298: 121-130.

WEINSTEIN KJ (2007) Thoracic skeletal morphology and highaltitude hypoxia in Andean prehistory. *Am J Phys Anthropol*, 134: 36-49.

WELLIK DM, CAPECCHI MR (2003) Hox10 and Hox11 genes are required to globally pattern the mammalian skeleton. *Science*, 301(5631): 363-367.

WHITE TD, FOLKENS PA (2005) *The human bone manual*. Elsevier Academics Press, Amsterdam, Netherlands.